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#### Abstract

The well-known Komlós-Major-Tusnády inequalities [Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 32 (1975) 111-131; Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 34 (1976) 33-58] provide sharp inequalities to partial sums of iid standard exponential random variables by a sequence of standard Brownian motions. In this paper, we employ these results to establish Gaussian approximations to weighted increments of uniform empirical and quantile processes. This approach provides rates to the approximations which, among others, have direct applications to statistics of extreme values for randomly censored data.
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## 1. Introduction

Csörgő et al. (1986) have constructed a probability space, denoted by $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, carrying a sequence of independent random variables (rv's) $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots$ uniformly distributed on $(0,1)$ and a sequence of Brownian bridges $\left\{B_{n}(s) ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that for the empirical process

$$
\alpha_{n}(s):=\sqrt{n}\left(G_{n}(s)-s\right), 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

and the quantile process

$$
\beta_{n}(s):=\sqrt{n}\left(s-G_{n}^{-1}(s)\right), 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

where $G_{n}(s):=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\left\{U_{i} \leq s\right\}$ and

$$
G_{n}^{-1}(s):=\inf \left\{t, G_{n}(s) \geq s\right\}, 0 \leq s \leq 1,
$$

with $G_{n}^{-1}(0):=G_{n}^{-1}(0+)$, for universal positive constants $a, b$ and $c$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq d / n}\left|\beta_{n}(s)-B_{n}(s)\right| \geq n^{-1 / 2}(a \log d+x)\right\} \leq b e^{-c x}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leq x \leq d^{1 / 2}$ and $1 \leq d \leq n$, with the same inequality holding for the supremum taken over $1-d / n \leq s \leq 1$. Thereby, they showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s \leq 1-\lambda / n} \frac{n^{\eta}\left|\beta_{n}(s)-B_{n}(s)\right|}{[s(1-s)]^{1 / 2-\eta}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every fixed $0<\lambda<\infty$ and $0 \leq \eta<1 / 2$, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s \leq 1-\lambda / n} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\alpha_{n}(s)-B_{n}(s)\right|}{[s(1-s)]^{1 / 2-\nu}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every fixed $0 \leq \nu<1 / 4$. The inequality (1.1) is a result of Theorem 1.1 will approximations (1.2) and (1.3) contain, respectively, in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 of the above paper. Similar results may be found in Mason and van Zwet (1987). These two Gaussian approximations remain powerful tools to establish the asymptotic normality, among others, in statistics of extreme values, see, e.g., Csörgő et al (1985) and Peng (2001). In this paper, we are concerned with Gaussian approximations of the increments

