Relativistic effects due to gravimagnetic moment of a rotating body

Walberto Guzmán Ramírez^{1*} and Alexei A. Deriglazov^{1,2†}

¹Depto. de Matemática, ICE,

Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil

and

²Laboratory of Mathematical Physics, Tomsk Polytechnic University,

634050 Tomsk, Lenin Ave. 30, Russian Federation

(Dated: March 13, 2022)

We compute exact Hamiltonian (and corresponding Dirac brackets) for spinning particle with gravimagnetic moment κ in an arbitrary gravitational background. $\kappa = 0$ corresponds to the Mathisson-Papapetrou-Tulczyjew-Dixon (MPTD) equations. $\kappa = 1$ leads to modified MPTD equations with reasonable behavior in the ultrarelativistic limit. So we study the modified equations in the leading post-Newtonian approximation. Rotating body with unit gravimagnetic moment has qualitatively different behavior as compared with MPTD body: A) If a number of gyroscopes with various rotation axes are freely traveling together, the angles between the axes change with time. B) For specific binary systems, gravimagnetic moment gives a contribution to frame-dragging effect with the magnitude, that turns out to be comparable with that of Schiff frame dragging.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 03.65.Sq, 04.20.Fy, 04.20.Cv, 04.80.Cc.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rotating body in general relativity is usually described on the base of manifestly generally covariant Mathisson-Papapetrou-Tulczyjew-Dixon (MPTD) equations, that prescribe the dynamics of both trajectory and spin of the body in an external gravitational field [1–6]. Starting from the pioneer works, these equations were considered as a Hamiltonian-type system. In the recent work [7], we realized this idea by constructing the minimal interaction with gravity in the vector model of spinning particle, and showed that this indeed leads to MPTD equations in the Hamiltonian formalism (see also below). This allowed us to study ultra relativistic limit in exact equations for trajectory of MPTD particle in the laboratory time. Using the Landau-Lifshitz (1+3)-decomposition [8] we observed that, unlike a geodesic equation, the MPTD equations lead to the expression for three-acceleration which contains divergent terms as $v \to c$ [9]. Fast test particles are now under intensive investigation [10–14], and represent an important tool in the study, for example, of near horizon geometry of black holes [15–23]. So, it would be interesting to find a generalization of MPTD equations with improved behavior in ultra relativistic regime. This can be achieved, if we add a non-minimal spin-gravity interaction through gravimagnetic moment [24]. In the theory with unit gravimagnetic moment, both acceleration and spin torque have reasonable behavior in ultra relativistic limit. In the present work we study the modified equations in the regime of small velocities in the leading post-Newtonian approximation. In Schwarzschild and Kerr space-times, the modified equations imply a number of qualitatively new effects, that could be used to

test experimentally, whether a rotating body in general relativity has null or unit gravimagnetic moment.

The work is organized as follows. In Sect. II we shortly describe Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations of vector model of spinning particle and compute Dirac brackets of the theory in an arbitrary gravitational background. In the formulation with use of Dirac brackets, the complete Hamiltonian acquires a simple and expected form, while an approximate $\frac{1}{c^2}$ Hamiltonian, further obtained in Sect. IV, strongly resembles that of spinning particle in electromagnetic background. This is in correspondence with the known analogy between gravity and electromagnetism [25–28]. In Sect. III we introduce non-minimal spin-gravity interaction through the gravimagnetic moment and obtain the corresponding equations of motion. We show that constants of motion due to isometries of space-time for the MPTD and the modified equations are the same. In section IV we compute the leading post-Newtonian corrections to the trajectory and spin of our particle with unit gravimagnetic moment, and present the corresponding effective Hamiltonian in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation. The non-minimal interaction implies extra contributions into both trajectory and spin, as compared with MPTD equations in the same approximation. A number of effects due to non-minimal interaction are discussed in Sect. V.

