\textbf{∞-OPERADS VIA SYMMETRIC SEQUENCES}
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\textsc{Abstract.} We construct a generalization of the Day convolution tensor product of presheaves that works for certain double $\infty$-categories. Using this construction, we obtain an $\infty$-categorical version of the well-known description of (one-object) operads as associative algebras in symmetric sequences; more generally, we show that (enriched) $\infty$-operads with varying spaces of objects can be described as associative algebras in a double $\infty$-category of symmetric collections.
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1. Introduction

The theory of operads is a general framework for encoding and working with algebraic structures, first introduced in the early 70s in order to describe homotopy-coherent algebraic operations on topological spaces [May72, BV73]. Since then, the theory has found many applications in diverse areas of mathematics — aside from algebraic topology, where operads in topological spaces, simplicial sets, and spectra have numerous uses (see for example [May72, BV73, Chi05, Smi01, DH12], among many others), operads in vector spaces and chain complexes (also known as linear operads and dg-operads, respectively) are by now a well-studied topic in algebra (see for instance [GK94, LV12]), with applications in mathematical physics (cf. [MSS02]) and algebraic geometry (e.g. [KM95]), while operads in sets have become a standard tool in combinatorics (cf. [Gir17, Mén15]).
Classically, an operad \( \mathbf{O} \) in a symmetric monoidal category \( \mathbf{C} \) consists of a sequence \( \mathbf{O}(n) \) of objects of \( \mathbf{C} \), where the symmetric group \( \Sigma_n \) acts on \( \mathbf{O}(n) \) (this data is called a symmetric sequence) together with a unital and associative composition operation. It is often convenient to encode this data using the composition product of symmetric sequences. This is a monoidal structure on symmetric sequences, given by the formula\(^1\):

\[
(X \circ Y)(n) \cong \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n} (X(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes X(i_k)) \times \Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{i_k} \Sigma_n \right) \otimes \Sigma_k Y(k);
\]

the unit is the symmetric sequence

\[
\mathbb{1}(n) = \begin{cases} 
\emptyset, & n \neq 1 \\
\mathbb{1}, & n = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \mathbb{1} \) is the unit in \( \mathbf{C} \). As first observed by Kelly [Kel05], an operad is then precisely an associative algebra with respect to \( \circ \): the multiplication map \( \mathbf{O} \circ \mathbf{O} \to \mathbf{O} \) is given by a family of equivariant maps

\[
\mathbf{O}(k) \otimes \mathbf{O}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{O}(i_k) \to \mathbf{O}(i_1 + \cdots + i_k),
\]

supplying the operadic composition maps, and similarly the unit map \( \mathbb{1} \to \mathbf{O} \) corresponds to a unit \( \mathbb{1} \to \mathbf{O}(1) \).

In homotopical settings, this classical notion of operads has a number of shortcomings, analogous to those afflicting topological or simplicial categories when we want to work with them only up to homotopy (i.e. consider them as models for \( \infty \)-categories). This motivates the introduction of a fully homotopy-coherent version of operads, known as \( \infty \)-operads. Just as in the case of \( \infty \)-categories, there are several useful models for \( \infty \)-operads, including those of Lurie [Lur17] (which is currently by far the best-developed), Moerdijk–Weiss [MW07], Cisinki–Moerdijk [CM13a], and Barwick [Bar18]. These authors only consider \( \infty \)-operads in spaces, but the formalism has recently been extended to cover \( \infty \)-operads in other symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-categories in [CH17].

The goal of the present paper is to provide another point of view on (enriched) \( \infty \)-operads, by extending to the higher-categorical setting the description of operads as associative algebras in symmetric sequences:

**Theorem.** Let \( \mathbb{F}^\infty \) be the groupoid of finite sets and bijections. If \( \mathbb{V} \) is a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category compatible with colimits indexed by \( \infty \)-groupoids\(^2\), then there exists a monoidal structure on the \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}^\infty, \mathbb{V}) \) of symmetric sequences such that associative algebras are \( \mathbb{V} \)-enriched \( \infty \)-operads. Moreover, the tensor product is described by the same formula as above.

More precisely, this gives a description of \( \infty \)-operads with a single object. It is often convenient to consider the more general notion of operads with many objects (also known as coloured operads or symmetric multicategories), and the term \( \infty \)-operad typically refers to the higher-categorical version of these generalized objects, which also have a description as associative algebras: For a set \( S \), let

\[
\mathbb{F}^\infty_S := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{h\Sigma_n}^n \times S
\]

denote the groupoid with objects lists \( (s_1, \ldots, s_n; t) \) (\( s_i, t \in S \)) and a morphism \( (s_1, \ldots, s_n; t) \to (s'_1, \ldots, s'_m; t') \) given by a bijection \( \sigma: \{1, \ldots, n\} \simto \{1, \ldots, m\} \) in \( \mathbb{F}^\infty \) such that \( s_i = s'_{\sigma(i)} \) and \( t = t' \). Then a (symmetric) \( S \)-collection (or \( S \)-coloured symmetric sequence) in \( \mathbb{V} \) is a functor \( \mathbb{F}^\infty_S \to \mathbb{V} \). The category \( \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}^\infty_S, \mathbb{V}) \) again has a composition product \( \circ \), given by a more complicated version of the formula we gave above, such that an operad with \( S \) as its set of objects is precisely an

---

\(^1\)Note that this is the reverse ordering of the product compared to many references; this convention corresponds to the one that naturally appears in our \( \infty \)-categorical construction.

\(^2\)By this we mean that the underlying \( \infty \)-category \( \mathbb{V} \) has colimits indexed by \( \infty \)-groupoids, and the tensor product preserves such colimits in each variable.
associative algebra for this monoidal structure. Our work also gives an \( \infty \)-categorical version of this many-object composition product.

More generally, we can describe operads with varying spaces of objects as associative algebras in a double category. Let \( \mathbb{F}_{S,T}^\infty := \prod_{n=0}^\infty S_{H^n}^\infty \times T \); we will call a functor \( \mathbb{F}_{S,T}^\infty \to \mathbb{V} \) an \((S,T)\)-collection in \( \mathbb{V} \). Then we can define a double category \( \text{COLL}(\mathbb{V}) \) as follows:

- Objects are sets, and vertical morphisms are maps of sets.
- Horizontal morphisms from \( S \) to \( T \) are \((S,T)\)-collections.
- Composition of horizontal morphisms is given by a version of the composition product.

An associative algebra in \( \text{COLL}(\mathbb{V}) \) consists of a set \( S \) together with an associative algebra in the category of horizontal endomorphisms of \( S \) with composition as monoidal structure, i.e. an associative algebra in \( S\)-collections with the composition product. Thus associative algebras are precisely \( \infty \)-operads, and moreover morphisms of algebras in \( \text{COLL}(\mathbb{V}) \) are precisely functors of operads. We will produce an \( \infty \)-categorical version of this structure:

**Theorem.** For any symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category \( \mathbb{V} \) compatible with colimits indexed by \( \infty \)-groupoids there is a double \( \infty \)-category \( \text{COLL}(\mathbb{V}) \) such that \( \text{Alg}(\text{COLL}(\mathbb{V})) \) is the \( \infty \)-category of \( \mathbb{V} \)-enriched \( \infty \)-operads.\(^3\)

The double \( \infty \)-category \( \text{COLL}(\mathbb{V}) \) admits the same description as its analogue for ordinary categories, except with \( \infty \)-groupoids as objects.

In a sequel to this paper we will apply this description of \( \infty \)-operads to study algebras over enriched \( \infty \)-operads. In addition, we hope that it can serve as a starting point for a better understanding of bar-cobar (or Koszul) duality for \( \infty \)-operads in some cases. Over a field of characteristic zero, Koszul duality for dg-operads was introduced by Ginzburg and Kapranov [GK94], and is by now well understood using model-categorical methods (see e.g. [GJ04, Fre11, Fre14, LV12, Val16]).

As a first step towards an \( \infty \)-categorical approach to Koszul duality, here we construct a bar-cobar adjunction between \( \infty \)-operads and \( \infty \)-cooperads. Following the approach proposed by Francis and Gaitsgory [FG12], we obtain this as the bar-cobar adjunction between associative algebras and coassociative coalgebras (constructed in great generality in [Lur17, \S5.2.2]) applied to our monoidal \( \infty \)-category of symmetric sequences. This seems to give the right answer in some cases, including the classical case of chain complexes over a field of characteristic 0, but also in \( K(n) \)-local spectra (where bar-cobar duality has also been constructed and applied in work of Heuts [Heu18]).\(^4\)

1.1. Overview of Results. Let us now give a more detailed overview of the results of this paper. The starting point for our construction is the “coordinate-free” definition of the composition product due to Dwyer and Hess [DH14, \S A.1]. They observe that, if \( \mathbb{F}^{[1]} \simeq \) denotes the groupoid of morphisms of finite sets and \( \mathbb{F}^{[2]} \simeq \) the groupoid of composable pairs of morphisms of finite sets, then:

- Symmetric sequences in \( \text{Set} \) are the same thing as symmetric monoidal functors \( \mathbb{F}^{[1]} \simeq \to \text{Set} \), with respect to the disjoint union in \( \mathbb{F}^{[1]} \simeq \) and the cartesian product of sets.
- Under this identification the composition product of \( X \) and \( Y \) corresponds to the left Kan extension, along the functor \( \mathbb{F}^{[2]} \simeq \to \mathbb{F}^{[1]} \simeq \) given by composition, of the restriction of \( X \times Y \) from \( \mathbb{F}^{[1]} \simeq \times \mathbb{F}^{[1]} \simeq \) to \( \mathbb{F}^{[2]} \simeq \). In other words,

\[
(X \circ Y)(A \to C) \cong \colim_{(A \to B \to C) \in \mathbb{F}^{[2]}(A \to C)} X(A \to B) \times Y(B \to C).
\]

(This is equivalent to the previous description by an easy cofinality argument.)

\(^3\)In this paper we are considering \( \infty \)-operads as algebraic objects, i.e. we are not inverting the fully faithful and essentially surjective objects or imposing a completeness condition. In the terminology of Ayala–Francis, \( \text{Alg}(\text{COLL}(\mathbb{V})) \) is the \( \infty \)-category of “flagged \( \mathbb{V} \)-\( \infty \)-operads”.

\(^4\)However, Koszul duality also occurs in spectra [Chi12, CH19] where it is closely related to Goodwillie calculus [Chi05], and in this context, as well as in characteristic \( p \), the correct bar-cobar adjunction for \( \infty \)-operads does not seem to arise from the composition product.
After a slight reformulation this description is closely related to Barwick’s indexing category $\Delta^\infty$ for $\infty$-operads, introduced in [Bar18]. This is the category with objects sequences $S_0 \to S_1 \to \cdots \to S_n$ of morphisms of finite sets, with a map $(S_0 \to \cdots \to S_n) \to (T_0 \to \cdots \to T_m)$ given by a map $\phi: [n] \to [m]$ in $\Delta$ and injective morphisms $S_i \to T_{\phi(i)}$ such that the squares

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S_i & \longrightarrow & S_j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_{\phi(i)} & \longrightarrow & T_{\phi(j)}
\end{array}
$$

are cartesian. If $(\Delta^\infty)^{[n]}$ denotes the fibre at $[n]$ of the obvious projection $\Delta^\infty \to \Delta$, then:

- Symmetric sequences in Set are the same thing as functors $X: (\Delta^\infty)^{[1]} \to$ Set such that for every object $S \to T$ the map
  $$
  X(S \to T) \to \prod_{i \in T} X(S_i \to *)
  $$
  induced by the morphisms
  $$
  \begin{array}{ccc}
  S_i & \longrightarrow & [i] \\
  \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
  S & \longrightarrow & T,
  \end{array}
  $$
  is an isomorphism.

- Under this identification the composition product of $X$ and $Y$ corresponds to the left Kan extension, along the functor $(\Delta^\infty)^{[2]} \to (\Delta^\infty)^{[1]}$ corresponding to $d_1: [1] \to [2]$, of the restriction of $X \times Y$ along the functor $(\Delta^\infty)^{[2]} \to (\Delta^\infty)^{[1]} \times (\Delta^\infty)^{[1]}$ corresponding to $(d_2, d_0)$. In other words,
  $$
  (X \circ Y)(A \to C) \cong \colim_{(A' \to B' \to C') \in (\Delta^\infty)^{[2]} \setminus (A \to B \to C)} X(A' \to B) \times Y(B \to C').
  $$
  The projection $\Delta^\infty \to \Delta$ is a Grothendieck fibration, and the corresponding functor $\Phi: \Delta^\infty \to$ Cat is a double category, in the sense that it satisfies the Segal condition
  $$
  \Phi_n \tilde{\to} \Phi_1 \times \Phi_0 \cdots \times \Phi_0 \Phi_1.
  $$
  We will obtain the composition product by applying to this double category a general construction of monoidal structures on functor categories arising from certain double $\infty$-category. In fact, our construction will produce a canonical double $\infty$-category of which this monoidal $\infty$-category is a piece, with the full double $\infty$-category describing $\infty$-operads with varying spaces of objects.

The construction of this double $\infty$-category can be seen a variation of the Day convolution [Day70] structure on functor categories: If $C$ is a small monoidal category and $V$ is a monoidal category compatible with colimits, then the functor category $\text{Fun}(C, V)$ has a tensor product, given for functors $F$ and $G$ as the left Kan extension along $\otimes: C \times C \to C$ of the composite

$$
C \times C \overset{F \times G}{\longrightarrow} V \times V \cong V.
$$

This monoidal structure has the property that an associative algebra in $\text{Fun}(C, V)$ is the same thing as a lax monoidal functor $C \to V$; more generally, the Day convolution has a universal property for algebras over non-symmetric operads.

Day convolution (in the symmetric monoidal setting) was implemented in the $\infty$-categorical context by Glasman [Gla16]. In this paper we extend this to a construction of Day convolution for a class of double $\infty$-categories:

---

More recently, Lurie has also given a more general account [Lur17, §2.2.6].
Theorem 1.1.1. Suppose $\mathcal{M} \to \Delta^{op}$ is a suitable double $\infty$-category. Then there is a double $\infty$-category $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{S}$ such that for any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $\mathcal{O}$ we have a natural equivalence\(^6\)

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{S}) \simeq \text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{M}}(S).$$

The objects of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{S}$ are functors $\mathcal{M}_{0} \to S$ and the vertical morphisms are natural transformations of such functors. A horizontal morphism from $F$ to $G$ is a functor $\mathcal{M}_{1,F,G} \to S$, where $\mathcal{M}_{1,F,G} \to \mathcal{M}_{1}$ is the left fibration for the composite functor

$$\mathcal{M}_{1} \xrightarrow{(d_1,1,d_0,1)} \mathcal{M}_{0} \times \mathcal{M}_{0} \xrightarrow{F \times G} S.$$

This theorem summarizes the results of §3: We construct these double $\infty$-categories in §3.2 using an unfolding construction introduced in §3.1, and prove the universal property in §3.3. Note that the precise meaning of “suitable” we need is quite restrictive. We also show in §3.4 that we can extract from $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{S}$ a family of monoidal $\infty$-categories and lax monoidal functors which suffices to describe associative algebras in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{S}$. Moreover, we consider enriched versions of the theorem, with more general targets than $S$, in §3.5.

To obtain our double $\infty$-categories we use results on $\infty$-categories of spans due to Barwick [Bar17], and §2 is devoted to a review of this work, with some slight variations, together with a brief review of non-symmetric $\infty$-operads and related structures.

In §4 we apply our results on Day convolution to $\infty$-operads. In §4.1 we describe non-enriched $\infty$-operads as associative algebras in a double $\infty$-category of collections in $S$, and in §4.2 we extend this to a description of enriched $\infty$-operads. More precisely, we obtain an equivalence between associative algebras in a double $\infty$-category of collections and $\infty$-operads in the sense of Barwick [Bar18], as generalized to enriched $\infty$-operads in [CH17]. In §4.3 then apply this description of $\infty$-operads to obtain the bar-cobar adjunction between $\infty$-operads and $\infty$-cooperads.

As a warm-up to this description of $\infty$-operads, in §3.6  we also consider an additional application of our Day convolution construction, by showing that enriched $\infty$-categories can be described as associative algebras.

1.2. Related Work. There are at least two other approaches to constructing the composition product on symmetric sequences $\infty$-categorically:

Composition Product from Free Presentably Symmetric Monoidal Categories. An alternative approach to defining the composition product of $S$-coloured symmetric sequences in $\text{Set}$ starts with the observation that $\text{Fun}(\Pi_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{n}, \text{Set})$ is the free presentably symmetric monoidal category generated by $S$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a presentably symmetric monoidal category we therefore have a natural equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(S, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{L, \otimes}(\text{Fun}(\Pi_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{n}, \text{Set}), \mathcal{C}),$$

where the right-hand side denotes the category of colimit-preserving symmetric monoidal functors. Taking $\mathcal{C}$ to be $\text{Fun}(\Pi_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{n}, \text{Set})$, since $F_{S}^{\leq} \simeq \Pi_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{n} \times S$ we get a natural equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(F_{S}^{\leq}, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{Fun}(S, \text{Fun}(\Pi_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{n}, \text{Set}), \text{Set}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{L, \otimes}(\text{Fun}(\Pi_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{n}, \text{Set}), \text{Fun}(\Pi_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n}^{n}, \text{Set})).$$

Here the right-hand side has an obvious monoidal structure given by composition of functors, and this corresponds under the equivalence to the composition product of $S$-coloured symmetric sequences. This construction is described in [BD98, §2.3]. The one-object variant is much better known; it is attributed to Carboni in the “Author’s Note” for [Kel05], and it is also found in Trimble’s preprint [Tri]. There is also an enriched version of this construction, for (coloured) symmetric sequences in a presentably symmetric monoidal category. More recently, this approach has been

\(^6\)The right-hand side is the $\infty$-category of “Segal $\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}$-$\text{M-spaces}$”, which are functors $\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op} \mathcal{M} \to S$ satisfying certain Segal-type limit conditions; see Definition 2.1.16 for the precise definition.
further developed in [GJ17,FGHW18] where it is shown to arise from a 2-categorical construction that produces a 2-category of operads with varying sets of objects (but with bimodules of operads as morphisms rather than functors).

In the ∞-categorical setting, it is not hard to see that Fun(∏∞ i=0 X_{hΣ^n}, S) is again the free presentably symmetric monoidal ∞-category generated by an space X. One can thus take the same route to obtain a composition product on X-colored symmetric sequences in the ∞-category of spaces. In the one-object case this approach (including its enriched variant) is worked out in Brantner’s thesis [Bra17, §4.1.2]. However, this approach has not yet been compared to any of the established models for ∞-operads.

Polynomial Monads. In [GHK17] we show that ∞-operads with a fixed space of objects X are equivalent to analytic monads on the slice ∞-category S/X. These analytic monads can be viewed as associative algebras under composition in an ∞-category of analytic endofunctors of S/X. The latter can be identified with X-colored symmetric sequences in S, so this gives an alternative description of ∞-operads as associative algebras for the composition product. Compared to our approach here, this has a number of advantages:

- it makes it clear that an ∞-operad can be recovered from its free algebra monad,
- it clarifies the relation between ∞-operads and trees (because free analytic monads can be described in terms of trees).

It also seems likely that versions of polynomial monads in other ∞-topoi can be used to define operad-like structures that occur in equivariant and motivic homotopy theory. On the other hand, polynomial monads do not seem to extend usefully to give a description of operad-like structures that occur in equivariant and motivic homotopy theory.

1.3. Acknowledgments. I thank Ben Knudsen for suggesting that the “coordinate-free” definition of Dwyer and Hess should lead to an ∞-categorical construction of the composition product. I also thank Lukas Brantner, Hongyi Chu, Joachim Kock, and Thomas Nikolaus for helpful discussions related to this project. This paper was substantially revised while the author was employed by the IBS Center for Geometry and Physics in a position funded by grant IBS-R003-D1 of the Institute for Basic Science of the Republic of Korea.

2. Background on Spans and Non-Symmetric ∞-Operads

In this section we first review non-symmetric ∞-operads and related structures in §2.1, and then recall some definitions and results regarding spans from [Bar17], with some minor variations to get the generality we need in the next section.

2.1. Review of Non-Symmetric ∞-Operads. For the reader’s convenience, we will briefly review some definitions and results related to non-symmetric ∞-operads that we will make frequent use of below. For more details, as well as motivation, we refer the reader to [GH15,Hau17,Lur17].

Notation 2.1.1. Δ denotes the standard simplicial indexing category, i.e. the category of ordered sets [n] = {0, 1, ..., n} and order-preserving maps. We say a map φ: [n] → [m] is inert if it is the inclusion of a subinterval, i.e. φ(i) = φ(0) + i for all i, and active if it preserves the end-points, i.e. φ(0) = 0, φ(n) = m. The active and inert maps form a factorization system on Δ — every morphism factors uniquely as an active map followed by an inert map. We write Δ^{int} for the subcategory of Δ containing only the inert maps, and Δ^{el} for the full subcategory of Δ^{int} containing only the objects [0] and [1]; we also use the notation

\[ Δ^{el}_{/ [n]} := Δ^{el} × Δ^{int} Δ^{int}_{/[n]} \]

for the category of inert maps to [n] from [0] and [1].

Definition 2.1.2. For 0 ≤ i ≤ j ≤ n we write ρ_{ij} for the inclusion \( [j - i] \cong \{i, i + 1, \ldots, j\} \hookrightarrow [n] \). If C is an ∞-category with products, then an associative monoid in C is a functor A: Δ^{op} → C such that for every n the map \( A_n \to \prod_{i=1}^n A_1 \), induced by the maps \( ρ_{(i-1)j}: [1] \to [n] \), is an equivalence.
Definition 2.1.3. If $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category with finite limits, then a category object in $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $X : \Delta^{op} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that for every $n$ the map
\[ X_n \to X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1 \]
induced by the maps $\rho_{i-1} j$ and $\rho_{ii}$, is an equivalence.

Definition 2.1.4. A monoidal $\infty$-category is a cartesian fibration $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \Delta^{op}$ such that the corresponding functor $\Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ is an associative monoid. Similarly, a double $\infty$-category is a cartesian fibration $\mathcal{M} \to \Delta^{op}$ such that the corresponding functor $\Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ is a category object.

