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Abstract: Kitaev’s lattice models are usually defined as representations of the Drinfeld quantum double. We propose a new version based on Majid’s bicrossproduct quantum group. Given a Hopf algebra \(H\), we show that a triangulated oriented surface defines a representation of the bicrossproduct quantum group \(H^{\text{cop}} \triangleright \triangleleft H\). Even though the bicrossproduct has a more complicated and entangled coproduct, the construction of this new model is relatively natural as it relies on the use of the covariant Hopf algebra actions. We obtain an exactly solvable Hamiltonian for the model and provide a definition of the ground state in terms of a tensor network representation.
1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation & Outline

The Kitaev quantum double models [1] were originally proposed to exploit topological phases of matter for fault-tolerant quantum computation. The models are based on quantum many-body systems exhibiting topological order. Their physics is obtained from Topological quantum field theories (TQFTs), while their underlying mathematical structure is based on Hopf algebras. For a given finite group $G$, Kitaev constructed an ‘extended’ Hilbert space on a triangulated oriented surface $\Sigma$ and an exactly solvable Hamiltonian, whose ground state or protected space is a topological invariant of the surface. It turns out that, this triangulations
or graph defines a representation of the Drinfeld quantum double $D(G)$. A well known example of these models is the Kitaev toric code, which is based on the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ [1]. See also [2] for a recent account. It was anticipated in [1] that these models could be generalized to that based on a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $H$. This was achieved in [3]. Other models in the family of topologically ordered spin models such as the Levin-Wen string-net models [4, 5] which are based on a representation category of $H$ are also related to the Kitaev models [6, 7]. In particular, for a fusion category of representation of finite groups, a Fourier transformation of the Kitaev models lead to the extended string-net models [6, 8, 9]. The structure of excitations for these models is also well established [10–12]. One defines the so called ribbon operators on the Hilbert space that generate the excitations.

The Kitaev quantum double models can be understood to describe the moduli space of flat connections on a 2d surface with defect excitations. From the point of view of quantum gravity, they are of strong interest as they are directly related to certain 3d TQFTs defined in terms of (quasitriangular) Hopf algebras. It is known that the protected space of a Kitaev model for a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra $H$ on an oriented surface $\Sigma$ is exactly the vector space that the Turaev-Viro TQFTs [13, 14] for the representation category of $H$ assigns to $\Sigma$ [7, 8, 15, 16]. The construction of these models is also closely related to BF theory with defects [17–21], a TQFT describing locally flat connections. Other recent examples include a dual picture which was introduced in the quantum gravity setting where the excitations have been swapped [22]. Even though this was discovered independently, this result could have been guessed in light of the notion of electro-magnetic duality well known in topological quantum computing [9]. A recent paper by Meusburger show that Kitaev’s model for a finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra $H$ is equivalent to the combinatorial quantization of Chern-Simons theory for the Drinfeld double $D(H)$ [23]. This emerges in a gauge theoretic framework, in which both models are viewed as Hopf algebra-valued lattice gauge theories [24].

These results have opened new perspectives on the relations between topological quantum information (TQI) and quantum gravity. Although each framework comes with its own motivation, they share similar mathematical concepts. For example, in the case of TQI cases, one deals with a (ribbon) graph decorated by Hopf algebra elements and constructs an exactly solvable Hamiltonian defined in terms of operators acting on the nodes and faces of the graph. The vacuum state of this can be interpreted from the quantum gravity perspective as the pure gravity case, whereas the excitations of the TQI Hamiltonian, used to perform quantum computations, are interpreted as particles with mass or spin depending on their location. In the case of loop quantum gravity, one has torsion excitations on the nodes, i.e. spin, whereas on the faces, one has curvature excitations, i.e. mass. The most relevant algebraic structure to deal with representations which classify particles for example and indicate their braiding, is not only the Hopf algebra $H$ but the associated Drinfeld double $D(H)$. Once again, this structure was identified using different arguments in each of the different frameworks. In the TQI case, one deals with the Drinfeld’s quantum double of finite dimensional (semisimple) Hopf algebras (e.g. built from finite groups) [1, 3, 25] whereas in the quantum gravity case one makes use of the quantum double of Hopf algebras built from Lie groups or their quantum deformation [26–36].

As described above, the Drinfeld quantum double is in a sense the common quantum
group which arise in the quantum computing setting. However, from the point of view of quantum gravity, other quantum groups emerge. In particular, the bicrossproduct quantum group originally proposed by Majid [37] as a new foundation for quantum gravity. The bicrossproduct quantum groups are interpreted here as algebras of observables of quantum systems so that one can view them as functions on a quantum phase space. These bicrossproduct quantum groups are also known to be valid candidates for the combinatorial quantization of Chern-Simons theory of 3d gravity [38–41].

It turns out that the bicrossproduct quantum group is physically related to the Drinfeld double, through a semi-dualization map [42]. This stems from Majid’s idea of ‘quantum born reciprocity’, proposed for quantum gravity where one can exchange position and momentum degrees of freedom in an algebraic framework [43]. It is also known that the bicrossproduct quantum group is mathematically related to the quantum double by a Drinfeld twist. A results originally introduced in [44] as an algebraic Wick rotation, and recently established in [45] as a Drinfeld and module algebra twist, where the universal $R$-matrix for the bicrossproduct is also obtained via the Drinfeld twist between them.

From the above considerations, while the bicrossproduct quantum group emerges in the quantum gravity framework, it is yet to be explored for the topological quantum computation models. It is therefore natural to ask whether it is possible to construct lattice models for quantum computation based on quantum groups other than the quantum doubles, in particular the bicrossproduct quantum groups.

In this work, we propose a Kitaev lattice model based on the bicrossproduct quantum group. Given a Hopf algebra $H$, we define an extended Hilbert space on the dual graph $\Gamma$ of a polytope decomposition of an oriented surface $\Sigma$ (or equivalently, a graph with cyclic ordering of edge ends at each vertex) with each edge of $\Gamma$ assigned to $H^*$. We show that the $\Gamma$ defines a representation of the mirror bicrossproduct $H^\text{cop} \triangleright \rhd H$ by obtaining local vertex and face operators $A^h, B^a$ which act on the Hilbert space and represent both copies $H, H^\text{cop}$ in $H^\text{cop} \triangleright \rhd H$, satisfying the commutation relations in $H^\text{cop} \triangleright \rhd H$. The proof of the graph representation for bicrossproduct model is rather subtle but interesting because the coproduct in $H^\text{cop} \triangleright \rhd H$ is not a tensor product one as in the case of the quantum double but rather entangled due to the presence of a coaction. See Theorem 3.3 for details. Our construction of the graph representation is based on the extension of the canonical covariant action of $H^\text{cop} \triangleright \rhd H$ on $H^*$ to an action on $H^* \otimes |E|$, the $|E|$-fold tensor product of $H^*$ where $|E|$ is the number of edges. We also obtain an exactly solvable Hamiltonian, whose ground state or protected space is a topological invariant of the surface. One can also extend the entire theory of Ribbon operators [1] to the bicrossproduct model. We shall consider this in future work. The model is solved by providing a tensor network representation for one of its ground states. Any other energy eigenstate can be obtained from there by an appropriate ribbon operator.

The scheme of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we review the general bicrossproduct construction and the specific example of the mirror bicrossproduct obtained via semidualisation of the Drinfeld quantum double and mirror bicrossproduct [37]. In Section 3, we provide a detailed construction of lattice representation based on the bicrossproduct quantum group $H^\text{cop} \triangleright \rhd H$ acting on $H^*$ and obtain the Hamiltonian for the model. In Section 4, we define
the tensor network representation of the model, providing in particular the realization of the
ground state in this setting. Section 5 provides an outlook of our results.

1.2 Notation and Conventions

We follow the theory and conventions for Hopf algebras in the book [37]. Unless otherwise
specified, we work over a field \( k \) of characteristic zero. A Hopf algebra or ‘quantum group’ \( H \)
is an algebra and a coalgebra, with a linear coproduct \( \Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H \) which is an algebra
homomorphism and satisfies the coassociativity condition \((\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta\). We use
Sweedler notation for the coproduct so that for all \( h \in H \), \( \Delta(h) = h^{(1)} \otimes h^{(2)} \). There
is also a counit \( \epsilon : H \rightarrow k \) and an antipode \( \Delta : H \rightarrow H \) defined by \((\Delta h)(h) = h_{(1)} \Delta h_{(2)} = \epsilon(h)\)
for all \( h \in H \). If \( H \) is finite-dimensional, then \( S^{-1} \) exist and \( S^2 = \text{id} \). We denote by
\( H^\otimes_n \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the \( n \)-fold tensor product of \( H \). The composition of \( n \) coproducts is the map
\( \Delta^{(n)} : H \rightarrow H^\otimes(n+1) \) defined by \( \Delta^{(n)}(h) = h^{(1)} \otimes h^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes h^{(n+1)} \). This is well defined since
the coproduct is coassociativity. We denote by \( H^* \) the dual Hopf algebra with dual pairing
given by the non-degenerate bilinear map \( \langle , \rangle \) and \( H^{\text{cop}}, H^{\text{op}} \) denote taking the opposite
coproduct or opposite product in \( H \).

2 Bicrossproduct quantum groups

In this section we briefly review the features of the bicrossproduct construction which are
required in the current application and refer to the book [37] for a comprehensive discussion
of these quantum groups.

2.1 Double cross products and semidualization

Consider a Hopf algebra \( H \) which factorizes into two sub-Hopf algebras \( H_1, H_2 \) and built
on the vector space \( H_1 \otimes H_2 \). Factorization here implies an isomorphism of linear spaces
given by the map \( H_1 \otimes H_2 \rightarrow H \). This gives rise to the actions \( \triangleright : H_2 \otimes H_1 \rightarrow H_1 \) and
\( \triangleleft : H_2 \otimes H_1 \rightarrow H_2 \) of each Hopf algebra on the vector space of the other and define a double
crossproduct Hopf algebra \( H_1 \triangleright \triangleleft H_2 \). The actions enter the definition of the product on
\( H_1 \triangleright \triangleleft H_2 \) as \((1 \otimes a)(h \otimes 1) = a_1 \triangleright h^{(1)} \otimes a_2 \triangleleft h^{(2)} \). The coproduct of \( H_1 \triangleright \triangleleft H_2 \) is given by the tensor
coproduct coming from each factor. There is a covariant left action of \( H_1 \triangleright \triangleleft H_2 \) on \( H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_1 \)
as a module algebra, leading to a left covariant system \((H_1 \triangleright \triangleright H_2, H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_1)\) with \( H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft(H_1 \triangleright \triangleright H_2) \) as its
associated left cross product algebra.