$$
\alpha_{n}(s ; t):=\alpha_{n}(t)-\alpha_{n}(t-s), 0 \leq s<t<1
$$

and

$$
\beta_{n}(s ; t):=\beta_{n}(t)-\beta_{n}(t-s), 0 \leq s<t<1 .
$$

Such processes are used, for example, in goodness of fit test statistics (see, e.g., Section 2 in Shorack and Wellner, 1982) and in nonparametric statistics for censored data (see, e.g., Deheuvels and Einmahl, 1996). For convenience, we next use the notation $f(s ; t):=f(t)-f(t-s), 0 \leq s<t<1$, for any measurable function $f$. Shorack and Wellner (1982) (Theorem 1.2) showed that there exist another Brownian bridge $\widetilde{B}(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{c n^{-1} \log n \leq s<t} \frac{\left|\alpha_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}(s ; t)\right|}{s^{\nu}}=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $0<t<1$ and $c>0$. By using Komlós-Major-Tusnády inequalities, Csörgő et al. (1986) (Theorem 4.6.1) also obtained a similar result and proved that, in the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{c n^{-1} \log n \leq s<t} \frac{\left|\alpha_{n}(s ; t)-B_{n}(s ; t)\right|}{s^{\nu}}=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is the same Brownian bridge as used in both approximations (1.2) and (1.3). The authors are noticed, in their Remark 4.6.1, that (1.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{c n^{-1} \log n \leq s<t} \frac{\left|\beta_{n}(s ; t)-B_{n}(s ; t)\right|}{s^{\nu}}=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, Alexander (1987) (Remark 2.7, Assertion 2.7) gave a refinement of (1.4) and (1.5) to prove that there exists another Brownian bridge $\widehat{B}(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1$ such that, for every $0<\lambda<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{\left|\alpha_{n}(s ; t)-\widehat{B}(s ; t)\right|}{s^{\nu}}=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth mentioning, that the three Brownian bridges $B_{n}, \widetilde{B}$ and $\widehat{B}$ are not necessarily the same. Note also that, for all large $n, c n^{-1} \log n>n^{-1}$, then approximation (1.7) is less restrictive and more useful than (1.5). But when we deal, for instance, to statistics of extreme values for randomly censored data (see, e.g., Brahimi et al., 2015) the rate of this approximation is needed. This, to our knowledge, does not discussed yet in literature. In the following theorem we answer to this issue by providing a new Gaussian approximation it term of a sequence of Brownian bridges instead of their increments.

Theorem 1.1. On the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, carrying the sequence of iid rv's $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots$ uniformly distributed on $(0,1)$ and the sequence of Brownian bridges
$B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots$, for every $0<\lambda<\infty, 0 \leq \eta<1 / 2$, and $0 \leq \nu<1 / 4$, we have approximations (1.2) and (1.3), together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{n^{\eta}\left|\beta_{n}(s ; t)-B_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\eta}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\alpha_{n}(s ; t)-B_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Application to statistics for censored data

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n \geq 1$ independent copies of a non-negative continuous random variable (rv) $X$, defined over the probability space with cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F$. These rv's are censored to the right by a sequence of independent copies $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ of a non-negative continuous rv $Y$, independent of $X$ and having a cdf $G$. At each stage $1 \leq j \leq n$, we only can observe the rv's $Z_{j}:=\min \left(X_{j}, Y_{j}\right)$ and $\delta_{j}:=\mathbf{1}\left\{X_{j} \leq Y_{j}\right\}$. If we denote by $H$ the cdf of the observed $Z^{\prime} s$, then, in virtue of the independence of $X$ and $Y$, we have $1-H=(1-F)(1-G)$. We introduce two very crucial sub-distribution functions $H^{(i)}(z):=\mathbf{P}\left\{Z_{1} \leq z, \delta_{1}=i\right\}, i=0,1$, for $z>0$, so that one have $H(z)=H^{(0)}(z)+H^{(1)}(z)$. The empirical counterparts are, respectively, defined by

$$
H_{n}^{(0)}(z):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\left\{Z_{i} \leq z\right\}\left(1-\delta_{i}\right), H_{n}^{(1)}(z):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\left\{Z_{i} \leq z\right\} \delta_{i},
$$

and therefore

$$
H_{n}(z):=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left\{Z_{i} \leq z\right\}=H_{n}^{(0)}(z)+H_{n}^{(1)}(z) .
$$

Let

$$
\xi_{i}:=\delta_{i} H^{(1)}\left(Z_{i}\right)+\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(\theta+H^{(0)}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right), i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

be a sequence iid rv's uniformly distributed on ( 0,1 ) (Einmahl and Koning, 1992), and define the corresponding empirical cdf and empirical process by

$$
\mathbb{U}_{n}(s):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\left\{\xi_{i} \leq s\right\} \text { and } \alpha_{n}^{*}(s):=\sqrt{n}\left(\mathbb{U}_{n}(s)-s\right), 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

respectively. Thereby we may represent, almost surely (a.s.), both $H_{n}^{(0)}$ and $H_{n}^{(1)}$ in term of $\mathbb{U}_{n}$, as follows $H_{n}^{(0)}(v)=\mathbb{U}_{n}\left(H^{(0)}(v)+\theta\right)-\mathbb{U}_{n}(\theta)$, for $0<H^{(0)}(v)<1-\theta$, and $H_{n}^{(1)}(v)=\mathbb{U}_{n}\left(H^{(1)}(v)\right)$, for $0<H^{(1)}(v)<\theta$. For further details, see for
instance Deheuvels and Einmahl (1996). From the previous representations, a.s., we may write

