On hyperbolic cobordisms and Hurwitz classes of holomorphic coverings

Carlos Cabrera, Peter Makienko and Guillermo Sienra.

October 4, 2018

Abstract

In this article we show that for every even collection \( C \) of polynomials of the same degree \( d > 2 \), in general position, there exist two finite volume hyperbolic 3- orbifolds \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) and a Möbius morphism \( \alpha : M_1 \to M_2 \) which generates on the boundary a collection of maps \( Q \) in the conformal Hurwitz class \( HC(C) \) of the initial collection. Also, we discuss the relation of conformal Hurwitz classes of rational maps and classes of continuous isomorphisms of sandwich products on the set of rational maps.

1 introduction

Start with a pair of Kleinian groups \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) such that \( \Gamma_1 \) is a subgroup of finite index in \( \Gamma_2 \). Then the inclusion map generates a Möbius morphism, with respect to the hyperbolic 3-dimensional orbifolds \( M(\Gamma_1) \) and \( M(\Gamma_2) \) which is a finite degree (orbifold) covering. Then \( f : \partial M(\Gamma_1) \to \partial M(\Gamma_2) \) forms a collection of finite degree holomorphic (orbifold) coverings between Riemann surfaces (orbifolds). In this situation, we say that this collection of holomorphic coverings forms a hyperbolic cobordism.

Cobordism theory has been studied widely since it was introduced by H. Poincaré in the context of homology theory. Also Thom studied cobordism of embeddings, since then, there is an interest in cobordism of functions, like maps with stable singularities. Cobordisms can be endowed with geometric structures like symplectic structures, flat connections or complex structures. With this point of view we avoid the cohomological language and will be interested in the following inverse problem:

Given a collection \( Q \) of holomorphic finitely degree (orbifold) coverings, does there exists a pair of Kleinian groups and a Möbius morphism \( f \) which is conformally equivalent to the collection \( Q \)?

The motivation to this question is the relational dictionary between rational maps and Kleinian groups in 3-dimensional sense. For this reason, the collection
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of maps will be often taken to be rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere. The main results of this article are Theorems A and B (see below). Which are proven in Sections 2, 3 and 4.

In the last section we algebraically characterize when two rational maps define the same conformal Hurwitz class. Also we briefly remark that the Hurwitz class of a rational map $R$ can be presented as a space of quasiconformal deformation of a semigroup of holomorphic correspondences and discuss the related questions.

From now on our surfaces are compact hyperbolic surfaces with finitely many punctures which accept a hyperbolic (orbifold) structure of finite type. However, some of our results can be extended to the case of infinite type. We will denote by $B$ the Poincaré model of the hyperbolic 3-space, that is, the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$ equipped with the Poincaré metric and, by $\Omega(\Gamma)$ the discontinuity region of a Kleinian group $\Gamma$ acting on the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is identified with the boundary of $B$ and $\Delta$ the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. Also $S(\Gamma) = \Omega(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ and $M(\Gamma) = (B \sqcup \Omega(\Gamma))/\Gamma$.

We start with the following definition.

**Definition.** A branched covering of finite degree $d$ is a triplet $(R, S, S')$ where $S$ and $S'$ are finite collections of Riemann surfaces and $R : S \to S'$ is a continuous surjective mapping so that

1. If $Y \subset S$ is a component, then $Z = R(Y) \subset S'$ is also a component and the restriction $R : Y \to Z$ is a degree $d_Y \leq d$ branched covering map.

2. There is a component $\tilde{Y}$ such that $d_{\tilde{Y}} = d$.

We say that a branched covering $R$ is simple whenever the number of components of $S$ coincides with the number of components of $S'$. If $R$ is simple and $S$ is connected then we say that $R$ is a single branched covering.

Branched coverings between Riemann surfaces have been studied widely in the literature. As mentioned above the basic examples that will be discussed in this article are

1. Rational maps, these are single branched self covering of the sphere.

2. If $\Gamma$ is a Kleinian group and $K < \Gamma$ is a subgroup with $\Omega(K) = \Omega(\Gamma)$ then the natural projection $R : S(K) \to S(\Gamma)$ is a holomorphic branched covering map. It is known that $\Omega(K) = \Omega(\Gamma)$ whenever $K$ is either normal or a subgroup of finite index. However, from these conditions it follows the existence of groups $K$ with $\Omega(K) = \Omega(\Gamma)$ not normal and of infinite index in $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma$ and $K$ are finitely generated and the limit set of $\Gamma$ is not a subset of a round circle then, by Ahlfors finiteness theorem, $K$ has necessarily finite index in $\Gamma$. When $\Omega(K) \neq \Omega(\Gamma)$ then, in general, the inclusion map does not induces a holomorphic covering on the boundary.
Any branched covering can be regarded as a collection of single coverings, we call each of them a *single component* of the branched covering. We say that a branched covering \( \mathcal{R} \) is a holomorphic covering whenever every single component is a holomorphic (orbifold) unbranched covering between hyperbolic surfaces (orbifolds).

Given a holomorphic covering \((\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')\), we can improve this covering to a simple covering in the following way: if \( Y \) and \( X \) are components of \( \mathcal{S} \) so that \( \mathcal{R}(Y) = \mathcal{R}(X) = Z \subset \mathcal{S}' \) consider a conformal copy \( Z' \) of \( Z \) and let \( \mathcal{R}': X \to Z' \) be the respective holomorphic covering, then the holomorphic covering \( X \sqcup Y \to \mathcal{R}'(X) \sqcup \mathcal{R}(Y) \) is simple. By induction we construct a simple holomorphic covering \((\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')\) such that for every single component \( \mathcal{R}: X \to Z \) of \((\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')\) there exists a single component \( \mathcal{Q}: X' \to Z' \) of \((\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')\) and two conformal homeomorphisms \( \phi': Z \to Z' \) and \( \psi: X \to X' \) so that \( \phi' \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{Q} \circ \psi' \).

This leads to following definition.

**Definition.** Let \( f: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}' \) be a branched covering, the **Hurwitz class** \( H(f) \) of \( f \) consists of the triples \((g, N, N') \) such that \( g: N \to N' \) is a branched covering and there are orientation preserving homeomorphisms \( \varphi: \mathcal{S} \to N \) and \( \psi: \mathcal{S}' \to N' \) such that \( \varphi \circ f = g \circ \psi \).

If \( f: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}' \) is a single branched covering then \( H(f) \) coincides with the classical Hurwitz space of \( f \). If \( f \) is a simple branched covering, then

\[
H(f) = \bigotimes_Y H(f, Y, f(Y))
\]

where the direct product is taken over the connected components \( Y \) of \( \mathcal{S} \).

For \( f \) holomorphic, the set \( CH(f) = \{(g, N, N') \in H(f), \varphi, \psi \text{ conformal}\} \) is called the **conformal Hurwitz class** of the holomorphic covering \( f \).

For example, if \( f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) is a finite degree branched covering then \( H(f) \) contains a rational map of the same degree. When \( f \) is a rational map the set \( H(f) \cap \text{Rat}(\mathbb{C}) = S(f) \) is known as the **Speisser class** of \( f \) and was introduced in holomorphic dynamics by A. Eremenko and M. Lyubich in [4]. Note that, by Teichmüller’s Theorem, for a holomorphic finite degree \( f \), if \( g \in H(f) \) is holomorphic, then the associated homeomorphisms \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) can be taken to be quasiconformal. In general, this is not true. Even is not clear whether \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) can be taken to be local quasiconformal maps for an infinite degree holomorphic map \( f \). A plausible counterexample is an entire map \( f \) with positive Lebesgue set of singularities of \( f^{-1} \).

The **hyperbolic cobordism** between two holomorphic coverings \((\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}'_1)\) and \((\mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}'_2)\) is the triple \((\mathcal{R}, M, M')\) so that:

1. There are geometrically finite Kleinian groups \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \) such that

\[
M = M(\Gamma) = (B \bigcup \Omega(\Gamma))/\Gamma
\]

and

\[
M' = M(\Gamma') = (B \bigcup \Omega(\Gamma'))/\Gamma'.
\]
Hence, $M(\Gamma)$ and $M(\Gamma')$ are hyperbolic 3-orbifolds with natural projections $\pi : B \cup \Omega(\Gamma) \to M(\Gamma)$, and $\pi' : B \cup \Omega(\Gamma) \to M'$. The map $\Re : M \to M'$ is a surjective M"obius morphism, that is, there exists a M"obius map $\alpha$ making the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
B \cup \Omega(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \cup \Omega(\Gamma') \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\
M & \xrightarrow{\Re} & M'
\end{array}
$$

2. The boundary $\partial M$ is conformally equivalent to $\bigsqcup S_i$ and $\partial M'$ conformally equivalent to $\bigsqcup S'_i$, so that

$$(\partial M, \partial M', \Re|_{\partial M}) \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^2 HC(S_i, S'_i, R_i).$$

Hence $\Re$ is a local isometry between the respective hyperbolic metrics on $M(\Gamma)$ and $M(\Gamma')$ induced by the Kleinian groups $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'$, respectively.

Given two holomorphic coverings $R_1$ and $R_2$, if there exists a hyperbolic cobordisms between $R_1$ and $R_2$, we will say that $R_1 \sqcup R_2$ forms a cobordant family of holomorphic coverings, or that $R_1$ is hyperbolically cobordant to $R_2$.

