Positive powers of the Laplacian: from hypersingular integrals to boundary value problems
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Abstract

Any positive power of the Laplacian is related via its Fourier symbol to a hypersingular integral with finite differences. We show how this yields a pointwise evaluation which is more flexible than other notions used so far in the literature for powers larger than 1; in particular, this evaluation can be applied to more general boundary value problems and we exhibit explicit examples. We also provide a natural variational framework and, using an asymptotic analysis, we prove how these hypersingular integrals reduce to polyharmonic operators in some cases. Our presentation aims to be as self-contained as possible and relies on elementary pointwise calculations and known identities for special functions.

1 Introduction

Any positive power \( s > 0 \) of the (minus) Laplacian, i.e. \((-\Delta)^s\), has the same Fourier symbol (see [10, Chapter 5] or Theorem 1.9 below) as the following hypersingular integral,

\[
L_{m,s}u(x) := \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\]

(1.1)

where \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) is the dimension, \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( s \in (0,m) \),

\[
\delta_m u(x,y) := \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) u(x+ky)
\]

for \( x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N \)

is a finite difference of order \( 2m \), and \( c_{N,m,s} \) is a positive constant given by

\[
c_{N,m,s} := \begin{cases} 
\frac{4^s \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}+s\right)}{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}} \Gamma(-s)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right)^{2s} \right)^{-1}, & s \in (0,m) \setminus \mathbb{N}, \\
\frac{4^s \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}+s\right) s!}{2\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}} \left( \sum_{k=2}^{m} (-1)^{k-s+1} \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right)^{2s} \ln(k) \right)^{-1}, & s \in \{1,\ldots,m-1\}.
\end{cases}
\]

(1.2)
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The operator (1.1) is well defined for sufficiently smooth functions satisfying a growth condition at infinity such as \( u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \), where
\[
\mathcal{L}_s^1 := \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^1} < \infty \right\}, \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{N+2s}} \, dx \quad \text{for } s > 0.
\]

If \( s \in (0, 1) \), then
\[
L_{1,s}u(x) = (-\Delta)^s u(x) := -\frac{4^s \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} + s\right)}{2\pi^s \Gamma(-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{2u(x) - u(x + y) - u(x - y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.3)
\]

The operator \( L_{1,s} \) is usually called the fractional Laplacian, and it has attracted much attention from the PDE perspective in recent years, see [3] and the references therein.

If \( s = 1 \), then (1.1) reduces pointwisely to the usual Laplacian, as a matter of fact,
\[
-\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{ii} u(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} + 1\right)}{4\pi^s \ln(2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x + 2y) - 4u(x + y) + 6u(x - y) + u(x - 2y) \frac{1}{|y|^{N+2}} \, dy.
\]

Actually, as shown in Theorem 1.6 below, for any \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( L_{m+n,n} \) is the polyharmonic operator \((-\Delta)^n u := (-\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{ii})^n u \) whenever \( u \) is \( 2n \)-times continuously differentiable and belongs to \( \mathcal{L}_s^1 \). We refer to [8] for a survey on boundary value problems associated to \((-\Delta)^n \).

A similar formula as (1.1) using compactly supported kernels is used in [12] to study some variational problems arising from peridynamics; however, for \( s > 1 \), the operator (1.1) is usually not used directly in boundary value problems. Instead, for \( s = n + \sigma > 1 \) with \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \sigma \in (0, 1) \), one of the following options is preferred,
\[
(i) \ (-\Delta)^n (-\Delta)\sigma u(x), \quad (ii) \ (-\Delta)\sigma (-\Delta)^n u(x), \quad (1.4)
\]
\[
(iii) \ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} (-\Delta)^n (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}} u(x) & \text{for } n \text{ even}, \\
\sum_{i=1}^N (-\Delta)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}} \partial_i u(x)) & \text{for } n \text{ odd},
\end{array} \right. \quad (1.5)
\]

see for example [1,2,5,9]. These three possibilities are valid choices and their adequacy depends on the problem and the set of solutions that are being studied; for more details, see [1, Remark A.4]. However, (1.4) and (1.5) do not capture the full potential of the fractional Laplacian \((-\Delta)^s \). Indeed, let \( B \) denote the ball of radius \( r \) centered at zero, \( B := B_1, \psi \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \setminus \mathcal{L}_{s-1}^1 \) with \( \psi = 0 \) in \( B_r \), for some \( r > 1 \), and consider the function
\[
u(x) := \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(\sigma) \Gamma(1 - \sigma) \pi^s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B} \frac{(1 - |x|^2)^\sigma \psi(y)}{(|y|^2 - 1)^s |x - y|^N} \, dy + \chi_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B}(x) \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.6)
\]

where \( \chi_A \) is the characteristic function of a set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^N \). Then, by [1, Corollary 3.3], \( u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B) \cap \mathcal{L}_s^1 \) is an \( s \)-harmonic function in the distributional sense, that is,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) \, dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B), \quad (1.7)
\]
where \(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B)\) denotes the space of smooth functions with compact support in \(B\). In (1.7), \((-\Delta)^s\) can be understood pointwisely either with (i), (ii), or (iii), since all these notions are equivalent for functions in \(\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(B)\) (see Proposition 3.1 below). However, these pointwise evaluations cannot be applied to \(u\) either because of its growth at infinity (\((-\Delta)^s\) can only be applied to functions in \(\mathcal{L}_s^1\)) or because of its global regularity (the functions \((-\Delta)^s u\) and \((-\Delta)^n u\) may not exist in \(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B\), since \(\psi\) is only required to be in \(\mathcal{L}_s^1\) and \(u = \psi\) in \(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B\).

The purpose of this paper is to show, with elementary calculations and in a self-contained manner, that (1.1) can be used to study boundary value problems. In particular, we show the equivalence between (1.1) and (1.4), (1.5) in suitable spaces, we provide an appropriate variational framework, and we prove that (1.6) is in fact a pointwise \(s\)-harmonic function using (1.1). Furthermore, we also include an asymptotic analysis of the operator \(L_{m,s}\) as \(s\) approaches \(m\) from below, which we use to give an alternative (more elementary) proof of the fact that \(L_{m,n}\) reduces to the polyharmonic operator for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). For completeness, we also provide an elementary proof that the Fourier symbol of (1.1) is in fact \(|\xi|^{2s}\), justifying the precise value of the normalizing constant (1.2). Our proofs are mainly based on pointwise calculations and known identities for Laplace transforms, Gamma functions, and combinatorial coefficients.

To present our results in a unified manner, we introduce some notation. For \(n \in \mathbb{N}_0\), \(\sigma \in (0, 1]\), \(s = n + \sigma\), and \(U \subset \mathbb{R}^N\) open, we write \(\mathcal{C}^n(U)\) to denote the space of \(n\)-times continuously differentiable functions in \(U\) and \(C^s(U)\) to denote the space of functions in \(\mathcal{C}^n(U)\) whose derivatives of order \(n\) are locally \(\sigma\)-Hölder continuous (or locally Lipschitz continuous if \(\sigma = 1\)) in \(U\). Observe that \(C^1(U)\) denotes the space of locally Lipschitz continuous functions, which is different from \(\mathcal{C}^1(U)\). We also use the norm

\[
\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2s+\beta}(U)} := \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^n(U)} + \sup_{x,y \in U} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^\sigma},
\]

where \(\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^n(U)}\) is the usual supremum norm associated to \(\mathcal{C}^n(U)\).

Our first result states that \(L_{m,s} : C^{2s+\beta}(U) \cap \mathcal{L}_s^1 \rightarrow C^\beta(U)\). For sets \(A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^N\), denote \(A \subset \subset B\), if \(\overline{A}\) is compact and contained in \(B\).

**Lemma 1.1.** Let \(U \subset \mathbb{R}^N\) open, \(V \subset \subset U\) open, \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), \(\beta \in (0, 1)\), \(s \in (0, m)\), and \(u \in C^{2s+\beta}(U) \cap \mathcal{L}_s^1\), then

\[
\|L_{m,s} u\|_{C^\beta(V)} \leq C\|u\|_{C^{2s+\beta}(U)} \quad \text{for some } C(N, m, s) = C > 0.
\]

In particular, \(\|L_{m,s} u\|_{C^\beta(U)} \leq C\|u\|_{C^{2s+\beta}(U)}\), whenever \(\|u\|_{C^{2s+\beta}(U)}\) is finite.

The proof is based on a higher-order extension of [11, Proposition 2.5] using a multivariate Taylor expansion and combinatorial identities.

