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1 Introduction

The statement and proof of the Poincare Duality for (possibly noncompact) orientable manifolds without boundary are abound. The duality has a version for possibly non-orientable manifolds using local coefficients. Several proofs of this result can be found in the literature (e.g. [Sp]). Yet these proof involves either sheaf theory or something equivalent and thus far from elementary. This note is written in the attempt to provide a record of this generalized Poincare Duality available to the general audience.

Readers are assumed to be familiar with basics of the theory of orientation of manifolds (the material of [Ha] Section 3.3 or any equivalent) and the theory of homology with local coefficients (e.g. [Wh] Chapter VI, Section 1,2,3). Notations of [Ha] and [Wh] will be borrowed and used in this note.

Throughout our discussion, \( M \) will be a manifold of dimension \( n \).

Let \( R \) be a ring with identity such that \( 2 \cdot \text{id} \neq 0 \).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The Orientation Bundle

Let \( M_R = \bigcup_{x \in M} H_n(M|x; R) \), topologized as follows:

For any chart \( \varphi : W \to \mathbb{R}^n \), any \( B \subseteq W \) such that \( \varphi(B) \) is an open ball with finite radius and any element \( \alpha_B \in H_n(M|B; R) \), define \( U(\alpha_B) \) as the set of images of \( \alpha_B \) under the canonical \( H_n(M|B; R) \to H_n(M|x; R) \) as \( x \) ranges through \( B \). The collection of \( U(\alpha_B) \) forms a basis for a topology on \( M_R \). The canonical projection \( p : M_R \to M \) is a covering map.

Recall that a bundle of groups/modules/rings over a space \( X \) is defined as a functor from the fundamental groupoid of \( X \) to the category of groups/modules/rings.

There is a bundle of \( R \)-modules on \( M \), denoted also as \( M_R \), such that \( M_R(x) = p^{-1}(x) = H_n(M|x; R) \) (with the obvious module structure) and \( M_R([u]) = L_u^* \) where \( u \) is a path in \( M \) and \( L_u : p^{-1}(u(1)) \to p^{-1}(u(0)) \) is
the map defined as in [Hatcher p. 69] (this construction can also be found in [Whitehead V.1. Example 5]). \(M_R\) is called the (\(R\)-) orientation bundle of \(M\).

### 2.2 The Canonical Double Cover

Let \(\tilde{M} = \{\pm \mu_x \otimes \text{id} \in H_n(M|x; R) | \mu_x \text{ is a generator of } H_n(M|x; \mathbb{Z})\}\), here we are using the identification \(H_n(M|x; R) \cong H_n(M|x; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes R\). The manifold \(\tilde{M}\) is oriented as follows:

For each \(\mu_x \otimes \text{id} \in \tilde{M}\) where \(\mu_x\) is a generator of \(H_n(M|x; \mathbb{Z})\), let \(B \subseteq M\) be an open ball with finite radius containing \(x\). Let \(\mu_B \in H_n(M|B; \mathbb{Z})\) be the element corresponding to \(\mu_x\) via the canonical isomorphism \(H_n(M|B) \to H_n(M|x)\). Then \(\mu_B \otimes \text{id} \in H_n(M|B; R) \cong H_n(M|B; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes R\). Let \(O_\mu \otimes \text{id}\) be the element corresponding to \(\mu_x \otimes \text{id}\) under the identification (each map below is an isomorphism):

\[
H_n(\tilde{M}|\mu_x \otimes \text{id}; R) \leftarrow H_n(U(\mu_B \otimes \text{id})|\mu_x \otimes \text{id}; R) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_n(B|x; R) \rightarrow H_n(M|x; R)
\]

Then \(O_\mu \otimes \text{id}\) is independent of \(B\) and \(\{O_\mu \otimes \text{id}\}\) is an orientation on \(\tilde{M}\). This orientation will be referred to as the chosen orientation in what follows.

It is not hard to see that \(\tilde{p} = p|_{\tilde{M}}\) is a covering space of index two.

