MULTIPLICATIVE PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN ELEMENTS IN BRIDGELAND’S HALL ALGEBRAS

QINGHUA CHEN AND HAICHENG ZHANG

Abstract. Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra of finite global dimension over a finite field. In the present paper, we introduce certain elements in Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of $A$, and give a multiplication theorem of these elements. In particular, this generalizes the main result in [4].

1. Introduction

The Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(A)$ of a finite dimensional algebra $A$ over a finite field was introduced by Ringel [9] in 1990. Ringel [7, 9] proved that if $A$ is representation-finite and hereditary, the twisted Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}_v(A)$, called the Ringel–Hall algebra, is isomorphic to the positive part of the corresponding quantized enveloping algebra. By introducing a bialgebra structure on $\mathcal{H}_v(A)$, Green [5] generalized Ringel’s work to an arbitrary finite dimensional hereditary algebra $A$ and showed that the composition subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_v(A)$ generated by simple $A$-modules gives a realization of the positive part of the quantized enveloping algebra associated with $A$. In [12], Xiao gave a realization of the whole quantized enveloping algebra by constructing the Drinfeld double of the extended Ringel–Hall algebra of a hereditary algebra.

In order to give an intrinsic realization of the entire quantized enveloping algebra via Hall algebra approaches, one has managed to define the Hall algebra of a triangulated category satisfying some homological finiteness conditions (for example, [6], [10], [13]). Unfortunately, the root category of a finite dimensional algebra does not satisfy the homological finiteness conditions. In other word, the Hall algebra of a root category has no a befitting definition. In fact, more generally, the Hall algebra of an odd periodic triangulated category with some finiteness conditions has been defined (see [14]). Nevertheless, an applicable definition of the Hall algebra of an even periodic triangulated category has been unknown so far.
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In 2013, for each finite dimensional algebra $A$ of finite global dimension, Bridgeland [2] introduced an algebra, called the (reduced) Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of $A$, which is the Ringel–Hall algebra of 2-cyclic complexes over projective $A$-modules with some localization (and reduction). He proved that for any hereditary algebra $A$ the quantized enveloping algebra associated to $A$ can be embedded into the reduced Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of $A$. This provides a beautiful realization of the full quantized enveloping algebra by Hall algebras. In [2], Bridgeland also showed that for the hereditary algebra $A$ there exists an embedding of algebras from the Ringel–Hall algebra to Bridgeland’s Hall algebra. Later on, Geng and Peng [4] generalized this embedding to algebras of global dimension at most two. So far, the structure of Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of a nonhereditary algebra has been rarely known. Particularly, the relations between the Ringel–Hall algebra and Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of any algebra with finite global dimension have not been uncovered.

In this paper, let $k$ be a finite field and $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian $k$-category with enough projectives, which is of finite global dimension. We introduce certain elements in Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of $\mathcal{A}$, and then give a sufficient and necessary condition for the multiplicative properties of these elements. As applications, we obtain [4, Theorem 3.7]. Moreover, we generalize it to 2th tilted algebras.

Throughout the paper, let $k$ be the finite field with $q$ elements and set $v = \sqrt{q} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian (small) $k$-category of finite global dimension, and assume that $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projectives. We denote by $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{A}$, denote by $K(\mathcal{A})$ the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{A}$, and denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of projective objects. For a complex $M_\bullet = \cdots \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots$ of $\mathcal{A}$, its homology is denoted by $H_\ast(M_\bullet)$. For an object $M$ in $\mathcal{A}$, the class of $M$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$ is denoted by $\hat{M}$. Let $A$ be a finite dimensional $k$-algebra of finite global dimension and denote by $\text{mod} A$ the category of finite dimensional (left) $A$-modules. For a finite set $S$, we denote by $|S|$ its cardinality. For each rational number $x$, $[x]$ is defined to be the largest integer not greater than $x$. We denote the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definitions and some necessary results of 2-cyclic complexes, Ringel–Hall algebras and Bridgeland’s Hall algebras. All of the materials can be found in [2], [15, 16, 17].
2.1. **2-cyclic complexes.** Let $C_2(A)$ be the abelian category of 2-cyclic complexes over $A$. The objects of this category consist of diagrams

\[ M_\bullet = M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^M} M_0 \]

in $A$ such that $d_{i+1}^M \circ d_i^M = 0$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. A morphism $s_\bullet : M_\bullet \to N_\bullet$ consists of a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1^M} & M_0 \\
\downarrow{s_1} & & \downarrow{s_0} \\
N_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1^N} & N_0
\end{array}
\]

with $s_{i+1} \circ d_i^M = d_i^N \circ s_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Two morphisms $s_\bullet, t_\bullet : M_\bullet \to N_\bullet$ are said to be **homotopic** if there are morphisms $h_i : M_i \to N_{i+1}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, such that $t_i - s_i = d_{i+1}^N \circ h_i + h_{i+1} \circ d_i^M$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. For an object $M_\bullet \in C_2(A)$, we define its class in the Grothendieck group $K(A)$ to be

\[ \hat{M}_\bullet := \hat{M}_0 - \hat{M}_1 \in K(A). \]

