SET–THEORETICAL ENTROPIES OF GENERALIZED SHIFTS
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Abstract. In the following text for arbitrary $X$ with at least two elements, nonempty set $\Gamma$ and self-map $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ we prove the set-theoretical entropy of generalized shift $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ ($\sigma_\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ (for $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma$)) is either zero or infinity, moreover it is zero if and only if $\varphi$ is quasi-periodic.

We continue our study on contravariant set-theoretical entropy of generalized shift and motivate the text using counterexamples dealing with algebraic, topological, set-theoretical and contravariant set-theoretical positive entropies of generalized shifts.
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1. Introduction

Amongst the most powerful tools in ergodic theory and dynamical systems we may mention one-sided shift $\{1, \ldots, k\}^\mathbb{Z} \to \{1, \ldots, k\}^\mathbb{Z}$ and two-sided shift $\{1, \ldots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \{1, \ldots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ [8]. Now suppose $X$ is an arbitrary set with at least two elements, $\Gamma$ is a nonempty set, and $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is arbitrary, then $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ with $\sigma_\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ (for $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma$) is a generalized shift. Generalized shifts have been introduced for the first time in [3]. It’s evident that for self-map $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ and generalized shift $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ if $X$ has a group (resp. vector space, topological) structure, then $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ is a group homomorphism (resp. linear map, continuous (in which $X^\Gamma$ considered under product topology)), so many dynamical [4] and non-dynamical [7] properties of generalized shifts have been studied in several texts. In this text our main aim is to study set-theoretical and contravariant set-theoretical entropy of generalized shifts. We complete our investigations with a comparative study regarding set-theoretical, contravariant set-theoretical, topological and algebraic entropies of generalized shifts.

For self-map $g : A \to A$ and $x, y \in A$ let $x \leq_g y$ if and only if there exists $n \geq 0$ with $g^n(x) = y$, then $(A, \leq_g)$ is a preorder (reflexive and transitive) set. Note that for set $A$ by $|A|$ we mean the cardinality of $A$ if it is finite and $\infty$ otherwise. Although one may obtain the following lemma using [7], we establish it here directly.

Note 1.1 (Bounded self-map, Quasi-periodic self-map). For self-map $g : A \to A$ the following statements are equivalent (consider preordered set $(A, \leq_g)$):

1. there exists $N \geq 1$ such that for all totally preordered subset $I$ of $A$ (reflexive, transitive and for all $x, y \in A$ we have $x \leq_g y$ or $y \leq_g x$) we have $|I| \leq N$ (i.e., $g : A \to A$ is bounded [7]),
2. $\sup \{|\{g^n(x) : n \geq 0\} : x \in A\} < \infty$,  

3. there exists $n > m \geq 1$ with $g^n = g^m$ ($g$ is quasi-periodic).

Proof. “(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)” Suppose there exists $N \geq 1$ such that for all totally preordered subset $I$ of $A$ we have $|I| \leq N$. Choose $x \in A$, then $\{g^n(x) : n \geq 0\}$ is a totally preordered subset of $A$, thus $\{|g^n(x) : n \geq 0\}| \leq N$, hence sup $\{|g^n(y) : n \geq 0\} : y \in A$ $\leq N < \infty$.

“(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3)” Suppose sup $\{|g^n(x) : n \geq 0\} : x \in A\} = N < \infty$, then for all $x \in A$ we have $\{g^n(x) : n \geq 0\} = \{x, g(x), \ldots, g^{N-1}(x)\}$ and there exists $n_x \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$ with $g^n(x) = g^{n_x}(x)$, thus $g^{N-n_x}(g^n(x)) = g^N(x)$ and

$$\forall z \in g^N(A) \exists i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \{g^i(z) = z\}$$

so for all $z \in g^N(A)$ we have $g^{Ni}(z) = z$, thus for all $x \in A$ we have $g^{Ni+N}(x) = g^N(x)$.

“(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1)” Suppose there exist $n > m \geq 1$ with $g^n = g^m$ and $I$ is a totally preordered subset of $A$, choose distinct $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in I$ and suppose $x_1 \leq g x_2 \leq g \cdots \leq g x_k$. For all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ there exists $p_i \geq 0$ with $x_i = g^{p_i}(x_1)$, so $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq \{g^i(x_1) : i \geq 0\} = \{g^i(x_1) : i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}\}$ and $k \leq n + 1$. Hence $|I| \leq n + 1$ which completes the proof.

Convention. In the following text suppose $X$ is an arbitrary set with at least two elements, $\Gamma$ is a nonempty set, and $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is arbitrary.

1.1. Background on set-theoretical entropy. For self-map $g : A \rightarrow A$ and $a \in A$, the set $\{g^n(a) : n \geq 0\}$ is the orbit of $a$, we say $a \in A$ is a wandering point (or non-quasi periodic point) of $g$, if $\{g^n(a) : n \geq 0\}$ is infinite, or equivalently $\{g^n(a)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a one-to-one sequence. We denote the collection of all wandering points of $g : A \rightarrow A$ with $W(g)$.

For $g : A \rightarrow A$ denote the infinite orbit number of $g$ by $\sigma(g)$ and define it with sup $\{|\{0\} \cup \{k \geq 1 : \exists a_1, \ldots, a_k \in W(g) \{g^n(a_1)\}_{n \geq 1}, \ldots, \{g^n(a_k)\}_{n \geq 1}\text{ are pairwise disjoint sequences}\}|$, i.e. $\sigma(g) = \sup(|\{0\} \cup \{k \geq 1 : \text{there exists } k \text{ pairwise disjoint infinite orbits}\})$. So $W(g) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\sigma(g) \geq 1$. On the other hand for finite subset $D$ of $A$ the following limit exists [2]:

$$\text{ent}_{set}(g, D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|D \cup g(D) \cup \cdots \cup g^{n-1}(D)|}{n}.$$ 

Now we call sup $\{|\text{ent}_{set}(g, D) : D \text{ is a finite subset of } A\}$ the set-theoretical entropy of $g$ and denote it with $\text{ent}_{set}(g)$. Moreover $\text{ent}_{set}(g) = \sigma(g)$ [2].

