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\textbf{Abstract.} We construct a generalization of the Day convolution tensor product of presheaves that works for certain double $\infty$-categories. We apply this construction to show that (enriched) $\infty$-categories and (enriched) $\infty$-operads with a fixed space of objects can be described as associative algebras. In particular, this gives an $\infty$-categorical version of the well-known description of one-object operads as associative algebras in symmetric sequences.
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\section{Introduction}

\subsection{Main Results.} $\infty$-operads are a convenient framework for homotopy-coherent algebraic structures, introduced and substantially developed by Lurie \cite{Lur17}. Alternative approaches to $\infty$-operads have been introduced by Moerdijk–Weiss \cite{MW07}, Cisinki–Moerdijk \cite{CM13a}, and Barwick \cite{Bar13}, and the formalism was recently extended to enriched $\infty$-operads in \cite{CH17}. In this paper we provide another point of view on (enriched) $\infty$-operads: a $V$-enriched $\infty$-operad with $X$ as space of objects is the same thing as an associative algebra in a monoidal $\infty$-category of $X$-coloured symmetric sequences (or symmetric $X$-collections) in $V$.
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The Composition Product and Operads. For one-object operads\(^1\) this description goes back to Kelly [K90] (originally written in 1972). Recall that if \(V\) is a symmetric monoidal category, and \(i\mathcal{F} \to V\); more explicitly, since \(i\mathcal{F} \simeq \bigsqcup_{n=0}^\infty \Sigma_n \), a symmetric sequence is a sequence of objects \((X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) with a \(\Sigma_n\)-action on \(X_n\). If the tensor product on \(V\) preserves coproducts in each variable, then the category \(\text{Fun}(i\mathcal{F}, V)\) of symmetric sequences has a monoidal structure given by the composition (or substitution) product \(\circ\). This is described by the formula

\[
(X \circ Y)_n \cong \bigotimes_{k=0}^\infty \left( \prod_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k = k} (Y_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y_{i_k}) \times \Sigma_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{i_k} \Sigma_k \right) \otimes \Sigma_k X_k;
\]

the unit is the symmetric sequence

\[
\mathbb{1}_n = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & n \neq 1 \\ \mathbb{1}, & n = 1 \end{cases},
\]

where \(\mathbb{1}\) is the unit in \(V\). An associative algebra \(O\) with respect to the composition product is precisely a one-object operad — the multiplication map \(O \circ O \to O\) is given by a family of equivariant maps

\[
O_k \otimes O_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes O_{i_k} \to O_{i_1 + \cdots + i_k},
\]

supplying the operad structure maps, and similarly the unit map \(\mathbb{1} \to O\) corresponds to the unit \(\mathbb{1} \to O_1\).

This description has a natural extension to operads with any fixed set \(S\) of objects: Let \(i\mathcal{F}_S\) denote the groupoid with objects lists \((s_1, \ldots, s_n; t)\) \((s_i, t \in S)\) and a morphism \((s_1, \ldots, s_n; t) \to (s'_1, \ldots, s'_m; t')\) given by a bijection \(\sigma: \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, m\}\) in \(i\mathcal{F}\) such that \(s_i = s'_\sigma(i)\) and \(t = t'\); in other words, \(i\mathcal{F}_S\) is \(\bigsqcup_{n=0}^\infty S^\times (S^n)_{\Sigma_n}\). Then an \(S\)-coloured symmetric sequence (or symmetric \(S\)-collection) in \(V\) is a functor \(i\mathcal{F}_S \to V\). The category \(\text{Fun}(i\mathcal{F}_S, V)\) again has a composition product \(\circ\), given by a more complicated version of the same formula, such that an operad with \(S\) as its set of objects is precisely an associative algebra for this monoidal structure.

Extending this description of operads to the \(\infty\)-categorical context is desirable, above all because it seems necessary to understand Koszul duality in full generality. Over a field of characteristic zero, Koszul duality for dg-operads was introduced by Ginzburg and Kapranov [GK94], and is by now well understood using model-categorical methods (see e.g. [GJ94, Fre14, Fre11, LV12, Val16]). However, Koszul duality also occurs in spectra [Chi14, CH15] where it is closely related to Goodwillie calculus [Chi05], and in this context, as well as in characteristic \(p\), a full understanding of Koszul duality seems difficult to reach without \(\infty\)-categorical methods, as coalgebraic structures are difficult to work with using model categories.

Koszul duality arises from the bar-cobar adjunction between operads and cooperads, and as observed by Francis and Gaitsgory [FG12], in the \(\infty\)-categorical setting this should be the bar-cobar adjunction between associative algebras and coassociative coalgebras (constructed in great generality in [Lur17, §5.2.2]) applied to the monoidal category of symmetric sequences. Moreover, Francis and Gaitsgory [FG12] were able to use the the expected features of a description of enriched \(\infty\)-operads via symmetric sequences to obtain Koszul duality equivalences in stable \(\infty\)-categories under certain finiteness hypotheses (including in the case of chiral algebras).

In this paper, as a first step towards building the foundations for an \(\infty\)-categorical theory of Koszul duality, we show that enriched \(\infty\)-operads are indeed given by associative algebras in symmetric sequences:

**Theorem 1.1.1.** Let \(X\) be a space, and write \(i\mathcal{F}_X := \bigsqcup_{n=0}^\infty X \times X_{\Sigma_n}^n\). Then for any presentably symmetric monoidal \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{V}\) there is a monoidal structure on \(\text{Fun}(i\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{V})\) such that associative algebras are equivalent to \(\mathcal{V}\)-enriched \(\infty\)-operads with \(X\) as their space of objects.

\(^1\)By analogy with the usual terminology for \(\infty\)-operads, we will use operad to mean what is often called a coloured operad or symmetric multicategory.
More precisely, we obtain an equivalence between associative algebras in this monoidal ∞-category and ∞-operads in the sense of Barwick [Bar13], as generalized to enriched ∞-operads in [CH17]. We prove this in §4.3 after considering the somewhat simpler case of (non-enriched) ∞-operads in §4.2.

The starting point for our construction of the composition product is the “coordinate-free” definition of the composition product due to Dwyer–Hess [DH14, §4.1]. They observe that, if \( \iota \mathbb{F}[1] \) denotes the groupoid of morphisms of finite sets and \( \iota \mathbb{F}[2] \) denotes the groupoid of composable pairs of morphisms of finite sets, then:

- Symmetric sequences in Set are the same thing as symmetric monoidal functors \( \iota \mathbb{F}[1] \to \text{Set} \), with respect to the disjoint union in \( \iota \mathbb{F}[1] \) and the Cartesian product of sets.
- Under this identification the composition product of \( X \) and \( Y \) corresponds to the left Kan extension, along the functor \( \iota \mathbb{F}[2] \to \iota \mathbb{F}[1] \) given by composition, of the restriction of \( X \times Y \) from \( \iota \mathbb{F}[1] \times \iota \mathbb{F}[1] \) to \( \iota \mathbb{F}[2] \). In other words,

\[
(X \circ Y)(A \to C) \cong \colim_{(A \to B \to C) \in \((\iota \mathbb{F}[2]) \to (\iota \mathbb{F}[1]))} X(A \to B) \times Y(B \to C).
\]

After a slight reformulation this result is closely related to Barwick’s indexing category \( \Delta_{\text{F}} \) for ∞-operads. This is the category with objects sequences \( S_0 \to S_1 \to \cdots \to S_n \) of morphisms of finite sets, with a map \( (S_0 \to \cdots \to S_n) \to (T_0 \to \cdots \to T_m) \) given by a map \( \phi : [n] \to [m] \) in \( \Delta \) and injective morphisms \( S_i \to T_{\phi(i)} \) such that the squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S_i & \to & S_j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T_{\phi(i)} & \to & T_{\phi(j)}
\end{array}
\]

are Cartesian. If \( (\Delta_{\text{F}})[n] \) denotes the fibre at \([n]\) of the obvious projection \( \Delta_{\text{F}} \to \Delta \), then:

- Symmetric sequences in Set are the same thing as functors \( X : (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[1]} \to \text{Set} \) such that for every object \( S \to T \) the map

\[
X(S \to T) \to \prod_{i \in T} X(S_i \to *)
\]

induced by the morphisms

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S_i & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \{i\} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S & \longrightarrow & T,
\end{array}
\]

is an isomorphism.

- Under this identification the composition product of \( X \) and \( Y \) corresponds to the left Kan extension, along the functor \( (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[2]} \to (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[1]} \) corresponding to \( d_1 : [1] \to [2] \), of the restriction of \( X \times Y \) along the functor \( (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[2]} \to (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[1]} \times (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[1]} \) corresponding to \( (d_2, d_0) \). In other words,

\[
(X \circ Y)(A \to C) \cong \colim_{(A' \to B \to C') \in ((\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[2]}) \to (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[1]} \times (\Delta_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}}_{[1]} \to (A \to C))} X(A' \to B) \times Y(B \to C').
\]

The projection \( \Delta_{\text{F}} \to \Delta \) is a Grothendieck fibration, and the corresponding functor \( \Phi : \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat} \) is a double category, in the sense that it satisfies the Segal condition

\[
\Phi_n \cong \Phi_1 \times_{\Phi_0} \cdots \times_{\Phi_0} \Phi_1.
\]

We will obtain the composition product by applying to this double category a general construction of monoidal structures on presheaves of spaces on certain double ∞-categories.
Day Convolution. If $C$ is a small monoidal category and $V$ is a presentably monoidal category, then Day convolution [Day70] is a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(C, V)$, with the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ given by the left Kan extension along $\otimes: C \times C \to C$ of the composite

$$C \times C \xrightarrow{F \times G} V \times V \xrightarrow{\otimes} V.$$ 

This monoidal structure has the property that an associative algebra in $\text{Fun}(C, V)$ is the same thing as a lax monoidal functor $C \to V$; more generally, the Day convolution has a universal property for algebras over non-symmetric operads.

Day convolution was extended to the $\infty$-categorical setting by Glasman [Gla16]. (More recently, Lurie has also given a more general account [Lur17, §2.2.6].) In this paper we extend this to a construction of Day convolution for a class of double $\infty$-categories:

**Theorem 1.1.2.** Suppose $X \to \Delta^{\op}$ is a suitable double $\infty$-category, and $V$ is a presentably monoidal $\infty$-category. Then there is monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(X_1, V)$ such that for any non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $O$, we have a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_O(\text{Fun}(X_1, V)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{O \times \Delta^{\op}} X(V).$$

We prove this in §3.3 — note that the precise meaning of “suitable” is quite restrictive. We obtain this result by a rather different method from that used by Glasman, namely by first showing that a double $\infty$-category gives rise to an associative algebra in spans of $\infty$-categories, relying heavily on results of Barwick, Glasman, and Shah [Bar17, BGS16].

The Matrix Multiplication Product and Categories. As an additional (and much simpler) application of this Day convolution construction, we also show that (enriched) $\infty$-categories with a fixed space of objects can be described as associative algebras.

If $S$ is a set, there is a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(S \times S, \text{Set})$ given by

$$(F \otimes G)(i, k) \cong \coprod_{j \in S} F(i, j) \times G(j, k),$$

with unit $1$ the function

$$1(i, j) = \begin{cases} * , & i = j \\ \emptyset , & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

This is sometimes known as the “matrix multiplication” tensor product, since the formula is a “categorified” version of that for multiplication of matrices. An associative algebra $A$ in $\text{Fun}(S \times S, \text{Set})$ with this tensor product is the same thing as a category with set of objects $S$:

- The multiplication map $A \otimes A \to A$ supplies composition maps $A(i, j) \times A(j, k) \to A(i, k)$.
- The unit map $1 \to A$ supplies identity maps $* \to A(i, i)$.

Similarly, if $V$ is a monoidal category where the tensor product preserves coproducts in each variable, then we can define a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(S \times S, V)$ given by

$$(F \otimes G)(i, k) \cong \coprod_{j \in S} F(i, j) \otimes G(j, k).$$

Associative algebras in $\text{Fun}(S \times S, V)$ are then precisely $V$-enriched categories with $S$ as their set of objects.

In §4.1 we extend this result to $\infty$-categories:

**Theorem 1.1.3.** If $V$ is a presentably monoidal $\infty$-category and $X$ is a space, then there is a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(X \times X, V)$, given by the same formula as above, such that associative algebras are precisely $V$-enriched $\infty$-categories with $X$ as their space of objects.

1.2. Related Work. There are at least two other approaches to constructing the composition product on symmetric sequences $\infty$-categorically:
Composition Product from Free Presentably Symmetric Monoidal Categories. An alternative approach to defining the composition product of $S$-coloured symmetric sequences in $\text{Set}$ starts with the observation that $\text{Fun}(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{h\Sigma_n}, \text{Set})$ is the free presentably symmetric monoidal category generated by $S$. If $C$ is a presentably symmetric monoidal category we therefore have a natural equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(S, C) \simeq \text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}(\text{Fun}(i\mathbb{F}, \text{Set}), C),$$

where the right-hand side denotes the category of colimit-preserving symmetric monoidal functors. Taking $C$ to be $\text{Fun}(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{h\Sigma_n}, \text{Set})$, since $i\mathbb{F}S \simeq S \times \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{h\Sigma_n}$ we get a natural equivalence

$$\text{Fun}(i\mathbb{F}S, \text{Set}) \simeq \text{Fun}\left(S, \text{Fun}\left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{h\Sigma_n}, \text{Set}\right)\right) \simeq \text{Fun}^{L,\otimes}\left(\text{Fun}(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{h\Sigma_n}, \text{Set}), \text{Fun}(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{h\Sigma_n}, \text{Set})\right).$$

Here the right-hand side has an obvious monoidal structure given by composition of functors, and this corresponds under the equivalence to the composition product of $S$-coloured symmetric sequences. This construction is described in [BD98, §2.3]. Its one-object variant is much better known; it is attributed to Carboni in the “Author’s Note” for [Kel05], and it is also found in Trimble’s preprint [Tri]. There is also an enriched version of this construction, for (coloured) symmetric sequences in a presentably symmetric monoidal category.

In the $\infty$-categorical setting, it is not hard to see that $\text{Fun}(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} X_{h\Sigma_n}, S)$ is again the free presentably symmetric monoidal $\infty$-category generated by a space $X$. One can thus take the same route to obtain a composition product on $X$-coloured symmetric sequences in the $\infty$-category of spaces. In the one-object case this approach (including its enriched variant) is worked out in Brantner’s thesis [Bra17, §4.1.2]. This approach is arguably more elegant than that of the present paper, but has the disadvantage that it seems less straightforward to relate it to any other definition of (enriched) $\infty$-operads — to the best of my knowledge such a comparison has not yet been proved.

Polynomial Monads. In [GHK17] we show that $\infty$-operads with a fixed space of objects $X$ are equivalent to analytic monads on the slice $\infty$-category $S_{/X}$. These analytic monads can be viewed as associative algebras under composition in an $\infty$-category of analytic endofunctors of $S_{/X}$. The latter can be identified with $X$-coloured symmetric sequences in $S$, so this gives an alternative description of $\infty$-operads as associative algebras for the composition product. Compared to our approach here, this has a number of advantages:

- it gives a description of the full $\infty$-category of $\infty$-operads as algebras in the double $\infty$-category of analytic functors, while here we are only able to describe the fibres of the projection to spaces (i.e. we only see those maps of operads that are the identity on objects),
- it makes it clear that an $\infty$-operad can be recovered from its free algebra monad,
- it clarifies the relation between $\infty$-operads and trees (because free analytic monads can be described in terms of trees).

On the other hand, polynomial monads do not seem to extend usefully to give a description of enriched $\infty$-operads.

1.3. Overview. In §2 we give some background on $\infty$-categories of spans; this mainly follows [Bar17, BGS16] with some minor variations. In §3 we then use this machinery to construct the Day convolution for double $\infty$-categories. Finally, in §4 we apply this to obtain descriptions of (enriched) $\infty$-categories and $\infty$-operads as associative algebras.