Remark 2.1.5. We will use the following terminology to describe double $\infty$-categories $\mathcal{M} \to \Delta^{op}$:
- an object of $\mathcal{M}_0$ is an object of the double $\infty$-category,
- a morphism of $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a vertical morphism of the double $\infty$-category
- an object of $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a horizontal morphism,
- a morphism in $\mathcal{M}_1$ is a square,
- composition of vertical morphisms is composition in the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{M}_0$,
- vertical composition of squares is composition in $\mathcal{M}_1$
- composition of horizontal morphisms, as well as horizontal composition of squares, is given by the functor
\[ \mathcal{M}_1 \times_{\mathcal{M}_0} \mathcal{M}_1 \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_2 \xrightarrow{d_{1,1}} \mathcal{M}_1. \]

Given objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_0$, we write $\mathcal{M}(X, Y)$ for the fibre of $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{(d_{1,1}, d_{0,1})} \mathcal{M}_0 \times \mathcal{M}_0$ at $(X, Y)$, and call this the $\infty$-category of horizontal morphisms from $X$ to $Y$. Given its simplicial origin, it is usually less confusing to write composition of horizontal morphisms in the non-standard order, and we denote it
\[ - \odot_{X} : \mathcal{M}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{M}(Y, Z) \to \mathcal{M}(X, Z). \]
We will also write $\mathbb{1}_X \in \mathcal{M}(X, X)$ for the horizontal identity.

Definition 2.1.6. A generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad is a functor $p : \mathcal{O} \to \Delta^{op}$ such that
(i) $\mathcal{O}$ has $p$-cocartesian morphisms over all inert maps in $\Delta^{op}$,
(ii) for every $n$ the functor $\mathcal{O}^{[n]} \to \mathcal{O}^{[1]} \times \mathcal{O}^{[0]} \cdots \times \mathcal{O}^{[0]} \mathcal{O}^{[1]}$, induced by the cocartesian morphisms over the maps $\rho_i$ and $\sigma_j$, is an equivalence,
(iii) for every $X \in \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$, $Y \in \mathcal{O}^{[m]}$ and $\phi : [m] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$, the map
\[ \text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p(X, Y) \to \text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p(p_{1,1} X, Y) \times_{\text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p(\phi(1), X, Y)} \cdots \times_{\text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p(\phi(n-1), X, Y)} \text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p(\phi(n), X, Y) \]
is an equivalence, where $X \to \rho_{i,1} X$ and $X \to \sigma_{j,1} X$ are $p$-cocartesian morphisms over $\rho_i$ and $\sigma_j$ and $\text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p(X, Y)$ denotes the fibre at $\phi$ of the map $\text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p(X, Y) \to \text{Map}^{\mathcal{O}}_p([n], [m])$.

We refer to the cocartesian morphisms over inert morphisms in $\Delta^{op}$ as inert morphisms in $\mathcal{O}$. A morphism of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads is a functor over $\Delta^{op}$ that preserves inert morphisms; we also refer to a morphism of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{P}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra in $\mathcal{P}$ and write $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{P})$ for the $\infty$-category of these. More generally, if $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads over $\mathcal{Q}$ we write $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{P})$ for the analogous $\infty$-category of commutative triangles of morphisms of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads

\[ \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{P}. \]

Definition 2.1.7. A non-symmetric $\infty$-operad is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\mathcal{O}^{[0]} \simeq \ast$.

Notation 2.1.8. If $\mathcal{O}$ is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad and $x$ is an object of $\mathcal{O}_n$, we will often write $x \to x_{ij}$ for the cocartesian morphism over $\rho_{ij}$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. 
Lemma 2.1.9. Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad. Let $\mathcal{O}'_0$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_0$ and $\mathcal{O}'_1$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_1$ such that for $x \in \mathcal{O}'_1$ the objects $x_{00}$ and $x_{11}$ are in $\mathcal{O}'_0$. If $\mathcal{O}'$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ spanned by objects $x$ such that $x_{ii} \in \mathcal{O}'_0$ and $x_{i(i-1)i}$ is in $\mathcal{O}'_1$ for all $i$, then

(i) $\mathcal{O}'$ is also a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad,
(ii) the inclusion $\mathcal{O}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}$ preserves inert morphisms,
(iii) for any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $\mathcal{P}$ the functor

$$i_* : \text{Alg}_\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}') \to \text{Alg}_\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O})$$

given by composition with $i$ is fully faithful, with image the algebras $\mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{O}$ such that the restrictions $\mathcal{P}_i \to \mathcal{O}_i$ factor through $\mathcal{O}'_i$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Proof. By definition, we have pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}'_0 & \to & \mathcal{O}_0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{O}'_1 \times \mathcal{O}_0 \cdots \times \mathcal{O}'_1 & \to & \mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_0 \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_1,
\end{array}$$

so that the left vertical map is an equivalence. Condition (iii) in Definition 2.1.6 is also immediate from $\mathcal{O}'$ being a full subcategory. If $x$ is in $\mathcal{O}'$ and $x \to y$ is an inert morphism in $\mathcal{O}$, then by the definition of $\mathcal{O}'$ the object $y$ is also in $\mathcal{O}'$, so $\mathcal{O}'$ inherits cocartesian morphisms over inert morphisms from $\mathcal{O}$. This proves (i) and (ii), and (iii) is immediate from the definition of $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}')$ as a full subcategory of $\text{Fun}_{/\Delta^\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{O}')$. □

Definition 2.1.10. If $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category with finite products and $\mathcal{O}$ is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad, then an $\mathcal{O}$-monoid in $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $M : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that for every $x \in \mathcal{O}[n]$, the map $M(x) \to \prod_{\mathcal{O}} M(x_{i(i-1)i})$ induced by the cocartesian morphisms $x \to x_{i(i-1)i}$ over $p_{i(i-1)i}$, is an equivalence. We write $\text{Mon}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ for the $\infty$-category of $\mathcal{O}$-monoids in $\mathcal{C}$, a full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.1.11. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad. An $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal $\infty$-category is a cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{U}^\otimes \to \mathcal{O}$ such that the corresponding functor $\mathcal{O} \to \text{Cat}_\infty$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-monoid; for $X \in \mathcal{O}[1]$ we often write $\mathcal{U}^\otimes_X$ for the fibre of $\mathcal{U}^\otimes$ at $X$. Note that the composite $\mathcal{U}^\otimes \to \mathcal{O} \to \Delta^\op$ is again a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad (and a double $\infty$-category if $\mathcal{O}$ is one). We call a morphism of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads over $\mathcal{O}$ between $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal $\infty$-categories a lax $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal functor, and say that it is $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal if it preserves all cocartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{O}$.

Definition 2.1.12. If $V^\otimes \to \mathcal{O}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal $\infty$-category, we write $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}^\op$ for the corresponding cartesian fibration. Then $\mathcal{V}^\op, \mathcal{O}^\op : (V^\otimes)^\op \to \mathcal{O}$ is again an $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal $\infty$-category; this describes the $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal structure on $\mathcal{V}_X^\op (X \in \mathcal{O}[n])$ given by the same operations as those on $\mathcal{V}_X$.

Proposition 2.1.13. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad and $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category with products, then there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Mon}_\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. As the symmetric version, [Lur17, Proposition 2.4.1.7]. □

The $\infty$-categorical analogue of Day convolution was first constructed by Glasman [Gla16] for symmetric monoidal $\infty$-categories. It was generalized by Lurie [Lur17, §2.2.6] to $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal $\infty$-categories where $\mathcal{O}$ is a (symmetric) $\infty$-operad and further extended by Hinich to flat $\infty$-operads [Hin18]. The following is a special case of another generalization that will be proved in forthcoming work with H. Chu:

Proposition 2.1.14. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad and $\mathcal{U}^\otimes \to \mathcal{O}$ an $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal $\infty$-category. There exists an $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal $\infty$-category $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{B}^\otimes \to \mathcal{O}$, natural with respect to $\mathcal{O}$-monoidal
functors, such that for \( X \in \mathcal{O}[1] \) we have \((\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes)^X \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes, S)\) and with the universal property that for every generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad \(\mathcal{P}\) over \(\mathcal{O}\) we have a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{O} \times S}(S) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{O} \times S}(S).
\]

Moreover, if \(\mathcal{P}(U)^\otimes := \mathcal{U}_S^\otimes \otimes U\) then there is a fully faithful \(\mathcal{O}\)-monoidal functor

\[
\mathcal{U}^\otimes \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(U)^\otimes,
\]

given over \( X \in \mathcal{O}[1] \) by the Yoneda embedding \(\mathcal{U}_X^\otimes \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U}_X^\otimes)\).

**Remark 2.1.15.** The functor \(\mathcal{U}_1^\otimes \to \mathcal{O}_1\) is a cocartesian fibration. Let \(U : \mathcal{O}_1 \to \text{Cat}_\infty\) denote the corresponding functor. Then \(\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_1} \to \mathcal{O}_1\) is the cartesian fibration for the functor \(\text{Fun}(U, S) : \mathcal{O}_1^\text{op} \to \text{Cat}_\infty\) defined by composition, or equivalently the cocartesian fibration for the induced functor given by the left adjoints, i.e.

left Kan extensions along the functors \(U(f)\) for \(f \in \mathcal{U}_1^\otimes\).

**Definition 2.1.16.** Let \(\mathcal{C}\) be an \(\infty\)-category with pullbacks and \(\mathcal{O}\) a generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad. A **Segal \(\mathcal{O}\)-object** in \(\mathcal{C}\) is a functor \(F : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{C}\) such that for every object \(X \in \mathcal{O}\) over \([n] \in \Delta\), the morphism

\[
F(X) \to F(X_{01}) \times F(X_{11}) \cdots \times F(X_{(n-1)(n-1)}) \to F(X_{n(n-1)})
\]

is an equivalence. We write \(\text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})\) for the full subcategory of \(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C})\) spanned by the Segal \(\mathcal{O}\)-objects.

**Proposition 2.1.17.** Let \(\mathcal{O}\) be a generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad. The restriction functor

\[
\text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O}}(S) \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_0, S)
\]

is a cartesian fibration, and the fibre at \(\Xi : \mathcal{O}_0 \to S\) is equivalent to \(\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}_0}(S)\) where \(\mathcal{O}_\Xi \to \mathcal{O}\) is the left fibration for the functor \(\mathcal{O} \to S\) obtained as the right Kan extension of \(\Xi\) along the inclusion \(\mathcal{O}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}\).

**Proof.** As [Hau15, Theorem 7.5].

**Remark 2.1.18.** Let \(\mathcal{O}\) be a generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad and let \(U^\otimes\) be an \(\mathcal{O}\)-monoidal \(\infty\)-category. We write

\[
\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(U) \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_0, S)
\]

for the cartesian fibration corresponding to the functor \(X \mapsto \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} / \mathcal{O}}(U)\) and refer to its objects as \(\mathcal{O}\)-algebroids in \(U\).

**Example 2.1.19.** \(\Delta^\text{op}\)-algebroids in a monoidal \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{V}\) are algebras in \(\mathcal{V}\) for the family \(\Delta^\text{op}\) \((X \in \mathcal{S})\) of generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operads. These were called categorical algebras in [GH15], where they were used to model \(\infty\)-categories enriched in \(\mathcal{V}\).

**Remark 2.1.20.** Proposition 2.1.17 and Proposition 2.1.13 identify \(\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(S)\) with \(\text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O}}(S)\). If \(U^\otimes\) is a small \(\mathcal{O}\)-monoidal \(\infty\)-category then the natural equivalence of Proposition 2.1.14 gives an equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} / \mathcal{O}}(U^\otimes) \simeq \text{Alg}_{U^\otimes}(S),
\]

natural in \(\Xi\), and so an equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(U^\otimes) \simeq \text{Alg}_{U^\otimes}(S) \simeq \text{Seg}_{U^\otimes}(S).
\]

Combined with the \(\mathcal{O}\)-monoidal Yoneda embedding, we get:

**Corollary 2.1.21.** Let \(\mathcal{O}\) be a generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad and \(U^\otimes\) a small \(\mathcal{O}\)-monoidal \(\infty\)-category. Then there is a fully faithful functor

\[
\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(U) \hookrightarrow \text{Seg}_{U^\otimes}(S)
\]

with image those Segal \(U^\otimes\)-spaces \(\Phi\) such that for every \(x \in \mathcal{O}[1]\), \(p \in \Phi(x_{00})\), and \(q \in \Phi(x_{11})\) the presheaf

\[
\Phi_{x,p,q} : (U^\otimes)^{\text{op}} \simeq U^\otimes \xrightarrow{\Phi} S_{\Phi(x_{00}) \times \Phi(x_{11})} \xrightarrow{(p,q)} S,
\]
obtained by taking fibres at \((p,q)\), is representable.

**Definition 2.1.22.** Let \(K\) be a collection of \(\infty\)-categories. We say that an \(\mathcal{O}\)-monoidal \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{V}^\otimes\) is compatible with \(K\)-colimits if

- the \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{V}_X\) has \(K\)-colimits for every object \(X \in \mathcal{O}_1\),
- for every active morphism \(f: X \to Y\) in \(\mathcal{O}\) with \(X \in \mathcal{O}_n\) and \(Y \in \mathcal{O}_1\), the functor
  \[
  \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{V}_{X_{i(-1)i}} \simeq \mathcal{V}_X^\otimes \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{V}_Y,
  \]
  induced by the cocartesian morphisms over \(f\), preserves \(K\)-colimits in each variable.

**Lemma 2.1.23.** Suppose \(\mathcal{O}: \Delta \to \Delta^{op}\) is a generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad. If \(\mathcal{O}\) has locally cocartesian morphisms over all active morphisms \([n] \to [1]\) in \(\Delta^{op}\), then \(\mathcal{O}\) is a locally cocartesian fibration. If in addition for \(X \in \mathcal{O}_3\) the canonical map \((d_1d_0)_*: X \to d_1d_0X\) is an equivalence for \(i = 1,2\), then \(\mathcal{O}\) is a cocartesian fibration.

**Proof.** We first prove that \(\mathcal{O}\) has locally cocartesian morphisms over any active map \(\alpha: [n] \to [m]\) in \(\Delta\). Given \(x \in \mathcal{O}_m\) and \(y \in \mathcal{O}_n\), we have

\[
\text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^\alpha(x,y) \simeq \lim_{\rho_{ij} \in \Delta^{\alpha}_{[n]}^{\mathcal{O}}{\rho_{ij}}} \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^{\alpha_{ij}}(x_{\alpha(i)\alpha(j)}, y_{ij})
\]

where \(\alpha_{ij}\) is the active part of \(\rho_{ij} \circ \alpha\). By assumption we have locally cocartesian morphisms \(x_{\alpha(i)\alpha(j)} \to \alpha_{ij}!x_{\alpha(i)\alpha(j)}\) (if \(i = j\) this is just the identity), so we can rewrite this as

\[
\lim_{\rho_{ij} \in \Delta_{[n]}^{\mathcal{O}}} \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^{\alpha_{ij}}(x_{\alpha(i)\alpha(j)}, y_{ij}) \simeq \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^\alpha(\alpha_!x,y),
\]

where \(\alpha_!x\) is the object of \(\mathcal{O}_n\) inducing \(\rho_{ij} \in \Delta_{[n]}^{\mathcal{O}}\) corresponding to the family of objects \(\alpha_{ij}!x_{\alpha(i)\alpha(j)}\).

Thus we have a locally cocartesian morphism \(x \to \alpha_!x\).

Next, suppose \(\phi: [n] \to [m]\) is a canonical map in \(\Delta\), and let \([n] \xrightarrow{\phi} [k] \xrightarrow{\iota} [m]\) be its active-inert factorization. Then for \(x \in \mathcal{O}_m\) the composite \(x \to \iota_!x \to \alpha_!\iota_!x\) is locally cocartesian over \(\phi\), where the first map is cocartesian over \(\iota\) and the second is locally cocartesian over \(\alpha\): for \(y \in \mathcal{O}_n\) we have an equivalence

\[
\text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^\phi(x,y) \simeq \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^\phi(\iota_!x,y) \simeq \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}^\alpha(\alpha_!\iota_!x,y),
\]

since \(x \to \iota_!x\) is cocartesian. This shows that \(\mathcal{O} \to \Delta^{op}\) is a locally cocartesian fibration.

Before we prove the second statement, we make a further observation in the general case: Suppose \(\alpha: [n] \to [m]\) is active, \(\iota: [k] \to [n]\) is inert, \(x\) is an object of \(\mathcal{O}_m\), \(x \to \alpha_!x\) is locally cocartesian, and \(\alpha_!x \to \iota_!\alpha_!x\) is cocartesian. Then it follows from the decomposition above of \(\alpha_!\) in terms of locally cocartesian morphisms over the unique active maps \([1] \to [n]\) that \(x \to \iota_!\alpha_!x\) is locally cocartesian over \(\phi := \alpha_!\).

Now we check that the second assumption implies that locally cocartesian morphisms over active maps composite. This follows by induction since we can use that decomposition of locally cocartesian maps to reduce to the case that holds by assumptions. The previous observation then implies that locally cocartesian morphisms compose in general, since it holds for all combinations of active and inert maps.

\[
\square
\]

2.2. \(\infty\)-Categories of Spans.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let \(\varepsilon: \Delta \to \Delta\) be the functor \([n] \mapsto [n] \star [n]^{op}\). This induces a functor \(\varepsilon^*: \text{Set}_\Delta \to \text{Set}_\Delta\) given by composition with \(\varepsilon\); this functor is the edgewise subdivision of simplicial sets. If \(\mathcal{C}\) is an \(\infty\)-category, we will write \(\text{Tw}^\mathcal{C}\) := \(\varepsilon^*\mathcal{C}\) and refer to this as the twisted arrow \(\infty\)-category of \(\mathcal{C}\).

**Remark 2.2.2.** By [Lur17, Proposition 5.2.1.3] the simplicial set \(\text{Tw}^\mathcal{C}\) is an \(\infty\)-category if \(\mathcal{C}\) is one, and the projection \(\text{Tw}^\mathcal{C}\) := \(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{op}\) (induced by the inclusions \([n], [n]^{op} \to [n] \star [n]^{op}\) is a right fibration.
Remark 2.2.3. If $C$ is an ordinary category, then it is easy to see that $\text{Tw}^r C$ can be identified with the twisted arrow category of $C$. This has morphisms $c \to d$ in $C$ as objects, and diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
c & \to & d \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow \\
c' & \to & d'
\end{array}
$$

as morphisms from $c \to d$ to $c' \to d'$, with composition induced from composition in $C$. Unwinding the definition of $\text{Tw}^r \mathcal{C}$ for $\mathcal{C}$ an $\infty$-category, we see that its objects and morphisms admit the same description in terms of $\mathcal{C}$.

Example 2.2.4. The twisted arrow category $\text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n)$ is the poset of pairs $(i, j)$ with $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ where $(i, j) \leq (i', j')$ if $i \leq i'$, $j' \leq j$.

Warning 2.2.5. There are two possible conventions for the definition of $\text{Tw}^r \mathcal{C}$: Instead of the definition we have given we could instead consider $[n] \mapsto [n]^{op} \ast [n]$; let us call the resulting simplicial set $\text{Tw}^\ell \mathcal{C}$ — this is the definition of the twisted arrow $\infty$-category used in [Bar17] (there called $\tilde{O}(\mathcal{C})$). We clearly have $\text{Tw}^r \mathcal{C} \cong (\text{Tw}^\ell \mathcal{C})^{op}$, which explains why op’s appear in different places here compared to [Bar17].

Definition 2.2.6. The functor $\epsilon^*$ has a right adjoint $\epsilon_* : \text{Set}_\Delta \to \text{Set}_\Delta$, given by right Kan extension. Explicitly, $\epsilon_* X$ is determined by $\text{Hom}(\Delta^n, \epsilon_* X) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n), X)$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category, we write $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ for the simplicial set $\epsilon_* \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.2.7. Let $\text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n)_0$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n)$ spanned by the objects $(i, j)$ where $j - i \leq 1$. We say a simplex $\Delta^n \to \text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ is cartesian if the corresponding functor $F : \text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n) \to \mathcal{C}$ is the right Kan extension of its restriction to $\text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n)_0$, or equivalently if for all integers $0 \leq i \leq k \leq l \leq j \leq n$, the square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(i, j) & \to & F(k, j) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(i, l) & \to & F(k, l)
\end{array}
$$

is cartesian. We write $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ for the simplicial subset of $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ containing only the cartesian simplices.

Remark 2.2.8. A morphism $J \to \text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to a functor $F : \text{Tw}^r(J) \to \mathcal{C}$. Unwinding the definitions, we see that the map to $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ takes $i \in J$ to $F(i \xrightarrow{id} i)$ and a morphism $f : i \to j$ to the value of $F$ at the span

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
i & \to & j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 i & \to & j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 f & \to & j
\end{array}
$$
A functor $I \to \text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ then corresponds to a functor $\text{Tw}^r(I) \to \mathcal{C}$ such that for all composable morphisms $f : i \to j$, $g : j \to k$, the value of $F$ at the commutative square

![Diagram]

in $\text{Tw}^r(I)$ is a cartesian square in $\mathcal{C}$.

**Proposition 2.2.9** (Barwick, [Bar17, Proposition 3.4]). If $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category with pullbacks, then $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\infty$-category. □

**Definition 2.2.10.** Following Barwick [Bar17], we say a triple is a list $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category and $\mathcal{C}^B$ and $\mathcal{C}^F$ are both subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ containing all the equivalences. We will call the morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^B$ the backwards morphisms and the morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^F$ the forwards morphisms in the triple. We say a triple is adequate if for every morphism $f : x \to y$ in $\mathcal{C}^F$ and $g : z \to y$ in $\mathcal{C}^B$, there is a pullback square

```
\begin{array}{ccc}
w & \rightarrow & z \\
g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
x & \rightarrow & y \\
\end{array}
```

where $f'$ is in $\mathcal{C}^F$ and $g'$ is in $\mathcal{C}^B$.

**Example 2.2.11.** If $\mathcal{C}$ is any $\infty$-category, we have the triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ where all morphisms are both forwards and backwards morphisms. We call this the maximal triple on $\mathcal{C}$; it is adequate if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ has pullbacks.

**Remark 2.2.12.** In [Bar17], the forwards morphisms are called ingressive and the backwards morphisms are called egressive.