1.2. Background on contravariant set-theoretical entropy. Suppose self-map $g : A \rightarrow A$ is onto and finite fibre (i.e., for all $a \in A$, $g^{-1}(a)$ is finite), then for finite subset $D$ of $A$ the following limit exists [5]:

$$\text{ent}_{ctset}(g, D) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|D \cup g^{-1}(D) \cup \cdots \cup g^{-(n-1)}(D)|}{n}.$$ 

Now let $\text{ent}_{ctset}(g) := \sup\{|\text{ent}_{ctset}(g, D) : D \text{ is a finite subset of } A\}$. If $k : A \rightarrow A$ is an arbitrary finite fibre map, then for surjective cover of $k$, i.e. $\text{sc}(k) := \bigcap\{k^n(A) : n \geq 1\}$, the map $k \downarrow \text{sc}(k) : \text{sc}(k) \rightarrow \text{sc}(k)$ is an onto finite fibre map and $\text{ent}_{ctset}(k) := \text{ent}_{ctset}(k |_{\text{sc}(k)})$ is the contravariant set-theoretical entropy of $k$.

Moreover we say $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a $k$–anti-orbit sequence (or simply anti-orbit sequence) if for all $n \geq 1$ we have $k(x_{n+1}) = x_n$ and define infinite anti-orbit number of $k$ as $\sigma(k) = \sup(|\{0\} \cup \{j \geq 1 : \text{there exists } j \text{ pairwise disjoint infinite anti-orbits}\})$. Moreover $\text{ent}_{ctset}(k) = \sigma(k)$ [5].
2. Set-theoretical entropies of generalized shifts

In this section we prove that for generalized shift \( \sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma \), \( \text{ent}_\text{set}(\sigma_\varphi) \in \{0, \infty\} \) and \( \text{ent}_\text{set}(\sigma_\varphi) = 0 \) if and only if \( \varphi \) is quasi-periodic.

**Lemma 2.1.** If \( W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset \), then \( W(\sigma_\varphi) \neq \emptyset \).

**Proof.** Consider distinct points \( p, q \in X \) and \( \theta \in W(\varphi) \), thus \( (\varphi^n(\theta))_{n \geq 0} \) is a one-to-one sequence. Let:

\[
x_\alpha := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
p & \alpha \in \{\varphi^{2^n}(\theta) : n \geq 1\}, \\
q & \text{otherwise},
\end{array} \right.
\]

then \( (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in W(\sigma_\varphi) \), otherwise there exists \( s > t \geq 1 \) such that \( \sigma_\varphi^\alpha((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \sigma_\varphi^t((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \), thus \( x_{\sigma_\varphi(t)} = x_{\sigma_\varphi(s)} \) for all \( \alpha \in \Gamma \). In particular, \( x_{\sigma_\varphi(i)} = x_{\sigma_\varphi(t)} \) for all \( i \geq 0 \). Choose \( j \geq 1 \) with \( j + s \in \{2^n : n \geq 1\} \). We have the following cases:

**Case 1:** \( j + t \notin \{2^n : n \geq 1\} \). In this case we have \( p = x_{\sigma_\varphi(j)} = x_{\sigma_\varphi(t)} = q \), which is a contradiction.

**Case 2:** \( j + t \in \{2^n : n \geq 1\} \). In this case using \( j + t > j + s \in \{2^n : n \geq 1\} \) we have \( j + t \geq 3 \). There exist \( k \geq 1 \) and \( l \geq 2 \) with \( j + s = 2^k \) and \( j + t = 2^l \). Let \( i = 2j + s \), then \( i + s = 2(j + s) = 2^{k+1} \in \{2^n : n \geq 1\} \) and \( i + t = 2^l \). \( 2^{k-1} \notin \{2^n : n \geq 1\} \) (note that \( k > l \) and \( 2^{k-1} \) is odd). So \( p = x_{\sigma_\varphi(i)} = x_{\sigma_\varphi(t)} = q \), which is a contradiction.

Using the above two cases, we have \( (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in W(\sigma_\varphi) \).

**Lemma 2.2.** If \( W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset \), then \( \sigma(\sigma_\varphi) = \infty \).

**Proof.** Consider \( \theta \in \Gamma \) with infinite \( \{\varphi^n(\theta) : n \geq 0\} \) and choose distinct \( p, q \in X \), thus \( (\varphi^n(\theta))_{n \geq 0} \) is a one-to-one sequence. For \( s \geq 1 \) let:

\[
x_\alpha^s := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
p & \alpha \in \{\varphi^n(\theta) : \exists k \geq 0 \ (ks + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} < i \leq ks + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + s)\}, \\
q & \text{otherwise},
\end{array} \right.
\]

so:

\[
(x_{\sigma_\varphi(\theta)}^s, x_{\sigma_\varphi(\theta)}^t, x_{\sigma_\varphi(\theta)}^{s+1}, \ldots)
\]

\[
= \underbrace{(p, \ldots, p)}_{s \text{ times}}, \underbrace{(q, q, \ldots, q)}_{s \text{ times}}, \underbrace{(p, q, q, \ldots, p)}_{s \text{ times}}, \underbrace{q, q, q, \ldots, q}_{s \text{ times}}.
\]

Let \( x^s := (x_\alpha^s)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \). Now we have the following steps:

**Step 1.** For \( s \geq 1 \), the sequence \( (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^s))_{n \geq 1} \) is one-to-one: Consider \( j > i \geq 0 \), then \( i < js + \frac{j(j+1)}{2} + s \), so there exists \( t \geq 1 \) with \( i + t = js + \frac{j(j+1)}{2} + s \) moreover

\[
js + \frac{j(j+1)}{2} + s < js + \frac{j(j+1)}{2} + s + (j-i) < (j+1)s + \frac{(j+1)(j+2)}{2},
\]

which show \( x_{\sigma_\varphi^{i+1}(\theta)}^s = p \) and \( x_{\sigma_\varphi^{j+1}(\theta)}^s = q \) and:

\[(*) \quad x_{\sigma_\varphi^{i+1}(\theta)}^s \neq x_{\sigma_\varphi^{j+1}(\theta)}^s.\]

Using \((*)\) we have \( \sigma_\varphi^1((x_\alpha^s)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \neq \sigma_\varphi^1((x_\alpha^s)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \), thus \( (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^s))_{n \geq 1} \) is a one-to-one sequence.

**Step 2.** \( (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^1))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^2))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^3))_{n \geq 1}, \ldots \) are pairwise disjoint sequences:
consider \( s \geq r \geq 1 \) and \( i, j \geq 0 \) with \( \sigma_\phi^i(x^s) = \sigma_\phi^j(x^r) \). Choose \( m \geq 0 \) with \( i + m = is + \frac{r(i + 1)}{2} + 1 \), now we have:

\[
\sigma_\phi^i(x^s) = \sigma_\phi^j(x^r) \Rightarrow (\forall \alpha \in \Gamma \ (x^s_{\phi^i(\alpha)} = x^r_{\phi^j(\alpha)})) \\
\Rightarrow (\forall n \geq 0 \ (x^s_{\phi^{j+n}(\theta)} = x^r_{\phi^{j+n}(\theta)})) \\
\Rightarrow (\forall k \geq 0 \ (x^s_{\phi^{j+m+k}(\theta)} = x^r_{\phi^{j+m+k}(\theta)})) \\
\Rightarrow (\forall k \in \{0, \ldots, s - 1\} \ (p = x^s_{\phi^{j+m+k}(\theta)} = x^r_{\phi^{j+m+k}(\theta)}))
\]

using \( x^r_{\phi^{j+m+k}(\theta)} = x^r_{\phi^{j+m+k+1}(\theta)} = \cdots = x^r_{\phi^{j+m+s-1}(\theta)} = p \) and the way of definition of \( x^r \) we have \( s < r \), thus \( s = r \), and \( \sigma_\phi^i(x^s) = \sigma_\phi^1(x^s) \) which leads to \( i = j \) by Step 1.

Using the above two steps \((\sigma_\phi^i(x^s))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\phi^j(x^s))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\phi^i(x^s))_{n \geq 1}, \ldots\) are pairwise disjoint infinite sequences which leads to \( \sigma(\sigma_\phi) = \infty \).

\( \square \)

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( W(\varphi) = \emptyset \) and \( \varphi \) is not quasi-periodic, then \( \sigma(\sigma_\phi) = \infty \).

**Proof.** Since \( W(\varphi) = \emptyset \), for all \( \alpha \in \Gamma \), \( \{\varphi^n(\alpha) : n \geq 0\} \) is finite. Since \( \varphi \) is not quasi-periodic we have sup \( \{\{\varphi^n(\alpha) : n \geq 0\} : \alpha \in \Gamma\} = \infty \). Thus there exist \( \theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_i \in \Gamma \) such that for all \( i \geq 1 \) the set \( \{\varphi, \varphi^2(\theta_1), \ldots, \varphi^i(\theta_i)\} \) has elements, moreover for all \( j \neq i \) we have \( \{\theta_1, \varphi(\theta_2), \ldots, \varphi(\theta_i)\} \cap \{\theta_j, \varphi(\theta_j), \ldots, \varphi^j(\theta_j)\} = \emptyset \).

For \( n \geq 1 \) suppose \( u_n \) is the nth prime number and choose distinct \( p, q \in X \), now for \( m \geq 1 \) let:

\[
x^m_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} p & \alpha \in \{\varphi^n(\theta_{u_m}) : t \geq 1, 1 \leq n < u_m^t\}, \\ q & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

so:

\[
(p, q) = (x^1_{\varphi(\theta_2)}, x^1_{\varphi^2(\theta_2)}) \\
(p, p, q) = (x^1_{\varphi(\theta_3)}, x^1_{\varphi^2(\theta_3)}, x^1_{\varphi^3(\theta_3)}) \\
(p, p, p, p, p, p, q) = (x^1_{\varphi(\theta_4)}, x^1_{\varphi^2(\theta_4)}, x^1_{\varphi^3(\theta_4)}, x^1_{\varphi^4(\theta_4)}, x^1_{\varphi^5(\theta_4)}, x^1_{\varphi^6(\theta_4)}, x^1_{\varphi^7(\theta_4)}) \\
\vdots \\
(p, p, p, p, p, q) = (x^1_{\varphi(\theta_s)}, x^1_{\varphi^2(\theta_s)}, x^1_{\varphi^3(\theta_s)}, x^1_{\varphi^4(\theta_s)}, x^1_{\varphi^5(\theta_s)}, x^1_{\varphi^6(\theta_s)}, x^1_{\varphi^7(\theta_s)}, x^1_{\varphi^8(\theta_s)}) \\
\vdots \\
(p, p, p, p, p, q) = (x^1_{\varphi(\theta_{u_m})}, x^1_{\varphi^2(\theta_{u_m})}, x^1_{\varphi^3(\theta_{u_m})}, x^1_{\varphi^4(\theta_{u_m})}, x^1_{\varphi^5(\theta_{u_m})}, x^1_{\varphi^6(\theta_{u_m})}, x^1_{\varphi^7(\theta_{u_m})}, x^1_{\varphi^8(\theta_{u_m})}) \\
\vdots \\
(q, \ldots, q, \ldots, q) = (x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}, x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}, \ldots, x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}) \\
(q, \ldots, q, \ldots, q) = (x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}, x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}, \ldots, x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}) \\
(q, \ldots, q, \ldots, q) = (x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}, x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}, \ldots, x^m_{\varphi^m(\theta_{u_m})}) \\
\vdots \\
\]