Denote by \(\tau\) the unique Deck transformation of \(\tilde{M}\) with no fixed points. Namely, \(\tau(y) = -y\) for any \(x \in M\) and \(y \in H_n(M|x; R)\).

**Lemma 1** The involution \(\tau\) reverses the chosen orientation on \(\tilde{M}\).

**Proof** This comes from the commutativity of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  H_n(\tilde{M}|\mu_x \otimes \text{id}; R) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H_n(U(\mu_B \otimes \text{id})|\mu_x \otimes \text{id}; R) \\
  \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
  H_n(\tilde{M}|\mu_x \otimes \text{id}; R) & \leftarrow H_n(U(-\mu_B \otimes \text{id})|\mu_x \otimes \text{id}; R) & \xrightarrow{p_*} H_n(B|x; R) \rightarrow H_n(M|x; R)
\end{array}
\]

\(\quad \blacksquare\)

### 2.3 The Fundamental Class

Next we define a fundamental class for \(M\).

Let \(C_n(X; R)\) stands for the singular chain of a space \(X\) with coefficient in \(R\). Define

\[
\begin{align*}
C_n^+(\tilde{M}; R) &= \{\alpha \in C_n(\tilde{M}; R) |\tau(\alpha) = \alpha\}, \\
C_n^-(\tilde{M}; R) &= \{\alpha \in C_n(\tilde{M}; R) |\tau(\alpha) = -\alpha\}
\end{align*}
\]

Let \(K \subseteq M\) be a compact subspace. Define \(\tilde{K} = \tilde{p}^{-1}(K)\) and

\[
\begin{align*}
C_n^+(\tilde{M}|\tilde{K}; R) &= C_n^+(\tilde{M}; R)/C_n^+(\tilde{M}; R) \cap C_n(\tilde{M} - \tilde{K}) \\
C_n^-\tilde{M}|\tilde{K}; R) &= C_n^-\tilde{M}; R)/C_n^-\tilde{M}|\tilde{M}; R) \cap C_n(\tilde{M} - \tilde{K})
\end{align*}
\]
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There are exact sequences

\[
0 \to C_n^-(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \longrightarrow C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \longrightarrow C_n^+(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1)
\]

\[
0 \to C_n^+(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \longrightarrow C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \longrightarrow C_n^-(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)
\]

where \(\Sigma(\alpha) = \alpha + \tau(\alpha)\) and \(\Delta(\alpha) = \alpha - \tau(\alpha)\).

The chain complex \(C_\ast(M|K; R)\) is isomorphic to \(C_\ast^+(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R)\) via \(\sigma \otimes r \to \tilde{\sigma}_1 \otimes r + \tilde{\sigma}_2 \otimes r\) where \(\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\sigma}_2\) are the two liftings of \(\sigma : \Delta^n \to M\).

By Lemma 3.27(b) of [Ha], \(H_k(M|K; R) = 0, k > n\). Thus (1) produces a long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \to H_n(C_n^-(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R)) \longrightarrow H_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_\ast} H_n(M|K; R) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (3)
\]

There is a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) group action on \(\widetilde{M}\) such that \(\tilde{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2\) acts by \(\tau\). \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) also acts (as a group) on \(R\) with \(\tilde{1} \cdot r = -r\). Thus one could define \(C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} R\) using the routine method of treating a left \(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]\)-module as a right module.

The canonical surjection \(C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) = C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes R \to C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} R\) has the same kernel as \(\Delta\) in (2): \(C_\ast^+(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R)\). Thus we obtain an identification

\[
C_n^-(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \leftrightarrow C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} R
\]

