

Charge-exchange quasi-elastic process $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ under 0° in the frame of elastic $np \rightarrow np$ scattering to 180°

March 13, 2022

R. A. Shindin, D. K. Guriev, A. N. Livanov, I. P. Yudin

VBLHEP JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia

E-mails: shindin@jinr.ru, gurdm@yahoo.com, livanov@jinr.ru, yudin@jinr.ru

Pacs: 25.40.Kv

UDC: 539.171.11

Keywords: charge-exchange, quasi-elastic reaction, unitary transition

Abstract

It is considered the problem of spin physics related with the difference of representation of the elastic interaction between the neutron and proton. In the first case the charge-exchange reaction $np \rightarrow pn$ under the angle θ is supposed, in the second — the simple elastic scattering of $np \rightarrow np$, when the neutron is going in opposite direction $\pi - \theta$. The transition from one representation to another is provided by the Majorana operator. In the framework of impulse approximation it is twice calculated the quasi-elastic charge-exchange reaction of a neutron on a deuteron. In the frame of $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ scattering of proton to the angle θ it gives the well-known Dean formula. Using other representation $nd \rightarrow (nn)p$ as a neutron elastic scattering under the angle $\pi - \theta$ (together with neutron-spectator in nn -pair) the alternative formula is presented.

1 Introduction

The formalism of NN -interaction repeats the method intended to describe the electron scattering on the particles with half-integer spin, for example, on other electron or on the atom with one electron outside of closed shell. Therefore in the beginning the definitions of spin and space fermion functions will be considered.

The spin-vector is an analog of mechanical moment but its projection to any direction has a discrete values. In the case of particle with spin $\frac{1}{2}\hbar$ the projection will be equal to $s_z = +\frac{1}{2}\hbar$ or $-\frac{1}{2}\hbar$. To account this duality the three Hermitian 2×2 matrices are used that forms the Pauli-operator $\hat{\sigma}$:

$$\hat{\sigma} = \vec{i}\sigma_x + \vec{j}\sigma_y + \vec{k}\sigma_z, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since only σ_z has a diagonal view its own vectors or spinors are pure states:

$$\chi_z(s_z = +\frac{1}{2}\hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_z(s_z = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each fermion there is own polarization axis \vec{s} where its state is defined by the spinor $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Along any other directions the fermion spin state will be mixed. Own vectors of matrix $\sigma_r = (\hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{r})$ (Fig. 1) will have both components $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ which define two variants of spin polarization. If fermion is polarized along z its state along \vec{r} expresses as follows:

$$\chi_r(s_z = +\frac{1}{2}\hbar) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ e^{i\varphi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + e^{i\varphi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $\cos \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $e^{i\varphi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ are the amplitudes of probability to have the spin projections $s_r = +\frac{1}{2}\hbar$ and $-\frac{1}{2}\hbar$. In our case there are two fermions and each of them can have own spin direction. Therefore more suitable to use the definition of Bloch sphere where the spin states of particles are considered in one coordinate system and quantized along z -axis (Fig. 2).

To distinguish both particles the follows definition will be used:

$$\chi_{z,n}(\vec{s}_m) \equiv |\vec{s}_m\rangle_n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta_m}{2} \\ -e^{i\varphi_m} \sin \frac{\theta_m}{2} \end{pmatrix}_n, \quad n, m = \{1, 2\}. \quad (1)$$




Figure 1: Projection of the Pauli operator $\hat{\sigma} = \vec{i}\sigma_x + \vec{j}\sigma_y + \vec{k}\sigma_z$ to any direction \vec{r} equals to unit: $|\sigma_r|^2 = 1$. That allows to present its like a Σ -sphere. Each point of the Σ -sphere corresponds to operator σ_r which has two own spinors $\chi_r(s_z = +\frac{\hbar}{2})$ and $\chi_r(s_z = -\frac{\hbar}{2})$.




Figure 2: The Bloch sphere. The spin direction $\vec{s} \equiv \vec{s}(\theta, \varphi)$ is defined by the angles θ and φ . For each \vec{s} the point on the Bloch sphere corresponds to the single spin state along z -axis: $\chi_z(\vec{s}) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - e^{i\varphi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The sign minus before low spinor element arises due to the inverse count of angle θ in comparison with the case on Fig. 1.

The index $m = 1, 2$ expresses the number of states. The order numbers of particles $n = 1, 2$ are arbitrary. Thus the vectors $|\vec{s}_1\rangle_1$ and $|\vec{s}_1\rangle_2$ present one fermion but named its either first or second particle.

In most cases so detailed definitions of spin states (1) are superfluous and enough to define them as spinors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$ where the $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ have complex values and satisfy to the conditions: $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$. Independence of particles leads to factorization of their function: $\chi_{12} = \chi_1 \chi_2$. The freedom of order numbers provides the following:

$$|\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2, \quad |\vec{s}_2, \vec{s}_1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_2. \quad (2)$$

To describe a wave properties of particle it is suitable to use the exponent $e^{i(\vec{p}\vec{r}-Et)/\hbar}$ where \vec{p} and E — momentum and full energy of particle, \vec{r} and t — space and time variables. Such harmonic is an approximation and presents the infinite plane wave. For our purposes the stationary functions ($t = \text{const}$) will be enough. To distinguish both particles the analogical (1) definitions are choosed:

$$\varphi_{\vec{p}_m}(\vec{r}_n) \equiv |\vec{p}_m\rangle_n = C e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{p}_m \vec{r}_n}, \quad n, m = \{1, 2\}, \quad C = (2\pi\hbar)^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (3)$$

Momentum has own index m which does not depend from the number n . Thus the vectors $|\vec{p}_1\rangle_1$ and $|\vec{p}_2\rangle_1$ show that first particle can have momentum \vec{p}_1 either momentum \vec{p}_2 . Functions (3) are orthogonally among themselves that expresses the independence of particles and provides the factorization:

$$|\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2\rangle = |\vec{p}_1\rangle_1 |\vec{p}_2\rangle_2, \quad |\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_1\rangle = |\vec{p}_2\rangle_1 |\vec{p}_1\rangle_2.$$

In the c.m.s. the momenta permutation is equivalent to the conjugation:

$$|\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2\rangle \rightarrow \varphi_{12} = C e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{p}_1 \vec{r}}, \quad |\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_1\rangle \rightarrow \varphi_{12}^* = C e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{p}_1 \vec{r}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2$ — relative radius-vector.

According to the Pauli principal the wave function Ψ of identical fermions should be antisymmetric relative the permutation of their spins and momenta:

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\vec{p}_1, \vec{s}_1\rangle_1 |\vec{p}_2, \vec{s}_2\rangle_2 - |\vec{p}_2, \vec{s}_2\rangle_1 |\vec{p}_1, \vec{s}_1\rangle_2 \right), \quad (5)$$

where $|\vec{p}_m, \vec{s}_m\rangle_n = |\vec{p}_m\rangle_n |\vec{s}_m\rangle_n$.

The full permutation don't change the particles configuration (Fig. 3). Thus the momentum of fermion with spin polarization \vec{s}_1 anyway equals to \vec{p}_1 .




Figure 3: On the left the states of two particles 1 and 2 are defined by the function $|\vec{p}_1, \vec{s}_1\rangle_1 |\vec{p}_2, \vec{s}_2\rangle_2$. On the right the order numbers of particles are changed to correspond to the function $|\vec{p}_2, \vec{s}_2\rangle_1 |\vec{p}_1, \vec{s}_1\rangle_2$.