Our next result relates the operator \(L_{m,s}\) with the pointwise notion (ii) given above. Here \(W_{loc}^{k,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)\) is the usual Sobolev space of \(k\)-weakly differentiable locally integrable functions.
Theorem 1.2. Let $m,n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n < m$, $\sigma \in (0,1)$, $s = n + \sigma$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set, and $u \in C^{2\sigma+\beta}(U) \cap W^{2\sigma,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $(-\Delta)^su \in L^1_{\sigma}$, $(-\Delta)^{i}u \in L^1_{2^{-i-\frac{1}{\beta}}} \subset L^1_{s-i-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ and $\nabla(-\Delta)^{i}u \in L_{s-i-1}^{1}$ for $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then

$$L_{m,s}u = (-\Delta)^{\sigma}(-\Delta)^su \quad \text{in } U. \quad (1.9)$$

In particular, $(1.9)$ holds in $U = \mathbb{R}^N$ for all $u \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We remark that, for functions in $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, one can freely interchange derivatives and the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\sigma}$, see Proposition 3.1 below, therefore Theorem 1.2 implies that $L_{m,s}u$ is equivalent to (1.4) and (1.5) for $u \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We also note that the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied by the fundamental solution $F_{N,s}$ of $(-\Delta)^{s}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, see [10, Chapter 5 Lemma 25.2] or [2, Section 5] for the exact formula of $F_{N,s}$. Furthermore, observe that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ can be arbitrarily large in (1.9) and only the restriction $s < m$ is relevant. In fact, we have the following result.

Lemma 1.3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $s > 0$, $\beta > 0$, and $u \in C^{2\sigma+\beta}(U) \cap L^1_{s}$. Then $L_{m,s}u = L_{n,s}u$ in $U$ for all $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n > s$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we have the following pointwise equivalences. Let $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{s}(U) := \{u \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus U\}$, where $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the usual Sobolev space for $s > 0$.

Corollary 1.4. Let $m,n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $n < m$, $\beta, \sigma \in (0,1)$, $s = n + \sigma$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open bounded Lipschitz domain, and $u \in C^{2\sigma+\beta}(U)$.

(a) If $u \in L^{1}_{\sigma}$, then $L_{m,s}u = (-\Delta)^{n}(-\Delta)^{\sigma}u$ in $U$.

(b) If $n$ is even and $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{s}(U)$, then $L_{n,s}u = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(-\Delta)^{\sigma}u$ in $U$.

(c) If $n$ is odd and $u \in \mathcal{H}_{0}^{s}(U)$, then $L_{m,s}u = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\eta_{i}(-\Delta)^{\sigma}(-\Delta)^{\frac{s-1}{2}}u))$ in $U$.

The proof relies on the fundamental theorem of calculus of variations, Lemma 2.6, and the following analogue of integration by parts.

Lemma 1.5. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in (0,1)$, $s \in (0,m)$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open, and $u \in C^{2\sigma+\beta}(U) \cap L^1_{s}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)L_{m,s}\varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L_{m,s}u(x)\varphi(x) \, dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(U).$$

We also show that, if $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then $L_{m,s}$ is the usual polyharmonic operator.

Theorem 1.6. Let $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n < m$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open, and $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2n}(U) \cap L^1_{n}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $L_{m,n}u = (-\Delta)^nu = (-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\partial_{i})^{n}u$ in $U$. Moreover, for $\eta, \beta \in (0,1)$ and $x \in U$,

$$\lim_{s \to \eta^{+}} L_{m,s}u(x) = u(x) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}(U) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

$$\lim_{s \to -\eta^{-}} L_{m,s}u(x) = (-\Delta)^{m}u(x) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{C}^{2m}(U) \cap L^{\infty}_{m-\eta}. \quad (1.10)$$
This result shows the consistency of the exact values of $c_{N,m,s}$. For a similar asymptotic study in the case $s \in (0,1)$, we refer to [4, Proposition 4.4]. Observe also that $L_{m,s}$ is well defined in $C^{2n}(U) \cap L^1_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which is larger than $C^{2n+\beta}(U) \cap L^1_n(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We remark that the representation of local operators as hypersingular integrals holds in much more generality, see [10, Chapter 5, Section 26.6], where different techniques from ours are used.

We are ready to show that (1.6) gives rise to a pointwise $s$-harmonic function with prescribed (nonlocal) boundary values. Here $H^s(U) := \{u \chi_U : u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let $s \in (0,\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in (0,1)$, $\psi \in L^1_{s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\psi = 0$ in $B_r(0)$ for some $r > 1$, and let $u$ be given by (1.6). Then $u \in C^\infty(B) \cap C^s(B_r(0)) \cap H^s(B_p(0)) \cap L^1_{s}$, $\rho \in (1,r)$ is the unique pointwise solution in $C^{2s+\beta}(B) \cap C^s(B) \cap H^s(B)$ of

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in B \quad \text{and} \quad u = \psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B.$$

Here $(-\Delta)^s u := L_{m,s} u$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > s$.

The proof follows immediately from [1, Corollary 3.3] (recall (1.7) above), Theorem 1.2, and Lemma 1.5.

We remark that an alternative definition for $L_{m,s}$ using the principal value integral can be obtained as follows. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\delta^+_m u(x,y) := \frac{1}{2} \binom{2m}{m} u(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} u(x + ky), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open, $s \in (0,m)$, and $\beta \in (0,1)$. For $u \in C^{2s+\beta}(U) \cap L^1_{s}$ and $x \in U$, we have that

$$L_{m,s} u(x) := c_{N,m,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta^+_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = c_{N,m,s} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{B_1(0) \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} \frac{\delta^+_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy,$$

with $c_{N,m,s}$ as in (1.2). Observe that (1.11) and (1.1) are equivalent in $L^1_{s} \cap C^{2s+\beta}(U)$ with $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open, via a change of variables.

To connect $L_{m,s}$ to an appropriate variational framework, we next study an equivalent scalar product for $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $s > 0$, using the difference operator $\delta_m$. To state this result, we recall first the scalar product associated to $(-\Delta)^s$ as introduced in [2]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in (0,1)$, $s = n + \sigma$, and $u,v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let

$$\langle \delta^+_\sigma(u,v) := \frac{c_{N,1,\sigma}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2\sigma}} \, dx \, dy,$$

$$\delta^+_s(u,v) := \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\delta^+_\sigma((-\Delta)^\frac{s}{2} u, (-\Delta)^\frac{s}{2} v), & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \delta^+_\sigma(\partial_k (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u, \partial_k (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd},
\end{array}\right. \quad (1.12)$$
In particular (see [2, Proposition 3.1], here \( \mathcal{F} \) stands for the Fourier transform),

\[
\mathcal{E}_s(u,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^2 \mathcal{F} u(\xi) \mathcal{F} v(\xi) \, d\xi \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \tag{1.13}
\]

If \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) is a bounded open Lipschitz set, the space \( \mathcal{H}_0^s(U) \) equipped with the norm

\[
\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(U)} := \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 + \mathcal{E}_s(u,u) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

is a Hilbert space and, using (1.12), a consistent notion of weak solution can be defined; see [2], where existence, regularity, and positivity of weak solutions to boundary value problems is studied.

Then, an equivalent scalar product using the difference operators \( \delta_m \) can be defined as follows. For \( u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \) let \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( s \in (0, 2m) \) and let

\[
\mathcal{E}_{2m,s}(u,v) := \frac{c_{N,2m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y) \delta_m v(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy.
\]

We have the following result.

**Theorem 1.8.** Let \( s > 0, n, m \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( s < n \leq 2m \). Then \( \mathcal{E}_{2m,s}(u,v) = \mathcal{E}_s(u,v) \) for \( u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L_{s,m} u(x) \, v(x) \, dx = \mathcal{E}_{2m,s}(u,v) \) for \( u, v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

In virtue of Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.8, the results from [1, 2] extend trivially to \( L_{m,s} \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{2m,s} \), note however that \( L_{m,s} \) can be applied to a larger set of functions than (1.4) and (1.5). As a consequence, [1, Theorems 1.1, 1.4, 1.5] can be generalized to allow outside data in \( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B \) which belongs to \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) instead of \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) using the pointwise evaluation \( (-\Delta)^s := L_{m,s} \) with \( m > s \).

For our last result, we *directly* show in detail that the Fourier symbol of \( L_{m,s} \) is \( |\xi|^{2s} \). This fully justifies the precise values of the normalizing constant (1.2), which plays an essential role in our proofs.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}, s \in (0,m), \) and \( L_{m,s} : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \) be given by (1.1). Then \( L_{s,m} u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\cdot|^{2s} \mathcal{F}(u)) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) for any \( u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \).

We note that this statement is known and we include a different elementary proof for completeness. For an alternative proof, see [10, Chapter 5, Lemma 25.3 and Theorem 26.1], which uses Fourier series and analytic continuation.

To conclude this introduction, let us suggest an heuristic interpretation for \( L_{m,s} \). Intuitively, (1.1) implies that any power \( s > 0 \) of the Laplacian operator \( (-\Delta)^s \) can be seen as another power \( \theta \in (0,1) \) of a polyharmonic \( (-\Delta)^m \) in such a way that \( s = \theta m \). Indeed, with this notation, one can rewrite (1.1) as

\[
L_{m,s} u(x) = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y) \, dy}{|y|^{2\theta m}} \, |y|^{N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\]
which is a nonlocal average in \( \mathbb{R}^N \) of the \( 2m \)-th order difference quotient with an altered exponent, as it happens for the standard fractional Laplacian (1.3). In view of Theorem 1.6, we have the convergence to the poly-Laplacian \((-Δ)^m\) as \(θ \to 1^-\). Also, the Fourier symbol of the operator can be seen as \(|ξ|^θ = (|ξ|^m)^θ\). In this spirit, Lemma 1.3 implies the equivalence between considering a power \(θ\) of the poly-Laplacian of order \(2m\) or a power \(τ\) \(\in (0,1)\) of the poly-Laplacian of order \(2n\), as long as \(θm = s = τn\).