Denote by $K_2(A)$ the homotopy category obtained from $C_2(A)$ by identifying homotopic morphisms. Let $C_2(\mathcal{P}) \subset C_2(A)$ be the full subcategory whose objects are complexes of projectives, and denote by $K_2(\mathcal{P})$ its homotopy category. The shift functor of complexes induces an involution of $C_2(A)$. This involution shifts the grading and changes the signs of differentials as follows

\[ M_\bullet = M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1^M} M_0 \overset{\star}{\longleftrightarrow} M_\bullet^* = M_0 \xrightarrow{-d_0^M} M_1. \]

It is well-known that there exists an exact functor $\pi : C^b(A) \longrightarrow C_2(A)$, sending a complex $(M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to the 2-cyclic complex

\[ \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{2i+1} \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i^M} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{2i} \]

with the naturally defined differentials. It is easy to check that

\[ \text{Hom}_{C_2(A)}(\pi(M_\bullet), \pi(N_\bullet)) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{C^b(A)}(M_\bullet, N_\bullet[2i]). \]

Let $D^b(A)$ be the bounded derived category of $A$ with the suspension functor $[1]$. Let $R_2(A) = D^b(A)/[2]$ be the orbit category, also known as the root category of $A$. The category $D^b(A)$ is equivalent to the bounded homotopy category $K^b(\mathcal{P})$, since $A$ is of finite global dimension. In this case, we can equally well define $R_2(A)$ as the orbit category of $K^b(\mathcal{P})$. 
Lemma 2.1. ([8], [2, Lemma 3.1]) There is a fully faithful functor \( F : R_2(A) \rightarrow K_2(P) \) sending a bounded complex of projectives \((P_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\) to the 2-cyclic complex

\[
\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} P_{2i+1} \xrightarrow{1} \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} P_{2i}.
\]

The following lemma converts the calculation of dimensions of Ext-spaces into that of Hom-spaces.

Lemma 2.2. ([2, Lemma 3.3]) If \( M_\bullet, N_\bullet \in C_2(P) \), then there exists an isomorphism of vector spaces

\[
\text{Ext}^1_{C_2(A)}(N_\bullet, M_\bullet) \cong \text{Hom}_{K_2(A)}(N_\bullet, M_\bullet^*).
\]

A complex \( M_\bullet \in C_2(A) \) is called acyclic if \( H_\bullet(M_\bullet) = 0 \). Each object \( P \in P \) determines acyclic complexes

\[
K_P = (\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}) \quad K_P^* = (\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \cdots & 0
\end{array})
\]

Lemma 2.3. ([2, Lemma 3.2]) For each acyclic complex \( M_\bullet \in C_2(P) \), there are objects \( P, Q \in P \), unique up to isomorphism, such that \( M_\bullet \cong K_P \oplus K_Q^* \).

2.2. Ringel–Hall algebras. Given objects \( L, M, N \in A \), let \( \text{Ext}^1_A(M, N)_L \subset \text{Ext}^1_A(M, N) \) be the subset consisting of those equivalence classes of short exact sequences with middle term \( L \). From now on, we always assume that \( A \) is finitary, i.e., all Hom-spaces and Ext-spaces are finite dimensional.

Definition 2.4. The Hall algebra \( \mathcal{H}(A) \) of \( A \) is the vector space over \( \mathbb{C} \) with basis elements \([M] \in \text{Iso}(A)\), and with multiplication defined by

\[
[M] \odot [N] = \sum_{[L] \in \text{Iso}(A)} \frac{[\text{Ext}^1_A(M, N)_L]}{[\text{Hom}_A(M, N)]} [L].
\]

For objects \( M, N \in A \), the Euler form \( \langle -, - \rangle : K(A) \times K(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) associated with \( A \) is defined by

\[
\langle \hat{M}, \hat{N} \rangle := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (-1)^i \dim_k \text{Ext}^i_A(M, N).
\]

The symmetric Euler form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : K(A) \times K(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \), is given by

\[
\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle
\]

for all \( \alpha, \beta \in K(A) \). The Ringel–Hall algebra \( \mathcal{H}_{tw}(A) \) of \( A \) is the same vector space as \( \mathcal{H}(A) \), but with multiplication defined by

\[
[M] * [N] = v^{(\hat{M}, \hat{N})} \cdot [M] \odot [N].
\]
2.3. Bridgeland’s Hall algebras. Let \( \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_2(A)) \) be the Hall algebra of the abelian category \( \mathcal{C}_2(A) \) defined in Definition 2.4 and \( \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P})) \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_2(A)) \) be the subspace spanned by the isoclasses of complexes of projectives. Define \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P})) \) to be the same vector space as \( \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P})) \) with “twisted” multiplication defined by

\[
[M_\bullet] \star [N_\bullet] := v^{(\hat{M}_0, \hat{N}_0) + (\hat{M}_1, \hat{N}_1)} \cdot [M_\bullet] \odot [N_\bullet].
\]

Then \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P})) \) is an associative algebra (cf. [2]).