**Definition 2.2.13.** Given a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)$ we define $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ to be the simplicial subset of $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ containing only those simplices that correspond to maps $\sigma : \text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n) \to \mathcal{C}$ such that for all $i,j$ the map $\sigma(i,j) \to \sigma(i+1,j)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}^F$ and the map $\sigma(i,j) \to \sigma(i,j-1)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}^B$. We write $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ for the simplicial subset of $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ containing the cartesian simplices with this property.

**Proposition 2.2.14** (Barwick, [Bar17, Proposition 5.6]). If $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)$ is an adequate triple, then $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\infty$-category. □

2.3. Spans and Fibrations.

**Definition 2.3.1.** Given an adequate triple $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^F, \mathcal{E}^B)$ and an inner fibration $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ has $p$-cartesian morphisms over morphisms in $\mathcal{B}^B$, we define a triple $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^F, \mathcal{E}^B)$ by taking $\mathcal{E}^B$ to consist of cartesian morphisms over morphisms in $\mathcal{B}^B$ and $\mathcal{E}^F$ to consist of all morphisms lying over morphisms in $\mathcal{B}^F$. 

Proposition 2.3.2. In the situation of Definition 2.3.1, the triple \((\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^F, \mathcal{E}^B)\) is adequate. Moreover, we have a pullback square of simplicial sets

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B}).
\end{array}
\]

This is a consequence of the following simple observation:

Lemma 2.3.3. Let \(p: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}\) be an inner fibration, and suppose we have a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
a' & \xrightarrow{f'} & b' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
a & \xrightarrow{\beta} & b \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
a & \xrightarrow{f} & b
\end{array}
\]

in \(\mathcal{B}\). If \(\bar{b}' \to \bar{b}\) is a morphism in \(\mathcal{E}\) over \(\beta\) and there exist \(p\)-cartesian morphisms \(\bar{a} \to \bar{b}\) over \(f\) and \(\bar{a}' \to \bar{b}'\) over \(f'\), then the commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{a}' & \xrightarrow{} & \bar{b}' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{a} & \xrightarrow{} & \bar{b}
\end{array}
\]

(where the left vertical morphism is induced by the universal property of \(\bar{a} \to \bar{b}\)) is cartesian.

Proof. For any \(\bar{x} \in \mathcal{E}\) over \(x \in \mathcal{B}\) we have a commutative cube

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(\bar{x}, \bar{a}') & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(\bar{x}, \bar{b}') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(\bar{x}, \bar{a}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_\mathcal{B}(x, a') & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_\mathcal{B}(x, b') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_\mathcal{B}(x, a) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_\mathcal{B}(x, b).
\end{array}
\]

Here the bottom face is cartesian since \(a'\) is a pullback, and the front and back faces are cartesian since the morphisms \(\bar{a} \to \bar{b}\) and \(\bar{a}' \to \bar{b}'\) are \(p\)-cartesian. Therefore the top face is also cartesian. Since this holds for all \(\bar{x} \in \mathcal{E}\) this means \(\bar{a}'\) is the pullback \(\bar{a} \times_\mathcal{E} \bar{b}'\), as required. \(\square\)

Proof of Proposition 2.3.2. Adequacy follows immediately from Lemma 2.3.3. Moreover, this lemma also shows that an \(n\)-simplex of \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})\) lies in \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})\) if and only if it maps to an \(n\)-simplex of \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B})\), giving the pullback square. \(\square\)

Definition 2.3.4. For \(K\) a simplicial set, let \(\text{Tw}_B^r(K)\) denote the marked simplicial set \((\text{Tw}_r(K), B)\) where \(B\) is the set of “backwards” maps, i.e. those lying in the image of \(K^{op} \to \text{Tw}_r(K)\).

In the remaining part of this subsection we give a reformulation of the results of [Bar17, §12] that will be convenient for us.
Proposition 2.3.5. For $0 < k < n$, the map $\text{Tw}^r_B(\Lambda^n_k)^{\text{op}} \to \text{Tw}^r_B(\Delta^n)^{\text{op}}$ is marked anodyne in the sense of [Lur09, Definition 3.1.1.1].

Proof. This follows from the filtration defined in [Bar17, §12], using [Bar17, Proposition 12.14]. □

Corollary 2.3.6. If $\mathcal{E} \to B$ is as in Definition 2.3.1, then
(i) $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)$ is an inner fibration.
(ii) $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)$ is an inner fibration.

Proof. To prove (i) we must show that there exists a lift in every commutative square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda^n_k & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)
\end{array}
\]
with $0 < k < n$. This is equivalent to giving a lift in the corresponding commutative square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Tw}^r \Lambda^n_k & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{E} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Tw}^r \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B.
\end{array}
\]
Here the lift exists by Proposition 2.3.5, since by definition the backwards maps go to cartesian morphisms in $\mathcal{E}$. Now (ii) follows from the pullback square in Proposition 2.3.2. □

Proposition 2.3.7. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \to B$ be as in Definition 2.3.1, and assume that in addition $\mathcal{E}$ has locally $p$-cocartesian edges over morphisms in $B^F$. Then:
(i) $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)$ is a locally cocartesian fibration,
(ii) $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)$ is a locally cocartesian fibration,

A span $X \xleftarrow{\phi} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ in $\mathcal{E}$ is locally $p$-cocartesian if and only if $g$ is a locally $p$-cocartesian morphism in $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. We first prove (i). Consider a 1-simplex $\phi$ of $\text{Span}_{B,F}(B)$, which corresponds to a span $b \xleftarrow{\phi} b' \xrightarrow{\phi} b''$ in $B$. We wish to show that the pullback $\phi^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \Delta^1$ is a cocartesian fibration. Pick an object $e$ of $\mathcal{E}$ lying over $b$. Then a 1-simplex of $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})$ with source $e$ lying over $\phi$ is a span $e \xleftarrow{\phi} e' \xrightarrow{\phi} e''$ where $\bar{f}$ is a cartesian morphism over $f$ and $\bar{g}$ is any morphism over $g$. The space of maps from $e$ to $e''$ in $\phi^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})$ can therefore be identified with the space $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{E}}(e',e'')_g$ of maps in $\mathcal{E}$ lying over $g$. From this it follows immediately that if $\bar{g}: e' \to e''$ is a locally cocartesian morphism from $e'$ over $g$ then the span $e \xleftarrow{\phi} e' \xrightarrow{\phi} e''$ is locally cocartesian, as required. This proves (i), from which (ii) follows by the pullback square of Proposition 2.3.2. □

Corollary 2.3.8. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \to B$ be as in Definition 2.3.1, and assume in addition:
(1) $\mathcal{E}$ has $p$-cocartesian edges over morphisms in $B^F$.
(2) Consider a pullback square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
a' & \xrightarrow{f'} & b' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
a & \xrightarrow{g} & b
\end{array}
\]
in \(B\) with \(\alpha, \beta\) in \(B^F\) and \(f', f\) in \(B_B\). Let \(b'\) be an object of \(E\) over \(b'\), and suppose \(\bar{b}' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bar{b}\) is a \(p\)-cocartesian morphism over \(\beta\) and \(\bar{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\beta} \bar{\beta}\) and \(\bar{\alpha}' \xrightarrow{f'} \bar{b}\) are \(p\)-cartesian morphisms over \(f\) and \(f'\). Then in the commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{a}' & \xrightarrow{f'} & \bar{b}' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{a} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \bar{b}
\end{array}
\]

induced by the universal property of \(f\), the morphism \(\bar{\alpha}\) is again \(p\)-cocartesian.

Then \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(B) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)\) is a cocartesian fibration.

Proof. We know from Proposition 2.3.7 that \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(E) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)\) is a locally cocartesian fibration. By \([Lur09, \text{Proposition 2.4.2.8}]\) it therefore suffices to show that the locally cocartesian morphisms are closed under composition. Lemma 2.3.3 shows that this is indeed the case under the given assumptions. \(\square\)

3. Day Convolution for Double \(\infty\)-Categories

In this section we carry out the main technical construction of this paper: We show that for a certain class of double \(\infty\)-categories \(M\), there exists a Day convolution double \(\infty\)-category \(\tilde{M}_S\) such that for any non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad \(O\) we have a natural equivalence

\[\text{Alg}_O(\tilde{M}_S) \simeq \text{Seg}_O \times_{\text{DO}_{op} M} (S)\]

In \(\S\)3.1 we introduce an “unfolding” construction that we use to define \(\tilde{M}_S\) in \(\S\)3.2; we then establish the universal property in \(\S\)3.3. Next we prove in \(\S\)3.4 that we may view associative algebras in \(\tilde{M}\) as algebras in a family of monoidal \(\infty\)-categories. We also consider enriched variants of the Day convolution construction in \(\S\)3.5, and in \(\S\)3.6 we illustrate the theory by discussing the example of enriched \(\infty\)-categories.

3.1. An Unfolding Construction. Suppose we have a cocartesian fibration \(p: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{U}\) and a cartesian fibration \(q: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{B}\). Our goal in this subsection is to construct for every cocomplete \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{X}\) a cocartesian fibration \(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_X \to \mathcal{B}\) with the universal property that for any functor \(\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}\) there is a natural equivalence

\[\text{Map}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}(\mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{X})\]

Remark 3.1.1. Recall that a functor of \(\infty\)-categories \(f\) is called an exponentiable or flat fibration if the functor \(f^*\) given by pullback along \(f\) has a right adjoint \(f_*\). Both cartesian and cocartesian fibrations are examples of exponentiable fibrations, hence the composite \(qp: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}\) is an exponentiable fibration. The universal property of \(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_X\) is that of \((qp)_*(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{E})\), but it is not clear from the latter that \(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_X\) will be a cocartesian fibration if \(\mathcal{X}\) is cocomplete.

To define \(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_X\) we first introduce an “unfolding construction” that uses \(p\) and \(q\) to construct a functor \(\mathcal{B} \to \text{Span}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})\) that takes \(b \in \mathcal{B}\) to the fibre \(\mathcal{E}_b\) of the composite \(\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}\), and takes a morphism \(f: b \to b'\) to the top row in the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E}_b & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{E}_{b'} \\
\downarrow^{p_b} & \text{\rotatebox{90}{\hspace{1cm}}} & \downarrow^{p_{b'}} \\
\mathcal{U}_b & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_b} & \mathcal{U}_{b'},
\end{array}
\]
where $f^* : U_{\mathcal{F}} \to U$ is the functor given by cartesian pullback along $f$ and the left square is a pullback; an object of $f^* \mathcal{E}$ then corresponds to a pair $(x \in \mathcal{E}, u \in U_{\mathcal{F}})$ such that $p(x) \simeq f^* u$ in $U_{\mathcal{F}}$, and the top right morphism takes $(x, u)$ to the cocartesian pushforward $\bar{f}_! x$ where $\bar{f} : f^* u \to u$ is the $q$-cartesian morphism over $f$.

**Construction 3.1.2.** Let $q^\vee : U^\vee \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ be the cocartesian fibration dual to $q : U \to \mathcal{B}$ (i.e. the cocartesian fibration corresponding to the same functor as $q$). By [GHN17, Theorem 4.5], the free cocartesian fibration on $q^\vee$ is $U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} (\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})^{\Delta^1} \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$, where the fibre product uses $q^\vee$ and evaluation at $0$ and the functor to $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ uses evaluation at $1$. Since $q^\vee$ is a cocartesian fibration, the identity induces a functor $U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} (\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})^{\Delta^1} \to U$ over $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ that preserves cocartesian morphisms. Dualizing again, we obtain a morphism of cartesian fibrations $(U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} (\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})^{\Delta^1})^\vee \to U$ that preserves cartesian morphisms. The following lemma identifies the source of this functor with $U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})$:

**Lemma 3.1.3.** For any functor $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$, the cartesian fibration $(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}^{\Delta^1})^\vee \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ dual to the free cocartesian fibration on $f$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$.

**Proof.** We can write the cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}^{\Delta^1} \to \mathcal{B}$ as the fibre product $((\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}) \times_{(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B})} \mathcal{B}^{\Delta^1})$ of cocartesian fibrations over $\mathcal{B}$. Since dualization of fibrations is an equivalence of $\infty$-categories, it preserves fibre products, hence we obtain an equivalence $(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}^{\Delta^1})^\vee \simeq (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}) \times_{(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}})} (\mathcal{B}^{\Delta^1})^\vee \simeq \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{B}^{\Delta^1})^\vee$.

By [HMS19, Proposition A.2.4] the dual of $\mathcal{B}^{\Delta^1} \to \mathcal{B}$ is $\text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$, which completes the proof. □

**Definition 3.1.4.** Given a cartesian fibration $U \to \mathcal{B}$, we have constructed a canonical functor $c_U : U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B}) \to U$.

For $\mathcal{E} \to U$ a cocartesian fibration, we define the unfolding $\text{Unf}(\mathcal{E})$ as the fibre product $(U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B})) \times_{U} \mathcal{E}$, using $c_U$, and write $\mathcal{E}$ for the induced map $\text{Unf}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E}$ over $c_U$. The projection $\text{Unf}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B})$ is then a cocartesian fibration, since it decomposes as a composite $(U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B})) \times_{U} \mathcal{E} \to U^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}} \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B})$, where the first map is a pullback of the cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{E} \to U$ and the second is a pullback of the cocartesian fibration $U^\vee \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$. 
**Remark 3.1.5.** Given a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Unf}(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{} & \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Unf}(\mathcal{E}) \\
(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{U})^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{op}} \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{} & \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{U} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{U} \\
\text{Tw}'(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{} & \mathcal{C} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B}) \\
\text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{} & \mathcal{B}.
\end{array}
$$

In the top cube, the back and front faces are cartesian by definition of unfolding, and the right face is cartesian since the bottom right and right composite squares are cartesian. This implies that the left square in the top cube is cartesian. Moreover, since dualization of fibrations is compatible with pullbacks we have $(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{U})^\vee \simeq \mathcal{U}^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{op}} \mathcal{C}^{op}$, and hence

$$(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{U})^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{op}} \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{U}^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{op}} \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{C}) \simeq (\mathcal{U}^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^{op}} \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B})) \times_{\text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B})} \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{C}).$$

The bottom left face in the diagram is therefore cartesian, and so the left composite square is a pullback. Thus unfolding is compatible with base change, in the sense that we have a natural equivalence

$$\text{Unf}(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B})} \text{Unf}(\mathcal{E}).$$

**Lemma 3.1.6.** The cocartesian fibration $\text{Unf}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B})$ corresponds to a functor $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{B} \to \text{Span}(\text{Cat}_\infty)$.

**Proof.** Given morphisms $a \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} c$ in $\mathcal{B}$, we have the commutative diagram of $\infty$-categories

and by Remark 2.2.8 we must show that the commutative square in the top level is cartesian. But in the commutative cube the bottom, back left, and front right faces are cartesian, hence so is the top face.

We can now define $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_X$ using the following construction:
Definition 3.1.7. For any ∞-category \( \mathcal{X} \), let \( p_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \text{Cat}_\infty \) denote the cartesian fibration corresponding to the functor \( \text{Fun}(-, \mathcal{X}): \text{Cat}^p_\infty \to \text{Cat}_\infty \). If \( \mathcal{X} \) is cocomplete, then this is also a cocartesian fibration (with cocartesian morphisms given by left Kan extensions). We then have a locally cocartesian fibration \( \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}}) \to \text{Span}(\text{Cat}_\infty) \) by Proposition 2.3.7, where \( \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}} \) is equipped with the triple structure from Definition 2.3.1.

Definition 3.1.8. Given a cocartesian fibration \( \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{U} \) and a cartesian fibration \( \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{B} \), we let \( \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \) for a cocomplete ∞-category \( \mathcal{X} \) be defined by the pullback

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} & \rightarrow & \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow p_X \\
\mathcal{B} & \rightarrow & \text{Span}(\text{Cat}_\infty).
\end{array}
\]

Then \( \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{B} \) is a locally cocartesian fibration.

Lemma 3.1.9. Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a cocomplete ∞-category. The locally cocartesian fibration \( \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{B} \) is a cocartesian fibration.

Proof. We must show that the locally cocartesian morphisms are closed under composition. For morphisms \( a \xrightarrow{f} b \xrightarrow{g} c \) in \( \mathcal{B} \), we have the cartesian square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
g^*f^*\mathcal{E}_a & \xrightarrow{F'} & g^*\mathcal{E}_b \\
\downarrow{G'} & & \downarrow{G} \\
f^*\mathcal{E}_a & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E}_b
\end{array}
\]

as above, and we must show that the mate transformation

\[
F'(G'^* \to G^*F!)
\]

of functors \( \text{Fun}(f^*\mathcal{E}_a, \mathcal{X}) \to \text{Fun}(g^*\mathcal{E}_b, \mathcal{X}) \) is an equivalence. At \( \phi \in \text{Fun}(f^*\mathcal{E}_a, \mathcal{X}) \) and \( x \in g^*\mathcal{E}_b \), the mate transformation evaluates to the natural map of colimits

\[
\text{colim}_{y \in (g^*f^*\mathcal{E}_a)_x} \phi(G'y) \to \text{colim}_{z \in (f^*\mathcal{E}_a)_Gx} \phi(z)
\]

arising from the functor \( (g^*f^*\mathcal{E}_a)_x \to (f^*\mathcal{E}_a)_Gx \) induced by \( G \). It thus suffices to show that this functor is cofinal.

By definition, \( (g^*f^*\mathcal{E}_a)_x \) is the pullback \( g^*f^*\mathcal{E}_a \times_{g^*\mathcal{E}_b} (g^*\mathcal{E}_b)_x \). Since \( g^*\mathcal{E}_b \) and \( g^*f^*\mathcal{E}_a \) are pulled back along \( \mathcal{U}_c \to \mathcal{U}_b \), we can rewrite this to see that there is a natural pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(g^*f^*\mathcal{E}_a)_x & \rightarrow & (f^*\mathcal{E}_a)_Gx \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\mathcal{U}_c)_{/\pi_x} & \rightarrow & (\mathcal{U}_b)_{/\pi_xGx},
\end{array}
\]

where \( \pi_x \) denotes the projection \( \mathcal{E}_x \to \mathcal{U}_x \). In this square the right vertical functor is a cocartesian fibration, and the bottom horizontal functor is cofinal since both \( (\mathcal{U}_c)_{/\pi_x} \) and \( (\mathcal{U}_b)_{/\pi_xGx} \) have a terminal object, which is preserved by this functor. It follows by [Lur09, Proposition 4.1.2.15] that the top horizontal functor is also cofinal, as required.

Remark 3.1.10. The cocartesian fibration \( \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{B} \) corresponds to a functor \( \mathcal{B} \to \text{Cat}_\infty \). This takes \( b \in \mathcal{B} \) to \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}_b, \mathcal{X}) \) and a morphism \( f: b \to b' \) to the composite functor

\[
\text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}_b, \mathcal{X}) \to \text{Fun}(f^*\mathcal{E}_b, \mathcal{X}) \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}_{b'}, \mathcal{X})
\]

where the first functor is given by composition with \( f^*\mathcal{E}_b \to \mathcal{E}_b \) and the second by left Kan extension along \( f^*\mathcal{E}_b \to \mathcal{E}_{b'} \). Both \( f^*\mathcal{E}_b \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{b'} \) are cocartesian fibrations over \( \mathcal{U}_{b'} \), and the functor \( f_i: f^*\mathcal{E}_b \to \mathcal{E}_{b'} \) preserves cocartesian morphisms. The following lemma therefore implies that the left Kan
extension along \( f \) can be computed fibrewise, i.e. for \( \Phi: f^* \mathcal{E}_b \to \mathcal{X} \) and \( x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}} \) over \( u \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Y}} \) we have

\[
(f_!): \Phi(x) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{(\mathcal{E}_{b,f^*u})/x} \Phi,
\]

where we have used the equivalence \((f^* \mathcal{E}_b)_u \simeq \mathcal{E}_{b,f^*u}\), and the slice \((\mathcal{E}_{b,f^*u})/x\) is defined using the functor \( \mathcal{E}_{b,f^*u} \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y},u} \) given by cocartesian pushforward along the cartesian morphism \( f: f^*u \to u \). We obtain the following description of the functor \( \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{E}_b, \mathcal{X}) \to \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{X}) \) arising from the cocartesian fibration \( \mathcal{E}_X \) to \( \mathcal{B} \): For \( \Psi: \mathcal{E}_b \to \mathcal{X} \), its image is the functor \( \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathcal{X} \) that to \( x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Y},u} \) assigns

\[
\operatorname{colim}_{(y,fy \to x) \in (\mathcal{E}_{b,f^*u})/x} \Psi(y).
\]

**Lemma 3.1.11.** Consider a commutative triangle of \( \infty \)-categories

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{F} \\
p \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
\mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{G}
\end{array}
\]

where \( p \) and \( q \) are cocartesian fibrations and \( f \) preserves cocartesian morphisms. Then for \( x \in \mathcal{F}_b \) the inclusion

\[
\mathcal{E}_{b/x} := \mathcal{E}_b \times_{\mathcal{F}_b} \mathcal{F}_{b/x} \to \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{b/x} =: \mathcal{E}_{b/x}
\]

is cofinal. In particular, if \( \mathcal{C} \) is a cocomplete \( \infty \)-category then the left Kan extension \( f_! F \) along \( f \) of any functor \( F: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{C} \) can be computed fibrewise over \( \mathcal{B} \), i.e. for \( x \in \mathcal{F}_b \) we have

\[
f_! F(x) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{y \in \mathcal{E}_{b/x}} F(y).
\]

**Proof.** By [Lur09, Theorem 4.1.3.1] it suffices to check that for every object \( \eta = (y, f(y) \xrightarrow{\psi} x) \) in \( \mathcal{E}_{b/x} \), the \( \infty \)-category \( (\mathcal{E}_{b/x})_{\eta/y} \) is weakly contractible. But this \( \infty \)-category has an initial object, given by the cocartesian morphism \( \psi: y \to y' \) over \( q(\phi) \) together with the canonical factorization \( f(y) \xrightarrow{\psi} f(y') \to x \) that exists since \( f(\psi) \) is again a cocartesian morphism. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.1.12.** We can identify sections of the cocartesian fibration \( \mathcal{E}_X \) as follows: For any functor \( \phi: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B} \), we have

\[
\operatorname{Map}_{/\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}_X) \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\phi} \operatorname{Span}_{B,P}(\mathcal{F}_X) \\
\downarrow \phi \\
\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\mu_C} \operatorname{Span}(\operatorname{Cat}_{\infty})
\end{array} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{Tw}^r(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{F}_X \\
\downarrow \operatorname{Tw}^r(\phi) \\
\operatorname{Tw}^r(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\mu_C} \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

By the pullback square in Proposition 2.3.2, the only condition for a point of the right-hand \( \infty \)-groupoid to lie in the image of \( \operatorname{Map}_{/\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}_X) \) is that the functor \( \operatorname{Tw}^r(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{F}_X \) takes morphisms in \( \operatorname{Tw}^r(\mathcal{C}) \) of the form

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
c & \xrightarrow{f} & c \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
d & \xleftarrow{f} & c
\end{array}
\]
to cartesian morphisms in $F_X$. This amounts to the natural transformation

$$
\phi(f)^* E_{\phi(c)} \xrightarrow{\Phi(f)} \phi_X \xrightarrow{\Phi(id_e)} X
$$

being an equivalence. Since $F_X$ is by definition the cartesian fibration for the functor $Fun(-, X)$ we can use [GHN17, Proposition 7.3] and Remark 3.1.5 to obtain an equivalence

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
Tw^*(C) \xrightarrow{\text{eq}} F_X \\
Tw^*(\phi) \xrightarrow{p_X} X
\end{array} \right. \simeq \text{Map}(Tw^*(C) \times_{Tw^*(B)} \text{Unf}(C), X) \simeq \text{Map}(\text{Unf}(C \times_B C), X),
$$

natural in $C$, under which $\text{Map}_{/B}(C, \tilde{F}_X)$ is identified with the functors $Tw^*(C) \times_{Tw^*(B)} \text{Unf}(C) \rightarrow X$ that take morphisms

$$(f : c \rightarrow d, e \in E_{\phi(c)}), u \in U_{\phi(d)}, \pi_{\phi(c)} e \simeq \phi(f)^* u \rightarrow (id_c, e, \phi(f)^* u, \pi_{\phi(c)} e \simeq \phi(f)^* u),$$

over the morphism in $Tw^*(C)$ above, to equivalences in $X$.

