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Abstract

The paper considers truncation errors for functions of the form $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = g(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j)$, i.e., errors of approximating $f$ by $f_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = g(\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j \xi_j)$, where the numbers $\xi_j$ converge to zero sufficiently fast and $x_j$’s are i.i.d. random variables. As explained in the introduction, functions $f$ of the form above appear in a number of important applications. To have positive results for possibly large classes of such functions, the paper provides sharp bounds on truncation errors in both the average and worst case settings. In the former case, the functions $g$ are from a Hilbert space $G$ endowed with a zero mean probability measure with a given covariance kernel. In the latter case, the functions $g$ are from a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, or a space of functions satisfying a H"older condition.
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in problems that require computation of the expectation of $g(X(t))$, where $X(t)$ is the value at time $t$ of a stochastic process $X$, and $g$ is a function from a given function space $G$.

Such a situation may, for example, occur in the context of mathematical finance, or when studying PDEs with random coefficients; the latter topic has attracted much interest recently in the field of quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) methods. To be more precise, the term $g(X(t))$, for a given and fixed time $t$, could be a quantity of interest obtained from the solution of a PDE in which one of the coefficients is modeled as a random field. We refer to [7] for a recent and detailed overview.

Let us in the following assume that $X$ can be expressed in terms of its Karhunen-Loève (cf. [8]) expansion,

$$X(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \psi_j(t),$$
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where \((\psi_j)_{j \geq 1}\) form an orthonormal basis and \((x_j)_{j \geq 1}\) are i.i.d. random variables with the corresponding probability measure denoted by \(\omega\). In this case, the expectation problem reduces to the integration of

\[
f(x) = g \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j \right) \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_j = \psi_j(t)
\]

with respect to \(\omega^N\), the countable product of \(\omega\).

As in [2, 5], the main focus of the paper is on the truncation errors, i.e., errors caused by replacing the infinite sum \(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j\) with the truncated sum \(\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j \xi_j\). Here we study how the truncation errors depend on \(k\) in the average case and worst case settings with respect to functions \(g\).

Throughout this paper we assume that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| < \infty.
\]

### 2 Average and Worst Case Settings

We consider two settings: the average and worst case settings for spaces \(G\) of functions \(g : D \to \mathbb{R}\), where \(D\) is an interval (possibly unbounded) in \(\mathbb{R}\). In the former setting, \(G\) is a Hilbert space endowed with a zero mean probability measure \(\mu\) whose covariance kernel is denoted by \(K_{\mu}^{\text{cov}}\). In the latter setting, the space \(G\) is either a reproducing kernel Hilbert space whose reproducing kernel is denoted by \(K_{\text{rep}}\), or a normed space of functions satisfying a Hölder condition.

Recall that the covariance kernel of a measure \(\mu\) on \(G\) is defined by

\[
K_{\mu}^{\text{cov}}(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(g(x) g(y)) = \int_{G} g(x) g(y) \mu(\text{d}g),
\]

and a reproducing kernel \(K_{\text{rep}}\) satisfies the following: \(K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, x) \in G\) for any \(x \in D\) and

\[
g(x) = \langle g, K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, x) \rangle_G \quad \text{for any} \quad x \in D \quad \text{and} \quad g \in G.
\]

Finally, in what we call the Hölder condition case, we assume that there are constants \(C > 0\) and \(\beta \in (0, 1]\) such that for any points \(x\) and \(y\) and any function \(g\) from \(G\) we have

\[
|g(x) - g(y)| \leq C \|g\|_G |x - y|^\beta.
\]

Let \(\omega\) denote the probability measure related to the random variables \(x_j\). To simplify the notation, we will often use

\[
Y_k = Y_k(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j \xi_j \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{\infty} = Y_\infty(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j,
\]
We would like to estimate the square average error of approximating the expectation of and the latter by

\[ Y_\infty - Y_k = \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty x_j \xi_j, \]

a quantity that plays a crucial role in the following considerations.

### 2.1 Average Case Setting

We assume that Fubini’s theorem holds, i.e.,

\[ \mathbb{E}_\mu \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} = \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \mathbb{E}_\mu. \]

We would like to estimate the square average error of approximating the expectation of \( g(Y_\infty) \) by the expectation of \( g(Y_k) \) over \( G \) as well as the expected square average error of approximating \( g(Y_\infty) \) by \( g(Y_k) \). The former error is given by

\[
e_1^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) := \left[ \mathbb{E}_\mu \left( (\mathbb{E}_{\omega^N}(g(Y_\infty)) - \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N}(g(Y_k)))^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}
= \left[ \int_G \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (g(Y_\infty(x)) - g(Y_k(x))) \omega^N(dx) \right)^2 \mu(dg) \right]^{1/2},
\]

and the latter by

\[
e_2^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) := \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \mathbb{E}_\mu \left( (g(Y_\infty) - g(Y_k))^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}
= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_G (g(Y_\infty(x)) - g(Y_k(x)))^2 \mu(dg) \omega^N(dx) \right]^{1/2}.
\]

**Remark 1** Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the innermost integral in \( e_1^{\text{trnc}} \), it is easy to see that

\[ e_1^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) \leq e_2^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega). \]

Hence, in the following we will mainly concentrate on \( e_2^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) \). Upper bounds on \( e_2^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) \) also apply to \( e_1^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) \).