Lemma 2.5. ([2, Lemma 3.5]) For any object \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) and any complex \( M_\bullet \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P}) \), we have the following relations in \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P})) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
[K_P] \star [M_\bullet] &= v^{\langle \hat{P}, \hat{M}_\bullet \rangle} [K_P \oplus M_\bullet], & [M_\bullet] \star [K_P] &= v^{-\langle \hat{M}_\bullet, \hat{P} \rangle} [K_P \oplus M_\bullet]; \\
[K_P^*] \star [M_\bullet] &= v^{-\langle \hat{P}, \hat{M}_\bullet \rangle} [K_P^* \oplus M_\bullet], & [M_\bullet] \star [K_P^*] &= v^{\langle \hat{M}_\bullet, \hat{P} \rangle} [K_P^* \oplus M_\bullet]; \\
[K_P] \star [M_\bullet] &= v^{\langle \hat{P}, \hat{M}_\bullet \rangle} [M_\bullet] \star [K_P], & [K_P^*] \star [M_\bullet] &= v^{-\langle \hat{P}, \hat{M}_\bullet \rangle} [M_\bullet] \star [K_P^*].
\end{align*}
\]

In particular, for \( P,Q \in \mathcal{P} \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
[K_P] \star [K_Q] &= [K_P \oplus K_Q], & [K_P] \star [K_Q^*] &= [K_P \oplus K_Q^*]; \\
[[K_P],[K_Q]] &= [[K_P],[K_Q^*]] = [[K_P^*],[K_Q^*]] = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, the acyclic elements of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P})) \) satisfy the Ore conditions and thus we have the following definition; See [2].

Definition 2.6. The Bridgeland’s Hall algebra of \( A \), denoted by \( \mathcal{DH}_2(A) \), is the localization of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P})) \) with respect to the elements \([M_\bullet]\) corresponding to acyclic complexes \( M_\bullet \). In symbols,

\[
\mathcal{DH}_2(A) := \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{P}))[ M_\bullet]^{-1} | H_\ast(M_\bullet) = 0 \).
\]

As explained in [2], this is the same as localizing by the elements \([K_P]\) and \([K_P^*]\) for all objects \( P \in \mathcal{P} \). Writing \( \alpha \in K(A) \) in the form \( \alpha = \hat{P} - \hat{Q} \) for some objects \( P,Q \in \mathcal{P} \), one defines \( K_\alpha = [K_P] \star [K_Q]^{-1}, K_\alpha^* = [K_P^*] \star [K_Q^*]^{-1} \). Note that the relations in (2.3) are still satisfied with the elements \([K_P]\) and \([K_P^*]\) replaced by \( K_\alpha \) and \( K_\alpha^* \), respectively, for any \( \alpha \in K(A) \).

3. Main result

3.1. Projective resolutions. For each object \( M \in A \), take a projective resolution of \( M \)

\[
P'_M: 0 \longrightarrow P'_n \longrightarrow P'_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P'_1 \longrightarrow P'_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.
\]
Then we obtain the complex

\[ P^\prime_M : \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow P'_n \xrightarrow{v'_0} P'_{n-1} \xrightarrow{v'_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow P'_1 \xrightarrow{v'_1} P'_0 \xrightarrow{v'_0} 0 \longrightarrow \cdots, \]

where \( P'_i, 0 \leq i \leq n, \) are of degree \(-i\). It is similar to [2, Section 4.1] that we can define the minimal projective resolutions. It is easy to see that each object has a unique minimal projective resolution up to isomorphism.

Let

\[ P_M : 0 \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{p_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} M \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.2) \]

be the minimal projective resolution of \( M \). Consider the corresponding 2-cyclic complex

\[ C_M := \pi(P_M) \in C_2(\mathcal{P}). \]

Set \( P_M^{\text{even}} := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i = 2m \leq n} P_i \) and \( P_M^{\text{odd}} := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i = 2m+1 \leq n} P_i \). Then \( C_M \) is the complex

\[ \xymatrix{ P_M^{\text{odd}} \ar@{^{(}->}[r] & P_M^{\text{even}} } \]

with the naturally defined differentials.

It is similar to [2, Lemma 4.1] that we have the following

**Lemma 3.1.** Any resolution (3.1) is isomorphic to a resolution of the form

\[ \xymatrix{ 0 \ar[r] & P_n \oplus R_{n-1} \ar[r]^{(p_n \ 0 \ 0)(0 \ 0 \ 1)} & P_{n-1} \oplus R_{n-2} \oplus R_{n-1} \ar[r]^{(p_{n-1} \ 0 \ 0)(0 \ 0 \ 1)} & \cdots \ar[r] & \cdots \ar[r] & P_1 \oplus R_0 \oplus R_1 \ar[r]^{(p_1 \ 0 \ 0)(0 \ 0 \ 1)} & P_0 \oplus R_0 \ar[r]^{(p_0 \ 0 \ 0)} & M \ar[r] & 0 } \quad (3.3) \]

for some objects \( R_i \in \mathcal{P}, 0 \leq i < n, \) and some minimal projective resolution

\[ 0 \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{p_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{p_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} M \longrightarrow 0. \]