**Notation 3.1.13.** Let $W_{U/B}$ denote the class of morphisms in $U^\vee \times_{B=\phi} Tw^*(B)$ (or $\text{Unf}(U)$) of the form

$$(u \in U_b, f : a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (f^* u, id_a),$$

corresponding to the cocartesian morphism $u \rightarrow f^* u$ in $U^\vee$ and the morphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
a & \xrightarrow{f} & b \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\phi & \xrightarrow{id} & \phi
\end{array}
$$

in $Tw^*(B)$; this is the union over $b \in B$ of the classes $W_{U/B,b}$ of such morphisms that lie over $b$. Then let $\overline{W}_{C/B}$ denote the class of morphisms in $\text{Unf}(C)$ consisting of cocartesian morphisms lying over the morphisms in $W_U$.

**Remark 3.1.14.** Using this notation, Remark 3.1.12 identifies the space $\text{Map}_{/B}(C, \tilde{F}_X)$ of sections with the space of functors $\text{Unf}(C \times_B C) \rightarrow X$ that take the morphisms in $\overline{W}_{C \times_B C}/C$ to equivalences in $X$, or equivalently the space

$$
\text{Map}(\text{Unf}(C \times_B C)[\overline{W}_{C \times_B C}/C], X)
$$

of functors from the localization at $\overline{W}_{C \times_B C}/C$. Our next goal is to identify this localization with $C \times_B C$.

**Proposition 3.1.15.** The functor $\epsilon_U : U^\vee \times_{B=\phi} Tw^*(B) \rightarrow U$ exhibits $U$ as the localization at the class $W_{U/B}$.

**Proof.** The functor $\epsilon_U$ is by construction a map of cartesian fibrations over $B$ that preserves cartesian morphisms. On fibres over $b \in B$, the functor

$$
\epsilon_{U,b} : U^\vee \times_{B=\phi} (B_b)^{op} \rightarrow U_b
$$

takes $(u \in U_b, f : b \rightarrow a)$ to $f^* u \in U_b$. This has a canonical section $s_b$, taking $u \in U_b$ to $(u, id_b)$. Moreover, the cocartesian morphisms in $U^\vee$ determine a natural transformation $id \rightarrow s_b \epsilon_{U,b}$, given
for \((u, f : b \to a)\) by the cartesian morphism \(u \to f^*u\) and the triangle

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  b \\
  \downarrow f \\
  a
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
  b \\
  \downarrow f \\
  a
\end{array}
\]

It follows that \(\mathcal{U}_b\) exhibits \(\mathcal{U}_b\) as the localization of \(\mathcal{U}^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^\vee} (\mathcal{B}_b)^{\text{op}}\) at the class \(W_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}}\). The same argument also shows that \(\mathcal{U}_b\) is the localization at the larger class \(W'_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}}\) of morphisms

\[
(u, f : b \to a) \to (u', f' : b \to a')
\]

over

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  b \\
  \downarrow f \\
  a
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
  b \\
  \downarrow f \\
  a
\end{array}
\]

and \(\alpha^*u \to u'\), such that the induced morphism \(f^*u \cong f'^*\alpha^*u \to f'^*u'\) is an equivalence in \(\mathcal{U}_b\). This class is compatible with cartesian pullback, in the sense that \(\beta^*W'_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}} \subseteq W'_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}, b'}\) for \(\beta : b' \to b\), and so [Hin16, Proposition 2.1.4] implies that \(\mathcal{U}_b\) exhibits \(\mathcal{U}\) as the localization of \(\mathcal{U}^\vee \times_{\mathcal{B}^\vee} \text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B})\) at \(W'_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}} := \bigcup_b W'_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}, b}\). It thus only remains to see that localizing at \(W'_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}}\) is the same as localizing at \(W_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{B}}\), which follows from applying the 2-for-3 property of localizations using the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  b \\
  \downarrow f \\
  a
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
  b \\
  \downarrow f \\
  a
\end{array}
\]

in \(\text{Tw}'(\mathcal{B})\).

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.1.16.** The functor \(\mathfrak{C}_U : \text{Unf}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{E}\) exhibits \(\mathcal{E}\) as the localization of \(\text{Unf}(\mathcal{E})\) at the class \(W_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B}}\).

**Proof.** It follows from [Hin16, Proposition 2.1.4] and its proof that if \(\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}\) is a cartesian fibration and \(\eta : \mathcal{Y}' \to \mathcal{Y}\) exhibits \(\mathcal{Y}'\) as the localization of \(\mathcal{Y}\) at the morphisms in \(W\), then the canonical functor \(\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}\) from the pullback \(\mathcal{X}'\) of \(\mathcal{X}\) along \(\eta\) exhibits \(\mathcal{X}'\) as the localization of \(\mathcal{X}\) at the cartesian morphisms over \(W\).

From this the universal property of \(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}}\) now follows using Remark 3.1.14:

**Corollary 3.1.17.** For any \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{X}\), there is a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Map}_{/\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \text{Map}(\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{X}),
\]

natural in \(\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_{\infty/\mathcal{B}}\).

**Remark 3.1.18.** We remark briefly on the naturality of the construction in the cartesian fibration. Suppose then that we have a commutative triangle

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  \mathcal{E}_0 \\
  \downarrow p_0 \\
  \mathcal{U},
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
  \mathcal{E}_1 \\
  \downarrow p_1
\end{array}
\]

and a cartesian fibration \(q : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{B}\). We can replace the triangle by a cocartesian fibration \(p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{U} \times \Delta^1\) and then apply the construction to \(p\) and \(q \times \Delta^1 : \mathcal{U} \times \Delta^1 \to \mathcal{B} \times \Delta^1\) to obtain for any cocomplete \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{X}\) a cocartesian fibration \(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{B} \times \Delta^1\). By naturality of the construction
the fibres at \(i = 0, 1\) identify with \(\tilde{E}_{i,x} \to \mathcal{B}\) and so this cocartesian fibration corresponds to a commutative triangle

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{E}_{0,x} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{E}_{1,x} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & 
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal functor preserves cocartesian morphisms. On the fibre over \(b \in \mathcal{B}\), this is the functor \(\text{Fun}(\tilde{E}_{0,b}, X) \to \text{Fun}(\tilde{E}_{1,b}, X)\) given by left Kan extension along \(\phi_b: \tilde{E}_{0,b} \to \tilde{E}_{1,b}\). Making the same construction with \(\Delta^1\) replaced by \(\Delta^n\) for all \(n\) it is easy to see that we get a functor \((-)_x\) from (small) cocartesian fibrations over \(\mathcal{B}\) to (large) cocartesian fibrations over \(\mathcal{B}\).

### 3.2. The Day Convolution Double \(\infty\)-Category

We now apply the construction of the previous subsection to obtain the Day convolution for a double \(\infty\)-category. First we need some notation:

**Definition 3.2.1.** Let \(\Sigma^n\) denote the partially ordered set of pairs of integers \((i, j)\), \(0 \leq i \leq j \leq n\), with \((i, j) \leq (i', j')\) if \(i \leq i' \leq j \leq j'\). This determines a functor \(\Sigma^n: \Delta \to \text{Cat}\) by taking \(\phi: [n] \to [m]\) to the functor \(\Sigma^n \to \Sigma^m\) that sends \((i, j)\) to \((\phi(i), \phi(j))\); we write \(\Sigma^n \to \Delta^{op}\) for the cartesian fibration corresponding to this functor. We can also define a functor \(\Pi: \Sigma \to \Delta^{op}\) by sending \(([n], (i, j))\) to \([j - i]\), with a map \(([n], (i, j)) \to ([m], (i', j'))\), which corresponds to a map \(\phi: [m] \to [n]\) in \(\Delta\) such that \((i, j) \leq (\phi(i'), \phi(j'))\) in \(\Sigma^n\). To the map \([j' - i'] \to [j - i]\) obtained by restricting \(\phi\) to a map \([i', i'] + 1, \ldots, j'] \to \{i, i + 1, \ldots, j\}\).

**Remark 3.2.2.** We can identify \(\Sigma^n\) with \(\text{Tw}^r(\Delta^n)\) and with \(\text{Tw}^{\text{int,op}}(\Delta^n)\).

**Definition 3.2.3.** Let \(M \to \Delta^{op}\) be a double \(\infty\)-category. Then the base change

\[\Sigma M := M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma \to \Sigma\]

along the functor \(\Pi\) is a cocartesian fibration. Applying the unfolding construction of the previous subsection to this together with the cartesian fibration \(\Sigma \to \Delta^{op}\), we get a new cocartesian fibration \(\text{Unf}(\Sigma M) \to \text{Tw}^r(\Delta^{op})\), corresponding to a functor \(\text{Unf}(\Sigma M): \Delta^{op} \to \text{Span}(\text{Cat}_\infty)\), from which we obtain another cocartesian fibration

\[
\tilde{M}^\Sigma := \Sigma M \to \Delta^{op},
\]

where \(X\) is any cocomplete \(\infty\)-category.

**Remark 3.2.4.** The cocartesian fibration \(\tilde{M}^\Sigma \to \Delta^{op}\) corresponds to a functor \(\Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_\infty\) that takes \([n]\) to \(\text{Fun}(\times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n, X)\) and a morphism \(\phi: [m] \to [n]\) in \(\Delta\) to

\[
\text{Fun}(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n, X) \to \text{Fun}(\phi^* (M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n), X) \to \text{Fun}(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^m, X)
\]

where \(\phi^*(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n)\) is equivalently the pullback \(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^m\) along the composite \(\Sigma^m \to \Delta^{op}\), the first functor is given by composition with the induced functor \(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n \to \phi^*(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n)\), and the second by left Kan extension along \(\phi^*(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n)\) to \(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^m\). Since both \(\phi^*(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^n)\) and \(M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^m\) are cocartesian fibrations over \(\Sigma^m\), and the functor preserves cocartesian morphisms, Lemma 3.1.11 implies that this left Kan extension is given at \((x \in M_{j-i}, (i, j) \in \Sigma^n)\) by the colimit over

\[
M_{\phi(j)-\phi(i)/x} := M_{\phi(j)-\phi(i)} \times_{M_{j-i}} M_{j-i}/x,
\]

where the functor \(M_{\phi(j)-\phi(i)} \to M_{j-i}\) arises from the cocartesian morphisms over the restriction of \(\phi\) to an (active) morphism \([j - i] \cong \{i, i + 1, \ldots, j\} \to \{\phi(i), \phi(i) + 1, \ldots, \phi(j)\} \cong [\phi(j) - \phi(i)].\) Note in particular that this is the identity if \(\phi\) is an inert morphism.

**Remark 3.2.5.** Continuing from Remark 3.2.4, let us spell out the description a little further in the case of \(d_1: [1] \to [2]\). A functor \(F: M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma^1 \to X\) assigns to every \(x \in M_1\) a span

\[
F(d_1, x) \leftrightarrow F(x) \to F(d_0, x)
\]
in $\mathcal{X}$, while a functor $G: M \times_{\Delta^0} \Sigma^2 \to \mathcal{X}$ assigns to $(x, y) \in M_2 \simeq M_1 \times_{M_0} M_1$ a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G(x) & \rightarrow & G(y) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G(d_{0,1}x) & \leftarrow & G(d_{1,1}y)
\end{array}
$$

In the first step, this is taken to the functor $d_1^*(M \times_{\Delta^0} \Sigma^2) \to \mathcal{X}$ that assigns the span

$$
G(d_{0,1}x) \leftarrow G(x) \rightarrow G(d_{1,1}y),
$$

which then in the second step is taken to the functor $M \times_{\Delta^0} \Sigma^1 \to \mathcal{X}$ that assigns

$$
G(d_{0,1}x) \leftarrow \text{colim}_{(x', y') \in M_{2/x}} G(x', y') \rightarrow G(d_{1,1}x),
$$

where the colimit is over the fibre product $M_{2/x} := M_2 \times_{M_1} M_{1/x}$ defined using $d_{1,1}: M_2 \to M_1$.

**Remark 3.2.6.** Note that if $\phi: [m] \to [n]$ is an inert morphism in $\Delta$, then $\phi^*(M \times_{\Delta^0} \Sigma^n) \rightarrow M \times_{\Delta^0} \Sigma^m$ is an equivalence, and so the functor

$$
\text{Fun}(M \times_{\Delta^0} \Sigma^n, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(M \times_{\Delta^0} \Sigma^m, \mathcal{X})
$$

is simply given by restriction.

We now define a subobject of $\tilde{M}^+_{\mathcal{X}}$ that, in good cases, will be a double $\infty$-category:

**Definition 3.2.7.** Let $\wedge^n$ be the full subcategory of $\Sigma^n$ on the objects $(i, j)$ such that $j - i \leq 1$. We define $\wedge M_n$ to be the pullback

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\wedge M_n & \rightarrow & \Sigma M_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\wedge^n & \rightarrow & \Sigma^n
\end{array}
$$

and write $\tilde{M}_X$ for the full subcategory of $\tilde{M}^+_{\mathcal{X}}$ spanned by the functors $\Sigma M_n \to \mathcal{X}$ that are right Kan extensions of their restrictions to $\wedge M_n$, for all $n$.

**Lemma 3.2.8.** A functor $F: \Sigma M_n \to \mathcal{X}$ is a right Kan extension of its restriction to $\wedge M_n$ if and only if for every object $((i, j) \in \Sigma^n, x_{ij} \in M_{j-i})$ and every integer $k$, $i \leq k \leq j$, the commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(x_{ij}) & \rightarrow & F(x_{ik}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(x_{kj}) & \rightarrow & F(x_{kk})
\end{array}
$$

is cartesian, where $x_{ij} \rightarrow x_{i'j'}$ denotes the cocartesian morphism over $(i, j) \rightarrow (i', j')$.

**Proof.** For $\xi = ((i, j) \in \Sigma^n, x_{ij} \in M_{j-i})$, let $\wedge M'_{\xi}$ denote the full subcategory of $\wedge M_{\xi} := \wedge M_n \times_{\Sigma M_n} \Sigma M_{n/\xi}$ spanned by the cocartesian morphisms. Then $\wedge M'_{\xi} \simeq \wedge_{(i,j)} \wedge_{\xi}^{j-1}$ and the inclusion $\wedge M'_{\xi} \hookrightarrow \wedge M_{\xi}$ is coinitial. It follows that $F$ is a right Kan extension of its restriction to $\wedge M_n$ if and only if $F(x_{ij})$ is the limit over $F(x_{i'j'})$ with $(i', j') \in \wedge^n_{(i,j)}$. An easy inductive argument now finishes the proof. $\square$

**Definition 3.2.9.** Let $M$ be a double $\infty$-category and $\mathcal{X}$ a cocomplete $\infty$-category with pullbacks. Fix an active morphism $\phi: [m] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$, an object $x_{0m} \in M_m$, and an integer $0 \leq k \leq m$. Suppose given functors

$$
F_{0k}: M_{\phi(k)\geq x_{0k}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X},
$$
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where $x_{0m} \to x_{ij}$ is the cartesian morphism over $\{i, \ldots, j\} \mapsto [m]$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\phi(j)/x_{ij}}$ is defined using the restriction of $\phi$ to an active morphism

$[j-i] \cong \{i, i+1, \ldots, j\} \mapsto \{\phi(j), \phi(j)+1, \ldots, \phi(i)\} \cong \{\phi(j) - \phi(i)\}$,

together with natural transformations $F_{0k} \to F_{kk}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\phi(k)/x_{ik}}}$, $F_{km} \to F_{kk}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\phi(k)/x_{km}}}$.

Then define $F_{0m} : \mathcal{M}_{n/x_{0m}} \to \mathcal{X}$ as the fibre product $F_{0k} \times_{F_{kk}} F_{km}$ using these natural transformations and the equivalence $\mathcal{M}_{n/x_{0m}} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\phi(k)/x_{ak}} \times \mathcal{M}_{0/x_{kk}} \mathcal{M}_{n-\phi(k)/x_{km}}$. We then have an equivalence

$$\text{colim } F_{0m} \cong \text{colim } \mathcal{M}_{n/x_{0m}} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\phi(k)/x_{ak}} \times \mathcal{M}_{0/x_{kk}} \mathcal{M}_{n-\phi(k)/x_{km}} F_{0k} \times F_{kk} F_{km}.$$ 

This induces a canonical distributivity morphism

$$\text{colim } F_{0m} \to \left( \text{colim } \mathcal{M}_{\phi(k)/x_{ak}} F_{0k} \right) \times \left( \text{colim } \mathcal{M}_{0/x_{kk}} \mathcal{M}_{n-\phi(k)/x_{km}} F_{km} \right).$$

We say $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{X}$-admissible if this morphism is always an equivalence, i.e. if colimits over these slices of $\mathcal{M}$ distribute over pullbacks.

**Remark 3.2.10.** Given a functor $F : \Sigma \mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{X}$ that is right Kan extended from $\Lambda \mathcal{M}_n$, the condition of $\mathcal{X}$-admissibility implies an equivalence

$$\text{colim } F|_{\mathcal{M}_{n/x_{0m}}} \to \left( \text{colim } \mathcal{M}_{\phi(k)/x_{ak}} F|_{\mathcal{M}_{\phi(k)/x_{ak}}} \right) \times \left( \text{colim } \mathcal{M}_{0/x_{kk}} \mathcal{M}_{n-\phi(k)/x_{km}} F|_{\mathcal{M}_{0/x_{kk}}} \mathcal{M}_{n-\phi(k)/x_{km}} \right).$$

**Example 3.2.11.** If $\mathcal{M}_0$ is an $\infty$-groupoid (in which case we may view $\mathcal{M}$ as an $(\infty, 2)$-category, in the sense of a (not necessarily complete) 2-fold Segal space, then $(\mathcal{M}_0)/_{x_{kk}} \cong *$, so $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{X}$-admissible provided pullbacks in $\mathcal{X}$ preserve colimits, i.e. colimits in $\mathcal{X}$ are universal. In particular, $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{X}$-admissible for any $\infty$-topos $\mathcal{X}$.

**Proposition 3.2.12.** Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is an $X$-admissible double $\infty$-category. Then $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X$ is a double $\infty$-category.

**Proof.** Using the description of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X$ in Lemma 3.2.8 we see that the condition of $\mathcal{X}$-admissibility is precisely set up so that for $F \in (\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X)_n$ and $\phi : \{m\} \to \{n\}$ in $\Delta$, the cartesian morphism $F \to \phi F$ in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X$ lies in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X$. Hence $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X \to \Delta^{op}$ is a cartesian fibration. It remains to check that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X$ is a double $\infty$-category, i.e. that the functor

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{X,n} \to \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{X,1} \times \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{X,0} \cdots \times \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{X,0} \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{X,1}$$

is an equivalence. From the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_X$ it is immediate that we may identify $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{X,n}$ with $\text{Fun}(\Lambda \mathcal{M}_n, \mathcal{X})$, where $\Lambda \mathcal{M}_n$ is equivalent to the pullback $\mathcal{M} \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Lambda^n$. Under this equivalence our functor is given by composition with

$$\Lambda \mathcal{M}_1 \Pi \Lambda \mathcal{M}_0 \cdots \Pi \Lambda \mathcal{M}_0 \Lambda \mathcal{M}_1 \to \Lambda \mathcal{M}_n.$$ 

Since $\mathcal{M} \to \Delta^{op}$ is a cartesian fibration, pullback along it preserves colimits, hence this functor is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{M} \times_{\Delta^{op}} \left( \Lambda \mathcal{M}_0 \cdots \Lambda \mathcal{M}_0 \Lambda \mathcal{M}_1 \right) \to \mathcal{M} \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Lambda^n,$$

which is an equivalence by [Hau18, Proposition 5.13].

In the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is $S$, we can give a more explicit description of the $\infty$-category $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_S(F, G)$ of horizontal morphisms from $F$ to $G$, i.e. the fibre of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{S,1} \to \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{S,0}^2$ at $(F, G)$:
Notation 3.2.13. Given \( F, G : M_0 \to S \) with corresponding left fibrations \( \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \to M_0 \), let \( M_{1,F,G} \to M_1 \) be the left fibration defined by the pullback

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{1,F,G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_0 \times M_0.
\end{array}
\]

This left fibration corresponds to the functor

\[
M_1 \to M_0^{\times 2} \xrightarrow{F \times G} S.
\]

Lemma 3.2.14. The \( \infty \)-category \( \Sigma M_1 \) is equivalent to the pushout \( (M_1 \times \Sigma^1) \amalg_{M_0 \times M_0} M_0 \).