For \( m \geq 1 \), let \( x^m = (x^m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \). Now we have the following steps:

**Step 1.** For \( m \geq 1 \), the sequence \((\sigma_\phi^n(x^m))_{n \geq 1}\) is one-to-one: Consider \( j \geq 1 \)
with $\sigma_\varphi^i(x^m) = \sigma_\varphi^j(x^m)$, choose $t, l \geq 1$ such that $j + l = u^t_m$, so:

$$\sigma_\varphi^i(x^m) = \sigma_\varphi^j(x^m) \Rightarrow (\forall \alpha \in \Gamma (x^m_\varphi(\alpha) = x^m_\varphi(\alpha)))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall k \geq 0 \forall s \geq 1 (x^m_{\varphi^{i+k}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})) = x^m_{\varphi^{i+k}(\theta^m_{u^t_m}))$$

$$\Rightarrow (x^m_{\varphi^{i+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})) = x^m_{\varphi^{i+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})) = x^m_{\varphi^{j+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})) = q)$$

using $x^m_{\varphi^{i+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})) = q$ and $1 \leq i + l \leq j + l = u^t_m$ considering the way of definition of $x^m$ we have $i + l = u^t_m = j + l$, thus $i = j$ and the sequence $(\sigma_\varphi^n(x^m))_{n \geq 1}$ is one-to-one.

**Step 2.** $(\sigma_\varphi^n(x^1))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^2))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^3))_{n \geq 1}, \ldots$ are pairwise disjoint sequences: Consider $r, m \geq 1$ and $i \geq j \geq 1$ with $\sigma_\varphi^i(x^m) = \sigma_\varphi^j(x^r)$. Choose $t, l \geq 1$ with $i + l = u^t_m - 1$, so:

$$\sigma_\varphi^i(x^m) = \sigma_\varphi^j(x^r) \Rightarrow (\forall \alpha \in \Gamma (x^m_\varphi(\alpha) = x^r_\varphi(\alpha)))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall k \geq 0 \forall s \geq 1 (x^m_{\varphi^{i+k}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})) = x^r_{\varphi^{i+k}(\theta^m_{u^t_m}))$$

$$\Rightarrow p = x^m_{\varphi^{i+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})} = x^r_{\varphi^{i+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi^{i+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m}) \in \{\varphi^v(u^v_w) : w \geq 1, 1 \leq v < u^w_m \}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists w \geq 1 (\varphi^{j+l}(\theta^m_{u^t_m}) \in \{\varphi^v(u^v_w) : 1 \leq v < u^w_m \}))$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists w \geq 1 \{\varphi^v(\theta^m_{u^t_m}) : 1 \leq v < u^t_m \} \cap \{\varphi^v(u^v_w) : 1 \leq v < u^w_m \})$$

(since $1 \leq j + l \leq i + l < u^t_m$)

$$\Rightarrow (\exists w \geq 1 u^t_m = u^w_m ) \text{ (use the way of choosing } \theta_s s)$$

$$\Rightarrow u_m = u_r \text{ (since } u_m \text{ and } u_r \text{ are prime numbers})$$

$$\Rightarrow m = r$$

thus $m = r$ and $\sigma_\varphi^i(x^m) = \sigma_\varphi^j(x^m)$ which leads to $i = j$ by Step 1.

By the above two steps $(\sigma_\varphi^n(x^1))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^2))_{n \geq 1}, (\sigma_\varphi^n(x^3))_{n \geq 1}, \ldots$ are pairwise disjoint infinite sequences which leads to $\vartheta(\sigma_\varphi) = \infty$. \hfill \qed

**Theorem 2.4.** The following statements are equivalent:

1. $W(\sigma_\varphi) = \emptyset$ (i.e., $\vartheta(\sigma_\varphi) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) = 0$),
2. $\varphi$ is quasi-periodic,
3. $\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) < \infty$ (i.e., $\vartheta(\sigma_\varphi) < \infty$).

**Proof.** “(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)” Suppose $W(\sigma_\varphi) = \emptyset$, thus by Lemma 2.1 we have $W(\varphi) = \emptyset$. Since $W(\sigma_\varphi) = \emptyset$, we have $\vartheta(\sigma_\varphi) = 0$. Using $\vartheta(\sigma_\varphi) = 0$, $W(\varphi) = \emptyset$ and Lemma 2.3, $\varphi$ is quasi-periodic.

“(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)” If there exist $n > m \geq 1$ with $\varphi^n = \varphi^m$, then for all $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma$ we have $\sigma_\varphi^m((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (x_{\varphi^n(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = (x_{\varphi^m(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = \sigma_\varphi^m((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$ which shows $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \notin W(\sigma_\varphi)$.