The formulas (2) and (4) allow to express the wave function (5) as follows:

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \varphi_{12} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_2 \varphi_{12}^* \right] \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_{-p}, \quad (6)$$

$$\int \int \Psi^+(\vec{p}') \Psi(\vec{p}) d^3\vec{r}' d^3\vec{p} = \int \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') d^3\vec{p} = 1. \quad (7)$$

Taking into account the rule (7) we will suppose for simplification that the function (6) is normalized to unit: $|\Psi|^2 = 1$.

2 Fermions elastic scattering

The result of interaction of two particles can be presented as a transformation of initial wave function Ψ_{in} to final Ψ_{fin} (Fig. 4) and both of them are supposed as the plane waves (6). To change the momentum direction $\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p}'$ let us to define the special unitary operator¹ $\hat{P}(\theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(\theta) \times \varphi_{12} &= \varphi'_{12} = e^{i\vec{p}'\vec{r}} , \quad \cos \theta = \frac{(\vec{p}, \vec{p}')}{|\vec{p}| \cdot |\vec{p}'|} , \\ |\hat{P}(\theta)|^2 &= 1 , \quad \hat{P}(\theta)\hat{P}(\omega) = \hat{P}(\theta + \omega) , \quad \hat{P}(\theta) = \hat{P}(\theta + 2\pi n) . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\Psi_{fin} = \hat{P}(\theta) \times \Psi_{in} .$$




Figure 4: The plane of interaction of two particles. Circular arrows show the freedom of rotation of this plane around the direction \vec{p} .

¹The operator $\hat{P}(\theta)$ can be presented as 3×3 matrix A which rotates the momentum: $\vec{p}' = A\vec{p}$. The transformation $\hat{P}(\theta)\varphi \rightarrow \varphi'$ (8) carry out in the c.m.s. If we go into the laboratory coordinates the action of this operator reduces to multiplying the wave function $|\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2\rangle$ by the exponent $e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q}(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2)}$ where the \vec{q} is a momentum of recoil particle.

The momentum \vec{p}' lies between the angles θ and $\theta + d\theta$ on the reaction plane therefore in the space the scattered wave Ψ_{fin} propagates to the solid angle $d\Omega = 2\pi \sin\theta d\theta$. Returning to the definition of probability of elastic interaction it is enough to multiply the wave Ψ_{fin} by an amplitude:

$$\Phi = A(\theta)\Psi_{fin}, \quad \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{d\Omega} = |\Phi|^2 = |A(\theta)|^2.$$

Using the well known solution of scattering problem [1, 2] take it as spin matrix $M(\theta)$ in the Goldberger-Watson representation [3, 4]:

$$M(\theta) = a I_{1,2} + b \sigma_{1n}\sigma_{2n} + c (\sigma_{1n} + \sigma_{2n}) + e \sigma_{1m}\sigma_{2m} + f \sigma_{1l}\sigma_{2l}. \quad (9)$$

$I_{1,2}$ – multiplying of two unit 2×2 matrices of first and second particles, amplitudes (a, b, c, e, f) are the complex functions of scattering angle θ and kinetic energy of incident particle in the lab system. New spin states are defined by the Pauli-operators which acts along the vectors $(\vec{m}, \vec{l}, \vec{n})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m &= (\hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{m}), & \sigma_l &= (\hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l}), & \sigma_n &= (\hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}), \\ \vec{m} &= \frac{\vec{p} - \vec{p}'}{|\vec{p} - \vec{p}'|}, & \vec{l} &= \frac{\vec{p} + \vec{p}'}{|\vec{p} + \vec{p}'|}, & \vec{n} &= \frac{\vec{p} \times \vec{p}'}{|\vec{p} \times \vec{p}'|}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since the operator $\hat{P}(\theta)$ turns the momenta and the matrix $M(\theta)$ changes the spin projections their order does not matter and the simultaneous action of them provides the full determination of scattering process:

$$\hat{P}(\theta) M(\theta) \equiv M(\theta) \hat{P}(\theta), \quad \Phi = \hat{P}(\theta) M(\theta) \times \Psi_{in}.$$

2.1 Flip and Non-Flip parts of differential cross-section

The arbitrariness condition of quantization axis z allows to direct it along the vector \vec{n} , two other axes x and y will be along the vectors \vec{m} and \vec{l} . The elastic scattering matrix (9) becomes more definite. The spin transformation is performed by the operator $\hat{R}_t^+(\varphi) = E \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} + i(\hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{t}) \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}$ where the \vec{t} and φ are the axis and angle of rotation. Since $\hat{R}_t^+(\pi) = i\sigma_t$ the sigma-matrices σ_x, σ_y and σ_z are the rotation operators by 180° around their axes (Fig. 5).

The Pauli-operators (10) transform initial wave (6) and give the 6 final wave functions which are orthogonal on the Bloch sphere², i.e. when the both particles

²The orthogonality of spin-functions χ and $(\hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{t})\chi$ is defined as follows:

$$\forall \vec{t} \text{ u } \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_m/2 \\ -e^{i\varphi_m} \sin \theta_m/2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \chi^\dagger(\hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{t})\chi d\theta_m d\varphi_m = 0.$$




Figure 5: Four directions of the fermions polarization are shown which are related among themselves with rotations by 180° around the axes (x, y, z) .

of beam and target are unpolarized:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_a &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_{-p}, & \Psi'_c &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ -\delta \end{pmatrix}_{-p}, & \Psi''_c &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\beta \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_{-p}, \\ \Psi_b &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\beta \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ -\delta \end{pmatrix}_{-p}, & \Psi_e &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}_{-p}, & \Psi_f &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix}_p \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ -\gamma \end{pmatrix}_{-p}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The action of matrix $M(\theta)$ can be considered with the position of spin changing of scattering particle. The transition $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ is provided by two operators $I_{1,2}$ и $(\sigma_{1z} + \sigma_{2z})$. The differential cross-section of these processes is defined as follows:

$$\frac{d\sigma(\theta)^{\text{Non-Flip}}}{d\Omega} = |a|^2 + |c|^2. \quad (12a)$$

The operator $(\sigma_{1z} + \sigma_{2z})$ also performs the transition $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\beta \end{pmatrix}$ and analogic changing is given by the operator $\sigma_{1z}\sigma_{2z}$. The physical sense of this transformation is the spin rotation by 180° around the z -axis. The transitions $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ are performed by the operators $\sigma_{1x}\sigma_{2x}$ and $\sigma_{1y}\sigma_{2y}$ respectively that corresponds to the spin rotations by 180° around the axes x and y . The differential cross-section of these transitions calculated by the formula:

$$\frac{d\sigma(\theta)^{\text{Flip}}}{d\Omega} = |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |e|^2 + |f|^2. \quad (12b)$$

2.2 Two representations of elastic scattering

The main task of the theory is the prediction what a spin states of particles will be after the interaction (Fig. 6). If both fermions are identical it is impossible to predict which of them is scattered to the angle θ and who goes in the opposite direction $\pi - \theta$. In this case the definition of *scattered particle* is conditionally.




Figure 6: Elastic scattering of two identical fermions. One of them in the (α, β) state flies from the left with momentum \vec{p} . The state of another fermion with momentum $-\vec{p}$ is given by the spinor (γ, δ) . Secondary particles going from the point of interaction with momenta \vec{p}' and $-\vec{p}'$ have states $\chi(\vec{s}_{sca})$ and $\chi(\vec{s}_{rec})$ respectively.

