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Abstract

The Tutte polynomial is originally a bivariate polynomial associated to a graph in order to enumerate the colorings of this graph and of its dual graph at the same time. However the Tutte polynomial reveals more of the internal structure of a graph, like its number of forests and number of spanning subgraphs, and contains even other specializations from other sciences like the Jones polynomial in Knot theory, the partition function of the Pott model in statistical physics, and the reliability polynomial in network theory. In 2007, Ardila defined the Tutte polynomial on more general objects which are the real hyperplane arrangements. Computing the Tutte polynomial of a graph is indeed equivalent to computing the Tutte polynomial of its graphic hyperplane arrangement. He computed the Tutte polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the classical Weyl groups at the same time. De Concini and Procesi completed his results by computing the Tutte polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the exceptional Weyl groups one year later. At the beginning of 2017, Randriamaro introduced a wider class of hyperplane arrangements in the Euclidean spaces called symmetric hyperplane arrangements. He computed the Tutte polynomial of a symmetric hyperplane arrangement, which permits to deduce the Tutte polynomials of particular hyperplane arrangements like the Catalan, the Shi threshold, and the $I_n$ arrangements. In this article, we propose to extend the investigation to the complex hyperplane arrangements. We compute the Tutte polynomial of a symmetric hyperplane arrangement in a Hermitian space. We particularly dedicate a section to the special subclass of colored symmetric hyperplane arrangements. From their Tutte polynomials, we deduce the Tutte polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements associated to the imprimitive reflection groups.
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Notation

We gather the used notations in this section, so that the reader immediately knows where to find their meanings.
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Sets

\( \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}, \) and \( \mathbb{F}_q \) are the usually sets of positive integers, integers, complex numbers, and field of order \( q \) respectively. Let \( \mathbb{U}_m \) be the group of all \( m \)th roots of unity. For a primitive \( m \)th root of unity \( \zeta_m \), denote by \( l_m \) the dimension of the module \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m] \):

- If \( m \) is odd, \( l_m = m \).
- If \( m \) is even, \( l_m = \frac{m}{2} \).

Let \( z_1, \ldots, z_n \) be \( n \) complex variables, \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) an element of the Hermitian space \( \mathbb{C}^n \), and \( c_1, \ldots, c_n, d \) \( n + 1 \) complex coefficients such that \( (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \neq (0, \ldots, 0) \). A hyperplane \( H \) of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) is an affine subspace \( H := \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid c_1z_1 + \cdots + c_nz_n = d \} \). For simplicity, we just write \( H := \{ c_1z_1 + \cdots + c_nz_n = d \} \).

Special Functions

Consider a finite set \( S \), and take an element \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \) of \( S^n \).

Define the function \( S(v) := \{ v_1, \ldots, v_n \} \), and extend it to multisets \( M \) of \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^n \) by

\[
S(M) := \bigcup_{v \in M} S(v).
\]

For an element \( t \) in \( S \), denote by \( o_t(v) \) its number of occurrences in \( v \). Define the integer sequence \( c(v) \) indexed by \( S \) by

\[
c(v) := (o_t(v))_{t \in S}.
\]

\( \mathbb{N}^S \) is the set of integer sequences \( a = (a_t)_{t \in S} \) indexed by \( S \). We write

\[
\binom{n}{a} \quad \text{for the multinomial} \quad \binom{n}{(a_t)_{t \in S}},
\]

and \( \|a\| \) for the norm

\[
\|a\| := \sum_{t \in S} a_t.
\]

Define the function \( f : \mathbb{N}^S \times S^n \to \mathbb{N} \) by

\[
f(a, v) := \prod_{t \in S(v)} \binom{a_t}{o_t(v)}.
\]

Extend this function to multisets \( M \) of \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^n \) by

\[
f(a, M) := \sum_{v \in M} f(a, v).
\]

Take an element \( u \) in \( S \) and a positive integer \( m \). Define the function \( f^{(m)}_u : \mathbb{N}^S \times S^n \to \mathbb{N} \) by

\[
f^{(m)}_u(a, v) = \begin{cases} 
    m^{n-1}(a_u) & \text{if } o_u(v) = n \\
    m^{o_u(v)}f(a, v) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
Extend this function to multisets $M$ of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^n$ by

$$f^{(m)}_u(a, M) := \sum_{v \in M} f^{(m)}_u(a, v).$$

Finally, define the set $\mathbb{U}_m \ast v$ by

$$\mathbb{U}_m \ast v := \{(\xi_1 v_1, \ldots, \xi_n v_n) \mid \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{U}_m\}.$$

More generally, for a multiset $M$ of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^n$, define the multiset

$$\mathbb{U}_m \ast M := \bigcup_{v \in M} \mathbb{U}_m \ast v.$$

1 Introduction

A graph coloring corresponds to a way of coloring the vertices so that two adjacent of them are colored differently. Tutte proved in 1954 that the polynomial enumerating the colorings of a graph, as well as the polynomial enumerating the colorings of the dual graph are partial evaluations of a single polynomial in two variables [8, 3. The dichromate of a graph]: it is the Tutte polynomial. However, this polynomial reveals more of the internal structure of the graph. For examples, one can also deduce the number of forests, the number of spanning subgraphs, and the number of acyclic orientations of a graph from its Tutte polynomial.

