GRÖBNER SCHEME IN THE HILBERT SCHEME AND COMPLETE INTERSECTION MONOMIAL IDEALS
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Abstract. Let $k$ be a commutative ring and $S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over $k$ with a monomial order. For any monomial ideal $J$, there exists an affine $k$-scheme of finite type, called Gröbner scheme, which parameterizes all homogeneous reduced Gröbner bases in $S$ whose initial ideal is $J$. Here we functorially show that the Gröbner scheme is a locally closed subscheme of the Hilbert scheme if $J$ is a saturated ideal. In the process, we also show that the Gröbner scheme consists of complete intersections if $J$ defines a complete intersection.

1. Introduction

For a given saturated monomial ideal $J$, the set $\{\text{Proj} \, k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]/I \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n \mid \text{in}(I) = J\}$ determines a locally closed subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of the projective space $[NS00, LR11]$. This locus is isomorphic to an affine closed subscheme of an affine space over $k$ with weighted homogeneous defining ideal $[RT10]$, and there is procedures for obtaining a reduced Gröbner basis of a defining ideal $[RT10, Kam17]$. See also $[Rob09, Led11, Led14, BLR13, LR16]$ for this computable locus of Hilbert schemes.

In this paper, we show that such locus of the Hilbert scheme of the projective space is also locally closed over any commutative ring $k$ in functorial context. In the process, we can also show that such locus consists of complete intersections if $J$ defines a complete intersection.

Let $k$ be a commutative ring and $S = k[x] = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over $k$ with a monomial order $\prec$. Any monomial ideal $J$ in $S$ corresponds to the standard set $\Delta = \{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \mid x^\beta \notin J\}$ (see Definition 2.1). For a standard set $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, the set of all exponents of the minimal set of generators of $J_\Delta = \langle x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \rangle$ is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(\Delta)$. We define the Gröbner functor on the category of $k$-algebras as follows: For each $k$-algebra $B$,

$$\mathcal{H}ilb_{S/k}^{\prec(h, \Delta)}(B) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G \subset B[x] \\
G \text{ is a reduced Gröbner basis,} \\
\text{the set of all leading exponents of } G \text{ is } \mathcal{E}(\Delta), \\
G \text{ is homogeneous.} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} I \subset B[x] \\
I \text{ is a homogeneous ideal,} \\
\text{the initial ideal of } I \text{ is } J_\Delta, \\
I \text{ has a reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. } \prec. \end{array} \right\}$$
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We can extend this functor to a Zariski sheaf on the category of $k$-schemes. Furthermore, this functor is represented by an affine $k$-scheme of finite type $\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(h, \Delta)$ [Kam17], see also [Rob09, Led11]. We say this scheme $\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(h, \Delta)$ the Gröbner scheme.

For each $I \in \text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(h, \Delta)$, there is a natural isomorphism $S/I \cong S/J$ of graded $k$-modules. Therefore $S/I$ is free and flat over $k$. Furthermore, if $K$ is a field and $I \in \text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(h, \Delta)$ is a saturated monomial ideal, then the image of $\text{Proj} B[x]/I$ to $\text{Proj} K[x]/J$ over the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_K$ [Bay82]. Therefore each $I \in \text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(h, \Delta)$ defines a closed subscheme of $P^n_K$ [Har77, Chapter I, Ex.5.10].

Here we recall the Hilbert functor $\text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$ on the category of $k$-schemes that maps a $k$-scheme $X$ to the set of all closed subschemes $Y$ of $P^n_k \times_k X$ flat over $X$ whose fibers over closed points of $X$ have Hilbert polynomial $P$ as $\text{Proj} K[x]/J$ [Har77]. The Hilbert functor $\text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$ is represented by a $k$-scheme $\text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$, called the Hilbert scheme.

Hence there is a natural morphism of $k$-schemes

$$\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k} \to \text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$$

induced by the natural transformation on the category of $k$-algebras

$$\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(B) \to \text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}(B)$$

$I \mapsto \text{Proj} B[x]/I$.

Our main theorem is the following statement proved in Section 4:

**Theorem 1.1.** If $J_\Delta$ is a saturated monomial ideal, then the image of the natural transformation

$$\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k} \to \text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$$

is a locally closed subfunctor of $\text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$. Therefore the $k$-scheme morphism

$$\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k} \to \text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$$

is a locally closed immersion. Namely, there exists an open subscheme $H$ of $\text{Hilb}_{P^n_k}$ such that the image of $\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}$ is contained in $H$ and $\text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k} \to H$ is a closed immersion.

A condition “saturated” is a characterization of an ideal of $S$ which is a homogeneous ideal of a closed subscheme of $P^n_k$ [Har77] Chapter II, Ex.5.10).

Moreover, we can show the following three theorems:

**Theorem 1.2.** If $J_\Delta$ is saturated, then all ideals $I \in \text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(B)$ are also saturated.

**Theorem 1.3.** If $J_\Delta$ is $r$-truncation, i.e. $(J_\Delta)_{\geq r} = J_\Delta$, then all ideals $I \in \text{Hilb}^\prec_{S/k}(B)$ are also $r$-truncation.

**Theorem 1.4.** If all fibers of $\text{Proj} B[x]/J_\Delta \to \text{Spec} B$ over closed points of $\text{Spec} B$ are complete intersections, then the same property holds for all
ideals $I \in \mathcal{H} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{S}_{k}[x]/(B)$. Namely, all fibers of $\text{Proj} B/x \to \text{Spec} B$ over closed points of $\text{Spec} B$ are complete intersections.

We will give an explicit characterization of conditions “saturated”, “retruncation” and “complete intersection” on a monomial ideal in Section 2.

We use the following notations:

- We fix a commutative ring $k$ and let $S = k[x] = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over $k$. We denote by $(A)$ the ideal generated by a subset $A \subseteq S$.
- Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all non-negative integers. We regard $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ as the set of all monomials in $S$ using a notation $x^\alpha = x_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. The degree of $\alpha$ is $|\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ for a vector $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$.
- For a non-negative integer $l \geq 0$ and a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, we put $A_l = \{\alpha \in A \mid |\alpha| = l\}$ and $A_{\geq l}, A_{\leq l}$ similarly.
- For a finite set $C$, $\#(C)$ denotes the cardinal number of $C$.
- We define a $k$-submodule of $S$

$$kx^\Delta = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Delta} kx^\beta$$

for a subset $\Delta$ of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$.
- We say a total order $\prec$ on $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ is a monomial order if
  - For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, $\alpha \prec \beta$ implies $\alpha + \gamma \prec \beta + \gamma$.
  - For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, $0 \prec \alpha$.
In this paper, we always fix a monomial order $\prec$ except examples.

2. Monomial ideal

A monomial ideal is an ideal of polynomial ring generated by a set of monomials. A basic property of a monomial ideal is the following fact.

**Proposition 2.1.** ([CLO97] pp.67-68, Lemma 2, and Lemma 3) We assume that $k$ is not the zero ring. Let $J$ be a monomial ideal of $S$.

- There exists a decomposition

$$J = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}} J \cap kx^\alpha.$$ 

In other words, for a polynomial $f = \sum_i a_i x^{\alpha_i}, f \in J$ if and only if $x^{\alpha_i} \in J$ for each $i$.
- If $J$ is generated by $\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$, then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, $x^\beta \in J$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $\beta - \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$.

We recall standard sets in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. Those are matrices of monomial ideals of any polynomial ring in $(n+1)$ variables.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ is a standard set if

$$(\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta) + \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \subset (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta).$$

Equivalently, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, if $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$, then $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$. We put a monomial ideal $J_\Delta = \langle x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \rangle$ of $S$. In fact, a map $\Delta \mapsto J_\Delta$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all standard sets in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ and the set of all monomial ideals in $S$ if $k$ is not the zero ring.
Let $B$ be a $k$-algebra. The ideal $J_\Delta \otimes_k B$ of $B[x] = S \otimes_k B$ is also determined by $\Delta$. Thus we also denote by $J_\Delta$ the monomial ideal determined by $\Delta$ in $B[x]$.