On the other hand, let \(C_\ast(M|K; M_R)\) be the chain complex of \((M, M - K)\) with coefficient in the bundle \(M_R\). We can define a homomorphism

\[
\phi : C_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} R \longrightarrow C_\ast(M|K; M_R), \phi(\tilde{\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} r) = (r\tilde{\sigma}(e_0))\tilde{p} \circ \tilde{\sigma}
\]

where \(\tilde{\sigma} : \Delta^n \to \widetilde{M}\) and \((r\tilde{\sigma}(e_0))\tilde{p} \circ \tilde{\sigma}\) is represented by the element of \(C_\ast(M; M_R) = \bigoplus_{\sigma : \Delta^n \to M} M_R(\sigma(e_0))\) with \(r\tilde{\sigma}(e_0)\) on the \(\tilde{p} \circ \tilde{\sigma}\) coordinate and 0 otherwise. It is not hard to verify that \(\phi\) is an isomorphism. Hence we obtain an identification \(C_\ast^-(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \leftrightarrow C_\ast(M|K; M_R)\). Explicitly this comes from the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_\ast^-(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C_\ast(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) = C_\ast(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C_\ast(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & C_\ast(M|K; M_R)
\end{array}
\]

The above identification is a chain isomorphism, thus we have

\[
H_n(C_\ast^-(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R)) \leftrightarrow H_n(M|K; M_R)
\]

where \(H_n(M|K; M_R)\) is the homology group with coefficients in \(M_R\).

Plug this into (3), we get

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \to H_n(M|K; M_R) \longrightarrow H_n(\widetilde{M}|\widetilde{K}; R) \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_\ast} H_n(M|K; R) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (5)
\]
Since (4) is natural with respect to compact subspaces $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq M$, so is (5).

The chosen orientation on $\tilde{M}$ uniquely determines an element in $\nu_K \in H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{K}; R)$ that restrict to the orientation at each $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{K}$ (cf. Lemma 3.27 (a) of [Ha]).

**Lemma 2** $\tilde{p}_* (\nu_K) = 0$ for any compact subspace $K \subseteq M$.

**Proof** By the uniqueness part of Lemma 3.27(a) of [Ha], it suffice to prove $\tilde{p}_* (\nu_K)$ restrict to 0 at each $x \in M$. Let $\tilde{p}^{-1} (x) = \{ \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}' \}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{K}; R) & \rightarrow & H_n(\tilde{M}|\{\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}'\}; R) \\
\downarrow \tilde{p}_* & & \downarrow \tilde{p}_* \\
H_n(M|K; R) & \rightarrow & H_n(M|x; R)
\end{array}
$$

where horizontal maps are induced by inclusions. Hence the goal become showing that $\nu_K$ maps to 0 via $H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{K}; R) \rightarrow H_n(\tilde{M}|\{\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}'\}; R) \rightarrow H_n(M|x; R)$.

Take an open neighborhoods $U$ of $x$ such that $\tilde{p}^{-1} (U) = \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{U}'$ and $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}, \tilde{x}' \in \tilde{U}'$. Consider the following commutative diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{x}; R) & \oplus & H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{x}'; R) \\
\downarrow j_* \oplus j'_* & & \downarrow i_* \\
H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{K}; R) & \rightarrow & H_n(\tilde{M}|\{\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}'\}; R) & \rightarrow & H_n(M|x; R)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{x}; R) & \oplus & H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{x}'; R) \\
\downarrow j_* \circ \tau_* & & \downarrow i_* \\
H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{x}; R) & \rightarrow & H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{x}'; R)
\end{array}
$$

where $\iota, \iota', j, j', i, k$ are inclusions. By excision and additivity, $\iota_* \oplus \iota'_*$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, it is not hard to observe that $(j_* \oplus j'_*) \circ (\iota_* \oplus \iota'_*) = (j_* \circ \iota_*) \oplus (j'_* \circ \iota'_*)$. Obviously $i_*$ is also an isomorphism.