**Proposition 2** We have

\[
e_1^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ K^{\text{cov}}(Y_\infty(x), Y_\infty(z)) - 2 K^{\text{cov}}(Y_\infty(x), Y_k(z)) + K^{\text{cov}}(Y_k(x), Y_k(z)) \right] \omega^N(dx) \omega^N(dz) \right]^{1/2}.
\]

and

\[
e_2^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^{\text{cov}}_\mu, \omega) = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ K^{\text{cov}}(Y_\infty(x), Y_\infty(x)) - 2 K^{\text{cov}}(Y_\infty(x), Y_k(x)) + K^{\text{cov}}(Y_k(x), Y_k(x)) \right] \omega^N(dx) \right]^{1/2}.
\]
Proof. We have
\[
\left( e_{1 \text{trnc}}(k; K_{\text{cov}}^\mu, \omega) \right)^2 = \mathbb{E}_\mu \left[ \left( E_{\omega N}(g(Y_\infty)) \right)^2 - 2 E_{\omega N}(g(Y_\infty)) E_{\omega N}(g(Y_k)) + (E_{\omega N}(g(Y_k)))^2 \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_\infty(x), Y_\infty(z)) - 2 K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_\infty(x), Y_k(z)) \right.
\]
\[
+ \left. K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_k(x), Y_k(z)) \right] \omega^N(dz) \omega^N(dx)
\]
and
\[
\left( e_{2 \text{trnc}}(k; K_{\text{cov}}^\mu, \omega) \right)^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\omega N} \mathbb{E}_\mu \left( g(Y_\infty) g(Y_\infty) - 2 g(Y_\infty) g(Y_k) + g(Y_k) g(Y_k) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{\omega N} \left( K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_\infty, Y_\infty) - 2 K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_\infty, Y_k) + K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_k, Y_k) \right)
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_\infty(x), Y_\infty(x)) - 2 K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_\infty(x), Y_k(x)) + K_{\text{cov}}^\mu(Y_k(x), Y_k(x)) \right) \omega^N(dx).
\]

2.2 Worst Case Setting

In the worst case setting, we are interested in the worst case truncation error defined by
\[
\sup_{\|g\|_G \leq 1} \left( \mathbb{E}_{\omega N} (g(Y_\infty) - g(Y_k))^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]
In the reproducing kernel Hilbert space setting, we will denote the above truncation error by
\[
e_{3 \text{trnc}}(k; K_{\text{rep}}^\mu, \omega),
\]
and in the Hölder’s condition setting we will denote the error by
\[
e_{3 \text{trnc}}(k; G, \omega).
\]

2.2.1 Reproducing Kernel Setting

From the reproducing kernel property and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have
\[
|g(Y_\infty) - g(Y_k)| = |\langle g, K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, Y_\infty) - K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, Y_k) \rangle_G| \leq \|g\|_G \|K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, Y_\infty) - K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, Y_k)\|_G (4)
\]
and
\[
\|K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, Y_\infty) - K_{\text{rep}}(\cdot, Y_k)\|_G^2 = K_{\text{rep}}(Y_\infty, Y_\infty) + K_{\text{rep}}(Y_k, Y_k) - 2 K_{\text{rep}}(Y_\infty, Y_k).
\]
Since the inequality (4) is sharp, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 3 We have
\[
e_3^{\text{trnc}}(k; K^\text{rep}, \omega) = [E_{\omega^N}(K^\text{rep}(Y_{\infty}, Y_{\infty}) + K^\text{rep}(Y_k, Y_k) - 2 K^\text{rep}(Y_{\infty}, Y_k))]^{1/2}
= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (K^\text{rep}(Y_{\infty}(x), Y_{\infty}(x)) + K^\text{rep}(Y_k(x), Y_k(x)) - 2 K^\text{rep}(Y_{\infty}(x), Y_k(x))) \omega^N(dx)\right]^{1/2}.
\]

Remark 4 Observe that the dependence of \(e_2^{\text{trnc}}\) on the covariance kernel \(K^\text{cov}_\mu\), see (3) is the same as the dependence of \(e_3^{\text{trnc}}\) on the reproducing kernel \(K^\text{rep}\), see (5). Moreover, any covariance kernel is also a reproducing kernel. This is why we will estimate the truncation errors
\[
e_3^{\text{trnc}}(k; K, \omega) = [E_{\omega^N}(K(Y_{\infty}, Y_{\infty}) + K(Y_k, Y_k) - 2 K(Y_{\infty}, Y_k))]^{1/2},
\]
for different kernels \(K\) representing either covariance kernels of probability measures \(\mu\) or reproducing kernels of the spaces \(G\) generated by those kernels.