**Definition 2.2.** ([Led11, Definition 2]) Let $\Delta$ be a standard set. We define the followings:

- **The set of corners**
  
  $$C(\Delta) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \mid \alpha - \lambda \notin \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \text{ for all } \lambda \in E \},$$
  
  where $E = \{ e_1, \ldots, e_n \}$ is the set of all canonical bases of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ (see the points C in Figure 1).

- **The border** of $\Delta$
  
  $$B(\Delta) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in E} (\Delta + \lambda) \setminus \Delta$$
  
  (see the points $\circ$ in Figure 1).

- **A point** $\varepsilon \in \Delta$ is an **edge point** of $\Delta$ (see the points E in Figure 1) if there exist $\lambda$, $\mu \in E$ such that $\varepsilon + \lambda$, $\varepsilon + \mu \in B(\Delta)$ and $\varepsilon + \lambda + \mu \in C(\Delta \cup B(\Delta))$. We say such a triple $(\varepsilon; \lambda, \mu)$ an **edge triple** of $\Delta$.

**Proposition 2.2.** (The Dickson’s Lemma [CLO97, p.69, Theorem 5].) Let $\Delta$ be a standard set. Then the set of corners $C(\Delta)$ is a finite set.
The set of corners $\mathcal{C}(\Delta)$ determines the unique minimal set of generators of $J_\Delta$. Namely, we have
\[ \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta = \mathcal{C}(\Delta) + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, \]
and if a subset $A$ satisfies $\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta = A + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, then $\mathcal{C}(\Delta) \subset A$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal generated by $\{x_0, \ldots, x_n\}$ in $S$ and let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal of $S$. The **saturation** of $I$ is the ideal
\[ (I : \mathfrak{m}^\infty) = \{ f \in S \mid \text{There exists } l \geq 0 \text{ such that } \mathfrak{m}^l f \subset I \}. \]
The saturation of $I$ is the largest homogeneous ideal defining the closed subscheme $\text{Proj} S/I$ in $\mathbb{P}^\infty_n$ [Har77, Chapter II, Ex.5.10]. We say that $I$ is saturated if $I = (I : \mathfrak{m}^\infty)$. We put
\[ (I : \mathfrak{m}^l) = \{ f \in S \mid \mathfrak{m}^l f \subset I \} \]
for each $l \geq 0$.

**Definition 2.4.** Let $\Delta$ be a standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. We define the saturation of $\Delta$ as follows:
\[ \Pi_\Delta = \left\{ \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \mid \text{For any } l \geq 0, \text{there exists } \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_l^{n+1} \text{ such that } \beta + \gamma \in \Delta \right\}. \]
This set $\Pi_\Delta$ is the standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ corresponding to the saturation $(J_\Delta : \mathfrak{m}^\infty)$. Therefore $J_\Delta$ is saturated if and only if $\Delta = \Pi_\Delta$, so we say that $\Delta$ is saturated if $\Delta = \Pi_\Delta$. Also we put
\[ \Pi_\Delta(l) = \{ \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \mid \text{There exists } \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_l^{n+1} \text{ such that } \beta + \gamma \in \Delta \}, \]
then $\Pi_\Delta(l)$ is the standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ with $J_{\Pi_\Delta(l)} = (J_\Delta : \mathfrak{m}^l)$. We can easily check the followings by the definition:
\[ \Pi_\Delta = \bigcap_{l \geq 0} \Pi_\Delta(l) \]
and
\[ \Pi_\Delta \subset \cdots \subset \Pi_\Delta(l+1) \subset \Pi_\Delta(l) \subset \cdots \subset \Pi_\Delta(1) \subset \Delta. \]

We give a characterization of a saturated standard set.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\Delta$ be a standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. Then
\[ \Pi_\Delta(l+1) = \{ \beta \in \Pi_\Delta(l) \mid \text{There exists } \lambda \in E \text{ such that } \beta + \lambda \in \Pi_\Delta(l) \}. \]
We define the set of all **top points** of $\Delta$ as follows:
\[ \mathcal{T}(\Delta) = \{ \tau \in \Delta \mid \text{For any } \lambda \in E, \tau + \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \}. \]
Therefore we have
\[ \Pi_\Delta(l+1) = \Pi_\Delta(l) \setminus \mathcal{T}(\Pi_\Delta(l)). \]
In particular,
\[ \Pi_\Delta(1) = \Delta \setminus \mathcal{T}(\Delta). \]
Proof. If \( \beta \in \Pi_\Delta(l+1) \), then there exists \( \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_l^{n+1} \) such that \( \beta + \gamma \in \Delta \). We take a canonical vector \( \lambda \) such that \( \gamma - \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_l^{n+1} \). Since \( (\beta + \lambda) + (\gamma - \lambda) \in \Delta \), we have \( \beta + \lambda \in \Pi_\Delta(l) \). Conversely, we assume that \( \beta \in \Pi_\Delta(l) \) and there exists \( \lambda \in E \) such that \( \beta + \lambda \in \Pi_\Delta(l) \), then there exists \( \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_l^{n+1} \) such that \( \beta + \lambda + \gamma \in \Delta \), therefore \( \beta \in \Pi_\Delta(l+1) \) since \( \gamma + \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_l^{n+1} \). \( \square \)

A top point of \( \Delta \) is a vertex of \( \Delta \) which is a terminal of sides for all directions, see the points T in Figure 2. The saturation \( \Pi_\Delta \) is obtained by scraping out top points over and over again until there is no top point. In particular, the saturation of a finite standard set is the empty set, hence \( (J_\Delta : m^\infty) = \langle 1 \rangle \) if \( \Delta \) is finite. By the next proposition, we can obtain the saturation in finite times.

**Proposition 2.4.** The followings hold.

- If \( k \) is a noetherian ring, then for any ideal \( I \) of \( S \), there exists \( l \geq 0 \) such that \( (I : m^\infty) = (I : m^l) \).
- For any standard set \( \Delta \) in \( \mathbb{N}_l^{n+1} \), there exists \( l \geq 0 \) such that \( \Pi_\Delta(l) = \Pi_\Delta \).

**Proof.** The first part is implied from an ascending sequence \( I = (I : m^0) \subset (I : m^1) \subset \cdots \subset (I : m^\infty) \). The second part is equivalent to the first part in the case of \( k = \mathbb{Z} \) and \( I = J_\Delta \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.1.** Let \( \Delta \) be a standard set. The following conditions (a)–(d) are equivalent:
Figure 3. non-saturated standard set $\Delta$ in Example 2.1

(a) $\Delta$ is saturated.
(b) For each $l \geq 0$, $\Pi_\Delta(l) = \Delta$.
(c) $\Pi_\Delta(1) = \Delta$.
(d) $\Delta$ has no top point, i.e. $T(\Delta) = \emptyset$.

Proof. The flow (a)$\Rightarrow$(b)$\Rightarrow$(c) is trivial from Definition 2.4, and clearly (c) is equivalent to (d) from Proposition 2.3. Thus it is enough to show that (c) implies (a). For any $\beta \in \Delta = \Pi_\Delta(1)$, there exists $\lambda_1 \in E$ such that $\beta + \lambda_1 \in \Delta = \Pi_\Delta(1)$. Therefore we inductively get canonical vectors $\lambda_l \in E$ for each $l \geq 0$ such that $\beta + \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l \in \Delta$. Hence $\beta \in \bigcap_{l \geq 0} \Pi_\Delta(l) = \Pi_\Delta$. \hfill $\square$

Example 2.1. We take the standard set $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{N}^3$ whose set of corners is $\mathcal{C}(\Delta) = \{(2,0,0),(1,1,0),(0,4,0),(1,0,2)\}$, i.e. $J_\Delta = \langle x^2, xy, y^4, xz^2 \rangle$, see Figure 4. We have $(1,0,1) \in \Delta$ and

\begin{align*}
(1,0,1) + (1,0,0) &= (2,0,0) + (0,0,1) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \Delta, \\
(1,0,1) + (0,1,0) &= (1,1,0) + (0,0,1) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \Delta, \\
(1,0,1) + (0,0,1) &= (1,0,2) + (0,0,0) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \Delta.
\end{align*}

Therefore $(1,0,1)$ is a top point of $\Delta$. Hence $\Delta$ is not saturated. See Figure 5, the saturation of $\Delta$ is $\{(0,b,c) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid b \leq 3, c \geq 0\}$.