Given a finite degree holomorphic branched covering $R : M \to N$, between Riemann surfaces $M$ and $N$, there are many ways to transform $R$ into a holomorphic covering between hyperbolic orbifold structures supported on $M$ and $N$. We consider the simplest construction depending on the ramification data of $R$ and a finite subset $A \subset N$ as follows: first we restrict $R$ to $R : \{S = M \setminus R^{-1}(A)\} \to \{S' = N \setminus A\}$. Secondly, using the ramification data of $R$ we produce orbifold structures on $S$ and $S'$ so that $R$ is a holomorphic (orbifold) covering between hyperbolic orbifold structures supported on $S$ and $S'$, respectively. In particular, if $A = \emptyset$, then the canonical orbifold structure on $M$ and $N$ defined by the ramification data of $R$ must be hyperbolic. For instance, in the case where $R(z) = z^n$, the set $A \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{card}(A \setminus \{0, \infty\}) \geq 1$ if $\{0, \infty\} \in A$ and $\text{card}(A) > 2$ otherwise.

If $A = V(R)$ is the set of critical values, and $S$ and $S'$ are hyperbolic surfaces then the triple $(R, S, S')$ is called the canonical holomorphic representative of the holomorphic branched covering $R : M \to N$.

Examples.

1. The null cobordism in the situation where $S$ and $S'$ are connected is related to the extension of a single holomorphic covering to the respective the 3-hyperbolic spaces has been studied in [3] with applications to holomorphic dynamical systems. In particular, in [3] it was constructed a geometric extension for generic rational maps. Indeed, the present article develops the geometrical part of [3] in the situation of a collection of holomorphic coverings.
2. The trivial cobordisms cases. Consider the identity maps $Id_i : S_i \to S_i$, where $S_i$ is a Riemann surface for $i = 1, 2$. Then the existence of a cobordism between $Id_1$ and $Id_2$ reduces to the existence of a hyperbolic manifold with boundary conformally equivalent to $S_1 \sqcup S_2$. Then we have:

- If $S_1$ is anti-conformally equivalent to $S_2$, then by the Bers’s simultaneous uniformization theorem there exists a Fuchsian group uniformizing the surfaces $S_1$ and $S_2$, so that $S_1 \sqcup S_2$ is conformally equivalent to the boundary of a hyperbolic 3-manifold.
- For any hyperbolic surface $S_1$ with a finite number of components, there exists a connected surface $S_2$ such that $S_1 \sqcup S_2$ can be uniformized by a functional, geometrically finite group, according to the Klein-Maskit combination theorems, so $S_1 \sqcup S_2$ bounds a hyperbolic 3-orbifold. This observation will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.
- Whenever $S$ is a compact hyperbolic closed connected Riemann surface with an even number of cusps there exists a Schottky type group uniformizing $S$ so that $S$ is conformally equivalent to the boundary of a 3-hyperbolic manifold.

**Connected transitivity.** Given three single holomorphic coverings $R_1$, $R_2$, and $R_3$; such that the pairs $(R_1, R_2)$ and $(R_2, R_3)$ are each hyperbolically cobordant. Assume that the canonical homomorphisms $\pi_1(S_i) \to \pi_1(M_i)$ and $\pi_1(S'_i) \to \pi_1(M'_i)$ are injective, then $R_1$ is hyperbolically cobordant to $R_3$. In fact, this follows from the Thurston’s hyperbolization theorem.

In general, without the connectivity and injective assumptions, it is not true that the topological cobordism between $R_1$ and $R_3$ is hyperbolic. For instance, consider a geometrically finite hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$ which accepts an essentially embedded annulus $A$. Let $S_1$ and $S_2$, be not necessarily different components of $\partial M$, which contains the boundary $\partial A$. Let $N$ be a copy of $M$ and $V = M \sqcup S_1 \sqcup S_2 \ N$ which is obtained by gluing $N$ and $M$ along $S_1 \sqcup S_2$, then $V$ does not accept a hyperbolic metric since contains a torus not homotopic to the ideal boundary of $V$.

This indicates that there might be obstacles to the existence of a hyperbolic cobordism between multiple coverings. Now we formulate our first main theorem.

**Theorem 1 (Theorem A).** Given simple holomorphic coverings $F_1$, then there exists another holomorphic covering $F_2$ such that $F_1 \sqcup F_2$ forms a family of cobordant holomorphic coverings.

Moreover, if $F_1$ has a single component $R_0$ with degree $\deg(R_0) > 1$, then the $F_2$ given in Theorem 1 contains only one single component, say $Q_0$, with degree bigger than 1. The degree of $\deg Q_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \deg(R_i) - n$ where $R_i$ are single components and $n + 1$ is the number of single components of $F_1$.

We need the following definition.
Definition. A holomorphic covering \( Q : S \to S' \) is called an anticonformal copy of the holomorphic covering map \( R : T \to W \) if there are anticonformal homeomorphisms \( \alpha : S \to T \) and \( \beta : S' \to W \) so that \( \beta \circ Q = R \circ \alpha \). Given a holomorphic covering \( R \), we call the Hurwitz class \( H(R) \) symmetric if and only if \( H(R) \) contains an anticonformal copy of an element \( g \in H(R) \). Finally, we say that a holomorphic covering is symmetric, if its Hurwitz class is symmetric.

Let us note that if \( f : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \to \bar{\mathbb{C}} \) is a branched covering, then \( H(f) \) is symmetric whenever \( H(f) \) contains a real rational map, that is, all coefficients are real. In particular, if \( B \) is a Blaschke map, then \( H(B) \) is symmetric. Moreover, if \( \bigsqcup_B H(B) \) is the union of all Hurwitz classes of Blaschke maps and \( g \in \bigsqcup_B H(B) \) then \( H(g) \) is symmetric. Also for every natural number \( d \), by the Uniqueness Theorem 3.4 in [1], the set \( \bigsqcup_B H(B) \cap \text{Rat}_d(\mathbb{C}) \) is connected and contains an open and everywhere dense subset of the space of all branched self-coverings of degree \( d \) of \( \bar{\mathbb{C}} \). Here \( \text{Rat}_d(\mathbb{C}) \) denotes the set of rational maps of degree \( d \), In general it is not clear that the Hurwitz class of any finite degree branched covering between closed Riemann surfaces is symmetric. But we believe that is true for Hurwitz classes of rational maps.

Definition. Two cobordant holomorphic coverings \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are called simply cobordant if and only if \( M \) and \( M' \) are homeomorphic to \( S_1 \times [0, 1] \) and \( S'_1 \times [0, 1] \).

Theorem 2. Two symmetric holomorphic coverings \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) belong to the same Hurwitz class if and only if \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are simply cobordant.

Theorem A shows that any finite family of coverings can be included in a family of cobordant coverings which is non simple and includes single univalent components on the boundary. Theorem 2 gives a condition for when a pair of holomorphic coverings of the Riemann sphere is cobordant.

With Theorem 2 we improve Theorem 1 in the following Theorem 3. Recall that a holomorphic polynomial map \( P : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) of degree \( d > 1 \) is in general position if there are exactly \( d - 1 \) finite critical values \( V(P) \). Note that two polynomial maps in general position belong to the same Hurwitz class if and only if these polynomials have the same degree. Also, every polynomial in general position is symmetric.

Theorem 3 (Theorem B). The canonical holomorphic representatives of any even collection of polynomials in general position of the same degree \( d > 2 \) form a hyperbolic cobordism.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank M. Kapovich for pointing out the ideas in Brooks’s deformation theorem which simplified a previous version of the proof of Theorem 3.
2 Proof of Theorem A.

2.1 Connected sums of single coverings

Now we reproduce a topological operation between branched coverings which is called "the connected sum" of coverings. We consider the connected sum of the target surfaces and a pull-back with respect to the branched coverings. Note that there are different ways to do a pull-back. We choose the simplest as follows.

Start with two single finite degree branched coverings $R_1 : S \to S'$ and $R_2 : T \to T'$. We are going to construct $R_0 = R_1 \# R_2$ a connected sum of branched covering maps $R_1$ and $R_2$ to be a branched covering between two surfaces $U$ and $W$ such that $\deg(R_0) = \deg(R_1) + \deg(R_2) - 1$ and $W = T' \# S'$ which is constructed with respect to topological disks $s \subset S'$ and $t \subset T'$ not containing the branched points (critical values) of the branched coverings $R_1$ and $R_2$, respectively.

**Topological construction of $U$.** Set $\deg(R_1) = n$ and $\deg(R_2) = m$. Let $S'' = S' \setminus s$ and $T'' = T' \setminus t$ and $h : \partial s \to \partial t$ be a gluing homeomorphism. Let $S_0 = R_1^{-1}(S'') \subset S$ and $T_0 = R_2^{-1}(T'') \subset T$. Let us fix the following system of curves and homeomorphism.

1. Mark by $\alpha_0$ a component of $\partial S_0$ and $\beta_0$ a component of $\partial T_0$. Take a homeomorphism $\phi_0 : \alpha_0 \to \beta_0$ such that $R_2 \circ \phi_0 = h \circ R_1$.