For any $\alpha \in H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{K}; R)$, $k_*(\alpha) = \iota_*(\beta) + \iota'_*(\gamma)$. Hence $(j_* \oplus j'_*) \circ k_*(\alpha) = \iota_*(\beta) \oplus \iota'_*(\gamma)$. Note that $j_* \circ k_*(\alpha)$ and $j'_* \circ k'_*(\alpha)$ are orientations at $\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}'$ respectively. So $\tau_* \circ j_* \circ k_*(\alpha) = -j'_* \circ k'_*(\alpha)$. This implies $\tau_*(\beta) = -\gamma$. But $\tilde{p}_* \circ \tau_*(\beta, \gamma) = \tilde{p}_*(\beta, \gamma) = \tilde{p}_*(\beta) + \tilde{p}_*(\gamma) = \tilde{p}_* \circ \tau_*(\beta) + \tilde{p}_* \circ \tau_*(\gamma) = \tilde{p}_*(\beta) + \tilde{p}_*(\gamma) = 0$. Thus $\tilde{p}_* \circ k_*(\alpha) = \tilde{p}_* \circ (\iota_* \oplus \iota'_*)(\beta, \gamma) = i_* \circ \tilde{p}_*(\beta, \gamma) = 0$. \qed
By Lemma 2 and the exactness of (5), the orientation of \( \tilde{M} \) uniquely determines an element of \( H_n(M|K;M_R) \), denoted also as \( \nu_K \). The naturality of (4) show that \( \{\nu_K|K \subseteq M \text{ compact}\} \) is compatible with respect to inclusion of \( K \)'s and thus define an element \( [M] \) of \( \lim_{\leftarrow K} H_n(M|K;M_R) \) where the inverse limit is taken with respect to all compact \( K \subseteq M \) and inclusions \( K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq M \). \( [M] \) is called the fundamental class of \( M \).

### 2.4 Restricting to open subspaces; Compatibility

Let \( U \subseteq M \) be an open subset. Here is a few elementary facts we shall need:

**Proposition 1**

i) There is a canonical embedding \( \tilde{U} \hookrightarrow \tilde{M} \) induced by the excision \( H_n(U|x;R) \rightarrow H_n(M|x;R), x \in U \).

ii) The bundle \( U_R \) (of \( R \)-modules) is canonically isomorphic to the restriction of the bundle \( M_R \) to \( U \).

iii) The chosen orientation of \( \tilde{M} \) restrict to the chosen orientation on \( \tilde{U} \).

iv) For any \( K \subseteq U \) compact, the excision \( H_n(\tilde{U}|\tilde{K};R) \rightarrow H_n(\tilde{M}|\tilde{K};R) \) sends \( \nu_K^U \) to \( \nu_K^M \) where \( \nu_K^U, \nu_K^M \) are elements determined by the chosen orientation.

v) There diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_n(M|K;M_R) & \longrightarrow & H_n(M|\tilde{K};R) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
H_n(U|K;M_R) & \longrightarrow & H_n(\tilde{U}|\tilde{K};R)
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where horizontal maps are those in (5) and vertical ones are induced by inclusion.

**Corollary 1** The homomorphism \( H_n(U|K;U_R) \rightarrow H_n(M|K;M_R) \) induced by inclusion sends \( \nu_K^U \) to \( \nu_K^M \), where \( \{\nu_K^U\}, \{\nu_K^M\} \) define \( [U], [M] \) respectively.

Thus \( \nu_K^U \) is compatible with respect to inclusions of open \( U \)'s as well as compact \( K \)'s.

### 2.5 Cap Products

We start with defining tensor product of bundle of modules. Let \( G \) (resp. \( G' \)) be a bundle of left (resp. right) \( R \)-modules over a space \( X \). The tensor product \( G \otimes_R G' \) is defined as the bundle of abelian groups where \( G \otimes_R G'(x) = G(x) \otimes_R G'(x) \) and \( G \otimes_R G'(\{u\}) = G(\{u\}) \otimes_R G'(\{u\}) \) for any \( x \in X \) and \( u : I \rightarrow X \).