If $\tau \in \Delta$ is a top point of a standard set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, then $\tau + e_i \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$ comes from the different corners for each $i = 0, \ldots, n$, see Figure 6. Thus we
get an easy sufficient condition for a saturated standard set. It will be also effective to characterize a monomial ideal defining a complete intersection.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $\Delta$ be a standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. If $\mathcal{C}(\Delta)$ has $n$ points or less, then $\Delta$ is saturated.

**Proof.** If $\tau$ is a top point of $\Delta$, then there exist $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$ and $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\tau + e_i = \alpha_i + \gamma_i$. If there exist distinct indexes $i, j$ such that $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$, then we get $e_i + \gamma_j = \gamma_i + e_j$. Hence $\gamma_i$
has a $e_i$-components, therefore $\alpha_i + (\gamma_i - e_i) = \tau \in \Delta$ implies $\alpha_i \in \Delta$. However, it is incompatible with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$. Thus $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ implies $\#(\mathcal{C}(\Delta)) \geq n + 1$.

**Remark 2.1.** For each $C > 0$, there exists a saturated standard set $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $\#(\mathcal{C}(\Delta)) > C$ if $n \geq 2$. Indeed, there exists a $C$-tiered stand graph $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{N}^3$ as like Figure 6. We take the origin $O \in \mathbb{N}^{(n+1)-3}$ and put $\Pi = \Delta \times \{O\}$, then $\#(\mathcal{C}(\Pi)) > C$. Thus the converse of Proposition 2.5 is not true in general. However, it is true in $\mathbb{N}^2$.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let $\Delta$ be a standard set in $\mathbb{N}^2$, then $\Delta$ is saturated if and only if $\mathcal{C}(\Delta)$ has at most one point.

**Proof.** Suppose that there exist distinct corners $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1), \alpha' = (\alpha'_0, \alpha'_1) \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$. We may assume $\alpha_0 > \alpha'_0$ and $\alpha_1 < \alpha'_1$ (see Figure 7). Let $p_1 : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ be the projection to 1-th coordinate. Since $\alpha' - e_1 = (\alpha'_0, \alpha'_1 - 1) \in \Delta$ and $(\alpha_0, \alpha'_1 - 1) = \alpha + (0, \alpha'_1 - \alpha_1 - 1) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$, we can take a point $\tau = (\tau_0, \tau_1) \in p_1^{-1}(\{\alpha'_1 - 1\}) \cap \Delta$ which has the maximum 0-th component in $p_1^{-1}(\{\alpha'_1 - 1\}) \cap \Delta$. Then $\tau$ is a top point of $\Delta$. Indeed, we have $p_1(\tau + e_0) = \alpha'_1 - 1$, then $\tau + e_0 \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$ by the definition of $\tau$. Moreover, $\tau + e_1 = \alpha' + (0_0, \alpha'_0, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$. Hence $\tau$ is a top point of $\Delta$, therefore $\Delta$ is not saturated. \qed
Definition 2.5. Suppose that $k$ is a field. Let $Y$ be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n_k$. We say $Y$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ if the homogeneous ideal $I(Y)$ of $Y$ in $S$ can be generated by $n - \dim(Y)$ elements.

Lemma 2.1. Let $A$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. Then the followings are equivalent:

- There exists a standard set $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $C(\Delta) = A$.
- For each $\alpha \in A$, $\alpha \not\in A \setminus \{\alpha\} + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$.

Proof. Let $A$ be the set of corners of a standard set $\Delta$. For each $\alpha \in A$, if there exists $\alpha' \in C(\Delta)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $\alpha = \alpha' + \gamma$, then we have $\gamma = 0$ since $\alpha \in C(\Delta)$. Therefore $\alpha \not\in A \setminus \{\alpha\} + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. Conversely, suppose that for each $\alpha \in A$, $\alpha \not\in A \setminus \{\alpha\} + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. Let $\Delta$ be the complement set of $A + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. Clearly $\Delta$ is a standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. For any $\alpha \in C(\Delta) \subset A + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, there exist $\alpha' \in A$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $\alpha = \alpha' + \gamma$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, there exists a canonical vector $\lambda$ such that $\gamma - \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. However, it implies that $\alpha - \lambda = \alpha' + (\gamma - \lambda) \in \Delta \cap A + \mathbb{N}^{n+1} = \emptyset$. Hence $\gamma = 0$, therefore $\alpha = \alpha' \in A$. Thus we get $C(\Delta) \subset A$. For any $\alpha \in A$, if there exists a canonical vector $\lambda$ such that $\alpha - \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta = A + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, then there exist $\alpha' \in A$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ such that $\alpha - \lambda = \alpha' + \gamma$. However, it is incompatible with our hypothesis since $\gamma + \lambda \neq 0$. Therefore $\alpha - \lambda \not\in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$ for any canonical vector $\lambda$, thus $\alpha \in C(\Delta)$. \qed
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $k$ is a non-zero ring. Let $\Delta$ be a standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. We assume that $\mathcal{C}(\Delta)$ has $r$ elements. Then all sets of generators of $J_{\Delta}$ consist of $r$ elements or more.

Proof. Let $J_{\Delta}$ be generated by $s$ elements $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$. By taking a maximal ideal $M$ of $k$ and taking a base change $k[x] \to (k/M)[x]$, we may assume that $k$ is a field. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$, there exist polynomials $h_1, \ldots, h_s$ such that $x^\alpha = \sum_j h_j g_j$. Therefore there exists $l$ such that $x^\alpha \in \text{supp}(g_l)$ since each $g_i$ is included in $J_{\Delta} = \langle x^\xi \mid \xi \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta) \rangle$ and $\alpha \notin \mathcal{C}(\Delta) \setminus \{\alpha\} + \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. If there exists another index $l'$ such that $x^\alpha \in \text{supp}(g_{l'})$, we put $\tilde{g}_l = g_l - cx^{\alpha}$, where $c_l$ and $c_{l'}$ are coefficients of $g_l$ and $g_{l'}$ at $x^\alpha$ respectively. Thus $J_{\Delta} = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_{l'-1}, \tilde{g}_l, g_{l'+1}, \ldots, g_s \rangle$. We replace $g_{l'}$ by $\tilde{g}_l$, then the number $\#\{l \mid \alpha \in \text{supp}(g_l)\}$ decreases since $x^\alpha$ does not appear in $\tilde{g}_l$. By repeating this method until the number $\#\{l \mid \alpha \in \text{supp}(g_l)\}$ decreases to 1 for all corners $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$, we get a map $\mathcal{C}(\Delta) \to \{1, \ldots, s\} ; \alpha \mapsto l(\alpha)$ such that $x^\alpha \in \text{supp}(g_{l(\alpha)})$. This map is injective. Indeed, if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ and $l(l(\alpha)) = l(l(\alpha'))$, then we cannot arrange $g_i$ as in $g_i = cx^\alpha + (g_i - cx^\alpha) = cx^\alpha + dx^{\alpha'} + \cdots$. Suppose that $x^\alpha$ has a form $x^\alpha = \sum_j h_j g_j$ with $h_j \in S$.