2. If $\gamma$ is another component of either $\partial S_0$ or $\partial T_0$, and different from $\alpha_0$ and $\beta_0$, then we fix a homeomorphism $\phi_\gamma = h \circ R_1 |_\gamma$ or $\phi_\gamma = h^{-1} \circ R_2 |_\gamma$, respectively. For $i = 1, ..., m - 1$ let $\{S_i\}$ be $m - 1$ copies of $S''$ and for $j = 1, ..., n - 1$ let $\{T_i\}$ be a family of $n - 1$ copies of $T''$. Then

$$U = S_0 \sqcup T_0 \sqcup \{\sqcup S_i\} \sqcup \{\sqcup T_i\} / \sim$$

where the quotient is taken according to the systems of homeomorphisms.

More precisely, the homeomorphism $\phi_0$ identifies $\alpha_0$ with $\beta_0$, and the map $\phi_\gamma$ identifies the component $\gamma$, which is either in $\partial S_0$ or in $\partial T_0$, with the respective copies of $T''$ or $S''$. In such a way that $U$ is a connected surface and there exists a branched covering $R_1 \# R_2 : U \to W$ so that

$$R_1 \# R_2 |_{S_0} = R_1 : S_0 \to S''$$

and $R_1 \# R_2 |_{T_0} = R_2 : T_0 \to T''$.

Then, we have that \(\text{genus}(U) = m \times \text{genus}(S) + n \times \text{genus}(T)\). Moreover, punctures and holes satisfy the same equation as the genus.

If $R_1$ and $R_2$ are holomorphic branched coverings between Riemann surfaces, then by taking a conformal gluing in the construction of $U$ we can assume that $R_0 : U \to W$ is a holomorphic branched covering. In other words, the Hurwitz class of a topological connected sum between surfaces contains a holomorphic branched covering.

Hence any branched covering of the Riemann sphere, in general position and degree $d$, can be presented as the connected sum of $d - 1$ copies of $z^2$. 
Example. Take $R_1(z) = z^2$ and $R_2(z) = z^3$, so $n = 2$ and $m = 3$, then $R_1 \# R_2$ is a degree 4 self-covering of a topological sphere.

After deleting the branching values and their preimages, for the respective maps, we have that $W$ is the 4-pointed sphere and $V$ is the 10-pointed sphere and every element $Q \in H(R_1 \# R_2) \cap \text{Rat}$ is a holomorphic covering with $\Gamma' \ast G'$ isomorphic to the free group with 3 generators and $H$ is the free group with 9 generators.

The Figure illustrates another example, in this case, it shows the connected sum of rational maps in general position of degree 3 and 4.

Figure 1: The connected sum of rational maps $R_1$ and $R_2$, of degree 3 and 4 respectively. The dots depict the respective critical values below and their preimages above.

2.2 Pinching.

We can recover via a geometric procedure known as pinching the factors of a connected sum $R_1 \# R_2$. Let us note that $S_i(R_1 \# R_2)$ are hyperbolic surfaces whenever $\text{deg}(R_1), \text{deg}(R_2) \geq 2$. In this case, $R_1 \# R_2 : U \to W$ is a hyperbolic (orbifold) covering map. Let $G, \Gamma_2$ be the Fuchsian groups uniformizing the surfaces $U$ and $W$ in the unit disk, respectively. Let $\pi_U, \pi_W : \Delta \to U, W$ be the respective uniformizing projections, then there exists $\alpha$ a Möbius automorphism of $\Delta$ satisfying $R_1 \# R_2 \circ \pi_U = \pi_W \circ \alpha$ and such that the subgroup $\Gamma_1 := \alpha G \alpha^{-1} \subset \Gamma_2$ is of finite index. This construction depends on the conjugacy class of $\Gamma_1$. A different choice of $\alpha$ induces a conjugated group of $\Gamma_1$ which corresponds to the left action of conformal automorphisms on $U$. We will say that the fixed pair of groups $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma_2$ and the inclusion map uniformizes $R_1 \# R_2$.

On the other hand, the pair $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma_2$ on $\Delta^* = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$ defines an orbifold covering map $Q : \overline{U} \to \overline{W}$, where $\overline{U} = \Delta^*/\Gamma_1$ and $\overline{W} = \Delta^*/\Gamma_2$ are the anti-conformal copies of $U$ and $W$ respectively, and such that $Q$ is an anticonformal copy of $R_1 \# R_2 : U \to W$.

Now we shortly describe the standard pinching process we want to apply in our situation.
Let $C$ be the unique simple closed geodesic in the isotopy class of $[\alpha, \beta] \subset W$, then by the collar lemma there exists an annulus $A(C)$ around $C$ so that in suitable coordinates $A(C) = \{ r < |z| < 1/r, 0 \neq r < 1 \}$ and $C = \{ z : |z| = 1 \}$. Let us consider the map $F(z) = z^2 \bar{z}$. Note that $F^n(z)$ is a quasiconformal map for all $n = 1, 2, ..., \infty$ with $\| \frac{\partial F^n}{\partial z} \|_{\infty} = K_n < 1$ and $K_n \to 1$. Put $A_n = F^{-n}(A) \subset A_{n-1}$ and

Now define

$$\nu_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } A \setminus A_1 \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} & \text{on } A_1 \setminus A_2 \\ \vdots & \\ \frac{\partial F^{j-1}}{\partial z} & \text{on } A_{j-1} \setminus A_j \\ \frac{\partial F^j}{\partial z} & \text{on } A_j \end{cases}$$

on $A_j$. Now define

$$\tilde{\nu}_j(t) = \begin{cases} \nu_j(\pi_W(t)) \frac{\pi_W'(t)}{\pi_W'(t)} & \text{on } \Delta \\ 0 & \text{on } \Delta^* \end{cases}$$

If $f_k$ is a quasiconformal automorphism of the Riemann sphere with $\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial z} = \tilde{\nu}_k$, then $\Gamma_{2,k} = f_k \circ \Gamma_2 \circ f_k^{-1}$ is a quasifuchsian group and by the Bers’s slice compactness theorem and Bers’s augmentation theorem we can choose a subsequence of the groups $\Gamma_{2,k}$ so that the limit $\Gamma_{2,\infty} \cong \Gamma_2$ is a Kleinian group with a completely invariant component of the discontinuity set so that $S(\Gamma_{2,\infty})$ is conformally equivalent to

$$S' \setminus \{ x \} \sqcup T' \setminus \{ y \} \sqcup \overline{W}$$

where $x$ and $y$ are chosen points in $s$ and $t$, respectively. Indeed the perforations $x$ and $y$ are known as accidental cusps (accidental parabolics) which appear in pinching processes. Let $\Gamma_{1,\infty} \subset \Gamma_{2,\infty}$ be the respective limits of the groups $f_k \circ \Gamma_1 \circ f_k^{-1}$, then $\Gamma_{1,\infty} \cong \Gamma$ and $S(\Gamma_{1,\infty})$ is topologically equivalent to $(U \setminus [R_1 \# R_2]^{-1}(C)) \sqcup \overline{U}$. See Figure 2. In conclusion, $\Gamma_{1,\infty} \subset \Gamma_{2,\infty}$ induces a (orbifold) covering map $H : S(\Gamma_{1,\infty}) \to S(\Gamma_{2,\infty})$ so that $H|_{\overline{U}}$ is in the conformal Hurwitz class of $Q$ and among the restrictions to the other components of $S(\Gamma_{1,\infty})$ which are (orbifold) coverings there are only two which have degree bigger than one. The other restrictions are univalent.

The result of pinching the manifolds of the example depicted in Figure 1 is shown in Figure 2.

### 2.3 Klein-Maskit combinations and the connected sums of coverings

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1. First we will state the Klein-Maskit construction for Kleinian groups.

**Theorem 4.** [Klein-Maskit’s combination Theorem I [6].] For $i=1,2$, let $\Gamma_i$ be a Kleinian group with region of discontinuity $\Omega_i$ and a fundamental region $F_i$. 


Assume that there is a simple closed loop $\gamma$ contained in the interior of $F_1 \cap F_2$, bounding two discs $D_1$ and $D_2$ with $\overline{D_i} \subset \Omega_i$ and $g(\overline{D_i}) \cap \overline{D_i} = \emptyset$ for every $g \in \Gamma_i$, respectively. Then $\Gamma = \langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle$ is a Kleinian group, such that:

1. The group $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the free product $\Gamma_1 \ast \Gamma_2$ and if $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ are geometrically finite, then $\Gamma$ is so.

2. Let $S_i = K_i / \text{Stab}(K_i)$ be surfaces where $K_i \subset \Omega(\Gamma_i)$ are the components containing $\gamma$ and $\text{Stab}(K_i) \subset \Gamma_i$ are their respective stabilizers. Then $S(\Omega)$ is conformally equivalent to

$$(\overline{S(\Gamma_1)} \setminus S_1) \cup (\overline{S(\Gamma_2)} \setminus S_2) \cup S_1 \# S_2$$

**Proof of Theorem** First, begin with two single holomorphic covering maps $R_1 : S \to S'$ and $R_2 : T \to T'$ of degree $n$ and $m$ respectively, denote by $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'$ the respective Fuchsian uniformizing groups of $S$ and $S'$, and groups $G$ and $G'$ for the surfaces $T$ and $T'$ acting on the unit disk $\Delta$ so that $\Gamma < \Gamma'$ and $G < G'$ and the inclusion maps induces the covering $R_1$ and $R_2$, respectively. If $\Delta^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$, then inclusion maps $(\Gamma, \Delta^*) \to (\Gamma', \Delta^*)$ and $(G, \Delta^*) \to (G', \Delta^*)$ define anticonformal copies of $R_1$ and $R_2$ respectively. Denote by $Q_1 : S \to S'$ and $Q_2 : T \to T'$ these anticonformal copies. We have that $|\Gamma'| = n$ and $|G' : G| = m$, following the construction of previous section we construct a covering map of degree $n + m - 1$, $R_0 := Q_1 \# Q_2 : U \to W = S' \# T'$.