1.4. Review of Non-Symmetric $\infty$-Operads. For the reader’s convenience, we will briefly review some definitions and results related to non-symmetric $\infty$-operads that we will make frequent use of below. For more details, as well as motivation, we refer the reader to [GH15, Hau17, Lur17].

**Definition 1.4.1.** $\Delta$ denotes the standard simplicial indexing category, i.e. the category of ordered sets $[n] = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and order-preserving maps. We say a map $\phi : [n] \to [m]$ is inert if it is the inclusion of a subinterval, i.e. $\phi(i) = \phi(0) + i$ for all $i$, and active if it preserves the end-points,
Proposition 1.4.8. If \( \sigma_j \) for the inclusion \([0] \cong \{i\} \hookrightarrow [n] \). If \( \mathcal{C} \) is an \( \infty \)-category with finite limits, then a category object in \( \mathcal{C} \) is a functor \( X : \Delta^{op} \to \mathcal{C} \) such that for every \( n \) the map \( X_n \to X_1 \times X_0 \cdots \times X_0 X_1 \) induced by the maps \( \rho_i \) and \( \sigma_j \), is an equivalence.

Definition 1.4.9. We write \( \sigma_j \) for the inclusion \([0] \cong \{i\} \hookrightarrow [n] \). If \( \mathcal{C} \) is an \( \infty \)-category with finite limits, then a category object in \( \mathcal{C} \) is a functor \( X : \Delta^{op} \to \mathcal{C} \) such that for every \( n \) the map \( X_n \to X_1 \times X_0 \cdots \times X_0 X_1 \) induced by the maps \( \rho_i \) and \( \sigma_j \), is an equivalence.

Definition 1.4.4. A monoidal \( \infty \)-category is a coCartesian fibration \( \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} \to \Delta^{op} \) such that the corresponding functor \( \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_\infty \) is an associative monoid. Similarly, a double \( \infty \)-category is a coCartesian fibration \( \mathcal{M} \to \Delta^{op} \) such that the corresponding functor \( \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_\infty \) is a category object.

Definition 1.4.5. A generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad is a functor \( p : \mathcal{O} \to \Delta^{op} \) such that

(i) \( \mathcal{O} \) has \( p \)-coCartesian morphisms over all inert maps in \( \Delta^{op} \),

(ii) for every \( n \) the functor \( \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{O}_1 \times \mathcal{O}_0 \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_0 \mathcal{O}_1 \) induced by the coCartesian morphisms over the maps \( \rho_i \) and \( \sigma_j \), is an equivalence,

(iii) for every \( X \in \mathcal{O}_n \), \( Y \in \mathcal{O}_m \) and \( \phi : [m] \to [n] \) in \( \Delta \), the map

\[
\text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(X, Y) \to \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(\rho_1, X, Y) \times \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(\sigma_1, X, Y) \cdots \times \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(\sigma_{n-1}, X, Y) \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(\rho_n, X, Y)
\]

is an equivalence, where \( X \to \rho_1 \), \( X \to \sigma_1 \), \( X \to \sigma_j \), \( X \to \rho_i \) are \( p \)-coCartesian morphisms over \( \rho_i \) and \( \sigma_j \), \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(X, Y) \) denotes the fibre at \( \phi \) of the map \( \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(X, Y) \to \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(\rho_0, X, Y) \to \text{Map}_\mathcal{O}(\rho_0, X, Y) \).

A morphism of generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operads is a functor over \( \Delta^{op} \) that preserves coCartesian morphisms over inert morphisms in \( \Delta^{op} \); we also refer to a morphism of generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operads \( \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{P} \) as an \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra in \( \mathcal{P} \) and write \( \text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) \) for the \( \infty \)-category of these.

Definition 1.4.6. A non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad is a generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad \( \mathcal{O} \) such that \( \mathcal{O}_0 \cong * \).

Definition 1.4.7. If \( \mathcal{C} \) is an \( \infty \)-category with finite products and \( \mathcal{O} \) is a generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad, then an \( \mathcal{O} \)-monoid in \( \mathcal{C} \) is a functor \( M : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{C} \) such that for every \( X \in \mathcal{O}_n \), the map \( M(X) \to \prod_{i=1}^n M(\rho_i X) \) induced by the coCartesian morphisms \( M(X) \to \rho_i X \) over \( \rho_i \), is an equivalence. We write \( \text{Mon}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \) for the \( \infty \)-category of \( \mathcal{O} \)-monoids in \( \mathcal{C} \), a full subcategory of \( \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}) \).

Proposition 1.4.8. If \( \mathcal{M} \) is a generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad and \( \mathcal{C} \) is an \( \infty \)-category with products, then there is a natural equivalence

\[
\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{C}).
\]

Proof. As the symmetric version, \cite[Proposition 2.4.1.7]{Lurie2017}.

Definition 1.4.9. A presentably monoidal \( \infty \)-category is a monoidal \( \infty \)-category whose underlying \( \infty \)-category is presentable, and whose tensor product preserves colimits in each variable.

Definition 1.4.10. If \( \mathcal{V}^{\otimes} \to \Delta^{op} \) is a monoidal \( \infty \)-category, we write \( \mathcal{V} \to \Delta \) for the corresponding Cartesian fibration. Then \( \mathcal{V}^{op, \otimes} := (\mathcal{V}^{\otimes})^{op} \to \Delta^{op} \) is again a monoidal \( \infty \)-category; this describes the monoidal structure on \( \mathcal{V}^{op} \) given by the same tensor product as that on \( \mathcal{V} \).

Definition 1.4.11. Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a generalized non-symmetric \( \infty \)-operad and \( \mathcal{V} \) be a presentably monoidal \( \infty \)-category. Then we say an \( \mathcal{M} \times \Delta^{op} \mathcal{V}^{op, \otimes} \)-monoid \( X : \mathcal{M} \times \Delta^{op} \mathcal{V}^{op} \to S \) is continuous if for every \( m \in M_1 \) the functor

\[
\{m\} \times \mathcal{V}^{op} \to M_1 \times \mathcal{V}^{op} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \times \Delta^{op} \mathcal{V}^{op, \otimes})[1] \to S
\]
Proof. As the proof of [CH17, Proposition 2.4.7]. □

Definition 1.4.13. For a functor $O \to Δ^\text{op}$, we write $O_{\text{int}}$ for the pullback to the subcategory $Δ^\text{op}_{\text{int}}$ of $Δ^\text{op}$ containing only the inert maps, and $O^\text{act}$ for the subcategory containing only morphisms lying over the active maps in $Δ^\text{op}$.

Definition 1.4.14. We say a generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $O$ is extendable if for every $X \in O$ over $[n] \in Δ^\text{op}$ the map

$$(O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/X \to \prod_{i=1}^{n} (O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/\rho_i, X$$

is cofinal, where $X \to \rho_i, X$ is a coCartesian morphism over $\rho_i$ and $(O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/X := (O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}} \times_{O^{\text{act}}} (O^{\text{act}})/X$.

Remark 1.4.15. The category $(O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}$ is the coproduct $\prod_{n} O_{[n]}$, so for $X \in O_{[1]}$ the $\infty$-category $(O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/X$ decomposes as

$$\prod_{n} O_{[n]}/X$$

where $O_{[n]}/X$ is the $\infty$-category of maps to $X$ lying over the unique active map $[1] \to [n]$ in $Δ$.

Example 1.4.16. For any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad we have an equivalence

$$(O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/X \simeq (O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/\rho_1, X \times (O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/\rho_2, X \cdots \times (O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/\rho_n, X$$

Here for $x \in O_{[0]}$ we have $(O_{\text{int}})^{\text{act}}/x \simeq O_{[0]}/x$. If $O_{[0]}$ is a space, then $O_{[0]}/x$ is a contractible path space. Thus any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $O$ such that $O_{[0]}$ is a space is extendable. In particular, any non-symmetric $\infty$-operad is extendable.

Theorem 1.4.17. Let $O$ be an extendable generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad and $V$ a presentably monoidal $\infty$-category. Then the forgetful functor $U_{O}: \text{Alg}_O(V) \to \text{Fun}(O_1, V)$, given by restriction to the fibre at $[1]$, has a left adjoint $F_O$. Moreover, $U_O$ preserves sifted colimits and the adjunction $F_O \dashv U_O$ is monadic. The endofunctor $U_O F_O$ is given by the formula

$$(U_O F_O \Phi)(x) \simeq \colim_{Y \in O_{[n]}/x} \Phi(\rho_1, Y) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi(\rho_n, Y).$$

Proof. This is a special case of [Hau17, Corollary A.61]. □

Definition 1.4.18. Let $V$ be a presentably monoidal $\infty$-category, and $L: V \to U$ an accessible localization of $V$. We say that $L$ is a monoidal localization if for any $L$-equivalences $f, g$ the tensor product $f \otimes g$ is again an $L$-equivalence.

Proposition 1.4.19. Let $V$ be a presentably monoidal $\infty$-category. If $L: V \to U$ is a monoidal accessible localization, then $U$ inherits a monoidal structure such that $L$ canonically extends to a monoidal functor, and its fully faithful right adjoint to a lax monoidal functor. Moreover, for any generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad $O$ the functor $\text{Alg}_O(U) \to \text{Alg}_O(V)$ induced by the latter is fully faithful with image those $O$-algebras whose restrictions to $O_{[1]}$ take values in $U$.

Proof. As [Lur17, Proposition 2.2.1.9]. □
1.5. Acknowledgments. I thank Ben Knudsen for suggesting that the “coordinate-free” definition of Dwyer and Hess should lead to an $\infty$-categorical construction of the composition product. I also thank Lukas Brantner, Hongyi Chu, Joachim Kock, and Thomas Nikolaus for helpful discussions related to this project.

2. Background on Spans

In the first part of this section we recall some definitions and results regarding spans from [Bar17, BGS16], with some minor variations to get the generality we need in the next section. In §2.6 we use this machinery, together with a variant of a construction of Dyckerhoff and Kapranov, to construct associative algebras in spans.

2.1. Twisted Arrow $\infty$-Categories.

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let $\epsilon: \Delta \to \Delta$ be the functor $[n] \mapsto [n]*[n]^{op}$. This induces a functor $\epsilon^*: \text{Set}_\Delta \to \text{Set}_\Delta$ given by composition with $\epsilon$: this functor is the *edgewise subdivision* of simplicial sets. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a quasicategory, we will write ${\text{Tw}}\mathcal{C} := \epsilon^*\mathcal{C}$ and refer to this as the *twisted arrow $\infty$-category* of $\mathcal{C}$.

**Remark 2.1.2.** By [Lur17, Proposition 5.2.1.3] the simplicial set ${\text{Tw}}\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category if $\mathcal{C}$ is one, and the projection ${\text{Tw}}\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^{op}$ (induced by the inclusions $[n], [n]^{op} \to [n]*[n]^{op}$) is a right fibration.

**Remark 2.1.3.** If $\mathcal{C}$ is an ordinary category, then it is easy to see that ${\text{Tw}}\mathcal{C}$ can be identified with the twisted arrow category of $\mathcal{C}$. This has morphisms $c \to d$ in $\mathcal{C}$ as objects, and diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
c & \to & d \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow \\
c' & \to & d'
\end{array}
$$

as morphisms from $c \to d$ to $c' \to d'$, with composition induced from composition in $\mathcal{C}$. Unwinding the definition of ${\text{Tw}}\mathcal{C}$ for $\mathcal{C}$ an $\infty$-category, we see that its objects and morphisms admit the same description in terms of $\mathcal{C}$.

**Example 2.1.4.** The twisted arrow category ${\text{Tw}}(\Delta^n)$ is the poset of pairs $(i, j)$ with $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ where $(i, j) \leq (i', j')$ if $i \leq i', j' \leq j$.

**Warning 2.1.5.** There are two possible conventions for the definition of ${\text{Tw}}\mathcal{C}$: instead of the definition we have given we could instead consider $[n] \mapsto [n]^{op}* [n]$; let us call the resulting simplicial set ${\text{Tw}}'\mathcal{C}$ — this is the definition of the twisted arrow $\infty$-category used in [Bar17] (there called $\tilde{O}(\mathcal{C})$). We clearly have ${\text{Tw}}\mathcal{C} \cong ({{\text{Tw}}'}\mathcal{C})^{op}$, which explains why op’s appear in different places here compared to [Bar17].

2.2. $\infty$-Categories of Spans.

**Definition 2.2.1.** The functor $\epsilon^*$ has a right adjoint $\epsilon_*: \text{Set}_\Delta \to \text{Set}_\Delta$, given by right Kan extension. Explicitly, $\epsilon_*X$ is determined by $\text{Hom}(\Delta^n, \epsilon_*X) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Tw}(\Delta^n), X)$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category, we write $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ for the simplicial set $\epsilon_*\mathcal{C}$.

**Definition 2.2.2.** Let $\text{Tw}(\Delta^n)_0$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Tw}(\Delta^n)$ spanned by the objects $(i, j)$ where $j - i \leq 1$. We say a simplex $\Delta^n \to \text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ is *Cartesian* if the corresponding functor $F: \text{Tw}(\Delta^n) \to \mathcal{C}$ is the right Kan extension of its restriction to $\text{Tw}(\Delta^n)_0$, or equivalently if for all integers $0 \leq i \leq k \leq l \leq j \leq n$, the square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(i, j) & \to & F(k, j) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
F(i, l) & \to & F(k, l)
\end{array}
$$

commutes.
is Cartesian. We write $\text{Span} (\mathcal{C})$ for the simplicial subset of $\text{Span} (\mathcal{C})$ containing only the Cartesian simplices.

**Proposition 2.2.3** (Barwick, [Bar17, Proposition 3.4]). If $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category with pullbacks, then $\text{Span} (\mathcal{C})$ is an $\infty$-category. □

**Definition 2.2.4.** Following Barwick [Bar17], we say a triple is a list $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\infty$-category and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ are both subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ containing all the equivalences. We will call the morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$ the backwards morphisms and the morphisms in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the forwards morphisms in the triple. We say a triple is adequate if for every morphism $f: x \to y$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $g: z \to y$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$, there is a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
w & \xrightarrow{f'} & z \\
g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
x & \xrightarrow{f} & y
\end{array}
\]

where $f'$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $g'$ is in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

**Example 2.2.5.** If $\mathcal{C}$ is any $\infty$-category, we have the triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ where all morphisms are both forwards and backwards morphisms. We call this the maximal triple on $\mathcal{C}$; it is adequate if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ has pullbacks.

**Remark 2.2.6.** In [Bar17], the forwards morphisms are called ingressive and the backwards morphisms are called egressive.

**Definition 2.2.7.** Given a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})$ we define $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ to be the simplicial subset of $\text{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ containing only those simplices that correspond to maps $\sigma: \text{Tw}(\Delta^n) \to \mathcal{C}$ such for all $i,j$ the map $\sigma(i,j) \to \sigma(i+1,j)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and the map $\sigma(i,j) \to \sigma(i,j-1)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$. We write $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ for the simplicial subset of $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ containing the Cartesian simplices with this property.

**Proposition 2.2.8** (Barwick, [Bar17, Proposition 5.6]). If $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an adequate triple, then $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\infty$-category. □

**Remark 2.2.9.** The simplicial set $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$ is not an $\infty$-category. However, we expect that (with an appropriate choice of scaling) it is a fibrant scaled simplicial set in the sense of [Lur09b], corresponding to the $(\infty,2)$-category where the 1-morphisms are spans and the 2-morphisms are maps between spans.