Denote the vertices of \( \Delta^n \) as \( e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_n \). Let \( \sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X \) be a continuous map. For \( 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n \), let \( \sigma_{[i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_k]} \) denote \( \sigma \) restricted to the simplex \( e_{i_1}, e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k} \).
Now we are able to define the cap product on (absolute) chains. Assume that $G$ (resp. $G'$) is a bundle of left (resp. right) $R$-modules over a space $X$, the cap product is defined as

$$C^k(X; G) \otimes_R C_n(X; G') \xrightarrow{c \otimes g\sigma} C_{n-k}(X; G \otimes_R G')$$

where $c \in C^k(X; G) = \prod_{\nu: \Delta^n \to X} G(\nu(e_0))$, $g \in G(\sigma(e_0))$ and $g\sigma \in C_n(X; G') = \bigoplus_{\eta: \Delta^n \to X} G'(\eta(e_0))$ denotes the element which has value $g$ on the $\sigma$-coordinate and 0 otherwise.

If $A_1, A_2$ are subspaces of $X$, the above absolute cap product induces a relative

$$C^k(X, A_1; G) \otimes_R C_n(X, A_1 + A_2; G') \xrightarrow{c \otimes g\sigma} C_{n-k}(X, A_2; G \otimes_R G')$$

where the relative $C_*, C^*$ are defined in the obvious way.

The cap product satisfies the identity

$$\partial(c \smile \alpha) = c \smile (\partial \alpha) - (\delta c) \smile \alpha, \quad c \in C^k(X; G), \alpha \in C_n(X; G')$$

Note that the sign appearing in the above equation is a result of our adopting the definition in [Wh].

There is thus an induced cap product on (co)homology

$$H^k(X, A_1; G) \otimes_R H_n(X, A_1 + A_2; G') \xrightarrow{c \otimes g\sigma} H_{n-k}(X, A_2; G \otimes_R G')$$

For the special case where $X = M, A_1 = M - K, A_2 = \emptyset$ and $G' = M_R$, we obtain

$$H^k(M|K; G) \otimes_R H_n(M|K; M_R) \xrightarrow{c \otimes \rho\sigma} H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R) \quad (5)$$

Naturality with respect to inclusion of compact subspaces can be easily verified, thus (5) produces

$$\lim_{\overset{\longrightarrow}{K}} H^k(M|K; G) \otimes_R H_n(M|K; M_R) \xrightarrow{c \otimes \rho\sigma} H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R)$$

Note that $\lim_{\overset{\longrightarrow}{K}} H^k(M|K; G)$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^k_\epsilon(M; G)$, the cohomology of $M$ with compact support and with coefficient in $G$ (the proof of this in the case of ordinary coefficients can be found in [Ha] Section 3.3). So the above becomes

$$H^k_\epsilon(M; G) \otimes_R \lim_{\overset{\longrightarrow}{K}} H_n(M|K; M_R) \xrightarrow{c \otimes \rho\sigma} H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R)$$

If one choose the fundamental class $[M] \in \lim_{\overset{\longrightarrow}{K}} H_n(M|K; M_R)$, there is a homomorphism

$$H^k_\epsilon(M; G) \overset{[M]}{\sim} H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R)$$
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3 Proof of the Duality Theorem

Lemma 3 Let $U, V$ be open subsets of $M$ with $U \cup V = M$. $K \subseteq U, L \subseteq V$ are compact subspaces. Let $G$ be a bundle of right $R$-modules over $M$. Then the following diagram commutes up to sign

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta H^k(M|K \cap L;G) & \rightarrow & H^k(M|K;G) \oplus H^k(M|L;G) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^k(U \cap V|K \cap L;G) & \rightarrow & H^k(U|K;G) \oplus H^k(V|L;G) \\
\downarrow \sim \nu_{K \cap L}^U & & \downarrow \sim \nu_{K \cap L}^V \\
H_{n-k}(U \cap V; G \otimes_R M_R) & \rightarrow & H_{n-k}(U; G \otimes_R M_R) \oplus H_{n-k}(V; G \otimes_R M_R) \\
\rightarrow H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R)
\end{array}
\]

where the two rows are Mayer-Vietoris sequences (see Appendix), the upper left and upper middle maps are induced by inclusions.