2.2.2 Hölder Condition Setting

Due to the assumption of a Hölder condition, we immediately get
\[
e_3^{\text{trnc}}(k; G, \omega) \leq C \left[ E_{\omega^N}(|Y_{\infty} - Y_k|^{2\beta}) \right]^{1/2} = C \left[ E_{\omega^N} \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j \right)^{2\beta} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

A primary example of such spaces is provided by the following. For \(p \in (1, \infty]\), let \(G = G_p\) be the space of functions \(g\) on \(D = [0, T]\) that are absolutely continuous with \(g' \in L_p\). The norm in the space \(G_p\) is defined by
\[
\|g\|_{G_p} = \left( |g(0)|^p + \|g'\|_{L_p}^p \right)^{1/p}.
\]

Here \(T\) can be any positive number or \(T = \infty\). In the latter case \(D = \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)\). Note that for \(p = 2\) the subspace of \(G_2\) with \(g(0) = 0\) is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with \(K^\text{rep}(x, y) = \min(x, y)\). It is considered in the next section.

Since \(g(x) = g(0) + \int_0^x g'(t) dt\) for any \(g \in G\), we have for any \(x, y \in D\) with \(x \geq y\) that
\[
|g(x) - g(y)| = \left| \int_D g'(t) ((x-t)_+^0 - (y-t)_+^0) dt \right| \leq \|g'\|_{L_p} (x-y)^{1/p^*}.
\]

Here \(p^*\) is the conjugate of \(p\) and, in particular, \(p^* = 1\) if \(p = \infty\). Since the Hölder inequality used above is sharp, we conclude that functions from \(G_p\) satisfy a Hölder condition with \(C = 1\) and \(\beta = 1/p^*\).

Of course, the same holds if the domain \(D = [-T, T]\) or if it is any interval containing 0. Then the subspace of \(G_2\) with \(g(0) = 0\) is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel \(K^\text{rep}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(|x| + |y| - |x-y|)\).
3 Estimates of the expectation of $|Y_\infty - Y_k|^M$

We now elaborate on estimating the expectation of $|Y_\infty - Y_k|^M$ with respect to $\omega^N$. Estimates of this particular expectation are required in order to find good bounds on $c_{k}^{trnc}(k; G, \omega)$ via (7). We will see in Section 4 that such estimates will be also helpful in obtaining good bounds on $c_{k}^{trnc}(k; K, \omega)$ in (3).

In the following let

\[ m_r := \mathbb{E}_\omega(|x|^r) = \int_\mathbb{R} |x|^r \omega(dx), \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{N}. \]  

First we consider the case $M = 2\beta$ for $\beta \in (0, 1]$.

**Proposition 5** For $\beta \leq 1/2$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} (|Y_\infty - Y_k|^{2\beta}) \leq \left( m_1 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^{2\beta}. \]  

(9)

In general, for any $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} (|Y_\infty - Y_k|^{2\beta}) \leq \left( \left( \mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1) \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j \right)^2 + \text{Var}_\omega(x_1) \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j^2 \right)^{\beta}, \]

where $\text{Var}_\omega(x_1) = \mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1^2) - (\mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1))^2$. Moreover, if $x_1$ is a zero-mean random variable, i.e., $\mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1) = 0$, then

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} (|Y_\infty - Y_k|^{2\beta}) \leq \left( \mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1^2) \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j^2 \right)^{\beta}. \]  

(10)

**Proof.** If $2\beta \leq 1$ then, using Hölder’s inequality with $p = 1/(2\beta)$, we get

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \left( \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j \right|^{2\beta} \right) \leq \left( \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j \right|^{2\beta p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} 1^p \right)^{1/p^*} \]

\[ = \left( \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j \right| \right)^{2\beta} \left( m_1 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^{2\beta}, \]

as needed. In general (for $\beta \in (0, 1]$) we use Hölder’s inequality with $p = 1/\beta$ and get

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \left( \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j \right|^{2\beta} \right) \leq \left( \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} x_j \xi_j \right)^{2} \right)^{\beta}. \]

From here the remaining results follow easily. \qed
Example 6 We now illustrate the bounds (9) and (10) using uniform distribution on \([-1/2, 1/2]\) and standard normal distribution on \(\mathbb{R}\) for \(\omega\), and

\[ |\xi_j| \leq j^{-a} \text{ for } a > 1. \]

Note that for \(a > 1\) we have

\[ \frac{1}{(a - 1)(k + 1)^{a-1}} \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^a} \leq \frac{1}{(a - 1)(k + 1/2)^{a-1}}. \] (11)

Clearly, \(m_1 = 1/4\) and \(m_2 = 1/12\) for uniform distribution, and \(m_1 = \sqrt{2/\pi}, m_2 = 1\) for the normal distribution, and in both cases \(x_1\) is zero-mean. The estimates (7) and (9) together with (11) give the bound

\[ e_{\text{trnc}}^3(k; G, \omega) \leq C m_1^{\beta} (a - 1)^{\beta} (k + 1/2)^{\beta(a-1)}, \]

and (7) and (10) together with (11) give

\[ e_{\text{trnc}}^3(k; G, \omega) \leq C m_2^{\beta/2} (2a - 1)^{\beta/2} (k + 1/2)^{\beta(a-1/2)}, \]

where \(C\) is as in (7). Note that the second bound is slightly better with respect to the order of convergence in \(k\).