**3.2. Certain elements in \( \mathcal{DH}_2(\mathcal{A}) \).** For each \( M \in \mathcal{A} \) and any projective resolution \( P_M' \) (3.1), set \( M'_i := \text{Im} \ p'_i, 0 \leq i \leq n \). Let \( M'_{\text{even}} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i = 2m \leq n} M'_i \) and \( M'_{\text{odd}} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i = 2m+1 \leq n} M'_i \). Then we define

\[ E_{P'_M} := \pi(M'_{\text{odd}} - M'_{\text{even}}, M'_{\text{odd}} - M'_{\text{even}}, [C'_M]), \]

where \( C'_M = \pi(P'_M) \). Similarly, for the minimal projective resolution \( P_M \) (3.2), we can define \( M_i, 0 \leq i \leq n, \) and thus \( M_{\text{even}}, M_{\text{odd}} \).

**Lemma 3.2.** For each \( M \in \mathcal{A} \) and any two projective resolutions \( P'_M \) and \( P''_M \), \( E_{P'_M} = E_{P''_M} \).
Proof. By Lemma 3.1, each projective resolution of \( M \) is of the form (3.3) up to isomorphism. So it suffices to prove that for the projective resolution \( P'_M \) (3.3) and the corresponding minimal projective resolution \( P_M \) (3.2), \( E_{P_M} = E'_{P'_M} \).

It is easy to see that for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \),
\[
M'_i \cong M_i \oplus R_{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C'_M \cong K_{R_{\text{even}}} \oplus K^*_{R_{\text{odd}}} \oplus C_M,
\]
where \( R_{\text{even}} := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i = 2m < n} R_i \) and \( R_{\text{odd}} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i = 2m+1 < n} R_i \). Thus, \( M'_{\text{even}} \cong M_{\text{even}} \oplus R_{\text{odd}} \) and \( M'_{\text{odd}} \cong M_{\text{odd}} \oplus R_{\text{even}} \). By Lemma 2.5,
\[
[C'_M] = [K_{R_{\text{even}}} \oplus K^*_{R_{\text{odd}}} \oplus C_M] = \nu(\hat{R}_{\text{odd}} - R_{\text{even}}, \hat{M}) K_{R_{\text{even}}} K^*_{R_{\text{odd}}} [C_M].
\]
Hence,
\[
E'_{P'_M} = \nu(\hat{M}_{\text{odd}} - \hat{M}_{\text{even}}) K_{-\hat{M}_{\text{odd}}} K^*_{-\hat{M}_{\text{even}}} [C'_M]
= \nu(\hat{M}_{\text{odd}} - \hat{M}_{\text{even}}, \hat{M}) K_{-\hat{M}_{\text{odd}}} K^*_{-\hat{M}_{\text{even}}} K_{R_{\text{even}}} K^*_{R_{\text{odd}}} [C_M]
= \nu(\hat{M}_{\text{odd}} - \hat{M}_{\text{even}}, \hat{M}) K_{-\hat{M}_{\text{odd}}} K^*_{-\hat{M}_{\text{even}}} [C_M]
= E_{P_M}.
\]

By Lemma 3.2, for any projective resolution \( P_M \) we can define
\[
E_M := \nu(\tau(M), \hat{M}) K_{-\hat{M}_{\text{odd}}} K^*_{-\hat{M}_{\text{even}}} [C_M],
\]
where \( \tau(M) := \hat{M}_{\text{odd}} - \hat{M}_{\text{even}} \).

3.3. Multiplicative properties of elements \( E_M \).

Lemma 3.3. \(|\text{Hom}_{c^0(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet})| = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |\text{Hom}(P_i, N_{i+1})| \cdot |\text{Hom}_A(M, N)|.|\)

Proof. For \( f = (f_0, \ldots, f_n) \in \text{Hom}_{c^0(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet}) \), consider the following commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & P_n & \overset{p_n}{\rightarrow} & P_{n-1} & \overset{p_{n-1}}{\rightarrow} & \cdots & \overset{p_3}{\rightarrow} & P_2 & \overset{p_2}{\rightarrow} & P_1 & \overset{p_1}{\rightarrow} & P_0 & \overset{p_0}{\rightarrow} & M & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{f_n} & & \downarrow{f_{n-1}} & & \downarrow{f_2} & & \downarrow{f_1} & & \downarrow{f_1} & & \downarrow{f_0} & & \downarrow{f_0} & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & Q_n & \overset{q_n}{\rightarrow} & Q_{n-1} & \overset{q_{n-1}}{\rightarrow} & \cdots & \overset{q_3}{\rightarrow} & Q_2 & \overset{q_2}{\rightarrow} & Q_1 & \overset{q_1}{\rightarrow} & Q_0 & \overset{q_0}{\rightarrow} & N & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

By the Comparison Lemma (See [11, Theorem 2.2.6]), we have a surjective map
\[
\varphi_1 : \text{Hom}_{c^0(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N).
\]
Lemma 3.4.