We note that if $j \neq l$, then all exponents of $g_j$ are included in the sets $\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \{\Delta \cup \{\alpha\}\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \{\Delta \cup \{\alpha'\}\}$, so all exponents of $h_j g_j$ are included in the sets $\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \{\Delta \cup \{\alpha\}\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \{\Delta \cup \{\alpha'\}\}$ since these sets are both closed under addition with elements of $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. We arrange $h_j g_j$ as follows:

$$h_l g_l = h_l(0) cx^\alpha + (h_l - h_l(0)) cx^\alpha + h_l g_l - cx^\alpha).$$

Then we obtain $h_l(0) cx^\alpha = x^\alpha$. In particular, $h_l(0) \neq 0$. Now we have

$$\sum_{j \neq l} h_j g_j = -(h_l - h_l(0)) cx^\alpha - h_l g_l - cx^\alpha$$

$$= -(h_l - h_l(0)) cx^\alpha - h_l d x^{\alpha'} + \cdots.$$ 

A term $-h_l(0) dx^{\alpha'}$ is appeared in the right side. However, $x^{\alpha'}$ does not appear in the left side. Hence the map $\mathcal{C}(\Delta) \to \{1, \ldots, s\} ; \alpha \mapsto l(\alpha)$ is injective. Therefore $s \geq r$. □

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that $k$ is a field. Let $\Delta$ be a non-empty standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ which is not the whole space. We assume that $\mathcal{C}(\Delta) = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ has $n$ points or less, and $\alpha_{i,j}$ denotes the $j$-th coordinate of $\alpha_i$.

Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\text{Proj} S/J_{\Delta}$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$.
2. $\dim \text{Proj} S/J_{\Delta} = n - r$.
3. For any repeated combination of $r$ indexes $j_1, \ldots, j_r \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, if $\alpha_{1,j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r,j_r} \neq 0$, then $\#\{\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}\} = r$. In other words, each column of the $r \times (n + 1)$ matrix $A = (\alpha_{i,j})$ has at most one non-zero coordinate.

Proof. We put $Y = \text{Proj} S/J_{\Delta}$. Since $J_{\Delta}$ is saturated by Proposition 2.5, the homogeneous ideal $I(Y)$ is $J_{\Delta}$. Then (2) implies (1) and we have

$$\text{Proj} S/J_{\Delta} = \bigcup_{\alpha_{1,j_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r,j_r} \neq 0} \text{Proj} S/(x_{j_1}^{\alpha_{1,j_1}}, \ldots, x_{j_r}^{\alpha_{r,j_r}}).$$

Therefore we obtain
\[
\dim \text{Proj} S/J_\Delta = \max_{\alpha_1, j_1, \ldots, \alpha_r, j_r \neq 0} n - \#(\{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}) \geq n - r. \quad \cdots (\ast)
\]
Thus (2) is equivalent to (3). We show that (1) implies (2) in below. We assume that \( J_\Delta \) is generated by \( s = n - \dim Y \) elements \( \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \). We have \( n - s \geq n - r \) from (\( \ast \)), hence \( r \geq s \). On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2 we have \( r \leq s \). Then \( r = s = n - \dim Y \). □

We get a classification of saturated monomial ideals in \( S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n] \) defining a complete intersection in \( \mathbb{P}^n_k \). For each \( 1 \leq r \leq n \), there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all saturated monomial ideals defining a \((n - r)\) dimensional complete intersection in \( \mathbb{P}^n_k \) and the set of all \( r \times (n + 1) \) non-negative integer matrices \( A \) satisfying the following conditions:

- Each column of \( A \) has at most one non-zero coordinate (Proposition 2.6).
- The difference of each distinct two row of \( A \) is not included in \( \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \) (Lemma 2.4).

For example, we set \( n = 3 \) and \( r = 2 \). A matrix
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
satisfies above two conditions. Hence \( J = (x_0x_3, x_1) \) defines a 1 dimensional complete intersection \( \text{Proj} k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_0x_3, x_1) \) in \( \mathbb{P}^3_k \).

**Definition 2.6.** Let \( \Delta \) be a non-empty standard set in \( \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \) which is not the whole space. We assume that \( \mathcal{C}(\Delta) = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\} \) has \( n \) points or less. \( \alpha_{i,j} \) denotes the \( j \)-th coordinate of \( \alpha_i \). We put a \( r \times (n + 1) \) matrix \( A_\Delta = (\alpha_{i,j}) \). We say that \( \Delta \) **defines a complete intersection** if each column of \( A_\Delta \) has at most one non-zero coordinate and all differences of all distinct two rows of \( A_\Delta \) are not included in \( \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \).

Again let \( k \) be a general commutative ring. If \( \Delta \) defines a complete intersection, then all fibers of \( \text{Proj} S/J_\Delta \to \text{Spec} k \) over closed points of \( \text{Spec} k \) is a complete intersection by Proposition 2.6

**Definition 2.7.** ([LR16 Definition 3.3]) Let \( I \) be a homogeneous ideal of \( S \). For \( r \geq 0 \), we say that \( I \) is an \( r \)-**truncation** ideal if \( I = I_{\geq r} = \bigoplus_{s \geq r} I_s \), where \( I_s = \{f \in I \mid \text{deg } f = s\} \cup \{0\} \). We say that a standard set \( \Delta \) is \( r \)-**truncation** if \( J_\Delta \) is an \( r \)-truncation ideal.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( \Delta \) be a standard set. For \( r \geq 0 \), we put a set
\[
\Delta(r) = \Delta \cup \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}_{\leq (r-1)}.
\]
Then
- \( \Delta(r) \) is a standard set.
- \( (J_\Delta)_{\geq r} = J_{\Delta(r)} \). Hence \( J_{\Delta(r)} \) is an \( r \)-truncation monomial ideal. Furthermore, \( \Delta \) is \( r \)-truncation if and only if \( \Delta = \Delta(r) \).
- \( \mathcal{C}(\Delta(r)) = (\mathbb{N}_0^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_{\geq r} \cup \mathcal{C}(\Delta)_{\geq r} \).
- \( \mathcal{B}(\Delta(r)) = (\mathbb{N}_0^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_{\geq r} \cup \mathcal{B}(\Delta)_{\geq r} \).

**Proof.** These are clear by the definition. □
3. \textbf{Gröbner scheme}

In this section, we introduce Gröbner schemes.

\textbf{Definition 3.1.} For a non-zero polynomial \( f \in S \setminus \{0\} \), we define the followings:

- \( \text{coef}(f, x^\alpha) \) denotes the coefficient of \( f \) at \( x^\alpha \).
- \( \text{supp}(f) = \{ x^\alpha \mid \text{coef}(f, x^\alpha) \neq 0 \} \) is called the support of \( f \).
- \( \text{LM}(f) = \max_\prec \text{supp}(f) \) is called the leading monomial of \( f \), where \( \max_\prec A \) means the maximum element of \( A \) with respect to \( \prec \).
- \( \text{LC}(f) = \text{coef}(f, \text{LM}(f)) \) is called the leading coefficient of \( f \).
- \( \text{LT}(f) = \text{LC}(f) \text{LM}(f) \) is called the leading term of \( f \).
- The leading exponent of \( f \), denoted by \( \text{LE}(f) \), is the exponent of \( \text{LM}(f) \), i.e. \( \text{LM}(f) = x^{\text{LE}(f)} \).

Furthermore, for each subset \( I \) of \( S \), we define \( \text{LM}(I) = \{ \text{LM}(f) \mid f \in I \setminus \{0\} \} \) and \( \text{LT}(I) \), \( \text{LE}(I) \) similarly. The initial ideal of \( I \) is the ideal generated by \( \text{LM}(I) \).

\textbf{Definition 3.2.} A finite subset \( G \) of \( S \) is a Gröbner basis (of \( I = \langle G \rangle \)) if

\[ \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(G) \rangle. \]

We say that \( I \) has a Gröbner basis \( G \) if \( G \) is a Gröbner basis in \( S \) with \( I = \langle G \rangle \).