### 2.3. Spans and Fibrations.

**Definition 2.3.1.** Given an adequate triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{B}})$ and an inner fibration $p: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ has $p$-Cartesian morphisms over morphisms in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{B}}$, we define a triple $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}})$ by taking $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}}$ to consist of Cartesian morphisms over morphisms in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}$ to consist of all morphisms lying over morphisms in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

**Proposition 2.3.2.** In the situation of Definition 2.3.1, the triple $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is adequate. Moreover, we have a pullback square of simplicial sets

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B}) & \to & \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B}) & \to & \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})
\end{array}
\]

This is a consequence of the following simple observation:
Lemma 2.3.3. Let $p: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an inner fibration, and suppose we have a pullback square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
a' & \to & b' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
a & \to & b
\end{array}
$$

in $\mathcal{B}$. If $b' \to b$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{E}$ over $\beta$ and there exist $p$-Cartesian morphism $\bar{a} \to \bar{b}$ over $f$ and $\bar{a}' \to \bar{b}'$ over $f'$, then the commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{a}' & \to & \bar{b}' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{a} & \to & \bar{b}
\end{array}
$$

(where the left vertical morphism is induced by the universal property of $\bar{a} \to \bar{b}$) is Cartesian.

Proof. For any $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{E}$ over $x \in \mathcal{B}$ we have a commutative cube

Here the bottom face is Cartesian since $a'$ is a pullback, and the front and back faces are Cartesian since the morphisms $\bar{a} \to \bar{b}$ and $\bar{a}' \to \bar{b}'$ are $p$-Cartesian. Therefore the top face is also Cartesian. Since this holds for all $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{E}$ this means $\bar{a}'$ is the pullback $\bar{a} \times_{\bar{b}} \bar{b}'$, as required. □

Proof of Proposition 2.3.2. Adequacy follows immediately from Lemma 2.3.3. Moreover, this lemma also shows that an $n$-simplex of $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})$ lies in $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})$ if and only if it maps to an $n$-simplex of $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B})$, giving the pullback square. □

Definition 2.3.4. For $K$ a simplicial set, let $\text{Tw}_B(K)$ denote the marked simplicial set $(\text{Tw}(K), B)$ where $B$ is the set of “backwards” maps, i.e. those lying in the image of $K^{\text{op}} \to \text{Tw}(K)$.

In the remaining part of this subsection we give a reformulation of the results of [Bar17, §12] that will be convenient for us.

Proposition 2.3.5. For $0 < k < n$, the map $\text{Tw}_B(\Lambda^n_k)_{\text{op}} \to \text{Tw}_B(\Delta^n)_{\text{op}}$ is marked anodyne in the sense of [Lur09a, Definition 3.1.1.1].

Proof. This follows from the filtration defined in [Bar17, §12], using [Bar17, Proposition 12.14]. □

Corollary 2.3.6. If $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$ is as in Definition 2.3.1, then

(i) $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B})$ is an inner fibration.
(ii) $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{B})$ is an inner fibration.
Proof. To prove (i) we must show that there exists a lift in every commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Lambda^n_k \\
\downarrow \\
\Delta^n \\
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})} \xrightarrow{\text{Span}_{B,F}(B)}
\]

with \(0 < k < n\). This is equivalent to giving a lift in the corresponding commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Tw} \Lambda^n_k \\
\downarrow \\
\text{Tw} \Delta^n \\
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{B}
\]

Here the lift exists by Proposition 2.3.5, since by definition the backwards maps go to Cartesian morphisms in \(\mathcal{E}\). Now (ii) follows from the pullback square in Proposition 2.3.2. \(\Box\)

Proposition 2.3.7. Let \(p: \mathcal{E} \to B\) be as in Definition 2.3.1, and assume that in addition \(\mathcal{E}\) has locally \(p\)-coCartesian edges over morphisms in \(B^F\). Then:

(i) \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)\) is a locally coCartesian fibration,
(ii) \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(B)\) is a locally coCartesian fibration,

A span \(X \xleftarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z\) in \(\mathcal{E}\) is locally \(p\)-coCartesian if and only if \(g\) is a locally \(p\)-coCartesian morphism in \(\mathcal{E}\).

Proof. We first prove (i). Consider a 1-simplex \(\phi\) of \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(B)\), which corresponds to a span \(b \xleftarrow{f} b' \xrightarrow{g} b''\) in \(B\). We wish to show that the pullback \(\phi^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \Delta^1\) is a coCartesian fibration. Pick an object \(e\) of \(\mathcal{E}\) lying over \(b\). Then a 1-simplex of \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})\) with source \(e\) lying over \(\phi\) is a span \(e \xleftarrow{\ell} e' \xrightarrow{\beta} e''\) where \(f\) is a Cartesian morphism and \(g\) is any morphism over \(g\). The space of maps from \(e\) to \(e''\) in \(\phi^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})\) can therefore be identified with the space \(\text{Map}_B(e', e'')_{g}\) of maps in \(\mathcal{E}\) lying over \(g\). From this it follows immediately that if \(g: e' \to e''\) is a locally coCartesian morphism from \(e'\) over \(g\) then the span \(e \xleftarrow{\ell} e' \xrightarrow{f} e''\) is locally coCartesian, as required. This proves (i), from which (ii) follows by the pullback square of Proposition 2.3.2. \(\Box\)

Corollary 2.3.8. Let \(p: \mathcal{E} \to B\) be as in Definition 2.3.1, and assume in addition:

(1) \(\mathcal{E}\) has \(p\)-coCartesian edges over morphisms in \(B^F\).
(2) Consider a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{c}
a' \xrightarrow{f'} b' \\
\downarrow a \downarrow \beta \\
a \xrightarrow{f} b \\
\end{array}
\]

in \(B\) with \(a, \beta\) in \(B^F\) and \(f', f\) in \(B^B\). Let \(\bar{b}'\) be an object of \(\mathcal{E}\) over \(b'\), and suppose \(\bar{b}' \xrightarrow{\beta} \bar{b}\) is a \(p\)-coCartesian morphism over \(\beta\) and \(\bar{a} \xrightarrow{f} \bar{b} \) and \(\bar{a}' \xrightarrow{f'} \bar{b}'\) are \(p\)-Cartesian morphisms over \(f\).
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Then Span_{B,F}(E) → Span_{B,F}(B) is a coCartesian fibration.

Proof. We know from Proposition 2.3.7 that Span_{B,F}(E) → Span_{B,F}(B) is a locally coCartesian fibration. By [Lur09a, Proposition 2.4.2.8] it therefore suffices to show that the locally coCartesian morphisms are closed under composition. Lemma 2.3.3 shows that this is indeed the case under the given assumptions.

2.4. Symmetric Monoidal Structures on Spans. In this subsection we recall the construction in [BGS16, §2] of a symmetric monoidal structure (or more generally an ∞-operad structure) on Span_{B,F}(E), induced by the Cartesian product in E. Let us first recall the following definition, which gives the class of adequate triples for which this construction works:

Definition 2.4.1 ([Bar17, Definition 5.2]). A triple (E, E^F, E^B) is disjunctive if it is adequate and the following conditions hold:

(1) The ∞-category E has finite coproducts.
(2) For any map φ: I → J of finite sets and any collection of maps [f_i: X_i → Y_{φ(i)}], the coproduct \( \prod_{i \in I} X_i \to \prod_{j \in J} Y_j \) is in E^B or E^F if all the maps f_i are in E^B or E^F, respectively.
(3) Consider two maps φ: I → K and ψ: J → K of finite sets, and suppose for every (i, j) ∈ I × K J (with k = φ(i) = ψ(j)) we are given a pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_i \times_{Y_k} Z_j & \longrightarrow & Z_j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_i & \longrightarrow & Y_k
\end{array}
\]

where \( X_i \to Y_k \) is in E^F and \( Z_j \to Y_k \) is in E^B. Then the commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod_{(i, j) \in I \times K} X_i \times_{Y_k} Z_j & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j \in J} Z_j \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\prod_{i \in I} X_i & \longrightarrow & \prod_{k \in K} Y_k
\end{array}
\]

is Cartesian.

Definition 2.4.2. Let \( \Gamma^{op} \) denote the category of finite pointed sets. Following [Lur17, §2.4.3] we define a category \( \Gamma^{op} \) as follows: its objects are pairs \( \langle n, i \rangle \) with \( n \in \Gamma^{op} \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), and a morphism \( \langle n, i \rangle \to \langle m, j \rangle \) is a morphism \( f: \langle n \rangle \to \langle m \rangle \) in \( \Gamma^{op} \) such that \( f(i) = j \).

Definition 2.4.3. If \( E \) is an ∞-category, we let \( E_\times \to \Gamma \) denote the map of simplicial sets defined by the universal property \( \text{Hom}_\Gamma(K, E_\times) \cong \text{Hom}(K \times_\Gamma \Gamma_*, E) \).

Remark 2.4.4. An object of \( E_\times \) can be identified with a pair \( (I, C) \) where \( I \) is a finite set and \( C \) is a family \( \{C_i\}_{i \in I} \) of objects of \( E \) indexed by \( I \). A morphism \( (I, C) \to (I', C') \) corresponds to a map \( \phi: I'_+ \to I_+ \) of finite pointed sets, and morphisms \( C_{φ(i)} \to C'_{φ(i)} \) in \( E \) for \( φ(i) \in I \). If \( E \) has finite products, this corresponds to a family of morphisms \( C_i \to \prod_{j ∈ φ^{-1}(i)} C'_j \).
Remark 2.4.5. We have \((\mathcal{C}_x)^{op} \simeq (\text{op})^\text{H}\), where the latter is defined as in [Lur17, §2.4.3]. If \(\mathcal{C}\) has finite products, then \(\mathcal{C}_x \to \Gamma\) is a Cartesian fibration, with the corresponding coCartesian fibration being the symmetric monoidal \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{C}^\times \to \Gamma^\text{op}\) given by the Cartesian product.

Definition 2.4.6. If \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) is a disjunctive triple, we define a triple \((\mathcal{C}_x, \mathcal{C}^F_x, \mathcal{C}^B_x)\) as follows: A morphism \((\phi, \omega): (I, X) \to (J, Y)\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^F_x\) if \(\phi\) is a bijection and each morphism \(\omega_j: X_{\phi(j)} \to Y_j\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^F\). The morphism \((\phi, \omega)\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^B\) if each morphism \(\omega_j\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^B\) (and \(\phi\) is arbitrary).

Lemma 2.4.7 ([BGS16, Lemma 2.2]). If \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) is disjunctive and \(\mathcal{C}^F \subseteq \mathcal{C}^B\), then the triple \((\mathcal{C}_x, \mathcal{C}^F_x, \mathcal{C}^B_x)\) is adequate.

Remark 2.4.8. In [Bar17, BGS16], a triple \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) is said to be left complete if it satisfies \(\mathcal{C}^F \subseteq \mathcal{C}^B\) (i.e. every forwards morphism is a backwards morphism), and right complete if \(\mathcal{C}^B \subseteq \mathcal{C}^F\).

Definition 2.4.9. If \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) is disjunctive and \(\mathcal{C}^F \subseteq \mathcal{C}^B\), then we write \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^\times \to \Gamma^\text{op}\) for the pullback of \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}_x) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(\Delta^0_\text{op})\) along the equivalence \(\Gamma^\text{op} \simeq \text{Span}_{B,F}(\Delta^0_\text{op})\). (This notation is slightly abusive as \(\times\) does not refer to the product in \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})\).)

Proposition 2.4.10 ([BGS16, Propositions 2.9, 2.10]). If \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) is a disjunctive triple and \(\mathcal{C}^F \subseteq \mathcal{C}^B\), then \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^\times \to \Gamma^\text{op}\) is an \(\infty\)-operad and a flat inner fibration. (In other words, \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})\) is a symmetric promonoidal \(\infty\)-category in the sense of [BGS16, Definition 1.2].)\)

Definition 2.4.11. A triple \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) is Cartesian if:
- the triple is disjunctive,
- \(\mathcal{C}^F \subseteq \mathcal{C}^B\),
- \(\mathcal{C}\) has finite Cartesian products,
- the Cartesian product preserves finite coproducts in each variable,
- a morphism \(X \to \prod_i Y_i\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^B\) if and only if each of the composites \(X \to Y_i\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^B\).

Example 2.4.12. If \(\mathcal{C}\) is a disjunctive \(\infty\)-category, then the maximal triple on \(\mathcal{C}\) is Cartesian — this is [BGS16, Example 2.13].

Proposition 2.4.13 ([BGS16, Propositions 2.14]). If \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) is a Cartesian triple, then \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^\times \to \Gamma^\text{op}\) is a symmetric monoidal \(\infty\)-category.

2.5. Fibrations of \(\infty\)-Operads on Spans. In this subsection we combine the discussion from the previous two subsections, and obtain a variant of the results of [BGS16, §7]. We first fix some notation:

Notation 2.5.1. Let \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^F, \mathcal{C}^B)\) be a disjunctive triple with \(\mathcal{C}^F \subseteq \mathcal{C}^B\). Suppose \(p: \mathcal{E}_\otimes \to \mathcal{C}_x\) is an inner fibration such that \(\mathcal{E}_\otimes\) has \(p\)-Cartesian morphisms over morphisms in \(\mathcal{C}^B_\otimes\); by Proposition 2.3.2 the triple \((\mathcal{E}_\otimes, \mathcal{C}^F_\otimes, \mathcal{C}^B_\otimes)\) (defined as in Definition 2.3.1) is adequate. Here \(\mathcal{E}_\otimes^B\) consists of those morphisms that are \(p\)-Cartesian over morphisms \((\phi, \omega)\) in \(\mathcal{C}_x\) such that each morphism \(\omega_j\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^B\), and \(\mathcal{E}_\otimes^F\) consists of those morphisms lying over morphisms \((\phi, \omega)\) such that \(\phi\) is an isomorphism and each \(\omega_j\) is in \(\mathcal{C}^F\). We write \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^\otimes \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}_x^\times)\) for the pullback of \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}_\otimes) \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}_x)\) along the equivalence \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}_x^\times) \simeq \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}_x)\). This is an inner fibration by Corollary 2.3.6.

Example 2.5.2. If \(\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^F = \mathcal{C}^B\) then \(\mathcal{E}_\otimes^B\) is precisely the \(p\)-Cartesian morphisms, and \(\mathcal{E}_\otimes^F\) is precisely the morphisms lying over isomorphisms in \(\Gamma\).

Proposition 2.5.3. Suppose \(p: \mathcal{E}_\otimes \to \mathcal{C}_x\) is as in Notation 2.5.1 and in addition \(p^\text{op}\) is a morphism of \(\infty\)-operads. Then \(\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^\otimes \to \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}_x^\times)\) is a morphism of \(\infty\)-operads.

Proof. The remaining hypotheses of [BGS16, Theorem 7.8] are not needed for the proof of this part of the statement.\]
Combining this with Proposition 2.3.7 and Corollary 2.3.8, we get:

**Corollary 2.5.4.** Suppose \( p : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \) is as in Notation 2.5.1 and in addition \( p^{op} \) is a morphism of \( \infty \)-operads, and \( \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) has locally coCartesian morphisms over morphisms in \( \mathcal{C} \). Then \( \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^{op} \rightarrow \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^{op} \) is a locally coCartesian fibration of \( \infty \)-operads.

**Corollary 2.5.5.** Suppose \( p : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \) is as in Notation 2.5.1, the functor \( p^{op} \) is a morphism of \( \infty \)-operads, and the functor \( \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) is a coCartesian fibration satisfying the condition of Corollary 2.3.8. Then \( \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^{op} \rightarrow \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^{op} \) is a coCartesian fibration of \( \infty \)-operads.

### 2.6. Associative Algebras in Spans

Fix a Cartesian triple \((\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{F}, \mathcal{C}^{B})\) (see Definition 2.4.11); then by Proposition 2.4.13 we have a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}^{op} \) induced by the Cartesian product on \( \mathcal{C} \). Our goal is to give a construction of associative algebras in \( \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}) \) from suitable simplicial objects of \( \mathcal{C} \), using a variant of a construction of Dyckerhoff–Kapranov [DK12, §11].