Now we estimate the expectation of \(|Y_\infty - Y_k|^M\) for positive integer exponents \(M\).

Proposition 7 For a positive integer \(M\), define

\[ C(M, \omega) := \max \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} m_{r_j} : r_j \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} r_j = M \text{ and } \ell \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\} \right\}, \] (12)

where \(m_r\) is as in (8). Then for any \(k \in \mathbb{N}_0\) we have

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N}(|Y_\infty - Y_k|^M) \leq C(M, \omega) \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^M. \]

In particular, for \(k = 0\), we have \(\mathbb{E}_{\omega^N}(|Y_\infty|^M) \leq C(M, \omega) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^M. \)

Proof. We have

\[ \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N}(|Y_\infty - Y_k|^M) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j x_j \right|^M \omega^N(dx) \leq \sum_{j_1=k+1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_M=k+1}^{\infty} |\xi_{j_1} \cdots \xi_{j_M}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_M}| \omega^N(dx) \]
\[
\left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^M \max_{(j_1, \ldots, j_M)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_M}| \omega^N(dx),
\]

where the maximum is extended over all \((j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M) \in \{k + 1, k + 2, \ldots\}^M\).

For a fixed \((j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M) \in \{k + 1, k + 2, \ldots\}^M\) let \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell\) be the different \(j_i\)'s such that \(v_1\) appears \(r_1\) times, \(v_2\) appears \(r_2\) times, \ldots, \(v_\ell\) appears \(r_\ell\) times. Of course, \(r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_\ell = M\) and \(\ell = \ell(j_1, \ldots, j_M) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M\}\). Then we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_M}| \omega^N(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_{v_1}^{r_1}| \cdots |x_{v_\ell}^{r_\ell}| \omega^N(dx) = m_{r_1} \cdots m_{r_\ell}.
\]

Hence,

\[
\max_{(j_1, \ldots, j_M)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_M}| \omega^N(dx) \leq C(M, \omega)
\]

and this concludes the proof. \(\Box\)

We now provide the values of (or bounds on) \(C(M, \omega)\) for a number of measures \(\omega\).

**Lemma 8**  
(i) If \(\omega\) is the uniform measure on \([0, 1]\), then

\[
C(M, \omega) = \frac{1}{M + 1}.
\]

(ii) If \(\omega\) is the uniform measure on \([-1/2, 1/2]\), then

\[
C(M, \omega) = \frac{1}{2^M (M + 1)}.
\]

(iii) If \(\omega\) is the exponential measure on \([0, \infty)\) with density \(\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-x/\lambda}\) for \(\lambda > 0\), then

\[
C(M, \omega) = \lambda M M!.
\]

(iv) If \(\omega\) is the logistic measure on \(\mathbb{R}\) with density \(\frac{1}{\lambda (1 + e^{-x/\lambda})^2} e^{-x/\lambda}\) for \(\lambda > 0\), then

\[
\frac{1}{2} \lambda^M M! < C(M, \omega) < 2 \lambda^M M!.
\]

(v) If \(\omega\) is the zero-mean Gaussian measure on \(\mathbb{R}\) with density \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} e^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)}\) with variance \(\sigma^2 > 0\), then

\[
C(M, \omega) \leq \sigma^M (M - 1)!!,
\]

where, for \(k \in \mathbb{N}_0\),

\[
k!! := \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1} (k - 2j)
\]

is the double factorial of \(k\).
Proof. For the cases (i) and (ii), \( m_r = 1/(r+1) \) and \( m_r = 2^{-r}/(r+1) \), respectively. Hence in both cases the maximum in the definition of \( C(M, \omega) \) is attained for \( \ell = 1 \).

For the case (iii), \( m_r = \lambda r! \) and again the maximum is attained at \( \ell = 1 \).

For the case (iv),
\[
m_r = \lambda^r 2 \int_0^\infty t^r \frac{e^{-t}}{(1+e^{-t})^2} dt < \lambda^r 2 \int_0^\infty t^r e^{-t} dt = 2 \lambda^r r!,
\]
and, on the other hand, \( m_r > \lambda^r r!/2 \) which gives the bounds for \( C(M, \omega) \).

Finally, for (v),
\[
m_{2k} = \sigma^{2k} (2k-1)!! \quad \text{and} \quad m_{2k+1} = \sigma^{2k+1} \frac{2}{\pi} (2k)!! \leq \sigma^{2k+1} (2k)!!
\]
which yields the bound on \( C(M, \omega) \). \( \square \)

4 Applications

In this section we provide several concrete examples.

4.1 Fractional Wiener Kernel

Consider functions \( g \) defined on \( D = \mathbb{R} \) with the (covariance or reproducing) kernel
\[
K_\beta(x, y) = |x|^{2\beta} + |y|^{2\beta} - |x - y|^{2\beta} 2, \quad \text{where} \; \beta \in (0, 1).
\]
The zero-mean Gaussian measure with the covariance kernel given by \( K_\beta \) is the fractional Wiener measure, see, e.g., [10]. Moreover, for \( \beta = 1/2 \), it is the classical Wiener measure. This is why we call \( K_\beta \) the fractional Wiener kernel.