“(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2)” By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, if $\varphi$ is not quasi-periodic, then $\vartheta(\sigma_\varphi) = \infty$. \hfill \qed

**Corollary 2.5.** By Theorem 2.4 we have:

$$\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \varphi \text{ is quasi-periodic} , \\
\infty & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases}$$
3. Contravariant set-theoretical entropy of $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ let $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ if and only if there exists $n \geq 1$ with $\varphi^n(\alpha) = \varphi^n(\beta)$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence relation on $\Gamma$, moreover it’s evident that $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ if and only if $\varphi(\alpha) \mathcal{R} \varphi(\beta)$. In this section we prove that for all $x \in X^\Gamma$, $\sigma_\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is finite, if and only if either $\Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma)$ or “$X$ and $\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)$ are finite”. Moreover if for all $x \in X^\Gamma$, $\sigma_\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is finite, then $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_\varphi) \in \{0, \infty\}$ with $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_\varphi) = 0$ if and only if there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\varphi^n(\alpha) \mathcal{R} \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

**Remark 3.1.** The generalized shift $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$ is on-to-one (resp. onto) if and only if $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is onto (resp. one-to-one) [3, 2].

**Note 3.2.** Consider $\tilde{\varphi} : \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}}$ and note that (for $\alpha \in \Gamma$ let $[\alpha]_\mathcal{R} = \{y \in \Gamma : x \mathcal{R} y\}$ and $\Gamma_\mathcal{R} = \{[\lambda]_\mathcal{R} : \lambda \in \Gamma\}$):

$$f : \frac{\text{sc}(\sigma_\varphi)}{(x_\alpha)_\alpha \in \Gamma \rightarrow (x_\alpha)_{[\alpha]_\mathcal{R} \in \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}}}} \rightarrow X^\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}}$$

is well-defined, since for $(x_\alpha)_\alpha \in \text{sc}(\sigma_\varphi)$ and $\theta, \beta \in \Gamma$ with $\theta \mathcal{R} \beta$, there exists $n \geq 1$ and $(y_\alpha)_\alpha \in X^\Gamma$ with $\varphi^n(\theta) = \varphi^n(\beta)$ and $(y_\alpha)_\alpha \in \Gamma$; $\sigma_\varphi((y_\alpha)_\alpha) = \sigma_\varphi^\prime((x_\alpha)_\alpha) = (x_\alpha)_\alpha \in \Gamma$, thus $x_\theta = y_\varphi^n(\theta) = y_\varphi^n(\beta) = x_\beta$. Now we have:

1. $f : \text{sc}(\sigma_\varphi) \rightarrow X^\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}}$ is one-to-one.
2. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{sc}(\sigma_\varphi) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\varphi \mid = \sigma(\varphi)} & \text{sc}(\sigma_\varphi) \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
X^\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\varphi} & X^\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}}
\end{array}$$

3. Using Remark 3.1, since $\tilde{\varphi} : \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}}$ is one-to-one, $\sigma_\varphi : X^\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow X^\frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{R}}$ is onto.

**Lemma 3.3.** The generalized shift $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$ is finite fibre, if and only if at least one of the following conditions hold:

- $X$ and $\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)$ are finite,
- $\Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma)$.

**Proof.** First suppose for all $x \in X^\Gamma$, $\sigma_\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is finite and $\Gamma \neq \varphi(\Gamma)$. Choose $p \in X$, for all $q = (q_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)} \in X^{\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)}$ let:

$$x^p_\alpha := \begin{cases} q_\alpha & \alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma), \\ p & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

then $\sigma_\varphi((x^p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$. So $X^{\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \sigma_\varphi^{-1}((p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$ is one-to-one, using finiteness of $\sigma_\varphi^{-1}((p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$, $X^{\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)}$ is finite too. Both sets $\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma), X$ are finite since $X^{\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)}$ is finite, $X$ has at least two elements and $\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$.

Conversely, if $\Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma)$, then by Remark 3.1, $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$ is one-to-one, so for all $x \in X^\Gamma$ the set $\sigma_\varphi^{-1}(x)$ has at most one element and is finite. Now suppose $X$
and $\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)$ are finite. For all $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma$ we have:

\[
(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \sigma^{-1}(\sigma((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \sigma((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})
\]

\[
(y_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = (x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}
\]

\[
\forall \beta \in \varphi(\Gamma) y_\beta = x_\beta
\]

\[
(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \{(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma : \forall \alpha \in \varphi(\Gamma) z_\alpha = x_\alpha\}
\]

Hence

\[
|\sigma^{-1}(\sigma((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}))| \leq |\{(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma : \forall \alpha \in \varphi(\Gamma) z_\alpha = x_\alpha\}| = |X^\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)| < \infty.
\]

Thus for all $w \in X^\Gamma$, $\sigma^{-1}(w)$ is finite.

**Lemma 3.4.** If $\sigma : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ is finite fibre, then $\sigma : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ is finite fibre.

**Proof.** Suppose for all $w \in X^\Gamma$, $\sigma^{-1}(w)$ is finite, then by Lemma 3.3 we have the following cases:

**Case 1.** $\Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma)$: In this case we have $\varphi(\Gamma) = \{[\varphi(\alpha)]_R : \alpha \in \Gamma\} = \{[\alpha]_R : \alpha \in \varphi(\Gamma)\} = \{[\alpha]_R : \alpha \in \Gamma\} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma}$.

**Case 2.** $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)$ are finite: For all $A \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)$ we have $A \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)$ which leads to $\left|\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma} \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)\right| \leq \left|\bigcup \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma} \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)\right)\right| \leq \left|\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)\right|$ and $\Gamma \setminus \varphi(\Gamma)$ is finite in this case.

Using the above two cases and Lemma 3.3, $\sigma$ is finite fibre. \qed

**Lemma 3.5.** We have $a(\sigma) = a(\sigma_\varphi)$. In particular by Lemma 3.4, if $\sigma : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ is finite fibre, then $\ent_{\text{cset}}(\sigma) = \ent_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_\varphi)$.