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{H}_{n}^{(0)}(v)-\bar{H}^{(0)}(v)\right)=-\alpha_{n}^{*}\left(1-\bar{H}^{(0)}(v)\right), \text { for } 0<\bar{H}^{(0)}(v)<1-\theta
$$

and

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{H}_{n}^{(1)}(v)-\bar{H}^{(1)}(v)\right)=\alpha_{n}^{*}\left(\bar{H}^{(1)}(v) ; \theta\right), \text { for } 0<\bar{H}^{(1)}(v)<\theta
$$

By applying two approximations (1.3) and (1.9) , there exists a sequence of Brownian bridges $\left\{\mathcal{B}_{n}(s) ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}$ such that for every $0<\lambda<\infty$ and $0 \leq \xi<1 / 4$,

$$
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq \bar{H}^{(0)}(v) \leq 1} \frac{n^{\xi}\left|\alpha_{n}^{*}\left(1-\bar{H}^{(0)}(v)\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(1-\bar{H}^{(0)}(v)\right)\right|}{\left[\bar{H}^{(0)}(v)\right]^{1 / 2-\xi}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)
$$

and

$$
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq \bar{H}^{(1)}(v)<\theta} \frac{n^{\xi}\left|\alpha_{n}^{*}\left(\bar{H}^{(1)}(v) ; \theta\right)-\mathcal{B}_{n}\left(\bar{H}^{(1)}(v)\right)\right|}{\left[\bar{H}^{(1)}(v)\right]^{1 / 2-\xi}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)
$$

These approximations will be useful tools for asymptotic results to statistics of extreme values for censored data, see for instance Brahimi et al. (2015).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $Y_{1}^{(i)}, Y_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots,(i=1,2)$, be two independent sequences of iid exponential rv's with mean 1. From Komlós et al. (1975) inequalities, there exist two independent copies $W^{(i)}(z), 0 \leq z<\infty,(i=1,2)$, of standard Brownian motion defined on a probability space, such that for all real $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\max _{1 \leq k \leq m}\left|S_{k}^{(i)}-k-W^{(i)}(k)\right| \geq C \log m+x\right\} \leq K e^{-\mu x} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m=1,2, \ldots$, where $S_{k}^{(i)}:=\sum_{j=1}^{k} Y_{j}^{(i)}$, with $C, K$ and $\mu$ are positive universal constants independent of $i$ and $m$. For each integer $n \geq 2$, we set

$$
Y_{j}(n):= \begin{cases}Y_{[n / 2]-j+1}^{(1)} & \text { for } j=1, \ldots,[n / 2] \\ Y_{n-j+2}^{(2)} & \text { for } j=[n / 2]+1, \ldots, n+1\end{cases}
$$

Then $Y_{1}(n), Y_{2}(n), \ldots, Y_{n+1}(n)$ are iid sequence of exponential rv's with mean 1. For further use, we set $S_{m}(n):=\sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_{j}(n), m=1, \ldots, n+1$, and for the sake of notational simplicity, we will write from now on, $S_{m}$ and $Y_{j}$ instead of $S_{m}(n)$ and $Y_{j}(n)$, respectively, and will also use the usual convention $S_{0}=0$. It is easy to
verify that, for each integer $n \geq 2$, the following process is a sequence of standard Brownian motions on $[0, n+1]$ :