The semidual of the double cross product is obtained by dualising half of the match pair
data and gives a bicrossproduct Hopf algebra. More precisely, replacing \( H_2 \) with \( H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_1 \),
which then acts covariantly on \( H_2 \) from the right
as an algebra. The covariant action of the bicrossproduct gives the right covariant system
\((H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_1, H_2)\). The explicit details are given in [37]. See also [45] for a recent account. The
left action \( \triangleright : H_1 \otimes H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_2 \) of \( H_1 \) on \( H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_2 \) and a right coaction \( \Delta_R : H_1 \rightarrow H_1 \otimes H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_2 \)
on \( H_1 \) are defined by

\[
(h \triangleright \phi)(a) := \phi(a \triangleleft h), \quad \phi \in H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_1, \quad a \in H_2, \quad h \in H_1
\]

\[
h^0(1, a) = a \triangleright h, \quad h \in H_1, \quad a \in H_2, \quad \Delta_R h = h^0 \otimes h^1 \in H_1 \otimes H_2^\triangleright \triangleleft H_2.
\]
These define the bicrossproduct $H_2^*\triangleright\triangleleft H_1$ by a left handed cross product $H_2^*\triangleright H_1$ as an algebra and a right handed cross coproduct $H_2^*\triangleright H_1$ as coalgebra:

$$\begin{align*}
(\phi \otimes h)(\psi \otimes g) = & \phi(h_{(1)} \triangleright \psi) \otimes h_{(2)}g, & h \in H_1, & \phi, \psi \in H_2^* \\
\Delta(\phi \otimes h) = & (\phi_{(1)} \otimes h^0_{(1)}) \otimes (\phi_{(2)} h^1_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}) \\
S(\phi \otimes h) = & (1 \otimes S(h^0))(S(\phi h^1) \otimes 1)
\end{align*}$$ (2.1, 2.2, 2.3)

The canonical right action of $H_2^*\triangleright\triangleleft H_1$ on $H_2$ is

$$a \triangleleft(\phi \otimes h) = a_{(2)} \triangleright h(\phi, a_{(1)}), \quad \forall h \in H_1, \quad a \in H_2, \quad \phi \in H_2^*.$$ (2.4)

One could also have a different bicrossproduct model via semidualisation where we dualise $H_1$ to obtain $H_{2^*} \triangleright H_1^*$ acting on the left on $H_1$ while $H_1 \triangleright H_2$ acts on the right on $H_1^*$. We refer to [37, 42] for more details.

### 2.2 Quantum double and Mirror bicrossproduct quantum group

A well known example of the double cross product is the Drinfeld quantum double $D(H) = H \triangleright \triangleleft H^{\text{cop}}$, built on $H \otimes H^*$ as a vector space\(^1\). Following the general construction of double cross product above, $D(H)$ canonically acts on $(H^{\text{cop}})^* = H^{\text{cop}}$ from the left as an algebra and we have $(D(H), H^{\text{cop}})$ as a left covariant system.

The mirror bicrossproduct for a Hopf algebra $H$ is $M(H) = H^{\text{cop}} \triangleright\triangleleft H$. It is easy to see from the previous section that one can obtain this by semidualising the quantum double $D(H)$. The left action of $H$ on $H^{\text{cop}}$ and the right coaction of $H^{\text{cop}}$ on $H$ are given respectively as

$$h \triangleright a = h_{(1)} a S h_{(2)}, \quad \Delta_R h = h_{(2)} \otimes h_{(1)} S h_{(3)}.$$ (2.5)

The algebra is

$$(a \otimes h)(b \otimes g) = a(h_{(1)} b S h_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(3)} g, \quad h, g \in H, \quad a, b \in H^{\text{cop}}.$$ (2.6)

Here, $H^{\text{cop}} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes H$ appear as bialgebras but with mutual commutation relation fully determined by

$$h b := (1 \otimes h)(b \otimes 1) = (h_{(1)} b S h_{(2)}) h_{(3)},$$ (2.7)

where the identification $h \rightarrow 1_{H^{\text{cop}}} \otimes h$ and $b \rightarrow b \otimes 1_H$ are algebra morphisms. The coproduct and antipode are respectively

$$\begin{align*}
\Delta(a \otimes h) = & a_{(2)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes a_{(3)} h_{(3)} S h_{(4)} \otimes h_{(4)}, \\
S(a \otimes h) = & (1 \otimes S h_{(2)})(S(ah_{(1)}) S h_{(3)} \otimes 1).
\end{align*}$$ (2.8, 2.9)

The Hopf algebra $H^{\text{cop}} \triangleright\triangleleft H$ acts covariantly on $H^{\text{cop}}$ from the right according to

$$\phi \triangleleft( a \otimes h ) = \langle ah_{(1)}, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \langle Sh_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}.$$ (2.10)

\(^1\)Note that in [3], $\triangleright\triangleleft$ is referred to as bicrossproduct. However, we refer to it as a double cross product built from the two semidirect product $\triangleright$ and $\triangleleft$ put together. The bicrossproduct is $\triangleright\triangleleft$ (or $\triangleright\triangleright\triangleleft$) and is the semidual of $\triangleright\triangleright$ as explained in section 2.1.
and using (A.2) with the antipode (2.9) of $H \triangleright \leftarrow H$, this gives rise to covariant left action on $H^*$

$$(a \otimes h) \triangleright \phi = \langle Sh_{(1)} S a, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}.$$ 

(2.11)

We thus have the right covariant system $(H^\text{cop} \triangleright \leftarrow H, H^\text{cop})_R$ as the left covariant system $(H^\text{cop} \triangleright \leftarrow H, H^*)_L$. Again, we refer to [37] for details. Extracting the covariant actions of $H^\text{cop}$ on $H^*$ and $H$ on $H^*$ in the covariant system $(H^\text{cop} \triangleright \leftarrow H, H^*)$, we get

$$a \triangleright \phi = \langle S a, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}, \quad h \triangleright \phi = \langle S h_{(1)}, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}.$$ 

(2.12)

respectively. Recent work in [45] show that if $H$ is factorisable, then the covariant system $(D(H), H)$ is equivalent to $(M(H), H^*)$ up to a Drinfeld and module algebra twist.

3 Kitaev model for mirror bicrossproduct Hopf algebras

In this section, we construct a lattice representation based on the mirror bicrossproduct $H^\text{cop} \triangleright \leftarrow H$ acting on $H^*$ and obtain an exactly solvable Hamiltonian for the model. We work over $\mathbb{C}$ and require that the Hopf algebras be finite dimensional Hopf $\star$-algebras.

3.1 Lattice representation and Hilbert space

Consider a polytope decomposition of a 2d oriented surface $\Sigma$, possibly with boundaries or equivalently, a graph with cyclic ordering of edge ends at each vertex. Due to the correspondence of the Poincaré duality between a graph and its dual and Hopf algebra duality, we work with the dual graph $\Gamma$ of $\Sigma$ and assign $H^*$ to each edge of $\Gamma$. We denote by $V, E, F$ respectively the set of vertices, edges, faces of the graph $\Gamma$.

Given a Hopf algebra $H$ with dual $H^*$, we define the extended Hilbert space for the model by

$$\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = \bigotimes_{e \in \Gamma} H^*,$$

the $|E|$-fold tensor product of $H^*$ with each copy assigned to an edge of $\Gamma$. We identify $\phi \mapsto S(\phi)$ if the orientation is reversed. Since $H^*$ is finite-dimensional, $S^2 = \text{id}$ and this isomorphism is well defined.

3.2 Triangle operators

To each edge $e \in E$, we assign a family of basic linear operators $(L^h_\pm)_e, (T^a_\pm)_e$ which are linear maps on the Hilbert space, indexed by elements of the Hopf algebras $H$ and $H^\text{cop}$ respectively. They act on the edge in question and act only on the copy of the Hopf algebra associated to the edge. These operators are called triangle operators and are defined as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra and $\Gamma$ a graph with cyclic ordering of edge ends at each vertex. Let $h \in H, \phi \in H^*$ and $a \in H^\text{cop}$. The triangle operators for an edge $e \in E$ are linear maps

$$(L^h_\pm)_e : H^* \otimes |E| \to H^* \otimes |E|, \quad (T^a_\pm)_e : H^* \otimes |E| \to H^* \otimes |E|,$$

where $L^h_+, T^a_+ : H^* \to H^*$ are given by

$$L^h_+(\phi) = \langle h, S \phi_{(3)} \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} \rangle, \quad L^h_-(\phi) = \langle h, \phi_{(3)} S \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} \rangle,$$

$$T^a_+(\phi) = \langle S a, \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} \rangle, \quad T^a_- (\phi) = \langle a, \phi_{(2)} \phi_{(1)} \rangle.$$ 

(3.1)
Here, the operators \( L_+ \) and \( T_+ \) are the canonical left action (2.12) of the bicrossproduct \( H^\text{cop} \triangleright H \) on \( H^* \). The \( L_- \) and \( T_- \) are also left actions obtained using the relations

\[
L_-^h(\phi) = (S \circ L_+^h \circ S)(\phi), \quad T_-^a(\phi) = (S \circ T_+^a \circ S)(\phi).
\]

The algebra of the triangle operators are given by

\[
[L_+^h, L_+^g] = 0, \quad [T_+^a, T_+^b] = 0, \quad L_+^h T_+^a = T_+^{h(1)aSh(2)} L_+^{h(3)}, \quad L_+^h T_+^a = T_-^{h(1)aSh(2)} L_-^{h(3)}, \quad \text{for all } h, g \in H, a, b \in H^\text{cop}.
\]

Geometrically, for an edge \( e \in E \) ending at vertex \( v \), the operator \( (L_+^h)_e \) is \( (L_+^h)_e \) if \( v \) is the source of the edge and \( (L_+^h)_e \) otherwise. Similarly, \( (T_+^a)_e \) (respectively \( (T_+^a)_e \)) for the adjacent face \( p \) on the left (the right) of the edge \( e \). This rule is illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Kitaev convention for triangle operators acting on an edge.](image)

It is interesting to note that for the bicrossproduct covariant system (\( H^\text{cop} \triangleright H, H^* \)), the canonical left action \( T_+ \) of the Hopf algebra \( H^\text{cop} \) on \( H^* \) is a coregular action and makes \( H^* \) into an \( H^\text{cop} \)-module algebra by construction while the canonical left action \( L_- \) of the finite-dimensional Hopf algebra \( H \) on \( H^* \) is a coadjoint action and makes \( H^* \) an \( H \)-module coalgebra. This is a crucial requirement for extending the \( H^\text{cop} \triangleright H \)-module to the full Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_\Gamma \).