**Proof.** For $s \geq 1$ suppose $(y_1^n)_{n \geq 1}, \ldots, (y_s^n)_{n \geq 1}$ are pairwise disjoint infinite $\sigma_\varphi$-anti-orbit sequences, then for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ we have $y_i^n \in \cset(\sigma_\varphi)$. Using Note 3.2, $\hat{f}$ is one-to-one, thus $(\hat{f}(y_1^n))_{n \geq 1}, \ldots, (\hat{f}(y_s^n))_{n \geq 1}$ are infinite pairwise disjoint sequences in $X^{\hat{\Gamma}}$, moreover for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $n \geq 1$ we have $\sigma_\varphi(\hat{f}(y_{i,n}^n)) = \hat{f}(\sigma_\varphi(y_{i,n}^n))$. Thus $(\hat{f}(y_1^n))_{n \geq 1}, \ldots, (\hat{f}(y_s^n))_{n \geq 1}$ are infinite pairwise disjoint infinite $\sigma_\varphi$-anti-orbit sequences. Therefore $a(\sigma_\varphi) \geq a(\sigma_\varphi)$.

Now for $x = (x_{\beta})_{\beta \in \hat{\Gamma}} \in X^{\hat{\Gamma}}$ let $w^x = (x_{[\alpha]_R})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma$. So for all $x, y \in X^{\hat{\Gamma}}$ if $w^x = w^y$, then $x = y$. Moreover for $x = (x_{\beta})_{\beta \in \hat{\Gamma}} \in X^{\hat{\Gamma}}$ we have:

\[
w^{x_\varphi(\alpha)}(x) = w^{(x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\beta \in \hat{\Gamma}}} = (x_{\varphi([\alpha]_R)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = (x_{[\varphi(\alpha)]_R})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = \sigma(\sigma_\varphi(w^x)) = \sigma_\varphi(w^x).
\]

For $t \geq 1$ suppose $(y_1^t)_{n \geq 1}, \ldots, (y_s^t)_{n \geq 1}$ are pairwise disjoint infinite $\sigma_\varphi$-anti-orbit sequences, then $(w^t_{y_1^t})_{n \geq 1}, \ldots, (w^t_{y_s^t})_{n \geq 1}$ are pairwise disjoint infinite $\sigma_\varphi$-anti-orbit sequences. Thus $a(\sigma_\varphi) \geq a(\sigma_\varphi)$ \qed

**Lemma 3.6.** Suppose $\psi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is one-to-one and has at least one non-periodic point, then $a(\sigma_\psi) = \infty$, thus if $\sigma : X^\Gamma \to X^\Gamma$ is finite fibre too, then $\ent_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_\psi) = \infty$.

**Proof.** Suppose $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is one-to-one, and $\theta \in \Gamma$ is a non-periodic point of $\varphi$. Choose distinct $p, q \in X$ and for $m, n \geq 1$ let:

\[
(x_m^m(0), x_n^m(1), x_n^m(2), \ldots) := (p, \overbrace{p, \ldots, p}^{\text{m times}}, q, \overbrace{q, \ldots, q}^{\text{n times}}, p, p, \ldots),
\]
now let:
\[
z_{\alpha}^{(n,m)} := \begin{cases} 
\frac{x_n^m(k)}{p} & k \geq 0, \alpha = \varphi^k(\theta), \\
\text{otherwise} & 
\end{cases}
\]

Then for \(z^{(n,m)} := (z_{\alpha}^{(n,m)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}\), considering the sequences
\[
(z^{(1,m)})_{m \geq 1}, (z^{(2,m)})_{m \geq 1}, (z^{(3,m)})_{m \geq 1}, 
\]
we have:

- For \(k, n, i, j \geq 1\) if \(z^{(n,i)} = z^{(k,j)}\), then:
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  z^{(n,i)} &= z^{(k,j)} \\
  \Rightarrow (\forall \alpha \in \Gamma \, z_{\alpha}^{(n,i)} &= z_{\alpha}^{(k,j)}) \\
  \Rightarrow (\varphi^{(n,i)}(\theta), \varphi^{(k,j)}(\theta), \varphi^{(m,i)}(\theta), \varphi^{(m,j)}(\theta)) = (\varphi^{(n,i)}(\theta), \varphi^{(k,j)}(\theta), \varphi^{(m,i)}(\theta), \varphi^{(m,j)}(\theta)) \\
  \Rightarrow (x_{n}^{t}(0), x_{n}^{t}(1), \cdots) = (x_{k}^{t}(0), x_{k}^{t}(1), \cdots) \\
  \Rightarrow (p_{1}, \cdots, p_{1}, q_{1}, \cdots, q_{1}, p_{i}, \cdots) = (p_{1}, \cdots, p_{1}, q_{1}, \cdots, q_{1}, p_{i}, \cdots) \\
  \Rightarrow (i = j \land n = k)
  \end{align*}
\]

Thus \((z^{(1,m)})_{m \geq 1}, (z^{(2,m)})_{m \geq 1}, (z^{(3,m)})_{m \geq 1}, \ldots\) are pairwise disjoint infinite sequences.

- For all \(n, m \geq 1\) and \(\alpha \in \Gamma\) we have:
  \[
  z_{\varphi^{(n,m+1)}}^{(n,m+1)} = q \Leftrightarrow \varphi^{(n,m+1)} \in \{\varphi^{(i)} : m + 1 \leq i < m + n\} \\
  \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \{\varphi^{(i)} : m < i \leq m + n\} \\
  \Leftrightarrow z_{\alpha}^{(n,m+1)} = q
  \]

thus \(\sigma_{\varphi}(z^{(n,m+1)}) = z^{(n,m)}\) and \((z^{(n,k)})_{k \geq 1}\) is an anti-orbit

Hence \((z^{(1,m)})_{m \geq 1}, (z^{(2,m)})_{m \geq 1}, (z^{(3,m)})_{m \geq 1}, \ldots\) are pairwise disjoint infinite \(\sigma_{\varphi}\)-anti-orbit sequences and \(a(\sigma_{\varphi}) = \infty\).

\[\quad\]

**Note 3.7.** Suppose all points of \(\Gamma\) are periodic points of \(\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma\), then \(\sigma_{\varphi} : X^{\Gamma} \rightarrow X^{\Gamma}\) is bijective (note that \(\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma\) is bijective and apply Remark 3.1) and using Corollary 2.5 we have:

\[
\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\varphi}) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\varphi}^{-1}) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\varphi^{-1}}) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \exists n \geq 1 \varphi^{n} = \text{id}_{\Gamma}, \\
\infty & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

where for arbitrary \(A\) we have \(\text{id}_{A} : A \rightarrow A\).