**Group construction of $U$.** We follow the topological construction above, with the Maskit combination theorem. Let $\tau(z) = 1/\bar{z}$ be the reflection and fix suitable round closed disks $D \subset \tau(F(\Gamma))$ and $\bar{D} \subset \tau(F(G))$, where $F(\Gamma)$ and
$F(G)$ are fundamental regions for the actions of $\Gamma$ and $G$ in $\Delta$, respectively. Now let $h \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ be an element so that $h(\partial D) = \partial D$ and $h(int(D)) = ext(D)$. Then the pair of groups $\Gamma'$ and $h^{-1} \circ G' \circ h$ and the disks $D_1 = D$ and $D_2 = \mathbb{C} \setminus D_1$ satisfy the conditions of the Klein-Maskit combination theorem. Since $h^{-1} \circ G' \circ h$ is a Möbius copy of $G'$ we can assume, by taking suitable Möbius copies, that the groups $\Gamma'$ and $G'$ already satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4. By Theorem 4 the orbit space $S((\Gamma', G'))$ is conformally equivalent to $S' \sqcup T' \sqcup \widetilde{S}' \# T'$.

Consider elements $\{e, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n\} \subset \Gamma'$ and $\{e, g_2, \ldots, g_m\} \subset G'$ such that $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cup \ldots \cup \sigma_n \Gamma$ and $G' = G \cup \ldots \cup g_m G$. Let $\Gamma_i = g_i \circ \Gamma' \circ g^{-1}_i$ be $m - 1$ copies of $G$ and $G_j = \sigma \circ G' \circ \sigma^{-1}_j$ be $n - 1$ copies of $G$. Then the group $H = \langle \Gamma, G, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_m, G_2, \ldots, G_m \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(U)$ has finite index in $\Gamma' \ast G'$ and, by the Klein-Maskit theorem, is isomorphic to

$$\Gamma \ast G \ast \prod_{i=2}^{m} \Gamma_i \ast \prod_{j=2}^{n} G_j$$

the inclusion of $H$ in $\Gamma' \ast G'$ induces a holomorphic (orbifold) covering

$$\hat{i} : S(H) \to S((\Gamma', G'))$$

such that there exist three surfaces $S_1$, $S_2$ and $S_3$ in $S(H)$ where $\text{deg}(\hat{i}|_{S_j}) > 1$, the space $S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup S_3$ is conformally equivalent to $S \sqcup T \sqcup U$ and $\hat{i}|_{S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup S_3}$ is conformally equivalent to $S' \sqcup T' \sqcup W$. Moreover, the map $\hat{i}$ belongs to $CH(R_1, R_2, Q_1 \# Q_2) = CH(R_1) \sqcup CH(R_2) \sqcup CH(Q_1 \# Q_2)$. If $O \subset S(H) \setminus \{S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup S_3\}$, then $\hat{i} := O \to \hat{i}(O)$ is an univalent holomorphic surjective map, even more $\hat{i}(O)$ is either $S'$ or $T'$. So $\hat{i}$ is a non simple holomorphic covering containing single univalent components.

Then the inclusion map $H \subset \Gamma' \ast G'$ induces the Möbius morphism $\hat{H} : M(H) \to M(\Gamma' \ast G')$ which defines a hyperbolic cobordism between the collections $R_1 : S \to S'$, $R_2 : T \to T'$, $Q_1 \# Q_2 : U \to W$ and single univalent components.

For the general case with three or more coverings $R_i : S_i \to S_i'$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we proceed inductively. This finishes the proof.

Now what can we say about non simple holomorphic coverings, we start with the following examples of uniformizable non simple holomorphic coverings.

1. Let $\Gamma$ be a geometrically finite Fuchsian group such that $\gamma \circ \Gamma \circ \gamma^{-1} = \Gamma$ for $\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{z}$. Then $G = (\Gamma, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to an HNN-extension of $\Gamma$ and is a geometrically finite Kleinian group. So that $M(G) = (\Omega(G) \cup B)/G$ is a finite volume hyperbolic orbifold with connected boundary which is a hyperbolic orbifold conformally equivalent to $\Delta/\Gamma$. More, the inclusion $\Gamma \subset G$ induces a degree 2 branched covering $\pi : M(\Gamma) \to M(G)$ so that $\pi(\partial M(\Gamma)) = \partial M(G)$ and for any component $S \in \partial M(\Gamma)$ the restriction $\pi|_S : S \to \partial M(G)$ is a conformal equivalence. Now let $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ be a subgroup of index $d$. Then the inclusion $\Gamma_0$ in $\Gamma$ induces a branched covering map $p : M(\Gamma_0) \to M(\Gamma)$ of degree $d$, so that for any component
Given two primitive holomorphic coverings \((R_1, S_1, S_1')\) and \((R_2, S_2, S_2')\), we construct a connected sum \(R_1 \# R_2\), in a similar but slightly different way as in Subsection 2.1 as follows: for \(i = 1, 2\) fix two open topological disks \(t_1 \subset S_1'\), not containing branching points of \(R_i\), respectively, together with a homeomorphism \(\phi : \partial t_1 \to \partial t_2\). Let \(g_1\) be a single component of \(R_1\), then the map \(\phi \circ g_1\) defines a family of homeomorphisms from the components of \(g_1^{-1}(\partial t_1)\) to \(\partial t_2\). Let \(S_2'' = S_2' \setminus t_2\) and we glue the copies of \(S_2''\) to the surface \(g_1^{-1}(S_1' \setminus t_1)\) along the family of homeomorphisms \(\phi \circ g_1\) on \(g_1^{-1}(\partial t_1)\). Using induction with respect to all single components of \(R_1\) we construct a non simple holomorphic covering \((\tilde{R}_1, T, T')\) with \(T' = S_1' \# S_2''\). Now repeat the process for a single component \(g_2\) of \(R_2\) to get a non simple holomorphic covering \((\tilde{R}_2, W, W')\) with \(W' = S_1' \# S_2''\) and finally we put \(R_1 \# R_2 = (\tilde{R}_1, T, T') \sqcup (\tilde{R}_2, W, W')\) which is a primitive non simple holomorphic covering.

Let us note that the case of holomorphic coverings over a surface of genus zero is special in the following sense: let \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) be non simple holomorphic coverings onto the Riemann sphere with finitely many points removed. If \(g\) is a single component of \(R_1\) then the induced single component \(f\) of \(R_1 \# R_2\) belongs to \(\hat{H}(g)\) up to forgetting additional perforations on the source and the target surfaces. We call such primitive covering a \textit{primitive covering of genus zero}. This observation leads to the following lemma.

\textbf{Lemma 5.} Let \(R_1 : S_1 \to S_1'\) and \(R_2 : S_2 \to S_2'\) be holomorphic coverings over \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) which are Riemann spheres with finitely many points removed. Then we can choose for \(i = 1, 2\) disks \(t_i \subset S_i'\) and a gluing map \(\phi : \partial t_1 \to \partial t_2\) such that \(p_1 \circ \phi\) is an orbifold covering map of degree \(d\). Then \(p_2 \circ \phi\) is a non simple holomorphic covering of degree \(d\).
that every single component of $R_1 \# R_2$ belongs to either $HC(R_1)$ or $HC(R_2)$ up to forgetting additional perforations.

**Proof.** Let $t \subset \bar{C}$ be a round open disk such that $t \subset S_1'$ and not containing branching points of $R_1$. Let $X \subset \bar{C}$ be the set $\bar{C} \setminus (S_2' \cup V(R_2))$, where $V(R_2)$ is the set of branching points of $R_2$, and $\gamma \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is so that $\gamma(X) \subset t$. Then for the coverings $R_1$ and $\gamma \circ R_2$ we choose $t_1 = t$ and $t_2 = \bar{C} \setminus t$. Taking $\phi = Id$ on $\partial t$ finishes the proof of the lemma.

Another application of Klein-Maskit combination theorem allow us to improve Theorem 1 as follows.

**Theorem 6.** Let $R_1$ and $R_2$ be two primitive uniformizable genus zero holomorphic coverings then there exists a primitive uniformizable genus zero holomorphic covering $Q$ so that for any single component $q$ of $Q$ there exist $r$, which is either a single component of $R_1$ or a single component of $R_2$, so that $q \in HC(r)$ up to forgetting additional perforations.

**Proof.** Let $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ be web-groups uniformizing $R_1$ and $R_2$. As in Theorem 1 we apply the Klein-Maskit combination theorem to $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ and construct a finite index subgroup of $\Gamma_1 \ast \Gamma_2$ compatible to the connected sum $R_1 \# R_2$. 