Notation. Our variables are taken in arbitrary parametrization τ , then $\dot{x}^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau}$. The square brackets mean antisymmetrization, $\omega^{[\mu}\pi^{\nu]} = \omega^{\mu}\pi^{\nu} - \omega^{\nu}\pi^{\mu}$. For the four-dimensional quantities we suppress the contracted indexes and use the notation $\dot{x}^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^{\nu} = \dot{x}G\dot{x}$, $N^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\dot{x}^{\nu} = (N\dot{x})^{\mu}$, $\omega^2 = g_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu}\omega^{\nu}$, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Notation for the scalar functions constructed from second-rank tensors are $\theta S = \theta^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}$, $S^2 = S^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}$. When we work in four-dimensional Minkowski space with coordinates $x^{\mu} = (x^0 = ct, x^i)$, we use the metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-, +, +, +)$, then $\dot{x}\omega = \dot{x}^{\mu}\omega_{\mu} = -\dot{x}^0\omega^0 + \dot{x}^i\omega^i$ and so on. Suppressing the indexes of three-dimensional quanti-

^{*} wguzman@cbpf.br

[†] alexei.deriglazov@ufjf.edu.br

ties, we use bold letters, $v^i \gamma_{ij} a^j = \mathbf{v} \gamma \mathbf{a}$, $v^i G_{i\mu} v^{\mu} = \mathbf{v} G v$, i, j = 1, 2, 3, and so on.

The covariant derivative is $\nabla \omega^{\mu} = \frac{d\omega^{\mu}}{d\tau} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^{\alpha}\omega^{\beta}$. The tensor of Riemann curvature is $R^{\sigma}_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\lambda\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\lambda\mu} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\beta\mu}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\lambda\nu} - \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\beta\nu}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\lambda\mu}$.

II. VECTOR MODEL OF SPIN AND MATHISSON-PAPAPETROU-TULCZYJEW-DIXON EQUATIONS

In the vector model of spin presented in [29], the configuration space consist of the position of the particle $x^{\mu}(\tau)$, and the vector $\omega^{\mu}(\tau)$ attached to the point $x^{\mu}(\tau)$. Minimal interaction with gravity is achieved by direct covariantization of the free action, initially formulated in Minkowski space. That is we replace $\eta_{\mu\nu} \to g_{\mu\nu}$, and usual derivative of the vector ω^{μ} by the covariant derivative: $\dot{\omega}^{\mu} \to \nabla \omega^{\mu}$. The resulting Lagrangian action reads [7]

$$S = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int d\tau \left[m^2 c^2 - \frac{\alpha}{\omega^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \sqrt{-\dot{x}N\dot{x} - \nabla\omega N\nabla\omega + T^{1/2}} \,. \tag{1}$$

We have denoted $T \equiv [\dot{x}N\dot{x} + \nabla\omega N\nabla\omega]^2 - 4(\dot{x}N\nabla\omega)^2$, and $N_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\omega_{\mu}\omega_{\nu}}{\omega^2}$. The matrix N is a projector on the plane orthogonal to ω : $N_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\nu} = 0$. The parameter α determines the value of spin, in particular, $\alpha = \frac{3\hbar^2}{4}$ corresponds to the spin one-half particle. In the spinless limit, $\omega^{\mu} = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$, Eq. (1) reduces to the standard Lagrangian of a point particle, $-mc\sqrt{-\dot{x}^2}$.

The action is manifestly invariant under generalcoordinate transformations as well as under reparametrizations of the evolution parameter τ . Besides, there is one more local symmetry, which acts in spin-sector and called the spin-plane symmetry: the action remains invariant under rotations of the vectors ω^{μ} and $\pi_{\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\omega}^{\mu}}$ in their own plane [30]. Being affected by the local transformation, these vectors do not represent observable quantities. But their combination, $S^{\mu\nu} = 2(\omega^{\mu}\pi^{\nu} - \omega^{\nu}\pi^{\mu})$, is an invariant quantity, which represents the spin-tensor of the particle. We decompose the spin-tensor as follows:

$$S^{\mu\nu} = 2(\omega^{\mu}\pi^{\nu} - \omega^{\nu}\pi^{\mu}) = (S^{i0} = D^{i}, S_{ij} = 2\epsilon_{ijk}S_{k})(2)$$

where S_i is three-dimensional spin-vector, and D_i is dipole electric moment [31].