Proof. By definition \( \Sigma M_1 \) is the pullback \( \Sigma^1 \times_{\Delta^0} M \). The category \( \Sigma^1 \) can be written as a pushout \( \Delta^1 \amalg_{\{0\}} \Delta^1 \), and since pullbacks along cocartesian fibrations preserve colimits we get a decomposition \( \Sigma M_1 \simeq (\Delta^1 \times_{\Delta^0} M) \amalg M_1 (\Delta^1 \times_{\Delta^0} M) \). By [GHN17, Lemma 3.8] (which summarizes results of [Lur09, §3.2.2]) for any cocartesian fibration \( \mathcal{E} \to \Delta^1 \) there is a pushout \( \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}_0 \times \Delta^1 \amalg_{\mathcal{E}_0 \times \{1\}} \mathcal{E}_1 \). Applying this we get an equivalence

\[
\Sigma M_1 \simeq (M_1 \times \Delta^1 \amalg_{\{1\}} M_0) \amalg (M_1 \times \Delta^1 \amalg_{\{1\}} M_0),
\]

which we can rewrite as the desired expression. \( \square \)

Proposition 3.2.15. Given \( F, G : M_0 \to S \), the \( \infty \)-category \( \widetilde{M}_S(F,G) \) is equivalent to \( \text{Fun}(M_{1,F,G}, S) \).

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.14 we have a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Fun}(\Sigma M_1, S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(M_1 \times \Sigma^1, S) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Fun}(M_0, S)^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(M_1, S)^{\times 2}.
\end{array}
\]

Now since \( \Sigma^1 \simeq \{0,1\}^2 \), for any \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{C} \) we can identify the fibre of

\[
\text{Fun}(\Sigma^1, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}^{\times 2}
\]
at \( x, y \) with \( \mathcal{C}_{/x,y} := \mathcal{C}_{/p} \) for the diagram \( p : \{0,1\} \to \mathcal{C} \) picking out \( x \) and \( y \), and if \( \mathcal{C} \) has products then \( \mathcal{C}_{/x,y} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{/x \times y} \) by the universal property of the limit. We therefore have an equivalence between the fibre of \( \text{Fun}(M_1 \times \Sigma^1, S) \to \text{Fun}(M_1, S)^{\times 2} \) at \( (\alpha, \beta) \) and \( \text{Fun}(M_1, S)_{/\alpha \times \beta} \). Now [GHN17, Proposition 9.7] describes this as \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, S) \) where \( \mathcal{E} \to M_1 \) is the left fibration for the functor \( \alpha \times \beta \). Together with the pullback square above, this identifies the fibre of \( \text{Fun}(\Sigma M_1, S) \to \text{Fun}(M_0, S)^{\times 2} \) at \( F, G \) with \( \text{Fun}(M_{1,F,G}, S) \), as required. \( \square \)

Remark 3.2.16. Let us reformulate the description of the horizontal composition from Remark 3.2.5 in terms of our description of horizontal morphisms: Suppose \( \Phi \) is a horizontal morphism from \( F \) to \( G \) and \( \Psi \) is a horizontal morphism from \( G \) to \( H \), so that we may view \( \Phi \) as a functor \( M_{1,F,G} \to S \) and \( \Psi \) as a functor \( M_{1,G,H} \to S \), then their composite is the functor \( M_{1,F,G} \to S \) given by

\[
(x,p \in F(x_0), q \in H(x_1)) \mapsto \colim_{y \in M_{2,x}} \colim_{p' \in F(y_0), \ q' \in H(x_2), \ r \in H(y_3)} \Phi(y_0, p', r) \times \Psi(y_1, r, q'),
\]

where \( y_{ij} \) and \( x_{ij} \) denote the cocartesian pushforwards of \( y \) and \( x \) along the inert inclusion of \( [j-i] \) as \( \{i, i+1, \ldots, j\} \).

Note that if the \( \infty \)-category \( M_0 \) is an \( \infty \)-groupoid, the formula above simplifies to

\[
(x,p \in F(x_0), q \in H(x_1)) \mapsto \colim_{y \in M_{2,x}} \colim_{r \in H(y_3)} \Phi(y_0, p, r) \times \Psi(y_1, r, q).
\]
Remark 3.2.17. More generally, we can write the composition of $n$ horizontal morphisms $\Phi_i: M_{1,F_{i-1},F_i} \to S$ as the functor $M_{1,F_0,F_n} \to S$ given by

$$(x,p \in F_0(x_0), q \in F_n(x_1)) \mapsto \lim_{y \in M_{n/x}(f_0,\ldots,f_n)} \Phi_1(y_{01},t_0,t_1) \cdots \Phi_n(y_{(n-1)n},t_{n-1},t_n),$$

where $F(y)_{p,q} := F(y_{00}p) \times F(y_{11}) \cdots \times F(y_{(n-1)(n-1)}) \times F(y_{nn})^q$.

**Remark 3.2.18.** Let $\hat{M}_X^{\otimes,*}$ denote the full subcategory of $\hat{M}_X$ spanned by functors $F: \Sigma M_n \to X$ such that for all maps $[0] \to [n]_2$ in $\Delta$ the composite $\Sigma M_0 \to \Sigma M \to X$ is constant at the terminal object of $X$. For such $F$ we have an equivalence

$$\lim_{M_{n/x}^{/\otimes}} F|_{M_{n/x}^{/\otimes}} \simeq \lim_{M_{n/x}^{/\otimes}} F|_{M_{n}\phi(k)/x_0k} \times \lim_{M_{n-\phi(k)/x}km} F|_{M_{n-\phi(k)/x}km},$$

giving a canonical morphism

$$\lim_{M_{n/x}^{/\otimes}} F|_{M_{n/x}^{/\otimes}} \to \left( \lim_{M_{n}\phi(k)/x_0k} F|_{M_{n}\phi(k)/x_0k} \right) \times \left( \lim_{M_{n-\phi(k)/x}km} F|_{M_{n-\phi(k)/x}km} \right).$$

$\hat{M}_X^{\otimes,*}$ is a monoidal $\infty$-category under the weaker hypothesis that this morphism is an equivalence. This holds, in particular, if $X$ has finite products that commute with colimits in each variable, and the functors

$$M_{n/x}^{/\otimes} \to M_{n}\phi(k)/x_0k \times M_{n-\phi(k)/x}km$$

are cofinal.

**Remark 3.2.19.** From Remark 3.1.18 we see that any morphism of double $\infty$-categories $\mu: N \to M$ (i.e. a functor over $\Delta^{op}$ that preserves cocartesian morphisms) induces a functor $\mu: \hat{N}_X \to \hat{M}_X$ (given by taking left Kan extensions). However, even if both $N$ and $M$ are $X$-admissible this does not necessarily restrict to a functor $\hat{N}_X \to \hat{M}_X$. Using Lemma 3.1.11 we see that this happens precisely when for every $x \in M_2 \simeq M_1 \times M_0$, the natural distributivity morphism

$$\lim_{N_{1/x_01}^{/\otimes} \times N_{1/x_{12}}} F_{01} \times F_{11} \times F_{12} \to \lim_{M_{1/x_01}^{/\otimes}} F_{01} \times \lim_{M_{1/x_12}^{/\otimes}} F_{11} \times \lim_{M_{1/x_{12}}^{/\otimes}} F_{12},$$

is an equivalence for all functors $F: N_2 \to S$ in $\hat{N}_X$. This happens, for instance, if $N_0/x_{11}$ is a contractible $\infty$-groupoid and colimits in $X$ are universal, or if $X$ is $S$ and all $\infty$-categories of the form $N_0/x_{11}$ and $N_1/x_{01}$ admit cofinal functors from $\infty$-groupoids (since colimits indexed by spaces distribute over limits by [CH19, Corollary 7.19]).

### 3.3. The Universal Property

Suppose $M$ is an $X$-admissible double $\infty$-category and $\emptyset$ is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad. Our goal in this subsection is to show that there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_\emptyset(\hat{M}_X) \simeq \text{Seg}_{\emptyset \times \Delta^{op}} M(X).$$

**Remark 3.3.1.** We already know from Corollary 3.1.17 that $\hat{M}_X^{+} \to \Delta^{op}$ has the universal property that there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Map}_{/\Delta^{op}}(J, \hat{M}_X^{+}) \simeq \text{Map}(J \times \Delta^{op} \times M(X).$$

Our first goal is to reduce the right-hand side to functors from $J \times \Delta^{op} \times M$ by a further localization.

**Remark 3.3.2.** For $M := \Delta^{op}$, the universal property we want was proved as [Hau19, Corollary 3.11], and our proof will build on the constructions made there.

### Notation 3.3.3

- The functor $\Pi: \hat{M}_X \to \Delta^{op}$ has a section $\Psi: \Delta^{op} \to \hat{M}_X$, taking $[n]$ to $([n],(0,n))$; there is also a natural transformation $\eta: \text{id}_{\hat{M}_X} \to \Psi \Pi$ given at $([n],(i,j))$ by the map $([n],(i,j)) \to ([j - i,j - i])$ lying over the inert map $\rho_{ij}: [j - i] \to [n]$. Note that $\Pi \eta \simeq \text{id}_{\hat{M}_X}$.
- We also have $p \Psi \simeq \text{id}_{\Delta^{op}}$, where $p$ is the Cartesian fibration $\Sigma \to \Delta^{op}$, and a natural transformation $\epsilon: \Psi p \to \text{id}_{\Delta^{op}}$ given at $([n],(i,j))$ by the natural maps $([n],(0,n)) \to ([n],(i,j))$. 

• We let $I$ denote the set of cartesian morphisms in $\Sigma$ that lie over inert morphisms in $\Delta^{op}$.

The functor $\Pi$ exhibits $\Delta^{op}$ as the localization of $\hat{\Sigma}$ at $I$ by [Hau19, Proposition 3.8]. We can extend this as follows:

**Proposition 3.3.4.** The projection $\Pi_M : \Sigma M \to M$ exhibits $M$ as the localization of $\Sigma M$ at the set $I_M$ of cocartesian morphisms that lie over $I$.

**Proof.** Let $W$ be the class of morphisms in $\hat{\Sigma}$ that are mapped to isomorphisms (i.e. identities) by $\Pi$ and let $W_M$ be the class of morphisms in $\Sigma M$ that are mapped to equivalences by $\Pi_M$; then $W_M$ is precisely the class of cocartesian morphisms over $W$.

The section $\Psi$ pulls back to a section $\Psi_M : M \to \Sigma M$, and since $\Pi \eta \simeq \text{id}_\Pi$ the transformation $\eta$ pulls back to a natural transformation $\eta_M : \text{id}_{\Sigma M} \to \Psi_M \Pi_M$. Then $\eta_M$ is componentwise given by cocartesian morphisms in $\Sigma$ that lie over morphisms in $W$, and so this data becomes an equivalence of $\infty$-categories after localizing at $W$. In particular, $\Pi_M$ exhibits $M$ as the localization of $\Sigma M$ at $W_M$. It thus only remains to see that the localization at $I_M$ is the same as the localization at $W_M$. Since the morphisms involved are cocartesian, this follows from the same 2-of-3 argument as in the proof of [Hau19, Proposition 3.8]. □

**Proposition 3.3.5.** Suppose $f : I \to \Delta^{op}$ is any functor such that $I$ has $f$-cocartesian morphisms over inert maps in $\Delta^{op}$. Then there is a functor $\hat{\Pi} : I \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma M \to I \times_{\Delta^{op}} M$ lying over $\Pi$, which exhibits $I \times_{\Delta^{op}} M$ as the localization at the set $I_M$ of morphisms whose image in $\Delta^{op}$ is inert, whose image in $I$ is cocartesian, and whose image in $\Sigma$ is cartesian (and whose image in $M$ is therefore an equivalence).

**Proof.** As the proof of [Hau19, Proposition 3.9], using the lifts defined in the proof of the previous proposition. □

**Corollary 3.3.6.** Suppose $f : I \to \Delta^{op}$ is any functor such that $I$ has $f$-cocartesian morphisms over inert maps in $\Delta^{op}$. Then there is an equivalence

$$\text{Map}^{\text{int}}_{/\Delta^{op}}(I, \hat{M}_X^+) \simeq \text{Map}(I \times_{\Delta^{op}} M, X),$$

natural in $I$, where $\text{Map}^{\text{int}}_{/\Delta^{op}}(I, \hat{M}_X^+)$ denotes the subspace of $\text{Map}_{/\Delta^{op}}(I, \hat{M}_X^+)$ consisting of functors that preserve the cocartesian morphisms over inert maps in $\Delta^{op}$.

**Proof.** Using Proposition 3.3.5 we may identify $\text{Map}(I \times_{\Delta^{op}} M, X)$ with the subspace of $\text{Map}(I \times_{\Delta^{op}} \Sigma M, X) \simeq \text{Map}_{/\Delta^{op}}(I, \hat{M}_X^+)$ consisting of functors that take morphisms in $I_M$ to equivalences. Unwinding the definitions, we see that (as a cocartesian morphism in $\hat{M}_X^+$ over an inert morphism does not involve a left Kan extension) these precisely correspond to the functors that preserve cocartesian morphisms over inert morphisms in $\Delta^{op}$. □

Replacing $I$ by $I \times \Delta^*$ this induces an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{int}}_{/\Delta^{op}}(I, \hat{M}_X^+) \simeq \text{Fun}(I \times_{\Delta^{op}} M, X).$$

Restricting further to functors with value in $\hat{M}_X$, we get:

**Corollary 3.3.7.** Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad. Then there is an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{int}}_{/\Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{O}, \hat{M}_X) \simeq \text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O} \times_{\Delta^{op}} M}(X),$$

natural in $\mathcal{O}$. □
3.4. Day Convolution Monoidal Structures. Our goal in this subsection is to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_X$ induces a family of monoidal ∞-categories, and in some cases (including for associative algebras) algebras in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_X$ are algebras in these monoidal ∞-categories. This will follow from a general observation about framed double ∞-categories, which we will consider after introducing some notation:

Definition 3.4.1. For any ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$, let $\Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{C} \to \Delta^\text{op}$ be the terminal double ∞-category with $\mathcal{C}$ as its fibre at $[0]$. This is defined as the cocartesian fibration for the functor $\Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ obtained as the right Kan extension along $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \Delta^\text{op}$ of the functor $\{0\} \to \mathcal{C}$ with value $\mathcal{C}$. Thus $(\Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{C})_n \simeq \mathcal{C} \times (n+1)$ with cocartesian morphisms over face maps given by projections and those over degeneracies given by diagonals. Note that there is in particular a canonical functor $\mathcal{C} \times \Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{C} \to \Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{C}$, given fibrewise by the diagonal $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \times (n+1)$.

Definition 3.4.2. Given a double ∞-category $\mathcal{M}$, define $\mathcal{M} \otimes$ as the pullback $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}$.

This is a pullback of cocartesian fibrations over $\Delta^\text{op}$ along functors that preserve cocartesian morphisms, hence $\mathcal{M} \otimes \to \Delta^\text{op}$ is again a cocartesian fibration.

Proposition 3.4.3. Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is a generalized non-symmetric ∞-operad such that the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{O}_0 \simeq \mathcal{O}[I^{-1}]$ where $I$ is the class of inert morphisms in $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a double ∞-category. Then $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes) \to \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$ is an equivalence.

Proof. The double ∞-category $\mathcal{M}^\otimes$ is defined by a pullback square of generalized non-symmetric ∞-operads, so we have a pullback square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes) & \to & \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_0 \times \Delta^\text{op}) & \to & \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{M}_0).
\end{array}
$$

It therefore suffices to show that $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_0 \times \Delta^\text{op}) \to \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{M}_0)$ is an equivalence if $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies the assumptions.

By definition $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\Delta^\text{op}_\mathcal{M}_0)$ is equivalent to $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{M}_0)$, while we may identify $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_0 \times \Delta^\text{op})$ with the ∞-category of functors $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{M}_0$ that take inert morphisms to equivalences. We therefore have an equivalence if $\mathcal{O}_0 \to \mathcal{O}[I^{-1}]$ is an equivalence. □

Corollary 3.4.4. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a double ∞-category and $\mathcal{O}$ a non-symmetric ∞-operad such that the ∞-category $\mathcal{O}$ is weakly contractible. Then $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}^\otimes) \to \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$ is an equivalence.

Proof. We must show that $\mathcal{O}[I^{-1}]$ is contractible, where $I$ is the class of inert morphisms. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is weakly contractible, it suffices to check that inverting the inert morphisms in $\mathcal{O}$ amounts to inverting all morphisms. To see this we first observe that for any map $\phi: [n] \to [m]$ in $\Delta$, we have a commutative triangle

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
[n] & \xrightarrow{\phi} & [m] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
[0] & \xrightarrow{\phi} & [m]
\end{array}
$$

where $[n] \to [m]$ is the map induced by the contraction $[n] \to [m]$. □
where the maps from \([0]\) are inert. Given a morphism \(X \to Y\) in \(\mathcal{O}\) we therefore have a commutative triangle

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(0) & & (0)
\end{array}
\]

where (0) denotes the unique object of \(\mathcal{O}_0\) and the diagonal morphisms are cocartesian morphisms over the (inert) morphisms from \([0]\) in the first triangle. \(\square\)

Since \(\Delta^{op}\) is weakly contractible, as a special case we have:

**Corollary 3.4.5.** Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a double \(\infty\)-category. Then

\[
\text{Alg}_{\Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes}) \to \text{Alg}_{\Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{M})
\]

is an equivalence. \(\square\)

**Definition 3.4.6.** A double \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{M}\) is **framed** if the functor \((d_{1,1}, d_{0,1}): \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_0^{\times 2}\) is a cartesian fibration.

**Remark 3.4.7.** By \([\text{GHK17}, \text{Proposition A.4.4}]\), \((d_{1,1}, d_{0,1})\) is a cartesian fibration if and only if it is a cocartesian fibration, and this is also equivalent to the existence of “companions and conjoints” in (the homotopy double category of) \(\mathcal{M}\).

**Proposition 3.4.8.** Suppose \(\mathcal{M}\) is a framed double \(\infty\)-category. Then \(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_0\) is a cartesian fibration, and corresponds to a functor from \(\mathcal{M}_0\) to monoidal \(\infty\)-categories and lax monoidal functors.

**Proof.** We apply the dual of \([\text{HMS19}, \text{Lemma A.1.10}]\) to \(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_0 \times \Delta^{op}\) to conclude that \(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_0\) is a cartesian fibration. We know that \(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \to \Delta^{op}\) is a cocartesian fibration, so it remain to check that for \([n] \in \Delta^{op}\) the functor \(\mathcal{M}_n^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_0\) is a cartesian fibration. This functor lives in a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M}_n^{\otimes} & \to & \mathcal{M}_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_0 & \to & \mathcal{M}_0^{\otimes (n+1)}
\end{array}
\]

Here the right vertical map is equivalent to the iterated fibre product

\[
\mathcal{M}_1 \times_{\mathcal{M}_0} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{M}_0} \mathcal{M}_1 \to (\mathcal{M}_0^{\times 2}) \times_{\mathcal{M}_0} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{M}_0} (\mathcal{M}_0^{\times 2}),
\]

and so is a cartesian fibration since \(\mathcal{M}\) is framed. It follows that \(\mathcal{M}_n^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_0\) is a cartesian fibration, and hence we can conclude that \(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_0\) is a cartesian fibration and that cartesian morphisms lie over equivalences in \(\Delta^{op}\).

The fibre \(\mathcal{M}_X^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_0\) at \(X \in \mathcal{M}_0\) is a monoidal \(\infty\)-category, so it remains to check that the functor \(f^*: \mathcal{M}_Y^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_X^{\otimes}\) corresponding to the cartesian morphisms over \(f: X \to Y\) in \(\mathcal{M}_0\) is lax monoidal, i.e. preserves those cocartesian morphisms that lie over inert morphisms in \(\Delta^{op}\).

Since the cartesian morphisms lie over identities in \(\Delta^{op}\), it is equivalent to check that for every inert morphism \(\phi: [m] \to [n]\), the functor \(\mathcal{M}_Y^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{M}_X^{\otimes}\) given by cocartesian pushforward along \(\phi\), preserves cartesian morphisms over \(\mathcal{M}_0\). To see this it suffices to consider the outer face maps \([n-1] \to [n]\) (as any inert morphism is a composite of these). In this case we have a commutative
Here all the vertical morphisms are cartesian fibrations, and in the left-hand cube the front and back faces are cartesian. It therefore suffices to show that \( M_n \to M_{n-1} \) takes cartesian morphisms over \( M_{n-1}^{n+1} \) to cartesian morphisms over \( M_0 \).

In the right-hand cube the top and bottom faces are cartesian, i.e. \( M_n \to M_0^{n+1} \) is a fibre product of cartesian fibrations, and both morphisms to \( M_0 \) preserve cartesian morphisms (since all morphisms are cartesian for the identity functor). A morphism in \( M_n \) is hence cartesian if and only if its images in both \( M_{n-1} \) and \( M_1 \) are cartesian, and in particular the functor to \( M_{n-1} \) preserves cartesian morphisms, as required.

**Remark 3.4.9.** The underlying \( \infty \)-category of the monoidal \( \infty \)-category \( M_X^{\otimes} \) is the \( \infty \)-category \( M(X,X) \) of horizontal endomorphisms of \( X \), and the monoidal structure is given by horizontal composition. Since \( M \) is framed, a vertical morphism \( f: X \to Y \) gives rise to two horizontal morphisms, say \( f^\circ := (f, \text{id}_Y)^\ast \text{id}_Y \) from \( X \) to \( Y \) and \( f^\Psi := (\text{id}_Y, f)^\ast \text{id}_Y \) from \( Y \) to \( X \), and \( f^\Psi \) is left adjoint to \( f^\circ \). The underlying functor of the lax monoidal functor \( M_Y^{\otimes} \to M_X^{\otimes} \) is the functor \( M(Y,Y) \to M(X,X) \) given by \( \Phi \mapsto f^\circ \circ_Y \Phi \circ_Y f^\Psi \). The lax monoidal structure comes from the unit transformation (note the non-standard order of composition)

\[
f^\circ \circ_Y \Phi \circ_Y f^\Psi \circ_X f^\circ \circ_Y \Psi \circ_Y f^\Psi \to f^\circ \circ_Y \Phi \circ_Y \Psi \circ_Y f^\Psi.
\]

**Corollary 3.4.10.** Suppose \( M \) is a framed double \( \infty \)-category and \( \mathcal{O} \) is a non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad such that the \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{O} \) is weakly contractible. Then the restriction functor

\[
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(M) \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M) \simeq M_0
\]

is a cartesian fibration, corresponding to the functor \( M_0^{\op} \to \text{Cat}_\infty \) taking \( X \in M_0 \) to \( \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(M_X^{\otimes}) \).