**Corollary 3.8.** Suppose \(\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma\) is one-to-one and \(\sigma_{\varphi} : X^{\Gamma} \rightarrow X^{\Gamma}\) is finite fibre, then
\[
\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\varphi}) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\varphi^{-1}}) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \exists n \geq 1 \varphi^{n} = \text{id}_{\Gamma}, \\
\infty & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Use Corollary 2.5, Lemma 3.6 and Note 3.7.

**Corollary 3.9.** If \(\sigma_{\varphi} : X^{\Gamma} \rightarrow X^{\Gamma}\) is finite fibre, then:
\[
\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\varphi}) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\varphi}^{-1}) = \infty\
\]

**Proof.** First we recall that \(\tilde{\varphi} : \frac{X^{\Gamma}}{\text{id}_{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \frac{X^{\Gamma}}{\text{id}_{\Gamma}}\) is one-to-one by Note 3.2. Use Corollary 3.8 and Lemma 3.5 to complete the proof.
4. Other entropies: counterexamples

The main aim of this section is to compare positive topological, algebraic, set-theoretical and contravariant set-theoretical entropies in generalized shifts.

Remark 4.1. If $G$ is an abelian group, $\theta : G \to G$ is a group homomorphism and $H$ is a finite subset of $G$, then $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\theta, H) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(|H \cup \theta(H) \cup \cdots \cup \theta^{n-1}(H)|)}{n}$ exists [5, 6] and we call $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\theta) := \sup\{\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\theta, H) : H$ is a finite subgroup of $G\}$ the algebraic entropy of $\theta$. Moreover if $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is finite fibre and $X$ is a finite nontrivial group with identity $e$, then $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi |_{\hat{\Gamma}} X) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\varphi) \log |X|$ (as it has been mentioned in [1, Theorem 4.14] $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi |_{\hat{\Gamma}} X)$ is equal to the product of string number of $\varphi$ and $\log |X|$ this result has been evaluated in [5, Theorem 7.3.3] in the above form), where $\hat{\Gamma} X = \{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma : \exists \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma \forall \alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} (x_\alpha = e)\}$. Also by [7], $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi) \in (0, \infty)$ with $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi) = 0$ if and only if there exists $n > m \geq 1$ with $\varphi^n = \varphi^m$ (thus $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$ by Corollary 2.5).

Remark 4.2. Suppose $Y$ is a compact topological space and $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ are open covers of $Y$, let $\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V} := \{U \cap V : U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ and $N(\mathcal{U}) := \min\{|W| : W$ is a finite subcover of $\mathcal{U}\}$, Now suppose $T : Y \to Y$ is continuous, then $\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(T, \mathcal{U}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log N(\mathcal{U} \vee T^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \vee \cdots \vee T^{-(n-1)}(\mathcal{U}))}{n}$ exists [8] and we call $\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(T) := \sup\{\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(T, \mathcal{W}) : \mathcal{W}$ is a finite open cover of $Y\}$ the topological entropy of $T$. If $X$ is a finite discrete topological space with at least two elements and $X^\Gamma$ considered with product (pointwise convergence) topology, then $\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi) = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\varphi) \log |X|$ [2].

In the rest let:

- $\mathcal{C}$ is the collection of all generalized shifts $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \to Y^\Gamma$ such that $Y$ is a nontrivial finite discrete topological space (so $2 \leq |Y| < \infty$), $\Gamma$ is a nonempty set and both maps $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$, $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \to Y^\Gamma$ are finite fibre.
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{top}}$ is the collection of all elements of $\mathcal{C}$ like $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \to Y^\Gamma$ such that $\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{alg}}$ is the collection of all elements of $\mathcal{C}$ like $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \to Y^\Gamma$ such that $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi |_{\hat{\Gamma}} Y) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cset}}$ is the collection of all elements of $\mathcal{C}$ like $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \to Y^\Gamma$ such that $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_\varphi) > 0$.
- $\mathcal{C}_{\text{set}}$ is the collection of all elements of $\mathcal{C}$ like $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \to Y^\Gamma$ such that $\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) > 0$ (i.e., $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi) > 0$ by Remark 4.1).

Lemma 4.3. We have $\mathcal{C}_{\text{top}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{cset}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{set}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{alg}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{set}}$. As a matter of fact for an element of $\mathcal{C}$ like $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \to Y^\Gamma$ we have:

$$\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi) \leq \text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_\varphi) \leq \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$$ and $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi |_{\hat{\Gamma}} Y) \leq \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$.

Proof.

- “$\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi) \leq \text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_\varphi)$” Suppose $\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi) > 0$, then $0 = o(\varphi) \log |\mathcal{W}| = \text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\varphi) \log |\mathcal{W}| = \text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi) > 0$, thus $o(\varphi) > 0$ and $W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Choose $\alpha \in W(\varphi)$, then $\{\varphi^n(\alpha)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a one-to-one sequence thus for all $n > m \geq 0$, $[\varphi^n(\alpha)]_R \neq [\varphi^m(\alpha)]_R$, so for all $n \geq 1$
we have $\bar{\varphi}^n([a]_\mathbb{R}) \neq [a]_\mathbb{R}$, hence by Corollary 3.9, $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) > 0$ and $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) = \infty($ or $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$).

