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Abstract. We prove a generalization of Kawai theorem for the case of orbifold Riemann surface. The computation is based on a formula for the differential of a holomorphic map from the cotangent bundle of the Teichmüller space to the PSL(2, C)-character variety, which allows to evaluate explicitly the pullback of Goldman symplectic form in the spirit of Riemann bilinear relations. As a corollary, we obtain a generalization of Goldman’s theorem that the pullback of Goldman symplectic form on the PSL(2, R)-character variety is a symplectic form of the Weil-Petersson metric on the Teichmüller space.

1. Introduction

The deformation space of complex projective structures on a closed oriented genus \( g \geq 2 \) surface is a holomorphic affine bundle over the corresponding Teichmüller space. The choice of a Bers section identifies the deformation space with the holomorphic cotangent bundle of the Teichmüller space, a complex manifold with a complex symplectic form. Kawai’s theorem [16] asserts that symplectic form on the cotangent bundle is a pullback under the monodromy map of Goldman’s complex symplectic form on the corresponding PSL(2, C)-character variety.

However, Kawai’s proof is not very insightful. In fact, he does not use Goldman symplectic form as defined in [6], but rather uses a symplectic form on the moduli space of special rank 2 vector bundles on a Riemann surface associated with projective structures, as it is defined in [8]. The computation is highly technical and algebraic topology nature of the result gets obscured. Recently a shorter proof, relying on theorems of other authors, was given in [18]. Also in paper [4] it is proved, using special homological coordinates, that canonical Poisson structure on the cotangent bundle of the Teichmüller space induces the Goldman bracket on the character variety.

Here we prove a generalization of Kawai theorem for the case of orbifold Riemann surface. The computation is based on a formula for the differential of a holomorphic map from the cotangent bundle to the PSL(2, C)-character variety, which allows to evaluate explicitly the pullback of Goldman symplectic form in the spirit of Riemann bilinear relations. As a corollary, we obtain a generalization of Goldman’s theorem that the pullback of Goldman symplectic form on the PSL(2, R)-character variety is a symplectic form of the Weil-Petersson metric on the Teichmüller space.
The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2.1 we recall basic facts from the complex-analytic theory of Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T} = T(\Gamma)$, where $\Gamma$ is a Fuchsian group of the first kind, and in Sect. 2.2 we define the holomorphic symplectic form $\omega$ on the cotangent bundle $\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathcal{T}$. In Sect. 2.3 we introduce the $\text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-character variety $\mathcal{K}$ associated with the Fuchsian group $\Gamma$, and its holomorphic tangent space at $[\rho] \in \mathcal{K}$, the parabolic Eichler cohomology group $H^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}\rho})$. The Goldman symplectic form $\omega_G$ on $\mathcal{K}$ is introduced in Sect. 2.4, and the holomorphic mapping $Q: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{K}$, as well as the map $F: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$, are defined in Sect. 2.5. In Section 3 we explicitly compute the differential of the map $Q$ in the fiber over the origin in $\mathcal{T}$. Lemma 1 neatly summarizes variational theory of the developing map in terms of the so-called $\Lambda$-operator, the classical third-order linear differential operator

$$\Lambda_q = \frac{d^3}{dz^3} + 2q(z) \frac{d}{dz} + q'(z),$$

associated with the second-order differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{2}q(z)\psi = 0,$$

where $q$ is a cusp form of weight 4 for $\Gamma$. Its properties are presented in $\Lambda_1$–$\Lambda_5$ (see also $B1$–$B3$).

The main result, Theorem 1,

$$\omega = -\sqrt{-1}Q^*(\omega_G),$$

is proved in Section 4. The proof uses Proposition 1 and explicit description of a canonical fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ in Sect. 4.1. From here we obtain (see Corollary 3)

$$\omega_{\text{WP}} = F^*(\omega_G),$$

which is a generalization of Goldman theorem for orbifold Riemann surfaces.
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2. The basic facts

2.1. Teichmüller space of a Fuchsian group. Here we recall the necessary basic facts from the complex-analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces (see classic paper [1] and book [2], and also [19, 23]).

2.1.1. Let $\Gamma$ be, in classical terminology, a Fuchsian group of the first kind with signature $(g; n, e_1, \ldots, e_m)$, satisfying

$$2g - 2 + n + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_i}\right) > 0.$$
By definition, $\Gamma$ is a finitely generated cofinite discrete subgroup of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, acting on the Lobachevsky (hyperbolic) plane, the upper half-plane

$$\mathbb{H} = \{ z = x + \sqrt{-1}y : y > 0 \}.$$ 

The group $\Gamma$ has a standard presentation with $2g$ hyperbolic generators $a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_g, b_g$, $m$ elliptic generators $c_1, \ldots, c_m$ of orders $e_1, \ldots, e_m$, and $n$ parabolic generators $c_{m+1}, \ldots, c_{m+n}$ satisfying the relation

$$a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} \cdots a_g b_g a_g^{-1} b_g^{-1} c_1 \cdots c_{m+n} = 1.$$ 

The group $\Gamma$ can be thought of as a fundamental group of the corresponding orbifold Riemann surface $X \simeq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$.

2.1.2. Let $\mathcal{A}^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ be the space of Beltrami differentials for $\Gamma$ — a complex Banach space of $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{H})$ satisfying

$$\mu(\gamma z) \overline{\gamma'(z)} = \mu(z) \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

with the norm

$$\| \mu \|_\infty = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}} |\mu(z)|.$$ 

For a Beltrami coefficient for $\Gamma$, $\mu \in \mathcal{A}^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ with $\| \mu \|_\infty < 1$, denote by $w^\mu$ the solution of the Beltrami equation

$$w^\mu_\bar{z} = \mu w^\mu_z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

$$w^\mu_\bar{z} = 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{H},$$

that fixes $0, 1, \infty$, and put $\mathbb{H}^\mu = w^\mu(\mathbb{H})$, $\Gamma^\mu = w^\mu \circ \Gamma \circ (w^\mu)^{-1}$. The Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$ of a Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ is defined by

$$T(\Gamma) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{A}^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma) : \| \mu \|_\infty < 1 \} / \sim,$$

where $\mu \sim \nu$ if and only if $w^\mu|_{\mathbb{R}} = w^\nu|_{\mathbb{R}}$. Equivalently, $\mu \sim \nu$ if and only if $w_\mu|_{\mathbb{R}} = w_\nu|_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $w_\mu$ is a q.c. homeomorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ satisfying the Beltrami equation

$$(w_\mu)_\bar{z} = \mu(w_\mu)_z, \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$ 

We denote by $[\mu]$ the equivalence class of a Beltrami coefficient $\mu$.