Supposing that the initial wave function of two identical fermions describes by the form (6). The scattering matrix $M(\theta)$ determines the 6 secondary waves (11) and each of them has own amplitude. They form a system of wave functions along which the final state vector of two particles is decomposed:

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^6 A_i \Psi_{fin, i} . \quad (13)$$

Anyway another wave system $\{\Psi_{fin, i}^*\}$ can be used but the transition from the first system should be unitary. Then the vector (13) will receive new coordinates $\Phi = \sum A_i^* \Psi_{fin, i}^*$. If elastic interaction is considered like a scattering along the momentum $-\vec{p}'$ then the angle is changed $\theta \rightarrow \theta - \pi$ and instead of matrix $M(\theta)$ we need to use the matrix $M(\theta - \pi)$. For observer in the c.m.s. of two particles it will be look as if the detector takes inverse position and catches other particle whom named before as a recoil particle. But the configuration of two particles does not change and hence our measurement should provide the same result, i.e. the vector of final state Φ (13) which have been defined by the first approach. Therefore both representations of elastic scattering are equivalent:

$$\Phi = \hat{P}(\theta) M(\theta) \times \Psi_{in} = \hat{P}(\theta - \pi) M(\pi - \theta) \times \Psi_{in} . \quad (14)$$

Here we take into account that $M(\theta - \pi) = M(\pi - \theta)$ according to the space isotropy. Using the properties of operator (8) it provides: $\widehat{P}(\theta - \pi) = \widehat{P}(\theta)\widehat{P}(\pi)$. But the $\widehat{P}(\pi)$ operator performs the momenta inversion $\widehat{P}(\pi) \times |\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2\rangle = |\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_1\rangle$. and it is known as the Majorana operator $\widehat{P}_M \equiv \widehat{P}(\pi)$ [5]. Thus the expression (14) allows to find:

$$M(\theta) = \widehat{P}(\pi) \times M(\pi - \theta). \quad (15)$$

Since the Bartlett operator $\widehat{P}_B = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \widehat{\sigma}_1\widehat{\sigma}_2)$ changes the spin states then the combination $\widehat{P}_M\widehat{P}_B$ performs the full permutation:

$$\widehat{P}_M\widehat{P}_B \times \Psi = -\Psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widehat{P}_M = -\widehat{P}_B = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \widehat{\sigma}_1\widehat{\sigma}_2). \quad (16)$$

Although in this analysis the fermions were considered but the definition of spin $\frac{1}{2}\hbar$ did not use absolutely therefore the formula (15) is suitable for any two identical particles with any quantum numbers. From this issue only the expression of Majorana operator should be dependent.

3 Neutron-proton elastic scattering

The NN -formalism repeats the method of spin physics. The isotopic spin T is defined and two its projections $T_3 = +\frac{1}{2}$ и $T_3 = -\frac{1}{2}$ mean the proton and neutron. They are entered like the isotopic spinors $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ which can be write as p and n for simplification. Linear combinations

$$\chi_0^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1n_2 - n_1p_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{1,0}^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1n_2 + n_1p_2)$$

present the nucleons in the isotopic states $T = 0$ and $T = 1$ (with projection $T_3 = 0$) respectively and both of them are own vectors of $\widehat{\tau}_1\widehat{\tau}_2$ operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\tau}_1\widehat{\tau}_2 &= \tau_{1,3}\tau_{2,3} + 2[\tau_{1+}\tau_{2-} + \tau_{1-}\tau_{2+}], \\ \tau_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \widehat{\tau}_1\widehat{\tau}_2 \times \chi_0^T &= -3\chi_0^T, \quad \widehat{\tau}_1\widehat{\tau}_2 \times \chi_{1,0}^T = +\chi_{1,0}^T. \end{aligned}$$

The neutron-proton wave function is build using the same scheme (6):

$$\Psi_{in} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[n_1p_2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \varphi_{12} - p_1n_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_2 \varphi_{12}^* \right]. \quad (17)$$

Since in the nuclear interaction full isospin T and its projection T_3 are saved³ the nucleons elastic scattering matrix has the next form:

$$M(\theta) = M_0(\theta) \frac{1 - \hat{\tau}_1 \hat{\tau}_2}{4} + M_1(\theta) \frac{3 + \hat{\tau}_1 \hat{\tau}_2}{4}. \quad (18)$$

Here the M_0 and M_1 are the spin matrixes like (9) but present the elastic scattering of nucleons in pure isotopic states $T = 0$ and $T = 1$. Thus the M_1 describes the np -scattering in the state $T = 1$ and has the 5 own amplitudes (a_1, b_1, c_1, e_1, f_1). The matrix M_0 with amplitudes (a_0, b_0, c_0, e_0, f_0) is intended to the $T = 0$ state. To define the result of elastic np -interaction it is suitable to share the matrix (18) by the direct and exchange parts:

$$M(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} (M_1(\theta) + M_0(\theta)) + \frac{1}{2} (M_1(\theta) - M_0(\theta)) \hat{P}_B^T, \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{P}_B^T = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \hat{\tau}_1 \hat{\tau}_2) - \text{isotopic exchange Bartlett operator.}$$

One half of sum $\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\theta) + M_0(\theta))$ gives the simple scattering $np \rightarrow np$ under the angle θ either describes the symmetric reaction $pn \rightarrow pn$. The second part (19) has the exchange isotopic operator \hat{P}_B^T and adds to scattering the isospins permutation. Therefore the half of difference $\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\theta) - M_0(\theta))$ is the spin matrix of charge-exchange $np \rightarrow pn$ or $pn \rightarrow np$ reactions under the angle θ .

3.1 Change representation of np elastic scattering

Let the wave function of two nucleons is defined by the formula (17). If the proton in the c.m.s. system of elastic np -interaction is scattered under the angle θ then the neutron flies in inverse direction $\pi - \theta$. This reaction can be presented as charge-exchange $np \rightarrow pn$ process when the spin states of neutron and proton are described by the matrix $\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\theta) - M_0(\theta))$. Either the matrix $\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\pi - \theta) + M_0(\pi - \theta))$ can be used to define the elastic $np \rightarrow np$ scattering under the angle $\pi - \theta$. Since the vector Φ of final states of neutron and proton can not depend from our view which of these particles are registered the both representations are equivalent but can differ among themselves by the set of basis wave functions of vector Φ . According to (16) the transition from one representation to another should be performed by the Majorana operator:

$$\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\pi - \theta) + M_0(\pi - \theta)) = \hat{P}_M \times \frac{1}{2}(M_1(\theta) - M_0(\theta)) \hat{P}_B^T. \quad (20)$$

³Weak processes $n \rightarrow p + e + \tilde{\nu}$ and $p \rightarrow n + e^+ + \nu$ lead to a violation of isotopic invariance with probability $\sim 10^{-8}$, i.e. in the nuclear interactions the neutron does not converted to proton at all and vice versa, therefore $T = \text{const}$ and $T_3 = \text{const}$.