The paper is organized as follows. We collect first some preliminary results in Section 2, where in particular the proof of Lemma 1.1 can be found. Section 3 contains the proofs of all the other results stated in the introduction, except for Theorem 1.9, to which Section 4 is devoted.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The difference operator

The next Lemma follows the ideas from [12, Lemma 1].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \(m \in \mathbb{N}_0\) and \(u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}\), then

\[
\delta_{m+1}(x,y) = \delta_m[\delta_1 u(\cdot,y)](x,y) \quad \text{for all } x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.1}
\]

In particular, if \(f(t) := \exp(it)\) for \(t \in \mathbb{R}\), then

\[
\delta_m f(0,t) = 2^m (1 - \cos(t))^m \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.2}
\]

**Proof.** By definition,

\[
\delta_m[\delta_1 u(\cdot,y)](x,y) = \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) [-u(x+(k-1)y) + 2u(x+ky) - u(x+(k+1)y)]
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) u(x+k-1)y) + 2 \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) u(x+ky)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^{k+1} \left( \frac{2m}{m+1-k} \right) u(x+(k+1)y)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=-m-1}^{m-1} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) u(x+ky) + 2 \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) u(x+ky)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=-m+1}^{m+1} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m+1-k} \right) u(x+ky)
\]

\[
= (1)^{-m-1}u(x-(m+1)y) + (1)^{-m}2mu(x-my) + 2(-1)^{-m}u(x-my)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=-m+1}^{m+1} (-1)^k \left[ \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) + \left( \frac{2m}{m+1-k} \right) \right] u(x+ky)
\]

\[
+ 2(-1)^m u(x+my) + (1)^m 2mu(x+my) + (1)^{m+1} u(x+(m+1)y)
\]

\[
= (1)^{-m-1}u(x-(m+1)y) + (1)^{-m}2(m+1)u(x-my)
\]
where we used that \((2m_{-1-k}) + 2(2m_{-k}) + 2m_{m+1-k}) = \frac{2m_{m+1-k}}{2m_{m+1-k}}\), and (2.1) follows. We now argue (2.2) by induction on \(m\). For \(m = 1\) it follows that

\[
\delta_1 f(x, t) = -f(x - t) + 2f(x) - f(x - t) = -e^{-\alpha t} f(x) + 2f(x) - e^\alpha f(x)
\]

and, in particular, \(\delta_1 f(0, t) = -e^{-\alpha t} + 2 - e^\alpha = 2(1 - \cos(t))\), since \(e^{-\alpha t} + e^\alpha = 2\cos(t)\). Now, assume that (2.2) holds for some \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), then, by (2.3), (2.1),

\[
\delta_{m+1} f(0, t) = \delta_m [\delta_1 f(\cdot, t)](0, t) = \left( - e^{-\alpha t} + 2 - e^\alpha \right) \delta_m f(0, t)
\]

\[
= 2(1 - \cos(t)) \delta_m^{m+1} (1 - \cos(t)) = 2^{m+1} (1 - \cos(t))^{m+1},
\]

and the claim follows. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \(m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\) with \(n < m\), then

\[
\sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2m} = (-1)^m (2m)!
\]

**Proof.** First we claim that, for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(g \in C^{2n}(\mathbb{R})\), and \(x, t \in \mathbb{R}\),

\[
\delta_n g(x, t) = (-1)^n t^{2n} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 g(2n)(x + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( (t_{k,1} - t_{k,2})t \right) dt_{1,1} \ldots dt_{n,1} dt_{1,2} \ldots dt_{n,2}.
\]

We argue by induction on \(n\). For \(n = 1\) the claim follows, since

\[
\delta_1 g(x, t) = t \int_0^1 g'(x - t + t_1 t) dt_1 - t \int_0^1 g'(x + t_1 t) dt_1 = -t^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 g''(x + t_1,t - t_2 t) dt_1 dt_2
dt_1 dt_{1,2}.
\]

Next, if \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) is such that (2.5) holds, then, by Lemma 2.1,

\[
\delta_{n+1} g(x, t) = \delta_n [\delta_1 (\cdot, t)](x, t) = -t^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \delta_n [g''(\cdot + \left( (t_{1,1} - t_{1,2}) t \right)](x, t) dt_{1,1} dt_{1,2}
\]

\[
= (-1)^{n+1} t^{2n+2} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 g(2n+2)(\cdot + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \left( (t_{k,1} - t_{k,2}) t + (t_{1,1} - t_{1,2}) t \right)](x, t) dt_{1,1} \ldots dt_{n+1,2}.
\]

Therefore (2.5) holds for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). In particular, by continuity,

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\delta_n g(0, t)}{t^{2n}} = (-1)^n g'(2n)(0) \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 dt_{1,1} \ldots dt_{n,2} = (-1)^n g'(2n)(0).
\]
Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( n < m \) and \( g_s(t) := t^{2s} \) for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( s > 0 \), then, by (2.6),
\[
\sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2n} = \delta_m g_n(0,1) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\delta_m g_n(0,t)}{t^{2n}} = (-1)^n \frac{g_n(2n)}{2n}(0) = 0,
\]
\[
\sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2m} = \delta_m g_m(0,1) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\delta_m g_m(0,t)}{t^{2m}} = (-1)^m \frac{g_m(2m)}{2m}(0) = (-1)^m (2m)!,
\]
as claimed.

For our next result, recall that for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( \eta > 0 \), \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), \( v \in \mathcal{C}^{2j}(B_\eta(x)) \), and \( h \in B_\eta(0) \), the multivariate Taylor expansion yields that
\[
v(x+h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2j-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha v(x)}{\alpha!} h^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| = 2j} \frac{\partial^\alpha v(x+\theta h)}{\alpha!} h^\alpha,
\]for some \( \theta(v,x,h,j) = \theta \in (0,1) \), where \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N \), \( \alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_N! \),
\[
\partial^\alpha u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial \xi_N^{\alpha_N}}, \quad y^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{N} y_i^{\alpha_i},
\]
and \( B_\eta(x) \) denotes the open ball centred at \( x \) of radius \( \eta \).

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( r > 0 \), \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{2m}(B_r(x)) \), \( m, j \in \mathbb{N} \), \( m \geq j \), and \( y \in B_r(0) \). There is \( \theta(u,x,y,j) = \theta \in [0,1] \) such that
\[
\delta_m u(x,y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^m \partial^\alpha u(x+k(\theta y)) y^\alpha.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{2j}(B_r(x)) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( n < 2j \), \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N \), \( |\alpha| = n \). Then,
\[
\partial^\alpha y \delta_m u(x,0) = \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^n \partial^\alpha u(x+ky)|_{y=0} = \partial^\alpha u(x) \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^n = 0,
\]
by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, applying (2.7) to \( y \mapsto \delta_m u(x,y) \) at \( y = 0 \), we have that, for some \( \theta \in [0,1] \) and for all \( h \in B_r(0) \), that
\[
\delta_m u(x,h) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2j} \frac{\partial^\alpha u(x,\theta h)}{\alpha!} h^\alpha = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} k^m \partial^\alpha u(x+k(\theta h)) h^\alpha,
\]
and (2.8) follows.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), \( x \in U \), \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and \( u \in \mathcal{C}^{2m}(U) \). There is \( \rho(U,x,m,u,\varepsilon) = \rho > 0 \) such that
\[
\delta_m u(x,y) = R(x,y) + \sum_{|\alpha| = 2m} \frac{(-1)^m (2m)!}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha u(x) y^\alpha \quad \text{for all } y \in B_\rho(0),
\]
where \( |R(x,y)| \leq C\varepsilon |y|^{2m} \) for some \( C(N,m) = C > 0 \).
Proof. Let \( x \in U \) and \( \rho_0 \in (0, 1) \) such that \( x + ky \in U \) for all \( k \in \{ -m, \ldots, m \} \) and \( y \in B_{\rho_0}(0) \). By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2, there is \( \theta(x, y, u) = \theta \in [0, 1] \) such that (2.9) holds for \( y \in B_{\rho_0}(0) \) with

\[
R(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2m} |\partial^\alpha u(x+k\theta y) - \partial^\alpha u(x)| y^\alpha.
\]

Then, since \( u \in C^{2m}(U) \), there is \( \rho(U, x, m, u, \varepsilon) = \rho \in (0, \rho_0] \) such that

\[
|R(x, y)| \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2m} \varepsilon |y^\alpha| \leq C \varepsilon |y|^{2m} \text{ for all } y \in B_{\rho}(0)
\]

for some \( C > 0 \) depending only on \( N \) and \( m \).