\textbf{Definition 3.3.} Let \( \Delta \) be a standard set in \( \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \) and \( G \) be a Gröbner basis in \( S \) with \( \text{LE}(\langle G \rangle) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \). \( G \) is a reduced Gröbner basis if \( G \) satisfies the following three conditions:

- For any \( g \in G \), \( g \) is monic, i.e. \( \text{LC}(g) = 1 \).
- \( \text{LE}(G) = \mathcal{C}(\Delta) \).
- For any \( g \in G \), \( g - \text{LM}(g) \in kx^\Delta \).

In other words, \( G \) has the form

\[ G = \left\{ g_\alpha = x^\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} a_{\alpha,\beta} x^\beta \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta) \right\} \]

with \( \text{LE}(g_\alpha) = \alpha \).

\textbf{Definition 3.4.} ([Wib07, Definition 4], [Led11, Definition 1]) An ideal \( I \) of \( S \) is a monic ideal with respect to \( \prec \) if \( I \) satisfies the following four equivalent conditions:

- \( \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle = \langle \text{LM}(I) \rangle \).
- \( \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle \) is a monomial ideal.
- For any \( f \in I \setminus \{0\} \), there exists a monic polynomial \( f' \in I \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( \text{LM}(f) = \text{LM}(f') \).
- For any monomial \( x^\alpha \), the ideal

\[ \text{LC}(I, x^\alpha) = \{ \text{LC}(f) \mid f \in I \setminus \{0\}, \text{LM}(f) = x^\alpha \} \cup \{0\} \]

is either the zero ideal or the unit ideal of \( k \).

In particular, if \( k \) is a field, then any ideal of \( k[x_0, \ldots, x_n] \) is monic.
Proposition 3.1. ([Led11 Lemma 2], [Kam17 Proposition 5.1]) Let $\Delta$ be a standard set and $I$ be an ideal with $LE(I) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$. Then $I$ is monic if and only if there exists a unique family of polynomials $\{g_\alpha \in I \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta\}$ such that the following three conditions hold for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$:

- $g_\alpha$ is monic.
- $LE(g_\alpha) = \alpha$.
- $g_\alpha - LM(g_\alpha) \in kx^{\Delta}$.

In other words, each $g_\alpha$ has the form

$$g_\alpha = x^\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} a_{\alpha \beta} x^{\beta}$$

with $LE(g_\alpha) = \alpha$. We say this family the monic basis of $I$.

Proposition 3.2. ([Wib07 Theorem 4]) An ideal $I$ of $S$ has a reduced Gröbner basis if and only if $I$ is monic.

Definition 3.5. Let $\Delta$ be a standard set in $\mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. We define the (homogeneous) Gröbner functor $\text{Hilb}^{<\langle h, \Delta \rangle}_{S/k} : (k\text{-Alg}) \to \text{(Set)}$ as follows:

$$\text{Hilb}^{<\langle h, \Delta \rangle}_{S/k}(B) = \left\{ G \subseteq S \mid \begin{array}{l}
G \text{ is a homogeneous reduced Gröbner basis whose } LE(G) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta. \\
I \text{ is a homogeneous monic ideal whose } LE(I) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta.
\end{array} \right\}.$$

In fact, the Gröbner functor is representable by an affine $k$-scheme of finite type $\text{Hilb}^{<\langle h, \Delta \rangle}_{S/k}$. We say $\text{Hilb}^{<\langle h, \Delta \rangle}_{S/k}$ the (homogeneous) Gröbner scheme. See [Rob09 RT10 Led11 Kam17] for more detail.

Proposition 3.3. Let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal with $LE(I) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$. Then

$$\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \subseteq LE(I : m^l) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Pi_\Delta(l)$$

for each $l \geq 0$, and

$$\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \subseteq LE(I : m^\infty) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Pi_\Delta.$$

Proof. Since $I \subseteq (I : m^l)$, we have $\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta = LE(I) \subseteq LE(I : m^l)$. For any $\alpha \in LE(I : m^l)$, there exists $f \in (I : m^l)$ such that $LE(f) = \alpha$. By the definition, $x^\gamma f \in I$ for each $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}_l$, therefore we obtain $\alpha + \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}_l$, then $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Pi_\Delta(l)$. We can show the second part in the similar way. \qed

Lemma 3.1. Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals in $S$. Suppose that $I$ is contained in $J$, $I$ is monic and $LE(I) = LE(J)$. Then $I = J$.

Proof. If $J \setminus I \neq \emptyset$, then we can take $g \in J \setminus I$ whose $LE(g)$ is minimum in $LE(J \setminus I)$. Since $LE(I) = LE(J)$ and $I$ is monic, there exists a monic polynomial $f \in I$ such that $LE(f) = LE(g) = \alpha$, then $g - \text{coef}(f, x^\alpha)f \in I$ from minimality of $g$. However, it is incompatible with $g \in J \setminus I$. \qed

Corollary 3.1. Let $\Delta$ be a saturated standard set. Let $B$ be a $k$-algebra. Then any ideal in $\text{Hilb}^{<\langle h, \Delta \rangle}_{S/k}(B)$ is saturated.
Proof. If \( I \in \mathcal{H}ilb_{S/k}^{\leq \Delta}(B) \), then \( I \) is monic with \( \text{LE}(I) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \) from Proposition 3.3, we have \( \text{LE}(I : m^\infty) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \) since \( \Delta = \Pi_\Delta \). Then \( I = (I : m^\infty) \) by Lemma 3.1. \( \square \)

Example 3.1. However, there exists a saturated ideal whose initial ideal is not saturated. Suppose \( k = \mathbb{Q} \) and \( S = k[x,y,z] \). We set an ideal
\[
I = \langle x^2 + y^2 - z^2, xy - z^2 \rangle.
\]
This ideal is saturated, and its reduced Gr"obner basis with respect to the lexicographic order is
\[
G = \{ x^2 + y^2 - z^2, xy - z^2, y^4 - y^2z^2 + z^4, xz^2 + y^3 - yz^2 \}.
\]
Thus the initial ideal of \( I \) is
\[
\langle \text{LM}(I) \rangle = \langle x^2, xy, y^4, xz^2 \rangle.
\]
Let \( \Delta \) be the standard set attached to \( I \). From Example 2.1 \( \Delta \) is a non-saturated standard set whose saturation is \( \Pi_\Delta = \{ (0, b, c) \mid b \leq 3, c \geq 0 \} \), so we obtain a saturated ideal whose initial ideal is not saturated.

Lemma 3.2. ([Bay82] Proposition 2.12) Let \( K \) be a \( k \)-algebra which is a field. Let \( \Delta \) be a standard set in \( \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \). Then for any ideal \( I \in \mathcal{H}ilb_{S/k}^{\leq \langle h, \Delta \rangle}(K) \), there exists a closed subscheme \( X \) of \( \mathbb{P}_K^n \times K \mathbb{A}_K^1 \) with flat projection \( X \to \mathbb{A}_K^1 \) as follows:

- The fiber at \( 0 \in \mathbb{A}_K^1 \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Proj} K[x]/J_\Delta \).
- The fiber at \( 1 \in \mathbb{A}_K^1 \) is isomorphic to \( \text{Proj} K[x]/I \).

In particular, \( \text{Proj} K[x]/J_\Delta \) and \( \text{Proj} K[x]/I \) have the same dimension and the same Hilbert polynomial in \( \mathbb{P}_K^n \) [Har77].

Theorem 3.1. Let \( \Delta \) be a standard set in \( \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \) which defines a complete intersection. Let \( B \) be a \( k \)-algebra. Then for any ideal \( I \in \mathcal{H}ilb_{S/k}^{\leq \langle h, \Delta \rangle}(B) \) and any closed point \( t \in \text{Spec} B \), the fiber of the morphism \( \text{Proj} B[x]/I \to \text{Spec} B \) at \( t \) is a complete intersection in \( \mathbb{P}_K^n \), where \( \kappa(t) \) is the residue field of \( t \) on \( \text{Spec} B \). In particular, each closed point of \( \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{\leq \langle h, \Delta \rangle} \) defines a complete intersection.