$$
W_{n}(s):=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
W^{(1)}(s) & \text { for } 0 \leq s \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \\
W^{(1)}\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)+W^{(2)}\left(n+1-\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right) & \\
-W^{(2)}(n+1-s) & \text { for }\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]<s \leq n+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us define the following two processes

$$
\widetilde{B}_{n}(s):=n^{-1 / 2}\left(s W_{n}(n)-W_{n}(s n)\right), 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s):=\sqrt{n}\left(s-\widetilde{U}_{[s n]: n}\right), 0 \leq s \leq 1,
$$

where $\widetilde{U}_{k: n}:=S_{k} / S_{n+1}$, for $k=1, \ldots, n$, be a sequence of the uniform order statistics, with the convention $\widetilde{U}_{0: n}=S_{0} \equiv 0$. We also define the uniform empirical process, corresponding to $\widetilde{U}_{1: n}, \ldots, \widetilde{U}_{n: n}$, by

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s):=n^{1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{G}_{n}(s)-s\right), 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

where $\widetilde{G}_{n}(s):=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\left\{\widetilde{U}_{i: n} \leq s\right\}$. In their inequalities (1.23) and (1.24), Csörgő et al. (1986), showed that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq d / n}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right| \geq 2 n^{-1 / 2}(a \log d+x)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{1-d / n \leq s \leq 1}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right| \geq 2 n^{-1 / 2}(a \log d+x)\right\}
$$

whenever $n_{0}<d<n$ and $0 \leq x \leq d^{1 / 2}$ for suitably chosen positive constants $n_{0}, a$, $b$ and $c$. Thereby they stated that

$$
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s \leq 1-\lambda / n} \frac{n^{\eta}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{[s(1-s)]^{1 / 2-\eta}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)=\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s \leq 1-\lambda / n} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{[s(1-s)]^{1 / 2-\nu}},
$$

for every $0<\lambda<\infty, 0 \leq \eta<1 / 2$, and $0 \leq \nu<1 / 4$. Next we establish similar results to the increments

$$
\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s ; t)=\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(t)-\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(t-s)=\sqrt{n}\left(s-\widetilde{U}_{[n t]: n}+\widetilde{U}_{[n(t-s)]: n}\right), 0 \leq s<t<1
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)=\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(t)-\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(t-s)=\sqrt{n}\left(\widetilde{G}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{G}_{n}(t-s)-s\right), 0 \leq s<t<1
$$

To this end, we will follow similar steps as used for the proof of Theorem 1.1 (inequality 1.1) in Csörgő et al. (1986). Let both $d$ and $n$ be sufficiently large and $n_{0}>1$, so that $n_{0}<d<n$. For $a>0$ and $0 \leq x \leq d^{1 / 2}$, we set

$$
\mathbf{P}_{n}(x ; d):=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq d / n}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right| \geq 2 n^{-1 / 2}(a \log d+x)\right\}
$$

which is less than or equal to the sum of

$$
\mathbf{P}_{1, n}(x ; d):=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq d / n}\left|\widehat{\beta}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s)\right| \geq n^{-1 / 2}(a \log d+x)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{P}_{2, n}(x ; d):=\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{0 \leq s \leq d / n}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right| \geq n^{-1 / 2}(a \log d+x)\right\} .
$$

Next we show that $\mathbf{P}_{1, n}(x ; d) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, let us write

$$
\left|\widehat{\beta}_{n}(s ; t)-\widehat{\beta}_{n}(s)\right|=\sqrt{n}\left|\widetilde{U}_{[n t]: n}-\widetilde{U}_{[n(t-s)]: n}-\widetilde{U}_{[n s]: n}\right|,
$$

which equals

$$
\sqrt{n} \frac{\left|S_{[n t]}-S_{[n(t-s)]}-S_{[n s]}\right|}{S_{n+1}}=\sqrt{n} \frac{S_{[n t]-[n(t-s)]-[n s] \mid}}{S_{n+1}},
$$

thus

$$
\mathbf{P}_{1, n}(x ; d)=\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{n}{S_{n+1}} \sup _{0 \leq s \leq d / n} S_{|[n t]-[n(t-s)]-[n s]|} \geq a \log d+x\right\} .
$$