From (3) we obtain
\[
e^{\text{trnc}(k; K_\beta, \omega)} = \mathbb{E}_{\omega^N} \left( |Y_\infty|^{2\beta} - 2 |Y_\infty|^{2\beta} + |Y_k|^{2\beta} - \frac{|Y_\infty - Y_k|^{2\beta}}{2} + |Y_k|^{2\beta} \right)
\]
Hence the estimates from Proposition \[5\] apply.

4.2 \( r \)-folded Wiener Kernel

Let \( D = \mathbb{R}_+ \) be the domain of functions \( g \) and consider
\[
K_r(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(x-t)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} (y-t)^{r-1} \frac{1}{(r-1)!} dt
\]
for \( r = 2, 3, \ldots \). It is well known that \( K_r \) is the covariance kernel of the \( r \)-folded Wiener measure. It also generates the Hilbert space \( G_r \) of functions \( g \) satisfying \( g(0) = g^{(1)}(0) = \cdots = g^{(r-1)}(0) = 0 \) and the norm in \( G_r \) is given by \( \|g\|_{G_r} = \|g^{(r)}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \).

Because the domain of \( g \) is \( \mathbb{R}_+ \), we assume that the random variables \( x_j \) take on only non-negative values and \( \xi_j \geq 0 \).
Proposition 9 Let
\[ c_r := \left[ \frac{1}{2r - 1} + \frac{(r-1)^2}{2r - 3} \right]^{1/2} \frac{1}{(r-1)!}. \] \hfill (15)

Suppose that \( \|Y_\infty\|_{L_\infty} < \infty \), then
\[ e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_r, \omega) \leq c_r \|Y_\infty\|_{L_\infty}^{r-3/2} \left( \left( \mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1) \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j \right)^2 + \text{Var}_\omega(x_1) \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j^2 \right)^{1/2}. \] \hfill (16)

For the case where \( \|Y_\infty\|_{L_\infty} = \infty \), but \( E_\omega(Y_\infty^{4r-6}) < \infty \), we have
\[ e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_r, \omega) \leq c_r (C(4, \omega) C(4r - 6, \omega))^{1/4} \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j \right)^{(r-3/2)}, \] \hfill (17)

where \( C(M, \omega) \) is defined in (12).

Remark 10 Note that \( c_r \sim \left( \frac{2}{r} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \) as \( r \to \infty \) and \( c_r \leq \left( \frac{2}{r} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \) for all \( r \geq 2 \). For simplicity we will sometimes use this bound on \( c_r \) in the following.

Proof. Using (6) we obtain
\[ e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_r, \omega) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^\infty (Y_\infty(x) - t)^{r-1} - (Y_k(x) - t)^{r-1}]^2 dt \omega(x) \right)^{1/2}. \]

Hence we are concerned with
\[ E(Y_\infty, Y_k) := \int_0^\infty [(Y_\infty - t)^{r-1} - (Y_k - t)^{r-1}]^2 dt = E_1 + E_2, \]
where
\[ E_1 = \int_{Y_k}^{Y_\infty} (Y_\infty - t)^{2(r-1)} dt = \frac{(Y_\infty - Y_k)^{2r-1}}{2r - 1} \]
and
\[ E_2 = \int_0^{Y_k} [(Y_\infty - t)^{r-1} - (Y_k - t)^{r-1}]^2 dt \]
\[ = \int_0^{Y_k} [(Y_\infty - Y_k) \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} (Y_\infty - t)^j (Y_k - t)^{r-2-j}]^2 dt \]
\[ \leq (Y_\infty - Y_k)^2 (r-1) \int_0^{Y_k} (Y_\infty - t)^{2r-4} dt \]
\[ \leq (Y_\infty - Y_k)^2 (r-1)^2 \frac{1}{2r - 3} Y_\infty^{2r-3}. \]

Hence
\[ E(Y_\infty, Y_k) \leq \frac{(Y_\infty - Y_k)^{2r-1}}{2r - 1} + (Y_\infty - Y_k)^2 \frac{(r-1)^2}{2r - 3} Y_\infty^{2r-3}. \]
≤ \((Y_\infty - Y_k)^2 Y_{\infty} r^{-3} \left[ \frac{1}{2r - 1} + \frac{(r - 1)^2}{2r - 3} \right] \).

With \(c_r\) as in (15) we get

\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_r, \omega) \leq c_r \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (Y_\infty - Y_k)^2 Y_{\infty} r^{-3} \omega_N(d\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/2}.
\] (18)

If \(\|Y_\infty\|_{L_\infty} < \infty\) we use (18) and Proposition 5 to obtain the desired result. When \(\|Y_\infty\|_{L_\infty} = \infty\), but \(E\omega_N(Y_\infty^{4r-6}) < \infty\), we proceed as follows: We have

\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_r, \omega) \leq c_r \left( E\omega_N(|Y_\infty - Y_k|^4) \right)^{1/4} \left( E\omega_N(Y_\infty^{4r-6}) \right)^{1/4}.
\] (19)

Now Proposition 7 and (19) yield the desired result. \(\square\)

As in the previous section, consider

\[|\xi_j| \leq j^{-a} \text{ for } a > 1,
\]

and the following two examples of \(\omega\).