We continue with the proof of Lemma 1.1, where we extend the arguments in [11, Proposition 2.5].

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) open, \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( s \in (n-1, n) \) with \( n \leq m \), \( \sigma := s - n + 1 \), fix \( V \subset U \) open, let \( x, z \in V \), \( x \not= z \), and

\[
0 < r < \min \left\{ |x-z|, \frac{\text{dist}(x, \partial V)}{2m}, \frac{\text{dist}(z, \partial V)}{2m} \right\}.
\]

In particular, for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), \( \theta \in [0, 1] \), and \( y \in B_{r}(0) \), we have that \( x + ky \theta, z + ky \theta \in V \). In the following we use \( C > 0 \) to denote possibly different constants depending at most on \( N \), \( m \), and \( s \).

Assume first that \( 2\sigma + \beta \in (0, 1) \), \( u \in C^{2\sigma+\beta}(U) \cap L^1_{\text{loc}} \), and \( y \in B_{r}(0) \). By Lemma 2.3, there is \( \theta_{x,y} \in [0, 1] \) such that

\[
\delta_m u(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-2} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-2} |\partial^\alpha u(x + ky \theta_{x,y})| y^\alpha;
\]

(2.10)

moreover,

\[
|L_{m,s} u(x) - L_{m,s} u(z)| \leq \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\delta_m u(x, y) - \delta_m u(z, y)| |y|^{-n-2s} dy.\]

(2.11)

Note that, for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), \( \theta \in [0, 1] \), and \( y \in B_{r}(0) \), we have that

\[
|x-z+k\theta(y \theta_{x,y} - \theta_{x,y})| \leq |x-z| + 2kr < (2m+1)|x-z|.
\]

(2.12)

Therefore, by (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), and the fact that \( u \in C^{2\sigma+\beta}(U) \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r}(0)} \frac{|\delta_m u(x, y) - \delta_m u(z, y)|}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy \leq C \|u\|_{C^{2\sigma+\beta}(V)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r}(0)} \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-2} \frac{|x-z|^{2\sigma+\beta}}{|y|^{N-2(s+n-1)}} dy
\]

\[
= \|u\|_{C^{2\sigma+\beta}(V)} C |x-z|^{2\sigma+\beta} r^{2(s+n-1)} \leq C \|u\|_{C^{2\sigma+\beta}(V)} |x-z|^\beta.
\]

(2.13)
Furthermore, note that (2.4) implies
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) (-1)^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2m}{m}.
\]  \tag{2.14}

Therefore, by (2.14) and (2.10) for \( n = 1 \) and by (2.4) and (2.10) for \( n > 1 \),
\[
|\delta_m u(x,y)| = \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-2}^{m} \frac{1}{|\alpha|!} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-2} \partial^\alpha u(x+k\theta y) y^\alpha \right|
\]
\[
= \left| \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-2}^{m} \frac{1}{|\alpha|!} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-2} \partial^\alpha u(x) - \partial^\alpha u(x+k\theta y) \right| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-2} \partial^\alpha u(x) - \partial^\alpha u(x-k\theta y) \right| y^\alpha \right|
\]
\[
\leq C \|u\|_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-2}^{m} \frac{2}{|\alpha|!} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-2+2\alpha+\beta} y^{2\alpha+\beta+2n-2}
\]
\[
= C \|u\|_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} |y|^{2s+\beta}.
\]

Thus,
\[
\int_{B_r(0)} \frac{|\delta_m u(x,y) - \delta_m u(z,y)|}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \leq C \|u\|_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} \int_{B_r(0)} |y|^{\beta-N} \, dy
\]
\[
= C \|u\|_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} |y|^\beta \leq C \|u\|_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} |x-z|^\beta.
\]  \tag{2.15}

Then (1.8) follows from adding (2.13) and (2.15), since \( x, z \in V \) were arbitrarily chosen.

The proof for \( 2\sigma + \beta \geq 1 \) is similar. In this case, \( 2s + \beta \geq 2n - 1 \) and, by (2.7), there is \( \theta_{x,y} \in [0, 1] \) such that
\[
\delta_m u(x,y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\alpha|!} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-1} \partial^\alpha u(x+k\theta_{x,y} y) y^\alpha.
\]

Let \( \gamma := 2s + \beta - 2n + 1 \in (0, 1) \), then, arguing similarly as before, we obtain that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(0)} \frac{|\delta_m u(x,y) - \delta_m u(z,y)|}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \leq C \|u\|_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(0)} \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\alpha|!} \frac{|x-z|^{\gamma}}{|y|^{N+2\alpha+2n+1}} dy
\]
\[
= ||u||_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} C |x-z|^\gamma y^{2(m-s)-1} \leq C \|u\|_{C^{2\alpha + \beta}(V)} |x-z|^\beta. \]  \tag{2.16}
\begin{align*}
& + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-1} (\partial^\alpha u(x) - \partial^\alpha u(x-k\theta y)) y^\alpha \right) \\
& \leq C ||u||_{C^{2s+\beta}(V)} \sum_{|\alpha|=2n-1}^{2} \frac{2}{\alpha!} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) k^{2n-1+\gamma} y^{\gamma} = C ||u||_{C^{2s+\beta}(V)} y^{2s+\beta},
\end{align*}

Then (2.15) holds also in this case and, together with (2.16), this implies (1.8). Finally, since $V \subset U$ is an arbitrary open subset, (1.8) also holds for $V = U$, if $||u||_{C^{2s+\beta}(U)}$ is finite.

We now show that the order of the finite differences can be reduced in some cases.

**Proof of Lemma 1.3.** Fix $s \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in U$. Let

$$P_a := \sum_{k=-a}^{a} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2a}{a-k} \right) k^{2s}$$

for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a > s$ and let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s < n < m$. Let $u \in C^{2s+\beta}(U) \cap L^1$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$, then, by changing variables,

$$P_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = \sum_{j=-n}^{n} (-1)^j \left( \frac{2n}{n-j} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{j=-n}^{n} (-1)^j \left( \frac{2n}{n-j} \right) \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) (2m-k) \delta_u(x+kjy) \, dy$$

$$= \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_u(x,ky)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = P_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy.$$

Since $c_{N,m,s} P_u = c_{N,n,s}$, we have that

$$L_{m,s} u(x) = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \frac{P_m}{P_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = L_{n,s} u(x),$$

as claimed.

**2.2 Integration by parts formulas**

**Proof of Lemma 1.5.** Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $s \in (0, m)$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open, $u \in C^{2s+\beta}(U) \cap L^1$, and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$. By Fubini’s theorem and changes of variables,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L_{m,s} u(x) \phi(x) \, dx = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) |y|^{-N-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x+k\phi) \phi(x) \, dx \, dy$$

$$= \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) |y|^{-N-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) \phi(x+ky) \, dx \, dy$$

...
Moreover, if \( u \in \mathbb{R}^N \), then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) \sum_{k=-m}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k (2m)_{m-k} \varphi(x+ky)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) L_{m,s} \varphi(x) \, dx.
\]
\[\square\]

We now present a simple integration by parts argument, see [12, Lemma 2] for a similar result.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( s > 0 \), \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( s < m \), and \( u, v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \). Then,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_n u(x,y) \delta_m v(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \delta_{n+m} u(x,y) v(x) \, dx \, dy.
\] (2.17)

Moreover,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) \delta_1 v(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u(x) - u(x+y))(v(x) - v(x+y))}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy.
\] (2.18)

**Proof.** Observe that, by a change of variables
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) \delta_1 v(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x)(2v(x) - v(x-y) - v(x+y))}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y|^{-N-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} 2v(x)u(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)v(x-y) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)v(x+y) \, dx
\]
(2.19)
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(2u(x) - u(x-y) - u(x+y))v(x)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_1 u(x,y) v(x)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dx \, dy,
\]
and (2.17) now follows from (2.1). Note that (2.18) also follows from (2.19) by a suitable change of variables. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.6** (Lemma 2.4 in [1]). Let \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be an open bounded set with Lipschitz boundary, \( \beta, \sigma \in (0,1) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), \( s = n + \sigma \), \( u \in C^{2s+\beta}(U) \cap L_1^{\sigma} \). Then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(-\Delta)^m (-\Delta)^\sigma \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(-\Delta)^m (-\Delta)^\sigma u \, dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U).
\]

Moreover, if \( u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(U) \) then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(-\Delta)^m (-\Delta)^\sigma \varphi \, dx = \delta_s(u, \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(U).
\]
2.3 Known identities