3.3 Geometric operators

Next, the triangle operators are used to define vertex and face operators \( A^h(v, p) = A^h_v \) and \( B^a(v, p) = B^a_p \) for the bicrossproduct model on the extended Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_\Gamma \). These operators are also called geometric operators. Both operators depend on a pair of vertex and face that are adjacent to each other. They require linear ordering of edges at each vertex and in each face. This is specified by a site \([1] s = (v, p)\), which consist of a face \( p \) and adjacent vertex \( v \) and represented by dotted lines as shown in Figure 2. To each face, to get a site, one has to choose a vertex that belongs to the face and to each vertex choose a face belonging to the vertex. Though there is duality between the vertex and face operators for a graph and its dual, we do not swap the operators in the bicrossproduct model since we are working on the dual graph.

**Definition 3.2.** Let \((v, p)\) be the site of \( \Gamma \) with all edges incoming, and \( h \in H, a \in H^\text{cop}, \) \( \phi^i \in H^* \). The vertex operator \( A^h_v : H^* \otimes |E| \to H^* \otimes |E| \) which encodes the action of \( H \) in \( H^\text{cop} \triangleright H \) at the site by
Figure 2. This figure shows a graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and its dual $\Gamma$. The edges of $\Gamma$ are in blue. A site $s = (v, p)$ of $\Gamma$ is indicated in red and $s' = (v', p')$ (in grey) is a site of $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi^n &\quad p \\
\phi^1 &\quad v \\
\phi^2 &\quad \phi^3
\end{align*}
\rightarrow
\begin{align*}
h_{(n)} \triangleright \phi^n &\quad h_{(1)} \triangleright \phi^1 \\
h_{(2)} \triangleright \phi^2 &\quad h_{(3)} \triangleright \phi^3
\end{align*}
\]

\[
= \langle h, (S\phi^1_{(1)})\phi^1_{(2)} \ldots (S\phi^n_{(1)})\phi^n_{(2)} \rangle
\]

or

\[
A_v^h = L_{\triangleleft}^{h_{(1)}} \otimes \ldots \otimes L_{\triangleleft}^{h_{(n)}},
\]

where $\Delta^{(n-1)}(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{(n)}$ with $\Delta(h)$ given by the coproduct of $H^{\text{cop}} \triangleright H$ in (2.8). The antipode is applied when there is a change in orientation away from the vertex $v$ to map the left action $L_+$ to the left action $L_-$ as described in (3.2). The face operator $B^p_v : H^{\otimes |E|} \to H^{\otimes |E|}$ for the face $p$ which encodes the action of $H^{\text{cop}}$ in $H^{\text{cop}} \triangleleft H$ at the site is defined by
Theorem 3.3. Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra with dual $H^*$ and the dual $\Gamma$ of a graph with cyclic ordering of edge ends at each vertex. Let $H^*$ be assigned to each edge of $\Gamma$. Then each site $(v,p)$ of $\Gamma$ admits a bicrossproduct $H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H$-module structure via the vertex and face operators $A_h^v, B^a_p : H^* \otimes |E| \rightarrow H^* \otimes |E|$ given in Definition 3.2, i.e. the operators satisfy the commutation relation in the bicrossproduct quantum group

$$A^h \circ B^a = B^{(h(1)aS_h(2))} \circ A^{h(3)}, \quad \forall h \in H, \ a \in H^{\text{cop}}.$$  

(3.4)

Proof. Let us consider first the simplest or minimal graph $\Gamma_e$, with one vertex and one edge, as in Figure 3. The covariant system $(H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H, H^*)$ provides a representation of $H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H$ for $\Gamma_e$. 

or

$$B^a_p = T_{(a)}^+ \otimes \cdots \otimes T_{(1)}^+$$

where the coproduct $\Delta(a)$ is given by (2.8). The antipode is applied when there is a change in orientation away from the vertex $v$ to map the left action $T_+$ to the left action $T_-$. 

The definition of $A^h$ follows by assigning the coproduct of $h \in H$ along the edges in a clockwise manner taking into account the site $(v,p)$, and then the appropriate action of $h$ depending on the edge orientation. Likewise, the operator $B^a$ is defined, but the edges associated to the face $p$ are assigned the coproduct of $a \in H^{\text{cop}}$ clockwise starting from the vertex $v$. The action of $a$ is then taking depending on whether the edge orientation is on the left or right of the face $p$. 

We shall now show how $\Gamma$, equipped with these operators admits a local mirror bicrossproduct $H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H$-representation at the sites of arbitrary graphs. We need to show that the vertex and face operators represent their respective copies of $H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H$ and that their commutation relations arising from common edges implement the algebra in the bicrossproduct quantum group $H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H$. 

Theorem 3.3. Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra with dual $H^*$ and the dual $\Gamma$ of a graph with cyclic ordering of edge ends at each vertex. Let $H^*$ be assigned to each edge of $\Gamma$. Then each site $(v,p)$ of $\Gamma$ admits a bicrossproduct $H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H$-module structure via the vertex and face operators $A^h_v, B^a_p : H^* \otimes |E| \rightarrow H^* \otimes |E|$ given in Definition 3.2, i.e. the operators satisfy the commutation relation in the bicrossproduct quantum group

$$A^h \circ B^a = B^{(h(1)aS_h(2))} \circ A^{h(3)}, \quad \forall h \in H, \ a \in H^{\text{cop}}.$$  

(3.4)

Proof. Let us consider first the simplest or minimal graph $\Gamma_e$, with one vertex and one edge, as in Figure 3. The covariant system $(H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H, H^*)$ provides a representation of $H^{\text{cop}} \rtimes H$ for $\Gamma_e$. 

\[-9-\]
The vertex and face operators for this graph is defined by

\[ A^h(\phi) := L^h_\phi(\phi) = \langle h, (S\phi_{(1)})\phi_{(3)}\phi_{(2)} \rangle, \quad B^a(\phi) := T^a_{\phi}(\phi) = \langle a, \phi_{(2)}\phi_{(1)} \rangle. \tag{3.5} \]

We proceed to show that the operators \( A^h \) and \( B^a \) defined in (3.5) satisfy (3.4). The LHS of (3.4) is computed as follows

\[
A^h B^a(\phi) = A^h(\langle a, \phi_{(2)}\phi_{(3)} \rangle) = \langle a, \phi_{(2)} \rangle A^h(\phi_{(1)}) \\
= \langle a, \phi_{(2)} \rangle \langle h, (S\phi_{(1)})\phi_{(3)}\phi_{(2)} \rangle \\
= \langle a, \phi_{(4)} \rangle \langle h, (S\phi_{(1)})\phi_{(3)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \langle a, \phi_{(4)} \rangle \langle h_{(1)}, S\phi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(2)}, \phi_{(2)} \rangle \\
= \langle a, \phi_{(4)} \rangle \langle h_{(1)}, S\phi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \\
= \langle a, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(1)}, h_{(2)}, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \epsilon(h) \langle a, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \epsilon(h) \phi_{(2)} = \epsilon(h) \langle a, \phi_{(2)} \rangle \phi_{(1)}. \tag{3.6}
\]

In the third and fifth equalities we renumbered the indices as a result of coassociativity in \( H^* \). We applied the pairing axioms (A.7) and (A.8) in both the fourth and seventh equalities. In the last equality we used the antipode axiom on \( H \) and \( H^* \), and the counity axiom on \( H^* \). Computing the RHS of (3.4) we have

\[
B^{h_{(1)}}aSh_{(2)} A^{h_{(3)}}(\phi) = B^{h_{(1)}}aSh_{(2)} (\langle h_{(3)}, (S\phi_{(1)})\phi_{(3)}\phi_{(2)} \rangle) = \langle h_{(3)}, (S\phi_{(1)})\phi_{(3)} \rangle T^{h_{(1)}}aSh_{(2)}(\phi_{(2)}) \\
= \langle h_{(3)}, (S\phi_{(1)})\phi_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(1)}aSh_{(2)}, \phi_{(2)}(2) \rangle \phi_{(1)(1)} \\
= \langle h_{(3)}, (S\phi_{(1)})\phi_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \langle h_{(1)}aSh_{(2)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(3)}, S\phi_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(4)}, \phi_{(4)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \langle h_{(1)}aSh_{(2)}, h_{(4)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \langle Sh_{(3)}, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \langle h_{(1)}aSh_{(2)}, h_{(3)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \langle Sh_{(2)}, \phi_{(2)}, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \langle h_{(1)}a(Sh_{(2)}), h_{(3)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \langle (Sh_{(2)}), \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \langle h_{(1)}a(Sh_{(2)}), h_{(3)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle Sh_{(2)}, \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)} \\
= \langle h_{(1)}a(Sh_{(2)}), h_{(3)}, \phi_{(3)} \rangle \epsilon(h_{(3)}) \langle (Sh_{(2)}), \phi_{(1)} \rangle \phi_{(2)}. \tag{3.7}
\]

We did some renumbering in the third equality equality due to the coassociativity in \( H^* \). The pairing axioms (A.7) and (A.8) were used in the the fourth and fifth equalities. In the seventh equality we used the fact that \( S \) is an anticoalgebra map. In the last but one equality
we inserted $h_{(3)} = h_{(4)}\epsilon(h_{(3)})$ by the counity axiom and then carry out a renumbering in the last equality in $H$. Simplifying further we get

$$B^{h_{(1)}aSh_{(2)}}A^{h_{(3)}}(\phi) = \langle h_{(1)}a(Sh_{(2)}h_{(3)})(2),\phi_{(3)}\rangle\langle (Sh_{(2)})(1),\phi_{(1)}\rangle\phi_{(2)}$$

$$= \langle h_{(1)}a\epsilon(h_{(2)})(2)\epsilon(h_{(3)}),\phi_{(3)}\rangle\langle (Sh_{(2)})(1),\phi_{(1)}\rangle\phi_{(2)}$$

$$= \langle h_{(1)}a\epsilon(h_{(2)})(1)\epsilon(h_{(3)}),\phi_{(3)}\rangle\langle (Sh_{(2)})(1),\phi_{(1)}\rangle\phi_{(2)}$$

$$= \langle h_{(1)}a\epsilon(h_{(2)})(2)\epsilon(h_{(3)}),\phi_{(3)}\rangle\langle (Sh_{(2)})(2),\phi_{(1)}\rangle\phi_{(2)}$$

$$= \langle h_{(1)}a\epsilon(h_{(2)})(1)\epsilon(h_{(3)}),\phi_{(3)}\rangle\langle (Sh_{(2)})(2),\phi_{(1)}\rangle\phi_{(2)}$$

$$= \langle h_{(1)},\phi_{(2)}(1)\rangle\langle a,\phi_{(3)}(2)\rangle\langle Sh_{(2)},\phi_{(3)}(1)\rangle\phi_{(2)}$$

$$= \langle a,\phi_{(3)}\rangle\langle Sh_{(2)}h_{(1)},\phi_{(1)}\rangle\phi_{(2)} = \epsilon(h)\langle a,\phi_{(2)}\rangle\phi_{(1)}. \tag{3.8}$$

The antipode axiom $h_{(1)}Sh_{(2)} = \epsilon(h)$ is used in the first equality. From (3.6) and (3.8) we conclude the operators (3.5) form a representation of $M(H)$ on the minimal graph 3.