Proof We begin with the two blocks without $\delta$ or $\partial$. Commutativity of the one on the left would follow once we establish the commutativity of the following

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^k(M|K \cap L;G) & \rightarrow & H^k(M|K;G) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^k(U|K \cap L;G) & \rightarrow & H^k(U|K;G) \\
\downarrow \sim \nu_{K \cap L}^U & & \downarrow \sim \nu_{K \cap L}^U \\
H^k(U \cap V|K \cap L;G) & \rightarrow & H_{n-k}(U \cap V; G \otimes_R M_R) \oplus H_{n-k}(U \cap V; G \otimes_R (U \cap V)_R) \\
\rightarrow H_{n-k}(U; G \otimes_R U_R)
\end{array}
\]

in which all arrows that is not a cap product is induced by inclusion.

The above diagram commutes because of the compatibility of $\nu_{K \cap L}^U$'s.

Similarly, commutativity of the block on the right in (6) follows from commutativity of

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^k(M|L;G) & \rightarrow & H^k(M|K \cup L;G) \\
\downarrow \sim \nu_{M \cup L}^U & & \downarrow \sim \nu_{M \cup L}^U \\
H^k(V|L;G) & \rightarrow & H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R) \\
\downarrow \sim \nu_{L}^U & & \downarrow \sim \nu_{L}^U \\
H_{n-k}(V; G \otimes_R V_R)
\end{array}
\]

Again such commutativity comes from compatibility of $\nu_{K \cup L}^U$'s.

For the block involving $\partial$ and $\delta$, [Ha] presented a detailed proof (p. 246-247) which carries verbatim to the case of twisted coefficients. So I shall not rewrite
it in this note. Due to the difference in the convention of signs defining δ, ∂, the block commutes up to a factor of $-1$ instead of $(-1)^{k+1}$.  

\[\text{Corollary 2} \quad \text{Let } U, V \text{ be open subsets of } M \text{ with } U \cup V = M. \text{ Let } G \text{ be a bundle of right } R\text{-modules over } M. \text{ Then there is a (up to sign) commutative diagram}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
H^k(U \cap V; G) & \rightarrow & H^k(U; G) \oplus H^k(V; G) & \rightarrow & H^k(M; G) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{n-k}(U \cap V; G \otimes_R M_R) & \rightarrow & H_{n-k}(U; G \otimes_R M_R) & \rightarrow & H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R) \\
& & & & \oplus H_{n-k}(V; G \otimes_R M_R)
\end{array}
\]

where vertical maps are cap products with respective fundamental classes and the two rows are Mayer-Vietoris sequences.

\[\text{Proof} \quad \text{This follows from the preceding Lemma by taking the direct limit of (6) with respect to the directed set } \{(K, L) | K \subseteq U \text{ exact, } L \subseteq V, (K, L) \leq (K', L') \text{ if } K \subseteq K', L \subseteq L'\}. \]

Now we can prove the Poincare Duality.

\[\text{Theorem 1} \quad \text{For any manifold } M \text{ and any bundle of right } R\text{-modules } G, \text{ the homomorphism}
\]

\[
H^k_c(M; G) \xrightarrow{\sim [M]} H_{n-k}(M; G \otimes_R M_R)
\]

is an isomorphism.