Because the nucleons have the variables of spin, isospin and space the full permutation is performed by three operators \widehat{P}_M , \widehat{P}_B and \widehat{P}_B^T :

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{P}_M \widehat{P}_B \widehat{P}_B^T \times \Psi &= -\Psi \quad \Rightarrow \\ \widehat{P}_M &= -\widehat{P}_B \widehat{P}_B^T = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \widehat{\sigma}_1 \widehat{\sigma}_2) \frac{1}{2}(1 + \widehat{\tau}_1 \widehat{\tau}_2) .\end{aligned}$$

The unitarity $|\widehat{P}_B^T|^2 = 1$ transforms the (20) to the next:

$$\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\pi - \theta) + M_0(\pi - \theta)) = -\widehat{P}_B \times \frac{1}{2}(M_1(\theta) - M_0(\theta)) . \quad (21)$$

For the first this expression (21) was defined in the work [6]. Before this time all amplitudes transformations were limited in the frame of symmetry rules [7] using scattering angle replacing $\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta$. Passing to the Goldberger-Watson amplitudes [4] we get the canonical transformation [8, 9, 10]:

$$\begin{aligned}c^{exch}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\theta) - c_0(\theta)) = \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\pi - \theta) + c_0(\pi - \theta)) = c(\pi - \theta) , \\ \begin{pmatrix} a^{exch}(\theta) \\ b^{exch}(\theta) \\ e^{exch}(\theta) \\ f^{exch}(\theta) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & +\frac{1}{2} & +\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & +\frac{1}{2} & +\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & +\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & +\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a(\pi - \theta) \\ b(\pi - \theta) \\ e(\pi - \theta) \\ f(\pi - \theta) \end{pmatrix} .\end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

If the initial states of neutron and proton are defined by the spinors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$ respectively then the amplitude $a^{exch}(\theta)$ show the correlation between the spinor $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ and final state χ_p of proton. In the same time the amplitude $a(\pi - \theta)$ say about the χ_p and spinor $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$ correlation. These amplitudes can be equal only if the proton spin state χ_p is symmetric (if at least it is average symmetric relative to all directions of spin polarizations of neutron and proton) between the initial states $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$. However the theory does not require this.

4 Quasielastic reaction $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$

4.1 Dean formula

The Pomeranchuk-Chew hypothesis [11, 12, 13, 14] as well as the A. Migdal idea [15] supposes to consider the charge-exchange $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ reaction using the free-nucleons scattering formalism. Following to this approach the initial wave function of three nucleons will be presented in the next form:

$$\Psi_{3N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3!}} \begin{vmatrix} |\xi_1\rangle_1 & |\xi_2\rangle_1 & |\xi_3\rangle_1 \\ |\xi_1\rangle_2 & |\xi_2\rangle_2 & |\xi_3\rangle_2 \\ |\xi_1\rangle_3 & |\xi_2\rangle_3 & |\xi_3\rangle_3 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Here ξ is the set of nucleon states including momentum, spin and isotopic spin. Let the ξ_1 expresses the beam neutron state and the ξ_2 and ξ_3 will be the proton and neutron of deuterium nucleus. Decomposing the determinant (23) by the elements of the first column gives:

$$\Psi_{3N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum |\xi_1\rangle_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\xi_2\rangle_j |\xi_3\rangle_k - |\xi_3\rangle_j |\xi_2\rangle_k \right), \quad (24)$$

$$\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 1\}, \{3, 1, 2\}.$$

Since the wave functions (24) are orthogonal it is enough to take one variant, for example $\{i=1, j=2, k=3\}$, reducing the coefficient $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. The incident neutron can be presented by the vector: $|\xi_1\rangle_1 = n_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_1 |\vec{p}_n\rangle_1$. The state of deuteron nucleons is subject to several conditions. For the first, the spins of proton and neutron should be parallel because $S_d = \hbar$. Denote their states by the spinors $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_3$ here $\gamma = \cos \lambda/2$, $\delta = -e^{i\mu} \sin \lambda/2$, λ and μ are zenith and asimutal angles of deuteron polarization. For the second, the nucleons are joint by the isosinglet function $\chi_0^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_2 n_3 - n_2 p_3)$. The space part of their wave should be simmetric $\varphi_d(\vec{r}) = \varphi_d(-\vec{r})$ here $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_3$. Therefore:

$$\Psi_{3N} = \Psi_{nd} = |\xi_1\rangle_1 \Psi_d = n_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}_1 |\vec{p}_n\rangle_1 \frac{p_2 n_3 - n_2 p_3}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_3 \varphi_d. \quad (25)$$

In the c.m.s. of beam neutron and proton of deuterium nucleus the exchange of charges and spin states is described by the matrix $\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\theta) - M_0(\theta)) \hat{P}_B^T$. The scattering to the angle θ is defined by the operator $\hat{P}(\theta)$ (8). In the deuteron system this changing is equivalent to the momentum transfer $\vec{p}_n \rightarrow \vec{p}'_p = \vec{p}_n - \vec{q}$

that adds the exponent $e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q}\vec{r}_1}$ to the wave function of scattered particle. Recoil particle obtains the $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q}\vec{r}_2}$ or $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q}\vec{r}_3}$ depending from the proton number.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{p(nn)} &= \frac{1}{2}(M_1(\theta) - M_0(\theta))\widehat{P}_B^T\widehat{P}(\theta) \times \Psi_{nd} = \\ &= \sum A_t^{exch} p_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_1 |\vec{p}'_p\rangle_1 \frac{n_2 n_3}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_3 e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q}\vec{r}_2} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_3 e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q}\vec{r}_3} \right] \varphi_d = \\ &= \sum A_t^{exch} p_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_1 |\vec{p}'_p\rangle_1 \frac{n_2 n_3}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\chi_{(-)} \cos \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} + i\chi_{(+)} \sin \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} \right] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{q}\vec{r}_{nn}} \varphi_d, \quad (26)\end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{r}_{nn} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{r}_2 + \vec{r}_3) - \text{radius-vector of two neutrons system,}$$

$$\chi_{(\pm)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_3 \pm \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_3. \quad (27)$$

A_t^{exch} is amplitude of charge-exchange $np \rightarrow pn$ elastic scattering to the angle θ : $A_1^{exch} = a^{exch}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1(\theta) - a_0(\theta))$ etc. (Tab. 1). The spinors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}$ are defined by the operators which follow with their amplitudes (11). The function $\chi_{(-)}$ corresponds to the spin singlet state and the $\chi_{(+)}$ is a linear combination of three spin functions $\chi_{1,-1}$, $\chi_{1,0}$ and $\chi_{1,+1}$. It is obviously that the $\chi_{(-)}$ enters to the (26) with even space function $\cos \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar}$ and the $\chi_{(+)}$ goes with odd function $\sin \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar}$, i.e. the wave of two neutrons is antisymmetric. Differential cross-section of $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ reaction is defined as $|\Phi_{p(nn)}|^2$. Since the $\Phi_{p(nn)}$ is dependent from the $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_3$ it is necessary to take the integral over the deuteron space. If the particles were unpolarized the (26) needs averaged over all spins directions:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{d\Omega}_{nd \rightarrow p(nn)} &= \oint \overline{|\Phi_{p(nn)}|^2} dV = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum |A_t^{exch}|^2 \left[\overline{|\chi_{(-)}|^2} \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV + \overline{|\chi_{(+)}|^2} \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV \right]. \quad (28)\end{aligned}$$

Calculation provides the next results (Tab. 1):

$$\begin{aligned}|\chi_{(-)}|^2 &= 2|\delta\gamma_t - \gamma\delta_t|^2, \quad |\chi_{(+)}|^2 = 4 - |\chi_{(-)}|^2, \\ \overline{|\delta\gamma_t - \gamma\delta_t|^2} &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \\ 2/3, & \text{if } \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ -\delta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ -\gamma \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Table 1: Weight fractions of the spin states $\chi_{(-)}$ and $\chi_{(+)}$ of two neutrons system from the quasi-elastic charge-exchange reaction $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$.