Teichmüller space $T(\Gamma)$ is a complex manifold of complex dimension

$$d = 3g - 3 + m + n.$$ 

The holomorphic tangent and cotangent spaces $T_0T(\Gamma)$ and $T_0^*T(\Gamma)$ at the base point, the origin $[0] \in T(\Gamma)$, are identified, respectively, with $\Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ — the vector space of harmonic Beltrami differentials for $\Gamma$, and with $\Omega^2(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ — the vector space of cusp forms of weight 4 for $\Gamma$. The corresponding pairing $T_0^*T(\Gamma) \otimes T_0T(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by the absolutely convergent integral

$$\int \int_F \mu(z)q(z)dx dy,$$
where $F$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$. There is a complex anti-linear isomorphism $\Omega^2(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma) \sim \Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ given by $q(z) \mapsto \mu(z) = y^2 q(z)$. Together with the pairing, it defines the Petersson inner product in $T_0 T(\Gamma)$,

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2)_{WP} = \iint_F \mu_1(z) \overline{\mu_2(z)} y^{-2} dxdy.$$

There is a natural isomorphism between the Teichmüller spaces $T(\Gamma)$ and $T(\Gamma_\mu)$, where $\Gamma_\mu = w_\mu \circ \Gamma \circ w_\mu^{-1}$ is a Fuchsian group. For every $[\mu] \in \pi_1 T(\Gamma)$ it allows us to identify $T_{[\mu]} T(\Gamma)$ with $\Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma_\mu)$ and $\pi_1^* T(\Gamma)$ with $\Omega^2(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma_\mu)$. The conformal mapping

$$h_\mu = w_\mu \circ (w^\mu)^{-1} : \mathbb{H}^\mu \to \mathbb{H}$$

establishes natural isomorphisms

$$\Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma_\mu) \sim \Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}^\mu, \Gamma^\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^2(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma_\mu) \sim \Omega^2(\mathbb{H}^\mu, \Gamma^\mu).$$

According to the isomorphism $T(\Gamma) \simeq T(\Gamma_\mu)$, the choice of a base point is inessential and we will use the notation $T_\Gamma$ for $T(\Gamma)$.

The Petersson inner product in the tangent spaces determines the Weil-Petersson Kähler metric on $T_\Gamma$. Its Kähler $(1,1)$-form is a symplectic form $\omega_{WP}$ on $T_\Gamma$,

$$(1) \quad \omega_{WP}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \frac{-1}{2} \iint_F \left( \frac{\mu_1(z)}{1 - |\mu|^2} \frac{w_\mu^z}{w_\mu^z} \right) y^{-2} dxdy,$$

where $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in T_0 T_\Gamma$.

2.1.3. Explicitly the complex structure on $T_\Gamma$ is described as follows. Let $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d$ be a basis of $\Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$. Bers’ coordinates $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_d)$ in the neighborhood $U$ of the origin in $T_\Gamma$ are defined by $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$, where $\mu = \varepsilon_1 \mu_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_d \mu_d$. For the corresponding vector fields we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_i} \bigg|_\mu = P_{-1,1} \left( \frac{\mu_i}{1 - |\mu|^2} \frac{w_\mu^z}{w_\mu^z} \right) \circ (w^\mu)^{-1} \in \Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}^\mu, \Gamma^\mu),$$

where $P_{-1,1}$ is a projection on the subspace of harmonic Beltrami differentials. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_d$ be the basis in $\Omega^2(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$, dual to the basis $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d$ for $\Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$. For the holomorphic 1-forms $d\varepsilon_i$, dual to the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_i}$ on $U$, we have $d\varepsilon_i |_\mu = p_i^\mu$, where the basis $p_1^\mu, \ldots, p_d^\mu$ in $\Omega^2(\mathbb{H}^\mu, \Gamma^\mu)$ has the property

$$P_2 \left( p_i^\mu \circ w^\mu \left( w_\mu^z \right)^2 \right) = p_i,$$

with $P_2$ being a projection on $\Omega^2(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$. 
2.2. Holomorphic symplectic form. Let $\mathcal{M} = T^*\mathcal{T}$ be the holomorphic cotangent bundle of $\mathcal{T}$ with the canonical projection $\pi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{T}$. It is a complex symplectic manifold with canonical $(2,0)$-holomorphic symplectic form $\omega = d\vartheta$, where $\vartheta$ is the Liouville 1-form (also called a tautological 1-form). At a point $(q, [\mu]) \in \mathcal{M}$ it is defined as follows (e.g., see [3])

$$\vartheta(v) = q(\pi_* v), \quad v \in T_{(q,[\mu])}\mathcal{M}.$$ 

For the points in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(0)$ the symplectic form $\omega$ is given explicitly by

$$\omega((q_1, \mu_1), (q_2, \mu_2)) = \int_F (q_1(z)\mu_2(z) - q_2(z)\mu_1(z)) dx dy,$$

where $(q_1, \mu_1), (q_2, \mu_2) \in T_{(q,0)}\mathcal{M} \simeq T_0^*\mathcal{T} \oplus T_0\mathcal{T}$.

2.2.1. Let $\theta(t)$ be a smooth curve in $\mathcal{M}$ starting at $(q, 0) \in \mathcal{M}$ and lying in $T^*U$, where $U$ is a Bers neighborhood of the origin in $\mathcal{T}$. Correspondingly, $\mu(t) = \pi(\theta(t))$ is a smooth curve in $U$ satisfying $\mu(0) = 0$, and without changing the tangent vector to $\theta(t)$ at $t = 0$ we can assume that $\mu(t) = t\mu$ for some $\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$. We have

$$\theta(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i(t) d\bar{\varepsilon}_i|_{t\mu},$$

for small $t$ and

$$\theta(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i(0)p_i = q \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma).$$

The tangent vector to $\theta(t)$ at $t = 0$ is $(\dot{\theta}, \mu) \in T_{(q,0)}\mathcal{M}$, where

$$\dot{\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \dot{u}_i(0)p_i.$$ 

Here and in what follows the ‘over-dot’ denotes the derivative with respect to $t$ at $t = 0$.

Equivalently, the curve $\theta(t)$ is given by the smooth family $q(t) \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{H}^{t\mu}, \Gamma^{t\mu})$ with $q^0 = q$, and so

$$u_i(t) = \left(q^t, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varepsilon}_i|_{t\mu}}\right) = \int_F q(t)\mu_i dx dy,$$

where

$$q(t) = q^t \circ w^{t\mu} (w^t\mu)^2$$

is a pull-back of the cusp form $q^t$ on $\mathbb{H}^{t\mu}$ to $\mathbb{H}$ by the map $w^{t\mu}$. It is a smooth family of forms of weight 4 for $\Gamma$ and

$$\dot{u}_i(0) = \int_F \dot{q}\mu_i dx dy, \quad i = 1, \ldots, d,$$
so that
\[ \dot{\theta} = P_2(q). \]

2.2.2. To summarize, the value of the symplectic form (2) on tangent vectors \( (\dot{\theta}_1, \mu_1) \) and \( (\dot{\theta}_2, \mu_2) \) to the curves \( \theta_1(t) \) and \( \theta_2(t) \) at \( t = 0 \), is given by the following expression

\[ \omega((\dot{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\dot{\theta}_2, \mu_2)) = \iint_F (\dot{\theta}_1 \mu_2 - \dot{\theta}_2 \mu_1) \, dx dy. \]

Remark 1. Though \( \dot{q} \) is a non-holomorphic form of weight 4 for \( \Gamma \), it decays exponentially at the cusps. Indeed, by conjugation it is sufficient to consider the cusp \( \infty \). Since

\[ w^t \mu(z + 1) = w^t \mu(z) + c(t), \]

we have

\[ \dot{q}(z + \dot{c}(t)) = \dot{q}(z) \]

where \( a_n(t) \) are corresponding Fourier coefficients of \( \dot{q}(z) \). Therefore

\[ \dot{q}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \dot{a}_n e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}n\mu(z)/c(t)} w^t \mu(z)^2, \]

where \( \dot{a}_n(t) \) denotes the derivative with respect to \( z \). Since \( q(z) \) and \( \dot{q}'(z) \) decay exponentially as \( y \to \infty \), we obtain

\[ \dot{q}(z) = O(e^{-\pi y}) \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty. \]

2.3. The character variety. Here we recall necessary basic facts on the \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \)-character variety for the fundamental group of the orbifold Riemann surface \( X \simeq \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H} \).