By [Lur17, Proposition 4.1.2.15] we can define associative algebras in \( \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}) \) as commutative diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta^{op} & \xrightarrow{A} & \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^{op} \\
\downarrow{e} & \downarrow{\Gamma^{op}} & \\
\Gamma^{op} & & 
\end{array}
\]

where \( e \) is the functor of [Lur17, Construction 4.1.2.5], and \( A \) takes inert morphisms in \( \Delta^{op} \) to coCartesian morphisms. By definition, such diagrams correspond to certain diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathcal{C} \\
\downarrow{\Gamma} & & \\
\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} & & 
\end{array}
\]

where the functor \( \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) \rightarrow \Gamma \) is the composite of the forgetful functor \( \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) \rightarrow \Delta \) and \( \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \). By the definition of \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}} \), this data in turn corresponds to a functor

\[
\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) \times_{\mathcal{C}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.
\]

Let us reformulate this in terms of \( \Delta \) rather than \( \Gamma \):

**Definition 2.6.1.** Let \( \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \) be the category with objects pairs \(([n], i)\) where \([n] \in \Delta \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), and morphisms \(([n], i) \rightarrow ([m], j)\) given by morphisms \( \phi : [n] \rightarrow [m] \) in \( \Delta \) such that \( \phi(i - 1) < j \leq \phi(i) \). Observe that \( \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \) is then equivalent to \( \Delta \times_{\mathcal{C}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}} \).

Thus associative algebras in \( \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C}) \) correspond to certain functors \( \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) \times_{\Delta} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \).

**Remark 2.6.2.** An object of \( \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) \times_{\Delta} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \) can be described as a pair \(([m], \phi) \rightarrow ([n], i)\) with \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) and \( \phi \) a morphism in \( \Delta \), and a morphism \( (\phi, i) \rightarrow (\phi', i') \) as a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[m] & \xrightarrow{\phi} & [n] \\
\downarrow{\mu} & & \uparrow{i'} \Rightarrow \\
[m'] & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & [n']
\end{array}
\]

in \( \Delta \) such that \( \mu(i - 1) < i' \leq \mu(i) \).

Unwinding the definitions, we get the following characterization of the functors that correspond to associative algebras:
Proposition 2.6.3. A functor \( F : \Tw(\Delta^\op) \times \Delta \to \mathcal{C} \) corresponds to an associative algebra object \( \Delta^\op \to \Span_{\mathcal{B},F}(\mathcal{C})^\times \) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) For every map \([m] \to [n]\) in \( \Delta^\op \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), the functor \( F \) takes each morphism \((f,i) \to (\text{id}_{[n]},i)\) corresponding to
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[m] & \xrightarrow{f} & [n] \\
\downarrow \quad & & \quad \downarrow \\
[m] & \xrightarrow{f} & [m]
\end{array}
\]
to a morphism in \( \mathcal{C}^F \). Moreover, if \( f \) is inert then this morphism is an equivalence.

(ii) For every map \([m] \to [n]\) in \( \Delta^\op \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), the functor \( F \) takes each morphism \((f,i) \to (\text{id}_{[n]},i')\) (with \( f(i-1) < i' \leq f(i) \)) corresponding to
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[m] & \xrightarrow{f} & [n] \\
\downarrow \quad & & \quad \downarrow \\
[n] & \xrightarrow{f} & [n]
\end{array}
\]
to a morphism in \( \mathcal{C}^B \). Moreover, if \( f \) is inert then this morphism is an equivalence.

(iii) For all composable morphisms \([m] \to [n] \to [k]\) in \( \Delta \) and \( 1 \leq i \leq m \), the images under \( F \) of the commutative squares
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(gf,i) & \xrightarrow{(g,i')} & (g,i') \\
\downarrow \quad & & \quad \downarrow \\
(f,i) & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_{[n]},i')} & (\text{id}_{[n]},i')
\end{array}
\]
with \( f(i-1) < i' \leq f(i) \), corresponding to the commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[m] & \xrightarrow{g} & [k] \\
\downarrow \quad & & \quad \downarrow \\
[n] & \xrightarrow{f} & [n]
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
[m] & \xrightarrow{g} & [k] \\
\downarrow \quad & & \quad \downarrow \\
[n] & \xrightarrow{f} & [n]
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
[n] & \xrightarrow{f} & [n] \\
\downarrow \quad & & \quad \downarrow \\
[k] & \xrightarrow{g} & [k]
\end{array}
\]

exhibit \( F(gf,i) \) as the iterated fibre product over \( F(f,i) \) of the objects \( F(f,i) \times_{F(\text{id}_{[n]},i')} F(g,i') \).

Proof. This follows by unwinding the definitions, using the description of composites in [BGS16, Remark 2.7]. \( \square \)

Remark 2.6.4. If \( \mathcal{C} \) has finite limits, then condition (iii) simplifies to:
\[
F(gf,i) \simeq \left( \prod_{i'} F(g,i') \right) \times_{\left( \prod_{i'} F(\text{id}_{[n]},i') \right)} F(f,i).
\]

We will now use this characterization to give a construction of associative algebras in spans in \( \mathcal{C} \) from certain simplicial objects in \( \mathcal{C} \), using the following functor:
Definition 2.6.5. We define a functor $\theta: \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^{op}$ by sending an object $[[m]] \xrightarrow{\phi} [n], i)$ to $\{\phi(i-1), \phi(i-1) + 1, \ldots, \phi(i)\}$ and the morphism $(\phi, i) \rightarrow (\phi', i')$ given by the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
[n] \\
\mu \\
\nu \\
[n']
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{array}{c}
[m'] \\
\phi'
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\nu} \begin{array}{c}
[n']
\end{array}
$$

to the restriction of $\nu$ to a map $\{\phi'(i' - 1), \ldots, \phi'(i')\} \rightarrow \{\phi(i - 1), \ldots, \phi(i)\}$. (This makes sense since $\phi(i - 1) = \nu\phi'(i - 1) \leq \nu\phi'(i' - 1)$ and $\phi(i) = \nu\phi'(i) \geq \nu\phi'(i')$.)

Proposition 2.6.6. Given $X: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, the functor $X \circ \theta$ corresponds to an associative algebra object if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. $X$ takes active morphisms $[1] \rightarrow [n]$ in $\Delta$ to morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^F$.
2. $X$ takes inert morphisms $[1] \rightarrow [n]$ in $\Delta$ to morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^B$.
3. For every active map $\alpha: [n] \rightarrow [m]$ the object $X_m$ is is the iterated pullback over $X_n$ of $\times_{X_i, \leq_1,} X_{i, a(i-1) \ldots a(i)}$ over all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We will show that the conditions in Proposition 2.6.3 hold for $X \circ \theta$ if and only if the conditions given here hold for $X$. This will follow from some observations about the functor $\theta$:

- $\theta$ takes the morphism in (i) of Proposition 2.6.3 to the restriction of $f$ to a map $\{i - 1, i\} \rightarrow \{f(i - 1), \ldots, f(i)\}$. Note that this is always active, and if $f$ is inert then this is the identity of $[1]$.
- $\theta$ takes the morphism in (ii) of Proposition 2.6.3 to the map $\{i' - 1, i'\} \rightarrow \{f(i - 1), \ldots, f(i)\}$. Note that this is always inert, and if $f$ is inert then this is the identity of $[1]$.
- $\theta$ takes the commutative square in (iii) of Proposition 2.6.3 to the commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
gf(i - 1), \ldots, gf(i) & \rightarrow & \{gf(i' - 1), \ldots, gf(i')\} \\
\{f(i - 1), \ldots, f(i)\} & & \{f(i' - 1), \ldots, f(i')\}
\end{array}
$$

in $\Delta$.

We conclude that condition (i) of Proposition 2.6.3 holds if and only if $X$ takes active morphisms $[1] \rightarrow [n]$ in $\Delta$ to morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^F$, i.e. (i) here; condition (ii) of Proposition 2.6.3 holds if and only if $X$ takes inert morphisms $[1] \rightarrow [n]$ in $\Delta$ to morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^B$, i.e. (ii) here; and condition (iii) of Proposition 2.6.3 holds if and only if for every active map $\alpha: [n] \rightarrow [m]$ the object $X_m$ is is the iterated pullback over $X_n$ of $\times_{X_i, \leq_1,} X_{i, a(i-1) \ldots a(i)}$ over all $1 \leq i \leq n$, i.e. (iii) here.

Remark 2.6.7. If $\mathcal{C}$ has all finite limits, then condition (iii) simplifies to: For every active map $\alpha: [n] \rightarrow [m]$, the natural map

$$
X_m \rightarrow X_n \times \prod_i X_{\alpha(i-1) \ldots\alpha(i)}
$$

is an equivalence.

Remark 2.6.8. Condition (iii) is equivalent to $X$ being a unital 2-Segal object in the sense of Dyckerhoff and Kapranov [DK12], or equivalently a decomposition object in the sense of Gálvez-Carrillo, Kock, and Tonks [GCKT15]; this is proved as [GCKT15, Proposition 6.9].

Notation 2.6.9. If $X: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 2.6.6, we write $X^\times: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})^\times$ for the associative algebra in spans corresponding to $X \circ \theta$. 
Remark 2.6.10. It is possible to get away with less structure than that of a simplicial object: the associative algebra structure on $X_1$ in spans does not depend on the full simplicial object $X_\bullet$, since the image of $\theta$ does not contain all morphisms of $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ — for example, the face maps $d_0, d_1 : [1] \to [0]$ are not in the image.

Corollary 2.6.11. Suppose $X : \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a category object such that

(i) $X$ takes active morphisms $[1] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$ to morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^F$,
(ii) $X$ takes inert morphisms $[1] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$ to morphisms in $\mathcal{C}^B$.

Then the functor $X \circ \theta$ corresponds to an associative algebra object of $\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Condition (iii) is clearly satisfied for a category object. \hfill \Box

3. Day Convolution for Double $\infty$-Categories

3.1. The Day Convolution Monoidal Structure. We now apply the results of the previous section to obtain monoidal structures on presheaves from suitable double $\infty$-categories.

Consider presheaves as a contravariant functor $P : \hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty} \to \hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$, taking $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ to the functor $f^* : P(\mathcal{C}) \to P(\mathcal{D})$ given by composition with $f^{\text{op}}$. We let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$ denote the associated Cartesian fibration. We can define a triple $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^F, \mathcal{E}^B)$ using Definition 2.3.1 for the maximal triple on $\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$; thus all morphisms in $\mathcal{E}$ are forwards morphisms, and the backwards morphisms are the $\pi$-Cartesian morphisms. By Corollary 2.3.6 the induced projection $\Pi : \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}(\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})$ is an inner fibration. Moreover, since the functors $f^*$ for $f$ a morphism in $\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$ are all right adjoints, $\pi$ is also a coCartesian fibration, and so $\Pi$ is a locally $\pi$-Cartesian fibration. A span is locally $\Pi$-coCartesian if and only if it is coCartesian.

Remark 3.1.1. From a commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}' & \rightarrow & \mathcal{D}' \\
\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\
\mathcal{E} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}
$$

in $\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$ we get a Beck-Chevalley transformation

$$
f'_* \gamma^* \rightarrow f'_* \gamma^* f^* f_1 \simeq f'_* f^* \delta^* f_1 \rightarrow \delta^* f_1
$$
of functors $P(\mathcal{C}) \to P(\mathcal{D}')$. Since this transformation fails to be an equivalence for every Cartesian square of $\infty$-categories, the locally coCartesian fibration $\Pi$ is not coCartesian. The Beck-Chevalley transformation is an equivalence if we consider Cartesian squares where one leg is a Cartesian fibration, but unfortunately this restriction is neither compatible with the symmetric monoidal structure on spans, nor does it fit the examples we are interested in.

The maximal triple on $\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$ is Cartesian by Example 2.4.12. As a consequence, the Cartesian product of $\infty$-categories induces a symmetric monoidal structure on $\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$, by Proposition 2.4.13.

The contravariant functor $P^*$ is lax monoidal, so it gives a $(\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})^\Pi$-monoid $(\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})^\Pi \to \hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty}$. We let $\mathcal{E}_{\otimes} \rightarrow \hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty,\times}$ denote the associated Cartesian fibration. Then $\Pi : \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E}) \to \text{Span}(\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})$ extends to a locally $\pi$-Cartesian fibration of $\infty$-operads

$$
\text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Span}(\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})^\times
$$
by Corollary 2.5.4.

Given an associative algebra $A$ in $\text{Span}(\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})$ we can pull this fibration back along $A$ (viewed as a map $\Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{Span}(\hat{\text{Cat}}_{\infty})^\times$ over $\Gamma^{\text{op}}$) to get a locally $\pi$-Cartesian fibration of non-symmetric $\infty$-operads $A^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$. 

\[\]
Remark 3.1.2. If $A \colon \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{Span} (\text{Cat}_{\infty})^\times$ is an associative algebra, then the image of $\phi \colon [m] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$ is a span

$$(A, \ldots, A) \xleftarrow{\phi^n} (A(\phi)_1, \ldots, A(\phi)_m) \xrightarrow{\phi^e} (A, \ldots, A)$$

where $A := A([1])$. This corresponds to a family of maps

$$A \xleftarrow{\phi^n} A(\phi)_i \xrightarrow{\phi^e} A,$$

where $\phi(i - 1) < j \leq \phi(i)$. The locally coCartesian morphisms over $\phi$ correspond to the product of the composite functors

$$\prod_{\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)} \mathcal{P}(A) \xrightarrow{\prod_j \phi^{F,j}_i} \mathcal{P}(A(\phi)_i) \xrightarrow{\phi^e} \mathcal{P}(A),$$

where $\prod_j \phi^{F,j}_i$ denotes the functor taking $(\Phi_j)_{\phi(i-1) < j \leq \phi(i)}$ to $\prod_j \phi^{F,j}_i \Phi_j$. Given composable morphisms $[k] \xrightarrow{\psi} [n] \xrightarrow{t} [m]$ in $\Delta$, we have pullback squares of $\infty$-categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A(\psi)_i & \xrightarrow{((\phi \psi)_i)^f} & A(\psi)_i \\
\downarrow ((\phi \psi)_i^b) & & \downarrow ((\phi \psi)_i^a) \\
\prod_{\psi(i-1) < j \leq \psi(i)} A(\phi)_j & \xrightarrow{\prod_j \phi^{F,j}_i} & \prod_{\psi(i-1) < j \leq \psi(i)} A
\end{array}$$

such that $(\phi \psi)_i^f \simeq \psi^f_i \circ (\phi \psi)_i^b$ and $(\phi \psi)_i^b \simeq \phi^{F,b}_i \circ (\phi \psi)_i^b$.

Using this description, we see:

Proposition 3.1.3. Let $A$ be an associative algebra in $\text{Span} (\text{Cat}_{\infty})$. Suppose the Beck-Chevalley transformations

$$(\phi \psi)_i^f \circ \left( \prod_j (\phi \psi)_i^b \right) \rightarrow \left( \prod_j \psi^{F, j}_i \right) \circ \left( \prod_j (\phi F)_i^e \right)$$

of functors $\prod_{\psi(i-1) < j \leq \psi(i)} \mathcal{P}(A(\phi)_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A(\psi)_i)$, induced from the commutative squares of right adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod_j \mathcal{P}(A) & \xrightarrow{\prod_j \phi^{F,j}_i} & \prod_j \mathcal{P}(A(\phi)_j) \\
\downarrow \psi^{B, j}_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi^{F, j}_i \downarrow \\
\mathcal{P}(A(\psi)_i) & \xrightarrow{((\phi \psi)_i^b)^e} & \mathcal{P}(A(\phi)_i)
\end{array}$$

are equivalences. Then the locally coCartesian fibration $A^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ is a monoidal $\infty$-category.