In this article, we investigate the Tutte polynomials of more general objects which are the hyperplane arrangements. Indeed, determining the Tutte polynomial of a graph is equivalent to determining the Tutte polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement called graphic arrangement.

A hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is a finite set of hyperplanes. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is said central if the hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$ have a nonempty intersection. A subarrangement of $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{A}$. Write $\cap \mathcal{B}$ the intersection of the hyperplanes in a subarrangement $\mathcal{B}$. The rank function $r$ is defined for each central subarrangement $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by $r(\mathcal{B}) = n - \dim \cap \mathcal{B}$. This function is extended to the function $r : 2^\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{N}$ by defining the rank of a noncentral subarrangement $\mathcal{B}$ to be the largest rank of a central subarrangement of $\mathcal{B}$.

Take two variables $x$ and $y$. The Tutte polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) := \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}} (x-1)^{r(\mathcal{A})-r(\mathcal{B})} (y-1)^{|\mathcal{B}| - r(\mathcal{B})}. $$

At various points and lines of the $(x, y)$-plane, the Tutte polynomial evaluates to quantities studied in diverse fields of Mathematics and Physics. For examples,

- Along the hyperbola $xy = 1$, the Tutte polynomial specializes to the Jones polynomial of an associated alternating knot [7, 3. The Tutte Polynomial and some of its Properties]. The Jones polynomial is an invariant of an oriented knot which assigns to each oriented knot a Laurent polynomial in the variable $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with integer coefficients.

- For any positive integer $q$, along the hyperbola $(x-1)(y-1) = q$, the Tutte polynomial specializes to the partition function of the $q$-state Potts model [3, 1. Introduction]. By studying the Potts model, one may gain insight into the behavior of ferromagnets and certain other phenomena of solid-state physics.
At $x = 1$, the Tutte polynomial specializes to the all-terminal reliability polynomial studied in network theory [3] V. Some well-known Invariants. The reliability polynomial is a polynomial that gives the probability that every pair of vertices of the graph remains connected after edges fail.

This work was suggested by Federico Ardila in our e-mail exchanges. He already computed the Tutte polynomials of the hyperplane arrangements corresponding to the classical Weyl groups [1] 4. Computing coboundary polynomials] in 2007. He informed me that De Concini and Procesi completed his computation by doing this for the exceptional Weyl groups [2] 3.4. Exceptional types] in 2008, and told me that it would be interesting to extend these computations to the complex reflection groups, or at least for $G(m,p,n)$. We precisely use our result to compute the Tutte polynomial of the hyperplane arrangement corresponding to the group $G(m,p,n)$ in the last section.

This work is also the continuation of a first article on the Tutte polynomials of symmetric hyperplane arrangements in Euclidean spaces. Randriamaro computed the Tutte polynomials of such arrangements [4, Theorem 4.7], and treated the Tutte polynomials of the Catalan, the Shi threshold, and the $I_n$ arrangements as examples of application. This time, we extend the previous results to the Hermitian spaces.

Recall that a permutation $\sigma$ of $n$ elements acts on the hyperplane $H = \{c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_n z_n = d\}$ by

$$\sigma \cdot H := \{c_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_n z_{\sigma(n)} = d\}.$$ 

More generally, an element $\sigma$ in the symmetric group $S_n$ acts on the hyperplane arrangement $A = \{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by $\sigma \cdot A := \{\sigma \cdot H_1, \ldots, \sigma \cdot H_m\}$.

**Definition 1.1.** A *symmetric hyperplane arrangement* or a *SH–arrangement* is a arrangement of hyperplanes $A$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that, for all colored permutation $\sigma$ in $S_n$, we have $\sigma \cdot A = A$.

We particularly study the special case of the colored symmetric hyperplane arrangements. Recall that the colored permutation group of $n$ elements with $m$ colors is the wreath product $U_m \wr S_n$, and one represents one of its elements $\pi$ by

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \xi_1 \sigma(1) & \xi_2 \sigma(2) & \cdots & \xi_n \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\xi_i \in U_m$.

The colored permutation $\pi$ acts on the hyperplane $H = \{c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_n z_n = d\}$ by

$$\pi \cdot H := \{c_1 \xi_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_n \xi_{\sigma(n)} z_{\sigma(n)} = d\}.$$ 

More generally, $\pi$ acts on the hyperplane arrangement $A = \{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as follows

$$\pi \cdot A := \{\pi \cdot H_1, \ldots, \pi \cdot H_m\}.$$ 

**Definition 1.2.** A *colored symmetric hyperplane arrangement* or a *CSH–arrangement* is a arrangement of hyperplanes $A$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that, for all colored permutation $\pi$ in $U_m \wr S_n$, we have $\pi \cdot A = A$.