**Proof.** Observe that we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Fun}_{/\Delta \otimes}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) & \to & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M_0) & \to & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M_0 \times \Delta^{\op}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\ast & \to & \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, \Delta^{\op}),
\end{array}
\]

where the bottom and outer squares are clearly cartesian. Hence the top square is also cartesian, and here [Lur09, Proposition 3.1.2.1] implies that all but the top left vertex are cartesian fibrations over \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M_0) \) and the morphisms to \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M_0 \times \Delta^{\op}) \) preserve cartesian morphisms. Hence \( \text{Fun}_{/\Delta \otimes}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M_0) \) is a cartesian fibration.

Since \( \mathcal{O} \) is weakly contractible, the inclusion \( M_0 \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, M_0) \) of the constant functors is a full subcategory. Let \( \text{Fun}'_{/\Delta \otimes}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) \to M_0 \) denote the pullback of \( \text{Fun}_{/\Delta \otimes}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) \) along this inclusion; then \( \text{Fun}'_{/\Delta \otimes}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) \) is a full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}_{/\Delta \otimes}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) \) that contains \( \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(M^{\otimes}) \). The projection \( \text{Fun}'_{/\Delta \otimes}(\mathcal{O}, M^{\otimes}) \to M_0 \) is a cartesian fibration, so to show that \( \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(M^{\otimes}) \to M_0 \) is
a cartesian fibration it suffices to check that for every cartesian morphism in \( \text{Fun}^\mu_{\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}^\otimes) \) whose target is an \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra, its source is also an \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra; this follows from Proposition 3.4.8, which shows that cartesian morphisms preserve cocartesian morphisms over inert maps in \( \Delta^\op \). Since \( \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(-) \) preserves pullbacks, the fibre at \( x \in M_0 \) can be identified with \( \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(M^\otimes_0) \), and from the description of the cartesian morphisms in \cite[Proposition 3.1.2.1]{Lur09} it follows that for \( f: y \to x \) in \( M_0 \) the functor \( \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(M^\otimes_0) \to \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(M^\otimes_0) \) is given by composition with the lax monoidal functor \( \mathcal{M}^\otimes_0 \to \mathcal{M}^\otimes_0 \) arising from the cartesian morphisms over \( f \) in \( \mathcal{M}^\otimes \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.4.11.** If \( \mathcal{M} \) is an \( \mathcal{X} \)-admissible double \( \infty \)-category where \( \mathcal{X} \) is cocomplete, then \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\mathcal{X} \) is framed.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that the source-and-target projection \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{X},1} \to \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{X},0}^{\times 2} \) is a cartesian fibration. This is the functor

\[
i^*: \text{Fun}(\Sigma M_1, \mathcal{X}) \to \text{Fun}(M_0, \mathcal{X})^{\times 2}
\]

given by composition with the inclusion \( i: M_0 \amalg M_0 \to M \times_{\Delta^1} \Sigma^1 \). To see that this is a cocartesian fibration we use the criterion of \cite[Corollary 4.52]{Hau17}.

First observe that \( i^* \) has a left adjoint \( i_! \), given by left Kan extension along \( i \). Note that for \( X \in M_1 \subseteq \Sigma M_1 \) the \( \infty \)-category \( (M_0 \amalg M_0)/X \) is empty, and so for \( F: M_0 \amalg M_0 \to \mathcal{X} \) we have \( i_! F(X) \simeq \emptyset \). Given \( G: \Sigma M_1 \to \mathcal{X} \) and \( \phi: i^* G \to F \) consider the pushout square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
i i^* G & \longrightarrow & i^* F \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
i_! G & \longrightarrow & G'
\end{array}
\]

Since pushouts in \( \text{Fun}(\Sigma M_1, \mathcal{X}) \) are computed pointwise, we see that \( F \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} i^* i_! F \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} i^* G' \) is an equivalence, which is what we need in order to apply \cite[Corollary 4.52]{Hau17} to conclude that \( i^* \) is a cocartesian fibration (with \( G \to G' \) being the cocartesian morphism over \( i^* G \to F \)). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.4.12.** Suppose \( \mathcal{M} \) is an \( \mathcal{S} \)-admissible double \( \infty \)-category. Given natural transformations \( \phi: F \to F', \gamma: G \to G' \) of functors \( M_0 \to \mathcal{S} \) and \( \Phi: M_{1,F,G} \to \mathcal{S} \), the description of the cocartesian pushforward of \( \Phi \) along \( (\phi, \gamma) \) above amounts to this being given by the left Kan extension along the induced functor \( M_{1,F,G} \to M_{1,F',G'} \), i.e.

\[
(\phi, \gamma)_! \Phi(x, p', q') \simeq \colim_{p \in F(x_{m_0}, q \in G(x_{s_1}))} \Phi(x, p, q).
\]

It follows that the cartesian pullback of \( \Psi: M_{1,F',G'} \to \mathcal{S} \) is given by composition with this functor.

Applying Corollary 3.4.10 to \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_\mathcal{X} \), we now get:

**Corollary 3.4.13.** Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be a non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad such that the \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{O} \) is weakly contractible and \( \mathcal{M} \) an \( \mathcal{X} \)-admissible double \( \infty \)-category where \( \mathcal{X} \) is cocomplete. Then

\[
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\mathcal{X}) \to \text{Fun}(M_0, \mathcal{S})
\]

is a cartesian fibration, corresponding to the functor

\[
\mathcal{X} \in \text{Fun}(M_0, \mathcal{S}) \mapsto \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}))
\]

arising from the family of monoidal \( \infty \)-categories \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}^\otimes_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{X}} \). \( \square \)

**Remark 3.4.14.** Suppose \( \mathcal{M} \) is \( \mathcal{S} \)-admissible and \( \mathcal{O} \) is as above. Then we can use the equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\mathcal{X}) \simeq \text{Seg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{S}^{\gg} \mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{S})
\]

and the description of the cartesian fibration from Proposition 2.1.17 to conclude that there is fibrewise a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{S}^{\gg} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S} \times \Delta^\op \times \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S} \times \Delta^\op \times \mathcal{X})(\mathcal{S}).
\]
3.5. **Enriched Day Convolution.** In this subsection we generalize our construction slightly by showing that if \( \mathcal{M} \) is a double \( \infty \)-category and \( \mathcal{V}^\circledast \) is an \( \mathcal{M} \)-monoidal \( \infty \)-category then in good cases there exists a double \( \infty \)-category \( \hat{\mathcal{M}_V} \) such that we have a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\hat{\mathcal{M}_V}) \simeq \text{Alg}_\mathcal{D} \times_{\Delta^{op}} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{V}).
\]

More generally, an object \( \hat{\mathcal{M}_V} \) with this property will exist as a generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad that is not a double \( \infty \)-category. If \( \mathcal{V}^\circledast \) is given by Day convolution, we can obtain \( \hat{\mathcal{M}_V} \) from the following observation:

**Proposition 3.5.1.** Suppose \( \mathcal{M} \) is an \( \mathcal{X} \)-admissible double \( \infty \)-category where pullbacks in \( \mathcal{X} \) preserve colimits, and \( \mathcal{U}^\circledast \to \mathcal{M} \) is a small \( \mathcal{M} \)-monoidal \( \infty \)-category. Then \( \mathcal{U}^\circledast \) is also an \( \mathcal{X} \)-admissible double \( \infty \)-category.

**Proof.** Given an active morphism \( \phi : [m] \to [n] \) in \( \Delta \) and an object \( w_m \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast \) over \( x_m \in \mathcal{M}_m \), the functor \( \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast / w_m \to \mathcal{M}_{n/x_m} \) is a cocartesian fibration, whose fibre at \( y_n \) and \( \phi : y_n \to x_m \) over \( \phi \) we can identify with the slice \( (\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast/y_n)/w_m \), defined using the cocartesian morphisms over \( \phi \). For \( 0 \leq k \leq m \) we can decompose this as a product

\[
(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast/y_n)/w_m \simeq (\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_m, y_n)/w_m) \times (\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_n, y_m)/w_m).
\]

Given functors

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{0k} & : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_m, y_n)/w_m \to \mathcal{X}, \\
F_{kk} & : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_n, y_m)/w_m \to \mathcal{X}, \\
F_{km} & : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_n, y_m)/w_m \to \mathcal{X},
\end{align*}
\]

together with natural transformations \( F_{0k} \to F_{kk} |_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_m, y_n)/w_m} \), \( F_{km} \to F_{kk} |_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_n, y_m)/w_m} \), we have equivalences

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{colim}_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast/w_m} F_{0k} \times F_{kk} F_{km} & \simeq \text{colim}_{y_m \in \mathcal{M}_{n/x_m}} \text{colim}_{(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_m, y_n)/w_m)} F_{0k} \times F_{kk} F_{km} \\
& \simeq \text{colim}_{y_m \in \mathcal{M}_{n/x_m}} \left( \text{colim}_{(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_m, y_n)/w_m)} F_{0k} \right) \times \text{colim}_{(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_n, y_m)/w_m)} F_{km} \\
& \simeq \left( \text{colim}_{y_m \in \mathcal{M}_{n/x_m}} F_{0k} \right) \times \left( \text{colim}_{(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}^\circledast(y_n, y_m)/w_m)} F_{km} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where the second equivalence uses that fibre products in \( \mathcal{X} \) preserve colimits in each variable and the third equivalence uses the \( \mathcal{X} \)-admissibility of \( \mathcal{M} \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.5.2.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( \mathcal{S} \)-admissible double \( \infty \)-category and let \( \mathcal{U}^\circledast \) be a small \( \mathcal{M} \)-monoidal \( \infty \)-category. Then there exists a double \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{U}^\circledast_{\mathcal{S}} \) such that for any generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad \( \mathcal{O} \) we have a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^\circledast_{\mathcal{S}}) \simeq \text{Seg}_\mathcal{D} \times_{\Delta^{op}} \mathcal{U}^\circledast(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Alg}_\mathcal{D} \times_{\Delta^{op}} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op} \mathcal{U}^\circledast_{\mathcal{S}}).
\]

Moreover, any \( \mathcal{M} \)-monoidal functor \( \mathcal{U}^\circledast \to \mathcal{V}^\circledast \) induces a morphism of double \( \infty \)-categories \( \mathcal{U}^\circledast_{\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{V}^\circledast_{\mathcal{S}} \).
Proof. The only part that is not an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.5.1 and our results in the previous subsections is the claim about $\mathcal{M}$-monoidal functors, which follows using the criterion of Remark 3.2.19, where we can compute the colimits by decomposing them as in the proof of Proposition 3.5.1.

Remark 3.5.3. Let us describe the double $\infty$-category $\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes$ a bit more explicitly. The objects of $\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes$ are functors $\mathcal{U}_0^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{S}$, and the vertical morphisms are transformations of such functors. If $F,G: \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{S}$ are two objects, then by Proposition 3.2.15 the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes(F,G)$ of horizontal morphisms can be identified with $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{U}_1^\otimes, \mathcal{S})$; here $\mathcal{U}_1^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{U}_1 \times_{M_1} M_1, F,G$ where $\mathcal{U}_1 \to M_1$ is a cocartesian fibration. Using Remark 2.1.15 and [GHN17, Proposition 7.3] we can identify this $\infty$-category with $\text{Fun}(M_1, \mathcal{U}_1^\otimes)$. Translating the formula for composition of horizontal morphisms from Remark 3.2.16 in these terms, the composite of $\Phi: M_{1,F,G} \to \mathcal{U}_{S,1}^\otimes$ and $\Psi: M_{1,G,H} \to \mathcal{U}_{S,1}^\otimes$ is the functor from $M_{1,F,H}$ given by

$$(x,p \in F(x_{00}), q \in H(x_{11})) \mapsto \lim_{(\alpha: y \to x) \in \mathcal{M}_2, \beta \in F(y_{01}), \gamma \in H(y_{11})} \alpha(\Phi(y_{01}, p', r), \Psi(y_{12}, r, q')),$$

where $\alpha: \mathcal{U}_{S,1,y_{01}} \times \mathcal{U}_{S,2,y_{12}} \simeq \mathcal{U}_{S,1,x}$ is the cocartesian pushforward along $\alpha$ (given by a left Kan extension corresponding to the operation for $\mathcal{U}_S^\otimes$).

Remark 3.5.4. For an $\mathcal{M}$-monoidal $\infty$-category of the form $M \times_{\Delta^{op}} \mathcal{C}^\otimes$, where $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \to \Delta^{op}$ is a monoidal $\infty$-category, the description above simplifies considerably: We can identify $M_{1,F,G} \to \mathcal{U}_{S,1}^\otimes$ with $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$. The composition of $\Phi: M_{1,F,G} \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$ and $\Psi: M_{1,G,H} \to \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S})$ is then given by the formula

$$(x,p \in F(x_{00}), q \in H(x_{11})) \mapsto \lim_{y \in \mathcal{M}_2, p' \in F(y_{01}), q' \in H(y_{11})} \Phi(y_{01}, p', r) \otimes \Psi(y_{12}, r, q'),$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the Day convolution.

More generally, we can obtain $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_V$ by passing to a larger universe:

Definition 3.5.5. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the (very large) $\infty$-category of large spaces. If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is a locally small (but potentially large) $\mathcal{M}$-monoidal $\infty$-category, let $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_V$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{V}^\otimes_S$ spanned by the objects whose

- inert restrictions to the fibre at 0 are functors $\mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{S}$ that factor through the full subcategory $\mathcal{S}$,
- inert restrictions to the fibre at 1 correspond to functors of the form $\mathcal{M}_{1,F,G} \to \mathcal{V}^\otimes_S$,

that factor through the full subcategory $\mathcal{V}^\otimes_S$ (via the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \to \mathcal{V}^\otimes_S$).

Proposition 3.5.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{S}$-admissible double $\infty$-category and $\mathcal{V}^\otimes$ a locally small $\mathcal{M}$-monoidal $\infty$-category.

(i) $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_V$ is a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad.
(ii) For any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads $\mathcal{U}_1^\otimes \to \hat{\mathcal{M}}_V$.
(iii) $\mathcal{M}_{V,1} \to \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V,0}^\otimes \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{S})^\times_2$ is a cartesian fibration, and the inclusion $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V,1} \to \mathcal{V}^\otimes_S$ preserves cartesian morphisms.
(v) Suppose that for every $x \in M_1$ the ∞-category $V_x$ has colimits indexed by ∞-groupoids and by the ∞-categories $M_n/y$ for $y \in M_1$, and that these are preserved by the functors $f_i: V_x \to V_x$ induced by the cocartesian morphisms over $f: x \to x'$ in $M_1$. Then $\tilde{M}_V \to \tilde{M}_V$ is a locally cocartesian fibration.

(vi) Suppose $V^0_\Delta$ and $U^0_\Delta$ are as in (v), and $U^0_\Delta \to V^0_\Delta$ is an $M$-monoidal functor such that for all $x$ the functor $U_x \to V_x$ preserves colimits indexed by ∞-groupoids and by the ∞-categories $M_n/y$. Then the induced morphism $\tilde{M}_U \to \tilde{M}_V$ of generalized non-symmetric ∞-operads from (iii) preserves locally cocartesian morphisms.

**Proof.** Part (i) follows from Lemma 2.1.9, which also identifies $\text{Alg}_O(\tilde{M}_V)$ with the full subcategory of $O$-algebras in $V^\infty_s$ whose restrictions to $O_0$ and $O_1$ factor through $S \subseteq S$ and $V^\infty_1 \subseteq (V^\infty_s)_1$. Under the equivalence between $\text{Alg}_O(V^\infty_s)$ and $\text{Alg}_O(\Delta)_X \to V^\infty_s$ from Corollary 3.5.2 (where the latter denotes the ∞-category of $O$-algebroids defined using $\tilde{S}$), this full subcategory corresponds to that of $O \times \Delta^-\text{M}$-algebroids $(O \times \Delta^-\text{M})_X \to V^\infty_s$ such that $X$ is a functor $O_0 \times M_0 \to S$ and the functor $(O \times \Delta^-\text{M})_X,1 \to V^\infty_s$ factors through $V^\infty_1$; since the Yoneda embedding is a fully faithful $O \times \Delta^-\text{M}$-monoidal functor, this full subcategory is precisely $\text{Alg}_{\Delta}M(\Delta^-\text{M} \times V^0_\Delta)$, which proves (ii). From Corollary 3.5.2 we also know that an $M$-monoidal functor induces a morphism of double ∞-categories $U_{V^0_\Delta \to V^\infty_s}$; this evidently takes the full subcategory $\tilde{M}_U$ to $\tilde{M}_V$, which proves (iii).

We know that $V^\infty_s$ is a framed double ∞-category, so that $V^\infty_s \to (V^\infty_s \times V^0_\Delta)$ is a cartesian and cocartesian fibration. From the description of the cartesian morphisms in Remark 3.4.12 it follows that these restrict to $\tilde{M}_V$, which proves (iv).

Since we already know $\tilde{M}_V$ is a generalized non-symmetric ∞-operad, to prove (v) it suffices by Lemma 2.1.23 to show there are locally cocartesian morphisms over the active morphisms to $[1]$. Let $\alpha_n: [1] \to [n]$ denote the unique active morphism to $[n]$ in $\Delta$. Given an object of $\tilde{M}_V$, which we can identify with $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, we have

$$\text{Map}_{\tilde{M}_V}(\alpha_n, (X_1, \ldots, X_n), Y) \simeq \text{Map}_{\tilde{M}_V}(\alpha_n, (X_1, \ldots, X_n), Y) \simeq \text{Map}_{\tilde{M}_V}(\alpha_n, (X_1 \circ F_1 \cdots \circ F_{n-1} X_n, Y)$$

Since $V^\infty_s$ is a framed double ∞-category, if we take the fibre of the right-hand side over maps $g: F_0 \to G, h: F_n \to G$, we get

$$\text{Map}_{\tilde{M}_V}(\alpha_n, (X_1 \circ F_1 \cdots \circ F_{n-1} X_n, Y), (g,h)) \simeq \text{Map}_{\tilde{M}_V}(\alpha_n, (X_1 \circ F_1 \cdots \circ F_{n-1} X_n, (g,h)^* Y).$$

Using [GHN17, Proposition 5.1] and the notation of Remark 3.2.17, we can expand this out as the limit over $(x, p, q) \to (x', p', q') \in \text{Tw}(\tilde{M}_{F_0, F_n})$ of

$$\text{Map}_{\tilde{M}_V}(\alpha_n, (X_1(y_{01}, t_0, t_1), \ldots, X_n(y_{n-1,n}, t_{n-1}, t_n)), (g,h)^* Y(x', p', q'))$$

$$\simeq \lim_{\alpha_n: [1] \to [n]} \lim_{\alpha: y \to x} \text{colim}_{\alpha: y \to x} \text{colim}_{\alpha: y \to x} \alpha_!(X_1(y_{01}, t_0, t_1), \ldots, X_n(y_{n-1,n}, t_{n-1}, t_n)), (g,h)^* Y(x', p', q'))$$

under our assumptions. Let $\alpha_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ denote the functor $\tilde{M}_{F_0, F_n} \to V^1_\Delta$ given by

$$(x, p, q) \mapsto \text{colim}_{\alpha: y \to x} \alpha_!(X_1(y_{01}, t_0, t_1), \ldots, X_n(y_{n-1,n}, t_{n-1}, t_n)),$$
then we see using (iv) that the mapping space we started with is equivalent to $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}_{V,\alpha}}(\alpha_n!(X_1, \ldots, X_n), Y)$, so that $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \rightarrow \alpha_n!(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is indeed a locally cocartesian morphism over $\alpha_n$, as required. Moreover, part (vi) also follows immediately from this description of the locally cocartesian morphisms. \hfill \Box

For an arbitrary $S$-admissible double $\infty$-category $\mathcal{M}$ it seems extremely awkward to formulate a condition on $V$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ is a double $\infty$-category. We therefore content ourselves with the following observation:

**Proposition 3.5.7.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a double $\infty$-category such that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is an $\infty$-groupoid. If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is an $\mathcal{M}$-monoidal $\infty$-category that is compatible with colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids and by the $\infty$-categories $\mathcal{M}_n/x$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}_1$, then $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ is a framed double $\infty$-category. If $\mathcal{V}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is an $\mathcal{M}$-monoidal functor between such $\mathcal{M}$-monoidal $\infty$-categories such that each functor $U_x \rightarrow V_x$ preserves these colimits, then the natural morphism of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_U \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ preserves cocartesian morphisms.