**Example 11** Consider the uniform probability measure on \([0, 1]\) for \(\omega\). Then \(Y_\infty(\mathbf{x}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-a} = \zeta(a)\) is finite and equal to the Riemann Zeta-Function, and (16) together with (11) yields

\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_r, \omega) \leq c_{r,a} \left( \frac{2r}{3} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\zeta(a)^{r-3/2}}{(r-1)!} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^a} \right]^{1/2}
\]

where

\[
c_{r,a} = \left( \frac{2r}{3} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\zeta(a)^{r-3/2}}{(r-1)!} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^a} \right]^{1/2}.
\]

**Example 12** Consider now the exponential probability measure with variance \(\lambda > 0\) for \(\omega\). From Lemma 8 we know that \(C(M, \omega) = \lambda^M M!\), and, by (17) and (11),

\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_r, \omega) \leq c_{r,\lambda} \frac{1}{(k + 1/2)^{a-1}},
\]

where

\[
c_{r,\lambda} = 2r^{1/2} \lambda^{r-1/2} \left( \frac{(4r - 6)!}{(r - 1)! (a - 1)} \right)^{1/4} \zeta(a)^{r-3/2}.
\]
4.3 Two-Sided $r$-Folded Wiener Kernel

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the domain of functions $g$ and consider

$$K_{r, \pm}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty \frac{(|x| - t)^{r-1} (|y| - t)^{r-1}}{(r-1)^2} \, dt & \text{if } xy \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } xy < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $r = 2, 3, \ldots$

We obtain the following analogue to Proposition [3].

**Proposition 13** Let

$$Y^\text{abs}_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |x_j \xi_j|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Suppose that $\|Y^\text{abs}_\infty\|_{L_\infty} < \infty$, then

$$e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r, \pm}, \omega) \leq c_r \|Y^\text{abs}_\infty\|_{L_\infty}^{-3/2} \left( \left( \mathbb{E}(x_1) \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty \xi_j \right)^2 + \text{Var}_\omega(x_1) \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty \xi_j^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

For the case where $\|Y^\text{abs}_\infty\|_{L_\infty} = \infty$, but $\mathbb{E}_\omega((Y^\text{abs}_\infty)^{4r-6}) < \infty$, we have

$$e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r, \pm}, \omega) \leq c_r \left( C(4, \omega) C(4r-6, \omega) \right)^{1/4} \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty \xi_j \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i \right)^{r-3/2},$$

where $c_r$ is defined in [15] and $C(M, \omega)$ is defined in [12].

**Proof.** Analogously to the proof of Proposition [3] we would like to find an upper bound on

$$e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r, \pm}, \omega) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^b} E_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k) \omega^N(d\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$E_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k) = ((r-1)!)^2 \left( K_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_\infty) - 2 K_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k) + K_{r, \pm}(Y_k, Y_k) \right).$$

In the two cases when $Y_\infty$ and $Y_k$ are of the same sign, $E_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k)$ can be estimated as in the previous section, so we obtain

$$E_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k) \leq |Y_\infty - Y_k|^2 |Y_\infty|^{2r-3} \left[ \frac{1}{2r-1} + \frac{(r-1)^2}{2r-3} \right].$$

In the case when $Y_\infty$ and $Y_k$ have different signs we have $K_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k) = 0$ and

$$E_{r, \pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k) = \int_0^{\|Y_\infty\|} (|Y_\infty| - t)^{2(r-1)} \, dt + \int_0^{\|Y_k\|} (|Y_k| - t)^{2(r-1)} \, dt \leq \frac{1}{2r-1} (\|Y_\infty\|^{2r-1} + |Y_k|^{2r-1}) \leq \frac{1}{2r-1} (\|Y_\infty\| + |Y_k|)^{2r-1}.$$
In any case we have
\[
E_{r,\pm}(Y_\infty, Y_k) \leq |Y_\infty - Y_k|^2 \max(|Y_\infty|, |Y_\infty - Y_k|)^{2r-3} \left[ \frac{1}{2r-1} + \frac{(r-1)^2}{2r-3} \right].
\]

Hence
\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r,\pm}, \omega) \leq c_r \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |Y_\infty - Y_k|^2 (Y^\text{abs}_\infty)^{2r-3} \omega^N(dx) \right)^{1/2}.
\]

From here the results follow in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 9, by noting that the proof of Proposition 12 also can be used to bound $Y^\text{abs}_\infty$.