Since $u \leq[u] \leq u+1$, then it is easy to check that

$$
-2 \leq[n t]-[n(t-s)]-[n s] \leq 1,
$$

this implies that $|[n t]-[n(t-s)]-[n s]| \leq 2$, it follows that for $0 \leq s<t<1$, we have $S_{\mid[n t]-[n(t-s)]-[n s| |} \leq S_{2}$, therefore

$$
\mathbf{P}_{1, n}(x ; d) \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{n}{S_{n+1}} S_{2} \geq a \log d+x\right\} .
$$

By the law of large numbers, $\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|n / S_{n+1}-1\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0$, for any fixed $0<\epsilon<1$, this implies that

$$
\mathbf{P}_{1, n}(x ; d) \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{(1-\epsilon) S_{2} \geq a \log d+x\right\}+\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|n / S_{n+1}-1\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}
$$

Note that $S_{2}$ is a sum of two iid standard exponential rv's, this means that it follows the Gamma cdf with two parameters $(2,1)$, that is $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{2}>u\right)=(u+1) e^{-u}$, therefore

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{(1-\epsilon) S_{2} \geq a \log d+x\right\}=\left(\frac{a \log d+x}{1-\epsilon}+1\right) \exp \left(-\frac{a \log d+x}{1-\epsilon}\right)
$$

which tends to zero as $d \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\mathbf{P}_{1, n}(x ; d) \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, from inequality (1.23) in Csörgő et al. (1986), we have $\mathbf{P}_{2, n}(x ; d) \leq b \exp (-c x)$, thus $\mathbf{P}_{n}(x ; d) \leq b \exp (-c x)$, too. Thereby, by using the latter inequality with similar arguments as used for the proof of Theorem 2.1 (statement 2.2) of the same paper, we end up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{n^{\eta}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\eta}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $0 \leq \eta<1 / 2$ and $0<\lambda<\infty$. Next we show that for every $0<t<1$ and $0 \leq \nu<1 / 4$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, \nu}(t):=\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1), \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{n}:=[n t]$. Indeed, let us write

$$
A_{n, \nu}(t)=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{k: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\},
$$

and, for $0<\tau \leq 1$, set

$$
A_{n, \nu}(t ; \tau):=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{k: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{(\tau k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\} .
$$

Observe that

$$
\left\{\min _{1 \leq k \leq n} \widetilde{U}_{k: n} / k \geq \tau\right\} \subset\left\{A_{n, \nu}(t) \leq \tau^{\nu-1 / 2} A_{n, \nu}(t ; 1)\right\}
$$

and from assertion (2.9) in Csörgő et al. (1986), we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\min _{1 \leq k \leq n} \widetilde{U}_{k: n} / k \leq \tau\right\}=\tau
$$

it follows that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{A_{n, \nu}(t) \leq \tau^{\nu-1 / 2} A_{n, \nu}(t ; 1)\right\} \geq 1-\tau
$$

Hence, to show that $A_{n, \nu}(t)=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, it suffices to verify that $A_{n, \nu}(t ; 1)=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ for sufficiently small $\tau$. To this end, we will first state that for $1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1$ and $\widetilde{U}_{k: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{t_{n}}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right)=O_{\mathbb{P}}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let us fix $\epsilon>0$ be small such that

$$
\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+1: n}<\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+2: n}-\epsilon<\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+2: n}+\epsilon<\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+3: n},
$$

and set

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon, n}(t):=\left\{\left|\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+2: n}-t\right|<\epsilon\right\} .
$$