Consider next that $\Gamma$ is made up of two edges connecting each other at two different vertices as shown in Figure 4. The associated Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma = H^* \otimes H^*$.

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. A graph representing the Hilbert space of $H^* \otimes H^*$ admitting an $H^\mathrm{cop}\triangleright\triangleright H$-module.

Note that the coproduct (2.8) of the bicrossproduct $H^\mathrm{cop}\triangleright\triangleright H$ is not a tensor product one but rather entangled due to the presence of the coaction (2.5). From (2.8), we have

$$\Delta(a) = a_{(2)} \otimes 1 \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes 1, \quad \Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes h_{(1)}Sh_{(3)} \otimes h_{(4)}$$

in $H^\mathrm{cop}\triangleright\triangleright H \otimes H^\mathrm{cop}\triangleright\triangleright H$. We may sometimes use the identification $H^\mathrm{cop} \cong H^\mathrm{cop} \otimes 1$ and $H \cong 1 \otimes H$ in $H^\mathrm{cop}\triangleright\triangleright H$ so that we can write for example $\Delta(a) = a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)}$. Using the triangle operators of (3.1), the vertex and the face operators at the site $(v,p)$ of Figure 4 are given by

$$A^h_v(\phi \otimes \phi') = L^h_{(1)}(\phi) \otimes L^{h_{(3)}Sh_{(3)}}(\phi'), \tag{3.9}$$

$$B^h_p(\phi \otimes \phi') = T^{a(1)}_{\phi}(\phi) \otimes T^{a(2)}_{\phi'}(\phi'). \tag{3.10}$$
Before we proceed, let see how we can compute the operator \( L_{(1)}^{h(3) \otimes h(4)} (\phi) \) as it is not defined in (3.1). From the convariant action (2.11), we have

\[
L_{(1)}^{h(3) \otimes h(4)} (\phi) = (h(1) S(h(3) \otimes h(4)) \otimes \phi = \langle S(h(4)) S(h(1) S(h(3)), \phi(1)) \langle h(4)(2), \phi(3) \rangle \phi(2) = \langle Sh(3) S(h(1)), \phi(3) \rangle \phi(2) = \langle Sh(1), \phi(1) \rangle \langle h(3), \phi(3) \rangle \phi(2),
\]

and subsequently using (3.2) we get

\[
L_{(1)}^{h(3) \otimes h(4)} (\phi) = \langle h(1), \phi(3) \rangle \langle h(3), S \phi(1) \rangle \phi(2).
\]

We now show that these operators satisfy (3.4). The proof follows a direct calculation, evaluating both side of the formula on arbitrary elements \( \phi, \phi' \in H^\ast \). Evaluating the left hand side, we have

\[
A^h B^a (\phi \otimes \phi') = A^h \left( T^a_{(2)} (\phi) \otimes T^a_{(1)} (\phi') \right) = A^h \left( \langle a(2), S \phi(1) \rangle \phi(2) \otimes \langle a(1), S \phi'(1) \rangle \phi'(2) \right) = \langle \phi(2), \phi'(2) \rangle \phi(2) \otimes \phi'(2)
\]

We used the definitions of the triangle operators (3.1) in the first and fourth equalities. In the fifth and tenth equalities we did some renumbering due to coassociativity in \( H^\ast \). The dual pairing property (A.7) is applied in the sixth equality and the antipode axiom is used in the eighth equality.

\[
(3.13)
\]
Computing the right hand side of (3.4), we have
\[
B^{h(1)}aSh(2) A^{h(3)} (\phi \otimes \phi')
= B^{h(1)}aSh(2) \left( L^{h(3)}_+ (\phi) \otimes L^{h(3)}_+ (\phi') \right)

= B^{h(1)}aSh(2) \left( \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

= \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

= \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

= \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

The definitions of the triangle operators (3.1) are used in the third and the fifth equalities. The antipode axiom \((SH(1))h(1)h(2) = h(1)Sh(2) = \epsilon(h)\) is used in the sixth equality. We renumber in the sixth equality due to coassociativity in \(H^*\) and \(H\). The counity axiom \(h = \epsilon(h(2))\) is used in the eighth and the last equalities. We used the dual pairing property (A.7) in the last but one equality. Simplifying further, we apply the counit axiom to the last equality, which then reads as follows
\[
B^{h(1)}aSh(2) A^{h(3)} (\phi \otimes \phi')
= \langle h(1) aSh(2), S\phi(2)S(2) \phi(3) | \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

= \langle h(1) aSh(2), S\phi(2)S(2) \phi(3) | \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

= \langle h(1) aSh(2), S\phi(2)S(2) \phi(3) | \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

= \langle h(1) aSh(2), S\phi(2)S(2) \phi(3) | \langle h(3)(2) , S\phi(1) \phi(3) \phi(2) \otimes \langle h(3)(1) , \phi' \rangle (h(3)(3) , S\phi(1) \phi(2) (h(3)(4) , \phi' (h(3)(4) , \phi' \phi(2)) \rangle \right)

We apply the pairing properties (A.7) and (A.9) to get to the eighth equality. In the last but third equality, the pairing property (A.7) is used while the counit axiom is used to get to the last equality. The equivalence of (3.13) and (3.14) shows that the operators \(A^h\) and \(B^a\) define a representation of \(H^\text{co}\) on the loop in Figure 4.

The proof of the theorem for an arbitrary graph is contained in Appendix B.

□
Lemma 3.4. Let $\Gamma$ a graph with cyclic ordering at edge ends, and $h, g \in H_1$ and $a, b \in H_2$.

(i) For all sites, $A^h_b \circ A^g_w = A^g_w \circ A^h_b$ provided the two vertices $v$ and $w$ do not coincide.

(ii) For all sites, $B^a_p \circ B^b_q = B^b_q \circ B^a_p$ if the two faces $p$ and $q$ do not coincide.

(iii) At disjoint sites, $A^h(v, p) \circ B^b(v', p') = B^b(v', p') \circ A^h(v, p)$.

We refer the reader to Appendix C for the proof of the Lemma above.

3.4 Hamiltonian

We are now ready to define the Hamiltonian of the mirror bicrossproduct Kitaev model. Since $H^\text{cop}$ and $H$ are finite-dimensional, one has the notion of a normalized Haar integral. We refer to appendix A.3 for some properties of Haar integrals.

The non-degenerate Hermitian inner product on $H^*$ is defined by \cite{46, 47}

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_{H^*} := \langle l, \phi^* | \psi \rangle, \quad \phi, \psi \in H^*, \quad (3.15)$$

where $l$ is the normalized Haar integral of $H$. The inner product (3.15) makes the triangle operators $L_\pm$ and $T_\pm$ into $*$-representations with adjoint maps given by

$$(L^h_\pm)^\dagger = L^{h^*}_\pm, \quad (T^a_\pm)^\dagger = T^{a^*}_\pm.$$

For example, we check this for $T^a_\pm$ as follows:

$$\langle \phi | T^a_\pm(\psi) \rangle_{H^*} = \langle l, \phi^* T^a(\psi) \rangle = \langle l, \phi^* \langle a, \psi(2) \rangle \psi(1) \rangle = \langle l, \langle a(3), \psi(2) \rangle \langle a(2) Sa(1), \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \psi(1) \rangle$$

$$= \langle l, \langle a(3), \psi(2) \rangle \langle a(2), \phi^* \rangle \langle Sa(1), \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \psi(1) \rangle$$

$$= \langle l, \langle a(3), \psi \phi^* \rangle \langle Sa(1), \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \psi(1) \rangle$$

$$= \langle l, \langle a(3), \psi \phi^* \rangle \langle Sa(1), \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \psi(1) \rangle$$

$$= \langle l, \langle a(3), \psi \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \phi^* \rangle \psi \rangle = \langle T^{a^*}_\pm(\phi) | \psi \rangle.$$

Similarly for $L_\pm$ and $T_+$. Consequently, the operators $A^h_b$ and $B^a$ are Hermitian since they are tensor products of the $L_\pm$ and $T_\pm$ operators, i.e.,

$$(A^h_b(v, p))^\dagger = A^{h^*}(v, p), \quad (B^a(v, p))^\dagger = B^{a^*}(v, p). \quad (3.16)$$

Now since the Haar integrals commute with every other element in the Hopf algebra, we use them to define projectors $A^t_v := A^t_v$ for each vertex and $B^t_p := B^t_p$ for each face.

Lemma 3.5. Let $l \in H$, $k \in H^\text{cop}$ be normalized Haar integrals of the finite-dimensional Hopf algebras $H$ and $H^\text{cop}$. The vertex and face operators $A^t_v, B^t_p : H^* \otimes |E| \to H^* \otimes |E|$ form a set of commuting Hermitian projectors independent of a site $(v, p)$.
Proof. The proof follows directly from the properties of the Haar integral outlined in appendix A.3.

\[ A_v^2 = A_v^l = A_v, \quad B_p^2 = B_p^k = B_p. \]

From A.3 it is clear that these projectors commute no matter the vertex or face you pick and are independent of sites at \( v \) and at \( p \). By equation 3.16, the operators are also Hermitian. \( \square \)

They depend on the structure of the polytope decomposition which is the cyclic ordering of the edge ends at each vertex but no longer on the starting point one has to make. From the projection operators, one can then define the Hamiltonian of the theory:

**Definition 3.6.** Let \( \Gamma \) a graph with cyclic ordering of edge ends at each vertex, and Let \( l \in H, k \in H^{\text{cop}} \) be Haar integrals of the finite-dimensional Hopf algebras \( H \) and \( H^{\text{cop}} \). For all \( v \in V \) and \( p \in F \), define projectors \( A_v := A_v^l \), \( B_p := B_p^k \). Then the local Hamiltonian defining the bicrossproduct \( H^{\text{cop}} \triangleright \rhd H \) model on \( \Gamma \) decorated by the finite-dimensional Hopf algebra \( H^* \) is given by

\[ H = \sum_{v \in V} (\text{id} - A_v) + \sum_{p \in F} (\text{id} - B_p). \]

The space of ground states or protected space of the Hamiltonian (3.17) is given by the invariant subspace \( P_{\Gamma} \) of \( \mathcal{H} \):

\[ P_{\Gamma} := \{ \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma} : A_v(\phi) = \phi, \; B_p(\phi) = \phi, \; \forall v, p \}. \]

By requiring the operators \( A_v \) and \( B_p \) to be self-adjoint, one ensures that the Hamiltonian is self-adjoint. The protected space is also a topological invariant of the oriented surface \( \Sigma \). In the general construction the extended Hilbert space looks quite different depending on the graph. This is because one can have different graphs describing the same surface which can be sub divided to look different. However, the protected space depend only on the associated surface and not the choice of the graph. The ground state represent the structure of a trivial local representation of the bicrossproduct \( H^{\text{cop}} \triangleright \rhd H \).