We now specialize this to associative algebras arising as in §2.6 from category objects $X \colon \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$. In this case, the pullback square above is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\phi \psi(i) - \phi \psi(i-1)} & \xrightarrow{X(\phi|_{\psi(i-1), \psi(i)})} & X_{\psi(i) - \psi(i-1)} \\
\downarrow (X(\phi)_i) & & \downarrow (X(\psi)_i) \\
\prod_j X_{\phi(j) - \phi(j-1)} & \xrightarrow{\prod_j X(\phi|_{\psi(j-1), \psi(j)})} & \prod_j X_1
\end{array}$$

This only depends on the restriction of $\phi$ to $\{\psi(i-1), \ldots, \psi(i)\}$, so we have:
Corollary 3.1.4. Let $X : \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ be a category object. Suppose for any active map $\phi : [m] \to [n]$, the Beck-Chevalley transformation
\[
X(\phi) \circ \left( \prod_j X(\rho_{(j-1),\phi(j)})^* \right) \to \prod_j X(\rho_j)^* X(\phi_{(j-1,j)}),
\]
arising from the commutative square of right adjoints
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod_j \mathcal{P}(X_1) & \overset{\prod_j X(\phi_{(j-1,j)})^*}{\longrightarrow} & \prod_j \mathcal{P}(X_{(j-1)}) \\
\mathcal{P}(X_m) & \overset{X(\phi)^*}{\longrightarrow} & \prod_j \mathcal{P}(X_n),
\end{array}
\]
where for $0 \leq a < b \leq n$ we let $\rho_{a,b} : [b-a] \to [n]$ denote the inert map $\rho_{a,b}(i) = a + i$, is an equivalence. Then the locally coCartesian fibration $(X^\wedge)^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^{op} \to \Delta^{op}$ is a monoidal $\infty$-category.

Spelling out these left Kan extensions, we get:

Corollary 3.1.5. Let $X : \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ be a category object. Suppose for every active map $\phi : [m] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$ and all presheaves $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{P}(X_{(j-1)})$, the map
\[
\text{colim}_{\eta \in (X^\wedge)^*_\xi} \prod_j \Phi_j(X(\rho_{(j-1),\phi(j)})(\eta)) \to \prod_j \text{colim}_{\eta_j \in (X_{(j-1)}^{op})^{\chi}} \Phi_j(\eta_j)
\]
is an equivalence for every $\xi \in X_m$. Then the locally coCartesian fibration $(X^\wedge)^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^{op} \to \Delta^{op}$ is a monoidal $\infty$-category.

Definition 3.1.6. A category object $X : \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ is admissible if for every active map $\phi : [n] \to [m]$ and every $\xi \in X_m$, the functor
\[
X_{\phi(1),\phi(0)} : \prod_j X_{(j-1),\phi(j)} \to X_{\phi(1)-\phi(0),\phi(0)}(\xi)
\]
is coinital.

Example 3.1.7. Any category object $X : \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ such that $X_0$ is a space is admissible: Since $X$ is a category object, we have for any active map $\phi : [m] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$ an equivalence
\[
X_{\phi(1),\phi(0)} \simeq X_{\phi(1)-\phi(0),\phi(0)}(\xi) \times X_{\phi(0),\phi(0)}(\xi) \quad \cdots \quad X_{\phi(0),\phi(0)}(\xi).
\]
If $X_0$ is a space, then $X_{0,0}$ is contractible for any $p \in X_0$.

Corollary 3.1.8. Suppose $X : \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ is an admissible category object. Then the locally coCartesian fibration $(X^\wedge)^* \text{Span}_{B,F}(\mathcal{E})^{op} \to \Delta^{op}$ is a monoidal $\infty$-category.

Remark 3.1.9. If $X$ is an admissible category object, we thus have a presentably monoidal $\infty$-category $\mathcal{P}(X_1)^{op}$ with underlying $\infty$-category $\mathcal{P}(X_1)$. The tensor product of two presheaves $F,G \in \mathcal{P}(X_1)$ is given by $X(d_1)(X(d_0)^*, X(d_2)^*)(F \times G)$, i.e.
\[
(F \otimes G)(x) \simeq \text{colim}_{Y \in (X_1^2)^*/x} F(Y_1) \times G(Y_2),
\]
and the unit $\mathbb{1}$ is given by
\[
1(x) \simeq \text{colim}_{(X_0^\wedge)^*/x} \|X_0^{op}\|/x,
\]
i.e. the space obtained by inverting all morphisms in $(X_0^{op})/x$. More generally, iterated tensor products satisfy
\[
(\Phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_n)(\xi) \simeq \text{colim}_{\eta \in (X_0)^*/\xi} \Phi_1(X(\rho_1)\eta) \times \cdots \times \Phi(X(\rho_n)\eta).
\]
3.2. The Yoneda Embedding. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a small monoidal $\infty$-category, then the Yoneda embedding gives a monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$. Our goal in this section is to describe the analogue of this when $\mathcal{C}$ is replaced by a double $\infty$-category $\mathcal{X}$. Now the Yoneda embedding is no longer monoidal, but only oplax monoidal; more precisely, we will see that there is a functor $Y: \mathcal{X}^\vee \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1)_{\otimes}$ over $\Delta$, where $\mathcal{X}^\vee$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1)_{\otimes}$ denote the Cartesian fibrations corresponding to $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1)_{\otimes}$, and this preserves Cartesian morphisms over inert maps in $\Delta$.

If $X: \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ is the functor corresponding to the double $\infty$-category $\mathcal{X}$, let $P_{\Delta^{op}}(X) \to \Delta^{op}$ denote the coCartesian fibration for the composite functor $\Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$, $P_{\Delta^{op}} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$. The Yoneda embedding is a natural transformation $id \to P_1$, so we get a functor $\mathcal{X} \to P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)$ over $\Delta^{op}$ that preserves coCartesian morphisms. Equivalently, we get a functor $X^\vee \to P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)^\vee$ over $\Delta$ that preserves Cartesian morphisms. We will obtain our functor $Y$ as the composite of this with a functor $P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)^\vee \to P(X_1)_{\otimes}$. This we will in turn construct by passing to left adjoints from a functor $P(X_1)_{\otimes} \to P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)$ which we obtain by pulling back the locally coCartesian fibration $\overline{\text{Span}}_{\mathcal{P}(Cat)}(E)_{\otimes} \to \overline{\text{Span}}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})^{\times}$ along a certain map $\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1 \to \overline{\text{Span}}(\text{Cat}_{\infty})^{\times}$.

Our first goal is thus to construct this last map. It corresponds to a functor $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)_{\times} \to \Delta_{\times} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$, which we define as the composite of $M: \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ with a functor $\Theta: \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)_{\times} \to \Delta_{\times}$.

**Remark 3.2.1.** We have previously considered the category $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op})$ as described in terms of $\Delta$, and it is convenient to extend this to a description of $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)$, meaning that we describe this in terms of $\Delta \times (\Delta^1)^{op}$. Thus we view objects of $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)$ as maps $([m], a) \to ([n], b)$ in $\Delta \times (\Delta^1)^{op}$ (given by a map $[m] \to [n]$ in $\Delta$, with $0 \leq b \leq a \leq 1$). A morphism in $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)$ then corresponds to a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
([m], a) & \longrightarrow & ([n], b) \\
\downarrow^{\mu} & & \downarrow^{\mu} \\
([m'], a') & \longrightarrow & ([n'], b')
\end{array}
$$

where $0 \leq b \leq b' \leq a' \leq a \leq 1$.

To define the functor $\Theta$, we first need to define the appropriate pullback $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)_{\times} \Delta_{\times}$; for this we need the following preliminary definition:

**Definition 3.2.2.** We define a functor $\Phi: \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1) \to \Delta$ as follows: $\Phi$ takes an object $([m], a) \to ([n], b)$ to

- $[m]$, if $a = 0$,
- $[1]$, if $a = 1$,

and a morphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
([m], a) & \longrightarrow & ([n], b) \\
\downarrow^{\mu} & & \downarrow^{\mu} \\
([m'], a') & \longrightarrow & ([n'], b')
\end{array}
$$


to

- $id: [1] \to [1]$, if $a' = 1$,
- the map $[1] \to [m']$ given by $0 \mapsto \mu(0)$, $1 \mapsto \mu(m)$, if $a' = 0$ and $a = 1$,
- $\mu: [m] \to [m']$, if $a = 0$.

**Definition 3.2.3.** Now, we define $\Theta: \text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)_{\times} \Delta_{\times} \to \Delta^{op}$, where the pullback is defined using $\Phi$, as follows: An object of $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1)_{\times} \Delta_{\times}$ is given by $((m], a) \to ([n], b), i)$, where either $a = 1$ and $i = 1$ (since then $([m], a) \to ([n], b)$ maps to $[1]$ in $\Delta$) or $a = 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. The functor $\Theta$ takes this object to

- $[n]$, if $a = 1$,
- $\{\phi(i-1), \ldots, \phi(i)\}$, if $a = 0$. 


On morphisms, $\Theta$ is defined by taking a morphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
([m], a) & \xleftarrow{\phi} & ([n], b, i) \\
\downarrow^{\nu} & & \uparrow^{\nu} \\
([m'], a') & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & ([n'], b', i')
\end{array}
$$

to

- $\nu: [n'] \to [n]$ if $a' = 1$,
- the restriction of $\nu$ to $\{\phi'(i' - 1), \ldots, \phi'(i')\} \to [n]$ if $a' = 0, a = 1$,
- the restriction of $\nu$ to $\{\phi'(i' - 1), \ldots, \phi'(i')\} \to \{\phi(i - 1), \ldots, \phi(i)\}$ if $a = 0$.

**Remark 3.2.4.** The functor $\Theta$ satisfies:

- $\Theta|_{\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \{0\})} = \theta$,
- $\Theta|_{\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op} \times \{1\})}$ is the projection $\text{Tw}(\Delta^{op}) \to \Delta^{op}$,
- The functor $\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1 \to \overline{\text{Span}}(\Delta^{op}, \infty)$ corresponding to $\Theta$ takes a morphism $([n], 0) \to ([m], 1)$ corresponding to a map $\phi: [m] \to [n]$ (in $\Delta^{op}$, not in $\Delta$, for once) to the span

$$
([1], \ldots, [1]) \leftarrow [n] \xrightarrow{\phi} [m].
$$

Suppose $X: \Delta^{op} \to \text{Cat}_\infty$ is a category object. Let $\xi: \Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1 \to \overline{\text{Span}}(\text{Cat}_\infty, \infty)$ be the functor corresponding to the composite $X \circ \Theta$. The projection $\overline{\text{Span}}(\mathcal{E}_\Theta) \to \overline{\text{Span}}(\text{Cat}_\infty, \infty)$ is locally coCartesian by Proposition 2.3.7. We can pull this back along $\xi$ to obtain a locally coCartesian fibration $\xi^* \overline{\text{Span}}(\mathcal{E}_\Theta) \to \Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1$.

If $X \to \Delta^{op}$ denotes the coCartesian fibration for $X$, then we will now show that this gives us a functor $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1)^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{X})$:

**Proposition 3.2.5.** The functor $\xi^* \overline{\text{Span}}(\mathcal{E}_\Theta) \to \Delta^1$, obtained by composing with the projection $\Delta^{op} \times \Delta^1 \to \Delta^1$, is a coCartesian fibration, and the coCartesian morphisms lie over equivalences in $\Delta^{op}$.

To prove this we first derive a general criterion:

**Lemma 3.2.6.** Suppose we have a commutative diagram of $\infty$-categories

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{C} \\
\downarrow^{r} & & \downarrow^{q} \\
\mathcal{B} & \xleftarrow{q} & \mathcal{C}
\end{array}
$$

where $q$ is a coCartesian fibration and $p$ is an inner fibration. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $\mathcal{E}$ has $p$-coCartesian morphisms over all $q$-coCartesian morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$.

(ii) $r := qp$ is a coCartesian fibration and $p$ preserves coCartesian morphisms.

**Proof.** First assume (i) holds. Given $f: b \to b'$ in $\mathcal{B}$, and $e \in \mathcal{E}$, set $c := p(e)$ and choose a $q$-coCartesian morphism $f: c \to c'$ over $f$. It clearly suffices to show that a $p$-coCartesian morphism $\tilde{f}: e \to e'$ over $\tilde{f}$ is $r$-coCartesian. For $e'' \in \mathcal{E}$ lying over $e''$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and $b''$ in $\mathcal{B}$, consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(c, e'') & \xrightarrow{} & \text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(c, e'') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(c, e''') & \xrightarrow{} & \text{Map}_\mathcal{E}(c, e''') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_\mathcal{B}(b', b'') & \xrightarrow{} & \text{Map}_\mathcal{B}(b, b'').
\end{array}
$$
Here the bottom square is Cartesian since \( \tilde{f} \) is \( q \)-coCartesian and the top square is Cartesian since \( \hat{f} \) is \( p \)-coCartesian. Hence the composite square is Cartesian, which implies that \( \tilde{f} \) is \( r \)-coCartesian. This proves (ii).

Conversely, suppose (ii) holds. Then given \( f : b \to b' \) and \( e \) over \( b \), we can choose an \( r \)-coCartesian morphism \( \hat{f} : e \to e' \) over \( f \). Let \( \hat{f} := p(\tilde{f}) : c \to c' \), then \( \hat{f} \) is a \( q \)-coCartesian morphism. We need to show that \( \tilde{f} \) is \( p \)-coCartesian. There is a commutative diagram as above, where now the bottom square is Cartesian since \( \tilde{f} \) is \( p \)-coCartesian and the composite square is Cartesian since \( \hat{f} \) is \( r \)-coCartesian. Then the top square is also Cartesian, which implies that \( \tilde{f} \) is \( p \)-coCartesian. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 3.2.7.** Suppose \( p : E \to B \times \Delta^1 \) is a locally coCartesian fibration. Given a morphism \( (\phi, e) \in B \times \Delta^1 \) let us write \( e \to (\phi, e)e \) for a locally \( p \)-coCartesian morphism over \( (\phi, e) \). Then the following are equivalent:

1. The composite \( E \to \Delta^1 \) is a coCartesian fibration, and \( p \) preserves coCartesian morphisms.
2. For \( b \in B \), \( e \in E \) lying over \( (b, 0) \), and \( \phi : b \to b' \) in \( B \), the natural map

\[
(\phi, \eta)e \to (\phi, id_{1})(id_{b}, \eta)e
\]

is an equivalence, where \( \eta \) denotes the map \( 0 \to 1 \) in \( \Delta^1 \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.2.6, condition (i) is equivalent to \( E \) having \( p \)-coCartesian morphisms over morphisms of the form \((id_{b}, \eta)\). Since \( p \) is a locally coCartesian fibration, this is equivalent to the locally \( p \)-coCartesian morphism \( e \to (id_{b}, \eta)e \) being a \( p \)-coCartesian morphism for any \( e \in E \) lying over \( (b, 0) \). By (the dual of) [Lur09a, Lemma 2.4.2.7] this holds if and only if for every locally \( p \)-coCartesian morphism with source \((id_{b}, \eta)e\), which is necessarily of the form \((id_{b}, \eta)e \to (\phi, id_{1})(id_{b}, \eta)e\) for some \( \phi : b \to b' \) in \( B \), the composite

\[
e \to (id_{b}, \eta)e \to (\phi, id_{1})(id_{b}, \eta)e
\]

is again locally \( p \)-coCartesian, over \((\phi, \eta)\). This is just a rephrasing of condition (ii). \( \Box \)

**Proof of Proposition 3.2.5.** By Lemma 3.2.7 it suffices to check that for every morphism \( \phi : [n] \to [m] \) in \( \Delta^{op} \), the natural transformation \((\phi, \eta) \to (\phi, id_{1}1)(id_{[n]}, \eta)\) is an equivalence. Here, the functor \((\phi, \eta)\) is given by

\[
\mathcal{P}(X_{1})^{\times n} \times X^{(\rho, e)} \to X^{(\phi)} \to \mathcal{P}(X_{n}).
\]

In particular, \((id_{[n]}, \eta)\) is just \(\mathcal{P}(X_{1})^{\times n} \times X^{(\rho, e)} \to \mathcal{P}(X_{n})\). On the other hand, \((\phi, id_{1})\) is given by \(X(\phi)\), so the functor \((\phi, \eta)\) is indeed the composite \((\phi, id_{1}1)(id_{[n]}, \eta)\), as required. \( \Box \)

We can thus straighten the coCartesian fibration \( \xi : \text{Span}_{B,p}(E_{\phi}) \to \Delta^{1} \) to get a functor \(\mathcal{P}(X_{1})^{\otimes} \to \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{X}) \) over \( \Delta^{op} \). We observe that for each \([n] \in \Delta^{op}\), the map on fibres is

\[
\mathcal{P}(X_{1})^{\otimes}_{[n]} \simeq \mathcal{P}(X_{1})^{\times n} \times X^{(\rho, e)} \to \mathcal{P}(X_{n}) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{X})_{[n]}
\]

This functor is a right adjoint. We now want to show that we can switch to the left adjoints to get a map between the corresponding Cartesian fibrations. This will follow from some general observations:

**Lemma 3.2.8.** Suppose \( p : X \to A \times B \) is an inner fibration such that

1. the composite \( r : X \to A \) is a locally Cartesian fibration,
2. for every \( a \in A \) the induced map on fibres \( p_{a} : X_{a} \to B \) is a coCartesian fibration.