Example 14 Consider the uniform distribution on $[-1/2, 1/2]$ for \( \omega \). Then $E_\omega(x_1) = 0$ and $\text{Var}_\omega(x_1) = \frac{1}{12}$. Furthermore, $\|Y^\text{abs}_\infty\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\xi_j|$. Then we get from Proposition 13,
\[
E^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r,\pm}, \omega) \leq c_r \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |Y_\infty - Y_k|^2 (Y^\text{abs}_\infty)^{2r-3} \omega^N(dx) \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Example 15 Consider the zero mean Gaussian measure with $\sigma^2 > 0$ variance for $\omega$. Then we obtain from Proposition 13 and Lemma 8,
\[
E^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r,\pm}, \omega) \leq c_r \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |Y_\infty - Y_k|^2 (Y^\text{abs}_\infty)^{2r-3} \omega^N(dx) \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Remark 16 Note that it is again sufficient to assume $|\xi_j| \leq j^{-a}$ with $a > 1$ to make use of the upper bounds in Examples 14 and 15.

4.4 Korobov Kernel

Let $G$ be the Korobov space of functions $g$ defined on $D = [0, 1]$ generated by the kernel
\[
K_{r,\text{kor}}(x, y) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} r(h) e^{2\pi i h(x-y)},
\]
where $r : \mathbb{Z} \to (0, \infty)$ is a positive weight function with $r(h) = r(-h)$. Korobov spaces are very well studied in the field of quasi-Monte Carlo methods, see [9] Appendix A.1 for an introduction.

In [9], the function $r$ is such that $r(h)$ is of order $h^{-2\alpha}$, for a nonnegative real $\alpha$. The parameter $\alpha$ is called the smoothness parameter of the Korobov space, and shows up in the norm of the space $G$. To be more precise, the norm of $g \in G$ is $\|g\|_{\text{kor}} = $
\[
(\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} r(h) - 1 |\hat{g}(h)|^2)^{1/2},
\]
where \(\hat{g}(h)\) is the \(h\)th Fourier coefficient of \(g\). Hence \(\alpha\) reflects the decay of the Fourier coefficients of the elements of \(G\). Another approach, taken in [6], assumes exponentially decaying \(r(h)\), resulting in infinitely smooth functions as elements of \(G\).

Due to the symmetry property of \(r\), and since \(e^{2\pi i h Y_\infty} - e^{2\pi i h Y_k} = e^{\pi i h (Y_\infty - Y_k)}\sin(\pi h (Y_\infty - Y_k))\), we obtain

\[
K_{r,\text{kor}}(Y_\infty, Y_\infty) - 2 K_{r,\text{kor}}(Y_\infty, Y_k) + K_{r,\text{kor}}(Y_k, Y_k) = 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} r(h) |e^{2\pi i h Y_\infty} - e^{2\pi i h Y_k}|^2
= 8 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} r(h) \sin^2(\pi h (Y_\infty - Y_k))
\leq 8 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} r(h) \min\left(1, \pi^2 h^2 (Y_\infty - Y_k)^2\right)
\leq 8 \pi^2 |Y_\infty - Y_k|^2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} h^2 r(h).
\]

We assume that \(r\) is such that \(C_r := \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} h^2 r(h) < \infty\).

This assumption is satisfied by choosing the smoothness parameter \(\alpha > 3/2\) in [9], and also satisfied for Korobov spaces of infinitely smooth functions studied in [6]. Then, according to (5),

\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r,\text{kor}}, \omega) \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \pi C_r \left(\mathbb{E}_\omega(|Y_\infty - Y_k|^2)\right)^{1/2}. \tag{21}
\]

The following two examples are similar to Examples 14 and 15 and in particular can be used if \(|\xi_j| \leq j^{-a}\) for \(a > 1\).

**Example 17** Consider the uniform distribution on \([-1/2, 1/2]\) for \(\omega\). We can then use Proposition 5 with \(\beta = 1\) and the fact that \(\mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1^2) = 1/12\), and we get from (21) and (10),

\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r,\text{kor}}, \omega) \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \pi C_r \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j^2\right)^{1/2}.
\]

**Example 18** Consider the zero mean Gaussian measure with \(\sigma^2 > 0\) variance for \(\omega\). We can then use Proposition 5 with \(\beta = 1\) and the fact that \(\mathbb{E}_\omega(x_1^2) = \sigma^2\), and we get from (21) and (10),

\[
e^{\text{trnc}}(k; K_{r,\pm}, \omega) \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \pi C_r \sigma \left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j^2\right)^{1/2}.
\]
4.5 Hermite Kernel

Let $G$ be a Hermite space of functions defined on $D = \mathbb{R}$ generated by the reproducing kernel

$$K^H_r(x, y) = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty r(\ell) H_\ell(x) H_\ell(y),$$

where $H_\ell$ is the $\ell$th (normalized probabilists') Hermite polynomial

$$H_\ell(x) = \frac{(-1)\ell}{\sqrt{\ell!}} \exp(x^2/2) \frac{d^\ell}{dx^\ell} \exp(-x^2/2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $r : \mathbb{N}_0 \to (0, \infty)$ is a positive weight function. Integration and function approximation over such spaces have been considered in, e.g., [1, 3, 4].