2.3.1. Let \( G \) be a Lie group \( \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) and \( g = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) be its Lie algebra. As in [6, §2.3], we identify \( g \) with the Lie algebra of vector fields \( P(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \) on \( \mathbb{H} \), where \( P(z) \in \mathcal{P}_2 \) is a quadratic polynomial. Explicitly,

\[ g \ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (cz^2 - 2az - b) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \in \mathcal{P}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \]

Let \( \langle , \rangle \) denote a 1/4 of the Killing form\(^1\) of \( g \). In terms of the standard basis \( \{1, z, z^2\} \) of \( \mathcal{P}_2 \) the Killing form \( \langle , \rangle \) is given by the matrix

\[ C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( C_{ij} = \langle z^{i-1} z^{j-1} \rangle, i, j = 1, 2, 3 \). In general, for \( P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2 \)

\[ \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} B_0 [P_1, P_2](z), \]

\[ ^1\text{Representing } g \text{ by } 2 \times 2 \text{ traceless matrices over } \mathbb{C} \text{ gives } \langle x, y \rangle = \text{tr} xy. \]
where for arbitrary smooth functions $F$ and $G$,

\begin{equation}
B_0[F,G] = F_{zz}G + FG_{zz} - F_{z}G_{z}.
\end{equation}

Note that the right hand side of (5) does not depend on $z$.

2.3.2. As in [6, 7], let $\mathcal{X}$ be the $G$-character variety of an orbifold Riemann surface $X$,

\[ \mathcal{X} = \text{Hom}_0(\Gamma, G)/G, \]

which consists of irreducible homomorphisms $\rho : \Gamma \to G$, modulo conjugation, that preserve traces of parabolic and elliptic generators of $\Gamma$. The character variety $\mathcal{X}$ is a complex manifold of complex dimension $2d = 6g - 6 + 2m + 2n$, and the holomorphic tangent space $T_{[\rho]}\mathcal{X}$ at $[\rho]$ is naturally identified with the parabolic Eichler cohomology group

\[ H^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma, g_{\text{Ad}\rho}) = H^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma, g_{\text{Ad}\rho})/B^1(\Gamma, g_{\text{Ad}\rho}). \]

Here $g$ is understood as a left $\Gamma$-module with respect to the action $\text{Ad}\rho$, and a 1-cocycle $\chi \in Z^1(\Gamma, g_{\text{Ad}\rho})$ is a map $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathcal{P}_2$ satisfying

\begin{equation}
\chi(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \chi(\gamma_1) + \rho(\gamma_1) \cdot \chi(\gamma_2), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma,
\end{equation}

where dot stands for the adjoint action of $G$ on $g \simeq \mathcal{P}_2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)$.

The parabolic condition, introduced in [21], means that the restriction of a 1-cocycle $\chi \in Z^1(\Gamma, g_{\text{Ad}\rho})$ to a parabolic subgroup $\Gamma_\alpha$ of $\Gamma$ — the stabilizer of a cusp $\alpha$ for $\Gamma$ — is a coboundary: there is some $P_\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{P}_2$ such that

\[ \chi(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot P_\alpha - P_\alpha, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_\alpha. \]

We denote by $[\chi]$ the cohomology class of a 1-cocycle $\chi$.

Remark 2. It is well-known (see [21]) that the restriction of $\chi$ to a finite cyclic subgroup of $\Gamma$ is a coboundary. Indeed, if $\gamma^n = 1$, then it follows from (7) that

\begin{equation}
0 = \chi(\gamma^n) = (1 + \rho(\gamma) + \cdots + \rho(\gamma^{n-1})) \cdot \chi(\gamma).
\end{equation}

Using the unit disk model of the Lobachevsky plane, we can assume that $\gamma(u) = \zeta u$, where $\zeta^n = 1$ and $|u| < 1$. It follows from (8) and (9) that

\[ \chi(\gamma)(u) = au^2 + b, \]

and there is $P \in \mathcal{P}_2$ with the property

\[ \chi(\gamma)(u) = \zeta P(u/\zeta) - P(u). \]

2.4. The Goldman symplectic form.
2.4.1. In case \( X \simeq \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} \) is a compact Riemann surface (the case \( m = n = 0 \)), Goldman [6] introduced a complex symplectic form on the character variety \( \mathcal{X} \). At a point \( [\rho] \in \mathcal{X} \) it is defined as

\[
\omega_G([\chi_1], [\chi_2]) = \langle [\chi_1] \cup [\chi_2], [X] \rangle, \quad \text{where } [\chi_1], [\chi_2] \in T_{[\rho]} \mathcal{X}.
\]

Here \([X]\) is the fundamental class of \( X \) under the isomorphism \( H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \), and \( \langle [\chi_1] \cup [\chi_2], [X] \rangle \in H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \) is a composition of the cup product in cohomology and of the Killing form. At a cocycle level it is given explicitly by

\[
\langle \chi_1 \cup \chi_2 \rangle (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \langle \chi_1(\gamma_1), \text{Ad}_\rho(\gamma_1) \cdot \chi(\gamma_2) \rangle, \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma.
\]

Since the right-hand side in (10) does not depend on the choice of representatives \( \chi_1, \chi_2 \in Z^1(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}_{Ad\rho}) \) of the cohomology classes \([\chi_1], [\chi_2] \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}_{Ad\rho})\), we will use the notation \( \omega_G(\chi_1, \chi_2) \).