Then \( K_1 := \text{Ker} \varphi_1 = \{(f_0, \cdots, f_n) \in \text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet}) \mid q_0 f_0 = 0\} \). Set
\[
S_0 := \{(g_0, f_1, \cdots, f_n) \mid \pi_1 f_1 = g_0 p_1, f_i p_{i+1} = q_{i+1} f_{i+1}, i = 1, \cdots, n-1\}.
\]

It is easy to obtain the following injective map
\[
\varphi_2 : K_1 \hookrightarrow S_0, \quad (f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_n) \longmapsto (g_0, f_1, \cdots, f_n),
\]
where \( g_0 \) is uniquely determined as in the diagram (3.4) by the universal property of the kernel of \( q_0 \), since \( q_0 f_0 = 0 \).

Conversely, for any \((g_0, f_1, \cdots, f_n) \in S_0\), set \( f_0 = i_0 g_0 \), then \( f_0 p_1 = i_0 g_0 p_1, q_1 f_1 = i_0 \pi_1 f_1 \). Since \( g_0 p_1 = \pi_1 f_1 \), we obtain that \( f_0 p_1 = q_1 f_1 \). Hence, \( \varphi_2 \) is bijective, and thus
\[
|\text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet})| = |S_0| \cdot |\text{Hom}_A(M, N)|.
\]

Set \( S_1 := \{(g_1, f_2, \cdots, f_n) \mid \pi_2 f_2 = g_1 p_2, f_i p_{i+1} = q_{i+1} f_{i+1}, i = 2, \cdots, n-1\} \). We have the following injective map
\[
\varphi_3 : S_1 \hookrightarrow S_0, \quad (g_1, f_2, \cdots, f_n) \longmapsto (0, f_1 = i_1 g_1, f_2, \cdots, f_n).
\]

It is easy to check directly that there is a short exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow S_1 \longrightarrow S_0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(P_0, N_1) \longrightarrow 0.
\]

So,
\[
|S_0| = |S_1| \cdot |\text{Hom}_A(P_0, N_1)|.
\]

Repeating the above process, we define \( S_j := \{(g_j, f_{j+1}, \cdots, f_n) \mid \pi_{j+1} f_{j+1} = g_j p_{j+1}, f_i p_{i+1} = q_{i+1} f_{i+1}, i = j+1, \cdots, n-1\}; 2 \leq j \leq n-2, S_{n-1} := \{(g_{n-1}, f_n) \mid \pi_n f_n = g_{n-1} p_n\} \), and \( S_n = 0 \). Moreover, \( |S_{j-1}| = |S_j| \cdot |\text{Hom}_A(P_{j-1}, N_j)|, 2 \leq j \leq n \). Therefore, by recursion, we complete the proof. \( \square \)

Lemma 3.4.

\[
|\text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(C_M, C_N)| = \frac{[\mathbb{Z}]}{[2]} \prod_{i=0}^{n} \prod_{t=2i}^{n} |\text{Hom}_A(M_{2t}, N)| \cdot |\text{Hom}_A(P_t, N_{t-2i+1})| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{t=0}^{n-2i-1} |\text{Hom}_A(P_t, N_{t+2i+1})|. \quad (3.5)
\]

Proof.

\[
\text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(C_M, C_N) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet}[2i]).
\]

Clearly, if \( 2i > n \), \( \text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet}[2i]) = \text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet}[-2i]) = 0 \).

For any \( 0 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \),
\[
|\text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(P_{M\bullet}, P_{N\bullet}[2i])| = |\text{Hom}_{C^\bullet(A)}(P_{M_{2i}\bullet}, P_{N\bullet})| = \prod_{t=2i}^{n} |\text{Hom}_A(M_{2t}, N)| \cdot |\text{Hom}_A(P_t, N_{t-2i+1})|.
\]
In a similar way as Lemma 3.3, for any $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we obtain
\[
|\text{Hom}_{C^b(A)}(P_{M \bullet}, P_{N \bullet}[-2i])| = \prod_{t=0}^{n-2i-1} |\text{Hom}_{A}(P_t, N_{t+2i+1})|.
\]

Therefore, the proof is completed. \hfill \Box

Lemma 3.5. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{A}$. Then
\[
\frac{|\text{Hom}_{C^2(A)}(C_M, C_N)|}{|\text{Hom}_A(M, N)|} = q^{(\hat{M}_{\text{even}}, \hat{N}) + (\hat{P}_{M \text{even}}^\text{odd}, \hat{N}_{\text{odd}}) + (\hat{P}_{M \text{odd}}^\text{even}, \hat{N}_{\text{even}}) + w_0}.
\]

(3.6)

where $w_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{t=2i+1}^{n} (-1)^{t-1} \dim \text{Ext}^t_A(M, N)$. In particular, if $w_0 = 0$, then
\[
\frac{|\text{Hom}_{C^2(A)}(C_M, C_N)|}{|\text{Hom}_A(M, N)|} = v^{(\hat{M}, \tau(N)) - (\tau(M), \hat{N}) + (\hat{P}_{M \text{odd}}^\text{odd}, \hat{P}_{M \text{od}}^\text{even}) + (\hat{C}_{M \text{even}}^\text{odd}, \hat{C}_{M \text{even}}^\text{even}) - (M, N)}.
\]