Since $\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+2: n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon, n}(t)\right) \downarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, in the set $\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon, n}(t)$, we have $\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+2: n}-\epsilon<t<\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+2: n}+\epsilon$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}<t<\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+3: n} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $\widetilde{U}_{k: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}$, we have

$$
\widetilde{G}_{n}(t)-\widetilde{G}_{n}(t-s)-s \geq \widetilde{G}_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}\right)-\widetilde{G}_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+3: n}-\widetilde{U}_{k: n}\right)-\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n} .
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+3: n}-\widetilde{U}_{k: n}=\frac{S_{t_{n}+3}-S_{k}}{S_{n+1}}=\frac{S_{t_{n}-k+3}}{S_{n+1}}=\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}-k+3: n}, \\
& \widetilde{G}_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}\right)=t_{n} / n \text { and } \widetilde{G}_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}-k+3: n}\right)=\frac{t_{n}-k+3}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the right-side of the previous inequality is equal to

$$
\frac{k-3}{n}-\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}=\frac{k}{n}-\widetilde{U}_{k: n}-\left(\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}-\widetilde{U}_{k: n}\right)-\frac{3}{n} .
$$

Note also $\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}-\widetilde{U}_{k: n}=S_{1} / S_{n+1}$ and $\widetilde{U}_{k: n}=\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}-\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}-k: n}$, it follows that

$$
\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t) \geq \widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)-\sqrt{n} S_{1} / S_{n+1}-3 / \sqrt{n} .
$$

By using the law of large numbers, we have with large probability $n / S_{n+1}<2$, then without loss of generality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t) \geq \widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)-3\left(S_{1}+1\right) / \sqrt{n} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, by using similar arguments as above we get

$$
\widetilde{G}_{n}(t)-\widetilde{G}_{n}(t-s)-s \leq \widetilde{G}_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+3: n}\right)-\widetilde{G}_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}-\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}\right)-\widetilde{U}_{k: n},
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t) \leq \widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)+4 / \sqrt{n} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By letting $\zeta:=\max \left(3\left(S_{1}+1\right), 4\right)$, the inequalities (3.15) and (3.16) together give

$$
\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)\right|<\zeta / \sqrt{n} .
$$

Since $\zeta=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, hence $\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)=O_{\mathbb{P}}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ which meets (3.13). It is clear that $A_{n, \nu}(t ; 1)$ is less than or equal to the sum of

$$
L_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{k: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)\right|}{(k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
T_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{k: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{(k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\} .
$$

Making use of (3.13), we infer that $L_{n}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Observe now that $T_{n}$ is less than or equal to the sum of

$$
T_{n 1}:=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}, \frac{t_{n}}{n}\right)-\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right|}{(k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
T_{n 2}:=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{k: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{k+1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right|}{(k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\} .
$$

By letting $k / n=s$ and $t_{n} / n=t^{*}$, we may write

$$
T_{n 1} \leq \sup _{1 / n \leq s<t^{*}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}\left(s, t^{*}\right)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}
$$

which, by (3.11), is equal to $O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Let us now show that $T_{n 2}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ too. To this end, we will follow similar procedures are those used for the proof of assertion (2.21) in Csörgő et al. (1986). Let us choose $0<\nu<1 / 4$ and set $\delta:=(1 / 4-\nu) / 2$. For any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $b \geq 1$, let $c_{k, n}^{(\delta)}:=k^{2 \delta+1 / 2} / n$ and

$$
I_{k, n}(b):=\left[k / n-3 b c_{k, n}^{(\delta)}, k / n-3 b c_{k, n}^{(\delta)}\right]
$$

and

$$
D_{n, v}(b):=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{s \in I_{k, n}(b)} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{B}_{n}(k / n)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{(k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\} .
$$