According to [6, Proposition 3.9]\(^2\), the fundamental class \([X]\) in terms of the group homology is realized by the following 2-cycle

\[
c = \sum_{k=1}^g \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial a_k}, a_k \right) + \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial b_k}, b_k \right) \right\} \in H_2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}),
\]

where \( R = R_g \),

\[
R_k = \prod_{i=1}^k a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, g,
\]

and by the Fox free differential calculus

\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial a_k} = R_{k-1} - R_k b_k, \quad \frac{\partial R}{\partial b_k} = R_{k-1} a_k - R_k.
\]

In these notations (10) takes the form

\[
\omega_G(\chi_1, \chi_2) = - \sum_{k=1}^g \left\langle \chi_1(\# \frac{\partial R}{\partial a_k}), \chi_2(a_k) \right\rangle + \left\langle \chi_1(\# \frac{\partial R}{\partial b_k}), \chi_2(b_k) \right\rangle,
\]

where a cocycle \( \chi \) extends from a map on \( \Gamma \) to a linear map defined on the integral group ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \), and \( \# \) denotes the natural anti-involution on \( \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \),

\[
\# \left( \sum_{j=1}^g n_j \gamma_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^g n_j \gamma_j^{-1}.
\]

Remark 3. We have

\[
\# \frac{\partial R}{\partial a_k} = R_{k-1}^{-1} (1 - \alpha_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \# \frac{\partial R}{\partial b_k} = R_{k}^{-1} (1 - \beta_k),
\]

where \( \alpha_k = R_k b_k^{-1} R_k^{-1} \) and \( \beta_k = R_k a_k^{-1} R_k^{-1} \), are dual generators of the group \( \Gamma \) (see Sect. 4.1.1), and expression (13) takes the form

\[
\omega_G(\chi_1, \chi_2) = - \sum_{k=1}^g \left\langle \chi_1(\alpha_k), \rho(R_k^{-1}) \cdot \chi_2(a_k) \right\rangle + \left\langle \chi_1(\beta_k), \rho(R_k) \cdot \chi_2(b_k) \right\rangle.
\]

\(^2\)See also exercises 4(b) and 4(c) on p. 46 in [5].
2.4.2. In case $m + n > 0$, we define $R_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, g$, as before and put $R_{g+i} = R_g c_1 \cdots c_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m + n$; $R = R_{g+m+n}$.

According to [10, 11, 14, 17], the Goldman symplectic form $\omega_G$ on the character variety $\mathcal{K}$ associated with the fundamental group of an orbifold Riemann surface is defined as follows

$$\omega_G(\chi_1, \chi_2) = -\sum_{k=1}^{g} \left\langle \chi_1 \left( \partial R / \partial a_k \right), \chi_2(a_k) \right\rangle + \left\langle \chi_1 \left( \partial R / \partial b_k \right), \chi_2(b_k) \right\rangle$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \left\langle \chi_1 \left( \partial R / \partial c_i \right), \chi_2(c_i) \right\rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \left\langle \chi_1(c_i^{-1}), P_{2i} \right\rangle,$$

(14)

where

$$\partial R / \partial c_i = R_{g+i-1},$$

and $P_{2i} \in \mathcal{P}_2$ are given by

$$\chi_2(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot P_{2i} - P_{2i}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_i = \langle c_i \rangle, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m + n.$$

As in the previous case, the right-hand side of (14) depends only on cohomology classes $[\chi_1], [\chi_2] \in H^1_{par}(\Gamma, G_{Ad\rho})$. For details and the proof that it defines a symplectic form on $\mathcal{K}$ we refer to [10, 11, 14, 17].

2.5. The holomorphic map $Q : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{K}$. The holomorphic map $Q : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{K}$ is defined as follows. Let $(q, [\mu]) \in \mathcal{M}$, where $q \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{H}^\mu, \Gamma^\mu)$. On $\mathbb{H}^\mu = w^\mu(\mathbb{H})$ consider the Schwarz equation

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = q,$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ stands for the Schwarzian derivative,

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2.$$

Its solution, the developing map $f : \mathbb{H}^\mu \to \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, satisfies

$$f \circ \gamma^\mu = \rho(\gamma) \circ f \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma^\mu = w^\mu \circ \gamma \circ (w^\mu)^{-1} \in \Gamma^\mu,$$

and determines $[\rho] \in \text{Hom}_0(\Gamma, G)/G$.

Indeed, $f$ can be obtained as a ratio of two linearly independent solutions of the differential equation

$$\psi'' + \frac{1}{2} q(z) \psi = 0.$$

Since $q$ is a cusp form of weight 4 for $\Gamma^\mu$, a simple application of the Frobenius method (e.g., see [15]) to (16) at cusps and elliptic fixed points shows that $\rho$ preserves traces of parabolic and elliptic generators of $\Gamma$. Namely, the substitution $\zeta = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} z}$ sends the cusp $\infty$ to $\zeta = 0$ and transforms (16) to a second order linear differential equation with regular singular point at $\zeta = 0$.

The characteristic equation has a double root $r = 0$, which corresponds to a parabolic monodromy, and similar analysis applies to elliptic fixed points.
Since the representation $\rho$ is irreducible \([9, 20]\), we have $[\rho] \in \mathcal{K}$, which allows us to define the holomorphic map $Q$ by

$$\mathcal{M} \ni (q, [\mu]) \mapsto Q(q, [\mu]) = [\rho] \in \mathcal{K}.$$  

**Remark 4.** Besides the holomorphic embedding $T \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ given by the zero section, there is a smooth non-holomorphic embedding $\iota: T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, given by

$$T \ni [\mu] \mapsto (\mathcal{S}(h_{\mu}), [\mu]) \in \mathcal{M},$$

where $h_{\mu} = w_{\mu} \circ (w^\mu)^{-1}$ (see Sect. 2.1.2). The image of the smooth curve $\{[t]\}$ on $T$ under the map $F = Q \circ \iota$ — the curve $\{\Gamma_t\}$ on $\mathcal{K}$ — lies in the real subvariety $\mathcal{K}_R$ of $\mathcal{K}$, the character variety for $G_R = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

### 3. Differential of the map $Q$

#### 3.1. The set-up.
Consider a smooth curve $\theta(t)$ on $\mathcal{M}$, defined in Sect. 2.2.1. Its image under the map $Q$ is a smooth curve on $\mathcal{K}$, given by the family $\{[\rho_t]\}$, where $[\rho_0] = [\rho] = Q(q, 0) \in \mathcal{K}$. According to Sect. 2.5,

$$\rho_t(\gamma) = f_t \circ \gamma^t \circ (f_t)^{-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma^t \in \Gamma^t.$$

The maps $f^t : \mathbb{H}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(f^t) = q^t,$$

where $f^0 = f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = q$$

and

$$f \circ \gamma = \rho(\gamma) \circ f \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Put $g^t = f^t \circ w^{t\mu} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. It follows from (17) that

$$\mathcal{S}(g^t) = \mathcal{S}(f^t) \circ w^{t\mu}(w_z^{t\mu})^2 + \mathcal{S}(w^{t\mu}) = q(t) + \mathcal{S}(w^{t\mu}),$$

where $q(t)$ is a non-holomorphic form of weight 4 for $\Gamma$, given by (3). Differentiating with respect to $t$ at $t = 0$ the equation

$$g^t \circ \gamma = \rho^t(\gamma) \circ g^t$$

we get

$$\dot{g} \circ \gamma = \rho(\gamma) \circ \dot{f} + \rho(\gamma) \circ f \dot{g},$$

and using the equation

$$\rho(\gamma) \circ f f' = f' \circ \gamma \rho' \rho,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} \circ \gamma = \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} + \frac{1}{f'} \frac{\dot{\rho}(\gamma)}{(\rho(\gamma))'} \circ f.$$

For the corresponding cocycle $\chi$, representing a tangent vector to the curve $[\rho^t]$ at $t = 0$, we have

$$\chi(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma) \circ \rho(\gamma)^{-1} = \frac{-\dot{\rho}(\gamma^{-1})}{(\rho(\gamma)^{-1})'}. $$
so that
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{f'} \chi(\gamma^{-1}) \circ f = \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} - \frac{1}{\gamma'} \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} \circ \gamma.
\end{equation}

Indeed, it immediately follows from (19) that \(\chi \in Z^1(\Gamma, g_{\text{Ad}}\rho)\). To show that \(\chi\) is a parabolic cocycle, it is sufficient to check it for the subgroup \(\Gamma_{\infty}\) generated by \(\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\), which corresponds to the cusp at \(\infty\). We can assume that the maps \(f^t\) fix \(\infty\), so that the maps \(g^t = f^t \circ \omega^\mu\) also have this property,
\[
g^t(z + 1) = g^t(z) + c(t).
\]
Thus \(\dot{g}(z + 1) = \dot{g}(z) + c\) and \(\chi(\tau) = \dot{c}\). Whence there is \(P \in \mathcal{P}_2\) such that \(\chi(\tau) = P \circ \tau - P\).