One could also extend the theory of ribbon operators from in [1, 25] to this bicrossproduct framework. These operators are constructed from certain elementary operators associated with two types of triangles from which any ribbon path can be decomposed into and are exactly how \( L_{\pm} \) and \( T_{\pm} \) implement the \( H \) and \( H^{\text{cop}} \)-module structure. The algebraic properties of the ribbon operators then allows one to extend topological properties such as degeneracy of the ground state sector as well as the exotic statistics of the quasiparticle excitations whose anyonic nature is revealed via braiding and fusion operations.

### 4 Tensor network representations for Bicrossproduct models

We would like now to determine a representation of the ground state \( P_{\Gamma} \) of \( \mathcal{H} \). Following [3], we construct a tensor network representation for one of the ground states of the mirror bicrossproduct model of Section 3. Other eigenstates may be obtained by the application of the appropriate ribbon operator. Our starting point is to provide the diagrammatic framework for the tensor network states built on \( \Gamma \) and decorated by \( H^* \). The construction includes graphs whose underlying surface has boundaries. We define then the notion of tensor trace which allows to construct the tensor network states on \( \Gamma \).
4.1 Diagrammatic scheme for tensor network states and tensor trace

To each oriented edge $e \in \Gamma$, we associate a tensor as indicated in Figure 5 below
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\textbf{Figure 5.}

Here the black dot represents the orientation of the edge inherited from the underlying graph (physical edge). The black arrows (virtual edges) attached to the tensor represents the indices of the tensor. The association of a tensor to each edge of $\Gamma$ also amounts to placing an anti-clockwise oriented virtual loop in each face of the graph $\Gamma$. A virtual loop determines a face $p \in F$, to which we associate an element $a_p \in H^{cop}$.

The rule for contraction of the tensor network is as follows: one first splits the tensor and then contracts each pair of virtual edges separately and then glue these pieces together. This splitting process is implemented by the coproduct in $H^*$. An element $\phi_e \in H^*$ associated to each edge $e \in E$ can be split into two elementary parts depending on the orientation of the underlying edge according to the rule

\[(S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(\phi_e) = S\phi_e^{(1)} \otimes \phi_e^{(2)}.\]

Thus, to the left and right adjacent face of $e$, we can assign $\phi_e^{(2)}$ and $S\phi_e^{(1)}$ respectively as shown in Fig 6
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\textbf{Figure 6.} Splitting rule

For any $a_p \in H^{cop}$ and $\phi_e \in H^*$, the contraction of a pair of virtual edges is given by the canonical pairing as shown in (4.2)

\[\phi_e := \langle \phi_e, a_p \rangle.\]

To evaluate a given virtual loop $p$ one performs a clockwise multiplication of all elements labelling the physical edges of the loop and canonically pair the result with $a_p$. Graphically
Our contraction rule therefore becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\cdot e & \cdot \cdot a_p \cdot a_q := hS(e) \\
\cdot e & \cdot \cdot , a_p h_i \\
\cdot e & \cdot \cdot , a_q.
\end{align*}
\]
(5.9)

To the left and right adjacent face of \( \Gamma \) is embedded on a surface with boundaries. The Hopf tensor trace associated with the graph \( \Gamma \) is the map \( ttr_\Gamma : H^{* \otimes |E|} \otimes H^{\text{cop} \otimes |F|} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), defined by
\[
\bigotimes_{e \in E} \phi_e \bigotimes_{p \in F} a_p \longrightarrow ttr_\Gamma(\{ \phi_e \}; \{ a_p \})
\]
(4.5)

A change in the orientation of a physical edge using the antipode in \( H^* \) changes the orientation of the corresponding tensor as shown in (4.4)

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_e & := \langle S\phi_e^{(1)} \cdot \cdot , a_p \rangle \langle \phi_e^{(2)} \cdot \cdot , a_q \rangle. \\
\phi_e & := S(\phi_e)
\end{align*}
\]
(4.3)

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{edge_orientation}
\caption{Orientation change using antipode.}
\end{figure}

\textbf{Definition 4.1.} (Tensor trace) Let \( \Gamma \) be the dual graph corresponding to a surface without boundaries. The Hopf tensor trace associated with the graph \( \Gamma \) is the map \( ttr_\Gamma : H^{* \otimes |E|} \otimes H^{\text{cop} \otimes |F|} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), defined by
\[
\bigotimes_{e \in E} \phi_e \bigotimes_{p \in F} a_p \longrightarrow ttr_\Gamma(\{ \phi_e \}; \{ a_p \})
\]
(4.5)

is given in terms of diagrams and evaluated using equations (4.3) and (4.4).

Note that this Hopf tensor trace in the bicrossproduct model acts on a space dual to that of the quantum double model defined in [3], \( (H \otimes H^{\text{cop}})^* = H^* \otimes H^{\text{cop}} \). This can be regarded as the wave function amplitude of a quantum many body-system.

We consider next the case where \( \Gamma \) is embedded on a surface with boundaries. The set of edges \( E \) of the graph \( \Gamma \) corresponding to the surface \( \Sigma \) may be decomposed into a disjoint union of interior edges and boundary edges while the set of faces \( F \) have no boundary faces as depicted in Figure 7. The inherent features of the face and the edge sets of a graph \( \Gamma \) embedded in a surface \( \Sigma \) may be classified depending on whether they are in the interior and/or on the boundary of the surface. Naturally, the surface has no boundary faces but by deforming two or more boundary edges, a new (complete) face is created. This is illustrated in Figure 7 below. These features makes it possible to discuss tensor networks not only for graphs embedded in a surface with boundaries but also at points between where regions of a surface with or without boundary meet.
Figure 7. The interior and boundary faces of a graph. The edges in grey are those where the boundary of a surface \( \Sigma \) do not meet the edge of a graph \( \Gamma \). In creating new faces, the grey vertices of the left diagram identify themselves upon deformation of boundary edges as shown on the right diagram. Boundary edges are shown in red.

Forming a set consisting of the different sections of the boundary edges and faces, a natural ordering is inherited from the orientation of the boundary of the surface by this set. This occurs once a specific section is fixed. For our discussion, the orientation of any boundary is fixed at an anticlockwise direction with regards to the interior of the surface. Taking into account boundaries of \( \Sigma \), the graphical definition of the tensor networks previously given changes. Given an edge either from the set of interior or boundary edges, for any \( a_p \in H^{\text{cop}} \) and \( \phi_e \in H^* \), the canonical pairing is given by (4.2). Different orientations of graph edges and loops are related using the relevant antipode as shown in Figure 8.

\[
\phi_e := a_p \quad S(\phi_e) = \quad a_p \quad S^{-1}(a_p) \quad \phi_e := \quad \phi_e
\]

Figure 8. The antipode is used to change the orientation.

Let us now discuss how we can extend these diagrams to higher numbers of edges or faces. First if the face \( p \) has more edges \( e \) in its boundary, we extend the diagrams in Figure 8 as follows. Consider another edge \( e' \) which shares a common vertex with \( e \). We define a glueing operation as in Figure 9. Here the arrows indicate the order in which the coproduct of \( a_p \) is applied to the basic diagrams. The red dot indicates the origin of this coproduct.
Note that if $a_p$ is cocommutative, the red dot can be ignored in which case the order of the coproduct does not matter. However in the above instance $a_p$ cannot be cocommutative since $a_p \in H^{\text{cop}}$ and as such the order of the coproduct must be taken into account.

Secondly, the edge $e$ will be in general adjacent to two faces, since there are no boundaries. So for any edge $e$ with adjacent faces $p, q$, we pick $\phi_e \in H^*$ and $a_p, a_q \in H^{\text{cop}}$ and define the face gluing operation as in Figure 4.6. If the faces $p$ and $q$ have many edges, we have to put together Figure 9 and Figure 4.6. If furthermore one loop is outgoing we have to also consider the antipode following Figure 8.

These tensors are then evaluated using the tensor trace which is nothing but the graphical rules we just set up. The fully contracted tensor network, which is a complex number, for a certain ground state of the bicrossproduct model on the graph $\Gamma$ can be interpreted as a collection of virtual loops in the faces of $\Gamma$ that have been suitably glued together to form the physical degrees of freedom.

**Definition 4.2.** (Hopf tensor trace with boundaries) Let $\partial E$ and $\partial F$ be sets of boundary edges and faces respectively of $\Gamma$. The Hopf tensor trace associated with the graph $\Gamma$ is the function $ttr_{\Gamma} : H^* \otimes |E| \otimes H^{\text{cop}} \otimes |F| \otimes H^* \otimes |\partial E| \otimes H^{\text{cop}} \otimes |\partial F| \to \mathbb{C},$

\[
\bigotimes_{e \in E} \phi_e \bigotimes_{p \in F} a_p \bigotimes_{e \in \partial E} \phi'_e \bigotimes_{q \in \partial F} a_q \mapsto ttr_{\Gamma}(\{\phi_e\}; \{a_p\}; \{\phi'_e\}; \{a_q\})
\]  

which is defined via diagrams and the evaluation rules given in Figures 4.3, 9 and 4.6.