We use the following definitions. Let \( \mathcal{L}(f) \) denote the Laplace transform and \( \Gamma \) the Gamma function, then

\[
\Gamma(\rho) := \int_0^\infty w^{\rho-1} e^{-w} \, dw \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(f)(\rho) := \int_0^\infty f(t) e^{-\rho t} \, dt, \quad \rho > 0
\]

for \( f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \). We also use the next known identities, for \( s,a,b > 0, N,m,n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in (0,1), \) and \( s = n + \sigma \), we have that

\[
\mathcal{L}(\sin^{2m}(a))(a) = \frac{(2m)!}{a \prod_{k=1}^m (4k^2 + a^2)}, \quad (2.21)
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{\sigma-1}}{1 + \rho} \, d\rho = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi \sigma)} = \frac{(-1)^n \pi}{\sin(\pi a)} = (-1)^n \pi = \Gamma(s) \Gamma(1-s), \quad (2.22)
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{N-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{\frac{s-1}{2}}} \, d\rho = \frac{\Gamma(N-1)}{2 \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2})}, \quad (2.23)
\]

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{2a}(t) \cos^{2b}(t) \, dt = 2 \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + a) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + b) \Gamma(1 + a + b) = \frac{2^{1-2(a+b)} \pi \Gamma(2a+1) \Gamma(2b+1)}{\Gamma(a+1) \Gamma(b+1) \Gamma(1 + a + b)} = \frac{2^{1-2(a+b)} \pi \Gamma(2a+1) \Gamma(2b+1)}{\Gamma(a+1) \Gamma(b+1) \Gamma(1 + a + b)}, \quad (2.24)
\]

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{2a}(t) \cos^{2b}(t) \, dt = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + a) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + b)}{\Gamma(1 + a + b)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + a) 4^{-b} \pi \Gamma(2b)!}{\Gamma(1 + a + b) b!}, \quad b \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (2.25)
\]

\[
a \Gamma(a) = \Gamma(a+1), \quad \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + a) = 2^{1-2} a \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + a) \Gamma(2a) \Gamma(2a+1) / \Gamma(a), \quad (2.26)
\]

Identities (2.23) and (2.22) are particular cases of [7, page 10, formula (16)], while (2.26) can be retrieved in [7, page 3, formula (1) and page 5, formula (15)]. Identity (2.25) is a consequence of (2.24), which can be deduced from [7, page 10, formula (17)] after a change of variable. Finally, for identity (2.21) we refer to [6, page 150, formula (3)].

3 Equivalence of evaluations

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in (0,1) \), and \( s = m + \sigma \). Observe that, for \( y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \),

\[
-c_{N,1,\sigma}(-\Delta)^s |y|^{-N-2\sigma} = c_{N,1,\sigma}(-1)^{n+1} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (N + 2\sigma + 2i)(2\sigma + 2(i + 1)) |y|^{-N-2\sigma-2n} = (-1)^n \frac{2^{2\sigma} \Gamma(N/2 + \sigma)}{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(-\sigma)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (N + 2\sigma + 2i)(2\sigma + 2(i + 1)) |y|^{-N-2\sigma-2n} = (-1)^n \frac{2^{2\sigma} \Gamma(N/2 + \sigma)}{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(-\sigma)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (N/2 + \sigma + i)(\sigma + i + 1) |y|^{-N-2\sigma-2n}
\]
\[\begin{align*}
&= \frac{2^j \Gamma(N/2 + s)}{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(-\sigma)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (-\sigma - i - 1) |y|^{-N - 2\sigma - 2n} = \frac{4^i \Gamma(N/2 + s)}{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(-s)} |y|^{-N - 2\sigma - 2n}.
\end{align*}\]

Therefore,

\[|y|^{-N - 2\sigma - 2n} = -c_{N,1,\sigma} \frac{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(-s)}{4^i \Gamma(N/2 + s)} (-\Delta)^n |y|^{-N - 2\sigma} \quad \text{for } y \neq 0. \quad (3.1)\]

Let \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) open, \( u \in C^{2\beta}(U) \), \((-\Delta)^su \in L^1_\sigma\), \((-\Delta)^tu \in L^1_{s-i-\frac{1}{2}}\), and \(|\nabla (-\Delta)^t u| \in L^1_{s-i+1}\) for \( i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}\). Then, there is \( r_j \to \infty \) as \( j \to \infty \) such that

\[\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_j(0)} |(-\Delta)^s u(y)| \partial_v (-\Delta)^{s-i-1} |y|^{-N - 2\sigma} \, dt = k_i \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_j(0)} \frac{|(-\Delta)^s u(y)|}{|y|^{N+2s-2i-1}} \, dt = 0,\]

\[\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_j(0)} \left| \partial_v (-\Delta)^s u(y) \right| (-\Delta)^{s-i-1} |y|^{-N - 2\sigma} \, dt = k'_i \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_j(0)} \frac{|\partial_v (-\Delta)^s u(y)|}{|y|^{N+2s-2i-2}} \, dt = 0 \quad (3.2)\]

for \( i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}\) and some positive constants \( k_i \) and \( k'_i \). These limits ensure that the boundary terms from the integration by parts performed below vanish. To shorten notation, let \( P := \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2n} \right) \). Then, using (3.1), (2.4), integration by parts, (3.2), and change of variables, we have, for \( x \in U\),

\[L_{m,i}u(x) = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2\sigma}} \, dy = \frac{4^i \Gamma(N/2 + s)}{\pi^{N/2} \Gamma(-s) 2P} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2\sigma}} \, dy\]

\[= -\frac{c_{N,1,\sigma}}{2P} \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_j(0)} \delta_m u(x,y)(-\Delta)^s |y|^{-N - 2\sigma} \, dy\]

\[= -\frac{c_{N,1,\sigma}}{P} \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\partial B_j(0)} (-\Delta)^s \delta_m u(x,y) |y|^{-N - 2\sigma} \, dy\]

\[= -\frac{c_{N,1,\sigma}}{2P} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2n} \right) (-\Delta)^s u(x+ky) \, dy\]

\[= -\frac{c_{N,1,\sigma}}{2P} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \left( \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2n} \right) \left((-\Delta)^s u(x) - (-\Delta)^s u(x+y)\right) \, dy\]

\[= c_{N,1,\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^s u(x) \, dy = (-\Delta)^s(-\Delta)^s u(x),\]

as claimed. \(\square\)

Before we proceed to the proof of Corollary 1.4, we recall a result on interchange of derivatives.
Proposition 3.1 (Proposition B.2 in [2]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open, $\sigma \in (0,1)$, and $u \in C^3(\Omega) \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $\partial_1 u \in L^1_\sigma$, then $\partial_1(-\Delta)^\sigma u(x) = (-\Delta)^\sigma \partial_1 u(x)$ pointwisely for all $x \in \Omega$.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Let $u \in L^1_s \cap C^{2s+\beta}(U)$ and $\varphi \in C_\infty^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then, by Lemmas 1.5 and 1.2,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L_{m,s} u(x) \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) L_{m,s} \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^\sigma (-\Delta)^\sigma \varphi(x) \, dx =: E. \quad (3.3)$$

Claim (a) now follows from (3.3), Lemma 2.6, a standard integration by parts using that $\varphi$ has compact support, and the fundamental lemma of calculations of variations. For claim (b), since $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(U)$, we have, by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.6, that

$$E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^\frac{\sigma}{2} (-\Delta)^\frac{\sigma}{2} \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^\frac{\sigma}{2} (-\Delta)^\frac{\sigma}{2} u(x) \varphi(x) \, dx$$

and the claim follows similarly from (3.3). Claim (c) can be argued analogously. \qed

3.1 Asymptotic analysis

We now study the asymptotic behaviour of (1.2).

Lemma 3.2. Let $N,m \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in (0,m)$, and $c_{N,m,s}$ as in (1.2). Then $s \mapsto c_{N,m,s} > 0$ is continuous in $(0,m)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{s} = \frac{2(m!)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{m}\right)}{(2m)! \pi^m} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \to m} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{m-s} = \frac{2^{2m+1} m! \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{m} + m\right)}{(2m)! \pi^m} > 0.$$

Proof. Let $N, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n < m$, $s \in (0,m) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, and $P(s) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} \left(\binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2s}\right)$, then

$$\frac{1}{c_{N,m,s}} = \frac{\pi^m \Gamma(n-s+1)}{4^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{m} + s\right)} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(i-s)}\right) \frac{P(s) - P(n)}{n-s},$$

because $P(n) = 0$, by (2.4). Then, $\lim_{s \to n} c_{N,m,s} = \frac{4^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{m} + n\right)}{\pi^m} (-1)^{n} (-P'(n))^{-1} = c_{N,m,n}$. Since the continuity of $s \mapsto c_{N,m,s} > 0$ is clear in $(0,m) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ the first assertion follows. Furthermore, by (2.4),

$$\lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{s} = \lim_{s \to 0^+} \frac{2^{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{m} + s\right)}{\pi^m \Gamma(-s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2s}\right)^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{m}\right)}{\pi^m} \frac{2(m!)^2}{(2m)!},$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, $\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2s} = \frac{(-1)^{m-1} (2m)!}{2}$, and therefore,

$$\lim_{s \to m} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{m-s} = \lim_{s \to m} \frac{1}{m-s} \frac{2^{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{m} + s\right)}{\pi^m \Gamma(-s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} \binom{2m}{m-k} k^{2s}\right)^{-1}$$
\[ \frac{2^{2m+1} \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + m \right)}{\pi^s \Gamma \left( m + 1 - s \right)} \lim_{s \to -m} \frac{1}{(m-s) \Gamma (-s)} = \frac{2^{2m+1} \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + m \right)}{\pi^s \Gamma \left( m + 1 - s \right)} \lim_{s \to -m} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (j-s)}{\Gamma (m+1-s)} \]

\[ = \frac{2^{2m+1} \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + m \right)}{\pi^s \Gamma \left( m + 1 - s \right)} \lim_{s \to -m} \frac{m!}{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (j-m)} = \frac{2^{2m+1} \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + m \right)}{\pi^s \Gamma \left( m + 1 - s \right)} \lim_{s \to -m} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (j-s)}{\Gamma (m+1-s)} \]