3.2. Differential equation and the \(\Lambda\)-operator. From (18) it is easy to obtain a differential equation for \(\dot{g}\). Namely, differentiate equation (18) with respect to \(t\) at \(t = 0\). Using \(g^0 = f\) and \(\omega^{\mu\nu} = 0\) for \(\mu \in \Omega^{-1,1}(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)\), which follows from classic Ahlfors’ formula in [1], we get
\[
\dot{q} = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \mathcal{S}(g^t) = \frac{\dot{g}_{zzz}}{f'} - \frac{3}{f'^2} \dot{g}_z + \left(3 \frac{f''}{f'^3} - \frac{f'''}{f'^2}\right) \dot{g}.
\]
Since \(q = \mathcal{S}(f)\), a simple computation shows that this equation can be written neatly as follows
\begin{equation}
\Lambda_q \left( \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} \right) = \dot{q},
\end{equation}
where \(\Lambda_q\) is the following linear differential operator of the third order,
\[
\Lambda_q(F)(z) = F_{zzz} + 2q(z)F_z + q'(z)F.
\]
In case \(q = 0\) the operator \(\Lambda_0\) is just a third derivative operator. The \(\Lambda\)-operator is classical and goes back to Appell (see [22, Example 10 in §14.7]). Its basic properties are summarized below.

\textbf{A1.} If \(\psi_1\) and \(\psi_2\) are solutions of the ordinary differential equation (16), then
\[
\Lambda_q(\psi_1 \psi_2) = 0.
\]
Since for \(q = \mathcal{S}(f)\) one can always choose \(\psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f'}}\) and \(\psi_2 = \frac{f}{\sqrt{f'}}\),
\[
\Lambda_q \left( \frac{P \circ f}{f'} \right) = 0
\]
for every \(P \in \mathcal{P}_2\).

\textbf{A2.} If a function \(h\) satisfies \(\Lambda_0(h) = p\) and \(f\) is holomorphic and locally schlicht, then \(H = \frac{h \circ f}{f'}\) satisfies
\[
\Lambda_q(H) = P,
\]
where \(q = \mathcal{S}(f)\) and \(P = p \circ f(f')^2\).
A3. If \( q \circ \gamma (\gamma')^2 = q \) for some \( \gamma \in G \), then
\[
\Lambda_q \left( \frac{F \circ \gamma}{\gamma'} \right) = \Lambda_q (F) \circ \gamma (\gamma')^2.
\]

A4. The general solution of the equation
\[
\Lambda_q (G) = Q,
\]
where \( q = \mathcal{S} (f) \) and \( Q \) is holomorphic on \( \mathbb{H} \), is given by
\[
G(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{z_0}^z (x - y)^2 Q(u) du + \frac{1}{f'(z)}(af(z)^2 + bf(z) + c),
\]
where \( a, b, c \) are arbitrary anti-holomorphic functions of \( z \).

A5.
\[
\Lambda_q (F) G + FA_q (G) = (B_q [F, G])_z,
\]
where the bilinear form \( B_q \) is given by
\[
B_q [F, G] = F_{zz} + FG_{zz} - F_z G_z + 2q(z) FG.
\]
All these properties are well-known and can be verified by direct computation. In particular, property A4, according to A2, follows from case \( q = 0 \), when the equation \( \Lambda_0 (G) = Q \) is readily solved by
\[
G(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{z_0}^z (z - u)^2 Q(u) du + az^2 + bz + c.
\]

Bilinear form \( B_q \), introduced in A5, will play an important role in our approach. It has the following properties.

B1. We have
\[
B_q \left[ \frac{F \circ f}{f'}, \frac{G \circ f}{f'} \right] = B_0 [F, G] \circ f,
\]
where \( q = \mathcal{S} (f) \). In general,
\[
(B_{\mathcal{S} (f_1)} [F, G]) \circ f_2 = B_{\mathcal{S} (f_1 \circ f_2)} \left[ \frac{F \circ f_2}{f'_2}, \frac{G \circ f_2}{f'_2} \right].
\]

B2. If \( q \circ \gamma (\gamma')^2 = q \) for some \( \gamma \in G \), then
\[
B_q [F, G] \circ \gamma = B_q \left[ \frac{F \circ \gamma}{\gamma'}, \frac{G \circ \gamma}{\gamma'} \right].
\]

B3. If \( (F \circ \gamma) \overline{\gamma'} = F \) for some \( \gamma \in G \), then
\[
B[F, G] - B[F, G] \circ \gamma \overline{\gamma'} = B[F, H], \quad \text{where} \quad H = G - \frac{G \circ \gamma}{\gamma'}.
\]
3.3. The differential. We summarize the obtained results in the following statement.

**Lemma 1.** Let \((\dot{\theta}, \mu) \in T_{(q,0)} M\), where \(\dot{\theta} = P_2(\dot{q})\), be a tangent vector corresponding to a curve \(\{q^t\}\). For a representative \(\chi\) of the cohomology class 
\[
[\chi] = dQ|_{(q,0)} (\dot{\theta}, \mu) \in H^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}_{\text{Ad}P}),
\]
we have 
\[
\frac{1}{f'} \chi(\gamma^{-1}) \circ f = \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} - \frac{1}{\gamma' f'} \circ \gamma,
\]
where \(\frac{\dot{g}}{f'}\) satisfies 
\[
\Lambda \left( \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} \right) = \dot{q}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} \right) = \mu.
\]

**Proof.** It remains only to check the last equation. Since \(g^t = f^t \circ w^\mu\), it follows from the Beltrami equation for \(w^\mu\) that on \(\mathbb{H}\) the function \(g^t\) satisfies 
\[
g^t_{\bar{z}} = t\mu g^t_z,
\]
and therefore 
\[
\dot{g}_{\bar{z}} = \mu f',
\]
i.e. 
\[
(21) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} \right) = \mu. \quad \square
\]

**Remark 5.** We have 
\[
\Lambda(\mu) = \dot{q},
\]
which is a compatibility condition of equations (20) and (21). It can be also verified directly by differentiating the equation 
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - t\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - 2t\mu_z \right) q(t) = 0
\]
at \(t = 0\), 
\[
\dot{q}_z = 2q\mu_z + q'\mu = \Lambda(\mu).
\]

**Corollary 1.** The function \(\frac{\dot{g}}{f'}\) is given by the following formula 
\[
\frac{\dot{g}(z)}{f'(z)} = \dot{w}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{z_0}^z \frac{f(z) - f(u)^2}{f'(z)f'(u)} \tilde{q}(u) du + \frac{P(f(z))}{f'(z)},
\]
where \(P \in P_2\) and \(\tilde{q} = \dot{q} - \Lambda(\dot{w}) = \dot{q} - 2q\dot{w} - q'\dot{w}\).