Proof. The fiber of the morphism \( \text{Proj} B[x]/I \to \text{Spec} B \) at \( t \) is isomorphic to the closed subscheme \( \text{Proj} \kappa(t)[x]/(I \otimes \kappa(t)) \) in \( \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(t)}^n \). Since \( \tilde{I} = I \otimes \kappa(t) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{H}ilb_{S/k}^{\leq \langle h, \Delta \rangle}(\kappa(t)) \), \( \text{Proj} \kappa(t)[x]/J_\Delta \) and \( \text{Proj} \kappa(t)[x]/\tilde{I} \) have the same dimension by Lemma 3.2. Now \( J_\Delta \) defines an \( (n - \#(\mathcal{C}(\Delta))) \) dimensional complete intersection in \( \mathbb{P}_\kappa(t) \) by Proposition 2.5, then \( \dim \text{Proj} \kappa(t)[x]/\tilde{I} = (n - \#(\mathcal{C}(\Delta))) \). From Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 3.1 \( \tilde{I} \) is saturated. Furthermore, \( \tilde{I} \) is generated by \( \#(\mathcal{C}(\Delta)) \) elements by taking the reduced Gr"obner basis of \( \tilde{I} \). Therefore \( \text{Proj} \kappa(t)[x]/\tilde{I} \) is a complete intersection in \( \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(t)}^n \). \( \square \)

Example 3.2. Suppose \( k = \mathbb{Q} \) and \( S = \mathbb{Q}[x,y,z,w] \). We take an ideal
\[
I = \langle y - 7z - 2w, 3xy - 21xz + 54z^2 + 6w^2, 4xw + 2yw - 14zw + 36z^2 \rangle.
\]
The reduced Gröbner basis of $I$ with respect to the lexicographic order $<$ such that $x > y > z > w$ is
\[ G = \{ xw + 9z^2 + w^2, y - 7z - 2w \} . \]
Therefore the initial ideal of $I$ is $J_\Delta = \langle xw, y \rangle$. By Proposition 2.6, $\Delta$ defines a 1-dimensional complete intersection since $C(\Delta) = \{ (1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0, 0) \}$. Then a scheme
\[ \operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, w]/\langle y - 7z - 2w, 3xy - 21xz + 54z^2 + 6w^2, 4xw + 2yw - 14zw + 36z^2 \rangle \]
is also a 1-dimensional complete intersection in $\mathbb{P}^3 \mathbb{Q}$.

In fact, we have
\[ \operatorname{Hilb}^{\langle (h, \Delta) \rangle}_{S/\mathbb{Q}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Q} \left[ T_{(1,0,0,0),(0,0,0,1),} T_{(1,0,0,1)}, T_{(1,0,0,1), T_{(0,0,0,1)}, T_{(0,0,1,1)}} \right] \cong \mathbb{A}^5_{\mathbb{Q}} \]
and for each $k$-algebra $B$,
\[ \mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Hilb}^{\langle (h, \Delta) \rangle}_{S/\mathbb{Q}}(B) = \{ \langle xw + az^2 + bzw + cw^2, y + dz + ew \rangle \mid a, b, c, d, e \in B \} . \]
For calculating this fact, see [RT10, LR11, Kam17]. Therefore for any $a, b, c, d, e \in B$, the scheme
\[ \operatorname{Proj} B[x, y, z, w]/\langle xw + az^2 + bzw + cw^2, y + dz + ew \rangle \]
is a flat family of 1-dimensional complete intersections over $\operatorname{Spec} B$.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $\Delta$ be a $r$-truncation standard set. Let $B$ be a $k$-algebra. Then any ideal included in $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Hilb}^{\langle (h, \Delta) \rangle}_{S/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ is $r$-truncation.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that $I \subset I_{\geq r}$ for any ideal $I \in \mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Hilb}^{\langle (h, \Delta) \rangle}_{S/\mathbb{Q}}(B)$. We take a reduced Gröbner basis $G = \{ g_\alpha \mid \alpha \in C(\Delta) \}$ of $I$ indexed to satisfy $\operatorname{LE}(g_\alpha) = \alpha$. Since $\Delta$ is $r$-truncation, we have $\Delta = \Delta(r) = \Delta \cup \mathbb{N}^{r-1}$. Thus $\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$. Therefore $g_\alpha \in I_{\geq r}$. Hence we get $I = \langle g_\alpha \mid \alpha \in C(\Delta) \rangle \subset I_{\geq r}$. \qed

4. **Natural morphism from the Gröbner scheme to the Hilbert scheme**

**Definition 4.1.** ([Mac07, Definition 1.6]) Let $k$ be a commutative ring. Let $P$ be a Hilbert polynomial. We define the **Hilbert functor** $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Hilb}^P_{\mathbb{P}^n_k} : (k\text{-Sch}) \to (\text{Set})$ mapping each $k$-scheme $X$ to the set of all closed sub-schemes $Y$ of $\mathbb{P}^n_k = \mathbb{P}^n_k \times X$ flat over $X$ whose fibers over closed points of $X$ have Hilbert polynomial $P$.

**Proposition 4.1.** ([Mac07, Theorem 1.13.]) The Hilbert functor $\mathcal{H}(\operatorname{Hilb}^P_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}$ is representable by a scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^P_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}$.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $I$ be a monic ideal with $\operatorname{LE}(I) = \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$. Then the composition of $k$-module morphisms
\[ kx^\Delta \to S \to S/I \]
is an isomorphism of $k$-modules between $kx^\Delta$ and $S/I$, where $\iota$ is the inclusion map and $S \to S/I$ is the natural surjection. Furthermore, the monic
basis \( \{ g_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \} \) of \( I \) is a \( k \)-basis of \( I \). In particular, \( S/I \) is free, and then flat as \( k \)-module.

**Proof.** Since \( I \cap kx^\Delta = \{0\} \), this morphism is injective. We decompose any \( f \in S \) as follows:

\[
f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta} c_\alpha x^\alpha + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} c_\beta x^\beta.
\]

Then a polynomial \( g = f - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta} c_\alpha g_\alpha \) is included in \( kx^\Delta \) and \( f-g \in I \), therefore the morphism is surjective. Furthermore, if \( f \in I \), then \( g \in I \cap kx^\Delta = \{0\} \), therefore \( \{ g_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \} \) is a \( k \)-basis of \( I \). \( \square \)

From Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 4.2, there is a natural morphism

\[
\text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{<(h,\Delta)} \to \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^P
\]

induced by the natural transformation

\[
\text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{<(h,\Delta)}(B) \to \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^P(B)
\]

\[
I \mapsto \text{Proj } B[x]/I
\]

where \( P \) is the Hilbert polynomial of \( \text{Proj } S/J_\Delta \) in \( \mathbb{P}^n_k \).

If \( k \) is a field and \( \Delta \) is saturated, this morphism is a locally closed immersion [RT10, Theorem 6.3]. Our purpose in this section is to show that this morphism is also a locally closed immersion for any commutative ring \( k \) and a saturated standard set \( \Delta \). More strictly, we will show that the functor \( \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{<(h,\Delta)} \) is a locally closed functor of \( \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^P \) if \( \Delta \) is saturated.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let \( \Delta \) be a saturated standard set. Then the natural transformation

\[
\text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{<(h,\Delta)}(B) \to \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^P(B)
\]

\[
I \mapsto \text{Proj } S/I
\]

is injective for any \( k \)-algebra \( B \), where \( P \) is the Hilbert polynomial of \( \text{Proj } S/J_\Delta \) as a closed subscheme of \( \mathbb{P}^n_k \).

**Proof.** This is obvious by Corollary 3.1. \( \square \)

The Hilbert scheme of \( d \) points \( \text{Hilb}_d \) is covered by Gröbner schemes \( \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{<(\ell,\Delta)} \), where \( \Delta \) runs through all standard sets of size \( d \) [Led11, Theorem 2]. Hence the author has a question.