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( N, m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( s \in (0,m) \), and \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \) such that \( |\alpha| = m \). Then,

\[ 2(m-s) \int_{B_1} \frac{y^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = \frac{(2\alpha)!}{\pi^N} \frac{\pi^s}{2^{2m-1} \Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} + m \right)} \quad (3.4) \]

In particular,

\[ \frac{(-1)^m (2m)!}{(2\alpha)!} \lim_{s \to -m} \int_{B_1} \frac{y^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = (-1)^m \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \quad (3.5) \]

**Proof.** If \( N = 1 \), then \( \alpha = m \), \( \int_{-1}^{1} |y|^{1+2s-2m} \, dy = (m-s)^{-1} \) and (3.4) follows because, by (2.26), \( \frac{(2m)!}{m!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+m)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} = 1 \). If \( N = 2 \) we use polar coordinates, i.e., \( y_1 = r \cos \theta \) and \( y_2 = r \sin \theta \) for \( r \in (0,1) \) and \( \theta \in (0,2\pi) \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \) such that \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = m \), then, by (2.23), (2.26),

\[ \int_{B_1} \frac{y^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{2+2s}} \, dy = \frac{1}{2(m-s)} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2\alpha_1} \theta \sin^{2\alpha_2} \theta \, d\theta = 2^{-2m} \pi \frac{(2\alpha)!}{(m-s)(\alpha)! m!} \quad (3.6) \]

Observe that (3.4) follows from (3.6).

For the general case \( N \geq 3 \) we use spherical coordinates, i.e., \( y_i = r \cos \theta_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \sin \theta_j \) for \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\} \) and \( y_N = r \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \sin \theta_j \), where \( r > 0 \), \( \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{N-2} \in (0,\pi) \), \( \theta_{N-1} \in (0,2\pi) \), and the associated Jacobian is \( J(r, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}) = r^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-2} \sin^{N-1-j} \theta_j \).

Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N \) such that \( |\alpha| = m \) and for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \) let \( S_i := \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \alpha_j \), then,

\[ y^{2\alpha} J(r, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{N-1}) = r^{N-1+2m} \sin^{2\alpha_1+S_1+N-2} \theta_1 \cos^{2\alpha_2} \theta_1 \sin^{2\alpha_3+S_2+N-3} \theta_2 \cos^{2\alpha_4} \theta_2 \cdots \sin^{2\alpha_{N-1}+S_{N-1}} \theta_{N-1} \cos^{2\alpha_N} \theta_N \]

Thus, by (2.24) and (2.25),

\[ 2(m-s) \int_{B_1} \frac{y^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = 2(m-s) \int_{B_1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N} y_i^{2\alpha_i}}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy \]

\[ = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{2\alpha_1} \theta_{N-1} \cos^{2\alpha_{N-1}} \theta_{N-1} d\theta_{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N-2} \left[ \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{2(S_i+N-1)} \theta_i \cos^{2\alpha_i} \theta_i \, d\theta_i \right] \]

\[ = \frac{2^{1-2(\alpha_1+\alpha_{N-1})} \pi (2\alpha_N)! (2\alpha_{N-1})! (\alpha_1 + \alpha_{N-1})!}{(\alpha_1)! (\alpha_{N-1})! (\alpha_N + \alpha_{N-1})!} \prod_{i=1}^{N-2} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + S_i + \frac{N-1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + S_i + \frac{N-1}{2} + \alpha_i \right) \alpha_i! \]
and (3.4) follows. Equation (3.5) follows from (3.4) and Lemma 3.2 by direct substitution. □

We now proceed with our asymptotic analysis on the operator $L_{m,s}$ as $s$ approaches $m$ from below. For a similar asymptotic study in the case $s \in (0, 1)$, see [4, Proposition 4.4].

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open, and $x \in U$. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{s \to 0^+} L_{m,s}u(x) &= u(x) \quad \text{for all } u \in C^\beta(U) \cap L^m(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (3.7) \\
\lim_{s \to m^-} L_{m,s}u(x) &= (-\Delta)^m u(x) \quad \text{for all } u \in C^{2m}(U) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1-m}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 1.3, we have that $L_{m,s}$ reduces to $L_{1,s}$ if $s \in (0, 1)$, and therefore (3.7) follows from [4, Proposition 4.4]. For (3.8), let $u \in C^{2m}(U) \cap \mathcal{L}^{1-m}$, $x \in U$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\rho(U, x, m, u, \varepsilon) = \rho \in (0, 1)$ be the constant given by Lemma 2.4. Let $s \in (m - \eta, m)$. We collect first some useful facts. Since $u \in \mathcal{L}^{1-m} \subset \mathcal{L}^1$, 

\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \right| \leq \sum_{k=-m}^m \left( \frac{2m}{m-k} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} \frac{|u(x+ky)|}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy < \infty.
\]

Then, by Lemma 3.2, $\lim_{s \to m^-} e_{N,m,s} = 0$ and therefore

\[
\lim_{s \to m^-} \frac{C_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = 0. \quad (3.9)
\]

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4,

\[
\int_{B_\rho} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = \int_{B_\rho} \frac{R(x,y)}{|y|^{2s+N}} \, dy + \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} \frac{(-1)^m (2m)!}{\alpha!} \vartheta^\alpha u(x) \frac{y^\alpha}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy,
\]

where $|R(x,y)| \leq C\varepsilon|y|^{2m}$ for all $x,y \in B_\rho$ and for some $C(N,m,u) = C > 0$. In particular,

\[
\int_{B_\rho} \frac{R(x,y)}{|y|^{2s+N}} \, dy \leq C_1 \frac{\varepsilon \rho^{2(m-s)}}{m-s} \quad \text{for some } C_1(N,m,u) > 0. \quad (3.11)
\]

Furthermore, note that, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$ is such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ is odd for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, then

\[
\int_{B_\rho} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\alpha_i}}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = - \int_{B_\rho} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N y_i^{\alpha_i}}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \int_{B_\rho} \frac{y^\alpha}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = 0. \quad (3.12)
\]
Finally, by the multinomial theorem,
\[
(-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \partial^{2\alpha} u(x) = (-1)^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{i} \right)^m u(x) = (-\Delta)^m u(x). \tag{3.13}
\]

Therefore, by (3.13), (3.5), a change of variables, (3.12), (3.10), (3.9) and (3.11),
\[
\left| \lim_{s \to m^-} L_{m,s} u(x) - (-\Delta)^m u(x) \right| = \left| \lim_{s \to m^-} L_{m,s} u(x) - \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \frac{m!}{\alpha!} \partial^{2\alpha} u(x) \right|
\]
\[
= \left| \lim_{s \to m^-} L_{m,s} u(x) - \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \partial^{2\alpha} u(x) \right| \left( \frac{(-1)^m (2m)!}{(2\alpha)!} \right) \lim_{s \to m^-} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{B_1} \frac{y^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy
\]
\[
= \left| \lim_{s \to m^-} L_{m,s} u(x) - \sum_{|\alpha| = 2m} \partial^{2\alpha} u(x) \right| \left( \frac{(-1)^m (2m)!}{(2\alpha)!} \right) \lim_{s \to m^-} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{B_p} \frac{y^{2\alpha}}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy
\]
\[
= \left| \lim_{s \to m^-} L_{m,s} u(x) - \lim_{s \to m^-} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{B_p} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y)}{|y|^{2s+n}} dy - \frac{R(x,y)}{|y|^{2s+n}} dy \right|
\]
\[
= \left| \lim_{s \to m^-} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{B_p} \frac{R(x,y)}{|y|^{2s+n}} dy \right| \leq C_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \lim_{s \to m^-} \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{m-s} \int_{B_p} \frac{2^{m+1} m! \Gamma \left( \frac{N}{2} + m \right)}{(2m)! \pi^2} \varepsilon.
\]

The result follows by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$. \hfill \square

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n < m$. The limits (1.10) follow from Proposition 3.4. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2, $s \mapsto c_{N,m,s} > 0$ is continuous in $(0,m)$, and therefore
\[
L_{m,n} u(x) = \lim_{s \to m^-} L_{m,s} u(x) = \lim_{s \to n^-} L_{n,s} u(x) = (-\Delta)^n u(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in U,
\]
by Lebesgue dominated convergence, Lemma 1.3, and Proposition 3.4. This ends the proof. \hfill \square