A_t^{exch}	t	γ_t	δ_t	$ \chi_{(-)} ^2$	$ \chi_{(+)} ^2$
$a^{exch}(\theta)$	1	γ	δ	0	4
$b^{exch}(\theta)$	2	γ	$-\delta$	4/3	8/3
$c^{exch}(\theta)$	3	γ	δ	0	4
	4	γ	$-\delta$	4/3	8/3
$e^{exch}(\theta)$	5	δ	γ	4/3	8/3
$f^{exch}(\theta)$	6	δ	$-\gamma$	4/3	8/3

Summing over the contributions of all amplitudes of scattering matrix using the definition of Flip (12b) and Non-Flip (12a) parts of the differential cross-section of elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange process that gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{d\Omega_{nd \rightarrow p(nn)}} &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)^{\text{Flip}}}{d\Omega_{np \rightarrow pn}} \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV + \\ &+ \left(2 \frac{d\sigma(\theta)^{\text{Non-Flip}}}{d\Omega_{np \rightarrow pn}} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)^{\text{Flip}}}{d\Omega_{np \rightarrow pn}} \right) \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

This Dean's formula⁴ for the first time was published in [16, 17]. The same result was obtained in [18, 19]. The idea of method presented here is that the final state of three nucleons is determined by the direct action of nucleons matrix without any considerations about the properties of wave function of two recoil neutrons. The formula (29) show how to use the deuterium nucleus as an amplitudes filter [11, 12, 13, 14]. If the proton is scattered to zero angle the transfer momentum \vec{q} is going to zero too. It gives $\cos^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} \approx 1$, $\sin^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} \approx 0$. Therefore:

$$\frac{d\sigma(0)}{d\Omega_{nd \rightarrow p(nn)}} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{d\sigma(0)^{\text{Flip}}}{d\Omega_{np \rightarrow pn}}. \quad (30)$$

⁴Original Dean's formula use the deuteron form-factor $F(q) = \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \cos \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{\hbar} dV$:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV &= \frac{1}{2} \oint |\varphi_d|^2 (1 + \cos \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{\hbar}) dV = \frac{1}{2}(1 + F(q)), \\ \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - F(q)). \end{aligned}$$

Measuring the proton yields of elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ and quasi-elastic $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ scatterings under zero angles we define their ratio $R_{dp}(0)$ that allow to calculate the relation $r_{np \rightarrow pn(0)}^{\text{nf/fl}}$ between the Non-Flip and Flip parts of differential cross-section of elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange process:

$$r_{np \rightarrow pn(0)}^{\text{nf/fl}} = \frac{d\sigma(0)^{\text{Non-Flip}}}{d\Omega_{np \rightarrow pn}} \bigg/ \frac{d\sigma(0)^{\text{Flip}}}{d\Omega_{np \rightarrow pn}} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{R_{dp}(0)} - 1. \quad (31)$$

4.2 Alternative formula

Let us to consider the quasi-elastic $nd \rightarrow p + nn$ charge-exchange reaction using another representation as the elastic $np \rightarrow np$ scattering. Initial wave of three nucleons is taken in the same form (25):

$$\Psi_{nd} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum n_i \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} | \vec{p}_n \rangle_i \frac{p_j n_k - n_j p_k}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_j \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_k \varphi_{d,jk}, \quad (32)$$

$$\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3, 1\}, \{3, 1, 2\}, \quad \varphi_{d,jk} = | \vec{p}_{p^*} \rangle_j | \vec{p}_{n^*} \rangle_k.$$

The isotopic and spin variables are determined now by the scattering matrix $\frac{1}{2}(M_1(\pi - \theta) + M_0(\pi - \theta))$. The space part of wave $| \vec{p}_n \rangle_i \varphi_{d,jk}$ is changed by the operator $\widehat{P}(\pi - \theta)$ which action can be shared by two steps. The $\widehat{P}(\pi)$ provides the momenta permutation $\vec{p}_{n,\text{cm}} \leftrightarrow \vec{p}_{p^*,\text{cm}}$ and the operator $\widehat{P}(\theta)$ gives the rotation by the angle θ . In the deuteron coordinate system the incident neutron knocks out the proton and passes the momentum $\vec{p}'_p = \vec{p}_n - \vec{q}$. To the deuteron wave function the exponent $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} \vec{r}_i}$ is added by the momentum transfer \vec{q} which remains after the impact. If the proton has number j the action of $\widehat{P}(\pi - \theta)$ operator can be presented as follows⁵:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}(\theta) \widehat{P}(\pi) \times | \vec{p}_n \rangle_i | \vec{p}_{p^*} \rangle_j | \vec{p}_{n^*} \rangle_k &= \widehat{P}(\theta) \times | \vec{p}_{p^*} \rangle_i | \vec{p}_n \rangle_j | \vec{p}_{n^*} \rangle_k = \\ &= e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} (\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i)} | \vec{p}_n \rangle_j | \vec{p}_{p^*} \rangle_i | \vec{p}_{n^*} \rangle_k = | \vec{p}'_p \rangle_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} \vec{r}_i} \varphi_{d,ik}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In the $np \rightarrow np$ representation the neutron is the scattered particle (forming with the neutron-spectator an nn -pair) and the proton is the recoil particle

⁵ The Fermi-momentum \vec{p} defines the instantaneous transfer momentum $\vec{q}(\vec{p})$ of nn -pair but the observing value of $\vec{q} = \vec{p}_n - \vec{p}'_p$ can be supposed as an average $\langle \vec{q} \rangle$:

$$\widehat{P}_{12}(\theta) \times | \vec{p}_n \rangle_1 \varphi_{d,23} = | \vec{p}_n \rangle_1 \oint e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q}(\vec{p}) (\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1)} \Psi_H(p) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{p} (\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_3)} d^3 \vec{p} = | \vec{p}'_p \rangle_1 e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \vec{q} \rangle \vec{r}_2} \varphi_{d,23},$$

where the $\Psi_H(p)$ is the momentum representation of Hulthen's S -wave function [28].

therefore the final vector will be defined by the next formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{(nn)p} &= \frac{1}{2}(M_1(\pi - \theta) + M_0(\pi - \theta))\widehat{P}(\pi - \theta) \times \Psi_{nd} = \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\{i,j,k\}} \sum_t A_t \left[\frac{n_i p_j n_k}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_i \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_j \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_k |\vec{p}'_p\rangle_j e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} \vec{r}_i} \varphi_{d,ik} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n_i n_j p_k}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_i \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_j \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_k |\vec{p}'_p\rangle_k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} \vec{r}_i} \varphi_{d,ij} \right]. \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