**Question.** Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be the set of all saturated standard sets in \( \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \) which has Hilbert polynomial \( P \) in \( \mathbb{P}^n \). Does the family \( \{ \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{<(h,\Delta)} \mid \Delta \in \mathcal{P} \} \) cover the Hilbert scheme \( \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^P \)?

Let \( Y \) be a closed subscheme included in \( \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^P \) and \( I(Y) \) be its homogeneous ideal in \( S \). Suppose that the set \( \{ \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{<(h,\Delta)} \mid \Delta \in \mathcal{P} \} \) covers the Hilbert scheme \( \text{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}^P \). Then there exists a homogeneous ideal \( I \) of \( S \) such that \( \text{Proj } S/I = Y \) and the initial ideal of \( I \) is saturated. By Corollary 3.1 if \( I \) is saturated, then \( I = I(Y) \). Therefore the above question is equivalent to the following: each saturated ideal \( I \) whose \( \text{Proj } S/I \) has Hilbert polynomial \( P \) has saturated initial ideal.
Hence by Example 3.1 this question is not true for Hilbert polynomial $P(t) = 4$ and the lexicographic order.

However, if we denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the set of all standard sets having Hilbert polynomial $P$, the sum of images of Gröbner schemes $\{\text{Hilb}^{<(h, \Delta)}_{S/k} \mid \Delta \in \mathcal{D}\}$ covers the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^P_{S/k}$. Thus the author suggests the following problems.

**Problem.** Suppose that $\Delta$ is not saturated. We consider the natural morphism $\text{Hilb}^{<(h, \Delta)}_{S/k} \to \text{Hilb}^P_{S/k}$.

- Is this morphism also a locally closed immersion?
- Is this morphism locally finite or quasi-finite?
- Study fibers of this morphism.
- How is the locus of its image in $\text{Hilb}^P_{S/k}$?

We start the proof of our main theorem.

**Proposition 4.3.** ([Kam17, Theorem 5.2]) Let $\Delta$ be a standard set. We put a $k$-algebra

$$R = k[T_{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta), \beta \in \Delta, \alpha \prec \beta, |\alpha| = |\beta|].$$

Then there exists a family of polynomials $\{T_{\alpha, \beta} \in R \mid \alpha \in \Delta \cup \mathcal{B}(\Delta), \beta \in \Delta\}$ such that

1. $T_{\alpha, \beta} = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$.
2. $T_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and $\beta \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha \prec \beta$.
3. $T_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and $\beta \in \Delta$ such that $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$.
4. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$, there exists $\nu \in E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ such that $\alpha - \nu \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and

$$T_{\alpha, \beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} T_{\alpha - \nu, \gamma} T_{\gamma + \nu, \beta}.$$ 

**Proposition 4.4.** ([Kam17, Theorem 5.3]) Let $R$ be the same ring in Proposition 4.3. We take a family $\{T_{\alpha, \beta} \mid \alpha \in \Delta \cup \mathcal{B}(\Delta), \beta \in \Delta\}$ satisfying the conditions in Proposition 4.3. Let $I_1$ be the ideal of $R$ generated by all relations

$$T_{\alpha + \lambda, \beta} - \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} T_{\alpha, \gamma} T_{\gamma + \lambda, \beta}$$

for all vectors $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$, $\lambda \in E$ such that $\alpha + \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ and $\beta \in \Delta$. Let $I_2$ be the ideal of $R$ generated by all relations

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} T_{\varepsilon + \lambda, \gamma} T_{\gamma + \mu, \beta} - \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} T_{\varepsilon + \mu, \gamma} T_{\gamma + \lambda, \beta}$$

for all edge triples $(\varepsilon; \lambda, \mu)$ of $\Delta$ and vectors $\beta \in \Delta$. We put a sum of ideals $I = I_1 + I_2$.

Then the affine $k$-scheme of finite type

$$\text{Hilb}^{<(h, \Delta)}_{S/k} = \text{Spec} R/I$$

represents $\text{Hilb}^{<(h, \Delta)}_{S/k}$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\Delta$ be a saturated standard set. For any $r \geq 0$, we put $\Delta(r) = \Delta \cup \mathbb{N}^{n+1}_{\leq r-1}$. Then the natural transformation

$$\mathcal{Hilb}^{\prec(h,\Delta)}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{Hilb}^{\prec(h,\Delta(r))}(B)$$

$$I \mapsto I_{\geq r}$$

is bijective for each $k$-algebra $B$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{Hilb}^{\prec(h,\Delta)}_{S/k} \cong \mathcal{Hilb}^{\prec(h,\Delta(r))}_{S/k}$$

as $k$-schemes.

Proof. Since $(I : m^\infty) = (I_{\geq r} : m^\infty)$, this natural transformation is injective for each $k$-algebra $B$ by Corollary 4.1. We take $I \in \mathcal{Hilb}^{\prec(h,\Delta(r))}(B)$ and its monic basis $\{a_{\alpha} = x^\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} b_{\alpha,\beta} x^\beta | \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta(r) = \{\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta\}_{\geq r}\}$. We put $b_{\gamma,\beta} = \delta_{\gamma,\beta}$ for $\gamma, \beta \in \Delta(r)$. We set variables $\{A_{\alpha,\beta} | \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, \beta \in \Delta\}$ and equations as the followings:

1. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$, $\lambda \in E$ and $\beta \in \Delta$,
   $$A_{\alpha+\lambda,\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} A_{\alpha,\gamma} A_{\gamma+\lambda,\beta}.$$ 
   - For $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_{\geq r}$ and $\beta \in \Delta$,
     $$A_{\alpha,\beta} = b_{\alpha,\beta}.$$ 
   - For $\alpha$ and $\beta \in \Delta$,
     $$A_{\alpha,\beta} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}.$$ 
   - For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta$ and $\beta \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha \prec \beta$ or $|\alpha| \neq |\beta|$,
     $$A_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$$

From Proposition 4.1, if the above simultaneous equation has a solution $\{a_{\alpha,\beta} | \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}, \beta \in \Delta\} \subseteq B$, then $G = \{x^\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} a_{\alpha,\beta} x^\beta | \alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{Hilb}^{\prec(h,\Delta)}(B)$ with $\langle G \rangle_{\geq r} = I$. Therefore our goal is to find a solution. For $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_{r-1}$, the equations $(\ast)$ are equivalent to

$$b_{\alpha+\lambda,\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_{r-1}} A_{\alpha,\gamma} b_{\gamma+\lambda,\beta}.$$ 

We explicitly describe as $\Delta_{r-1} = \{\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_s\}$ and $E \times \Delta_r = \{(\lambda_1, \beta_1), \ldots, (\lambda_t, \beta_t)\}$. Hence we can regard $(\ast)$ as the following simultaneous linear equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
  b_{\gamma_1+\lambda_1,\beta_1} & \cdots & b_{\gamma_s+\lambda_1,\beta_1} \\
  b_{\gamma_1+\lambda_2,\beta_2} & \cdots & b_{\gamma_s+\lambda_2,\beta_2} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  b_{\gamma_1+\lambda_t,\beta_t} & \cdots & b_{\gamma_s+\lambda_t,\beta_t}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  A_{\alpha,\gamma_1} \\
  A_{\alpha,\gamma_2} \\
  \vdots \\
  A_{\alpha,\gamma_s}
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
  b_{\alpha+\lambda_1,\beta_1} \\
  b_{\alpha+\lambda_2,\beta_2} \\
  \vdots \\
  b_{\alpha+\lambda_t,\beta_t}
\end{pmatrix}.$$
Since $\Delta$ has no top point, for each $\gamma_i \in \Delta_{r-1}$, there exists $\delta_i \in E$ such that $\gamma_i + \delta_i \in \Delta_r$. We take a minor