### 4.2 Quantum state

We now use the tensor trace to define quantum states for the bicrossproduct model.
**Definition 4.3.** Let \( \phi_e \in H^* \) and \( a_p \in H^{\text{cop}} \). Let \( \Gamma \) be the dual of the graph embedded in a surface \( \Sigma \) with no boundaries. The Hopf tensor network state on the graph \( \Gamma \) is given by

\[
|\Psi_\Gamma(\{\phi_e\};\{a_p\})\rangle := \text{tr}_\Gamma\left(\{\phi_e^{(2)}\};\{a_p\}\right) \bigotimes_{e \in E_{\Sigma,\Gamma}} |\phi_e^{(1)}\rangle.
\] (4.8)

We shall now proceed to solve the bicrossproduct model in this framework of Hopf tensor network states. We choose a particular Hopf tensor network state as a ground state of the model so that this state is topologically ordered. We consider the case of a surface without boundaries.

**Theorem 4.4.** (Ground state of the mirror bicrossproduct model). Let \( \eta \) and \( k \) the Haar integrals of \( H^* \) and \( H^{\text{cop}} \) respectively. Then a degenerate ground state of the mirror bicrossproduct \( H^{\text{cop}} \downarrow H \)-model is

\[
|\Psi_\Gamma\rangle := |\Psi_\Gamma(\{\eta_e\};\{k_p\})\rangle
\] (4.9)

where for \( \phi_e \in H^* \) and \( a_p \in H^{\text{cop}} \) we have

\[
|\Psi_\Gamma(\{\phi_e\};\{a_p\})\rangle := \text{tr}_\Gamma\left(\{\phi_e^{(2)}\};\{a_p\}\right) \bigotimes_{e \in \Gamma} |\phi_e^{(1)}\rangle.
\] (4.10)

**Proof.** Recall that the Hamiltonian for the mirror bicrossproduct model is a sum of local commuting terms \( A_v \) and \( B_p \). Hence it is sufficient to show that the operators \( A_v \) and \( B_p \) leave the state \( |\Psi_\Gamma\rangle \) invariant individually.

Consider a face \( p \) with a boundary consisting of \( n \) edges. A face of \( \Gamma \), decorated by the Haar integral \( k \), leads to the contribution \( |\Psi_\Gamma\rangle \) given by
Here, we have not included the other faces specified by \(a^i\). Note that the left diagram is the tensor trace function. The state \(|\Psi_p(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle\) written in an explicit form reads

\[
|\Psi_p(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle = \langle \eta^1_{(2)} \cdots \eta^n_{(2)}, k \rangle \langle S\eta^1_{(2)(1)}, a^1 \rangle \cdots \langle S\eta^n_{(2)(1)}, a^n \rangle |\eta^1_{(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(1)}\rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)}, k \rangle \langle S\eta^1_{(2)}, a^1 \rangle \cdots \langle S\eta^n_{(2)}, a^n \rangle |\eta^1_{(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(1)}\rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)}, k \rangle \prod_j \langle S\eta^j_{(2)}, a^j \rangle |\eta^1_{(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(1)}\rangle,
\]

where the Haar integrals \(\eta^i = \eta \in H^*\) and the expression \(\eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)}\) denote composition of the elements \(\eta\). We used (4.10) to write down the contribution to the state \(|\Psi_F\rangle\). To each edge on the left hand side of the above diagram, we labelled it by \(\eta_{(2)}\). We split \(\eta_{(2)}\) according to (4.1), then assign \(\eta_{(2)(2)}\) and \(S\eta_{(2)(1)}\) to the left and right adjacent faces of each edge respectively. To each of the outer nontrivial faces, we evaluate them according to Figure 9. The state (4.11) is invariant under the action of \(B_p\) as shown below

\[
B_p^k |\Psi_p(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle = \langle \eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)}, k \rangle \langle \eta^1_{(1)(1)} \cdots \eta^n_{(1)(1)}, k \rangle \prod_j \langle S\eta^j_{(2)}, a^j \rangle |\eta^1_{(1)(2)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(1)(2)}\rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)}, k \rangle \langle \eta^1_{(1)} \rangle \cdots \langle \eta^n_{(1)}, k \rangle \prod_j \langle S\eta^j_{(2)}, a^j \rangle |\eta^1_{(2)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(2)}\rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)}, k \rangle \langle \eta^1_{(4)} \rangle \cdots \langle \eta^n_{(4)}, k \rangle \prod_j \langle S\eta^j_{(2)}, a^j \rangle |\eta^1_{(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(1)}\rangle
\]

\[
= \langle (\eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)})^2, k \rangle \prod_j \langle S\eta^j_{(2)}, a^j \rangle |\eta^1_{(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(1)}\rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \eta^1_{(3)} \cdots \eta^n_{(3)}, k \rangle \prod_j \langle S\eta^j_{(2)}, a^j \rangle |\eta^1_{(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\eta^n_{(1)}\rangle
\]

\[
= |\Psi_p(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle.
\]

(4.12)
In the first equality, we used the action of the face operator $B_k^k$ of Definition 3.2 on the state $|\Psi_v(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle$. We perform a renumbering on $\eta$ in the second equality. The fifth equality uses the property of the Haar integral.

Next we consider a vertex $v$ with $n$ ingoing edges. The vertex contribution to $\Psi_R$ is

$$|\Psi_v(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle = \langle S\eta^1_{(2)(1)}, a^1 \rangle \cdots \langle S\eta^{n-1}_{(2)(2)}, a^n \rangle \langle S\eta^n_{(2)(1)}, a^n | \eta^n_{(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \eta^n_{(1)} |$$

where once again the left diagram is a tensor trace function. In a more explicit form the state contribution from the vertex is

$$|\Psi_v(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle = \langle S\eta^1_{(2)(1)}, a^1 \rangle \cdots \langle S\eta^{n-1}_{(2)(2)}, a^n \rangle \langle S\eta^n_{(2)(1)}, a^n | \eta^n_{(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle \eta^n_{(1)} |$$

This is then invariant under the action of $A_v$:

$$A^i_v |\Psi_v(a^1, \ldots, a^n)\rangle$$

$$= \langle S\eta^1_{(2)(3)}, a^1 \rangle \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle S\eta^j_{(2)(1)}, a^j \rangle \langle (S\eta^{j+1}_{(1)(1)}, \eta^j_{(1)(2)} | \eta^j_{(1)(2)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta^n_{(1)(2)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle S\eta^1_{(3)(1)}, a^1 \rangle \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle S\eta^j_{(4)(1)}, a^j \rangle \langle (S\eta^{j+1}_{(1)(1)}, \eta^j_{(1)(2)} | \eta^j_{(1)(2)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta^n_{(1)(1)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle S\eta^1_{(3)(1)}, a^1 \rangle \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle S\eta^j_{(3)(1)}, a^j \rangle \langle (S\eta^{j+1}_{(3)(1)}, \eta^j_{(1)(1)} | \eta^j_{(1)(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta^n_{(1)(1)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle S\eta^1_{(3)(1)}, a^1 \rangle \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle S\eta^j_{(3)(1)}, a^j \rangle \langle (S\eta^{j+1}_{(3)(1)}, \eta^j_{(1)(1)} | \eta^j_{(1)(1)} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta^n_{(1)(1)} \rangle$$

We used the definition of the vertex operator of 3.2 in the first equality. We permute cyclicly the different components of $\eta$ in the definition of the vertex operator in the second equality.
The third equality uses the counit property of a Hopf algebra. While in the fourth equality we used the fact that $\epsilon(\eta_j^2) = \epsilon(\mathcal{U}) = 1$, to get to the fifth equality.

The quantum state $|\Psi_{\Gamma}\rangle$ is nothing but a trivial representation of the $H^{\text{cop}} \cdot H$ and the vacuum of the model and as such it has trivial topological charge everywhere.

5 Outlook

In this article we proposed for the first time a Kitaev lattice model built not based on the Drinfeld quantum double, but instead on the (mirror) bicrossproduct quantum group. Given a graph with cyclic ordering of edge ends at each vertex, our construction of a Hilbert space for the bicrossproduct model for a Hopf algebra $H$ is based on the extension of the canonical covariant action of the bicrossproduct quantum group $H^{\text{cop}} \cdot H$ on $H^*$ to an action on $H^* \otimes |E|$, the $|E|$-fold tensor product of $H^*$, where $|E|$ is the number of edges. This action which enter the definition of the triangle operators and consequently the vertex and face operators are in general not required to be covariant as we seek a bicrossproduct module and not a module algebra. We obtain an exactly solvable Hamiltonian, whose ground state or protected space is a topological invariant of the surface corresponding to the graph. We show the invariance of the ground state of the Hamiltonian by introducing a tensor network representation and identifying topologically ordered quantum states in this framework.

This new model opens up new directions to explore. From the quantum gravity perspective, the vertex and face operators are related to the Gauss constraint and the Flatness constraint, which are usually characterized in terms of symmetries by the Drinfeld double in the quantum double model. It would be interesting to determine whether the bicrossproduct case has also some geometrical meaning. The semi-duality between the quantum groups seems to indicate naively that we dualize somehow for example the Flatness constraint into another Gauss constraint, or vice versa. Investigations are currently underway to see if this argument can be made more rigorous.

As the Kitaev quantum double model is known to be equivalent to the combinatorial quantization of Chern-Simons theory based on the Drinfeld double [23]. It would be interesting to see whether this result extends to the bicrossproduct case, namely that our model can be related to the combinatorial quantization of Chern-Simons theory based on the bicrossproduct quantum group. In the case of the Drinfeld double, one required a Hopf gauge theoretic framework [24]. This provides another interesting question to address in the context of the bicrossproduct model. For this construction, one required a universal $R$-matrix. This is now known explicitly for the bicrossproduct quantum group due to recent work in [45] which provides an explicit expression of the $R$-matrix for this quantum group.
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A Some relevant features of Hopf Algebras

A.1 Modules and Comodules

A left action or representation of an algebra $A$ is a pair $(\triangleright, V)$, where $V$ is a vector space and $\triangleright$ is a linear map $A \otimes V \to V$ such that

$$a \triangleright v \in V, \quad (ab) \triangleright v = a \triangleright (b \triangleright v), \quad 1 \triangleright v = v.$$  

We say that the algebra $A$ acts on the left of the vector space $V$ or $V$ is a left $A$-module depending on whether we want to emphasise the map $\triangleright$ or the space on which the algebra acts [37]. If the Hopf algebra $H$ acts on vector spaces $V, W$, then it also act on the tensor product $V \otimes W$ by the relation

$$h \triangleright (v \otimes w) = (h_1 \triangleright v) \otimes (h_2 \triangleright w), \quad \forall h \in H, v \in V \text{ and } w \in W.$$  

This shows how the existence of the coproduct allows one to extend a Hopf algebra representation to a tensor product representation.