We treat the example of the hyperplane arrangement associated to the group $G(m,p,n)$ defined by

$$G_{m,p,n} := \bigcup_{i \in [n]} \{z_i = 0\} \amalg \bigcup_{i,j \in [n], \xi \in U_m} \{z_i - \xi z_j = 0\}.$$ 
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Take two variables $q$ and $t$. It is useful for us to introduce a transformation of the Tutte polynomial, that we call $\zeta_m$–coboundary polynomial of the hyperplane arrangement $A$, and defined by

$$\tilde{X}_A^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) := \sum_{B \subseteq A \text{ central}} q^{d_m(r(A) - r(B))} (t - 1)^{|B|}.$$ 

Since

$$T_A(x, y) = \frac{\tilde{X}_A^{(\zeta_m)}((x - 1) \frac{1}{\zeta_m} (y - 1) \frac{1}{\zeta_m}, y)}{(y - 1)^{r(A)}},$$

computing the $\zeta_m$–coboundary polynomial is equivalent to computing the Tutte polynomial.

This article is divided as follows. We extend the finite field method to the Hermitian spaces in Section 2. We use this extension to compute the $\zeta_m$–coboundary polynomial of a SH–arrangement in Section 3. In Section 2, the $\zeta_m$–coboundary polynomial of the particular case of a CSH–arrangement is computed. It is the main part of this article. Then, we apply our results to the hyperplane arrangements corresponding to the groups $G(m, p, n)$ in Section 5.

## 2 Complex Finite Field Method

Recall that the finite field method developed by Ardila [1, Theorem 3.3] reduces the determination of the coboundary polynomial to a counting problem for the case of the real hyperplane arrangements. In this section, we extend this method to the complex hyperplane arrangements by reducing the determination of the $\zeta_m$–coboundary polynomial to a counting problem. We use it after to compute the $\zeta_m$–coboundary polynomials of the SH and the CSH–arrangements.

Let $q$ be a prime power, and $k = \sum_{i=0}^{l_m-1} k_i \zeta_m^i$ an element of the module $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$. The reduction modulo $q$ of $k$ is the element of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]$

$$\tilde{k} := \sum_{i=0}^{l_m-1} \tilde{k}_i \zeta_m^i \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{k}_i \equiv k_i \mod q.$$

For two elements $\sum_{i_1=0}^{l_m-1} \tilde{h}_{i_1} \zeta_m^{i_1}$ and $\sum_{i_2=0}^{l_m-1} \tilde{k}_{i_2} \zeta_m^{i_2}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]$, the multiplication on this ring is naturally defined by

$$\sum_{i_1=0}^{l_m-1} \tilde{h}_{i_1} \zeta_m^{i_1} \cdot \sum_{i_2=0}^{l_m-1} \tilde{k}_{i_2} \zeta_m^{i_2} := \sum_{i_1, i_2=0}^{l_m-1} \tilde{h}_{i_1} \tilde{k}_{i_2} \zeta_m^{i_1 + i_2}.$$

Take a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$–hyperplane $H = \{c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_n z_n = d\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and a prime power $q = p^k$. We say that $H$ reduces correctly over $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]$ if, modulo $q$, it induces a hyperplane in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$ denoted $\tilde{H} := \{\tilde{c}_1 \tilde{z}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{c}_n \tilde{z}_n = \tilde{d}\}$.

**Definition 2.1.** One says that a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$–hyperplane arrangement $A$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ reduces correctly over $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]$ if it induces a hyperplane arrangement $\tilde{A}$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$, formed by the induced hyperplanes of $A$ modulo $q$, and the hyperplane arrangements $A$ and $\tilde{A}$ are isomorphic.

To get the isomorphism, the determinants of all minors of the matrix formed by the coefficients of the hyperplanes in $A$ must be nonzero modulo $p$. Thus, if we choose $p$ to be a prime larger than the coefficients as elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ of all these determinants, we can guarantee to have a correct reduction.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$–hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ its reduced hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$, for a power $q$ of a large enough prime. Then,

$$q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A})))\bar{\chi}_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}(q,t) = \sum_{\hat{z} \in F_q[\zeta_m]^n} t^{h(\hat{z})}.$$ 

Proof. To prove Theorem 2.2, we need both remarks:

(R1) If $\hat{V}$ is a $d$-dimensional submodule of $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$, then $|\hat{V}| = q^{lm \times d}$.

(R2) For a strictly positive integer $d$, we have

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [d]} t^{|I|} = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{d}{k} t^k = (1+t)^d.$$

We can now proceed to the proof of the theorem. Let $H(\hat{z})$ the set of hyperplanes of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ that $\hat{z}$ lies on. We have

$$q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{A})))\bar{\chi}_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}(q,t) = \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}} q^{lm(n-r(\mathcal{B})))(t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|}}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}} q^{lm \times \dim \cap \mathcal{B}}(t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} = \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}} q^{lm \times \dim \cap \mathcal{B}}(t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}} |\cap \mathcal{B}|(t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} \quad \text{(R1)}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{\hat{z} \in \cap \mathcal{B}} (t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} = \sum_{\hat{z} \in F_q[\zeta_m]^n} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \subseteq H(\hat{z})} (t-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|}$$

$$= \sum_{\hat{z} \in F_q[\zeta_m]^n} t^{h(\hat{z})} \quad \text{(R2)}.$$ 

3 $\zeta_m$–Coboundary Polynomial of SH–Arrangement

We extend the results of [4, Coboundary Polynomial of Symmetric Arrangement] to complex hyperplane arrangements in this section.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a SH–arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We can choose some hyperplanes $H_1, \ldots, H_r$ to write $\mathcal{A}$ in the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma \cdot H_i \right).$$

The hyperplanes $H_1, \ldots, H_r$ are called representative hyperplanes for $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a SH–arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $H_i$ a representative hyperplane. A representative equation of $\mathcal{A}$ is a multivariate equation

$$(E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_j^{(i)} z_{i_j} = d^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad c_1^{(i)}, c_j^{(i)} \neq 0$$
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such that for all hyperplane $H$ of a subarrangement $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sigma \cdot H_i$ of $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a permutation $\tau$ of $\mathcal{S}_n$ such that $H = \{c_1^{(i)} z_{\tau(1)} + \cdots + c_j^{(i)} z_{\tau(i)} = d^{(i)}\}$.