**Proof.** It follows from properties \(\text{A1}\) and \(\text{A4}\), since the holomorphic function \(\frac{\dot{g}}{f'} - \dot{w}\) satisfies 
\[
\Lambda \left( \frac{\dot{g}}{f'} - \dot{w} \right) = \tilde{q}. \quad \square
Remark 6. Similarly to Wolpert’s formulas [24] for Bers and Eichler-Shimura cocycles, from Corollary 1 one can obtain an explicit formula for the parabolic cocycle $\chi \in Z^1_{\text{par}}(\Gamma, g_{\text{Ad}\rho})$.

**Corollary 2.** For every cusp $\alpha$ for $\Gamma$ there is $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_2$ such that

$$\frac{\dot{g}(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{P_\alpha(f(z))}{f'(z)} + O(e^{-c_\alpha \text{Im}\, \sigma_\alpha z}) \quad \text{as} \quad \text{Im}\, \sigma_\alpha z \to \infty,$$

where $\sigma_\alpha \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is such that $\sigma_\alpha(\alpha) = \infty$ and $c_\alpha > 0$.

**Proof.** It follows from Remark 1 and Lemma 1 (or from Corollary 1). \(\Box\)

**Remark 7.** For the family $q^t = \mathcal{J}(h_{t\mu})$, introduced in Remark 4, we have $g^t = w_{t\mu}$ and $\dot{q} = \dot{g}_{zzz}$. It follows from classic Ahlfors’ formula in [1] that

$$\dot{q} = -\frac{1}{2}q, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu = y^2 \bar{q}.$$ 

Thus

$$(d\lambda|_0)(\mu) = (-\frac{1}{2}q, \mu) \in T_0\mathcal{M},$$

and it follows from (1) that

$$\iota^*(\omega) = \sqrt{-1} \omega_{WP}.$$ 

4. Computation of the symplectic form

4.1. The fundamental domain. Here we recall the definition of a canonical fundamental domain for the Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ (see [13] and references therein).

4.1.1. In case $m = n = 0$ choose $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and standard generators $a_k, b_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, g$. The oriented canonical fundamental domain $F$ with the base point $z_0$ is a topological $4g$-gon whose ordered vertices are given by the consecutive quadruples

$$(R_k z_0, R_k a_{k+1} z_0, R_k a_{k+1} b_{k+1} z_0, R_k a_{k+1} b_{k+1} a_{k+1}^{-1} z_0), \quad k = 0, \ldots, g - 1.$$ 

Corresponding $A$ and $B$ edges of $F$ are analytic arcs $A_k = (R_{k-1} z_0, R_{k-1} a_k z_0)$ and $B_k = (R_k z_0, R_k b_k z_0), \quad k = 1, \ldots, g$, and corresponding dual edges are $A_k' = (R_k b_k z_0, R_k b_k a_k z_0)$ and $B_k' = (R_{k-1} a_k z_0, R_k b_k a_k z_0)$ (see Fig. 1 for a typical fundamental domain for a group $\Gamma$ of genus 2).
We have
\[ \partial F = \sum_{k=1}^{g} (A_k - B_k - A'_k + B'_k). \]

Here
\[ A_k = \alpha_k(A'_k) \quad \text{and} \quad B_k = \beta_k(B'_k), \]
where \( \alpha_k = R_{k-1}b_k^{-1}R_k^{-1} \) and \( \beta_k = R_{k-1}a_k^{-1}R_k^{-1} \). They satisfy
\[ [\alpha_k, \beta_k] = R_{k-1}R_k^{-1}, \]
so that
\[ \mathcal{R}_k = \prod_{i=1}^{k}(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = R_k^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{k=1}^{g} \alpha_k \beta_k \alpha_k^{-1} \beta_k^{-1} = 1. \]

The generators \( \alpha_k, \beta_k, k = 1, \ldots, g \), are dual generators of \( \Gamma \), introduced by A. Weil [21] (see also [12]), and
\[ a_k^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_k \beta_k \mathcal{R}_{k-1}^{-1}, \quad b_k^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_{k-1} \alpha_k \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}. \]

We have \( A_k = (\mathcal{R}_{k-1}^{-1}z_0, \beta_k^{-1}R_k^{-1}z_0), B_k = (\mathcal{R}_k^{-1}z_0, \alpha_k^{-1}R_k^{-1}z_0) \) and
\[ \partial F = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} (S_i - \lambda_i(S_i)), \]
where \( S_k = A_k, S_{k+g} = -B_k \) and \( \lambda_k = \alpha_k^{-1}, \lambda_{k+g} = \beta_k^{-1}, k = 1, \ldots, g. \)

**Remark 8.** The ordering of vertices of \( F \) for the dual generators corresponds to the opposite orientation, so that (cf. (11))
\[ c = -\sum_{k=1}^{g} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \alpha_k}, \alpha_k \right) + \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \beta_k}, \beta_k \right) \right\}. \]
4.1.2. In general case \( m + n > 0 \), oriented canonical fundamental domain \( F \) with the base point \( z_0 \) is a \((4g + 2m + 2n)\)-gon whose ordered vertices are given by the consecutive quadruples

\[
(R_k z_0, R_k a_{k+1} z_0, R_k a_{k+1} b_{k+1} z_0, R_k a_{k+1} b_{k+1} a_{k+1}^{-1} z_0), \quad k = 0, \ldots, g - 1,
\]
followed by the consecutive triples \((R_{g+i-1} z_0, z_i, R_{g+i} z_0), i = 1, \ldots, m + n\).

Here \( z_i \in \mathbb{H}, i = 1, \ldots, m \), are fixed points of the elliptic elements

\[
\gamma_i = R_{g+i-1} c_{i-1} R_{g+i-1}^{-1},
\]
and \( z_{m+j} \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \ldots, n \), are fixed points of the parabolic elements

\[
\gamma_{m+j} = R_{g+m+j-1} c_{m+j}^{-1} R_{g+m+j-1}^{-1}
\]
(see Fig. 2 for a typical fundamental domain of group \( \Gamma \) of signature \((1; 1, 6)\), where \( z_1 \) is elliptic fixed point of order 6 and \( z_2 \) is a cusp).
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We have

\[
\partial F = \sum_{k=1}^{g} (A_k - B_k - A'_k + B'_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} (C_i - C'_i),
\]
where

\[
C_i = (R_{g+i-1} z_0, z_i), \quad C'_i = (R_{g+i} z_0, z_i), \quad C_i = \gamma_i(C'_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m + n.
\]

The generators \( \alpha, \beta, k = 1, \ldots, g \), and \( \gamma_i, i = 1, \ldots, m + n \), are dual generators of \( \Gamma \) satisfying

\[
\mathcal{R}_g \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{m+n} = 1.
\]

We have \( C_i = (R_{g+i-1}^{-1} z_0, z_i) \) and

\[
(22) \quad \partial F = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (S_k - \lambda_k(S_k)), \quad N = 2g + m + n,
\]
where $S_{2g+1} = C_i$, $\lambda_{2g+1} = \gamma_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m + n$.