Then the composite \( q : X \to B \) is a coCartesian fibration.

**Proof.** Given \( f : b \to b' \) in \( B \) and \( e \in E \) lying over \( b \in B \), we must show that there exists a \( q \)-coCartesian morphism from \( e \) over \( f \). Suppose \( e \) lies over \( a \in A \), and let \( f : e \to e' \) be a \( p_{a} \)-coCartesian morphism over \( f \); we will show that \( \tilde{f} \) is \( q \)-coCartesian. By [Lur09a, Proposition
2.4.4.3] it suffices to show that for every $e'' \in E$ (lying over $b'' \in B$ and $a' \in A$), the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}_E(e', e'') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_E(e, e'') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_B(b', b'') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_B(b, b'')
\end{array}$$

is Cartesian. In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}_E(e', e'') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_E(e, e'') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_B(b', b'') \times \text{Map}_A(a, a') & \xrightarrow{f^* \times \text{id}} & \text{Map}_B(b, b'') \times \text{Map}_A(a, a') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_B(b', b'') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_B(b, b'')
\end{array}$$

the bottom square is clearly Cartesian, so it suffices to show that the top square is Cartesian. To show this it is enough to show that we get a pullback square on fibres over every map $g: a \to a'$ in $A$, i.e. for every such $g$ the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}_E(e', e'')_g & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_E(e, e'')_g \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_B(b', b'') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_B(b, b'')
\end{array}$$

is Cartesian. Since the composite $r: E \to A$ is a locally Cartesian fibration, we can choose a locally Cartesian morphism $g^* e'' \to e''$ lying over $g$, which lets us identify the square with

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Map}_E(e', g^* e'') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_E(e, g^* e'') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Map}_A(b', b'') & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Map}_B(b, b'')
\end{array}$$

This is Cartesian since by assumption $\tilde{f}$ was $p_a$-coCartesian. \hfill \Box

Lemma 3.2.9. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{Y} \\
\downarrow{p} \swarrow{q} & & \\
\mathcal{B}
\end{array}$$

where $p$ and $q$ are coCartesian fibrations, and for each $b \in B$ the map on fibres $g_b: \mathcal{X}_b \to \mathcal{Y}_b$ has a left adjoint $f_b: \mathcal{Y}_b \to \mathcal{X}_b$. If $p^\vee: \mathcal{X}^\vee \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ and $q^\vee: \mathcal{Y}^\vee \to \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ are the Cartesian fibrations corresponding to $p$ and $q$, then there is a functor $f: \mathcal{Y}^\vee \to \mathcal{X}^\vee$ over $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$ where $f$ is given by $f_b$ fibrewise over $b \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$. 

Proof. Let $E \to \Delta^1$ be the Cartesian fibration corresponding to the functor $g: X \to Y$. The maps to $B$ induce a functor $E \to B \times \Delta^1$. Lemma 3.2.8 implies that the composite $E \to B$ is a coCartesian fibration; let $E^\vee \to B^{op} \times \Delta^1$. Since $f_b$ has a left adjoint for every $b \in B$, the map on fibres $E_b^\vee \simeq E_b \to \Delta^1$ is a Cartesian and coCartesian fibration. Applying Lemma 3.2.8 again we see that the composite $E^\vee \to \Delta^1$ is a coCartesian fibration, corresponding to a functor $Y^\vee \to X^\vee$ over $B^{op}$ given by $f_b$ on the fibre over $b$. □

Applying this to our map $P(X_1)^\otimes \to P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)$, we conclude that we have a map

$$P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)^\vee \to P(X_1)^\otimes$$

over $\Delta$, given over $[n]$ by the functor $P(X_n) \overset{(X_{\rho_1},\ldots,X_{\rho_n})}{\longrightarrow} P(X_1)^{\times n}$, which is left adjoint to $\prod_i X(\rho_i)^\ast$.

Lemma 3.2.10. The functor $P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)^\vee \to P(X_1)^\otimes$ preserves Cartesian morphisms over inert morphisms in $\Delta$.

Proof. Let $φ: [m] \hookrightarrow [n]$ be an inert map in $\Delta$. Then the preservation of Cartesian morphisms over $φ$ corresponds to the commutativity of the square

$$
\begin{array}{c}
P(X_n) \overset{(X_{\rho_1},\ldots,X_{\rho_n})}{\longrightarrow} P(X_1)^{\times n} \\
\downarrow X(φ), \\
P(X_m) \overset{(X_{\rho_1},\ldots,X_{\rho_n})}{\longrightarrow} P(X_1)^{\times m},
\end{array}
$$

where the right vertical map is the projection onto the components in the image of $φ$. □

Finally, composing our functor with the map $X^\vee \to P_{\Delta^{op}}(X)^\vee$ induced by the Yoneda embedding (applied functorially over $\Delta^{op}$) and taking $op$’s, we conclude:

Proposition 3.2.11. The Yoneda embedding induces a functor

$$Y: X^\vee \to P(X_1)^\otimes$$

over $\Delta$, given over $[n]$ by the map

$$X_n \to P(X_n) \overset{(\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_n)}{\longrightarrow} P(X_1)^{\times n}.$$

The functor $Y$ preserves Cartesian morphisms over inert morphisms in $\Delta$.

Corollary 3.2.12. Suppose $X \to \Delta^{op}$ is an admissible double $\infty$-category. Then the Yoneda embedding induces a morphism of generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operads

$$Y^{op}: X^{\vee, op} \to P(X_1)^{op,\otimes}.$$

3.3. Algebras for the Day Convolution. If $C$ is a small monoidal $\infty$-category, then the Day convolution monoidal structure on $P(C)$ has a universal property: For $O \to \Delta^{op}$ a non-symmetric $\infty$-operad, there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_O(P(C)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{O \times \Delta^{op} \times P \times C}(S).$$

This is a special case of [Lur17, Theorem 2.2.6.2]; it is also essentially proved as [Gla16, Proposition 2.12], though only the key special case of commutative algebras is explicitly discussed there. Our goal in this subsection is to prove an analogous result for our generalized Day convolution:

Theorem 3.3.1. Let $X \to \Delta^{op}$ be an admissible double $\infty$-category, and let $O$ be a non-symmetric $\infty$-operad (or more generally an extendable generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad). Then there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_O(P(X_1)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{O \times \Delta^{op} \times X^{\vee, op}}(S),$$

where $P(X_1)$ is equipped with the monoidal structure from Corollary 3.1.8.
Our first task is to define a functor $\mathrm{Alg}_O(\mathcal{P}(X_1)) \to \mathrm{Alg}_O(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}, \mathcal{S})$. This will be supplied by the functor $Y: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}(X_1)_{\emptyset}$ from Proposition 3.2.11, but first we have to replace both the source and target infinity-categories with equivalent versions that we can relate to $Y$:

- by Proposition 1.4.8 there is a natural equivalence
  $$\mathrm{Alg}_O(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}, \mathcal{S}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_O(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}, \mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}, \mathcal{S}).$$

- by Proposition 1.4.12 there is a natural equivalence
  $$\mathrm{Alg}_O(\mathcal{P}(X_1)) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}^{\text{cts}}_{\mathcal{P}(X_1)}(\mathcal{P}(X_1)_{\emptyset}) \subseteq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{P}(X_1)_{\emptyset}^{op}, \mathcal{S}).$$

By Corollary 3.2.12, we have a morphism of generalized non-symmetric infinity-operads
$$Y^{\text{op}}: \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}(X_1)_{\emptyset}^{op} \to \mathcal{P}(X_1)_{\emptyset}^{\text{op}, \mathcal{S}}.$$ The functor $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{P}(X_1)_{\emptyset}^{op}, \mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}, \mathcal{S})$ given by composition with $Y^{\text{op}}$ therefore restricts to a functor
$$Y^{\text{op}, \star}: \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{P}(X_1)_{\emptyset}^{op}}(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}}(\mathcal{S}),$$
which we can restrict to the full subcategory of continuous monoids. Under the equivalences we noted above, this corresponds to a functor
$$\eta: \mathrm{Alg}_O(\mathcal{P}(X_1)) \to \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}}(\mathcal{S}).$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.1.** We have a commutative square
$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{Alg}_O(\mathcal{P}(X_1)) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{op}, \mathcal{X}^{op}}(\mathcal{S}) \\
\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O}^{[1]}, \mathcal{P}(X_1)) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{O}^{[1]} \times \mathcal{X}^{op}, \mathcal{S}),
\end{array}$$
where the functors $U$ and $U'$ are both given by restriction to the fibres over $[1] \in \Delta^{op}$. By Theorem 1.4.17, the functors $U$ and $U'$ have left adjoints $F$ and $F'$, and the adjunctions $F \dashv U$, $F' \dashv U'$ are monadic. We may therefore apply [Lur17, Corollary 4.7.4.16] to conclude that $\eta$ is an equivalence provided the induced natural transformation
$$U'F' \to UF$$
is an equivalence. The formula in Theorem 1.4.17 gives in this case:
$$UF(\Phi)(o) \simeq \prod_n \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{O}^{[n]} / o} \Phi(\rho_1, P) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi(\rho_n, P).$$
Evaluating at $x \in X_1$ and applying Remark 3.1.9, we get
$$UF(\Phi)(o,X) \simeq \prod_n \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{O}^{[n]} / o} (\Phi(\rho_1, P) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi(\rho_n, P))(x) \simeq \prod_n \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{O}^{[n]} / o} \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{X}^{[n]} / X_1} (\Phi(\rho_1, P_1, \rho_1, Y) \times \cdots \times \Phi(\rho_n, P, \rho_n, Y)) \simeq \prod_n \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{X}^{[n]} / X_1} (\Phi(\rho_1, P_1, \rho_1, Y) \times \cdots \times \Phi(\rho_n, P, \rho_n, Y)).$$
This is precisely the formula Theorem 1.4.17 gives for $UF\Phi(o,X)$. \qed

We end this section by extending Theorem 3.3.1 by replacing the infinity-category of spaces by an arbitrary presentably monoidal infinity-category. As an easy corollary of Theorem 3.3.1 we have the following result for Day convolutions of monoidal infinity-categories:

**Corollary 3.3.2.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta^{op}$ be an admissible double infinity-category and $\mathcal{B}$ a small monoidal infinity-category. Then there is a monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{op}_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}))$ such that:
(i) The tensor product of $F, G : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by
\[(F \otimes G)(x) \simeq \colim_{Y \in (\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1)_x} F(\rho_1, Y) \otimes G(\rho_2, Y),\]
where $\otimes$ on the right is the Day convolution on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$.

(ii) The unit $1 : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by
\[1(x) \simeq \|X_0^{\text{op}}\|/x \otimes 1,\]
where $1$ on the right is the unit for the Day convolution.

(iii) For $\mathcal{O}$ an extendable generalized non-symmetric $\mathcal{C}$-operad, there is a natural equivalence
\[\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}))) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}).\]

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.3.1 with $\mathcal{X}$ replaced by $\mathcal{X} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ we obtain a monoidal structure on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{C})$ such that
\[\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O} (\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{C})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \simeq (\mathcal{S}).\]
Now the universal property of the Day convolution on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ (which is also a special case of Theorem 3.3.1) gives a natural equivalence
\[\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \simeq (\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}).\]
This proves (iii). The formulas of Remark 3.1.9 applied to $\mathcal{X} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$, combined with the formulas for the Day convolution on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$, now give (i) and (ii).

**Corollary 3.3.3.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}$ be an admissible double $\mathcal{C}$-category and $\mathcal{C}$ a small monoidal $\mathcal{C}$-category. Moreover, let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of morphisms in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ such that the localization $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ at $\mathcal{S}$ is monoidal with respect to the Day convolution on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$. Then there is a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}_1^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}))$ such that:

(i) The tensor product of $F, G : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \to \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by
\[(F \otimes G)(x) \simeq \colim_{Y \in (\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1)_x} F(\rho_1, Y) \otimes G(\rho_2, Y),\]
where $\otimes$ on the right is the localization of the Day convolution to $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$.

(ii) The unit $1 : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \to \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by
\[1(x) \simeq \|X_0^{\text{op}}\|/x \otimes 1,\]
where $1$ on the right is the unit for the localized Day convolution.

(iii) For $\mathcal{O}$ an extendable generalized non-symmetric $\mathcal{C}$-operad, there is a natural equivalence
\[\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}))) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}).\]

Proof. The $\mathcal{C}$-category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}))$ is a localization of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{C})$, and this localization is monoidal with respect to our Day convolution for $\mathcal{X} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$. Therefore by Proposition 1.4.19 the $\mathcal{C}$-category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}))$ inherits a monoidal structure, described by (i) and (ii), and such that $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})))$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Alg}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{C}))$ consisting of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras taking values in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}))$. Under the equivalence of Corollary 3.3.2, these correspond to the $\mathcal{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}$-algebras in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ that take values in $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$, which completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.3.4.** Let $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}$ be an admissible double $\mathcal{C}$-category and $\mathcal{V}$ a presentably monoidal $\mathcal{C}$-category. Then there is a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}_1^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V})$ such that:

(i) The tensor product of $F, G : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \to \mathcal{V}$ is given by
\[(F \otimes G)(x) \simeq \colim_{Y \in (\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1)_x} F(\rho_1, Y) \otimes G(\rho_2, Y).\]

(ii) The unit $1 : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}_1 \to \mathcal{V}$ is given by
\[1(x) \simeq \|X_0^{\text{op}}\|/x \otimes 1.\]
(iii) For $O$ an extendable generalized non-symmetric $\infty$-operad, there is a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_O(\text{Fun}(X_1^{\text{op}}, V)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{O \times X}^{X'_\text{op}}(V).$$

**Proof.** By (the non-symmetric analogue of) [CH17, Proposition 2.6.8], we can choose a regular cardinal $\kappa$ such that $V$ is $\kappa$-presentably monoidal and the full subcategory $V^c$ of $\kappa$-compact objects is a monoidal subcategory. Moreover, $V$ is equivalent as a monoidal $\infty$-category to a localization of the Day convolution on $\mathcal{P}(V^c)$. Applying Corollary 3.3.3 to this localization now gives the result. \(\square\)

We expect that this monoidal structure is equivalent to another natural monoidal structure on this functor $\infty$-category:

**Conjecture 3.3.5.** The monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(X_1^{\text{op}}, V)$ from Corollary 3.3.4 is equivalent to the monoidal structure on the presentable tensor product $\mathcal{P}(X_1) \otimes V$ induced by the monoidal structure on $V$ and the Day convolution on $\mathcal{P}(X_1)$.

4. Applications

In this section we apply our results on Day convolutions to obtain descriptions of $\infty$-categorical structures as associative algebras: in §4.1 we consider (enriched) $\infty$-categories, then $\infty$-operads in §4.2, and finally enriched $\infty$-operads in §4.3.

4.1. Enriched $\infty$-Categories as Associative Algebras. A category object in the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S}$ of spaces is known as a Segal space; these describe the algebraic structure of $\infty$-categories. We write $\text{Seg}(\mathcal{S})$ for the $\infty$-category of Segal spaces, namely the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ spanned by the Segal spaces. Our first goal in this section is to prove that Segal spaces with a fixed space $X$ reformulate the structure of a Segal space with $0$th space.