Assertion (2.25) in Csörgő et al. (1986) states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{T_{n 2} \geq D_{n, v}(b)\right\}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have to show that $D_{n, v}(b)=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Let us write

$$
D_{n, v}(b)=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{\mathbf{s} \in\left[\mathbf{a}_{k}-h, \mathbf{a}_{k}+h\right] \cap[0,1]} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{a}_{k}\right)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(\mathbf{s})\right|}{(k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{k}=t-s, \mathbf{s}=t^{*}-k / n$ and $h=\left|\mathbf{a}_{k}-\mathbf{s}\right|$. Since $\left|t-t^{*}\right| \leq n^{-1} \leq b c_{k, n}^{(\delta)}$ and $|s-k / n| \leq 3 b c_{k, n}^{(\delta)}$, then $h \leq\left|t-t^{*}\right|+|s-k / n|=4 b c_{k, n}^{(\delta)}=: h_{*}$. Let us write

$$
D_{n, v}^{*}(b):=\max _{1 \leq k \leq t_{n}-1}\left\{\sup _{\mathbf{s} \in\left[\mathbf{a}_{k}-h_{*}, \mathbf{a}_{k}+h_{*}\right] \cap[0,1]} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{a}_{k}\right)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(\mathbf{s})\right|}{(k / n)^{1 / 2-\nu}}\right\}
$$

It is clear that $D_{n, v}^{*}(b) \leq D_{n, v}(b)$. Hence, from (3.17), it remain to show that $D_{n, v}^{*}(b)=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Indeed, for $d>0$ arbitrarily chosen, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left\{D_{n, v}^{*}(b) \geq d(4 b)^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{t_{n}-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{\mathbf{s} \in\left[\mathbf{a}_{k}-h^{*}, \mathbf{a}_{k}+h^{*}\right] \cap[0,1]}\left|\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{a}_{k}\right)-\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{s}_{k}\right)\right| \geq d(4 b)^{1 / 2} k^{1 / 2-\nu} n^{-1 / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be rewritten into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{t_{n}-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{\mathbf{s} \in\left[\mathbf{a}_{k}-h^{*}, \mathbf{a}_{k}+h^{*}\right] \cap[0,1]}\left|\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{a}_{k}\right)-\widetilde{B}_{n}\left(\mathbf{s}_{k}\right)\right| \geq d k^{1 / 4-\nu-\delta} h_{*}^{1 / 2}\right\} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequality (1.11) in Csörgő et al. (1986), for a given Brownian bridge B(s), $0 \leq s \leq 1$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{\mathbf{s} \in[\mathbf{a}-h, \mathbf{a}+h] \cap[0,1]}|\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{a})-\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{s})| \geq u h^{1 / 2}\right\} \leq A u^{-1} \exp \left(-u^{2} / 8\right)
$$

for any $0<\mathbf{a}<1, h>0$ and $0<u<\infty$, with a suitably chosen universal constant $A$. By applying this inequality we infer that (3.18) is less than or equal to

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{-1} \exp \left(-d^{2} k^{1 / 2-2 \nu-2 \delta} / 8\right)}{k^{1 / 4-\nu-\delta}}=: \mathbf{P}(d)
$$

Note that the series $\mathbf{P}(d)$ is uniformly convergent on $d \geq 1$, and $\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(d)=0$, it follows that $D_{n, v}^{*}(b)=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, as sought. Let us now show that

$$
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1) .
$$

Indeed, let us write

$$
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}} \leq A_{n, \nu}(t)+B_{n, \nu}(t)+C_{n, \nu}(t)+D_{n, \nu}(t)
$$

where $A_{n, \nu}(t)$ is that of (3.12),

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{n, \nu}(t):=\sup _{(\lambda / n) \wedge \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}, \\
C_{n, \nu}(t):=\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n} \vee t} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
D_{n, \nu}(t):=\sup _{(\lambda / n) \wedge \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}} .
$$

It is clear that $B_{n, \nu}(t)$ is less than or equal to

$$
\sup _{(\lambda / n) \wedge \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu+1 / 2}\left|G_{n}(t)-G_{n}(t-s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}+n^{\nu+1 / 2} \sup _{(\lambda / n) \wedge \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{1: n}} s^{1 / 2+\nu} .
$$