Take a SH–arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sigma \cdot H_i$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. For each representative hyperplane $H_i = \{c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_j^{(i)} z_j = d^{(i)}\}$, we define an equivalence relation $\sim_{H_i}$ on $\mathcal{S}_n$ by
\[\sigma \sim_{H_i} \tau \iff c_1^{(i)} z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_j^{(i)} z_{\sigma(i)} = c_1^{(i)} z_{\tau(1)} + \cdots + c_j^{(i)} z_{\tau(i)}\]

For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H_i}}$ for the equivalence class set instead of $\mathcal{S}_n / \sim_{H_i}$. Then, we have
\[\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H_i}}} \sigma \cdot H_i\]

Consider an equation $(E) : c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_j z_j = d$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$. Denote the reduced equation modulo $q$ of $(E)$ by $(\bar{E}) : \bar{c}_1 \bar{z}_1 + \cdots + \bar{c}_j \bar{z}_j = \bar{d}$, and the solution set of the equation $(\bar{E})$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$ by $\text{Sol}(\bar{E})$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a SH–arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^n$ having $r$ representative equations $(E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_j^{(i)} z_j = d^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, $c_1^{(i)}, c_j^{(i)} \neq 0$. Then, the number of hyperplanes of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ an element $\bar{u}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n$ lies on is
\[h(\bar{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r f(c(\bar{u}), \text{Sol}(\bar{E}_i))\]

**Proof.** First, consider a SH–arrangement $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H}}} \sigma \cdot H$, with representative $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$–hyperplane $H$, and representative equation $(E) : c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_j z_j = d$. Suppose that $\bar{H}$ is the hyperplane $\{\bar{c}_1 \bar{z}_1 + \cdots + \bar{c}_j \bar{z}_j = \bar{d}\}$ with $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_j \neq 0$. Then
\[h(\bar{u}) = \left|\{\sigma \cdot \bar{H} \mid \bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H}}, \bar{c}_1 \bar{u}_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \bar{c}_j \bar{u}_{\sigma(j)} = \bar{d}\}\right|
= \left|\{\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H}} \mid \bar{c}_1 \bar{\sigma}(1) + \cdots + \bar{c}_j \bar{\sigma}(j) = \bar{d}\}\right|
= \left|\{\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H}} \mid (\bar{\sigma}_{(1)}, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_{(j)}) \in \text{Sol}(\bar{E})\}\right|
= \sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Sol}(\bar{E})} \left|\{\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H}} \mid (\bar{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \bar{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \bar{z}\}\right|\]

One can find a permutation class $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H}}$ such that $(\bar{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \bar{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \bar{z}$ if and only if, for all $\bar{k}$ in $S(\bar{z})$, $o_k(\bar{u}) \geq o_k(\bar{z})$. In this case, there are $(\frac{\zeta_m}{o_k(\bar{z})})$ possibilities to choose $o_k(\bar{z})$ coordinates of $\bar{z}$ having the value $\bar{k}$. Since all the elements of $S(\bar{z})$ should be considered, we then obtain
\[\left|\{\bar{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sim_{H}} \mid (\bar{u}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \bar{u}_{\sigma(j)}) = \bar{z}\}\right| = \prod_{\bar{k} \in S(\bar{z})} \left(\frac{o_k(\bar{u})}{o_k(\bar{z})}\right) = f(c(\bar{u}), \bar{z})\]

Thus, we have
\[h(\bar{u}) = \sum_{\bar{z} \in \text{Sol}(\bar{E})} f(c(\bar{u}), \bar{z}) = f(c(\bar{u}), \text{Sol}(\bar{E}))\]
Now, let \( \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{-H_{i}}} \sigma \cdot H_{i} \), and \( h_{i}(\check{u}) \) be the number of hyperplanes of the arrangement \( \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{-H_{i}}} \sigma \cdot H_{i} \) the element \( \check{u} \) lies on. Then,

\[
    h(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}(\check{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} f(c(\check{u}), \text{Sol}(\check{E}_{i})).
\]

Let \( (E_{i}) \) be the \( r \) representative equations of a SH–arrangement. We partition the multiset \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \text{Sol}(\check{E}_{i}) \) into multisets \( M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s} \) having the following properties:

- For any elements \( \check{z} \) and \( \check{u} \) of \( M_{i} \), we have \( S(\check{z}) \cap S(\check{u}) \neq \emptyset \).
- If \( i \neq j \), then, for all \( \check{z} \) in \( M_{i} \), and \( \check{u} \) in \( M_{j} \), we have \( S(\check{z}) \cap S(\check{u}) = \emptyset \).