**Definition 4.1.1.** Let $\Delta^{\text{op}}_X \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ be the left fibration for the functor $\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ obtained as the right Kan extension of the functor $\{\{0\}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ with value $X$ along the inclusion $\{\{0\}\} \hookrightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$. (This functor takes $[n]$ to $X^{n+1}$ with face maps given by projections and degeneracies by diagonal maps.)

**Lemma 4.1.2.** For any space $X$, the projection $\Delta^{\text{op}}_X \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ is an admissible double $\infty$-category. 

**Proof.** This functor is a double $\infty$-category by [GH15, Lemma 4.1.3]; it is admissible by Example 3.1.7 since $X$ is a space. \(\square\)

**Proposition 4.1.3.** The functor $\text{Seg}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ given by evaluation at $[0]$ is a Cartesian fibration, and the corresponding functor is equivalent to $X \mapsto \text{Mon}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}_X}(\mathcal{S})$. In other words, we have a natural equivalence $\text{Seg}(\mathcal{S})_X \simeq \text{Mon}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}_X}(\mathcal{S})$.

**Proof.** This is a special case of [Hau15, Theorem 7.5].

Applying Theorem 3.3.1 to the admissible double $\infty$-category $\Delta^{\text{op}}_X$, we get:

**Proposition 4.1.4.** For any space $X$ there is a monoidal structure on the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{S})$ such that

(i) the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ is given by

$$(F \otimes G)(x,x') \simeq \text{colim}_{y \in X} F(x,y) \times G(y,x').$$

(ii) the unit $1$ is given by

$$1(x,y) \simeq \Omega_{x,y}X \simeq \begin{cases} 0, & x \neq y \\ \Omega_x X, & x \simeq y, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega_{x,y}X$ denotes the space of paths from $x$ to $y$ in $X$.

(iii) we have $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}_X}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{S})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}_X}(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}_X}(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Seg}(\mathcal{S})_X$. 


Now we consider the analogue of this result for enriched $\infty$-categories. Recall that in [GH15] we defined $\mathcal{V}$-enriched $\infty$-categories with space of objects $X$ to be precisely $\Delta^\text{op}_X$-algebras in $\mathcal{V}$, for $\mathcal{V}$ any monoidal $\infty$-category. Applying Corollary 3.3.4 to the admissible double $\infty$-category $\Delta^\text{op}_{X}$, we immediately get:

**Theorem 4.1.5.** Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a presentably monoidal $\infty$-category. Then for every space $X$ there exists a monoidal structure on $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$ such that:

(i) the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ is given by

$$(F \otimes G)(x, x') \simeq \text{colim}_{y \in X} F(x, y) \otimes G(y, x').$$

(ii) the unit $1$ is given by

$$1(x, y) \simeq \Omega_{x,y}X \otimes 1_\mathcal{V} \simeq \begin{cases} 0, & x \neq y \\ \Omega_x X \otimes 1_\mathcal{V}, & x \simeq y, \end{cases}$$

where $S \otimes v$ for $S$ a space and $v \in \mathcal{V}$ denotes the colimit $\text{colim}_S v$ of the constant functor with value $v$,

(iii) we have $\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}_X}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}_X}(\mathcal{V})$.

4.2. $\infty$-Operads as Associative Algebras. In this subsection we will see that $\infty$-operads with a fixed space $X$ of objects are given by associative algebras in symmetric $X$-collections (or $X$-coloured symmetric sequences). For this we use Barwick’s model of $\infty$-operads from [Bar13]; this is known to be equivalent to other models of $\infty$-operads thanks to the results of [Bar13, CHH16, CM13a, CM13b]. Before we recall Barwick’s definition we first introduce some notation:

**Definition 4.2.1.** Write $\mathbb{P}$ for a skeleton of the category of finite sets, with objects $k := \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Let $\Delta^\text{op}_{\mathbb{P}}$ be the category with objects pairs $([n], f: [n] \to \mathbb{P})$ with a morphism $([n], f) \to ([m], g)$ given by a morphism $\phi: [n] \to [m]$ in $\Delta$ and a natural transformation $\eta: f \to g \circ \phi$ such that

(i) the map $\eta_i: f(i) \to g(\phi(i))$ is injective for all $i = 0, \ldots, m$,

(ii) the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
  f(i) & \xrightarrow{\eta_i} & g(\phi(i)) \\
  \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
  f(j) & \xrightarrow{\eta_j} & g(\phi(j))
\end{array}$$

is a pullback square for all $0 \leq i \leq j \leq m$.

**Notation 4.2.2.** For $I = ([n], f)$ in $\Delta^\text{op}_{\mathbb{P}}$, we write $I_{ij} := ([j - i], f|_{[i+1, \ldots, j]})$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq n$, and $I_i := ([0], f(i))$. Moreover, for $i \in f(n)$ we write $I_i := ([n], f_i)$ where $f_i$ is obtained by taking the fibres at $i$.

**Definition 4.2.3.** A presheaf $\mathcal{F}: \Delta^\text{op}_{\mathbb{P}} \to \mathcal{S}$ is a Segal presheaf if it satisfies the following three “Segal conditions”:

1. for every object $I = ([n], f)$ of $\Delta^\text{op}_{\mathbb{P}}$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{F}(I) \to \mathcal{F}(I|_{01}) \times \mathcal{F}(I|_{12}) \cdots \times \mathcal{F}(I|_{n-1n}) \mathcal{F}(I|_{n-1n})$$

is an equivalence,

2. for every object $I = ([1], k \to 1)$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{F}(I) \to \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(I_i)$$

is an equivalence,
(3) for every object $I = ([0], k)$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{F}(I) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{F}(I_i)$$

is an equivalence.

We write $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta F)$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\Delta F)$ spanned by the Segal presheaves.

Segal presheaves on $\Delta F$ describe the algebraic structure of $\infty$-operads: If we write $e := ([0], 1)$ and $c_n := ([1], n \rightarrow 1)$, then the Segal conditions describe how $\mathcal{F}(I)$ decomposes as a limit of $\mathcal{F}(e)$ and $\mathcal{F}(c_n)$. Here $\mathcal{F}(e)$ is the space of objects of $\mathcal{F}$, viewed as an $\infty$-operad, and $\mathcal{F}(c_n)$ is the space of $n$-ary morphisms.

**Remark 4.2.4.** Let us consider the case of 1-object operads $\mathcal{O}$, i.e. those where $\mathcal{O}(e)$ is contractible. In this case the Segal conditions simplify to:

(1) for every object $I = ([n], f)$ of $\Delta F$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{F}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(I_{[0]}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}(I_{([n]-1)\times [n]})$$

is an equivalence (in particular, the space $\mathcal{F}([0], k)$ is contractible for all $k$),

(2) for every object $I = ([1], k \rightarrow 1)$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{F}(I) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in k} \mathcal{F}(I_i)$$

is an equivalence,

We write $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta F)_{\ast}$ for the $\infty$-category of 1-object Segal presheaves, i.e. the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(\Delta F)$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2) here, or equivalently the fibre at $\ast$ of the functor $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ given by evaluation at $\mathcal{e}$.

The starting point for our construction of the composition product on symmetric sequences is:

**Proposition 4.2.5.** The projection $\Delta^\vee \rightarrow \Delta^{op}$ is an admissible double $\infty$-category.

**Proof.** This functor is a coCartesian fibration, and the corresponding functor $\Delta^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ takes $[n]$ to the category $(\Delta F)_{[n]}$ where

- an object is a sequence $a_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_n$ of morphisms in $F$,
- a morphism is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_0 & \rightarrow & a_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & a_{n-1} & \rightarrow & a_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \\
b_0 & \rightarrow & b_1 & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & b_{n-1} & \rightarrow & b_n
\end{array}
$$

where the squares are Cartesian.

This clearly satisfies the Segal condition $(\Delta F)_{[n]} \simeq (\Delta F)_{[1]} \times (\Delta F)_{[0]} \times \cdots \times (\Delta F)_{[0]} (\Delta F)_{[1]}$, so that $\Delta^\vee$ is a double $\infty$-category. Observe also that the projection $(\Delta F)_{[n]} \rightarrow F$ given by evaluation at $[n]$ is a Cartesian fibration.

Suppose $\phi : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ is an active map in $\Delta$. We must show that the functor $\phi^* : (\Delta F)_{[m]} \rightarrow (\Delta F)_{[n]}$ satisfies: for $A \in (\Delta F)_{[n]}$ the map

$$(\Delta F)_{[m], A} / \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta F)_{[m_i], A_i} /$$

is cointial.
If \( A = (a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n) \) then an object of \((\Delta^\text{op})_{[m], A_1}\) is an object \((b_0 \to \cdots \to b_m)\) of \((\Delta^\text{op})_{[m]}\) together with maps \(a_i \to b_{\phi(i)}\) such that the squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  a_i & \to & a_{i+1} \\
  \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
  b_{\phi(i)} & \to & b_{\phi(i+1)}
\end{array}
\]

are Cartesian. Let \((\Delta^\text{iso})_{[m], A_1}\) denote the full subcategory of \((\Delta^\text{op})_{[m], A_1}\) containing those objects where the map \(a_n \to b_{\phi(m)}\) is an isomorphism. Then we will show that the inclusion \((\Delta^\text{iso})_{[m], A_1} \hookrightarrow (\Delta^\text{op})_{[m], A_1}\) is coinitial. By [Lur09a, Theorem 4.1.3.1] this is equivalent to showing that for all \(B \in (\Delta^\text{op})_{[m], A_1}\) the category \((\Delta^\text{iso})_{[m], A_1}/B\) is weakly contractible. But this category clearly has an initial object, given by the Cartesian morphism \(B^1 \to B\) over the map \(A_n \to B_{\phi(m)}\).

We then have a commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  (\Delta^\text{iso})_{[m], A_1} & \to & \prod_{i=1}^m (\Delta^\text{iso})_{[m], A_1}/ \\
  \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
  (\Delta^\text{op})_{[m], A_1} & \to & \prod_{i=1}^m (\Delta^\text{op})_{[m], A_1}/,
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical maps are coinitial (since coinitial maps are closed under products by [Lur09a, Corollary 4.1.1.13]). To see that the bottom horizontal map is coinitial it then suffices to show the top horizontal map is coinitial, by [Lur09a, Proposition 4.1.1.3]. But it is clear from the definition of \((\Delta^\text{iso})_{[m], A_1}\) that this map is in fact an equivalence of categories. Thus \(\Delta^\text{op} \to \Delta^\text{op}\) is admissible.

Applying Theorem 3.3.1 we get:

**Corollary 4.2.6.** There is a monoidal structure on the \(\infty\)-category \(\text{Fun}((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S)\) such that

1. the tensor product of \(F\) and \(G\) is given by
   \[(F \otimes G)(a \to c) \simeq \colim_{(a \to b \to c) \in (\Delta^\text{op})_{[2], (a \to b)/}} F(a \to b) \times G(b \to c),\]

2. the unit \(1\) is given by
   \[\mathbb{I}(a \to b) \simeq \colim_{(a \to b)/} 1 \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & a \nRightarrow b, \\ 1, & a \Rightarrow b. \end{array} \right.\]

3. we have \(\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\text{Fun}((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(S)\).

Here we can use Proposition 1.4.8 to identify \(\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(S)\) with presheaves on \(\Delta\) satisfying condition (1) in Remark 4.2.4.

**Lemma 4.2.7.** Write \(\text{Fun}^H((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S)\) for the full subcategory of \(\text{Fun}((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S)\) consisting of presheaves \(F\) such that \(F(a \to b) : \prod_{i \in \mathbb{B}} F(a_i \to 1)\) is an equivalence. This is a monoidal subcategory of \(\text{Fun}((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S)\).

**Proof.** This is immediate from the formulas in Corollary 4.2.6(i–ii). \(\square\)

The \(\infty\)-category \(\text{PSet}(\Delta^\text{op})_*\) then corresponds under the equivalence of Corollary 4.2.6(iii) to the full subcategory \(\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\text{Fun}^H((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S))\) of \(\text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\text{Fun}((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S))\).

Let \(iF\) denote the maximal subgroupoid of \(F\), and write \(j : iF \to (\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}\) for the fully faithful functor taking \(n\) to \(n \to 1\). Then \(\text{Fun}^H((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S)\) is precisely the full subcategory of \(\text{Fun}((\Delta^\text{op})_{[1]}, S)\) spanned by functors that are right Kan extensions along \(j^\text{op}\). In other words, we may identify
Fun\(^H((\Delta_\infty)^{op}_{[1]}, S)\) with the \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{P}(i\mathcal{F})\) of symmetric sequences. Interpreting Corollary 4.2.6 under this equivalence, we get:

**Theorem 4.2.8.** The \(\infty\)-category \(\mathcal{P}(i\mathcal{F})\) has a monoidal structure such that

(i) the tensor product of \(F\) and \(G\) is given by

\[(F \circ G)(n) \simeq \colim_{(m \to 1) \in (\Delta_\infty)_{(n,m)\to 1}} \prod_{i \in m} F(m_i) \times G(m),\]

(ii) the unit \(1\) is given by

\[1(n) \simeq \begin{cases} \emptyset, & n \not\simeq 1, \\ \ast, & n \simeq 1, \end{cases}\]

(iii) we have \(\text{Alg}_{\Delta^{op}}(\mathcal{P}(i\mathcal{F})) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta_\infty).\)

**Remark 4.2.9.** The formula for \((F \circ G)(n)\) in Theorem 4.2.8 is easily seen to agree with the usual formula for the composition product of symmetric sequences, cf. [DH14, Lemma A.4].

We will now extend this to a description of \(\infty\)-operads with an arbitrary fixed space of objects as associative algebras. We first need some notation:

**Definition 4.2.10.** For \(X \in S\), let \(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}^{op} \to \Delta_\infty^{op}\) be the left fibration corresponding to the functor \(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}^{op} \to S\) that is obtained as the right Kan extension of the functor \(\{t\} \to S\) with value \(X\) along the inclusion \(\{t\} \to \Delta_\infty^{op}\).

**Remark 4.2.11.** This functor \(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}^{op} \to S\) takes \(I = ([n], a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n)\) to \(X^{k |E(I)|}\) where \(E(I) := \prod_{i=0}^n a_i\). If we view \(I\) as a forest with levels (cf. [CH17, Remark 2.2.5]) this is the set of edges of \(I\). We can thus identify an object of \(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}\) with a pair \((I, \xi)\) with \(I \in \Delta_\mathcal{F}, \xi \in X^{k |E(I)|}\).

As a simpler variant of [CH17, Lemma 2.4.10, Proposition 2.4.8], we have:

**Proposition 4.2.12.** The functor \(\mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta_\mathcal{F}) \to S\) given by evaluation at \(\xi\) is a Cartesian fibration, and the fibre at \(X \in S\) is equivalent to the full subcategory of \(\mathcal{P}(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X})\) spanned by those presheaves \(\mathcal{F}\) that satisfy:

(1) for every object \(([n], a_0 \to \cdots \to k_0, \xi)\) in \(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}^{op}\), the map

\[\mathcal{F}([n], k_0 \to \cdots \to k_n, \xi) \to \mathcal{F}([1], k_0 \to k_1, \xi) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}([1], k_{n-1} \to k_n, \xi)\]

is an equivalence, where \(([n], k_0 \to \cdots \to k_n, \xi) \to ([1], k_{i-1} \to k_i, \xi)\) is the coCartesian morphism over \(([n], k_0 \to \cdots \to k_n) \to ([1], k_{i-1} \to k_i)\).

(2) for every object \(([1], k \to 1, \xi)\), the natural map

\[\mathcal{F}([1], k \to 1, \xi) \to \prod_{i \in k} \mathcal{F}([1], k_i \to 1, \xi)\]

is an equivalence, where \(([1], k \to 1, \xi) \to ([1], k_i \to 1, \xi)\) is the coCartesian morphism over \(([1], k \to 1) \to ([1], k_i \to 1)\).

**Proposition 4.2.13.** \(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}^{\vee} \to \Delta^{op}\) is an admissible double \(\infty\)-category.