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
 b_{\gamma_1 + \delta_1, \gamma_1 + \delta_1} & \cdots & b_{\gamma_1 + \delta_1, \gamma_1 + \delta_1} & \cdots & b_{\gamma_s + \delta_1, \gamma_1 + \delta_1} \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 b_{\gamma_1 + \delta_j, \gamma_1 + \delta_j} & b_{\gamma_1 + \delta_j, \gamma_1 + \delta_j} & b_{\gamma_s + \delta_j, \gamma_1 + \delta_j} & \cdots & \cdots \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 b_{\gamma_1 + \delta_s, \gamma_1 + \delta_s} & \cdots & b_{\gamma_1 + \delta_s, \gamma_1 + \delta_s} & \cdots & b_{\gamma_s + \delta_s, \gamma_1 + \delta_s}
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

This minor is upper triangle, indeed, we have

$$b_{\gamma_i + \delta_j, \gamma_j + \delta_j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Therefore by using a row reduction, $(\star)$ has a solution for each $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_{r-1}$, and for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_{\leq r-1}$ inductively. \hfill \Box

The author referred to [Mac07, Remark 2.2, Theorem 2.5] for the following definition and proposition about Gotzmann’s regularity.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal of $S$. We say $S/I$ is $r$-regular if

$$H^i_m(S/I)_j = 0 \text{ for } j + i > r,$$

where $H^i_m$ is the $i$-th local cohomology functor.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $P$ be a Hilbert polynomial, and write

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \frac{t + a_i - i + 1}{a_i} \right),$$

where $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r \geq 0$. The number $r$ is called the Gotzmann number of $P$. If $I$ is a saturated ideal with Hilbert polynomial $P$, then $S/I$ is $(r-1)$-regular. Furthermore, the degrees of the minimal set of generators of $I$ are upper bounded by $r$. Therefore $I$ is generated in degree at most $r$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\Delta$ be a saturated standard set whose Hilbert polynomial is $P$. Then the morphism

$$\operatorname{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h, \Delta)} \to \operatorname{Hilb}_{P_k}^{P}$$

induced by the natural transformation

$$\mathcal{H} \operatorname{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h, \Delta)}(B) \to \mathcal{H} \operatorname{Hilb}_{P_k}^{P}(B)$$

$$I \mapsto \operatorname{Proj} B[x]/I$$

is a locally closed immersion, i.e. there exists an open subscheme $H$ of $\mathcal{H} \operatorname{Hilb}_{P_k}^{P}$ such that the image of $\mathcal{H} \operatorname{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h, \Delta)}$ is contained in $H$ and the morphism $\mathcal{H} \operatorname{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h, \Delta)} \to H$ is a closed immersion.
Proof. Let \( r \) be the Gotzmann number of the Hilbert polynomial \( P \). By [LK16 4.3], a functor

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\Delta_r}(B) = \left\{ Y \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{Hilb}}^P(B) \left| B x^{\Delta_r} \hookrightarrow B[x]_r \to (B[x]/I(Y))_r \right. \right\}
\]

\( \cong \)

\[
\begin{aligned}
I & \subset B[x] \\
\text{is an isomorphism of } B\text{-modules.}
\end{aligned}
\]

on \((k\text{-Alg})\) is represented by an open subscheme \( H \) of \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{Hilb}}^P \). We note that \((J_{\geq r} : m^{\infty}) = (I : m^{\infty})\) for any ideal \( I \) and \( \Delta_{\geq r} = \Delta_r \) for any standard set \( \Delta \). Thus there exists a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta)} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta(r))} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H & \cong & \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^P,
\end{array}
\]

where \( \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta)} \to \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta(r))} \) is the isomorphism given by Theorem 4.4 and \( \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta(r))} \to H \) is the morphism given by the natural transformation \( \eta : \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta(r))} \to \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_r} \) mapping \( I \) to \( \text{Proj } B[x]/I \). Thus it is sufficient to show that \( \text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta(r))} \to H \) is a closed immersion. Using [HS04, Proposition 2.9], this morphism is a closed immersion if and only if the following statement holds:

**Statement.** For any \( k\text{-algebra } A \) and any closed subscheme \( Y \in \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_r}(A) \), there exists an ideal \( K \subset A \) such that for any \( k\text{-algebra morphism } \sigma : A \to B \), the image \( \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_r}(\sigma)(Y) \) is included in \( \eta(\text{Hilb}_{S/k}^{(h,\Delta(r))}(B)) \) if and only if \( \sigma(K) = \{0\} \).

We take any \( k\text{-algebra } A \) and any closed subscheme \( Y \in \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_r}(A) \). We put an ideal \( I = I(Y)_{\geq r} \) in \( A[x] \). In fact, there exist coefficients \( a_{a,\beta} \in A \) such that \( I \) is generated by \( \{ x^\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_r} a_{a,\beta} x^\beta \mid \alpha \in (N^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_r \} \).

Indeed, since \( I(Y) \) is generated in degree at most \( r \) from Proposition 4.3, \( I \) is generated by \( I_r = I(Y)_r \) in \( A[x] \). We determine \( a_{a,\beta} \) by the morphism \( A[x]_r \to A[x]/I(Y)_r \cong Ax^{\Delta_r} \) mapping \( x^\alpha \) to \( \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_r} a_{a,\beta} x^\beta \), thus \( I(Y)_r \) has an \( A \)-basis \( \{ x^\alpha - \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_r} a_{a,\beta} x^\beta \mid \alpha \in (N^{n+1} \setminus \Delta)_r \} \). Therefore \( I \) is
generated by this set. We note that we have \( \mathcal{C}(\Delta)_{\geq r+1} = \emptyset \) by applying Proposition 4.3 for \( J_\Delta \). Then \( \mathcal{C}(\Delta(r)) = \langle \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \rangle_r \). We set a \( k \)-algebra \( R = k[T_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha \in \langle \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \rangle_r, \beta \in \Delta_r, \alpha \succ \beta \]. By Proposition 4.3 we take a family of polynomials \( \{T_{\alpha,\beta} \in R \mid \alpha \in \Delta(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(\Delta(r)), \beta \in \Delta(r)\} \). We define a \( k \)-algebra morphism \( \tau : R \to A \) mapping \( T_{\alpha,\beta} \) to \( a_{\alpha,\beta} \) for \( \alpha \in \langle \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \rangle_r \) and \( \beta \in \Delta_r \) such that \( \alpha \succ \beta \). Then we put \( a_{\alpha,\beta} = \tau(T_{\alpha,\beta}) \) for \( \alpha \in \Delta(r) \cup \mathcal{B}(\Delta(r)) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\Delta(r)) \) and \( \beta \in \Delta(r) \). Let \( K_1 \) be the ideal of \( A \) generated by \( a_{\alpha+\lambda,\beta} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta(r)} a_{\alpha,\gamma} a_{\gamma+\lambda,\beta} \) for all \( \alpha \in \langle \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \rangle_r, \lambda \in E \) such that \( \alpha + \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta(r)) \) and \( \beta \in \Delta(r) \). Let \( K_2 \) be the ideal of \( A \) generated by \( \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta(r)} a_{\varepsilon+\lambda,\gamma} a_{\gamma+\mu,\beta} - \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma(r)} a_{\varepsilon+\mu,\gamma} a_{\gamma+\lambda,\beta} \) for all edge triples \((\varepsilon; \lambda; \mu)\) of \( \Delta(r) \). Let \( K_3 \) be the ideal of \( A \) generated by \( a_{\alpha,\beta} \) for \( \alpha \in \langle \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus \Delta \rangle_r \) and \( \beta \in \Delta_r \) such that \( \alpha \prec \beta \). We put an ideal \( K = K_1 + K_2 + K_3 \) of \( A \). Using Proposition 4.4 for any ring morphism \( \sigma : A \to B \), the image \( \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_r}(\sigma)(I) \) is included in \( \eta(Hilb_{S/k}^{\langle h,\Delta(r) \rangle}(B)) \) if and only if \( \sigma(K) = \{0\} \). Therefore \( Hilb_{S/k}^{\langle h,\Delta(r) \rangle} \to H \) is a closed immersion. \( \Box \)
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