The partition \( M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s} \) is called indice partition of \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \text{Sol}(\check{E}_{i}) \).

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a SH–arrangement having representative equations whose solution indice partition is \( M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s} \). Then its \( \zeta_{m} \)–coboundary polynomial is

\[
    \bar{\chi}_{A}(q, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[\zeta_{m}]} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ a \end{array} \right) t^{\sum_{j=1}^{s} f(a, M_{j})}. 
\]

**Proof.** Using the indice partition of \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \text{Sol}(\check{E}_{i}) \), the number of hyperplanes the element \( \check{u} \) of lies on is

\[
    h(\check{u}) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} f(c(\check{u}), M_{j}) \quad \text{(Lemma 3.1)}.
\]

From Theorem 2.2 we have

\[
    q^{l_{m}(n-r(A))} \bar{\chi}_{A}(q, t) = \sum_{\check{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[\zeta_{m}]} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{r} f(c(\check{u}), M_{j})} 
    = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[\zeta_{m}]} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ a \end{array} \right) t^{\sum_{j=1}^{s} f(a, M_{j})}. 
\]

Take \( r \) hyperplanes \( H_{i} = \{ c_{i}^{(i)} z_{1} + \cdots + c_{j}^{(i)} z_{j} = d^{(i)} \} \) with \( c_{ij}^{(i)} \neq 0 \). Setting \( h = \max(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}) \), define a sequence of SH–arrangements with representative hyperplanes \( H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r} \) by a sequence of hyperplane arrangements \( (\mathcal{A}_{n})_{n \geq h} \) in \( (\mathbb{C}_{n})_{n \geq h} \) such that

\[
    \mathcal{A}_{n} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{-H_{i}}} \sigma \cdot H_{i}. 
\]
Proposition 3.3. Let \((A_n)_{n \geq h}\) be a sequence of SH–arrangements having the same representative equations, and \(M_1, \ldots, M_s\) the common solution indice partition. Consider the exponential generating function

\[
g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad \text{with} \quad g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}(M_j)}} \frac{(n)}{a} t^{(a, M_j)} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.
\]

Then,

\[
\sum_{n \geq h} q^{l(n-r(A_n))} \chi_{A_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = g(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.
\]

Proof. From Proposition 3.2, we know that

\[
q^{l(n-r(A_n))} \chi_{A_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}(\zeta_m)}} \frac{(n)}{a} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s t(\xi(a, M_j))}.
\]

Set the exponential generating function \(g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!}\) with

\[
g_n = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}(\zeta_m)}} \frac{(n)}{a} t^{\sum_{j=1}^s t(\xi(a, M_j))}.
\]

We deduce from [4, Proposition 2.1] that

\[
g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{S}(M_j)}} \frac{(n)}{a} t^{(a, M_j)} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}.
\]

Since \(\chi_{A_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t)\) is not defined for \(n < h\), and \(q^{l(n-r(A_n))} \chi_{A_n}^{(\zeta_m)}(q, t) = g_n\) for \(n \geq h\), we get the result.

4 \(\zeta_m\)–Coboundary Polynomial of CSH–Arrangement

In this section is described the most interesting part of this article, namely the \(\zeta_m\)–coboundary polynomial of a CSH–arrangement. We deduce the exponential generating function of a sequence of coboundary polynomials of CSH–arrangements.

For the presentations and explanations, we use both colored permutations of \(U_m \wr S_n\)

\[
\pi = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \xi_1 \sigma(1) & \xi_2 \sigma(2) & \cdots & \xi_n \sigma(n) \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \nu_1 \tau(1) & \nu_2 \tau(2) & \cdots & \nu_n \tau(n) \end{array} \right).
\]

Definition 4.1. We can choose some hyperplanes \(H_1, \ldots, H_r\) of a CSH–arrangement \(\mathcal{A}\) in \(\mathbb{C}^n\) to write it in the form

\[
\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \left( \bigcup_{\pi \in U_m \wr S_n} \pi \cdot H_i \right).
\]

The hyperplanes \(H_1, \ldots, H_r\) are called representative hyperplanes for \(\mathcal{A}\).
Definition 4.2. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a CSH–arrangement in \( \mathbb{C}^n \), and \( H_i \) a representative hyperplane. A representative equation of \( \mathcal{A} \) associated to \( H_i \) is a multivariate equation
\[
(E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{ij}^{(i)} z_{ij} = d^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i_j \leq n, \quad c_1^{(i)}, c_{ij}^{(i)} \neq 0
\]
such that for all hyperplane \( H \) of a subarrangement \( \bigcup_{\pi \in U_m \ast S} \pi \cdot H_i \) of \( \mathcal{A} \), there exists a colored permutation \( \phi \) such that \( H = \{ c_1^{(i)} \nu_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_{ij}^{(i)} \nu_{ij} z_{\sigma(i_j)} = d^{(i)} \} \).