### 3.2 The bilinear form

**Proof of Theorem 1.8.** Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 0$, $s < n \leq 2m$, and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By Lemmas 1.3, 2.5, and Fubini’s theorem,
\[
\delta_{2m,s}(\varphi, \psi) = \frac{c_{N,2m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m \varphi(x,y) \delta_m \psi(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m \varphi(x,y)}{|y|^{N+2s}} dy \psi(x) dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L_{2m,s} \varphi(x) \psi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L_{n,s} \varphi(x) \psi(x) dx.
\]
By Theorem 1.2, Lemma 2.6, and Proposition 3.1 we have that

\[ \varepsilon_{2m,s}(\varphi, \psi) = \varepsilon_s(\varphi, \psi). \]  

(3.14)

Now, let \( s \in (i, i + 1) \) for some \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), \( i \leq 2m - 1 \), \( u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \) and, for \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( u_j, v_j \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) be such that \( u_j \to u \) and \( v_j \to v \) in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \) as \( j \to \infty \). By (2.4), (2.8), a change of variables, and Fatou’s Lemma,

\[ \varepsilon_{2m,s}(u, u) \leq \liminf_{j \to \infty} \varepsilon_{2m,s}(u_j, u_j) = \frac{C_{N,2m,s}}{2} \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=-m}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{m-k} u_j(x + ky)}{|y|^{N+2s}} \right)^2 dxdy \]

\[ \leq C_{N,2m,s} \liminf_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=i} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \binom{2m}{m-k} |\partial^\alpha u_j(x + ky\theta_j) - \partial^\alpha u_j(x)|}{|y|^{N+2(s-i)}} \right)^2 dxdy \]

\[ \leq \text{Climinf}_{j \to \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{|\partial^\alpha u_j(x + y) - \partial^\alpha u_j(x)|}{|y|^{N+2(s-i)}} \right)^2 dxdy \]

\[ \leq \text{Climinf}_{j \to \infty} \|u_j\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = C\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \]

where \( \theta_j \in [0, 1] \) is given by Lemma 2.3 and \( C(N, m, s) = C > 0 \). Therefore, by Hölder inequality,

\[ |\varepsilon_{2m,s}(u, v)| = \frac{C_{N,2m,s}}{2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\delta_m u(x,y) \delta_m v(x,y)}{|y|^{N-s} |y|^{s/2}} dxdy \right| \]

\[ \leq \frac{C_{N,2m,s}}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\delta_m u(x,y)|^2}{|y|^{N+2s}} dxdy \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\delta_m v(x,y)|^2}{|y|^{N+2s}} dxdy \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ = \varepsilon_{2m,s}(u, u)^{1/2} \varepsilon_{2m,s}(v, v)^{1/2} \leq C\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}\|v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \]

Thus \( \varepsilon_{2m,s} \) is a bounded bilinear form in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \), and, by (3.14),

\[ \varepsilon_s(u, v) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \varepsilon_s(u_j, v_j) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \varepsilon_{2m,s}(u_j, v_j) = \varepsilon_{2m,s}(u, v). \]

\[ \square \]

4 The Fourier symbol

The goal of this section is to show Theorem 1.9. The proof is primarily based on the following.
Theorem 4.1. Let \(N, m \in \mathbb{N}, s \in (0, m),\) and \(c_{N, m, s}\) as in (1.2). Then
\[
\left( \frac{c_{N, m, s}}{2} \right)^{-1} = 2^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(1 - \cos(y_1))^m}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy.
\]

We show first some helpful decompositions and identities.

Lemma 4.2. Let \(n \in \mathbb{N}, I := \{1, \ldots, n\},\) and \(\rho \in \mathbb{R},\) then
\[
\rho^{n-1} = \sum_{k \in I} a_{k,n} \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (\rho + j^2), \quad \text{where} \quad a_{k,n} := \frac{(-1)^{k-n}k^{2n}}{(n+k)!(n-k)!} \quad \text{for} \ k \in I. \tag{4.1}
\]
Furthermore, if \(J \subset \mathbb{N}\) is a finite subset, \(k \in \mathbb{N}, J(k) := J \cup \{k\},\) and \(\rho \in \mathbb{R}, \rho \neq j^2\) for all \(j \in J(k),\) then
\[
\frac{1}{\prod_{j \in J(k)} (\rho + j^2)} = \sum_{j \in J(k)} \frac{b_{j,k}}{(\rho + j^2)}, \quad \text{where} \quad b_{j,k} := \frac{1}{\prod_{j \notin J(k) \setminus \{j\}} (j^2 - k^2)}. \tag{4.2}
\]

Proof. For \(k \in I\) let \(f_k(\rho) := \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (\rho + j^2).\) The existence of \(a_{k,n} \in \mathbb{R}\) for \(k \in I\) satisfying the left equality in (4.1) is guaranteed by the fact that \(\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) form a basis of the space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to \(n - 1\) (because \(f_i(-x^2) \neq 0\) and \(f_k(-x^2) = 0\) for \(k \neq i, k, i \in I\)). We now use the limit method to show that the coefficients \(a_{k,n}\) are as in (4.1). For \(k \in I := \{1, \ldots, n\}\) we deduce from (4.1) that
\[
a_{k,n} = \lim_{\rho \to k^2} \frac{\rho^{n-1} - \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} a_{l,n} \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{l\}} (\rho + j^2)}{\prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (\rho + j^2)} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}k^{2n-2}}{\prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (j^2 - k^2)}. \tag{4.3}
\]

Observe that
\[
(n+k)! = \prod_{j=0}^{n} (k + j) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (k-j) \quad \text{and} \quad (n-k)! = \prod_{j=k+1}^{n} (j-k),
\]
therefore \((n+k)!/(n-k)! = (-1)^{k+1}2k^2 \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (j+k)/(j-k),\) which implies that
\[
\frac{(-1)^{n-1}k^{2n-2}}{\prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (j^2 - k^2)} = \frac{(-1)^{k-n}k^{2n}}{(n+k)!(n-k)!}, \tag{4.4}
\]
and thus (4.1) follows from (4.3) and (4.4). Equation (4.2) can be argued similarly: let \(J, k,\) and \(\rho\) as stated. The existence of \(b_{j,k}\) for \(j \in J(k)\) can be argued in a similar way. Then we can use, as before, the limit method; observe that, for \(j \in J(k),\)
\[
b_{j,k} = \lim_{\rho \to j^2} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in J(k)} (\rho + j^2)} \frac{1}{(\rho + j^2)} \sum_{i \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}} \frac{b_{i,k}}{(\rho + i^2)}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}} (-j^2 + i^2)} - \lim_{\rho \to j^2} \frac{(\rho + j^2)}{\prod_{j \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}} (-j^2 + i^2)} \sum_{i \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}} \frac{b_{i,k}}{(\rho + i^2)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}} (j^2 - i^2)},
\]
and (4.2) follows. \(\Box\)
Lemma 4.3. Let $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j > n$, then
\[ j^{2n-1} = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k-n-1}(j+n)!}{(n+k)!(n-k)!(k^2 - j^2)(j-n-1)!} k^{2n}. \]

Proof. Let $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j > n$, and $I := \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By (4.1) with $\rho = -j^2$, 
\[ (-1)^{n-1} j^{2n-2} = \sum_{k \in I} \left( -1 \right)^{k-n} \frac{k^{2n}}{\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} (-j^2 + i^2)} \]
\[ = \sum_{k \in I} 2(-1)^{k-n-1} k^{2n} \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{j\}} (j^2 - i^2) (-1)^{n-1}, \]
and the claim follows, since $\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{j\}} (j^2 - i^2) = \frac{(j+n)!}{j!(j-n-1)!}$. \qed

Lemma 4.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in (0, m)$, then
\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{s-1}}{\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\rho + k^2)} \, d\rho = \begin{cases} 
2\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} k^{2s}}{(m-k)!(m+k)!}, & \text{if } s \notin \mathbb{N}, \\
4 \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} k^{2s}}{(m-k)!(m+k)!} \ln(k), & \text{if } s \in \mathbb{N}. 
\end{cases} \tag{4.5} \]

Proof. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \{1, \ldots, m\}, s \in (n-1,n] \cap (0, m)$, $I := \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $J := \{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus I$. For $k \in I$ let $j \in J(k) := J \cup \{k\}$ and 
\[ a_{k,n} = 2 \frac{(-1)^{k-n} k^{2n}}{(n+k)!(n-k)!}, \quad b_{j,k} := \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in J \setminus \{j\}} (i^2 - j^2)}. \]
Then, by Lemma 4.2, $\rho^{s-1} = \rho^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k \in I} a_{k,n} \prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (\rho + j^2)$, where $\sigma := s-n+1 \in (0,1]$, and therefore 
\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{s-1}}{\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\rho + k^2)} \, d\rho = \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_{k,n}}{\prod_{j \in I \setminus \{k\}} (\rho + j^2)} \, d\rho = \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_{k,n}}{\prod_{j \in J(k)} (\rho + j^2)} \, d\rho. \]