Recall (3.14) and observe that for $(\lambda / n) \wedge \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{1: n}$ (sufficiently small), we have

$$
G_{n}(t)-G_{n}(t-s) \leq G_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+3: n}\right)-G_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}-\widetilde{U}_{1: n}\right)=\frac{t_{n}+3}{n}-\frac{t_{n}+1}{n}=\frac{2}{n}
$$

and

$$
G_{n}(t)-G_{n}(t-s) \geq G_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}\right)-G_{n}\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}+3: n}\right)=\frac{t_{n}}{n}-\frac{t_{n}+3}{n}=-\frac{3}{n} .
$$

It follows that $\left|G_{n}(t)-G_{n}(t-s)\right| \leq 3 / n$, then it is easy to verify that

$$
\sup _{(\lambda / n) \wedge \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{1: n}} \frac{n^{\nu+1 / 2}\left|G_{n}(t)-G_{n}(t-s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1),
$$

and since $n \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 1$, we get

$$
n^{\nu+1 / 2} \sup _{(\lambda / n) \wedge \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{1: n}} s^{1 / 2+\nu}=\left(n \widetilde{U}_{1: n}\right)^{1 / 2+\nu}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)
$$

therefore $B_{n, \nu}(t)=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. For the second term, we write

$$
C_{n, \nu}(t) \leq\left(\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n}\right)^{1 / 2-\nu} \sup _{\widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{n: n}} n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right| .
$$

In view of assertion (2.6) of Theorem 2.2 in Csörgő et al. (1986), we have

$$
\sup _{0 \leq s \leq 1}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|=O\left(\frac{(\log n)^{1 / 2}(\log \log n)^{1 / 4}}{n^{1 / 4}}\right) \text {, almost surely, }
$$

it follows, since $0 \leq \nu<1 / 4$, that

$$
\sup _{\widetilde{U}_{1: n} \leq s<\widetilde{U}_{n: n}} n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1) .
$$

On the other hand $\widetilde{U}_{t_{n}: n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} t$, it follows that $C_{n, \nu}(t)=o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. We have $n \widetilde{U}_{1: n} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 1$, then it is easy to show that $D_{n, \nu}(t)=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, that we omit further details. To summarize, we briefly stated that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s \leq 1-\lambda / n} \frac{n^{\eta}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{[s(1-s)]^{1 / 2-\eta}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)=\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s \leq 1-\lambda / n} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{[s(1-s)]^{1 / 2-\nu}} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{n^{\eta}\left|\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\eta}}=O_{\mathbb{P}}(1)=\sup _{\lambda / n \leq s<t} \frac{n^{\nu}\left|\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t)-\widetilde{B}_{n}(s)\right|}{s^{1 / 2-\nu}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have for every $0<t<1$,

$$
\left\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s) ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=}\left\{\alpha_{n}(s) ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s) ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=}\left\{\beta_{n}(s) ; 0 \leq s \leq 1\right\}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}(s ; t) ; 0 \leq s<t\right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=}\left\{\alpha_{n}(s ; t) ; 0 \leq s<t\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\widetilde{\beta}_{n}(s ; t) ; 0 \leq s<t\right\} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=}\left\{\beta_{n}(s ; t) ; 0 \leq s<t\right\}
$$

with $\widetilde{B}_{n}$ is Brownian bridge for each $n$. Then, having established Gaussian approximations above one may construct a sequence $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots$ of iid rv's uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$ and a sequence of Brownian bridges $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots$ defining on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ such that both (3.19) and (3.20) hold with $\widetilde{\alpha}_{n}, \widetilde{\beta}_{n}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{n}$ replaced respectively by $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ and $B_{n}$. This technique for constructing a such probability space, described in Lemma 3.1.1 in M. Csörgő (1983), is used for instance in both Csörgő et al. (1986) and Mason and van Zwet (1987).
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