**Proof.** To see that \(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X}^{\vee}\) is a double \(\infty\)-category, we simply observe that we have pullback squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X})_{[n]} & \longrightarrow & (\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X})_{[1]} \times_{(\Delta_\mathcal{F})_{[0]}} \cdots \times_{(\Delta_\mathcal{F})_{[0]}} (\Delta_{\mathcal{F}, X})_{[1]} \\
(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}})_{[n]} & \sim & (\Delta_{\mathcal{F}})_{[1]} \times_{(\Delta_\mathcal{F})_{[0]}} \cdots \times_{(\Delta_\mathcal{F})_{[0]}} (\Delta_{\mathcal{F}})_{[1]}. \\
\end{array}
\]
Similarly, for $\bar{A} \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)[n]$ lying over $A \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})[n]$ and $\phi \colon [n] \to [m]$ an active map in $\Delta$, we have a pullback square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)[m],A/ & \longrightarrow & \prod_i (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)[m_i],\bar{A}_i/ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})[m],A/ & \longrightarrow & \prod_i (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}})[m_i],A_i/,
\end{array}
$$

where the right vertical map is a Cartesian fibration. Since the bottom horizontal map is coinitial, and pullbacks of coinitial maps along Cartesian fibrations are again coinitial by [Lur09a, Proposition 4.1.2.15], this implies that the top horizontal map is also coinitial. In other words, $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^X$ is admissible. \hfill $\square$

Applying Theorem 3.3.1 we get:

**Corollary 4.2.14.** There is a monoidal structure on the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)$ such that

(i) the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ is given by

$$(F \otimes G)(a \to c, \xi) \simeq \colim_{(a \to b \to c, \eta) \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}} \text{colim}_{\xi \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}} F(a \to b, \eta_1) \times G(b \to c, \eta_2),$$

(ii) the unit $1$ is given by

$$1(a \to b, \xi) \simeq \text{colim}_{(a \to b, \xi) \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}} \cdot \simeq \begin{cases} \emptyset, & a \not\simeq b, \\
\prod \Omega_{x_i,y_i} X, & a \simeq b,
\end{cases}$$

where $\xi = (x_1, \ldots, x_a, y_1, \ldots, y_b)$,

(iii) we have $\text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^X}(\text{Fun}((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^X}(S)$.

Here we can use Proposition 1.4.8 to identify $\text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^X}(S)$ with presheaves on $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X$ satisfying condition (1) in Proposition 4.2.12.

If we write $\text{Fun}^H((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)$ for the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)$ consisting of presheaves $F$ satisfying condition (2) in Proposition 4.2.12, then (as in Lemma 4.2.7) this is a monoidal subcategory of $\text{Fun}((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)$. The $\infty$-category $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}) X$ corresponds under the equivalence of Corollary 4.2.14(iii) to the full subcategory $\text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{Fun}^H((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S))$ of $\text{Alg}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{Fun}((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S))$.

**Definition 4.2.15.** Let $iF_X$ denote the pullback

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{iF}_X & \xrightarrow{J_X} & \Delta_{\mathcal{E}}^X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
iF & \xrightarrow{J} & \Delta_{\mathcal{E}}.
\end{array}
$$

We can identify this with the coproduct $\coprod_n X \times X_{h_{\text{Seg}} n}^n$.

The $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}^H((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)$ is precisely the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)$ spanned by functors that are right Kan extensions along $J_X^{\text{op}}$. In other words, we may identify the $\infty$-category $\text{Fun}^H((\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}, S)$ with the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{P}(iF_X)$ of $X$-coloured symmetric (or symmetric $X$-collections). Interpreting Corollary 4.2.14 under this equivalence, we get:

**Theorem 4.2.16.** The $\infty$-category $\mathcal{P}(iF_X)$ has a monoidal structure such that

(i) the tensor product of $F$ and $G$ is given by

$$(F \circ G)(n,(x_i), z) \simeq \text{colim}_{(n \to m \to 1, (x_i), (y_j), z) \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}} \prod \text{colim}_{(a_1 \to b_1) \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}} F(n_i,(x_k)_{k \in n_i}, z_i) \times G(m, (z_j), y),$$

(ii) the unit $1$ is given by

$$1(n,(x_i), z) \simeq \text{colim}_{(n \to m \to 1, (x_i), (y_j), z) \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}} \prod \text{colim}_{(a_1 \to b_1) \in (\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X)^{\text{op}}} F(n_i,(x_k)_{k \in n_i}, z_i) \times G(m, (z_j), y),$$

(iii) we have $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}(iF_X)}(S) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}(iF_X)}(S)$.

Here we can use Theorem 1.4.8 to identify $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}(iF_X)}(S)$ with presheaves on $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} X$ satisfying condition (1) in Proposition 4.2.12.
(ii) the unit 1 is given by
\[
1(n, (x_i), y) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\emptyset, & n \not\simeq 1, \\
\Omega_{x_1,y}X, & n \simeq 1, 
\end{cases}
\]

(iii) we have \( \text{Alg}_{\Delta^\text{op}}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E}X)) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta^\text{op})_X \).

4.3 Enriched \( \infty \)-Operads as Associative Algebras. In this subsection we extend the results of the previous subsection to enriched \( \infty \)-operads. We first need to recall some definitions from [CH17]; we refer the reader there for motivation for these definitions.

**Definition 4.3.1.** Let \( V : \Delta^\text{op}_+ \to \Gamma^\text{op} \) be the functor of [CH17, Definition 2.2.11], taking \([n], a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n\) to \((\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i)_+\), and a morphism \([n], a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n \to [m], b_0 \to \cdots \to b_m\) over \( \phi : [n] \to [m] \) in \( \Delta^\text{op} \) to the map \((\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i)_+ \to (\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} b_j)_+\) given on the component \( a_i \) by the map \( a_i \to (\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} b_j)_+ \) taking \( x \in a_i \) to an object \( y \in b_j \) if \( \phi(j-1) < i \leq \phi(j) \) and the map \( a_i \to a_{\phi(j)} \) takes \( x \) to the image of \( y \) under the map \( b_j \to a_{\phi(j)} \), and to the base point \( * \) otherwise. If \( V^\text{op} \to \Gamma^\text{op} \) is a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category, and \( V^\circ \to \Gamma \) is the corresponding Cartesian fibration, then we define the \( \infty \)-category \( \Delta^V_\oplus \) by the pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta^Y_\oplus & \to & V^\circ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta^Y & \to & V.
\end{array}
\]

**Definition 4.3.2.** If \( V \) is a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category, we say a presheaf \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta^V_\oplus \to S \) is a **Segal presheaf** if

1. for every \( I \in \Delta^V_\oplus \) over \( I = ([n], a_0 \to \cdots \to a_n) \) in \( \Delta^Y \), the map
   \[
   \mathcal{F}(I) \to \mathcal{F}(I_{(0)1}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(I_{(1)1})} \cdots \times_{\mathcal{F}(I_{(n-1)n})} \mathcal{F}(I_{(n-1)n})
   \]
   is an equivalence, where \( I_{(i-1)i} \to I \) and \( I_{(i)1} \to I \) are the coCartesian morphisms over the maps \( I_{(i-1)i} \to I \) and \( I_{(i)1} \to I \), respectively,
2. for every \( I \) lying over \( I = ([1], a \to b) \), the map
   \[
   \mathcal{F}(I) \to \prod I_{\mathcal{F}(I_i)}
   \]
   is an equivalence, where \( I_i \to I \) is the Cartesian map lying over \( I_i \to I \),
3. for every \( I \) lying over \( I = ([0], a) \), the map
   \[
   \mathcal{F}(I) \to \prod I_{\mathcal{F}(I_i)}
   \]
   is an equivalence, where \( I_i \to I \) is the Cartesian map lying over \( I_i \to I \).

We write \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta^V_\oplus) \) for the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{P}(\Delta^V_\oplus) \) spanned by the Segal presheaves.

**Definition 4.3.3.** If \( V \) is a presentably symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category, we say a presheaf \( \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta^V_\oplus) \) is a **continuous Segal presheaf** if it is a Segal presheaf and in addition for every \( n \) the functor

\[
\mathcal{V}^\text{op} \simeq (\Delta^V_\oplus)_{\text{cts}} \to S_{/\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V})_{n+1}}
\]

preserves limits. We write \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{CtsSeg}}(\Delta^V_\oplus) \) for the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{P}(\Delta^V_\oplus) \) spanned by the continuous Segal presheaves.

**Proposition 4.3.4.** Let \( V \) be a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category. We write \( \Delta^V_{\oplus X} := \Delta^Y_{\oplus X} \times_{\Delta^Y} \Delta^V_\oplus \). The functor \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta^V_{\oplus X}) \to S \) given by evaluation at \( e \) is a Cartesian fibration, and the fibre at \( X \) is equivalent to the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{P}(\Delta^V_{\oplus X}) \) spanned by functors \( \mathcal{F} \) satisfying:
(1) For \( \hat{I} \in \Delta_{F,X}^\infty \) lying over \( I \) in \( \Delta_F^\infty \), the map
\[
\mathcal{F}(\hat{I}) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{I}|_{[0]} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}(\hat{I}|_{(n-1)n})
\]
is an equivalence, where \( \hat{I}|_{(i-1)i} \to \hat{I} \) denotes the Cartesian map over \( \hat{I}|_{(i-1)i} \to \hat{I} \),
(2) For \( \tilde{I} \in \Delta_{F,X}^{\infty} \) lying over \( I \) in \( \Delta_F^\infty \), which in turn lies over \( I = ([1], \mathbf{a} \to \mathbf{b}) \) in \( \Delta_S \), the map
\[
\mathcal{F}(\tilde{I}) \to \prod_i \mathcal{F}(\tilde{I}_i)
\]
is an equivalence, where \( \tilde{I}_i \to \tilde{I} \) is Cartesian over \( I_i \to I \).

**Proof.** This is just a simpler version of [CH17, Proposition 2.4.8]. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.3.5.** If \( \mathcal{V} \) is a presentably symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category, then there exists a regular cardinal \( \kappa \) such that \( \mathcal{V}^\kappa \) is a symmetric monoidal subcategory and \( \mathcal{V} \) is equivalent to \( \text{Ind}_\kappa \mathcal{V}^\kappa \) as a symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category. Moreover,
\[
\mathcal{P}_{\text{CtsSeg}}(\Delta_F^\infty) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\kappa-\text{Seg}}(\Delta_F^{\infty})
\]
where \( \mathcal{P}_{\kappa-\text{Seg}}(\Delta_F^{\infty}) \) denotes the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\Delta_F^{\infty}) \) spanned by Segal presheaves that are local with respect to \( (\kappa, s) \) where \( s \) is a \( \kappa \)-small colimit diagram in \( \mathcal{V} \).

**Proof.** This is [CH17, Corollary 2.6.5, Proposition 2.6.8]. \( \square \)

This equivalence is fibred over \( S \), so combining this with Proposition 4.3.4 we get:

**Corollary 4.3.6.** Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be a presentably symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category, and let \( \kappa \) be a regular cardinal as in Proposition 4.3.5. For any space \( X \), the \( \infty \)-category \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{CtsSeg}}(\Delta_F^\infty)_X \) is naturally equivalent to the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{P}(\Delta_F^{\infty}) \) spanned by presheaves \( \mathcal{F} \) satisfying:
(1) For \( \hat{I} \in \Delta_{F,X}^{\infty} \) lying over \( I \) in \( \Delta_F^\infty \), the map
\[
\mathcal{F}(\hat{I}) \to \mathcal{F}(\hat{I}|_{[0]} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{F}(\hat{I}|_{(n-1)n})
\]
is an equivalence, where \( \hat{I}|_{(i-1)i} \to \hat{I} \) denotes the Cartesian map over \( \hat{I}|_{(i-1)i} \to \hat{I} \),
(2) For \( \tilde{I} \in \Delta_{F,X}^{\infty} \) lying over \( I \) in \( \Delta_F^\infty \), which in turn lies over \( I = ([1], \mathbf{a} \to \mathbf{b}) \) in \( \Delta_S \), the map
\[
\mathcal{F}(\tilde{I}) \to \prod_i \mathcal{F}(\tilde{I}_i)
\]
is an equivalence, where \( \tilde{I}_i \to \tilde{I} \) is Cartesian over \( I_i \to I \).
(3) For every \( \tilde{c}_n \) in \( \Delta_{F,X} \) lying over \( c_n \) in \( \Delta_S \), the functor
\[
\mathcal{F}(\tilde{c}_n, -): \mathcal{V}^{\kappa, \text{op}} \simeq (\Delta_F^{\infty})^{\kappa, \text{op}} \tilde{c}_n \to S
\]
preserves \( \kappa \)-small limits.

**Proposition 4.3.7.** Let \( C \) be a small symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category. Then \( \Delta_F^{C, \kappa} \to \Delta^{\text{op}} \) is an admissible double \( \infty \)-category.

**Proof.** Reduces to Proposition 4.2.5 as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.13. \( \square \)

Applying Theorem 3.3.1 we get:

**Corollary 4.3.8.** There is a monoidal structure on the \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Fun}((\Delta_F^\infty)_{[1]}^{\kappa, \text{op}}, S) \) such that
(i) the tensor product of \( F \) and \( G \) is given by
\[
(F \otimes G)(\hat{I}) \simeq \text{colim}_{J \in (\Delta_F^\infty)_{[1]}^{\kappa, \text{op}}} F(\hat{J}|_{[0]}) \times G(\hat{J}|_{12}),
\]
(ii) the unit \( 1 \) is given by
\[
1(\hat{I}) \simeq \text{colim}_{(\Delta_F^\infty)_{[0]}^{\kappa, \text{op}}} *
\]
(iii) we have \( \text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\infty}}(\text{Fun}(\Delta_\infty^{op}, \mathcal{V}), S)) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\infty}, X}(S). \)

By Proposition 1.4.8 we can identify \( \text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\infty}, X}(S) \) with presheaves on \( \Delta^{\infty}_0, X \) satisfying condition (1) in Proposition 4.3.4.

Now let \( \mathcal{V} \) be a presentably symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category, and let \( \kappa \) be a regular cardinal as in Proposition 4.3.5. Let us write \( \text{Fun}^\mathcal{V}(\Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}) \) for the subcategory of \( \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}) \) consisting of presheaves \( F \) that satisfy conditions (2) and (3) in Corollary 4.3.6. This is a monoidal subcategory of \( \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}) \).

**Lemma 4.3.9.** \( \text{Fun}^\mathcal{V}(\Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Fun}(i\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{V}). \)

**Proof.** We have \( \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}). \) Under this equivalence the full subcategory \( \text{Fun}^\mathcal{V}(\Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}) \) consists of functors \( \Delta^{\infty}_0, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \) that take values in \( \mathcal{V} \simeq \text{Ind}_{\kappa} \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}) \) and are right Kan extended from \( i\mathcal{F}_X \simeq i\mathcal{F}_X \).

Interpreting Corollary 4.3.8 under this equivalence, we have the following:

**Theorem 4.3.10.** Let \( \mathcal{V} \) be a presentably symmetric monoidal \( \infty \)-category. The \( \infty \)-category \( \text{Fun}(i\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{V}) \) has a monoidal structure such that

(i) the tensor product of \( F \) and \( G \) is given by

\[
(F \circ G)(n, (x_i), z) \simeq \text{colim}_{(m \to m \to 1, (x_i), (y), z) / \Delta(n)} \left( \bigotimes_{m \in [i]} F(n, (x_k)_{k \in m}, z_i) \right) \otimes G(m, (z_j)y),
\]

(ii) the unit \( 1 \) is given by

\[
1(n, (x_i), z) \simeq \begin{cases} 0, & n \neq 1, \\ \Omega_{x_1, y} x \otimes 1, & n \simeq 1, 
\end{cases}
\]

(iii) we have \( \text{Alg}_{\Delta^{\infty}}(\text{Fun}(i\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{V})) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{CtsSeg}}(\Delta^{\infty}_X). \)
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