Since we deal with a local-invariant theory and, furthermore, one of the basic observables is constructed from the phase-space variables, the Hamiltonian formalism is the most convenient for analyzing the dynamics of the theory. So, we first obtain the Hamiltonian equations of motion, and next, excluding momenta, we arrive at the Lagrangian equations for the physical-sector variables xand S. Conjugate momenta for x^{μ} and ω^{μ} are $p_{\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\mu}}$ and $\pi_{\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\omega}^{\mu}}$ respectively. Due to the presence of \dot{x}^{μ} in $\nabla \omega^{\mu}$, the conjugated momentum p_{μ} does not transform as a vector, so it is convenient to define the canonical momentum

$$P_{\mu} \equiv p_{\mu} - \Gamma^{\beta}_{\alpha\mu} \omega^{\alpha} \pi_{\beta} , \qquad (3)$$

which transforms as a vector under general-coordinate transformations. The full set of phase-space coordinates consists of the pairs x^{μ}, p_{μ} and ω^{μ}, π_{μ} . They fulfill the fundamental Poisson brackets $\{x^{\mu}, p_{\nu}\} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}, \{\omega^{\mu}, \pi_{\nu}\} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}$, then

$$\{P_{\mu}, \omega^{\nu}\} = \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha}\omega^{\alpha}, \quad \{P_{\mu}, \pi_{\nu}\} = -\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}\pi_{\alpha}, \\ \{P_{\mu}, \omega^{2}\} = \{P_{\mu}, \pi^{2}\} = \{P_{\mu}, \omega\pi\} = 0.$$
 (4)

For the quantities x^{μ} , P^{μ} and $S^{\mu\nu}$, the basic Poisson brackets imply the typical relations used by people for spinning particles in Hamiltonian formalism

$$\{x^{\mu}, P_{\nu}\} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}, \quad \{P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}\} = -\frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S^{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\{P_{\mu}, S^{\alpha\beta}\} = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\sigma} S^{\sigma\beta} - \Gamma^{\beta}_{\mu\sigma} S^{\sigma\alpha},$$

$$\{S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\alpha\beta}\} = 2(g^{\mu\alpha} S^{\nu\beta} - g^{\mu\beta} S^{\nu\alpha} - g^{\nu\alpha} S^{\mu\beta} + g^{\nu\beta} S^{\mu\alpha}).$$

$$(5)$$

Applying the Dirac-Bergman procedure for a singular system to the theory (1), we arrive at the Hamiltonian [9]

$$H = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} [T_1 + 4a(\pi\theta P)T_3 - 4a(\omega\theta P)T_4 + T_5] + \lambda_2 T_2(6)$$

composed of the constraints

$$T_{1} \equiv P^{2} + m^{2}c^{2} = 0,$$
(7)

$$T_{2} \equiv \omega\pi = 0, \quad T_{3} \equiv P\omega = 0, \quad T_{4} \equiv P\pi = 0,$$
(8)

$$T_{5} \equiv \pi^{2} - \frac{\alpha}{\omega^{2}} = 0.$$
(8)

In the expression for H we have denoted

$$\theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}S^{\alpha\beta}, \qquad a \equiv \frac{2}{16m^2c^2 + (\theta S)}.$$
(9)

The antisymmetric tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ turns out to be gravitational analogy of the electromagnetic field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$, see below. T_1, \ldots, T_4 appear as the primary constraints in the course of Dirac-Bergmann procedure, T_5 is the only secondary constraint of the theory, and λ_1, λ_2 are the Lagrangian multipliers associated to T_1 and T_2 . Poisson brackets of the constraints are summarized in Table I. The Table implies that T_3 and T_4 represent a pair of second-class constraints, while T_2, T_5 and the combination $T_1 + 4a(\pi\theta P)T_3 - 4a(\omega\theta P)T_4$ are the first-class constraints. So the Hamiltonian (6) consist of the first-class constraints.