Definition 4.3. Take a CSH–arrangement \( \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{\pi \in U_m \ast S} \pi \cdot H_i \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \). For each representative hyperplane \( H_i = \{ c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{ij}^{(i)} z_{ij} = d^{(i)} \} \), we define an equivalence relation \( \sim_{H_i} \) on \( U_m \ast S_n \) by
\[
\pi \sim_{H_i} \phi \iff c_1^{(i)} \xi_1 \sigma_1 + \cdots + c_{ij}^{(i)} \xi_{ij} \sigma(i_j) = c_1^{(i)} \nu_1 \tau_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + c_{ij}^{(i)} \nu_{ij} \tau_{\sigma(i_j)}.
\]
For simplicity, we write \( U_m \ast S_n \sim_{H_i} \) for the equivalence class set instead of \( U_m \ast S_n / \sim_{H_i} \). Then, we have
\[
\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{\pi \in U_m \ast S_n} \pi \cdot H_i.
\]

Lemma 4.4. Consider a CSH–arrangement \( \mathcal{A} \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) with representative \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m] \)–hyperplanes \( H_1, \ldots, H_r \). Take a vector \( \hat{u} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n \). Then,
\[
\forall \hat{x}, \hat{y} \in U_m \ast \hat{u}, \quad h(\hat{x}) = h(\hat{y}).
\]

Proof. Denote by \( \mathcal{A}(\hat{x}) \) resp. \( \mathcal{A}(\hat{y}) \) the set of hyperplanes in \( \mathcal{A} \) containing \( \hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_n) \) resp. \( \hat{y} = (\hat{y}_1, \ldots, \hat{y}_n) \). There are elements \( \xi_i \) of \( U_m \) such that \( \hat{y}_i = \xi_i \hat{x}_i \). Since the following function
\[
\mathcal{A}(\hat{x}) \to \mathcal{A}(\hat{y}), \quad \pi \cdot H_i \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & \cdots \ \xi_1 & \xi_2 & \cdots \ \xi_{n} & \end{array} \right) \cdot \pi \cdot H_i,
\]
where \( \bar{\xi}_i \) is the conjugate of \( \xi_i \), is bijective, then \( |\mathcal{A}(\hat{x})| = |\mathcal{A}(\hat{y})| \).

Lemma 4.4 leads us to define the equivalence relation \( \sim_* \) on \( \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n \) by
\[
\hat{x} \sim_* \hat{y} \iff \hat{x} \in U_m \ast \hat{y}.
\]
We write \( \hat{x} \) for the set \( \{ \hat{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n \mid \hat{x} \sim_* \hat{y} \} \), and \( \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n / \sim_* \) for the equivalence class set \( \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n / \sim_* \). More generally, for a multiset \( V \) of \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n \), define the multiset
\[
V_* := \{ \hat{v} \mid v \in V \}.
\]

Proposition 4.5. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a CSH–arrangement in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) having \( r \) representative equations \( (E_i) : c_1^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{ij}^{(i)} z_{ij} = d^{(i)}, \ 1 \leq i_j \leq n, \ c_1^{(i)}, c_{ij}^{(i)} \neq 0 \). Then, the number of hyperplanes of the arrangement \( \hat{\mathcal{A}} \) an element \( \hat{u} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]^n \) lies on is
\[
h(\hat{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{F}_q^{(m)}(c(\hat{u}), \text{Sol}(E_i)_*).
\]
Proof. Consider first a CSH–arrangement \( \bigcup_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m^*} \pi \cdot H \), with representative \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m] \)--hyperplane \( H \), and representative equation \( (E) \): \( c_1 z_1 + \cdots + c_j z_j = d \). Suppose that \( H \) is the hyperplane \( \{\tilde{c}_1 \tilde{z}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{c}_j \tilde{z}_j = \tilde{d}\} \) with \( \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_j \neq 0 \). Then

\[
h(\tilde{u}) = \left| \left\{ \pi \in \mathbb{U}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m^* \ | \ \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{\xi}_1 \tilde{u}_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + \tilde{c}_j \tilde{\xi}_j \tilde{u}_{\sigma(j)} = \tilde{d} \right\} \right|
\]

One can find a colored permutation class \( \hat{\pi} \) of \( \mathbb{U}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m^* \) such that \( (\tilde{\xi}_1 \tilde{\xi}_{\sigma(1)}^1, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_j \tilde{\xi}_{\sigma(j)}^j) = \hat{\pi} \) if and only if, for all \( \hat{k} \in \mathbb{S}(\hat{\pi}) \), \( \sigma_k(\hat{\pi}) \geq \sigma_k(\hat{\pi}) \). In this case, there are \( \left( \sigma_k(\hat{\pi}) \right) \) possibilities to choose \( \sigma_k(\hat{\pi}) \) coordinates of \( \hat{\pi} \) having the value \( \hat{k} \).

\begin{itemize}
  \item If \( \mathbb{S}(\hat{\pi}) = \{\hat{0}\} \), then

  \[
  \left| \left\{ \hat{\pi} \in \mathbb{S}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m^* \ | \ (\tilde{\xi}_1 \tilde{\xi}_{\sigma(1)}^1, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_j \tilde{\xi}_{\sigma(j)}^j) = \hat{\pi} \right\} \right| = m^{j-1} \binom{\sigma_0(\hat{\pi})}{\sigma_0(\hat{\pi})} = f_0^{(m)}(c(\hat{\pi}), \hat{\pi}).
  \]