**Remark 1.** The Klein-Maskit theorems are generalized to Kleinian groups in higher dimensions, so our Theorem 1 generalizes as well.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 is a direct application of the Bers’s simultaneous uniformization theorem, which we reproduce for convenience of the reader.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Assume that $R_1$ and $R_2$ are two symmetric holomorphic coverings forming a simple hyperbolic cobordism, then the respective geometrically finite Kleinian groups $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ are quasifuchsian. We can assume that $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma_2$. Since every quasifuchsian group is quasiconformally equivalent to a Fuchsian group then $\Gamma_2$ admits an orientation reversing quasiconformal involution $\tau : \bar{C} \to \bar{C}$ commuting with $\Gamma_2$, interchanging components of $\Omega(\Gamma_2)$ and which is the identity on the limit set $\Lambda(\Gamma_2)$. Hence, $\tau$ commutes with $\Gamma_1$. Since $R_1$ and $R_2$ are symmetric, then $R_1$ and $R_2$ belong to the same Hurwitz class.

Assume that two symmetric holomorphic coverings $R_1$ and $R_2$ belong to the same Hurwitz class then we can construct cobordisms between $R_1$ and an anticonformal copy of $R_1$, and a cobordisms between $R_2$ and an anticonformal copy of $R_2$. The homeomorphisms $\phi$ and $\psi$ relating $R_1$ with $R_2$ allows us to glue the given cobordisms along the anticonformal copies to get a cobordism between $R_1$ and $R_2$. 

We believe the following example is interesting.
Let us consider the convex combination between the simplest rational maps

\[ f_t(z) = (1 - t)z^2 + tz^3 \]

for \( t \in I = [0, 1] \). Then \( f_t \) defines a rational endomorphism \( F \) of 3-manifolds \( X = \mathbb{C} \times I \) by the formula

\[ F(z, t) = (f_t(z), t). \]

Then

i) The map \( F \) is not a branched self-covering of \( X \).

ii) Let \( X_{t_1, t_2} = \mathbb{C} \times [t_1, t_2] \), then the restriction of \( F \) to \( X_{t_1, t_2} \) is a branched self-covering of \( X_{t_1, t_2} \) for \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1 \).

iii) If \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1 \), then for \( t_1 < t < t_2 \) the real polynomial \( f_t \) is in general position and sets of the critical values \( \{v_1\}, \{v_2\} \) of \( F \) in \( X_{t_1, t_2} \) forms two embedded arcs connecting the boundaries of \( X_{t_1, t_2} \). Moreover, for each \( i \) the set \( F^{-1}(v_i) \) consists of two curves \( \alpha_{i,j} \) with \( j = 1, 2 \) one is mapped onto the image by \( F \), homeomorphically, while the other consists of critical points. Let \( M = X_{t_1, t_2} \setminus (v_1 \cup v_2) \) and \( M = F^{-1}(M') \subset X_{t_1, t_2} \) be two three manifolds, then \( F : M \to M' \) is a covering. The manifold \( M \) is homeomorphic to the product of the five punctured sphere times a closed interval and the manifold \( M' \) is homeomorphic to the three punctured sphere times a closed interval. Since \( f_t \) are symmetric maps and \( f_{t_2} \in H(f_{t_1}) \), then by Theorem 2 the maps \( f_{t_1} \) and \( f_{t_2} \) are simply cobordant, then on \( M \) and \( M' \) there are hyperbolic structures depending on the extremes \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) such that \( F \) is a Möbius morphism on \( M \). This means that \( X_{t_1, t_2} \) for \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1 \) we can define two hyperbolic 3-dimensional orbifold structures on which the map \( F \) is a Möbius morphism. Here, orbifold points with infinite angle are allowed and regarded as perforations.

iv) The endomorphism \( F : X \to X \) is a Hausdorff limit (this is a particular case of Gromov-Hausdorff limit) of Möbius morphisms \( F : X_{t_1, t_2} \to X_{t_1, t_2} \) for \( t_1 \to 0 \) and \( t_2 \to 1 \).

v) If \( t \neq 0, 1 \), then we can obtain two functions \( f_0 \) and \( f_1 \) from the family \( f_t \) using a pinching procedure with respect to peripheral curves. For convenience of the reader we sketch this procedure when \( f_1(z) = z^3 \). Fix a \( t \neq 0, 1 \) and a Jordan curve \( \gamma \subset \mathbb{C} \) so that \( \alpha = f_t^{-1}(\gamma) \) is a connected Jordan curve. Then the finite critical values belong to the interior of \( \gamma \). Let \( A(\gamma) \) be an annular neighborhood of \( \gamma \) so that \( A(\alpha) = f_t^{-1}(A(\gamma)) \) is an annular neighborhood of \( \alpha \) ad \( f_t : A(\alpha) \to A(\gamma) \) is a covering of degree 3. Let \( \nu_j \) be a sequence of Beltrami differentials supported in \( A(\gamma) \), as constructed in Section 2.1, and extended to \( \overline{\mathbb{C}} \) by zero outside \( A(\gamma) \). Let \( \mu_j(z) = \nu_j(f_t) \overline{f_t} \) be the pull-backs of \( \nu_j \) with respect to \( f_t \). Let \( \phi_j \) and \( \psi_j \) be solutions of the Beltrami equation for \( \nu_j \) and \( \mu_j \) respectively, with
\( \phi_j(0) = \psi_j(0) = 0, \phi_j(\infty) = \psi_j(\infty) = \infty, \) and \( \phi'_j(\infty) = \psi'_j(\infty) = 1, \) then \( p_j = \psi_j \circ f_t \circ \phi_{j}^{-1} \) are polynomials of degree 3. Moreover, \( \phi_j \) forms a family of univalent normalized holomorphic functions on a neighborhood of infinity \( U, \) then \( \psi_j \) also forms a holomorphic family on \( V = R^{-1}(U). \) After taking a suitable subsequence we can assume that \( \phi_j \to \phi_0 \) and \( \psi_j \to \psi_0 \) converge uniformly on compact sets of \( U \) and \( V, \) respectively. Moreover, \( \phi_0 \) and \( \psi_0 \) are non constant functions. Hence \( p_j \) converges to a degree 3 polynomial \( p_0 \) and, even more, \( p_0|_U = \phi_0 \circ f_t \circ \phi_{0}^{-1}|_U. \) We claim that \( p_0(z) = z^3, \) otherwise, \( p_0 \) has a critical value \( v_0 \neq 0, \infty. \) Thus \( p_j \) also has a finite critical value \( v_j \) converging to \( v_0. \) But \( v_j = \phi_j\left(\frac{2}{27}(1-t)^3t^2\right) \) belongs to the bounded component of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ \phi_j(A(\gamma)) \}. \) Since \( \phi_j \) converges to \( \phi_0 \) and the moduli of the annuli \( \phi_j(A_j) \) converges to \( \infty, \) we have a contradiction \( v_0 \neq 0. \) Thus \( p_0(z) = z^3 \) as claimed. For \( f_0(z) = z^2 \) we consider a curve \( \gamma \) closed to 0 so that \( f_t^{-1}(\gamma) \) is the union of two curves \( \alpha \) and \( \beta. \) Where \( f_t \) is a degree two covering on \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) belongs to the exterior of \( \alpha. \) Taking the normalization of \( \phi_j \) and \( \psi_j \) given by \( \phi_j(0) = \psi_j(0) = 0, \phi'_j(0) = \psi'_j(0) = 1 \) and \( \phi_j(\infty) = \psi(\infty) = \infty. \)

vi) Now if \( X_{t_1,t_2} \) with \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1 \) we put a quasiconformal deformation converging on the components of the boundary \( \partial X_{t_1,t_2} \) to \( z^2 \) and \( z^3, \) respectively, then we obtain a strange limit. This limit seems to be a sort of double limit converging in Hausdorff topology to a non uniformizable object. This would give a non geometric completion of the respective Teichmüller space. We suspect that any limit of this type belongs to \( H\left(F, \mathbb{C} \times [0,1], \mathbb{C} \times [0,1]\right). \)

vii) Let \( J(f_t) \) be the Julia set of \( f_t, \) then \( J(F) = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]}(J(f_t),t) \subset \mathbb{C} \times [0,1], \) is a closed set completely invariant under \( F. \) Computer experiments suggests that \( \mathbb{C} \times I \setminus J(F) \) consists of two components. The one containing the set \( \{0\} \times [0,1] \) is simply connected and the other one has infinitely generated fundamental group. Also suggests that the set \( J(F) \) is a non-locally connected embedding of \( S^1 \times [0,1] \) with infinitely many cusps accumulating to a compact subset of the interior of \( S^1 \times [0,1]. \)

### 4 Proof of Theorem 3

As the previous discussion showed, the construction of cobordisms between rational maps often involves the introduction of single univalent components. In this chapter we look for a construction avoiding these components. We call this type of construction a pure cobordism. We start with the following preparation. Let \( \Delta_{\infty} \) be the completion of \( \mathbb{C} \) by adding the circle at infinity \( \infty \cdot S^1. \) Then any monic holomorphic polynomial \( P \) of degree \( d \) can be extended to the circle at infinity by the formula \( \infty \cdot e^{i\theta} \mapsto \infty \cdot e^{i\theta} \) so that \( P \) defines a branched self-covering \( \hat{P} \) of \( \Delta_{\infty} \) and \( \hat{P}|_{\partial \Delta_{\infty}} = z^d. \) Now we identify \( \Delta_{\infty} \) with the unit disk \( \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}. \) Let us consider two monic polynomials \( P_1 \) and \( P_2, \) acting on \( \mathbb{C}, \) then
define a finite degree branched covering \( F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) as follows

\[
F(z) = \begin{cases} 
\hat{P}_1(z), & \text{for } z \in \Delta \\
\gamma \circ \hat{P}_2 \circ \gamma(z), & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta, \gamma(z) = \frac{1}{z}.
\end{cases}
\]

In holomorphic dynamics the map \( F \) is known as the formal mating of the monic polynomials \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) (see for example [7] or [8]).