Taking into account that each second-class constraint rules out one phase-space variable, whereas each firstclass constraint rules out two variables, we have the right number of spin degrees of freedom, 8 - (2 + 4) = 2. The meaning of the constraints becomes clear if we consider

	T_1	T_5	T_2	T_3	T_4
$T_1 = P^2 + m^2 c^2$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}(\omega\theta P)$	$\frac{1}{2}(\pi\theta P)$
$T_5 = \pi^2 - \frac{\alpha}{\omega^2}$	0	0	$-2T_{5}$	$-2T_{4}$	$-2\alpha T_3/(\omega^2)^2$
$T_2 = \omega \pi$	0	$2T_5$	0	$-T_3$	T_4
$T_3 = P\omega$	$-\frac{1}{2}(\omega\theta P)$	$2T_4$	T_3	0	$P^2 - \frac{(\theta S)}{16} \approx -\frac{1}{8a}$
$T_4 = P\pi$	$-\frac{1}{2}(\pi\theta P)$	$2\alpha T_3/(\omega^2)^2$	$-T_4$	$-P^2 + \frac{(\theta S)}{16} \approx \frac{1}{8a}$	0

TABLE I. Poisson brackets of constraints

their effect over the spin tensor. The second-class constraints $T_3 = 0$ and $T_4 = 0$ imply the spin supplementary condition

$$S^{\mu\nu}P_{\nu} = 0\,,\,\,(10)$$

while the first-class constraints T_2 and T_5 fix the value of square of the spin tensor

$$S^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu} = 8\alpha. \tag{11}$$

The equations (10) and (11) imply that only two components of spin-tensor are independent, as it should be for an elementary spin one-half particle.

We could use Poisson brackets to obtain the Hamiltonian equations, $\dot{z} = \{z, H\}$, for the variables of physical sector z = (x, P, S). But in this case we are forced to work with rather inconvenient Hamiltonian (6). Instead, we construct the Dirac bracket associated with secondclass constraints T_3 and T_4 . It is convenient to denote $\{T_3, T_4\} = -\frac{1}{8\Delta}$, where $\Delta = \frac{-2}{16P^2 - (\theta S)}$, then $\Delta \approx a$ on the surface of mass-shell constraint $T_1 = 0$. The Dirac bracket reads

$$\{A, B\}_D = \{A, B\} - 8\triangle \left[\{A, T_3\}\{T_4, B\} - \{A, T_4\}\{T_3, B\}\right] . (12)$$

By construction, the Dirac bracket of any variable with the constraints vanishes, so T_3 and T_4 can be omitted from the Hamiltonian. The first-class constraints T_2 and T_5 can be omitted as well, since brackets of the variables x, P and S with them vanish on the constraint surface. In the result we arrive at a simple Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(P^2 + m^2 c^2 \right) \,, \tag{13}$$

which looks like that of a free point particle. All the information on spin and interaction is encoded now in the Dirac bracket. In particular, equations of motion are obtained according the rule $\dot{z} = \{z, H_0\}_D$.

Poisson brackets of our variables with T_3 and T_4 are

$$\begin{aligned} \{x^{\mu}, T_3\} &= \omega^{\mu}, \quad \{x^{\mu}, T_4\} = \pi^{\mu}, \\ \{P_{\alpha}, T_3\} &= -\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\beta} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} P_{\lambda} \omega^{\beta}, \\ \{P_{\alpha}, T_4\} &= -\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\alpha\beta} \pi^{\beta} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} P_{\lambda} \pi^{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\{S^{\mu\nu}, T_3\} = 2P^{[\mu}\omega^{\nu]} + \Gamma^{[\mu}_{\alpha\beta}S^{\nu]\alpha}\omega^{\beta}, \{S^{\mu\nu}, T_4\} = 2P^{[\mu}\pi^{\nu]} + \Gamma^{[\mu}_{\alpha\beta}S^{\nu]\alpha}\pi^{\beta}.$$
(14)