  \item If \( \mathbb{S}(\hat{\pi}) \neq \{\hat{0}\} \), then

  \[
  \left| \left\{ \hat{\pi} \in \mathbb{S}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m^* \ | \ (\tilde{\xi}_1 \tilde{\xi}_{\sigma(1)}^1, \ldots, \tilde{\xi}_j \tilde{\xi}_{\sigma(j)}^j) = \hat{\pi} \right\} \right| = m^{\sigma_0(\hat{\pi})} \prod_{\hat{k} \in \mathbb{S}(\hat{\pi})} \binom{\sigma_k(\hat{\pi})}{\sigma_k(\hat{\pi})} = f_0^{(m)}(c(\hat{\pi}), \hat{\pi}).
  \]
\end{itemize}

Thus, we have

\[
h(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathbb{S}(\hat{\pi})} f_0^{(m)}(c(\hat{\pi}), \hat{\pi}) = f_0^{(m)}(c(\hat{\pi}), \mathbb{S}(\hat{\pi})).
\]

Now, let \( \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathbb{U}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m^*} \pi \cdot H_i \), and \( h_i(\tilde{u}) \) be the number of hyperplanes of the arrangement \( \bigcup_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathbb{U}_m \otimes \mathbb{S}_m^*} \pi \cdot H_i \) the element \( \tilde{u} \) lies on. Then,

\[
h(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_0^{(m)}(c(\tilde{u}), \mathbb{S}(\tilde{E}_i)).
\]

In analogy with \( M_1, \ldots, M_s \) and the multiset \( \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathbb{S}(\tilde{E}_i) \), let \( M_{1s}, \ldots, M_{ss} \) be the indice partition of the multiset \( \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathbb{S}(\tilde{E}_i) \). Now, we come to the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a CSH–arrangement having representative equations whose indice partition is \( M_{1s}, \ldots, M_{ss} \). Then its \( \zeta_m \)--coboundary polynomial is

\[
\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\zeta_m)(q,t) = q^m(r(\mathcal{A}) - n) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^{q \cdot [k_m]^*}} \binom{n}{a} m^{n-a_0} \sum_{j=1}^s f_0^{(m)}(a_{M_{jv}}).
\]
Proof. Using the indice partition of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \text{Sol}(\tilde{E}_i)$, the number of hyperplanes the element $\tilde{u}$ of lies on is

$$h(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{f_{(m)}^{(j)}}{0} (c(\tilde{u}), M_{j*}) \quad \text{(Proposition 4.5)}.$$

From Theorem 2.2 we have

$$q^{l_m(n-r(A))} \tilde{\chi}_{A_n}(\zeta_m)(q, t) = \sum_{\tilde{u} \in F_q[\zeta_m]^n} \frac{t^{\sum_j 1} f_{(m)}^{(j)}}{0} (c(\tilde{u}), M_{j*})$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{u} \in F_q[\zeta_m]^n} m^{n-a_0(\tilde{u})} t^{\sum_j 1} f_{(m)}^{(j)} (c(\tilde{u}), M_{j*}) \quad \text{(Lemma 4.4 with } |U_m * \tilde{u}| = m^{n-a_0(\tilde{u}))}$$

$$= \sum_{\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}[\zeta_m]^n}, \|\tilde{a}\| = n} \binom{n}{\tilde{a}} m^{n-a_0} t^{\sum_j 1} f_{(m)}^{(j)} (a, M_{j*}).$$

Take $r$ hyperplanes $H_i = \{c_{i1}^{(i)} z_1 + \cdots + c_{ij}^{(i)} z_j = d^{(i)} \}$ with $c_{ij}^{(i)} \neq 0$. Setting $h = \max(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$, define a sequence of CSH–arrangements with representative hyperplanes $H_1, \ldots, H_r$ by a sequence of hyperplane arrangements $(A_n)_{n \geq h}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n)_{n \geq h}$ such that

$$A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} \bigcup_{\pi \in U_m \#_{H_i}} \pi \cdot H_i.$$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let $(A_n)_{n \geq h}$ be a sequence of CSH–arrangements having the same representative equations, and $M_{1*}, \ldots, M_{s*}$ the common indice partition. Consider the exponential generating function

$$g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n x^n / n! \quad \text{with} \quad g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}[\zeta_m]^n}, \|\tilde{a}\| = n} \binom{n}{\tilde{a}} m^{n-a_0} t^{\sum_j 1} f_{(m)}^{(j)} (a, M_{j*}) x^n / n!.$$

such that $a_0 = 0$ if $\tilde{0} \notin S(M_{j*})$. Then,

$$\sum_{n \geq h} q^{l_m(n-r(A_n))} \tilde{\chi}_{A_n}(\zeta_n)(q, t) x^n / n! = g(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{h-1} g_n x^n / n!.$$

**Proof.** From Theorem 4.6 we know that

$$q^{l_m(n-r(A_n))} \tilde{\chi}_{A_n}(\zeta_n)(q, t) = \sum_{\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}[\zeta_m]^n}, \|\tilde{a}\| = n} \binom{n}{\tilde{a}} m^{n-a_0} t^{\sum_j 1} f_{(m)}^{(j)} (a, M_{j*}).$$