Here A_t is one of fifth amplitudes of the $np \rightarrow np$ scattering to the angle $\pi - \theta$: $A_1 = a(\pi - \theta) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1(\pi - \theta) + a_0(\pi - \theta))$ etc. The spin states $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}$ are defined by the Pauli-operators which follow with their amplitudes. The sum over the indexes $\{i, j, k\}$ can be ordered⁶ by the proton's numbers:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{(nn)p} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\{i,j,k\}} \sum_t A_t p_i \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_i |\vec{p}'_p\rangle_i \times \\
&\quad \times \frac{n_j n_k}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_j \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} \vec{r}_k} - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_j \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_k e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} \vec{r}_j} \right] \varphi_{d,jk}. \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Since all waves are orthogonal it is enough to take one variant $\{i=1, j=2, k=3\}$ reducing the coefficient $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Denoting the variables $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_3$ and $\vec{r}_{nn} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{r}_2 + \vec{r}_3)$ that gives:

$$\Phi_{(nn)p} = \sum A_t p_1 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_1 |\vec{p}'_p\rangle_1 \frac{n_2 n_3}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\chi_{(-)} \cos \frac{\vec{q} \vec{r}}{2\hbar} - i \chi_{(+)} \sin \frac{\vec{q} \vec{r}}{2\hbar} \right] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{q} \vec{r}_{nn}} \varphi_{d,23}, \quad (36)$$

$$\chi_{(\pm)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_3 \pm \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_3. \quad (37)$$

The functions $\Phi_{(nn)p}$ (36) and $\Phi_{p(nn)}$ (26) have the same structure. Their difference lies only in how the spin functions are defined (37) and (27). The differential cross-section of $nd \rightarrow (nn)p$ reaction equals to $|\Phi_{(nn)p}|^2$ with the integration over the deuteron space and with the averaging of all spin polarizations of three particles:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma(\pi - \theta)}{d\Omega}_{nd \rightarrow (nn)p} &= \oint |\overline{\Phi_{(nn)p}}|^2 dV = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum |A_t|^2 \left[|\overline{\chi_{(-)}}|^2 \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\vec{q} \vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV + |\overline{\chi_{(+)}}|^2 \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\vec{q} \vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV \right]. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

⁶To regroup the elements of (34) need use the cyclic substitutions: $i \rightarrow j, j \rightarrow k, k \rightarrow i$.

The spins of incident neutron and neutron-spectator are arbitrary directed therefore between the spinors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$ the correlation is absent. The substitutions $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ -\beta \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ to the (37) gives exactly values:

$$\overline{|\chi_{(-)}|^2} = 2\overline{|\gamma\beta_t - \delta\alpha_t|^2} = 1, \quad \overline{|\chi_{(+)}|^2} = 3. \quad (39)$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma(\pi - \theta)}{d\Omega}_{nd \rightarrow (nn)p} &= \frac{d\sigma(\pi - \theta)}{d\Omega}_{np \rightarrow np} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \oint |\varphi_d|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\vec{q}\vec{r}}{2\hbar} dV \right) = \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} F(q) \right) \frac{d\sigma(\pi - \theta)}{d\Omega}_{np \rightarrow np}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The representation $np \rightarrow np$ ($\pi - \theta$) does not share the differential cross-section of quasi-elastic $nd \rightarrow (nn)p$ reaction by the Flip and Non-Flip parts of elastic $np \rightarrow np$ scattering. Since the transition from one representation to another is unitary it allows to change simultaneously in the formula (40) the values of angles $(\pi - \theta) \rightarrow \theta$ and denoting the differential cross-sections by the charge-exchange reactions $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ and $np \rightarrow pn$:

$$\frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{d\Omega}_{nd \rightarrow p(nn)} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} F(q) \right) \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{d\Omega}_{np \rightarrow pn}. \quad (41)$$

The most interest related with the case when the proton is scattered forward therefore the momentum transfer $\vec{q} = \vec{p}_n - \vec{p}'_p$ closes to zero and the deuteron form-factor $F(q)$ reaches unit. Hence:

$$\frac{d\sigma(0)}{d\Omega}_{nd \rightarrow p(nn)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{d\sigma(0)}{d\Omega}_{np \rightarrow pn}. \quad (42)$$

Because the formulas (41) and (29) give the same definition of differential cross-sections $d\sigma(\theta)/d\Omega_{nd \rightarrow p(nn)}$ removing from them the similar terms and reducing the same factors it allows to define:

$$\frac{d\sigma(\theta)^{\text{Flip}}}{d\Omega}_{np \rightarrow pn} = 3 \cdot \frac{d\sigma(\theta)^{\text{Non-Flip}}}{d\Omega}_{np \rightarrow pn}. \quad (43)$$

The expression (43) should be regarded more as a hypothesis, as an indication obtained within the impulse approximation. On the other hand the elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange reaction does not depend on how the neutron is scattered on the deuteron. To verify (43) it need to be done the direct reconstruction of scattering amplitudes (DRSA) that requires the complete data set of np -observables [1, 7].

4.3 Equivalent formulas of two representations

$$nd \rightarrow p(nn) (0) \text{ и } nd \rightarrow (nn)p (\pi)$$

Let us to consider in more detail the case when the secondary protons fly to zero angle that gives $F(q) \approx 1$ and allows to transform the formulas (28) and (38) to the next view:

$$\frac{d\sigma(0)}{d\Omega_{nd \rightarrow p(nn)}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum |A_t^{exch}|^2 \left| \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_3 - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_3 \right|^2, \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma(\pi)}{d\Omega_{nd \rightarrow (nn)p}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum |A_t|^2 \left| \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_3 - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}_3 \right|^2. \quad (45)$$

These definitions of differential cross-sections (44) and (45) have the same structure. Their difference lies in how the spin of the neutron is defined forming the singlet state $S_{nn} = 0$ with the neutron-spectator in both cases. If the quasi-elastic nd -interaction is named as the $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ reaction (44) the neutron gets out as a recoil particle and its spin $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix}$ is presented by the one of four variants of changed spin state of deuteron's proton. When the $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t \\ \delta_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$ the yield of Non-Flip amplitude $a^{exch}(0)$ disappears. In inverse the all Flip spinors $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ -\delta \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ -\gamma \end{pmatrix}$ enter with weight $\frac{4}{3}$ (Tab. 1). After the multiplying by the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ the only $\frac{2}{3}$ remains from the Flip-part of differential cross-section of charge-exchange elastic reaction $np \rightarrow pn(0)$. In the other hand if the $nd \rightarrow (nn)p$ representation (45) is used the beam neutron in the np -system is considered as a particle scattered under the angle 180° . All spin states $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_t \\ \beta_t \end{pmatrix}$ enter with equal weight 1 (39) therefore it does not give the separation of Flip and Non-Flip parts and from the differential cross-section of elastic reaction $np \rightarrow np(\pi)$ only one half is remained (45).

5 Experimental data analysis of ratio R_{dp}

In four runs of 2003-07 using the Delta-Sigma Setup at LHE JINR facility its were performed the measurements of R_{dp} -ratio between the protons yields of quasi-elastic $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ and elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange reactions when the protons are scattered under zero angle at energies $T_n = 0.5 \div 2.0$ GeV [8, 9, 10, 20, 21, 22]. The results of this experiment and other world data are given in Att. A1 (Tab. 2, 3) and shown on the Fig. 7. The ratio R_{dp} over the energy range $T_n = 0.55 \div 2.0$ GeV behaves like a constant on the level 0.56.