An algebra $A$ is said to be an $H$-module algebra if $A$ is a left $H$-module and this action is covariant, i.e.

$$h \triangleright (ab) = (h_1 \triangleright a)(h_2 \triangleright b), \quad h \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(h), \quad a \in A, \ h \in H. \quad (A.1)$$  

We refer to the pair $(H, A)_L$ (resp. $(H, A)_R)$ as a left (resp. right) covariant system if $A$ is a module algebra under the left (resp. right) action of $H$. If $H$ acts covariantly on $A$ from the right then one can turn this to a left action of $H$ on $A^\text{op}$, according to the relation

$$(K, A)_R \to (K, A^\text{op})_L, \quad h \triangleright a = a \triangleleft S^{-1}h. \quad (A.2)$$  

We will mean a left covariant system when no index $L, R$ is specified. A coalgebra $C$ is a left $H$-module coalgebra if

$$\Delta(h \triangleright c) = (h_1 \triangleright c)(h_2 \triangleright c), \quad \varepsilon(h \triangleright c) = \varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(c), \quad c \in C, \ h \in H. \quad (A.3)$$

A.2 Star structure and dual pairing

**Definition A.1.** If $k = \mathbb{C}$. Given an antilinear map $\star : H \to H$ satisfying the condition

$$\star^2 = id, \quad (hg)^\star = g^\star h^\star, \quad \forall h, g \in H, \quad (A.4)$$  

then it turns $H$ into a $\star$-algebra. Hence $H$ is a Hopf $\star$-algebra if condition $(A.4)$ and the following are satisfied

$$\Delta h^\star = (\Delta h)^\star \otimes \star, \quad \varepsilon(h^\star) = \overline{\varepsilon(h)}, \quad (S \circ \star)^2 = id. \quad (A.5)$$

**Definition A.2.** Let $A$ and $H$ be Hopf-$\star$ algebras. A non-degenerate bilinear map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : A \times H \to \mathbb{C}, \quad (a, h) \mapsto \langle a, h \rangle \quad (A.6)$$
is called a dual pairing of $A$ and $H$ if it satisfies

\begin{align}
(i) \quad & \langle \Delta(a), g \otimes h \rangle = \langle a, gh \rangle, \quad \langle a \otimes b, \Delta(h) \rangle = \langle ab, h \rangle \\
(ii) \quad & \langle a, 1 \rangle = \varepsilon(a), \quad \langle 1, h \rangle = \varepsilon(h) \\
(iii) \quad & \langle a^*, h \rangle = \langle a, (Sh)^* \rangle.
\end{align} \tag{A.7}

Note that for the property A.7 we have extend the dual pairing on the tensor products by

\[ \langle a \otimes b, g \otimes h \rangle = \langle a, g \rangle \langle b, h \rangle, \quad \tag{A.8} \]

and from the properties of the dual pairing it follows that

\[ \langle S(a), h \rangle = \langle a, Sh \rangle. \quad \tag{A.9} \]

**Proposition A.3.** \cite{37} If $V$ is a left module then $V^*$ is a right module. The correspondence is given by:

\[ (f \triangleright h)(v) = f(h \triangleright v), \quad \forall v \in V, \ f \in V^*. \quad \tag{A.10} \]

If $A$ is a finite-dimensional left module algebra, then $A^*$ is a right module coalgebra. If $C$ is a left module coalgebra, then $C^*$ is a right module algebra. Similarly for left-right interchanged and for modules replaced by comodules.

### A.3 Haar integrals

**Definition A.4.** Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra $H$. A (normalised) Haar integral in $H$ is an element $l \in H$ with $h \cdot l = l \cdot h = \varepsilon(h)l$ for all $h \in H$ and $\varepsilon(l) = 1$.

**Proposition A.5.** Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional Hopf $C^*$-algebra.

1. If $l \in H$ is a Haar integral, then $l^2 = l$,
2. If $l \in H$ is a Haar integral, then $l^* = l$,
3. If $l, l' \in H$ are Haar integrals, then $l = l'$
4. If $l \in H$ is a Haar integral, then $\Delta^{(n)}(l)$ is invariant under cyclic permutations i.e. $l \in \text{Cocom}(H)$ and $S(l) = l$.
5. If $l \in H$ is a Haar integral, then the element $e = (\text{id} \otimes S)(\Delta(l))$ is a separability idempotent in $H$, i.e. one has $\mu(e) = l_{(1)}S(l_{(2)}) = 1$, $e.e = e$ and for all $h \in H$,

\[ (h \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta(l) = (1 \otimes Sh) \cdot \Delta(l), \quad \Delta(l)(h \otimes 1) = \Delta(l)(1 \otimes Sh), \]

where $\mu$ is the linear unit map $\mu : k \to H$.
6. If $l \in H$ is a Haar integral, then $\langle \alpha_{(1)}, l \alpha_{(2)} \rangle = \langle \alpha_{(2)}, l \alpha_{(1)} \rangle = \langle l, \alpha \rangle 1$ for all $\alpha \in H^*$. 
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B Proof of Theorem 3.3

Figure 11. Graph representing seven copies of $H^*$ used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

We use Figure 11 to proof Theorem 3.3. It is sufficient to show that (2.7) holds on this graph. Before proceeding with the proof, we note the followings: (i) First there are six edges connected to the vertex $v$ of Figure 11 and this require we compute the fifth coproduct of $M(H)$

$$
\Delta^5(a \otimes h) = a_{(6)} \otimes h_{(6)} \otimes a_{(5)}h_{(5)}Sh_{(7)} \otimes h_{(8)} \otimes a_{(4)}h_{(4)}Sh_{(9)} \otimes h_{(10)} \otimes a_{(3)}h_{(3)}Sh_{(11)}
\otimes h_{(12)} \otimes a_{(2)}h_{(2)}Sh_{(13)} \otimes h_{(14)} \otimes a_{(1)}h_{(1)}Sh_{(15)} \otimes h_{(16)},
$$

from which the fifth coproducts of the sub-Hopf algebras $H^{\text{cop}} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes H$ are obtained respectively

$$
\Delta^5(a \otimes 1) = a_{(6)} \otimes 1 \otimes a_{(5)} \otimes 1 \otimes a_{(4)} \otimes 1 \otimes a_{(3)} \otimes 1 \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes 1 \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes 1,
\Delta^5(1 \otimes h) = 1 \otimes h_{(6)} \otimes h_{(5)}Sh_{(7)} \otimes h_{(8)} \otimes h_{(4)}Sh_{(9)} \otimes h_{(10)} \otimes h_{(3)}Sh_{(11)}
\otimes h_{(12)} \otimes h_{(2)}Sh_{(13)} \otimes h_{(14)} \otimes h_{(1)}Sh_{(15)} \otimes h_{(16)}.
$$

(ii) Secondly, the vertex and face operators associated with Figure 11 are respectively

$$
B^a(\phi^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi^7) = T^{a(3)}_+(\phi^1) \otimes T^{a(2)}_+(\phi^2) \otimes T^{a(1)}_+(\phi^3) \otimes \phi^4 \otimes \phi^5 \otimes \phi^6 \otimes \phi^7,
A^h(\phi^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi^7) = L^{h(6)}_+(\phi^1) \otimes L^{h(5)Sh_{(7)}\otimes h_{(8)}}_-(\phi^2) \otimes \phi^3 \otimes L^{h(4)Sh_{(9)}\otimes h_{(10)}}_+(\phi^4)
\otimes L^{h(3)Sh_{(11)}\otimes h_{(12)}}_-(\phi^5) \otimes L^{h(2)Sh_{(13)}\otimes h_{(14)}}_-(\phi^6) \otimes L^{h(1)Sh_{(15)}\otimes h_{(16)}}_+(\phi^7).
$$

(iii) Lastly, for Figure 11 to yield a well defined theorem, in the definition of the face operator above, we first applied the coproduct of $a \in H^{\text{cop}} \otimes 1$ clockwise along the edges enclosing the face $p$ and then continued clockwise with the rest of the edges connecting the vertex $v$. In a similar manner, we define the vertex operator accordingly but this time applying the coproduct of $h \in 1 \otimes H$ instead to the edges of the arbitrary graph 11.
We proceed by a direct calculation, let $h \in H$, $a \in H^{\text{cop}}$ and $\phi^i \in H^*$, where $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 7\}$. Starting with the LHS of equation (3.4), we have

$$A^h B^\ast (\phi^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi^7)$$

$$= \langle a, S \phi^1 \rangle \langle a, S \phi^2 \rangle \langle a, S \phi^3 \rangle A^h (\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3 \otimes \phi^4 \otimes \phi^5 \otimes \phi^6 \otimes \phi^7)$$

$$= \langle a, S \phi^1 \rangle S \phi^2 \rangle (\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2) \otimes (\phi^3 \otimes \phi^4 \otimes \phi^5 \otimes \phi^6 \otimes \phi^7)$$

We used the definition of the triangle operators (3.1) in the first and third equalities. The dual pairing property (A.7) is used in the second and the sixth equalities. A renumbering is needed in the fifth equality. We also used the definiton of the triangle operators (3.1) in computing the RHS of equation (3.4), we first consider the vertex operator $a(S\phi)$, starting with the LHS of equation (3.4), we have

$$= \langle a, S \phi^1 \rangle S \phi^2 \rangle (\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2) \otimes (\phi^3 \otimes \phi^4 \otimes \phi^5 \otimes \phi^6 \otimes \phi^7)$$

We used the definiton of the triangle operators (3.1) in the first and third equalities. The fifth coproduct of $H$ in $M(H)$ is used in the second equality to label the $L_\pm$ operators. The dual pairing property (A.7) is used in the second and the sixth equalities. A renumbering is carried out in the fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh equalities using coassociativity in $H^*$. The counity axiom is used on $H$ in the last two equalities.