Since $H_0 \equiv 1$, we have

$$K^H_r(Y_\infty, Y_\infty) - 2 K^H_r(Y_\infty, Y_k) + K^H_r(Y_k, Y_k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty r(\ell) (H_\ell(Y_\infty) - H_\ell(Y_k))^2.$$

By the mean value theorem,

$$|H_\ell(Y_\infty) - H_\ell(Y_k)| = |H_\ell'(\eta_\ell)| |Y_\infty - Y_k|$$

for some $\eta_\ell \in I(Y_k, Y_\infty)$, where $I(Y_k, Y_\infty) = (Y_k, Y_\infty)$ if $Y_k < Y_\infty$ and $I(Y_k, Y_\infty) = (Y_\infty, Y_k)$ if $Y_k > Y_\infty$. The identity $H_\ell' = \ell H_{\ell-1}$ yields

$$|H_\ell'(Y_\infty) - H_\ell'(Y_k)| = \ell |H_{\ell-1}(\eta_\ell)| |Y_\infty - Y_k|.$$

For $\ell = 1$, this yields $|H_1(Y_\infty) - H_1(Y_k)| = |Y_\infty - Y_k|$. For $\ell \geq 2$, we use a slightly stronger version of Cramer’s bound proved in [1], namely

$$H_{\ell-1}(x) \leq \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{(\ell - 1)^{1/2}} \right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi(x)}} \leq \frac{c}{\ell^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi(x)}},$$

where $\phi$ is the standard normal density function. Thus, for $\eta_\ell \in I(Y_k, Y_\infty)$ we have

$$|H_{\ell-1}(\eta_\ell)| \leq \frac{c}{\ell^{1/2}} \sup_{x \in I(Y_k, Y_\infty)} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-x^2/4} = \frac{c}{\ell^{1/2}} \sqrt{2\pi} \max \left( e^{Y_k^2/4}, e^{Y_\infty^2/4} \right) \leq \frac{c}{\ell^{1/2}} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{(Y_\infty^{\text{abs}})^2/4},$$

where $Y_\infty^{\text{abs}}$ is as in [20].

Let us now assume that

$$V := \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty r(\ell)\ell^{1/6} < \infty.$$

We remark that this assumption is satisfied for the Hermite spaces considered in [3], and those in [1] if one chooses the parameter $\alpha > 17/6$ in that paper. Then we obtain

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty r(\ell) E_{\omega^\ell} \left( (H_\ell(Y_\infty) - H_\ell(Y_k))^2 \right) \leq c^2 \sqrt{2\pi} V E_{\omega^\ell} \left( e^{(Y_\infty^{\text{abs}})^2/2(Y_\infty - Y_k)^2} \right).$$
for some suitably chosen $\tilde{c}$. Hence,

$$
e^\text{trnc}(k; K_r^H, \omega) \leq c \left( \sqrt{2 \pi} V \mathbb{E}_{\omega^n} \left( e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2/2(Y_{\infty} - Y_k)^2} \right) \right)^{1/2}. \tag{22}
$$

Suppose that $\|e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2/2}\|_{L_\infty} < \infty$, then

$$
e^\text{trnc}(k; K_r^H, \omega) \leq c \left( \sqrt{2 \pi} V \|e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2/2}\|_{L_\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\omega^n} \left( (Y_{\infty} - Y_k)^2 \right) \right)^{1/2}.
$$

**Example 19** Consider the uniform distribution on $[-1/2, 1/2]$ for $\omega$. Then we have

$$
\|e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2/2}\|_{L_\infty} \leq e^{1/8 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^2} < \infty
$$

according to our standing assumption that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| < \infty$. We can then use Proposition 5 with $\beta = 1$, and the fact that $\mathbb{E}_{\omega}(x^2_1) = 1/12$ and we get from (10)

$$
e^\text{trnc}(k; K_r^H, \omega) \leq \tilde{c} e^{1/16 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^2} \left( \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \xi_j^2 \right)^{1/2}.
$$

where $\tilde{c} = c \left( \sqrt{2 \pi} V/12 \right)^{1/2}$. This bound can be used, for example, if $|\xi_j| \leq j^{-a}$ with some $a > 1$. In this case we have

$$
e^\text{trnc}(k; K_r^H, \omega) \leq \frac{\tilde{c} e^{1/16 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\xi_j| \right)^2}}{\sqrt{2a - 1} (k + 1/2)^{a-1/2}}.
$$

Suppose that $\|e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2/2}\|_{L_\infty} = \infty$, but $\mathbb{E}_{\omega^n}(e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2}) < \infty$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\omega^n} \left( e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2/2(Y_{\infty} - Y_k)^2} \right) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\omega^n}(e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}_{\omega^n} \left( (Y_{\infty} - Y_k)^4 \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Hence

$$
e^\text{trnc}(k; K_r^H, \omega) \leq c \left( \sqrt{2 \pi} V \mathbb{E}_{\omega^n}(e^{(Y_{\omega}^{abs})^2})^{1/2} \mathbb{E}_{\omega^n} \left( (Y_{\infty} - Y_k)^4 \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/2}.
$$
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