Returning to the question about the representation choosing it should be noted that the first calculations of R_{dp} -ratio which made using the solutions of




Figure 7: Energydependency of the R_{dp} -ratio of protons yields between quasi-elastic $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ and elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange scattering under zero. The PSA solutions VZ40 [23], FA91 [24] and SP07 [25] are taken from SAID data base as an amplitudes of $np \rightarrow np(\theta = \pi)$ reaction and transformed to the $np \rightarrow pn(\theta = 0)$ representation using (22). Values of R_{dp} -ratio are calculated by the formula (30). The curve SP07* is obtained using the Non-Flip and Flip parts (12a, 12b) of reaction $np \rightarrow np(\theta = \pi)$, i.e. ignoring a difference between two representations. Line “1:2” show the true approach using formalism $np \rightarrow np(\theta = \pi)$ reaction (42).

phase shift analysis (PSA) were in sharp contradiction with the experiment [26]. The formula (29) was used also but according to [27] the amplitudes of elastic $np \rightarrow np$ backward scattering were substituted (Fig. 7, curve SP07*). Then following to the works [6, 18] the ratio R_{dp} was recalculated with the amplitudes of elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange scattering and the same solution SP07 [25] provided good agreement with our measurements [8, 9, 10]. The best agreement with the experimental data corresponds to the solution FA91 [24] although we should take into account the approximate nature of phenomenological approaches since the known np -data accepted for PSA are finish at the energy 1.1 GeV.

Our calculations by the formula (42) leads to prediction $R_{dp} = 1/2$ (Рис. 7). The experimental data are higher by 12% which can be explained by several reasons. First, in the impulse approximation the neutron-spectator is ignored but this is not true for large Fermi-momenta inside deuteron. For example, if the Hulthen’s solution [28] is taken and $\langle P_F \rangle \approx 110 \text{ МэВ}/c$ then at energy $T_n = 800 \text{ MeV}$ the ratio of interaction time of incident neutron and deuteron to the period of its nucleons motion will about $\sim 1/10$. It means that in 10 cases out of 100 in the reaction $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ the deuteron should be considered as a whole.

Secondly, starting with the meson production threshold ~ 290 MeV the reactions of intermediate Δ -resonance excitation are possible and these channels can change the form of three nucleons wave function in the final state. Thirdly, in our calculations the share of D -wave state inside deuteron ($\sim 4\%$) was neglected. But if the mixing of S and D -waves carry out at once after the charge-exchange $d \rightarrow nn$ it should give an additional yield too.

The formula (42) does not depend from PSA solutions and it is an advantage in comparison with the formula (29) allowing to find the discrepancy between theory and experiment. Because the method of obtaining of formulas (29) and (41) is the same the additive of 12% should be true for both of them. Therefore the calculated R_{dp} -values need taken with the factor of 1.12 anyway.

6 Conclusion

1. The problem of difference between two representations of elastic interaction of two identical particles is investigated. It leads to two equivalent but not identical expressions of wave function in the final state. The transition between these representations is given by the Majorana operator.
2. The method is proposed to calculation the Dean formula for quasi-elastic charge-exchange reaction $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ where the wave function of proton and two neutrons in the final state is defined as a direct action of nucleon scattering matrix. Using alternative $np \rightarrow np$ backward scattering representation the new formula is established. The differential cross-sections of quasi-elastic $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ and elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ scattering under zero angles should be related among themselves like 1:2.
3. In the energy range $T_n = 0.55 \div 2.0$ GeV the experimental ratio R_{dp} is like a constant on the level 0.56. It is more higher then calculated value $R_{dp} = 1/2$ by 12% and related mainly with an accuracy of impulse approximation.

A significant contribution to this study was made by those who are no longer with us, professors L. N. Strunov, F. Lehar and V. L. Lyuboshits. Our experiment was supported by the Russian Fund of Fundamental Researchers, projects No. 02-02-17129 and No. 07-02-01025.

A1 World Data

Table 2:

Ratios R_{dp} and $r_{np \rightarrow pn}^{nfl/fl}$ and their errors.

Experiment Delta Sigma, 2003-07 [8, 9, 10, 20, 21, 22].

$T_n, \Gamma \text{eB}$	0.55	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.8	2.0
$R_{dp}(0)$	0.608	0.546	0.553	0.554	0.574	0.550	0.584	0.557
ε	0.035	0.024	0.012	0.010	0.027	0.034	0.024	0.024
$r_{np \rightarrow pn}^{nfl/fl}(0)$	0.097	0.222	0.204	0.204	0.162	0.155	0.142	0.197
ε	0.062	0.053	0.026	0.023	0.054	0.074	0.046	0.052

Table 3:

World experimental data on ratios R_{dp} and $r_{np \rightarrow pn}^{nfl/fl}$.

$T_{kin}, \text{M}\text{eB}$	$R_{dp}(0)$	$r_{np \rightarrow pn}^{nfl/fl}(0)$	Laboratory	Year, Ref
90	0.397 ± 0.044	0.679 ± 0.186	UCRL	1951, [29]
95	0.480 ± 0.030	0.389 ± 0.087	Harvard U.	1953, [30]
96	0.587 ± 0.029	0.136 ± 0.056	Harwell	1967, [31]
135	0.652 ± 0.154	0.022 ± 0.241	Harwell	1965, [32]
144	0.601 ± 0.057	0.109 ± 0.105	Harwell	1967, [31]
152	0.650 ± 0.100	0.026 ± 0.158	Harvard U.	1966, [30]
200	0.553 ± 0.030	0.205 ± 0.065	JINR LNP	1962, [33]
270	0.710 ± 0.021	-0.061 ± 0.278	UCRL	1952, [34]
380	0.200 ± 0.035	2.333 ± 0.583	INP Dubna	1955, [35]
647	0.600 ± 0.080	0.111 ± 0.148	LAMPF	1976, [36]
710	0.483 ± 0.080	0.380 ± 0.229	LRL	1960, [37]
794	0.560 ± 0.040	0.190 ± 0.085	LAMPF	1978, [38]
800	0.660 ± 0.080	0.010 ± 0.122	LAMPF	1978, [36]
997	0.550 ± 0.080	0.212 ± 0.176	JINR LHE	2002, [39]

References

- [1] S. M. Bilen’kii, L. I. Lapidus, R. M. Ryndin, “Polarized proton target in experiments with high-energy particles,” *Physics-Uspekhi* **7**, No. 5, pp. 721–754, 1965.
- [2] G. F. Drukarev and V. D. Obedkov, “Polarization phenomena in electron and atomic collisions,” *Physics-Uspekhi* **127**, pp. 621–650, April 1979.
- [3] M. L. Goldberger, Y. Nambu, R. Oehme, “Dispersion relations for nucleon-nucleon scattering,” *Ann. Phys.* **2**, No. 3, pp. 226–282, 1957.
- [4] M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson, *Collision Theory*. New York: Jhon Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1964.
- [5] J. Blatt and V. Weisskopf, *Theoretocal Nuclear Physics*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1952.
- [6] V. L. Lyuboshitz and V. V. Lyuboshitz, “The nucleon charge transfer reaction $n + p \rightarrow p + n$ at the zero angle and the role of spin effects,” in *XVII International Workshop on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering. Towards High Enegy Frontiers* (M. Haguenuer *et al.*, eds.), (Blois, Loire Valley, France, May 15–20, 2005), pp. 223–227, Gioi Publishers, Vietnam, 2006.
- [7] J. Bystrycky, F. Lehar, P. Wintrenitz, “Formalizm of nucleon-nucleon elastic scattering experiments,” *Le Journal de Physique* **39**, No. 1, pp. 1–32, 1978.
- [8] R. A. Shindin, D. K. Guriev, A. A. Morozov, *et al.*, “Separation of flip and non-flip parts of $np \rightarrow pn$ charge exchange at energies $T_n = 0.5 - 2.0$ GeV,” *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **162**, No. 1, pp. 117–123, 2008. Selected and refereed papers from conference SPIN-PRAHA 2007.
- [9] R. A. Shindin, D. K. Guriev, A. A. Morozov, *et al.*, “Separation “flip” and “non-flip” parts of $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange at energies $T_n = 0.5 - 2.0$ GeV and comparison with the psa solutions,” in *Proceedings XII Advanced Research Workshop on High Energy Spin Physics (DSPIN-07)* (A. V. Efremof and S. V. Goloskokov, eds.), (Dubna, Russia), pp. 353–357, Joint Institute for Nuclear Researches, Dubna, September 3-7, 2007-08.
- [10] R. A. Shindin, D. K. Guriev, A. A. Morozov, *et al.*, “Separation of differential $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange cross section into flip and nonflip parts at $T_n = 0.5 - 2.0$ GeV,” *PEPAN Letters* **8**, No. 2 (165), pp. 90–96, 2011.