Proof. Let
\[
r_1 = \dim \text{Hom}_A(M, N),
\]
\[
r_2 = \dim \text{Hom}_{C^2(A)}(C_M, C_N),
\]
\[
r_3 = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \dim \text{Hom}_A(M_{2i}, N).
\]

By Lemma 3.4,
\[
r_2 = r_3 + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{t=2i}^{n} \dim \text{Hom}_A(P_t, N_{t-2i+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{t=0}^{n-2i+1} \dim \text{Hom}_A(P_t, N_{t+2i+1})
\]
\[
= r_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{t=0}^{n} (\hat{P}_{t}, \hat{N}_{t+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{t=2i}^{n} (\hat{P}_{t}, \hat{N}_{t-2i+1}) + \sum_{t=0}^{n-2i+1} (\hat{P}_{t}, \hat{N}_{t+2i+1})
\]
\[
= r_3 + \langle \hat{P}_0, \hat{N}_{\text{odd}} \rangle + \langle \hat{P}_i, \hat{N}_{\text{even}} \rangle + \sum_{t=2}^{n} \langle \hat{P}_t, \frac{1 + (-1)^t}{2} \hat{N}_{\text{odd}} + \frac{1 - (-1)^t}{2} \hat{N}_{\text{even}} \rangle
\]
\[
= r_3 + \langle \hat{P}_{M \text{even}}^\text{even}, \hat{N}_{\text{odd}} \rangle + \langle \hat{P}_{M \text{odd}}^\text{odd}, \hat{N}_{\text{even}} \rangle.
\]

In fact,
\[
r_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \langle \hat{M}_{2i}, \hat{N} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{t=1}^{n-2i-1} (-1)^{t-1} \dim \text{Ext}^t_A(M_{2i}, N)
\]
\[
= \langle \hat{M}_{\text{even}}, \hat{N} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{t=2i+1}^{n} (-1)^{t-1} \dim \text{Ext}^t_A(M, N)
\]
\[
= \langle \hat{M}_{\text{even}}, \hat{N} \rangle + w_0.
\]

Therefore, $r_2 - r_1 = \langle \hat{M}_{\text{even}}, \hat{N} \rangle + \langle \hat{P}_{M \text{even}}^\text{even}, \hat{N}_{\text{odd}} \rangle + \langle \hat{P}_{M \text{odd}}^\text{odd}, \hat{N}_{\text{even}} \rangle + w_0$. So we have proved the first equality.
On the other hand, by definition, it is easy to see that
\[
\hat{P}^\text{odd}_M = \hat{M}_\text{odd} + \hat{M}_\text{even} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P}^\text{even}_M = \hat{M}_\text{odd} + \hat{M}_\text{even} + \hat{M}.
\]

Hence,
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\langle \hat{M}, \tau(N) \rangle - \langle \tau(M), \hat{N} \rangle + \langle \hat{P}^\text{odd}_M, \hat{P}^\text{odd}_N \rangle + \langle \hat{P}^\text{even}_M, \hat{P}^\text{even}_N \rangle - \langle \hat{M}, \hat{N} \rangle \\
&= \langle \hat{M}, \hat{N}_\text{odd} - \hat{N}_\text{even} \rangle - \langle M_\text{odd} - \hat{M}_\text{even}, \hat{N} \rangle + \langle M_\text{odd} + \hat{M}_\text{even}, \hat{N}_\text{odd} + \hat{N}_\text{even} \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle M_\text{odd} + \hat{M}_\text{even} + \hat{M}, \hat{N}_\text{odd} + \hat{N}_\text{even} + \hat{N} \rangle - \langle \hat{M}, \hat{N} \rangle \\
&= 2\langle \hat{M}_\text{even}, \hat{N} \rangle + 2\langle \hat{M}, \hat{N}_\text{odd} \rangle + 2\langle M_\text{odd}, \hat{N}_\text{odd} + \hat{N}_\text{even} \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\langle \hat{M}_\text{even}, \hat{N}_\text{odd} \rangle + 2\langle \hat{P}^\text{even}_M - \hat{P}^\text{odd}_M, \hat{N}_\text{odd} \rangle + 2\langle \hat{P}^\text{odd}_M, \hat{N}_\text{odd} + \hat{N}_\text{even} \rangle \\
&= 2(\langle \hat{M}_\text{even}, \hat{N} \rangle + \langle \hat{P}^\text{even}_M, \hat{N}_\text{odd} \rangle + \langle \hat{P}^\text{odd}_M, \hat{N}_\text{even} \rangle).
\end{aligned}
\]

Therefore we complete the proof. \(\square\)

Let \(\varphi\) be the \(\mathbb{C}\)-linear map defined by \(\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(A) \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_2(A), [M] \mapsto E_M\).

**Proposition 3.6.** The map \(\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(A) \to \mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_2(A)\) is injective. That is, \(\{E_M \mid M \in \text{Iso}(A)\}\) is a set of linearly independent elements in \(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_2(A)\).