Using these expressions in (12), we obtain manifest form of the Dirac brackets

$$\{x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}\}_{D} = 4\Delta S^{\mu\nu},$$

$$\{P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}\}_{D} = -\frac{1}{4}\theta_{\mu\nu} + 4\Delta(\Gamma P)_{\mu\alpha}S^{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma P)_{\beta\nu}$$

$$-\frac{\Delta}{8}\left(\theta_{\mu\alpha}S^{\alpha\beta}\left[\theta_{\beta\nu} + 4(\Gamma P)_{\beta\nu}\right] - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)\right),$$

$$\{x^{\mu}, P_{\alpha}\}_{D} = \delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \Delta S^{\mu\beta}\left[\theta_{\beta\alpha} + 4(\Gamma P)_{\beta\alpha}\right],$$

$$\{x^{\mu}, S^{\alpha\beta}\}_{D} = -8\Delta\left[S^{\mu[\alpha}P^{\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}S^{\mu\sigma}\Gamma^{[\alpha}_{\sigma\lambda}S^{\beta]\lambda}\right],$$

$$\{P_{\alpha}, S^{\mu\nu}\}_{D} = -\Gamma^{[\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}S^{\nu]\sigma}$$

$$+\Delta\left[\theta_{\alpha\beta} + 4(\Gamma P)_{\alpha\beta}\right]\left(2S^{\beta[\mu}P^{\nu]} - S^{\beta\eta}\Gamma^{[\mu}_{\eta\lambda}S^{\nu]\lambda}\right),$$

$$\{S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\alpha\beta}\}_{D} = \{S^{\mu\nu}, S^{\alpha\beta}\}$$

$$-8\Delta\left[2\left(P^{\mu}P^{\alpha}S^{\beta\nu} - P^{\mu}P^{\beta}S^{\alpha\nu} - P^{\nu}P^{\alpha}S^{\beta\mu} + P^{\nu}P^{\beta}S^{\alpha\mu}\right)$$

$$-P^{[\mu}S^{\nu]\lambda}\Gamma^{[\alpha}_{\lambda\sigma}S^{\beta]\sigma} + P^{[\alpha}S^{\beta]\lambda}\Gamma^{[\mu}_{\lambda\sigma}S^{\nu]\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{[\mu}_{\sigma\lambda}S^{\nu]\sigma}S^{\lambda\rho}\Gamma^{[\alpha}_{\rho\epsilon}S^{\beta]\epsilon} \right].$$

Their right hand sides do not contain explicitly the variables ω and π , so the brackets form a closed algebra for the set (x, P, S).

The Dirac brackets remain different from the Poisson brackets even in the limit of a free theory, $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}$. In particular, in the sector of canonical variables x and p we have

$$\{x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}\}_{D} = -\frac{S^{\mu\nu}}{2p^{2}}, [x^{\mu}, p^{\nu}]_{D} = \eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \{p^{\mu}, p^{\nu}\}_{D} = 0.$$
(16)

Hence, account of spin leads to deformation of the phasespace symplectic structure: the position variables of relativistic spinning particle obey the noncommutative bracket, with the noncommutativity parameter being proportional to the spin-tensor. This must be taken into account in construction of quantum mechanics of a spinning particle [32, 33]. In particular, for an electron in electromagnetic field, the spin-induced noncommutativity explains the famous one-half factor in the Pauli equation without appeal to the Thomas precession, Dirac equation or to the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation, see [34]. Besides, for a spinning body in gravitational field, the spin-induced noncommutativity clarifies the discrepancy in expressions for three-acceleration obtained by different methods, see [35].