In computing the RHS of equation (3.4), we first consider the vertex operetor $A^h$ acting
on Figure 11

\[
A^{h^{(3)}}(\phi^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi^7) = L^{h^{(3)}(6)}_+ (\phi^1) \otimes L^{h^{(3)}(5)Sh^{(3)}(7) \otimes h^{(3)}(8)}_- (\phi^2) \otimes \phi^3 \otimes L^{h^{(3)}(4)Sh^{(3)}(9) \otimes h^{(3)}(10)}_- (\phi^4) \otimes L^{h^{(3)}(3)Sh^{(3)}(11) \otimes h^{(3)}(12)}_- (\phi^5) \otimes L^{h^{(3)}(2)Sh^{(3)}(13) \otimes h^{(3)}(14)}_- (\phi^6) \otimes L^{h^{(3)}(1)Sh^{(3)}(15) \otimes h^{(3)}(16)}_- (\phi^7)
\]

\[
= \langle h^{(3)}(6), S_{\phi^1}h^{(3)}(5) \otimes \phi^2, \phi^3 \otimes (h^{(3)}(7), S_{\phi^2}h^{(3)}(8) \otimes \phi^4 \otimes (h^{(3)}(9), \phi^5 \otimes (h^{(3)}(10), S_{\phi^3}h^{(3)}(11) \otimes \phi^4 \otimes (h^{(3)}(12), S_{\phi^4}h^{(3)}(13) \otimes \phi^5 \otimes (h^{(3)}(14), S_{\phi^5}h^{(3)}(15) \otimes \phi^6 \otimes (h^{(3)}(16), S_{\phi^6}h^{(3)}(17) \otimes \phi^7)\rangle \langle 2 \rangle (B.5)
\]

We used the fifth coproduct of \(h^{(3)} \in H\) in \(M(H)\) in the first equality to label the \(L_{\pm}\) operators. The definitions of the \(L_{\pm}\) in equation (3.1) are used in the third and fifth equalities. Renumbering is done in the last equality as a result of the coassociativity in \(H^*\). We now apply the operator \(B^{h^{(1)}aSh^{(2)}}\) on (B.5) to get

\[
B^{h^{(1)}aSh^{(2)}} A^{h^{(3)}}(\phi^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \phi^7) = B^{h^{(1)}aSh^{(2)}} (\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3 \otimes \phi^4 \otimes \phi^5 \otimes \phi^6 \otimes \phi^7),
\]

\[
= \langle h^{(3)}, S_{\phi^1}h^{(3)}(5) \otimes \phi^2, \phi^3 \otimes (h^{(3)}, S_{\phi^2}h^{(3)}(7) \otimes \phi^4 \otimes (h^{(3)}, \phi^5 \otimes (h^{(3)}, S_{\phi^3}h^{(3)}(9) \otimes \phi^4 \otimes (h^{(3)}, S_{\phi^4}h^{(3)}(10) \otimes \phi^5 \otimes (h^{(3)}, S_{\phi^5}h^{(3)}(11) \otimes \phi^6 \otimes (h^{(3)}, S_{\phi^6}h^{(3)}(12) \otimes \phi^7)\rangle \langle 2 \rangle (B.6)
\]

In the second equality, we apply the definition of the face operator whiles in the third equality, we carry out a renumbering due to coassociativity in \(H^*\). In moving from the fourth to the fifth equality, we used the property of the antipode as an anticoalgebra map. Applying the
antipode axiom in the last equality and a further renumbering in $H^*$ gives

$$B^{h(1)}a_{Sh(2)}A^{h(3)}(φ^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes φ^7) = \langle h_{(1)}a_{Sh(2)}, Sφ^1_{(1)}Sφ^2_{(2)}Sφ^3_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(7)}, φ^2_{(2)(2)} \rangle \langle h_{(8)}, Sφ^2_{(1)(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(11)}, φ^3_{(3)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle h_{(5)}, φ^3_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(13)}, Sφ^3_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(4)}, φ^6_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(12)}, Sφ^6_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(17)}, φ^7_{(3)} \rangle$$

$$= \phi^1_{(2)} \otimes φ^2_{(2)(1)} \otimes φ^3_{(2)} \otimes φ^4_{(2)} \otimes φ^5_{(2)} \otimes φ^6_{(2)} \otimes φ^7_{(2)}$$

$$= \langle h_{(1)}a_{Sh(2)}, Sφ^1_{(1)}Sφ^2_{(2)}Sφ^3_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(6)}, φ^5_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(11)}, φ^6_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(13)}, Sφ^5_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(4)}, φ^5_{(3)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle h_{(15)}, Sφ^5_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(17)}, φ^7_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(12)}, Sφ^7_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(15)}, Sφ^7_{(1)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle h_{(5)}, φ^7_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(13)}, Sφ^7_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(4)}, φ^7_{(3)} \rangle$$

$$= \langle h_{(15)}, Sφ^7_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(17)}, φ^7_{(3)} \rangle \langle h_{(12)}, Sφ^7_{(1)} \rangle \langle h_{(15)}, Sφ^7_{(1)} \rangle$$

$$= \phi^1_{(2)} \otimes φ^2_{(2)(1)} \otimes φ^3_{(2)} \otimes φ^4_{(2)} \otimes φ^5_{(2)} \otimes φ^6_{(2)} \otimes φ^7_{(2)}$$

Again we applied the property of the antipode as an anticoalgebra map from the first to the second equality. To get to the third equality, we used the pairing property (A.7) and the antipode axiom on $H^*$. In the fourth equality we used both the pairing property (A.9) and the fact that the antipode is an antialgebra map. The paring conditions (A.7) and (A.8) are used in the fifth equality. While a renumbering is done in the sixth equality on both $H$ and $H^*$. The counit and antipode axioms are used in to get to the seventh equality from the sixth equality. Finally we see that the equations (B.4) and (B.7) are the same, and hence this proves Theorem 3.3.

C Proof of Lemma 3.4

Proof. (i). Suppose there exist at least one edge connecting the vertices $v$ and $w$, and the orientation is from $v$ to $w$, then we have

$$A^{h}(v, p)(φ) = L^{h}_{v}(φ), \quad A^{g}(w, p')(φ) = L^{g}_{w}(φ).$$

(C.1)
From the definition of $L_{\pm}$ and equation (3.3) we get $A^h(A^g(\phi)) = A^g(A^h(\phi)) = \epsilon(g)\langle h, S\phi(1)\phi(3)\phi(2) \rangle$, and this can be generalized to any number of edges connecting $v$ and $w$.

Suppose also the incident edges to $v$ and to $w$ are disjoint, then we have for one incident edge

$$A^h(v)(\phi) = L^h_{+}(\phi), \quad A^g(w)(\phi) = L^g_{+}(\phi), \quad (C.2)$$

and obviously these two operators are commuting.

(ii) Consider the diagram below with faces $p$ and $q$ sharing a common edge. For the face $p$, starting at the vertex $v$ and moving clockwise, the face operator for $p$ reads

$$B^a(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3) = T^a_{+}(\phi_1) \otimes T^a_{+}(\phi_2) \otimes T^a_{+}(\phi_3). \quad (C.3)$$

Likewise for the face $q$, starting at the vertex $v$ and moving anti-clockwise, its operator is

$$B^b(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3) = T^b_{-}(\phi_1) \otimes T^b_{-}(\phi_2) \otimes T^b_{-}(\phi_3). \quad (C.4)$$

With the above face operators, we compute

$$B^a B^b(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3) = \langle b, \phi_1(2) \phi_2(2) \phi_3(2) \rangle B^a(\phi_1(1) \otimes \phi_2(1) \otimes \phi_3(1))$$

$$= \langle b, \phi_1(2) \phi_2(2) \phi_3(2) \rangle \langle S a, \phi_1(1) \phi_2(1) \phi_3(1) \rangle \phi_1(1) \otimes \phi_2(2) \otimes \phi_3(1)$$

and also

$$B^b B^a(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3) = \langle S a, \phi_1(1) \phi_2(1) \phi_3(1) \rangle B^b(\phi_1(2) \otimes \phi_2(2) \otimes \phi_3(2))$$

$$= \langle S a, \phi_1(1) \phi_2(1) \phi_3(1) \rangle \langle b, \phi_1(2) \phi_2(2) \phi_3(2) \rangle \phi_1(1) \otimes \phi_2(2) \otimes \phi_3(2)$$

This then shows $B^a B^b(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3) = B^b B^a(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 \otimes \phi_3)$.

(iii) Consider the Figure 12 below with two different sites $(v, p)$ and $(v', p')$. The vertex operator for the site $(v, p)$ moving clockwise reads

$\text{Figure 12. A diagram depicting two graphs with disjoint sites }(v, p) \text{ and }(v', p').$
\[ A^h_v(\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3) = L^h_{-2}(\phi^1) \otimes \phi^2 \otimes L_{+1}^{h(1)} Sh(3) \otimes h(4) (\phi^3) \]  
(C.5)

and the face operator for the site \((v', p')\) moving counterclockwise is

\[ B^v_{p'}(\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3) = T^{a(3)}_v(\phi^1) \otimes T^{a(2)}_{p'}(\phi^2) \otimes T^{a(1)}_{p'}(\phi^3). \]  
(C.6)

Therefore from the definition of the triangle operators (3.1) we have

\[
A^h B^a(\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3) = \langle a, \phi^1_1 \phi^2_2 \phi^3_3 \rangle A^h (\phi^1_1 \otimes \phi^2_2 \otimes \phi^3_3) \\
= \langle a, \phi^1_1 \phi^2_2 \phi^3_3 \rangle \langle h_2, \phi^1_1 (1) \rangle \langle S_1 \phi^1_2, \phi^3_3 (1) \rangle \langle h_3, \phi^3_3 (1) \rangle \langle h_4, \phi^4_4 \rangle (\phi^1_1 \otimes \phi^2_2 \otimes \phi^3_3) \\
= \langle a, \phi^1_1 \phi^2_2 \phi^3_3 \rangle \phi^1_1 \phi^2_2 \phi^3_3 \epsilon(h) \phi^1_1 \otimes \phi^2_2 \otimes \phi^3_3 .  
\] (C.7)

Similarly, we find

\[
B^a A^h(\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3) = \langle h_2, \phi^1_1 \rangle \langle S_1 \phi^1_2, \phi^3_3 \rangle \langle h_3, \phi^3_3 \rangle \langle h_4, \phi^4_4 \rangle B (\phi^1_1 \otimes \phi^2_2 \otimes \phi^3_3) \\
= \langle h_2, \phi^1_1 \rangle \langle h_3, \phi^3_3 \rangle \langle h_4, \phi^4_4 \rangle \langle a, \phi^1_1 \phi^2_2 \phi^3_3 \rangle \phi^1_1 \phi^2_2 \phi^3_3 \epsilon(h) \phi^1_1 \otimes \phi^2_2 \otimes \phi^3_3 . 
\] (C.8)

With these operators, we have shown \(A^h B^a(\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3) = B^a A^h(\phi^1 \otimes \phi^2 \otimes \phi^3)\). This can be generalized to any graph and easily shown that the vertex and face operators at two different sites commute. Note that in this case the orientation of the edges belonging to the different sites \((v, p)\) and \((v', p')\) should not coincide. This is illustrated in Figure 12. \[\Box\]
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