Let $A_{\eta,\sigma,j} := \int_0^\eta \frac{\rho^{\sigma-1}}{\rho + j^2} \, d\rho$, then 
\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{s-1}}{\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\rho + k^2)} \, d\rho = 2 \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \sum_{k \in I} \frac{(-1)^{k-n} k^{2n}}{(n+k)!(n-k)!} \left( \frac{A_{\eta,\sigma,k}}{\prod_{j \in I \setminus \{j\}} (j^2 - k^2)} \right) + \sum_{j \in J(k)} \frac{A_{\eta,\sigma,j}}{\prod_{i \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}} (i^2 - j^2)} \right). \tag{4.6} \]

Note that, for $j \in J$ and $k \in I$, 
\[ \prod_{i \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}} (i^2 - j^2) = \frac{(-1)^{j-1-n}(k-j)(k+j)(m+j)(m-j)(j-n-1)!}{2 \, j \, (j+n)!}. \]
Therefore, by Lemma 4.3,

\[
\sum_{k \leq I} (-1)^{k-n}k^{2n} \sum_{j \leq J} \frac{1}{(n+k)!((n-k)!\prod_{\ell \in J(k) \setminus \{j\}}(j^2 - j^2)} A_{\eta,\sigma,j} \\
= \sum_{k \leq I} \frac{k^{2n}}{(n+k)!((n-k)!\prod_{j \leq J} (k - j)(k + j)(m + j)(m - j)!)} A_{\eta,\sigma,j} \\
= \sum_{j \leq J} \frac{\binom{a_{\eta,\sigma,j}}{2}}{(m + j)!((m - j)!\prod_{k \leq I} (n + k)!((n - k)!\prod_{j \leq J} (k - j)(k + j)(m + j)(m - j)!)} A_{\eta,\sigma,j} \\
= \sum_{j \leq J} \frac{\binom{a_{\eta,\sigma,j}}{2}}{(m + j)!((m - j)!\prod_{k \leq I} (n + k)!((n - k)!\prod_{j \leq J} (k - j)(k + j)(m + j)(m - j)!)} A_{\eta,\sigma,j}.
\]  

(4.7)

Furthermore, since \( I \cup J = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \) and \((n + k)!((n - k)!\prod_{j \leq J} (j^2 - j^2) = (m + k)!((m - k)!\]

we have from (4.6) and (4.7) that

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{\sigma - 1}}{\prod_{k=1}^m (\rho + k^2)} \, d\rho = 2 \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-n}k^{2n}}{(m + k)!((m - k)!} A_{\eta,\sigma,k}.  
\]  

(4.8)

To conclude the proof we consider two cases. In the first case, assume that \( s \in (n - 1, n) \). Then \( \sigma \in (0, 1) \) and

\[
A_{\eta,\sigma,k} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{\sigma - 1}}{\rho + k^2} \, d\rho = k^{2\sigma - 2} \int_0^\eta \frac{\rho^{\sigma - 1}}{\rho + 1} \, d\rho.  
\]  

(4.9)

Thus, by (4.8) and (4.9), we have that

\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{\sigma - 1}}{\prod_{k=1}^m (\rho + k^2)} \, d\rho = 2 \lim_{\eta \to \infty} \int_0^\eta \frac{\rho^{\sigma - 1}}{\rho + 1} \, d\rho \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{k-n}k^{2n} \\
\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{k-n}k^{2n}}{(m + k)!((m - k)!} = \frac{1}{2(2m)!} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{k} (k - m)^2n = 0,  
\]  

(23)

and (4.5) follows from (2.22).

For the second case, assume that \( s = n \). Since \( s \in (0, m) \) we have that \( n < m \) and \( \sigma = 1 \). Then

\[
A_{\eta,\sigma,k} = \int_0^\eta \frac{\rho^{\sigma - 1}}{\rho + k^2} \, d\rho = \ln(\eta + k^2) - \ln(k^2).  
\]  

(4.10)

By Lemma 2.2,

\[
\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^k k^{2n}}{(m + k)!((m - k)!} = \frac{1}{2(2m)!} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} (-1)^k \binom{2m}{k} (k - m)^2n = 0,  
\]  

(4.11)

because \( 2n < 2m \). Let \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) be the even and odd numbers in \( \{1, \ldots, m\} \) respectively. Then, by (4.11),

\[
A_1 := \sum_{k \in I_1} \frac{(-1)^k k^{2n}}{(m + k)!((m - k)!} = \sum_{k \in I_2} \frac{(-1)^k k^{2n}}{(m + k)!((m - k)!} = A_2
\]
and therefore, by the properties of logarithms,

$$\lim_{\eta \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k k^{2n}}{(m+k)!(m-k)!} \ln(\eta + k^2) = \ln \left( \prod_{k \in I_1} (\eta + k^2)^{\frac{k^{2n}}{(m+k)!(m-k)!}} \right)$$

$$= \ln \left( \prod_{k \in I_2} (\eta + k^2)^{\frac{k^{2n}}{(m+k)!(m-k)!}} \right) = \ln(1) = 0.$$ 

(4.12)

From (4.8), (4.10), and (4.12), we conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{s-1}}{\prod_{k=1}^{m}(\rho + k^2)} d\rho = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k-n} k^{2n}}{(m+k)!(m-k)!} (-\ln(k^2)) = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{k-s+1} k^{2n}}{(m+k)!(m-k)!} \ln(k),$$

as claimed, and this ends the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** By Fubini’s theorem, polar coordinates, and (2.23),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1 - \cos(y))}{|y|^N} dy = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - \cos(t))}{t^{1+2s}} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^{N-2s}} dz$$

$$= 2 \frac{2\pi^{N-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} - 1\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - \cos(t))}{t^{1+2s}} dt \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{N-2}}{(1 + \rho^2)^{\frac{N}{2} + s}} d\rho$$

$$= 2 \frac{2\pi^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2} + s\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - \cos(t))}{t^{1+2s}} dt, \tag{4.13}$$

Furthermore, since $1 - \cos(2a) = 1 - \cos^2(a) + \sin^2(a) = 2\sin^2(a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R},$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - \cos(t))}{t^{1+2s}} dt = 2^m \int_0^\infty \sin^2(t/2)t^{-1-2s} dt = 2^{m-2s} \int_0^\infty \sin^2(t)t^{-1-2s} dt. \tag{4.14}$$

Using a change of variables, (2.20), (2.21), and Fubini’s theorem,

$$\Gamma(1 + 2s) \int_0^\infty \sin^2(t)t^{-1-2s} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-w} w^{2s} \sin^2(t) t^{-1-2s} dt dw$$

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-w} \sin^2(rw) t^{-1-2s} dw dr = \int_0^\infty e^{-r/\rho} \sin^2(\rho) t^{-1-2s} dr$$

$$= \rho^{1-2s} L^2(\sin^2)(r^{-1}) dr = \int \rho^{2s} L^2(\sin^2)(\rho) d\rho.$$
\[
\gamma_{N,m,s} := 2^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1 - \cos(y_1))}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = 2^m \frac{2\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})\pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - \cos(t))^m}{t^{1+2s}} \, dt
\]

\[
= 2^m \frac{2\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})\pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + s)} \int_0^\infty \sin^{2m}(t)t^{-1-2s} \, dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})\pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(1+2s)}(2m)! \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{s-1}}{\prod_{k=1}(k^2 + \rho)} \, d\rho
\]

\[
= \frac{(2m)! \pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{4^{s+1}\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho^{s-1}}{\prod_{k=1}(k^2 + \rho)} \, d\rho.
\]

Therefore, by (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), and (2.26), we obtain

\[
\gamma_{N,m,s} = \frac{2}{c_{N,m,s}}, \quad \text{by Lemma 4.4, and this ends the proof.} \quad \Box
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.9.** Let \( u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \). By the properties of the Fourier transform we have, for \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \), that

\[
\mathcal{F}(L_{m,s}u)(\xi) = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}(\delta_m u(x,y))(\xi) \, dy = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \delta_m f(0, \xi \cdot y) \, dy \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi),
\]

where \( f(t) := \exp(it) \) for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). Moreover, by (2.2) and a change of variables,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \delta_m f(0, \xi \cdot y) \, dy = 2^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1 - \cos(\xi \cdot y))^m}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy
\]

\[
= 2^m |\xi|^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1 - \cos(\xi \cdot y))^m}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy = |\xi|^{2s} 2^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(1 - \cos(y_1))^m}{|y|^{N+2s}} \, dy,
\]

where the last equality follows by a suitable rotation (c.f. [4, Proposition 3.3]). Then, by Theorem 4.1, \( \mathcal{F}(L_{m,s}u)(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \) for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \), as claimed. Finally, the identity at \( \xi = 0 \) follows from the fact that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} L_{m,s}u(x) \, dx = \frac{c_{N,m,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |y|^{-N-2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \delta_m u(x,y) \, dx \, dy = 0,
\]

by Fubini’s theorem, (2.4), and a change of variables. \( \Box \)
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