- “$\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) \leq \text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$” Suppose $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) \neq \infty$, then $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) = 0$ and there exists $n > m \geq 1$ with $\varphi^n = \varphi^m$, thus $\bar{\varphi}^n = \bar{\varphi}^m$, and using the fact that $\bar{\varphi}$ is one-to-one we have $\bar{\varphi}^{n-m} = \text{id}_\mathbb{R}$, thus $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) = 0$ by Corollary 3.9.

- “$\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi | \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}) \leq \text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$” Suppose $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi | \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}) > 0$, then $\alpha(\varphi) \log |Y| = \text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\varphi) \log |Y| = \text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi | \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}) > 0$, thus $\alpha(\varphi) > 0$ and there exists a one-to-one anti-orbit sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $\Gamma$. For all $n > m \geq 1$ we have $\varphi^n(\alpha_{n+m}) = \alpha_m \neq \alpha_n = \varphi^m(\alpha_{n+m})$ and $\varphi^n \neq \varphi^m$, thus $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi) = \infty(\geq \text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi | \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}))$ by Corollary 2.5.

\[ \square \]

**Table 4.4.** We have the following table, in which the mark “$\sqrt{p}$” means $p \leq q$ for the corresponding case for all $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \rightarrow Y^\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$, also the mark “$\times$” indicates that there exists $\sigma_\varphi : Y^\Gamma \rightarrow Y^\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $p > q$ in the corresponding case.

| $p$ | $q$ | $\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi)$ | $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi | \frac{\partial}{\partial Y})$ | $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$ | $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$ |
|-----|-----|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| $\text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_\varphi)$ | $\sqrt{p}$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{q}$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{q}$ |
| $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_\varphi | \frac{\partial}{\partial Y})$ | $x$ | $\sqrt{q}$ | $\times$ | $\sqrt{q}$ | $\sqrt{q}$ |
| $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\sqrt{q}$ | $\sqrt{q}$ | $\sqrt{q}$ |
| $\text{ent}_{c\text{set}}(\sigma_\varphi)$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $\sqrt{q}$ | $\sqrt{q}$ |

**Proof.** For all “$\sqrt{p}$” marks use Lemma 4.3. In order to establish “$\times$” marks use the following counterexamples.

Define $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with the following diagrams:

\[ \lambda_1 \] \[
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \quad \vdots \\
3 \quad 1 \\
2 \quad 2 \\
1 \quad 3 \\
0 \quad \vdots
\end{array}
\]

\[ \lambda_2 \] \[
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \quad \vdots \\
-3 \quad -2 \\
-2 \quad -2 \\
-3 \quad \vdots \\
0 \quad \vdots
\end{array}
\]

\[ \lambda_3 \] \[
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \quad \vdots \\
-3 \quad -2 \\
-2 \quad -2 \\
-3 \quad \vdots \\
0 \quad \vdots
\end{array}
\]

\[ \lambda_4 \] \[
\begin{array}{c}
7 \quad 8 \\
9 \quad 10 \\
\vdots \quad \vdots
\end{array}
\]
Diagram 4.5. We have the following diagram:

where by “Ei” we mean counterexample \( \sigma_{\mu_i} : G^{\Lambda} \to G^{\Lambda} \) for finite discrete abelian group \( G \) with \(|G| \geq 2\).

- for \( \Lambda_1 := \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \mu_1 := \lambda_2 \) as in Table 4.4, we have \( \text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_{\mu_1}) = 2 \log |G| > 0 \) and \( \text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_{\mu_1} |\nolimits_{\Lambda_1} G) = 0 \),
- for \( \Lambda_2 := \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \mu_2 := \lambda_1 \) as in Table 4.4, we have \( \text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_{\mu_2} |\nolimits_{\Lambda_2} G) = 2 \log |G| > 0 \) and \( \text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_{\mu_2}) = 0 \),
- for \( \Lambda_3 := \mathbb{Z} \times \{0, 1\} \) and

\[
\mu_3(n, i) = \begin{cases} 
\mu_1(n) & i = 0, \\
\mu_2(n) & i = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

we have \( \text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_{\mu_3}) = \text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_{\mu_3} |\nolimits_{\Lambda_3} G) = 2 \log |G| > 0 \),

- for \( \Lambda_4 := \mathbb{N} \) and \( \mu_4 := \lambda_3 |\nolimits_{\mathbb{N}} \) we have \( \text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_{\mu_4} |\nolimits_{\Lambda_4} G) = \text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_{\mu_4}) = 0 \) and \( \text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_{\mu_4}) = \infty \),

- for \( \Lambda_5 := \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \mu_5(n) = -n \ (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \) we have \( \text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_{\mu_5}) = 0 \),
for $\Lambda_6 := \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_6 = (1, 2)(3, 4, 5)(6, 7, 8, 9)(10, 11, 12, 13, 14)\ldots$ we have $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_{\mu_6} \upharpoonright \oplus \mathcal{G}) = \text{ent}_{\text{top}}(\sigma_{\mu_6}) = 0$ and $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_{\mu_6}) = \infty$,

- for $\Lambda_7 = (\mathbb{N} \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Z} \times \{1\})$ and $\mu_7(n, i) = \begin{cases} \mu_6(n) & i = 0, \\ \mu_2(n) & i = 1, \end{cases}$
we have $\text{ent}_{\text{alg}}(\sigma_{\mu_7} \upharpoonright \oplus \mathcal{G}) = 2 \log |\mathcal{G}| > 0$, $\text{ent}_{\text{cset}}(\sigma_{\mu_7}) = \infty$, $\text{ent}_{\text{set}}(\sigma_{\mu_7}) = 0$.
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