\[\text{Proof} \quad \text{The proof of [Ha] Theorem 3.35 applies with one exception. In the case when } M = \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ one uses the fact that } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is contractible to deduce that } G \text{ and } (\mathbb{R}^n)_R \text{ is isomorphic to a constant bundle. Choose and fix a base point of } \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ say } 0. \text{ Define } G_0 = G(0). \text{ Identify } (\mathbb{R}^n)_R(0) \text{ with } R. \text{ Then } H^k_c(\mathbb{R}^n; G), \lim_{K} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n|K; M_R) \text{ and } H_{n-k}(\mathbb{R}^n; G \otimes_R (\mathbb{R}^n)_R) \text{ can be canonically identified with } H^k_c(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0), \lim_{K} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n|K; R) \text{ and } H_{n-k}(\mathbb{R}^n; G_0 \otimes_R R) \text{ respectively. Such identifications are compatible with the cap product. Under such identification, it is not hard to check from the definition that } [M] = [\mathbb{R}^n] \in \lim_{K} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n|K; M_R) \text{ as defined in this note is an fundamental class in } \lim_{K} H^k(\mathbb{R}^n|K; R), \text{ i.e. an element that restrict to the local orientation at each } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for a chosen orientation. Thus the Poincare Duality for the } R\text{-orientable manifold } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (the one we apply here is slightly more general than what appears in [Ha] since the coefficients can be in any } R\text{-module, but the same proof as in the simpler case carries verbatim to prove this generalized case) proves that } \sim [M] \text{ is an isomorphism.} \]
It should be mentioned that our result concerns merely cohomology with compact support. There is a version of Poincare duality for ordinary cohomology as recorded in \[Sp\], which is an isomorphism between Alexander cohomology and locally finite homology. The proof of this result, however, seems to require sheaf theory or some equally sophisticated machinery.

Appendix: The (Relative) Mayer Vietoris sequences with local coefficients

We shall need the following lemma, whose proof is essentially identical to that of corresponding results for (co)homology with constant coefficients:

**Lemma 4** Let \( X = \bigcup \alpha \text{Int } X_\alpha \) where \( X_\alpha \)'s are subspaces. Let \( G \) be a bundle of groups on \( X \). Define \( C_\ast \left( \Sigma X_\alpha; G \right) = \left\{ \sum \sigma_i g_i \sigma_i \in C_\ast \left( X; G \right) \middle| \text{each } \sigma_i(\Delta^n) \text{ is contained in some } X_\alpha \right\} \).

Define \( C_\ast \left( \Sigma X_\alpha; G \right) \rightarrow C_\ast \left( X; G \right) \) and \( C_\ast \left( X; G \right) \rightarrow C_\ast \left( \Sigma X_\alpha; G \right) \) induce isomorphism on homology.

Given a pair \( (X, Y) = (A \cup B, C \cup D) \) with \( C \subseteq A, D \subseteq B \) and \( X = \text{Int}_X A \cup \text{Int}_X B, Y = \text{Int}_Y C \cup \text{Int}_Y D \). For a bundle of groups \( G \) on \( X \), there are Mayer-Vietoris sequences:

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H_n(A \cap B, C \cap D; G) \rightarrow H_n(A, C; G) \oplus H_n(B, D; G) \rightarrow H_n(X, Y; G) \rightarrow \cdots
\]

and

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^n(X, Y; G) \rightarrow H^n(A, C; G) \oplus H^n(B, D; G) \rightarrow H^n(A \cap B, C \cap D; G) \rightarrow \cdots
\]

The sequence for homology is deduced by essentially the same way as ordinary (untwisted) coefficients (cf. Hatcher). On the other hand, the proof for the cohomological Mayer-Vietoris sequence with untwisted coefficients (cf. Hatcher pp. 204) almost carries to the twisted case except when proving

\[
0 \rightarrow C^n(A+B, C+D; G) \xrightarrow{\varphi} C^n(A, C; G) \oplus C^n(B, D; G) \xrightarrow{\varphi} C^n(A \cap B, C \cap D; G) \rightarrow 0
\]

is exact. This sequence no longer comes from dualizing the corresponding sequence for homology. Thus one has to prove the exactness by hand. The non-trivial part is proving the surjectivity of \( \varphi \). We will show this by constructing for any \( \alpha \in C^n(A \cap B, C \cap D; G) \) a pair \((\beta, \gamma) \in C^n(A, C; G) \oplus C^n(B, D; G)\) as follows:

\[
\beta(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \sigma(\Delta^n) \not\subseteq A \cap B \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(\Delta^n) \subseteq C \\ \alpha(\sigma) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
\gamma(\sigma) = \begin{cases} -\alpha(\sigma) & \sigma(\Delta^n) \subseteq C \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

It is not hard to verify that \( \varphi(\beta, \gamma) = \alpha \). Thus \( \varphi \) is surjective.
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