**Proof.** Let \(\psi\) be the \(\mathbb{C}\)-linear map defined by
\[
\psi : \mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_2(A) \to \mathcal{H}_{\text{tw}}(A), [K_P \oplus K^*_Q]^{-1} \ast [M_*] \mapsto \psi(K_{d_1-\Im.d_1,H_0(M_*)})[H_0(M_*)],
\]
where \(P, Q \in \mathcal{P}\) and \(M_* = M_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} M_0\). Then it is easy to check that \(\psi \varphi = 1\). Therefore, \(\varphi\) is injective. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \(M, N \in A\). Then \(\varphi([M] \ast [N]) = \varphi([M]) \ast \varphi([N])\) if and only if \(\Ext^i_A(M, N) = 0\) for any \(i \geq 3\).

**Proof.** Note that
\[
\Ext^1_{\mathcal{C}_2(A)}(C_M, C_N) \cong \Hom_{\mathcal{C}_2(A)}(C_M, C_N[1])
\]
\[
\cong \Hom_{\mathcal{C}_2(A)}(M, N[1])
\]
\[
\cong \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Hom_{\mathcal{P}_2(A)}(M, N[2i + 1])
\]
\[
\cong \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Ext^{2i + 1}_A(M, N).
\]

Suppose \(\Ext^i_A(M, N) = 0\) for any \(i \geq 3\). Then \(\Ext^1_{\mathcal{C}_2(A)}(C_M, C_N) \cong \Ext^1_A(M, N)\). Let \(0 \to N \to L \to M \to 0\) be a short exact sequence, and \(P_M, P_N\) be the minimal projective resolutions of \(M\) and \(N\), respectively. Then by Horse-shoe Lemma (see [11,
Section 2.2) $P_M$ and $P_N$ induce a projective resolution $P'_L$ of $L$. Hence, we have the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C_N \rightarrow C'_L \rightarrow C_M \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to see that

$$\hat{M}_{\text{odd}} + \hat{N}_{\text{odd}} = \hat{L}'_{\text{odd}}$$

and

$$\hat{M}_{\text{even}} + \hat{N}_{\text{even}} = \hat{L}'_{\text{even}}.$$ 

Hence,

$$\varphi([M]) * \varphi([N]) = \frac{v^{t_0}}{|\text{Hom}_{c_2(A)}(C_M, C_N)|} \sum_{[L]} |\text{Ext}^1_{c_2(A)}(C_M, C_N)_{C'_L}| * [C'_L]$$

where

$$t_0 = \langle \tau(M), \hat{M} \rangle + \langle \tau(N), \hat{N} \rangle + \langle \tau(N), \hat{M} \rangle + \langle \hat{F}^\text{odd}_M, \hat{P}^\text{odd}_N \rangle + \langle \hat{F}^\text{even}_M, \hat{P}^\text{even}_N \rangle$$

and

$$t_1 = t_0 - \langle \tau(M) + \tau(N), \hat{M} + \hat{N} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \hat{M}, \tau(N) \rangle - \langle \tau(M), \hat{N} \rangle + \langle \hat{F}^\text{odd}_M, \hat{P}^\text{odd}_N \rangle + \langle \hat{F}^\text{even}_M, \hat{P}^\text{even}_N \rangle.$$ 

On the other hand,

$$\varphi([M] * [N]) = \frac{v^{(M,N)}}{|\text{Hom}_{A}(M,N)|} \sum_{[L]} |\text{Ext}^1_{A}(M, N)_L| * E_L. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $w_0 = 0$. By Lemma 3.5, the sufficiency is proved.

Conversely, suppose $\varphi([M] * [N]) = \varphi([M]) * \varphi([N])$. In general,

$$\varphi([M]) * \varphi([N]) = \frac{v^{t_0}}{|\text{Hom}_{c_2(A)}(C_M, C_N)|} \sum_{[L]} |\text{Ext}^1_{c_2(A)}(C_M, C_N)_{C'_L}| * [C'_L]$$

$$+ \sum_{[X] \neq [C'_L]} \frac{|\text{Ext}^1_{c_2(A)}(C_M, C_N)_{X}|}{|\text{Hom}_{c_2(A)}(C_M, C_N)|} * [X]$$

$$= \sum_{[L]} a_L(q) E_L + \sum_{[X] \neq [C'_L]} b_X(q) K_{-(\hat{M}_{\text{odd}} + \hat{N}_{\text{odd}})} * K_{-(\hat{N}_{\text{even}} + \hat{N}_{\text{even}})} * [X], \tag{3.9}$$

where $a_L(q)$ and $b_X(q)$ belong to the rational function field $Q(q)$. Since all $[C'_L]$ and $[X]$ appearing in the sum are linearly independent in $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(C_2(\mathcal{P}))$, it follows that all $E_L$ and
$K_{(M_{\text{od}}+N_{\text{od}})}*K_{(M_{\text{ev}}+N_{\text{ev}})}*[X_\bullet]$ are also linearly independent in $D\mathcal{H}_2(\mathcal{A})$. Comparing the formula (3.9) with (3.8), we get that $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(M,N) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.5, we conclude that $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(M,N) = 0$ for any $i \geq 3$. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** Define $F_M := \varphi^*(M)K_{-N_{\text{ev}}}K_{-M_{\text{od}}}[C_M^\bullet]$, and let $\varphi^*$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map defined by $\varphi^*: \mathcal{H}_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow D\mathcal{H}_2(\mathcal{A}), [M] \longmapsto F_M$. Then (1) $\varphi^*$ is injective. (2) For any $M, N \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi^*(\{M\} \cdot \{N\}) = \varphi^*(\{M\}) \cdot \varphi^*(\{N\})$ if and only if $\text{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(M,N) = 0$ for any $i \geq 3$.