- [11] G. F. Chew, “The inelastic scattering of high energy neutrons by deuterons according to the impulse approximation,” *Phys. Rev.* **80**, pp. 196–202, 1950.
- [12] G. F. Chew, “A theoretical calculation of the inelastic scattering of 90-MeV neutrons by deuterons,” *Phys. Rev.* **84**, pp. 710–716, 1951.
- [13] I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, “Exchange collisions of fast neutrons with deuterons,” *Russian Academy of Sciences Reports LXXVII*, p. 249, 1951.
- [14] I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, *Collection of Scientific Works. Elementary Particle Physics. Strong interactions.*, vol. III. “NAUKA”, Moscow 1972.
- [15] A. B. Migdal, “The theory of nuclear reactions with production of slow particles,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **1**, pp. 2–6, 1955. Read before the theoretical seminar at the Institute for Physical Problems in October of 1950.
- [16] N. W. Dean, “Symmetrization effect in spectator momentum distribution,” *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, No. 7, pp. 1661–1666, 1972.
- [17] N. W. Dean, “Inelastic scattering from deuteron in the impulse approximation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, No. 11, pp. 2832–2835, 1972.
- [18] V. V. Glagolev, V. L. Lyuboshitz, V. V. Lyuboshitz, N. M. Piskunov, “Charge-exchange breakup of the deuteron with the production of two protons and spin structure of the amplitude of the transfer reaction,” *JINR Preprint E1-99-280*, 1999.
- [19] R. Lednicky, V. L. Lyuboshitz, V. V. Lyuboshitz, “Spin effects and relative momentum spectrum of two protons in deuteron charge-exchange breakup,” in *Proceedings of the XVI International Baldin Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems*, vol. 1, (Dubna, Russia, June 10–15, 2002), pp. 199–211, Dubna, 2003. arXiv:nucl-th/0302036v1.
- [20] L. N. Strunov *et al.*, “Measurements of neutron-proton spin observables at 0° using highest energy polarized d , n probes,” *Czech. J. Phys.* **56**, pp. C434–C357, 2006. Proceedings of International conference SPIN-PRAHA 2005.
- [21] V. I. Sharov, A. A. Morozov, R. A. Shindin, *et al.*, “Measurements of the ratio R_{dp} of the quasi-elastic $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ to the elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange process yields at zero proton emission angle over the 0.55 – 2.0 GeV neutron beam energy region,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **39**, pp. 267–280, March 2009.

- [22] V. I. Sharov, A. A. Morozov, R. A. Shindin, *et al.*, “The ratio R_{dp} of the quasielastic $nd \rightarrow p(nn)$ to the elastic $np \rightarrow pn$ charge-exchange-process yields at the proton emitting angle $\theta_{p,lab} = 0^\circ$ over 0.55 – 2.0 GeV neutron beam energy region. experimental results,” *Physics of Atomic Nuclei* **72**, pp. 1007–1020, 2009.
- [23] R. A. Arndt, I. I. Strakovsky, R. L. Workman, “Updated analysis of nn elastic scattering data to 1.6 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. C*, No. 50, p. 2731, 1994.
- [24] R. A. Arndt, L. D. Roper, R. L. Workman, M. W. McNaughton, “Nucleon-nucleon partial-wave analysis to 1.6 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. D*, No. 45, p. 3995, 1992.
- [25] R. A. Arndt, W. J. Briscoe, I. I. Strakovsky, R. L. Workman, “Updated analysis of nn elastic scattering to 3 GeV,” *Phys. Rev. C*, No. 76, p. 025209, 2007.
- [26] F. Lehar, “The end of pretty fabula and unexpected results on the Nuclotron,” *newspaper “Dubna”*, pp. 2–3, 2 december 2005.
- [27] R. Binz, *Untersuchung der spinabhängigen Neutron-Proton Wechselwirkung im Energiebereich von 150 bis 1100 MeV*. PhD thesis, Fakultät für Physik der Universität Freiburg i. Br., 1991.
- [28] L. Hulthén and M. Sugavara, *The Two-Nucleon Problem*, vol. 39, pp. 32–33, 76, 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.
- [29] N. Powell *Preprint UCRL 1191*, 1951.
- [30] J. A. Hofman and K. Strauch, “On the interaction of 95-MeV protons with d, li, be, c, al, cu, pb nuclei,” *Phys. Rev.* **90**, pp. 449–460, 1953.
- [31] A. Langsford *et al.*, “Fast forward neutron production in the $d(p, n)2p$ reaction for 95.7 and 143.9 MeV protons,” *Nucl. Phys. A*, No. 99, pp. 246–268, 1967.
- [32] E. J. Esten, T. C. Griffith, G. J. Lush, A. J. Metheringham, “Inelastic proton-deuteron scattering at 135 MeV,” *Rev. Mod. Phys. A*, No. 3, p. 533, 1965.
- [33] V. P. Dzhelepov, “Recent investigations on nucleon-nucleon scattering at the dubna synchrocyclotron,” in *International Conference on High-Energy Physics at CERN*, (Geneva), pp. 19–23, 4-11 July 1962.

- [34] J. R. Cladis, J. Hadley, W. N. Hess, “Fast protons from 270-MeV n-d collisions,” *Phys. Rev.* **86**, pp. 110–117, 1952.
- [35] V. P. Dzhelepov *et al.*, “Experimental investigation of neutron-nucleon and neutron-deuteron interaction in the energy region 380–590 MeV,” *IL Nuovo Cimento* **III**, No. 1, pp. 61–79, 1956. Reported at a sessions of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, December 17, 1954.
- [36] C. W. Bjork, P. J. Riley, B. E. Bonner, *et al.*, “Neutron spectra at 0° from p-p and p-d collisions at 647 and 800 MeV incident energies,” *Phys. Lett.* **B**, No. 63, pp. 31–34, 1976.
- [37] R. R. Larsen, “Neutron-proton scattering and the determination of the pion-nucleon coupling constant,” *IL Nuovo Cimento* **XVIII**, No. 5, pp. 1039–1042, 1960.
- [38] B. E. Bonner, J. E. Simmons, J. M. Wallace, *et al.*, “Quasielastic charge exchange in $n^2\text{H} \rightarrow pnn$ at 794 MeV,” *Phys. Rev.* **C**, No. 17, pp. 664–670, 1978.
- [39] V. V. Glagolev *et al.*, “Spin-dependent $np \rightarrow pn$ amplitude estimated from $dp \rightarrow ppn$,” *Eur. Phys. J. A* **15**, No. 4, pp. 471–475, 2002.