**Proof.** We know from Proposition 3.5.6(v) that $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ is a locally cocartesian fibration. By Lemma 2.1.23 it suffices to check that for $\Phi \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V,3}$ the canonical map $\alpha_3,\Phi \rightarrow d_1, d_1, \Phi$ is an equivalence for $i = 1, 2$. We prove the case $i = 1$; the case $i = 2$ is proved in the same way. For $\Phi$ over $F_0, F_1, F_2: \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow S$, the object $\alpha_3,\Phi$ is given at $(x, p, q)$ by

$$\text{colim}_{y \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{M}_{3/x}} \text{colim}_{(s,t) \in F_1(y_{11}) \times F_2(y_{22})} \gamma(y_1, y_0, p, s), \Phi_2(y_{12}, s, t), \Phi_3(y_{23}, t, q),$$

while $d_1, d_1, \Phi$ is given by

$$\text{colim}_{z \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{M}_{3/x}} \text{colim}_{y \rightarrow y' \in \mathcal{M}_{2/y}} \delta_1 \left( \text{colim}_{(s,t) \in F_1(y'_{11})} \text{colim}_{s \in F_2(y'_{11})} \varepsilon(y_1, y_0, p, s), \Phi_2(y_{12}', s, t), \Phi_3(y_{23}', t, q) \right).$$

Since $\mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is compatible with these colimits, we can rewrite this as

$$\text{colim}_{z \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{M}_{3/x}} \text{colim}_{y \rightarrow y' \in \mathcal{M}_{2/y}} \delta_1(\varepsilon(y_1, y_0, p, s), \Phi_2(y_{12}', s, t), \Phi_3(z_{12}, t, q)).$$

On the other hand, we can evaluate the colimit over $\mathcal{M}_{3/x}$ in the expression for $\alpha_3,\Phi$ by first taking a left Kan extension along the functor $d_1, 1: \mathcal{M}_3/x \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2/x$ given by the cocartesian morphisms over $d_1$. For a functor $f$ out of $\mathcal{M}_3/x$ this gives

$$\text{colim}_{y \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{M}_{3/x}} f \simeq \text{colim}_{z \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{M}_{2/x} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{3/x}/z} \text{colim}_{y \rightarrow y' \in \mathcal{M}_{2/y}} f,$$

where $(\mathcal{M}_{3/x})_z \simeq \mathcal{M}_{2/z} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{2/z_0} \times \mathcal{M}_{0/z_1} \times \mathcal{M}_{1/z_2}$, which is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_{2/z_0} \times \mathcal{M}_{1/z_2}$ since $\mathcal{M}_0$ is an $\infty$-groupoid. Products of cofinal functors are cofinal, so the inclusion

$$\mathcal{M}_{2/z_0} \times \{z_2\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2/z_0} \times \mathcal{M}_{1/z_2}$$

is cofinal; this allows us to rewrite the expression for $\alpha_3,\Phi$ as

$$\text{colim}_{z \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{M}_{2/x}} \text{colim}_{y \rightarrow y_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{2/y}} \text{colim}_{(s,t) \in F_1(y_{11}) \times F_2(y_{22})} (\delta \circ (\varepsilon, \text{id}))(\Phi_1(y_0, p, s), \Phi_2(y_{12}, s, t), \Phi_3(z_{12}, t, q)),$$

which is equivalent to our expression for $d_1, d_1, \Phi$ since $\mathcal{V}^\otimes$ is cocartesian. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.5.8.** This description of the colimit along $\mathcal{M}_{3/x}$ extends inductively to a description of colimits over $\mathcal{M}_{n/x}$ as iterated colimits over slices $\mathcal{M}_{2/y}$; it follows that we can weaken the assumptions on $\mathcal{V}^\otimes$ in Proposition 3.5.7 to compatibility with colimits over $\infty$-groupoids and over the $\infty$-categories $\mathcal{M}_{2/y}$ for $y \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

**Remark 3.5.9.** We can describe the double $\infty$-category $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{V}$ as follows:

- its objects are functors $\mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow S$, and its vertical morphisms are natural transformations of these,
• its horizontal morphisms from $F$ to $G$ are functors $M_{1,F,G} \rightarrow Y^\otimes_1$ over $M_1$,
• the composite of horizontal morphisms $\Phi: M_{1,F,G} \rightarrow Y^\otimes_1$ and $\Psi: M_{1,G,H} \rightarrow Y^\otimes_1$ is the functor $M_{1,F,H} \rightarrow Y^\otimes_1$ given by
  \[(x \in M_1, p \in F(x_{00}), q \in F(x_{11}) \mapsto \colim\limits_{y \rightarrow x \in M_{2,z}} \colim\limits_{t \in H(y_{11})} \alpha(y_{01}, p, t) \Psi(y_{12}, t, q)).\]

3.6. Example: Enriched $\infty$-Categories as Associative Algebras. In this subsection we illustrate our results on Day convolution by considering a simple example of our construction: we will give a description of enriched $\infty$-categories as associative algebras in a family of monoidal $\infty$-categories. An alternative construction of these monoidal $\infty$-categories is given in [Hin18].

Remark 3.6.1. Our construction will extend the following description of ordinary enriched categories: If $S$ is a set and $V$ is a monoidal category where the tensor product preserves coproducts in each variable, then there is a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(S \times S, V)$ given by

\[(F \otimes G)(i, k) \cong \prod_{j \in S} F(i, j) \otimes G(j, k),\]

with unit $1$ the functor

\[1(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}\]

This is sometimes known as the “matrix multiplication” tensor product, since the formula is a “categorified” version of that for multiplication of matrices. An associative algebra $A$ in $\text{Fun}(S \times S, V)$ with this tensor product is the same thing as a $V$-category with set of objects $S$:

• The multiplication map $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ supplies composition maps $A(i, j) \otimes A(j, k) \rightarrow A(i, k)$.
• The unit map $1 \rightarrow A$ supplies identity maps $1 \rightarrow A(i, i)$.

Let us consider first the result of applying Day convolution to the simplest double $\infty$-category, namely $\mathbb{A}_{\text{op}}$. This is trivially $\mathcal{X}$-admissible for any $\infty$-category $\mathcal{X}$ with pullbacks, and so by Proposition 3.2.12 there is a double $\infty$-category $\mathbb{H}_{\text{op}}$ which by Corollary 3.3.7 has the universal property that for any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $O$ there is a natural equivalence

\[\text{Alg}_O(\mathbb{H}_{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{Seg}_O(\mathcal{X}).\]

By construction, the fibre $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},n}$ is the $\infty$-category of functors $\Sigma^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ that are right Kan extended from $\mathbb{A}^n$. We thus see that:

• objects of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},1}$ are objects of $\mathcal{X}$,
• vertical morphisms (morphisms in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},0}$) are morphisms in $\mathcal{X}$,
• horizontal morphisms (objects in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},1}$) are spans in $\mathcal{X}$, i.e. diagrams of shape

\[
\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet,
\]

• squares (morphisms in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},1}$) are diagrams of shape

\[
\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet,
\]

• composition of horizontal morphisms is given by taking pullbacks.

Indeed, the double $\infty$-category $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},n}$ is precisely the double $\infty$-category $\text{SPAN}^+(\mathcal{X})$ of spans constructed in [Hau18], and its universal property is that established in [Hau19]. In particular, we have an equivalence

\[\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},n}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{Seg}_{\mathbb{H}_{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{X}),\]

identifying associative algebras in the double $\infty$-category $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{X},1}$ with category objects in $\mathcal{X}$. Specializing to the $\infty$-category $S$ of spaces, this says that associative algebras in $\mathbb{H}_{S}$ are equivalent to Segal spaces, which describe the algebraic structure of $\infty$-categories.
By Corollary 3.4.13, the restriction $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}((\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op})_X) \to S$ is a cartesian fibration, with fibre at a space $X$ given by $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}((\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op})_X)$. Here $\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_X$ is equivalent to $S / X \times X \simeq \text{Fun}(X \times X, S)$. The monoidal structure is given by pullback of spans, which in terms of functors to $S$ admits the following description:

**Proposition 3.6.2.** For any space $X$ there is a monoidal structure on the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(X \times X, S)$ such that

(i) the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ is given by

$$(F \otimes G)(x, x') \simeq \text{colim}_{y \in X} F(x, y) \times G(y, x').$$

(ii) the unit $1$ is given by

$$1(x, y) \simeq \text{Map}_X(x, y) \simeq \begin{cases} \emptyset, & x \neq y \\ \Omega_x X, & x = y, \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Map}_X(x, y)$ is the mapping space in the $\infty$-groupoid $X$, i.e. the space of paths from $x$ to $y$ in $X$.

(iii) we have $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, S)) \simeq \text{Seg}(S)_X$.

In other words, $\infty$-categories with space of objects $X$ are associative algebras in $\text{Fun}(X \times X, S)$ with this monoidal structure.

Now we want to consider the analogue of this result for enriched $\infty$-categories. Propositions 3.5.6 and 3.5.7 specialize to give the following:

**Proposition 3.6.3.** Let $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \to \Delta^\text{op}$ be a monoidal $\infty$-category compatible with colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids. Then there is a framed double $\infty$-category $\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C}$ such that for any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $O$ there is an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_O(\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Alg}_O(O \times \Delta^\text{op} \mathcal{C}^\otimes).$$

A monoidal functor $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \to \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ induces a natural morphism of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads $\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C} \to \widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{D}$, and this preserves cocartesian morphisms if the monoidal functor preserves colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids.

In particular, we get an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes),$$

where as in Example 2.1.19 the right-hand side is the model of enriched $\infty$-categories considered in [GH15]. Specializing Remark 3.5.9 gives the following description of the double $\infty$-category $\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C}$:

- its objects are spaces, and its vertical morphisms are morphisms of spaces,
- a horizontal morphism from $X$ to $Y$ is a functor $X \times Y \to \mathcal{C}$,
- the composite of the horizontal morphisms $\Phi : X \times Y \to \mathcal{C}$ and $\Psi : Y \times Z \to \mathcal{C}$ is the functor $X \times Z \to \mathcal{C}$ given by

$$(x, z) \mapsto \text{colim}_{y \in Y} \Phi(x, y) \otimes \Psi(y, z).$$

From Corollary 3.4.10 we know that the restriction $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C}) \to S$ is a cartesian fibration, with fibre at a space $X$ given by $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}((\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C})_X) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\mathcal{C}^\otimes)_X$. Here the $\infty$-category $(\widehat{\Delta}^\text{op}_\mathcal{C})_X$ is equivalent to $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{C})$, giving:

**Corollary 3.6.4.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an enriched $\infty$-category compatible with colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids. Then there is a monoidal structure on the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{C})$ such that

(i) the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ is given by

$$(F \otimes G)(p, q) \simeq \text{colim}_{x \in X} F(p, x) \otimes G(x, q),$$

where $\text{Map}_X(x, y)$ is the mapping space in the $\infty$-category $X$.
(ii) the unit $1$ is given by

$$1(p, q) \simeq \map_X(p, q) \otimes 1 \simeq \begin{cases} \emptyset, & p \not\simeq q \\ \Omega_p X \otimes 1, & p \simeq q, \end{cases}$$

where $1$ is the unit of $\mathcal{C}$,

(iii) we have $\alg_{\Delta^\op}(\fun(X \times X, \mathcal{C})) \simeq \alg_{\Delta^\op}(\mathcal{C})$.

4. The Composition Product and $\infty$-Operads

In this section we apply our results on Day convolutions to describe $\infty$-operads as associative algebras in double $\infty$-categories. We first consider ordinary $\infty$-operads (in spaces) in §4.1, and then enriched $\infty$-operads in §4.2. We also briefly observe, in §4.3, that a version of the bar-cobar adjunction between $\infty$-operads and $\infty$-cooperads follows from this description of $\infty$-operads.

4.1. $\infty$-Operads as Associative Algebras. In this subsection we will see that $\infty$-operads are given by associative algebras in a double $\infty$-category of symmetric collections (or coloured symmetric sequences) in $\mathcal{C}$. For this we use Barwick’s model of $\infty$-operads from [Bar18]; this is known to be equivalent to other models of $\infty$-operads thanks to the results of [Bar18, CHH18, CM13a, CM13b]. Before we recall Barwick’s definition we first introduce some notation:

**Definition 4.1.1.** Write $F$ for a skeleton of the category of finite sets, with objects $k := \{1, \ldots, k\}, k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Let $/DO_F$ be the category with objects pairs $(\begin{array}{c} n \\ f: [n] \to F \end{array})$ with a morphism $(\begin{array}{c} n \\ f \end{array}) \to (\begin{array}{c} m \\ g \end{array})$ given by a morphism $\phi: [n] \to [m]$ in $/DO_F$ and a natural transformation $\eta: f \to g \circ \phi$ such that

(i) the map $\eta_i: f(i) \to g(\phi(i))$ is injective for all $i = 0, \ldots, m$,

(ii) the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f(i) & \xrightarrow{\eta_i} & g(\phi(i)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f(j) & \xrightarrow{\eta_j} & g(\phi(j)) \end{array}$$

is a pullback square for all $0 \leq i \leq j \leq m$.

**Notation 4.1.2.** For $I = (\begin{array}{c} n \\ f \end{array})$ in $/DO_F$, we write $\left|I\right|_{ij} := (\begin{array}{c} j-i \\ f|\{i+1, \ldots, j\} \end{array})$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq n$, and $\left|I\right|_i := (\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ f(i) \end{array})$. Moreover, for $x \in f(n)$ we write $I_x := (\begin{array}{c} n \\ f_x \end{array})$ where $f_x$ is obtained by taking fibres at $x$.

**Definition 4.1.3.** A presheaf $F: \Delta^\op_2 \to \mathcal{C}$ is a Segal operad if it satisfies the following three “Segal conditions”:

1. for every object $I = (\begin{array}{c} n \\ f \end{array})$ of $\Delta_F$, the natural map

$$F(I) \to F(I|_{01}) \times F(I|_{11}) \times \cdots \times F(I|_{n-1}) F(I|_{(n-1)n})$$

is an equivalence,

2. for every object $I = (\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ k \to 1 \end{array})$, the natural map

$$F(I) \to \prod_{x \in 1} F(I_x)$$

is an equivalence,

3. for every object $I = (\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ k \end{array})$, the natural map

$$F(I) \to \prod_{x \in k} F(I_x)$$

is an equivalence.
We write Seg_{\Delta^d}^0(S) for the full subcategory of \mathcal{P}(\Delta_S^d) spanned by the Segal presheaves.

**Remark 4.1.4.** In the presence of condition (1), conditions (2) and (3) can be replaced by the following more general version:

For every object \( I = ([n], f) \) of \( \Delta_S^d \), the natural map
\[
F(I) \to \prod_{x \in f([n])} F(I_x)
\]

is an equivalence.

Segal presheaves on \( \Delta_S^d \) describe the algebraic structure of \( \infty \)-operads: If we write \( \epsilon := ([0], 1) \) and \( \epsilon_n := ([1], n \to 1) \), then the Segal conditions describe how \( F(I) \) decomposes as a limit of \( F(\epsilon) \) and \( F(\epsilon_n) \). We can think of an objects of \( \Delta_S^d \) as a forest with levels; then \( \epsilon \) corresponds to a plain edge and \( \epsilon_n \) to a corolla with \( n \) leaves, while the Segal condition corresponds to the decomposition of a forest into its edges and corollas. If \( F \) is viewed as an \( \infty \)-operad, the value \( F(\epsilon) \) is the space of objects of \( F \), while \( F(\epsilon_n) \) is the space of \( n \)-ary morphisms.

The following is the starting point for our construction of the composition product on symmetric sequences:

**Proposition 4.1.5.** The projection \( \Delta_S^{op} \to \Delta^{op} \) is an \( \mathcal{X} \)-admissible double \( \infty \)-category for any cocomplete \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{X} \) with pullbacks where colimits are universal.

**Definition 4.1.6.** Suppose \( \phi: [n] \to [m] \) is an active map in \( \Delta \) and \( A = (a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n) \) is an object of \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[n]} \). An object of the slice \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/} \), defined using \( \phi \), is an object \( (b_0 \to \cdots \to b_m) \) of \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m]} \) together with injective maps \( a_i \to b_{\phi(i)} \) such that the squares
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
a_i & \to & a_{i+1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
b_{\phi(i)} & \to & b_{\phi(i+1)}
\end{array}
\]

are cartesian. Let \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/}^\text{iso} \) denote the full subcategory of \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/} \) containing those objects where the map \( a_n \to b_{\phi(m)} \) is an isomorphism.

**Lemma 4.1.7.** Let \( \phi: [n] \to [m] \) be an active morphism in \( \Delta \), and let \( A = (a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n) \) be an object of \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[n]} \). For \( 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n \) let \( A_{ij} := (a_i \to a_{i+1} \to \cdots \to a_j) \).

(i) The inclusion \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/}^\text{iso} \to (\Delta_S^d)_{[m]}^\text{iso} \) is coinitial.

(ii) For every \( k \), \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), the functor \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/}^\text{iso} \to (\Delta_S^d)_{[k], A_{nk}/}^\text{iso} \times (\Delta_S^d)_{[m-k], A_{nk}/}^\text{iso} \) is an equivalence.

**Proof.** By [Lur09, Theorem 4.1.3.1] for part (i) it suffices to check that for all \( B \in (\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/} \) the category \( ((\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/}^\text{iso})/B \) is weakly contractible. Observe that the projection \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m]} \to \mathbb{F}_{[m]} \), given by evaluation at \( [m] \), is a cartesian fibration, where \( \mathbb{F}_{[m]} \) denotes the subcategory of \( \mathbb{F} \) containing only the injective maps. The category \( ((\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/}^\text{iso})/B \) therefore has a terminal object, given by the cartesian morphism \( B' \to B \) over the map \( A_n \to B_{\phi(m)} \), which implies that it is weakly contractible. (Indeed this is the unique object of \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[m], A/}^\text{iso})/B \), which is actually a contractible \( \infty \)-groupoid.) Part (ii) is immediate from the definition.

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.5.** The functor \( \Delta_S^d \to \Delta \) is a cartesian fibration, and the corresponding functor \( \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty} \) takes \( [n] \) to the category \( (\Delta_S^d)_{[n]} \) where

- an object is a sequence \( a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n \) of morphisms in \( \mathbb{F} \),
• a morphism is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\bullet & \circlearrowright & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & \rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \cdots & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\circ & \circlearrowright & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & \rightarrow & \rightarrow
\end{array}
\]

where the squares are cartesian and the maps \(a_i \rightarrow b_i\) are injective.

This clearly satisfies the Segal condition, i.e. \((\Delta_\infty)[n] \simeq (\Delta_\infty)[1] \times (\Delta_\infty)[1] \cdots \times (\Delta_\infty)[1]\). It follows that \(\Delta_\infty \rightarrow \Delta_{\infty}^{op}\) is a cocartesian fibration corresponding to the functor \(\Delta_{\infty}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty\) taking \([n]\) to \((\Delta_\infty)[n]^{op}\) and so is also a double \(\infty\)-category.

Suppose \(\phi: [n] \rightarrow [m]\) is an active map in \(\Delta\). If \(A = (a_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_n)\) and \(A' = (a_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_k)\) and \(A'' = (a_k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_n)\) then we must show that the natural map

\[
\text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A)^{op} F \rightarrow \text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A')^{op} F \times \text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A'')^{op} F
\]

is an equivalence for any appropriate functor \(F\).

We have a commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A)^{op} F & \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
\text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A')^{op} F \times \text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A'')^{op} F & \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
\text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A')^{op} F & \rightarrow \rightarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
\text{colim}((\Delta_\infty)[n],A'')^{op} F & \rightarrow \rightarrow
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical maps are equivalences by Lemma 4.1.7(i). To see that the bottom horizontal map is an equivalence it hence suffices to show the top horizontal map is an equivalence.

Here \((\Delta_\infty)[n],a_k\) is contractible, since it only contains the identity map of \(a_k\), while the functor \((\Delta_\infty)[n],A' \rightarrow (\Delta_\infty)[n],A' \times (\Delta_\infty)[n],A''\) is an equivalence by Lemma 4.1.7(ii). Thus the top horizontal functor is

\[
\text{colim}_{(X,Y) \in ((\Delta_\infty)[n],A')^{op} \times ((\Delta_\infty)[n],A'')^{op}} F(X) \times F([0],a_k) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{X \in ((\Delta_\infty)[n],A')^{op}} F(X) \times F([0],a_k) \rightarrow \text{colim}_{Y \in ((\Delta_\infty)[n],A'')^{op}} F(Y),
\]

which is an equivalence since colimits in \(\mathcal{X}\) are universal.

\[\square\]

**Notation 4.1.8.** Given a morphism \(f: a \rightarrow b\) of finite sets, we write \(\text{Fact}(f)\) for the groupoid \(((\Delta_\infty)[2],a \rightarrow b)^{op}\) of factorizations of \(f\).

Applying Proposition 3.2.12 and Corollary 3.3.7 we get:

**Corollary 4.1.9.** There is a double \(\infty\)-category \(\hat{\Delta}_{\infty,F}^{op}\) with the universal property that for any generalized non-symmetric \(\infty\)-operad \(O\) there is a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_O(\hat{\Delta}_{\infty,F}^{op}) \simeq \text{Seg}_{O \times_{\Delta_{\infty}^{op}} \Delta_{\infty}^{op}}(S).
\]

In particular, \(\text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\infty}^{op}}(\hat{\Delta}_{\infty,F}^{op}) \simeq \text{Seg}_{\Delta_{\infty}^{op}}(S)\).

Here \(\text{Seg}_{\Delta_{\infty}^{op}}(S)\) is the \(\infty\)-category of presheaves on \(\Delta_{\infty}\) that satisfy condition (1) in Definition 4.1.3.

The double \(\infty\)-category \(\hat{\Delta}_{\infty,F}^{op}\) can be described as follows:

• Objects are functors \(p_{inj}^{op} \simeq \Delta_{\infty,F}[0] \rightarrow S\),

• Vertical morphisms are natural transformations of such functors.

• A horizontal morphism \(\Phi\) from \(F\) to \(G: \mathbb{F}_{inj}^{op} \rightarrow S\) assigns to \([1],a \rightarrow b\) a span

\[
F(a) \leftarrow \Phi(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow G(b).
\]

• Squares are natural transformations of such diagrams.
• If \( \Phi \) is a horizontal morphism from \( F \) to \( G \) and \( \Psi \) is a horizontal morphism from \( G \) to \( H \) then their composite assigns to \([1], a \xrightarrow{\Phi} b \) the space over \( F(a) \) and \( H(b) \) given by
\[
\colim_{a \to x \to b \in \Fact(f)} \Phi(a \to x) \times_{G(x)} \Psi(x \to b).
\]

**Definition 4.1.10.** Let \( \Coll(S) \) denote the full subcategory of \( \Deltaop_{\infty,F,S} \) spanned by the functors \( (\Sigma \Deltaop_{\infty})_n \to S \) such that their inert restrictions to \( (\Sigma \Deltaop_{\infty})_0 \cong \Fin inj \) take coproducts of finite sets to products, and their inert restrictions to \( (\Sigma \Deltaop_{\infty})_1 \) moreover satisfy condition (2) in Definition 4.1.3 when restricted to \( (\Deltaop_{\infty})_1 \).

**Lemma 4.1.11.** \( \Coll(S) \) is a sub-double \( \infty \)-category of \( \Deltaop_{\infty,F,S} \).

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.1.9 that \( \Coll(S) \) is a generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad, so it only remains to check that the cocartesian morphisms restrict to \( \Coll(S) \). To see this it suffices to check that the horizontal morphisms in \( \Coll(S) \) are closed under composition. If \( \Phi \) is a horizontal morphism from \( F \) to \( G \) and \( \Psi \) is one from \( G \) to \( H \), and both lie in \( \Coll(S) \), then we have
\[
(\Phi \circ_G \Psi)(a \to b) \simeq \colim_{a \to x \to b} \Phi(a \to x) \times_{G(x)} \Psi(x \to b)
\]
where \( \Phi(n) \) has a \( (\Sigma_n)^n \)-action compatible with permuting the factors of \( X \times n \).