Using the Dirac brackets together with the Hamiltonian (13), we obtain equations of motion

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}^{\mu} &= \{x^{\mu}, H_{0}\}_{D} = \lambda_{1} \left[P^{\mu} + aS^{\mu\beta}\theta_{\beta\alpha}P^{\alpha}\right], \\ \dot{P}_{\mu} &= \{P_{\mu}, H_{0}\}_{D} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\theta_{\mu\nu} + (\Gamma P)_{\mu\nu}\right)\lambda_{1} \left[P^{\nu} + aS^{\nu\beta}\theta_{\beta\alpha}P^{\alpha}\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\theta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^{\nu} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}P_{\alpha}\dot{x}^{\nu}, \\ \dot{S}^{\mu\nu} &= \{S^{\mu\nu}, H_{0}\}_{D} \\ &= (2P^{\mu}\delta^{\nu}{}_{\alpha} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}S^{\sigma\nu})\lambda_{1} \left[P^{\alpha} + aS^{\alpha\beta}\theta_{\beta\gamma}P^{\gamma}\right] - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ &= 2P^{[\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu]} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\sigma}S^{\sigma\nu}\dot{x}^{\alpha} - \Gamma^{\nu}_{\alpha\sigma}S^{\mu\sigma}\dot{x}^{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

They can be rewritten in a manifestly general-covariant form as follows:

$$\dot{x}^{\mu} = \lambda_1 \left(\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + a S^{\mu\beta} \theta_{\beta\nu} \right) P^{\nu} , \qquad (18)$$

$$\nabla P_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} S^{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^{\nu} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} , \qquad (19)$$

$$\nabla S^{\mu\nu} = 2(P^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu} - P^{\nu}\dot{x}^{\mu}).$$
 (20)

Some relevant comments are in order.

1. Comparison with MPTD equations. Despite the fact that the vector model has been initially constructed as a theory of an elementary particle of spin one-half, it turns out to be suitable to describe a rotating body in general relativity in the pole-dipole approximation [5, 36]. Indeed, the equations (19) and (20) coincide with Dixon equations of the body (our spin is twice of that of Dixon), while our constraint (10) is just the Tulczyjew spin supplementary condition¹. Besides, the Hamiltonian equation (18) can be identified with the velocity-momentum relation, implied by MPTD-equations, see [24] for a detailed comparison. The only difference is that values of momentum and spin are conserved quantities of MPTD equations, while in the vector model they are fixed by constraints. In summary [24], to study the class of trajectories of a body with $\sqrt{-P^2} = k$ and $S^2 = \beta$, we can use our spinning particle with $m = \frac{k}{c}$ and $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{8}$. 2.

2. Ultra relativistic limit. Using the Landau-Lifshitz 1 + 3-decomposition [8], we showed in [24] that MPTD equations yield a paradoxical behavior in ultra relativistic limit: three-dimensional acceleration of the particle grows with its speed, and diverges as $|\mathbf{v}| \rightarrow c$. In the next section, we improve this by adding a non-minimal spin-gravity interaction through the gravimagnetic moment.

3. Analogy between gravitation and electromagnetism. Many people mentioned remarkable analogies between gravitation and electromagnetism in various circumstances [16, 25–28]. Here we observe an analogy, comparing (18)-(20) with equations of motion of spinning particle (with null gyromagnetic ratio) [29] in electromagnetic field with the strength $F_{\mu\nu}$

$$\dot{x}^{\mu} = \lambda_1 \left(\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + a S^{\mu\beta} F_{\beta\nu} \right) P^{\nu},$$

where $a = \frac{-2e}{4m^2 c^3 - e(SF)},$ (21)

$$\dot{P}_{\mu} = -\frac{e}{c} F_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} , \qquad (22)$$

$$\dot{S}^{\mu\nu} = 2P^{[\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu]} \,. \tag{23}$$

The system just turns into another if we identify $\theta_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta} \sim F_{\mu\nu}$, and set $e = -\frac{c}{4}$. That is a curvature influences trajectory of a spinning particle in the same way as an electromagnetic field with the strength $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. We now use this analogy to construct a non-minimal spin-gravity interaction.