In what follows, we only give the statements of results on $\varphi$, although they also hold for $\varphi^*$. The following corollary is the main result of [4].

**Corollary 3.9.** If $\mathcal{A}$ is of global dimension at most two. Then $\varphi$ is an embedding of $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ into $D\mathcal{H}_2(\mathcal{A})$.

In the following, we apply our main result to iterated tilted algebras. We recall that an algebra $A$ is called an **iterated tilted algebra** if there exists a sequence $(A_i, T_i, A_i)$, $i=1, 2, \cdots, m$, where each $A_i$ is a finite dimensional $k$-algebra and $T_i$ is a (classical) tilting $A_{i-1}$-module (cf. [1, Section VI.2]), such that $A_0$ is hereditary, $A_i = \text{End}_{A_{i-1}}(T_{i-1})$, and $A_m = A$. For convenience, we call $A_i$ the $i$th tilted algebra. We denote $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(\text{mod} A)$ and $D\mathcal{H}_2(\text{mod} A)$ by $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(A)$ and $D\mathcal{H}_2(A)$, respectively. It is well-known that $A_1$ is of global dimension at most two, so

$$\varphi: \mathcal{H}_{tw}(A) \longrightarrow D\mathcal{H}_2(A), [M] \longmapsto E_M$$

is an embedding of algebras.

By the Brenner-Butler theorem (cf. [1]), we know that the functors $\text{Hom}_{A_1}(T_1, -)$ and $- \otimes_{A_1} T_1$ induce mutually inverse equivalences between the following two full subcategories of $\text{mod} A_1$ and $\text{mod} A_2$, respectively,

$$\mathcal{T}(T_1) = \{M \mid \text{Ext}^1_{A_1}(T_1, M) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}(T_1) = \{N \mid \text{Tor}^1_{A_2}(N, T_1) = 0\}. \quad (3.10)$$

While the functors $\text{Ext}^1_{A_1}(T, -)$ and $\text{Tor}^1_{A_2}(-, T)$ induce mutually inverse equivalences between the following two full subcategories of $\text{mod} A_1$ and $\text{mod} A_2$, respectively,

$$\mathcal{F}(T_1) = \{M \mid \text{Hom}_{A_1}(T_1, M) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X}(T_1) = \{N \mid N \otimes_{A_2} T_1 = 0\}. \quad (3.11)$$

**Corollary 3.10.** Let $A_2$ be the 2th tilted algebra as above. Then there exist injective homomorphisms

$$\varphi_1: \mathcal{H}_{tw}(\mathcal{X}(T_1)) \longrightarrow D\mathcal{H}_2(A_2), [M] \longmapsto E_M$$

and

$$\varphi_2: \mathcal{H}_{tw}(\mathcal{V}(T_1)) \longrightarrow D\mathcal{H}_2(A_2), [M] \longmapsto E_M.$$
Proof. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{X}(T_1)$. By the Brenner-Butler theorem, for any $i \geq 0$,
$$\text{Ext}^i_{A_2}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}^i_{A_1}(M', N')$$
for some $M', N' \in \mathcal{F}(T_1)$. For any $i \geq 3$, since $A_1$ is of global dimension at most two, it follows that $\text{Ext}^i_{A_1}(M', N') = 0$ and then $\text{Ext}^i_{A_2}(M, N) = 0$. Then by Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.7, $\varphi_1$ is an embedding of algebras. Similarly, we can prove the second embedding.

Example 3.11. Let $Q$ be the quiver

1 $\rightarrow$ 2 $\rightarrow$ 3 $\cdots$ $\rightarrow$ $n + 1$

and let $A = kQ/I$, where $I$ is the ideal of $kQ$ generated by all paths of length 2. Observe that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < |i - j| \leq 2$ and $t \geq 3$, $\text{Ext}^t(aS_i, bS_j) = 0$. Let $N = 1 - (S_i, S_j)$, by Theorem 3.7, we have the Serre relations

$$\sum_{t=0}^{N} (-1)^t \left[ \begin{array}{c} N \\ t \end{array} \right] v_{E_S_i}^{(t)} E_{S_j} E_{S_i}^{(N-t)} = 0,$$

since $\sum_{t=0}^{N} (-1)^t \left[ \begin{array}{c} N \\ t \end{array} \right] [S_i]^{(t)} [S_j] [S_i]^{(N-t)} = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(A)$ (cf. [3, Section 10.2]).
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