The double \( \infty \)-category \( \Coll(S) \) can be described as follows:

• Its objects can be identified with spaces (since functors \( \Fin inj \to S \) in \( \Coll(S)_0 \) are determined by their value at \( 1 \)).

• Its vertical morphisms are maps of spaces.

• A horizontal morphism \( \Phi \) from \( X \) to \( Y \) is determined by assigning to \([1], n \to 1 \) a span
\[
X^\times n \leftarrow \Phi(n) \to Y,
\]
where \( \Phi(n) \) has a \( (\Sigma_n)^n \)-action compatible with permuting the factors of \( X \times n \).

• A square is a natural transformation of such diagrams.

• If \( \Phi \) is a horizontal morphism from \( X \) to \( Y \) and \( \Psi \) is one from \( Y \) to \( Z \), then their composite assigns to \([1], n \to 1 \) the space over \( X \times n \times Z \) given by
\[
\colim_{n \to m \to 1} \Phi(n) \to \Phi(m) \times_{Y \times m} \Psi(m),
\]
where \( \Phi(n) \to \Phi(m) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Phi(n_i) \).

Restricting Corollary 4.1.9 to \( \Coll(S) \), we get:

**Corollary 4.1.12.** There is an equivalence \( \Alg_{\Deltaop}(\Coll(S)) \simeq \Seg_{\Deltaop}(S) \).
Notation 4.1.13. Let $F^\simeq$ denote the maximal subgroupoid of $F$, and write $j: F^\simeq \to (F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0$ for the fully faithful functor taking $n$ to $n \to 1$. Given $X \in S$, we write $(F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_X \to (F^\text{op})^{[0]}_0$ for the left fibration corresponding to the unique product-preserving functor $(F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0 \simeq F^\text{op}_{[1]} \to S$ that takes $1$ to $X$. Moreover, for $X, Y \in S$ let $(F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_X \times (F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_Y$ denote the pullback $((F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_X \times (F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_Y)$. Then we define $F^\simeq_{X,Y}$ to be the $\infty$-groupoid obtained as the pullback

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F^\simeq_{X,Y} & \xrightarrow{j_{X,Y}} & (F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_{X,Y} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F^\simeq & \xrightarrow{j} & (F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0
\end{array}
$$

We can identify this with the coproduct $\coprod_n X^d_{h\Sigma_n} \times Y$. We also use the abbreviation $F^\simeq_{X} := F^\simeq_{X,X}$.

Lemma 4.1.14. For any $X \in S$, the $\infty$-category $\text{COLL}(S)(X, Y)$ of horizontal morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}((F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_X, X, Y, S)$ spanned by functors that are right Kan extensions along $j_{X,Y}$, so that

$$
\text{COLL}(S)(X, Y) \simeq \text{Fun}(F^\simeq_{X,Y}, S).
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.15 we may identify $\tilde{F}^\text{op}_{\text{F},G}$ with $\text{Fun}((F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0, F, G, S)$ for any functors $F, G: (F^\text{op})^{[0]}_0 \to S$. For the objects that lie in $\text{COLL}(S)$ these are functors $((F^\text{op})^{[1]}_0|_X, X, Y) \to S$, and under this identification it is easy to see that the functors that lie in $\text{COLL}(S)(X, Y)$ are precisely those that are right Kan extended from $F^\simeq_{X,Y}$.

Remark 4.1.15. In particular, we may identify the $\infty$-category $\text{Coll}_X(S)$ of horizontal endomorphisms of the point with the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(F^\simeq_{X}, S)$ of symmetric sequences in $S$. More generally, the $\infty$-category $\text{Coll}_X(S)$ is equivalent to $\text{Fun}(F^\simeq_{X}, S)$, the $\infty$-category of $X$-collections, or $X$-coloured symmetric sequences, in $S$.

Notation 4.1.16. For a functor $F: F^\simeq_{X,Y} \to \mathcal{C}$, we will denote its value at $((x_1, \ldots, x_n), y) \in X^d_{h\Sigma_n} \times Y$ by $F^{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}_{y}$.

Corollary 4.1.17. The $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(F^\simeq_{X}, S)$ has a monoidal structure such that

(i) the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ is given by

$$(F \otimes G)^{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}_{y} \simeq \text{colim}_{(y_i) \in X^d_{h\Sigma_n}} \prod_{i \in m} F^{(x_k : k \in n_i y_i)}_{y_i},$$

where the colimit is over $((F^\text{op},X)^{[0]}_{\Sigma_n}|_{(n \to 1,(x_i),z)})^{\text{op}}$,

(ii) the unit $1_X$ is given by

$$(1_X)^{(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}_{y} \simeq \begin{cases} \emptyset, & n \neq 1, \\ \text{Map}_X(x_1, y), & n \cong 1, \end{cases}$$

(iii) we have $\text{Alg}(\text{Fun}(F^\simeq_{X}, S)) \cong \text{Seg}_{F^\simeq_{X}}(S)_X$.

Remark 4.1.18. In particular, the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(F^\simeq, S)$ of symmetric sequences has a monoidal structure with tensor product given by

$$(F \otimes G)(n) \simeq \text{colim}_{(n \to 1)} \prod_{i \in m} F(n_i) \times G(m).$$

This formula is easily seen to agree with the usual formula for the composition product of symmetric sequences by expanding out $\text{Fact}(n \to 1)$ as a coproduct of its components, cf. [DH14, Lemma A.4].
Remark 4.1.19. In [Bar18], Barwick defines Φ-∞-operads for operator categories φ as Segal presheaves on categories Δφ, of which ΔF is a special case. The proof of Corollary 4.1.17 works for any operator category, giving a monoidal structure on the ∞-category Fun(Φ, S) of "X-coloured φ-symmetric sequences" where associative algebras are Φ-∞-operads with X as their space of objects. In particular, replacing F with the category ∅ of ordered finite sets we obtain the analogous results for non-symmetric ∞-operads.

4.2. Enriched ∞-Operads as Associative Algebras. In this subsection we extend the results of the previous subsection to ∞-operads enriched in a symmetric monoidal ∞-category. The starting point is the following analogue of Proposition 3.5.7 for ∞-monoidal ∞-categories:

Proposition 4.2.1. Let U ⊗ be a ∞-monoidal ∞-category that is compatible with colimits indexed by ∞-groupoids. Then ∞-preserves colimits indexed by ∞-groupoids, then the natural morphism of generalized non-symmetric ∞-operads preserves cocartesian morphisms.

Proof. follows as in the proof of Proposition 3.5.7, using Lemma 4.1.7 to restrict to colimits indexed by ∞-groupoids. □

We now recall some definitions from [CH17]: we refer the reader there for motivation for these definitions.

Definition 4.2.2. Let V: ΔF op → F be the functor of [CH17, Definition 2.2.11], taking ([n], a0 → · · · → an) to (prod_{i=1}^{n} ai),+ and a morphism ([n], a0 → · · · → an) → ([m], b0 → · · · → bm) over φ: [n] → [m] in ΔF op to the map (prod_{i=1}^{n} ai) → (prod_{j=1}^{m} bj) given on the component ai by the map a_i → (prod_{j=1}^{m} bj) taking x ∈ ai to an object y ∈ bj if φ(j − 1) < i ≤ φ(j) and the map a_i → b_{φ(i)} takes x to the image of y under the map b_j → a_{φ(j)}, and to the base point * otherwise. The functor V assigns to a forest its set of vertices with an added basepoint. Note that V assigns every morphism in ΔF op that lies over an identity morphism in ΔF op to an inert morphism in F.*

Definition 4.2.3. If V ⊗ → F is a symmetric monoidal ∞-category, and V ⊗ → F* is the corresponding cartesian fibration, then we define the ∞-category ΔF op by the pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta F^\vee & \rightarrow & V^\otimes \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta F & \rightarrow & V^\otimes \circ \ F^*.
\end{array}
\]

Note that V: ΔF op → F satisfies

\[V([n], a_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_n) \cong V([1], a_0 \rightarrow a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus V([1], a_{n-1} \rightarrow a_n),\]

which implies that ΔF op is a ΔF-monoidal ∞-category.

Remark 4.2.4. Let V be a symmetric monoidal ∞-category compatible with colimits indexed by ∞-groupoids. The double ∞-category ΔF,ΔF op can be described as follows:

- The objects are functors (ΔF) op → S, and the vertical morphisms are natural transformations of such functors.
- A horizontal morphism from F to G is a functor

\[\Phi: (ΔF) op \times F, G \rightarrow (ΔF) op \times F, V^\otimes\]

over (ΔF) op. This thus assigns to an object \((n \xrightarrow{f} m, p \in F(n), q \in G(m))\) an object \((\Phi(f, p, q))_{i \in m} \otimes V^\otimes_{|m|}\).
• If $\Phi$ is a horizontal morphism from $F$ to $G$ and $\Psi$ is one from $G$ to $H$, then their composite is the functor from $(\Delta F)^{op}_{[1],F,H}$ given by

$$
(n \xrightarrow{f} m, p \in F(n), q \in H(m)) \mapsto \left( \text{colim}_{(n \xrightarrow{h} m) \in \text{Fact}(f)} \text{colim}_{i \in O(x)} \bigotimes_{j \in h^{-1}(i)} \Phi(g, p, t)_j \otimes \Psi(h, t, q)_i \right)_{i \in m}
$$

**Definition 4.2.5.** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a symmetric monoidal $\infty$-category compatible with colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids. We denote by $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{V}^{op} \times \mathcal{V}^{op}$ spanned by the objects

1. whose inert restrictions to $[0]$ are given by functors $(\Delta F)^{op}_{[1]} \simeq \mathcal{V}^{op}_{\text{inj}} \to \mathcal{S}$ that take coproducts of finite sets to products,
2. whose inert restrictions to $[1]$ correspond to functors $(\Delta F)^{op}_{[1],F,G} \to (\Delta G)^{op}_{[1]} \times \mathcal{V}^{op}$ that send all morphisms to cocartesian morphisms in the target.

This is a sub-double $\infty$-category of $\mathcal{V}^{op} \times \mathcal{V}^{op}$ by a variant of the proof of Lemma 4.1.11.

**Remark 4.2.6.** A functor $F : \mathcal{V}^{op}_{\text{inj}} \to \mathcal{S}$ that satisfies condition (1) is the right Kan extension of its restriction to the object $1$. Thus the objects of $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ can equivalently be described as spaces. Since the restriction of the functor $V$ to $(\Delta F)^{op}_{[1]}$ sends all morphisms to inert morphisms in $\mathcal{F}^{op}$, the functor $(\Delta F)^{op}_{[1]} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ corresponding to the cocartesian fibration $(\Delta F)^{op}_{[1]} \times \mathcal{F}^{op} \mathcal{V}^{op}$ is also a right Kan extension of its restriction to the full subcategory $\mathcal{F}^{op}$, and this restriction is the constant functor with value $\mathcal{V}$. Thus a horizontal morphism from $X$ to $Y$ in $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to a functor $\mathcal{F}^{op}_{X,Y} \to \mathcal{V}$.

**Notation 4.2.7.** We say a morphism in $\Delta^{op}$ is **operadic inert** if it lies over an inert morphism in $\Delta^{op}$. Let $\text{Alg}^{\text{op}}_{\Delta^{op}_{X}}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Alg}^{\text{op}}_{\Delta^{op}_{X}}(\mathcal{V}^{op})$ spanned by morphisms that take operadic inert morphisms to cocartesian morphisms; we call such objects **operadic $\Delta^{op}_{X}$-algebras**. We then write $\text{Alg}^{\text{op}}_{\Delta^{op}_{X}}(\mathcal{V})$ for the full subcategory of $\text{Alg}^{\text{op}}_{\Delta^{op}_{X}}(\mathcal{V})$ corresponding to operadic algebras for all $\Delta^{op}_{X}, X \in \mathcal{S}$. Similarly, we can define operadic algebras and algebroids for $\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op} \mathcal{F}^{op}$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad, by taking the operadic inert morphisms in $\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op} \mathcal{F}^{op}$ to be those that lie over inert morphisms in $\mathcal{O}$ and operadic inert morphisms in $\Delta^{op}_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Restricting the equivalence from Proposition 3.5.6 to $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$, we get:

**Corollary 4.2.8.** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a symmetric monoidal $\infty$-category compatible with colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids. There is a framed double $\infty$-category $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})$ where:

• objects are spaces and vertical morphisms are morphisms of spaces,
• horizontal morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ are functors $\mathcal{F}^{op}_{X,Y} \to \mathcal{V}$,
• if $\Phi : \mathcal{F}^{op}_{X,Y} \to \mathcal{V}$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{F}^{op}_{Y,Z} \to \mathcal{V}$ are two horizontal morphisms, their composite is the functor $\mathcal{F}^{op}_{X,Z} \to \mathcal{V}$ given by

$$
\left( x_1, \ldots, x_n \right) \mapsto \text{colim}_{(y_j) \in Y^m} \text{colim}_{j \in f^{-1}(j)} \Theta \left( x_i : i \in f^{-1}(j) \right) \otimes \Psi \left( y_1, \ldots, y_m \right).
$$

We have $\text{Alg}^{\text{op}}(\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})) \simeq \text{Alg}^{\text{op}}_{O \times \Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{V})$. Moreover, if $\phi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W}$ is a symmetric monoidal functor that preserves colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids, then $\phi$ induces a morphism of double $\infty$-categories $\text{COLL}(\mathcal{V}) \to \text{COLL}(\mathcal{W})$ given on horizontal morphisms by composition with $\phi$.

Let $\text{Coll}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{COLL}(\mathcal{V})(X,X)$; then $\text{Coll}(\mathcal{V})$ is equivalent to the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{F}^{op}_{X}, \mathcal{V})$ of symmetric $X$-collections in $\mathcal{V}$. The monoidal structure on $\text{Coll}(\mathcal{V})$ has the following description:

**Corollary 4.2.9.** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a symmetric monoidal $\infty$-category compatible with colimits indexed by $\infty$-groupoids. The $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{F}^{op}_{X}, \mathcal{V})$ has a monoidal structure such that
(i) the tensor product of \( F \) and \( G \) is given by
\[
(F \circ G)(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \approx \lim_{m \to 1} \bigotimes_{y \in X, x \in M} F(x_k : k \in n_i) \otimes G(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \bigotimes_{y \in X, x \in M} G(y_1, \ldots, y_n),
\]
where the colimit is over \((\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X})^{[m]}(n \to 1, (x_i), z)\)^{op}

(ii) the unit \( \mathbb{1}_X \) is given by
\[
\mathbb{1}_X(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \approx \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq 1, \\ \text{Map}_X(x_1, y) \otimes 1, & n \cong 1. \end{cases}
\]

(iii) we have \( \text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}}(\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^\infty, \mathcal{V})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}}(\mathcal{V}) \).

Moreover, if \( \phi : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{W} \) is a symmetric monoidal functor that preserves colimits indexed by \( \infty \)-groupoids, then composition with \( \phi \) gives a monoidal functor \( \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^\infty, \mathcal{V}) \to \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^\infty, \mathcal{W}) \).

### 4.3. \( \infty \)-Cooperads and a Bar-Cobar Adjunction

In this subsection we will apply Lurie’s bar-cobar adjunction for associative algebras [Lur17, §5.2.2] to obtain a version of the bar-cobar adjunction between \( \infty \)-operads and \( \infty \)-cooperads with a fixed space of objects. We first spell out the variant of \( \infty \)-cooperads that this applies to:

**Definition 4.3.1.** For \( X \in \mathcal{S} \) and \( \mathcal{V} \) a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category compatible with colimits indexed by \( \infty \)-groupoids, a \( \mathcal{V} \)-enriched \( \infty \)-cooperad with space of objects \( X \) is a coassociative coalgebra in \( \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^\infty, \mathcal{V}) \), equipped with the monoidal structure of Corollary 4.2.9. We write

\[
\text{Coopd}_X(\mathcal{V}) := \text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}}((\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^\infty, \mathcal{V}))^{op}),
\]

for the \( \infty \)-category of \( \mathcal{V} \)-\( \infty \)-cooperads with space of objects \( X \), and

\[
\text{Coopd}_X^{\text{coaug}}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{Coopd}_X(\mathcal{V})_{/\mathbb{1}_X}.
\]

Similarly, we write

\[
\text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V}) := \text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}}((\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^\infty, \mathcal{V}))^{op})
\]

and

\[
\text{Opd}_X^{\text{coaug}}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{Opd}_X(\mathcal{V})_{/\mathbb{1}_X}.
\]

**Corollary 4.3.2.** Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category compatible with small colimits. There is an adjunction

\[
\text{Bar} : \text{Opd}_X^{\text{coaug}}(\mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows \text{Coopd}_X^{\text{coaug}}(\mathcal{V}) : \text{Cobar},
\]

where on underlying symmetric sequences \( \text{Bar}(\mathcal{O}) \) is given by

\[
\mathbb{1}_X \circ \mathbb{1}_X \simeq \lim_{\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{1} \equiv \mathcal{O} \equiv \mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{O} \equiv \cdots \\
\end{array} \right)
\]

and \( \text{Cobar}(\mathcal{O}) \) is given by

\[
\lim_{\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{1} \equiv \mathcal{Q} \equiv \mathcal{Q} \circ \mathcal{Q} \equiv \cdots \\
\end{array} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Apply [Lur17, Theorem 5.2.2.17] to the monoidal \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_X^\infty, \mathcal{V})_{/\mathbb{1}_X} \).

**Remark 4.3.3.** We have defined \( \infty \)-cooperads as coalgebras in symmetric sequences, following the definition proposed in, for instance, [FG12]. However, the notion of cooperads in \( \mathcal{V} \) that seems to be relevant for the most general version of bar–cobar duality is that of operads enriched in \( \mathcal{V}^{op} \) (as for example used by Ching to define the bar–cobar adjunction for operads in spectra [Chi05]). In general these two notions are quite different: an \( \infty \)-cooperad \( \mathcal{O} \) with one object in our sense has a comultiplication \( \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{O} \), which is given by morphisms

\[
\mathcal{O}(n) \rightarrow \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n} \text{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{i_k}}(\mathcal{O}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}(i_k)) \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k),
\]

for \( k \in \Sigma_k \).
while an $\infty$-operad enriched in $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$ would be given by morphisms

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \to \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \bigoplus_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n} \text{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k}^{\Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k} (\mathcal{O}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}(i_k)) \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k) \right)^{h\Sigma_k};$$

here $\text{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k}^{\Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k}$ and $\text{CoInd}_{\Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k}^{\Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k}$ denote induction and coinduction, respectively, or in other words left and right Kan extension along the functor $B(\Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k) \to B\Sigma_\infty$.

However, if we make some rather restrictive assumptions on both the $\infty$-operads we consider and on the $\infty$-categories we enrich in, then the two notions do agree: First suppose $\mathcal{V}$ is a semiadditive $\infty$-category, meaning it has a zero object and finite biproducts (i.e. finite products and coproducts coincide). (For example, this holds in any stable $\infty$-category, such as those of spectra or chain complexes.) If we then restrict ourselves to consider only reduced $\infty$-operads $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Opd}_\infty(\mathcal{V})$, meaning $\infty$-operads such that $\mathcal{O}(0) \simeq 0$, then the coproducts in $\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{O}$ are finite and hence are equivalent to products. Therefore for such $\mathcal{O}$ the comultiplication $\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{O}$ is equivalently described by $\Sigma_n$-equivariant maps

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \to \left( \bigoplus_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n} \text{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k}^{\Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k} (\mathcal{O}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}(i_k)) \right) \otimes_{\Sigma_n} \mathcal{O}(k).$$

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is semiadditive, there exist natural norm maps $(-)^{hG} \to (-)^{hG}$ for all finite groups $G$, and more generally a natural transformation $\text{Ind}^{G}_H \to \text{CoInd}^{G}_H$ for any homomorphism of finite groups $H \to G$ (see [Lur17, §6.1.6]). Using these, the $\infty$-cooperad $\mathcal{O}$ induces maps

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \to \left( \bigoplus_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n} \text{Ind}_{\Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k}^{\Sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \Sigma_k} (\mathcal{O}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}(i_k)) \right) \otimes_{\Sigma_n} \mathcal{O}(k) \right)^{h\Sigma_k};$$

which correspond to a family of equivariant maps

$$\mathcal{O}(n) \to \mathcal{O}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}(i_k) \otimes \mathcal{O}(k)$$

where $n = i_1 + \cdots + i_k$. These maps should determine an $\infty$-operad enriched in $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$ with the same $n$-ary operations as $\mathcal{O}$. More precisely, if the composition product for $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$ exists, we expect that the norm maps induce a lax monoidal structure on the equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}(\mathbb{F}_{\geq 0}^{\geq 0}, \mathcal{V}^{\text{op}})$$

with respect to the opposite of the composition product for $\mathcal{V}$ and the composition product for $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$, and so a functor

$$\text{Coopd}^{\text{red}}_{\text{red}}(\mathcal{V})^{\text{op}} \to \text{Opd}^{\text{red}}_{\text{red}}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}).$$

However, $\infty$-operads enriched in $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$ typically cannot be defined using the composition product, as this only exists if the tensor product in $\mathcal{V}$ commutes with certain limits.

In certain special cases the norm maps are actually natural equivalences, in which case we say that $\mathcal{V}$ is 1-semiadditive. For such $\mathcal{V}$ the composition product for $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$ will exist if the tensor product commutes with colimits, and we expect that it is then equivalent to the monoidal structure on $\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}$ induced by the composition product for $\mathcal{V}$, so that the two notions of reduced $\infty$-cooperads agree. Examples of 1-semiadditive $\infty$-categories include chain complexes over a field of characteristic zero, $K(n)$-local spectra [GS96, HS96, HL13], and $T(n)$-local spectra [Kuh04, CSY19]; however, the $\infty$-categories of chain complexes in characteristic $p$ and of spectra are not 1-semiadditive.
For reduced ∞-operads enriched in 1-semiadditive ∞-categories, we expect that the bar–cobar adjunction arising from the composition product is the correct one for understanding bar–cobar duality. However, more generally the bar–cobar adjunction for operads does not seem to arise from the bar–cobar adjunction for associative algebras.
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