Now we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.** Given two polynomials \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) of the same degree \( d > 2 \) with canonical holomorphic representatives. Assume one of them, say \( P_2 \) is symmetric, then there exists a rational map \( R \) such that the following holomorphic cobordism:

- \( P_1 : \mathbb{C} \setminus (P_1^{-1}(V(P_1))) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus V(P_1) \),
- \( P_2 : \mathbb{C} \setminus (P_2^{-1}(V(P_2))) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus V(P_2) \),
- \( R : \mathbb{C} \setminus (R^{-1}(V(R))) \to \mathbb{C} \setminus V(R) \).

**Proof.** Given two polynomials \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) as in the statement of the theorem, we can assume that \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are monic. Let us consider their formal mating \( F \).

Let \( Q \in H(F, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cap \text{Rot} \) be a rational map. First note that since \( F^{-1}(\partial \Delta) = \partial \Delta \) then there is a Jordan curve \( \delta \subset \mathbb{C} \) such that \( Q^{-1}(\delta) \) is a connected Jordan curve. If \( V(Q) \) is the set of critical values of \( Q \) then \( Q \) is a holomorphic covering \( S_1 = \mathbb{C} \setminus Q^{-1}(V(Q)) \) to \( S_2 = \mathbb{C} \setminus V(Q) \) of finite degree.

Let \( \Gamma_1 < \Gamma_2 \) be two finitely generated Fuchsian groups uniformizing \( Q : S_1 \to S_2 \) in \( \Delta \). Then we can assume that the map \( R : \Delta^*/\Gamma_1 \to \Delta^*/\Gamma_2 \) induced by the inclusion is a rational map satisfying \( R(z) = Q(z) \). We claim that the triple \((R, P_1, P_2)\) forms a cobordant family. Indeed, by applying a pinching procedure to the covering \( Q \), with respect to the curve \( \delta \), and again by Bers’s slice compactness and augmentation theorems we obtain a Kleinian group \( \Gamma_{2,\infty} \) with an isomorphism \( \rho : \Gamma_2 \to \Gamma_{2,\infty} \) so that \( \Gamma_{2,\infty} \) is a geometrically finite functional group with \( S(\Gamma_{2,\infty}) = S' \cup T_1 \cup T_2 \) where \( S' \) is anticonformally equivalent to \( S_2 \) and \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are finitely cusped Riemannian spheres, each of them contains only one accidental cusp, that is, a cusp generated by accidental parabolic elements which is an element \( \gamma \) that is the image of a hyperbolic element corresponding to the free homotopy class of \( \delta \) with respect to the isomorphism \( \rho \).

Analogously, we can construct a group \( \Gamma_{1,\infty} \), with similar properties and \( \Gamma_{1,\infty} < \Gamma_{2,\infty} \), in particular, since \( R^{-1}(\delta) \) is connected then \( \Gamma_{1,\infty} \) contains only one conjugacy class of accidental parabolic element. This implies that the map \( \alpha \) induced by inclusion is a holomorphic simple covering from \( S(\Gamma_{1,\infty}) \) to \( S(\Gamma_{2,\infty}) \) so that \( \alpha|_{S'_1} : S'_1 \to S'_2 \) belongs to \( H(R) \). As the preimage of an accidental cusp is an accidental cusp, then the maps \( \alpha|_{T'_1} \) and \( \alpha|_{T''_2} \) are in the Hurwitz conformal classes of some polynomials, say \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) respectively. By construction \( Q_1 \in H(P_1) \) and \( Q_2 \) is Hurwitz equivalent to \( P_2 \) with orientation reversing homeomorphisms \( \phi_2 \) and \( \psi_2 \). Since \( P_2 \) is symmetric then the homeomorphisms \( \phi_2 \)
and $\psi_2$ also can be chosen to be quasiconformal orientation preserving homeomorphisms. If $\phi_i \circ Q_i = P_i \circ \psi_i$, then let $\mu$ be the Beltrami differential on $S'_2 \sqcup T'_2 \sqcup T''_2$ given by

$$
\mu(z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial \phi_1}(z), & \text{on } T'_1 \\
\frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial \phi_2}(z), & \text{on } T''_1 \\
0, & \text{on } S'_1,
\end{cases}
$$

and let $\nu$ be the pull-back of $\mu$ by the orbit projection

$$
\pi_2 : \Omega(\Gamma_2, \infty) \to \Omega(\Gamma_2, \infty)/\Gamma_2, \infty.
$$

If $f_\nu$ is a solution of the Beltrami equation with respect to $\nu$, then the groups $G_1 = f_\nu \circ \Gamma_1, \infty \circ f_\nu^{-1}$ and $G_2 = f_\nu \circ \Gamma_2, \infty \circ f_\nu^{-1}$ satisfies our claim and finish the proof of the theorem.

Let us note that each of the manifolds $M(G_1)$ and $M(G_2)$, constructed in the proof of the Theorem 7, is homeomorphic to $U$ which is the complement of two open round 3-dimensional balls $B_1$ and $B_2$ in the unit ball $B$ with finitely many embedded arcs, connecting the boundary components of $\partial U$, removed. See Figure 3.
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Figure 3: A sketch of the manifolds $M(G_1)$ and $M(G_2)$.

The map $\sigma : M(G_1) \to M(G_2)$ induced by the inclusion $G_1 < G_2$ can be extended on $U$ as a finite degree branched covering $\sigma^* : U \to U$ so that in suitable coordinates $\sigma^*|_{\partial U} \in CH(R, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cup CH(P_1, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cup CH(P_2, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. In other words, in $U$ there are two Möbius orbifold structures which makes $\sigma^*$ a Möbius morphism.

Now if $\tau$ is the reflexion of $S^3$ with respect to the unit sphere, then on $W = U \cup \tau(U)$ we can extend $\sigma^*$ to a finite degree self-covering of $W$ by putting

$$
\Sigma(z) = \begin{cases} 
\sigma^*(z), & \text{on } U \\
\tau \circ \sigma^* \circ \tau(z), & \text{on } \tau(U).
\end{cases}
$$
Then \( \Sigma \) serves as a topological cobordism between \( P_1 \sqcup P_2 \) and its anticonformal copies. In what follows, we will show that on \( W \) there are two Möbius structures which makes \( \Sigma \) into a Möbius morphism between these structures. The idea is to apply the arguments of the proof of Brooks’s orbifold deformation theorem to put on \( \mathcal{M}(G_2) \) a non-orientable uniformizable orbifold structure \( \omega \) so that the component \( S_0 \subset \partial \mathcal{M}(G_2) \) corresponding to the unit sphere consists of the “interior points” in the orbifold structure \( \omega \) and other components of \( \partial \mathcal{M}(G_2) \) equipped with \( \omega \) are Möbius equivalent to the previous structure.

Then there exists a degree two covering \( \beta : X \to (\mathcal{M}(G_2), \omega) \) such that \( X \) is an orientable hyperbolic 3-orbifold with four boundary components which are mapped univalently by \( \beta \) onto \( \partial \mathcal{M}(G_2) \setminus S_0 \).

The following statement is the main lemma of this section. Which is an application of arguments of the proof of Brooks’s orbifold deformation theorem (see [5]).

**Lemma 8.** Let \( \Gamma \) a geometrically finite Kleinian group without torsion. Let \( T \) be a proper subcollection of surfaces of \( \Omega(\Gamma)/\Gamma \), then there exist a geometrically finite Kleinian group \( G \) such that \( \Omega(G)/G \) is conformally equivalent to \( T \sqcup \bar{T} \) where \( \bar{T} \) is an anticonformal copy of \( T \).

To prove this lemma, we need some preparation the interested reader can consult Kapovich’s book [5].

**Definition (Round disk collection).** Let \( \Gamma \) be a geometrically finite torsion free Kleinian group. Then a collection \( K \) of closed sets \( K_i \subset S(\Gamma) \) is called a round disk collection if and only if the set \( K \) consists of finitely many elements and every element \( K_i \) is either a homeomorphic projection of a compact round disk from \( D \subset \Omega(\Gamma) \) to \( S(\Gamma) \) or is a closed punctured disk in \( S(\Gamma) \) with punctures corresponding to cusps of \( S(\Gamma) \) and \( K_i \) is covered by a round disk \( D \setminus \{p\} \subset \Omega(\Gamma) \), where \( D \) is precisely invariant under its parabolic stabilizer \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) and \( p \) is the fixed point of \( \gamma \) with \( p \in \partial D \).