4.2. The main formula. Here we obtain another representation for the symplectic form $\omega$. Put $F^Y = \{ z \in F : \text{Im}(\sigma_j^{-1}) \leq Y, j = 1, \ldots, n \}$, where $\sigma_j^{-1}(x_j) = \infty$, and denote by $H_j(Y)$ corresponding horocycles in $F$. We have

$$\omega((\hat{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\hat{\theta}_2, \mu_2)) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \lim_{Y \to \infty} \int_{F^Y} (\dot{q}_1 \mu_2 - \dot{q}_2 \mu_1) dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

**Lemma 2.** The symplectic form $\omega$, evaluated on two tangent vectors $(\hat{\theta}_1, \mu_1)$ and $(\hat{\theta}_2, \mu_2)$ corresponding to the curves $\theta_1(t)$ and $\theta_2(t)$, is given by

$$\omega((\hat{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\hat{\theta}_2, \mu_2)) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \int_{\partial F} \left\{ \left( \dot{q}_2 \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} - \dot{q}_1 \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} \right) dz + \left( B_q \left[ \mu_2, \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} \right] - B_q \left[ \mu_1, \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} \right] \right) d\bar{z} \right\}.$$

**Proof.** Denote the 1-form under the integral by $\vartheta$. We have, using Lemma 1,

$$d\vartheta = \left( \dot{q}_2 \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} + \dot{q}_2 \left( \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} \right)_z - \dot{q}_1 \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} - \dot{q}_1 \left( \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} \right)_z \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \left( \Lambda_q(\mu_2) \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} + \mu_2 \Lambda_q \left( \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} \right) - \Lambda_q(\mu_1) \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} - \mu_1 \Lambda_q \left( \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} \right) \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z}$$

$$= \left( \dot{q}_2 \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} + \dot{q}_2 \mu_1 - \dot{q}_1 \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} - \dot{q}_1 \mu_2 \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \left( \dot{q}_2 \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'} + \mu_2 \dot{q}_1 - \dot{q}_1 \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'} - \mu_1 \dot{q}_2 \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 2(\dot{q}_1 \mu_2 - \dot{q}_2 \mu_1) dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Since due to exponential decay of $\dot{q}_1, \dot{q}_2$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2$ at the cusps the integrals over horocycles $H_j(Y)$ tend to 0 as $Y \to \infty$, by Stokes' theorem we get (4). \hfill $\Box$

The line integral over $\partial F$ in Lemma 2 can be evaluated explicitly.

**Proposition 1.** We have

$$\omega((\hat{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\hat{\theta}_2, \mu_2)) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_1(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] - B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] \right)_{\partial S_i(1)}.$$
Proof. Using Lemma 2, formula (22), Lemma 1 and property B3, we get
\[
\frac{4}{\sqrt{-1}} \omega((\dot{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\dot{\theta}_2, \mu_2))
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \int_{S_i} - \int_{\lambda_i(S_i)} \right) \left\{ \left( \frac{\dot{q}_1}{f^2} - \dot{q}_2 \right) dz + \left( B_q \left[ \mu_2, \frac{\dot{q}_1}{f^2} \right] - B_q \left[ \mu_1, \frac{\dot{q}_2}{f^2} \right] \right) d\bar{z} \right\}
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_i} \left\{ \left( \dot{q}_2 \frac{1}{f} \chi_1(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f - \dot{q}_1 \frac{1}{f^2} \chi_2(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right) dz + \right.
\]
\[
+ \left. B_q \left[ \mu_2, \frac{1}{f} \chi_1(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] - B_q \left[ \mu_1, \frac{1}{f^2} \chi_2(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] \right) d\bar{z} \right\}.
\]

Using Lemma 1 and properties A1 and A5, we obtain
\[
B_q \left[ \mu, \frac{1}{f} \chi(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{q}}{f^2}, \frac{1}{f} \chi(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right]
\]
and
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{q}}{f^2}, \frac{1}{f} \chi(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] = \Lambda_q \left( \frac{\dot{q}}{f^2} \right) \frac{1}{f^2} \chi(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f = \dot{q} \frac{1}{f^2} \chi(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f.
\]
Since
\[
\Phi_\perp dz = d\Phi - \Phi_\perp dz,
\]
we finally get (note how the signs match)
\[
\frac{4}{\sqrt{-1}} \omega((\dot{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\dot{\theta}_2, \mu_2))
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{S_i} \left( dB_q \left[ \frac{\dot{q}_2}{f^2}, \frac{1}{f} \chi_1(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] - dB_q \left[ \frac{\dot{q}_1}{f^2}, \frac{1}{f^2} \chi_2(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{q}_2}{f^2}, \frac{1}{f} \chi_1(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] - B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{q}_1}{f^2}, \frac{1}{f^2} \chi_2(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] \right) \right|_{\partial S_i(1)} - \right|_{\partial S_i(0)}.
\]

According to Corollary 2, \( B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{q}}{f^2}, \frac{1}{f} \chi(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] (z) \) has a limit as \( z \) approaches the cusps for \( \Gamma \).

4.3. Main result.

**Theorem 1.** The pull-back of the Goldman symplectic form on \( \mathcal{X} \) by the map \( \mathcal{Q} \) is \( \sqrt{-1} \) times canonical symplectic form on \( \mathcal{M} \),
\[
\omega = -\sqrt{-1} \mathcal{Q}^*(\omega_G).
\]

**Proof.** Since the choice of a base point for \( \mathcal{T} \) is inessential (see Sect. 2.1.2), it is sufficient to compute the pullback only for the points in \( \mathcal{Q}(q, 0) \). For the
convenience of the reader, consider first the case $m = n = 0$, when $N = 2g$.