Set the exponential generating function $g(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n x^n / n!$ with

$$g_n = \sum_{\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}[\zeta_m]^n}, \|\tilde{a}\| = n} \binom{n}{\tilde{a}} m^{n-a_0} t^{\sum_j 1} f_{(m)}^{(j)} (a, M_{j*}).$$
We deduce from [4, Proposition 2.1] that

\[ g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^{M_{j^*}}} \binom{n}{a} m^{n-a} t^{(m)}(a,M_{j^*}) \right) \frac{x^n}{n!}. \]

Since \( \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{A}_{n}}^{(\zeta)}(q,t) \) is not defined for \( n < h \), and \( q^{l_{m}(n-r(A_{n}))} \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{A}_{n}}^{(\zeta)}(q,t) = g_{n} \) for \( n \geq h \), we get the result. \( \square \)

5 Application: The Imprimitive Reflection Groups

In 1954 Shephard and Todd extended the concept of reflection in an Euclidean space to reflection in a Hermitian space [5]. Recall that a complex reflection \( G \) is a linear transformation on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) which sends some nonzero vector \( a \) to \( \alpha a \), where \( \alpha \) is a primitive \( m \)-th root of unity, while fixing pointwise the hyperplane \( H_a = \text{Fix} r_{a,\alpha} \) orthogonal to \( a \). It has the formula

\[ r_{a,\alpha} := v - (1 - \alpha) \frac{(v, a)}{(a, a)} a. \]

The complex reflection groups are the finite groups generated by complex reflections. They naturally contain the real reflection groups, and have a wide range of applications, including knot theory, Hecke algebras, and differential equations [6]. In this section, we focus on the imprimitive reflection groups, or the complex reflection groups whose action representations permute subspaces among themselves, which are the groups \( G(m,p,n) \) with \( p \) dividing \( m \).

The hyperplane arrangement associated to \( G(m,p,n) \) is

\[ G_{m,p,n} = \big( \bigcup_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}(m)\mathbb{S}_n} \pi \cdot \{ z_i = 0 \} \big) \Pi \big( \bigcup_{\pi \in \mathbb{U}(m)\mathbb{S}_n} \pi \cdot \{ z_i = z_j \} \big). \]

With \( E_1 : z = 0 \), and \( E_2 : z_1 = z_2 = 0 \), we have

\[ \text{Sol}(E_1) = \{ 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sol}(E_2) = \{ (k, k) \mid k \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_n] \}. \]

Then \( M_0 = \{ (0, (0,0)) \} \), and \( M_k = \{ (\tilde{k}, \tilde{k}) \} \) for any element \( \tilde{k} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m] \setminus \{ 0 \} \).

Moreover \( M_{0} = \{ (0, (0,0)) \}, \) and \( M_{\tilde{k}} = \{ (\tilde{k}, \tilde{k}) \} \) for \( \tilde{k} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m] \setminus \{ 0 \}. \)

Since \( r(G_{m,p,n}) = n \), the \( \zeta_m \)-coboundary polynomial of \( G_{m,p,n} \) is then

\[ \tilde{\chi}^{(\zeta_m)}_{G_{m,p,n}}(q,t) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]}} \binom{n}{a} m^{n-a} t^{a_0 + m(t_2)} t^{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m]} \zeta_k(t_2)}. \]

And with \( \mathbb{F}_q[\zeta_m] \setminus \{ 0 \} \) \( = \frac{q^m - 1}{m} \), the exponential generating function of the \( \zeta_m \)-coboundary polynomials associated to \( (G_{m,p,n})_{n \geq 2} \) is

\[ 1 + (q^m - 1 + t)x + \sum_{n \geq 2} \tilde{\chi}^{(\zeta_m)}_{G_{m,p,n}}(q,t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{n + m(t_2)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m^n t^{(n)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \frac{q^m - 1}{m}. \]
For the case of $G(2, 1, n)$ known as the Weyl group of type $B_n$, we have for example

$$1 + (q - 1 + t)x + \sum_{n \geq 2} \chi_{G_{2,1,n}}^{(2)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{n^2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^n t^{(n^2-1)/2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}. \quad [\text{II Theorem 4.2}]$$

If $p = m$, the hyperplane arrangement associated to $G(m, m, n)$ is

$$G_{m,m,n} = \bigsqcup_{\pi \in U_m \wr S_{\sim \{ z_i - z_j = 0 \}}} \pi \cdot \{ z_i - z_j = 0 \}.$$ 

The exponential generating function of the $\zeta_m$-coboundary polynomials associated to $(G_{m,m,n})_{n \geq 2}$ is

$$1 + q^m x + \sum_{n \geq 2} \chi_{G_{m,m,n}}^{(m)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{m(n^2-1)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m^n t^{(n^2-1)/2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}.$$ 

For the case of $G(2, 2, n)$ known as the Weyl group of type $D_n$, we have for example

$$1 + qx + \sum_{n \geq 2} \chi_{G_{2,2,n}}^{(2)}(q, t) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^{n(n-1)} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^n t^{(n^2-1)/2} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right)^{n-1}. \quad [\text{II Theorem 4.3}]$$
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