**Definition.** A finite round disk collection \( K \subset S(\Gamma) \) is called a pattern of round disks if and only if the following holds

1. No point in \( S(\Gamma) \) is covered by the interior of more than two disks in \( K \).

2. Given two disks \( K_i \) and \( K_j \), then either the interiors of \( K_i \) and \( K_j \) are disjoint or their boundaries are orthogonal.

Each disk \( K_i \subset K \) is covered by a round disk \( D_i \in \mathcal{C} \). If \( C(D_i) \) is the convex hull of \( D_i \) in \( B \) with respect to the Poincaré metric, then \( C(D_i) \) is invariant under the stabilizer of \( D_i \) in \( \Gamma \). Let \( C(K_i) \subset M(\Gamma) \) be the projection of \( C(D_i) \) and

\[
\mathcal{M}_K = M(\Gamma) \setminus \bigcup_{K_i \in K} (\text{int}(C(K_i)) \cup \text{int}(K_i)),
\]

then on the manifold \( \mathcal{M}_K \) there exists a natural polyhedral geometric structure \( \mathcal{G} \) which coincides on the interior of \( \mathcal{M}_K \) with the hyperbolic structure of \( M(G) \),
on $\bigcup \partial C(K_i)$ the structure $\mathcal{G}$ is a polyhedral piecewise geodesic structure and, on the other boundary components of $M_K$, coincides with the Möbius structure inherited from $M(G)$. Indeed, charts around these points are modeled with the quotient of the unit three dimensional ball with respect to finite groups generated by a reflection with respect to the $xy$-plane. In particular, in this structure the points in $\partial C(K_i) \setminus K_i$ are interior points of $M_K$ equipped with $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $\tilde{K}$ be the collection of all round disks in $\Omega(\Gamma)$ which cover all $K_i \subset K$. Let $\Gamma_K < \text{Isom}(B)$ be the group generated by $\Gamma$ and the reflections with respect to the circles $\partial D$ for $D \in \tilde{K}$. Then the Theorem 13.1 in [5] states

The group $\Gamma_K$ is geometrically finite and the orbifold $M(\Gamma_K)$ is diffeomorphic to $M_K$ equipped with the structure $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark: In particular, if a component $\Omega_0 \in \Omega(\Gamma)$ does not intersect $\tilde{K}$ then the stabilizer of $\Omega_0$ in $\Gamma_K$ coincides with stabilizer of $\Omega_0$ in $\Gamma$.

Now we are ready to prove Lemma [8].

Proof of Lemma [8]. Let $T'$ be the complement of the collection $T$ in $S(\Gamma)$. Our goal is to destroy the non empty collection $T'$ by the procedure discussed above. First, assume that there exist a round disk pattern $K$ covering just $T'$. Then the orbifold $M(\Gamma_K)$ is supported on the manifold $M_K$, here $M_K$ is homeomorphic to $M(\Gamma)$. Let $G_K = \Gamma_K \cap \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a normal order two subgroup of $\Gamma_K$ containing $\Gamma$. By the remark above, if a component $\Omega_0 \in \Omega(\Gamma)$ covers an element of $T$ then the stabilizer of $\Omega_0$ in $G_K$ coincides with the stabilizer of $\Omega_0$ in $\Gamma$. Then $M(G_K)$ admits an anticonformal involution $\tau$ and $M(\Gamma_K) = M(G_K)/\tau$. Hence $\partial M(G_K) = T \sqcup T'$.

To finish the proof we have to justify the existence of the pattern $K$. In order to do so, we use the following theorem which is part of the proof of Brooks’s orbifold deformation theorem. More precisely, see the steps 1 to 4 in the proof presented in [8]. Briefly, the statement is that for any geometrically finite Kleinian group $\Gamma$ there exist a quasiconformal homeomorphism $h$ such that the group $\Gamma_h = h \circ \Gamma \circ h^{-1}$ is so that $S(\Gamma_h)$ admits a pattern $K$ which covers the whole of $S(\Gamma_h)$.

We have a group $\Gamma_h$ admitting a pattern, and let us consider a subpattern $K' \subset K$ precisely covering the collection $h(T') \subset S(\Gamma_h)$ and construct a group $G_h$ which uniformizes the surfaces $S(G_h) = h(T) \sqcup h(T)$, taking a suitable quasiconformal deformation for $G_h$ we obtain a group $G$ as claimed. 

The lemma above allows to produce a hyperbolic orbifold structure on a double of the manifold $M(\Gamma)$ with respect to a complementary collection $T'$. In other words, with this lemma one can endow an orbifold structure on the manifold $W$. The following theorem shows that we can put other orbifold hyperbolic structures on $W$. So that the map $\Sigma$ becomes a Möbius morphism between these structures.
**Theorem 9.** The family of canonical holomorphic representatives of any collection of four polynomials in general position of the same degree $d > 2$ forms a hyperbolic cobordism.

**Proof.** Take any pair of polynomials $P_1$ and $P_2$ from the given four. By the Theorem 7 there exists a rational map $R$ such that $R \sqcup P_1 \sqcup P_2$ forms a cobordant family of coverings. Let $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$ be the Kleinian groups realizing this cobordism, that is the $\alpha : M_{\Gamma_1} \to M_{\Gamma_2}$ so that $\alpha$ maps $S(\Gamma_1) = V_0 \sqcup V_1 \sqcup V_2$ into $S(\Gamma_2) = U_0 \sqcup U_1 \sqcup U_2$ and $(\partial M(\Gamma_1), \partial M(\Gamma_2), \alpha)$ belongs to the Hurwitz class $HC(R, P, P_2)$. Let $H_2$ be a geometrically finite group with $S(H_2) = (U_1 \sqcup U_2) \sqcup (U_1 \sqcup U_2)$ given by Lemma 8.

We claim that there exist a finite index subgroup $H_1 \subset H_2$ with $S(H_1) = (V_1 \sqcup V_2) \sqcup (V_1 \sqcup V_2)$ and the projection induced by inclusion of groups $\beta : M(H_1) \to M(H_2)$ is so that $\beta|_V_i$ is conformally equivalent to $P_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $\beta|_{\overline{V}}$ are anticonformal copies of $P_i$ respectively. Indeed, by Brooks’s orbifold deformation theorem we can assume that the group $\Gamma_2$ admits a pattern $\tilde{K}$. Let $K \subset \tilde{K}$ be a subpattern which only covers the surface $U_0$.

Since $\alpha$ is a Möbius morphism then $K' = \alpha^{-1}(K) \subset V_0$ is also a pattern on $S(\Gamma_1)$. Hence the group $H_1$, generated by $\Gamma_1$ and the reflections with respect to the boundaries of the round disks in $K'$, is a finite index subgroup of the group $H_2$, since $H_2$ is generated by $\Gamma_2$ and the reflections with respect to the boundaries of the round disks in $K$. In fact, these families of disks for $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ coincide.

Therefore the orientation preserving subgroups $G_1 = H_1 \cap PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $G_2 = H_2 \cap PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ are geometrically finite Kleinian groups such that $G_1$ is of finite index in $G_2$. Then by remark above the groups $G_1 \subset G_2$ are the desired groups as claimed.

Let us note that the anticonformal copies of $P_1$ and $P_2$ belong to the Hurwitz classes of the polynomials $P_3$ and $P_4$. Indeed all polynomials in general position, of the same degree, belong to the same Hurwitz class. Hence, after a suitable quasiconformal deformation of the pair $G_1$ and $G_2$ we complete the proof of the Theorem.

Choose a surface $S \subset S(G_2)$ together with a pattern precisely covering $S$. Repeating the construction above, we construct a cobordism between the canonical representatives of six polynomials of the same degree in general position. The iteration of this procedure shows the following statement:

If the canonical holomorphic representatives of a collection of polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ in general position of the same degree $d > 2$ forms a hyperbolic cobordism, then for every $k < n$, the canonical holomorphic representatives of every collection of $2(n-k)$ polynomials in general position of degree $d$ forms a hyperbolically cobordant family. An induction argument over Theorem 9 and Theorem 2 completes the proof of Theorem 3.
Remark 2. Unfortunately, we were not able to proof the following desirable statement:

Every finite collection of non univalent rational (polynomial) maps forms a cobordant family.

This is not clear even in the case of a single rational map $R$ (for more details on this problem see [3]).

But if we drop the geometrically finiteness condition in the definition of cobordism then in the case of a single rational map $R$ the statement above is always true, the corresponding uniformizing group is totally degenerated and the respective Möbius morphism is Hurwitz equivalent to the radial extension of $R$ in the unit 3-dimensional ball.

5 On conformal Hurwitz classes and sandwich semigroups.

According to the discussion above it is interesting to know when two given rational maps belong to the same conformal or anti-conformal Hurwitz class or, better, when are conformally or anti-conformally conjugated. It turns out that the answer is purely algebraic and does not requires any dynamical information. We give a precise answer using sandwich products induced by the given rational maps. Also we suggest another point of view on Hurwitz classes of rational maps as minimal representation spaces of semigroups of holomorphic correspondences associated to the given holomorphic coverings.

The results in this section develop ideas in [3], and the Schreier representation of semigroups as treated in [2]. We start with some introduction to Schreier representations.

Definition. Let $X$ be a topological space and $\text{End}(X)$ is the semigroup of continuous endomorphisms of the space $X$. Then

1. $\text{End}(X)$ is a topological semigroup.