Using property B2 and equations (7)–(8), we have for arbitrary $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\alpha) \circ f \right] (\beta z_0) = B_q \left[ \frac{1}{\beta'} \left( \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'} \right) \circ \beta, \frac{1}{\beta'} \left( \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\alpha) \circ f \right) \circ \beta \right] (z_0)
= B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'} - \frac{1}{f'} \chi_1(\beta^{-1}) \circ f, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\beta^{-1} \alpha) \circ f - \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\beta^{-1}) \circ f \right] (z_0)
= B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} (\chi_2(\beta^{-1} \alpha) - \chi_2(\beta^{-1})) \circ f \right] (z_0)
+ B_0 [\chi_1(\beta^{-1}), \chi_2(\beta^{-1}) - \chi_2(\beta^{-1} \alpha)] (z_0).
$$

Using (5), (7) and $\text{Ad} \rho$ invariance of the Killing form, we obtain

$$B_0 [\chi_1(\beta^{-1}), \chi_2(\beta^{-1}) - \chi_2(\beta^{-1} \alpha)] (z_0) = 2 \langle \chi_1(\beta^{-1}), \rho(\beta^{-1}) \chi_2(\alpha) \rangle
= -2 \langle \chi_1(\beta), \chi_2(\alpha) \rangle,$$

so that

$$B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\alpha) \circ f \right] (\beta z_0)$$

$$= B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} (\chi_2(\beta^{-1} \alpha) - \chi_2(\beta^{-1})) \circ f \right] (z_0) - 2 \langle \chi_1(\beta), \chi_2(\alpha) \rangle. \tag{23}$$

Now for $i = k$ using (23) for $\alpha = \alpha_k, \beta = \beta_k^{-1} \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}$ and $\alpha = \alpha_k, \beta = \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}$, we obtain

$$B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\chi_k^{-1}) \circ f \right] \bigg|_{\partial \mathcal{S}_k(1)}$$

$$= B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} (\chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k \beta_k \alpha_k) - \chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k \beta_k) - \chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k^{-1} \alpha_k) + \chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k^{-1})) \circ f \right] (z_0)
- 2 \langle \chi_1(\beta_k^{-1} \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}) - \chi_1(\mathcal{R}_k^{-1}), \chi_2(\alpha_k) \rangle.
$$

For $i = k + g$ we use $\alpha = \beta_k, \beta = \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}$ and $\alpha = \beta_k, \beta = \alpha_k^{-1} \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}$ to compute

$$B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\lambda_k^{-1}) \circ f \right] \bigg|_{\partial \mathcal{S}_{i+k}(1)}$$

$$= B_q \left[ \frac{\hat{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} (\chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k \beta_k) - \chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k) - \chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k^{-1} \alpha_k \beta_k) + \chi_2(\mathcal{R}_k^{-1} \alpha_k)) \circ f \right] (z_0)
- 2 \langle \chi_1(\mathcal{R}_k^{-1}) - \chi_1(\alpha_k^{-1} \mathcal{R}_k^{-1}), \chi_2(\beta_k) \rangle.
$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_{k-1} \alpha_k \beta_k = \mathcal{R}_k \beta_k \alpha_k$ and $\mathcal{R}_g = 1$, we see that the sum over $k$ of terms in the second lines in equations (24)–(25) vanishes. Using (12)–(13)
and Remark 8, we get

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2g} B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] \bigg|_{\partial S_{i}(0)} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left( (\chi_1(R_{k-1}^{-1}) - \chi_1(\beta_k^{-1}R_k^{-1}), \chi_2(\alpha_k)) + \langle \chi_1(\alpha_k^{-1}R_{k-1}^{-1}) - \chi_1(R_k^{-1}), \chi_2(\beta_k) \rangle \right) = 2\omega_G(\chi_1, \chi_2).
\]

Similarly,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2g} B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_2}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_1(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] \bigg|_{\partial S_{i}(0)} = -2\omega_G(\chi_2, \chi_1)
\]

and we finally obtain

\[
\omega((\dot{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\dot{\theta}_2, \mu_2)) = -\sqrt{-1}\omega_G(\chi_1, \chi_2).
\]

In general, assume that \(m + n > 0\). In this case

\[
(26) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2g} B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\lambda_i^{-1}) \circ f \right] \bigg|_{\partial S_{i}(0)} = -B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(R_g) \circ f \right] (z_0)
\]

\[+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^{g} \left( (\chi_1(R_{k-1}^{-1}) - \chi_1(\beta_k^{-1}R_k^{-1}), \chi_2(\alpha_k)) + \langle \chi_1(\alpha_k^{-1}R_{k-1}^{-1}) - \chi_1(R_k^{-1}), \chi_2(\beta_k) \rangle \right),
\]

and we need to compute

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\gamma_i) \circ f \right] \bigg|_{\partial S_{i}(0)} = B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\gamma_i) \circ f \right] (R_{g+i-1}^{-1}z_0)
\]

Using (23) with \(\alpha = \gamma_i\) and \(\beta = R_{g+i-1}^{-1}\), we get

\[
B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} \chi_2(\gamma_i) \circ f \right] (R_{g+i-1}^{-1}z_0) = B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f'}, \frac{1}{f'} (\chi_2(R_{g+i}) - \chi_2(R_{g+i-1})) \circ f \right] (z_0) + 2\langle \chi_1(R_{g+i-1}^{-1}), \chi_2(\gamma_i) \rangle.
\]

Since restriction of \(\chi_2\) to the stabilizer \(\Gamma_i = \langle \gamma_i \rangle\) of a fixed point \(z_i\) is a coboundary, there is \(P_{2i} \in \mathcal{P}_2\) such that

\[
\chi_2(\gamma_i) = \rho(\gamma_i)P_{2i} - P_{2i}.
\]
Using property $\mathbf{B2}$, $\gamma_i z_i = z_i$ and (5), we get

$$B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f}, \frac{1}{f} \chi_2(\gamma_i) \circ f \right] (z_i) = B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f}, \frac{1}{f} \chi_2(\gamma_i^{-1}) \right] \left( \frac{1}{f} P_2i \circ f \right) \circ \gamma_i^{-1} - \frac{1}{f} P_2i \circ f \right] (z_i)$$

$$= B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f} \circ \gamma_i - \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f}, \frac{1}{f} P_2i \circ f \right] (z_i)$$

$$= -B_0 [\chi_1(\gamma_i^{-1}), P_{2i}] (z_i) = 2(\chi_1(\gamma_i^{-1}), P_{2i}).$$

Thus using $\mathcal{R}_{g+m+n} = 1$ we obtain

$$(27) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f}, \frac{1}{f} \chi_2(\gamma_i) \circ f \right] (z_i) = B_q \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_1}{f}, \frac{1}{f} \chi_2(\mathcal{R}_g) \circ f \right] (z_0) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \left( \langle \chi_1(\mathcal{R}_g^{-1-i}(\gamma_i)), \chi_2(\gamma_i) \rangle + \langle \chi_1(\gamma_i^{-1}), P_{2i} \rangle \right).$$

Putting together formulas (26)–(27) and using (14)–(15), we finally obtain

$$\omega((\hat{\theta}_1, \mu_1), (\hat{\theta}_2, \mu_2)) = -\sqrt{-1} \omega_G(\chi_1, \chi_2).$$

\[\square\]

\textbf{Remark 9.} The above computation is a non-abelian analog of Riemann bilinear relations, which arise from the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^1(X, \mathbb{C})/\mathcal{H}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_{\text{ab}},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ is the complex vector space of harmonic 1-forms on $X$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ab}} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2g}$ is the complex torus — a character variety for the abelian group $G = \mathbb{C}^*$.

Combining Theorem 1 and Remark 7, we get a generalization of Goldman’s theorem [6, §2.5] for the case of orbifold Riemann surfaces.

\textbf{Corollary 3.} The pullback of the Goldman symplectic form on the character variety $\mathcal{K}_R$ by the map $\mathcal{F}$ is a symplectic form of the Weil-Petersson metric on $\mathcal{T}$,

$$\omega_{\text{WP}} = \mathcal{F}^*(\omega_G).$$
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