2. $X$ canonically embeds in $\text{End}(X)$ as the ideal $I$ of constant endomorphisms.

3. $I$ is the unique minimal bi-ideal (left and right) consisting of idempotents.

4. (Schreier Lemma) Let $G \subset \text{End}(X)$ be a subsemigroup with $G \cap I = A \neq 0$ and $\rho : G \to \text{End}(X)$ be a homomorphism then there exists a map $f : A \to X$ such that $f(g(x)) = \rho(g)(f(x))$ for all $x \in A$ and $g \in G$, here $f(x) := \rho(x)$. In other words, any homomorphisms is generated by a map. Even more, $f$ is continuous if and only if $\rho$ is continuous, and $f$ is a homeomorphism if and only if $\rho$ is a continuous isomorphism onto its image. We say that $\rho$ is orientation preserving or non orientable, depending on whether $f$ has the corresponding property.
5. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a continuous map between topological spaces, then the set $G$ of all continuous maps $g : X \to Y$ can be transformed into a semigroup with the following product $g_1 * f g_2 = g_1 \circ f \circ g_2$. This product is called the sandwich product with respect to $f$ and $G_f = (G, *_f)$ is called the sandwich semigroup. If $f$ is not invertible then $G_f$ does not contain a unit.

The following theorem appears in [2] and for convenience we include the proof.

**Theorem 10.** Let $R_1 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $R_2 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be two rational maps, and $G_1$ and $G_2$ be sandwich semigroup of rational maps with respect to $R_1$ and $R_2$, respectively. If $\rho : G_1 \to G_2$ is an isomorphism, then there exist an element $\gamma \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and a bijection $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ so that $\rho(R) = \phi \circ R \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \gamma$ for every rational map $R$.

We say that the homomorphism $\rho$ is orientation preserving or non orientable depending on whether $\phi$ has the same property.

**Proof.** Let $f = \rho|_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the restriction of $\rho$ to the constants then $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}$. Indeed if $\rho(c)$ is a rational map of non-zero degree for a suitable constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ then $c *_{R_1} Q = c$ for every rational map $Q$ and hence $\rho(c) *_{R_2} \rho(Q) = \rho(c)$. Since $\rho$ is an isomorphism $\rho(Q)$ can be any rational map, then $\rho(c)$ is a constant. Also $f$ is a bijection.

Now we show that $\rho(PSL(2, \mathbb{C})) = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, since for every rational maps $R$ and $Q$ we have $\rho(R *_{R_1} Q) = \rho(R) *_{R_2} \rho(Q)$, taking $Q = c$ a constant then $f(R \circ R_1(c)) = \rho(R) \circ R_2(f(c))$. As $f$ is invertible we have $deg(R \circ R_1) = deg(\rho(R) \circ R_2)$. Similarly for $\rho^{-1}$ we have

$$f^{-1}(R \circ R_2(c)) = \rho^{-1}(R) \circ R_1(f^{-1}(c))$$

and

$$deg(R \circ R_2) = deg(\rho^{-1}(R) \circ R_1).$$

If $R \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ then $deg(R_1) = deg(\rho(R)) = deg(\rho(R)) \cdot deg(R_2) = deg(\rho(R)) \cdot deg(\rho^{-1}(R)) \cdot deg(R_1)$ which implies $deg(\rho(R)) = 1$.

Let $\gamma = \rho(Id)$ so $\gamma \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the map $\tau_\gamma : G_2 \to (\text{Rat}, *_{\gamma \circ R_2})$ given by $\tau_\gamma(R) = R \circ \gamma^{-1}$. Then $\tau_\gamma$ is an isomorphism of the sandwich semigroup, which follows from direct computation:

$$\tau_\gamma(R *_{R_2} Q) = \tau_\gamma(R \circ R_2 \circ Q) = R \circ R_2 \circ Q \circ \gamma^{-1} = \tau_\gamma(R) *_{\gamma \circ R_2} \tau_\gamma(Q).$$

Then $\Phi : \tau_\gamma \circ \rho : G_1 \to (\text{Rat}, *_{\gamma \circ R_2})$ is an isomorphism satisfying $\Phi(Id) = Id$.

If $R = c$ is a constant then $\Phi(c) = \rho(c) \circ \gamma = \rho(c) = f(c)$. Also $\Phi(R_1) = \Phi(Id *_{R_1} Id) = \gamma \circ R_2$, and hence for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$

$$f(R_1(c)) = \Phi(Id \circ R_1(c)) = \gamma \circ R_2(f(c))$$

which implies $R_2 = \gamma^{-1} \circ f \circ R_1 \circ f^{-1}$. We have for every $Q \in \text{Rat}(\mathbb{C})$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$f(Q \circ R_1(c)) = \Phi(Q \circ R_1(c)) = \Phi(Q) \circ \gamma \circ R_2(f(c)) = \Phi(Q) \circ f \circ R_1(c)$$
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then
\[ Q \circ R_1(c) = f^{-1} \circ \Phi(Q) \circ f \circ R_1(c) \]
and so
\[ \Phi(Q) = f \circ Q \circ f^{-1} \]
but \( \Phi(Q) = \tau \circ \rho(Q) \) or \( \rho(Q) = \Phi(Q) \circ \gamma \) as we wanted to prove. \( \square \)

As an immediate corollary we have the following.

**Corollary 11.** If \( \rho : G_1 \to G_2 \) is an isomorphism as in Theorem 9 and \( \rho(\text{Id}) = \text{Id} \) then there exists a bijection \( f : \bar{C} \to \bar{C} \) such that
\[ \rho(R) = f \circ R \circ f^{-1}. \]

Next theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 12.** Let \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) be non constant rational maps and \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are the respective sandwich semigroups on \( \text{Rat}(\mathbb{C}) \). Then

1. The pair \( R_1, R_2 \) belongs to the same conformal Hurwitz class if and only if there exists a continuous orientation preserving isomorphism \( \rho : G_1 \to G_2 \). Moreover, \( \rho(\text{Id}) = \text{Id} \) if and only if \( R_1 \) is \( PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) conjugated to \( R_2 \).

2. A continuous isomorphism \( \rho \) reverses orientation if and only if \( R_1 \) is an anticonformal copy of \( R_2 \). Moreover \( \rho(\text{Id}) = \text{Id} \) if and only if \( R_1 \) is anti-conformally conjugated to \( R_2 \).

**Proof.** Part 1. Assume that \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) belong to the same conformal Hurwitz class and let \( h, g \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) be so that \( R_2 = g^{-1} \circ R_1 \circ h \). Then the map \( \rho(R) = h^{-1} \circ R \circ g \) defines a continuous orientation preserving isomorphism from \( G_1 \) to \( G_2 \). This follows from direct calculation
\[
\rho(R *_{R_1} Q) = h^{-1} \circ R \circ R_1 \circ Q \circ g
\]
\[ = \rho(R) *_{R_2} \rho(Q). \]

Now if \( \rho : G_1 \to G_2 \) is an orientation preserving isomorphism, then by Theorem 9 there exists a \( \gamma \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) and bijection \( f : \bar{C} \to \bar{C} \) so that \( \rho(Q) = f \circ Q \circ f^{-1} \circ \gamma \) for every rational map \( Q \). Then \( f \), by conjugacy, defines an automorphism of \( \text{Rat}(\mathbb{C}) \) with composition as a product. By Proposition 8 in [2], the map \( f \) belongs to the group \( B \) generated by \( PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) and the absolute Galois group. Since \( \rho \) is continuous and orientation preserving we have \( f \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \). Now assume \( \rho(\text{Id}) = \text{Id} \), then by Corollary 11 \( \rho(R) = f \circ R \circ f^{-1} \) and \( f \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) and \( \rho(R_1) = f \circ R_1 \circ f^{-1} = \rho(\text{Id} *_{R_1} \text{Id}) = R_2 \).

Part 2. If \( \rho \) is continuous and orientation reversing then \( f \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) and the proof goes as Part 1. \( \square \)
In conclusion we note:

First, it is possible to show that every continuous semigroup product on $\text{Rat}(\mathbb{C})$ which is continuously isomorphic to a sandwich product is a sandwich product itself. So the classes of continuous isomorphisms of sandwich semigroups on $\text{Rat}(\mathbb{C})$ correspond to the conformal Hurwitz classes of rational maps.

Second that it is not clear at all how to associate the algebraic characterization of the conformal Hurwitz class of symmetric rational maps with the geometric cobordisms point of view.

Finally, similar ideas allow us to consider the Hurwitz space as a representation space of a special class of holomorphic correspondences. This follows using results from [2] with [3]. So we can construct a Teichmüller space of correspondences of the form $R^{-1} \circ R$, called the deck correspondence associated to $R$. From [3] it follows that the Speisser class of $R$ fibers over the Moduli space of the deck with fiber equivalent to the conformal Hurwitz class of $R$. Let $G_R = (R^{-1} \circ R, \bar{\mathbb{C}})$ be the semigroup of holomorphic correspondences generated by the deck correspondence associated to $R$ and constant maps, then Schreier ideas and results from [2] allow us to consider the Speisser class of a rational map $R$ as a subspace of the connected component containing the identity of the representation space of $G_R$ into the semigroup of all holomorphic correspondences on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$.
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