III. ROTATING BODY WITH GRAVIMAGNETIC MOMENT

The Hamiltonian (6) is a combination of constraints, so the Hamiltonian formulation of our model is completely determined by the set of constraints (7), (8), and by the expression (3) for canonical momentum P^{μ} through the conjugated momentum p^{μ} . We observe that algebraic properties of the constraints do not change, if we replace the mass-shell constraint $T_1 = P^2 + m^2 c^2$ by $\tilde{T}_1 = P^2 + f(x, P, S) + m^2 c^2$, where $f(x^{\mu}, P^{\nu}, S^{\mu\nu})$ is an arbitrary scalar function. Indeed, in the modified theory T_3 and T_4 remain the second-class constraints, while T_2, T_5 and the combination $\tilde{T}_1 - \{T_3, T_4\}^{-1}\{\tilde{T}_1, T_4\}T_3 +$ $\{T_3, T_4\}^{-1}\{\tilde{T}_1, T_3\}T_4$, form a set of first-class constraints. If we confine ourselves to the linear in curvature and quadratic in spin approximation, the only scalar function f, which can be constructed from the quantities at our disposal is $\frac{\kappa}{16}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}S^{\mu\nu}S^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \kappa R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\omega^{\mu}\pi^{\nu}\omega^{\alpha}\pi^{\beta}$, where κ is a dimensionless parameter. The resulting constraint

$$\tilde{T}_1 = P^2 + \frac{\kappa}{16}(\theta S) + m^2 c^2 = 0, \qquad (24)$$

is similar to the Hamiltonian $\frac{\lambda_1}{2} \left(P^2 - \frac{eg}{c}(FS) + m^2c^2\right)$ of a spinning particle interacting with electromagnetic field through the gyromagnetic ratio g, see [29]. In view of this similarity, the interaction constant κ is called gravimagnetic moment [15, 16], and we expect that nonminimally interacting theory with the Hamiltonian (24) could be consistent generalization of MPTD equations. The consistency has been confirmed in [24], where we presented the Lagrangian action of a spinning particle that implies the constraints (24) and (8) in Hamiltonian formalism.

¹ While the variational problem dictates [37] the equation (10), in the multipole approach there is a freedom in the choice of a spin supplementary condition, related with the freedom in the choice of a representative point x^{μ} describing position of the body [3, 4, 6]. Different conditions lead to the same results in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation, see [5, 38, 39].

Poisson brackets of the constraints \tilde{T}_1 , T_3 and T_4 read

$$\{\bar{T}_1, T_3\} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \kappa) (\omega \theta P) + \kappa \omega^{\sigma} (\nabla_{\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}) \omega^{\mu} \pi^{\nu} \omega^{\alpha} \pi^{\beta} .$$
(25)

$$\{\bar{T}_1, T_4\} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \kappa) (\pi \theta P) + \kappa \pi^{\sigma} (\nabla_{\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}) \omega^{\mu} \pi^{\nu} \omega^{\alpha} \pi^{\beta} .$$
(26)

$$\{T_3, T_4\} = P^2 - \frac{1}{16} (\theta S) \approx -8\bar{a},$$

where $\bar{a} = \frac{2}{16m^2c^2 + (\kappa + 1)(\theta S)}.$ (27)

These expressions must be substituted in place of terms $\frac{1}{2}(\omega\theta P)$, $\frac{1}{2}(\omega\theta P)$ and a in the Table I. The Dirac brackets (15), being constructed with help of T_3 and T_4 , remain valid in the modified theory. Our new Hamiltonian is $H = \frac{\lambda}{2}H_0 + \frac{\lambda}{2}H_{\kappa}$, with H_0 from (13) and $H_{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{16}(\theta S)$. Hence, to obtain the manifest form of equations of motion $\dot{z} = \{z, H_0\}_D + \{z, H_{\kappa}\}_D$, we only need to compute the brackets $\{z, H_{\kappa}\}_D$. They are

$$\{x^{\mu}, H_{\kappa}\}_{D} = -\lambda_{1}\kappa\bar{a} \left[S^{\mu\alpha}\theta_{\alpha\beta}P^{\beta} - \frac{1}{8}S^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_{\nu}R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda})S^{\alpha\beta}S^{\sigma\lambda}\right], \qquad (28)$$

$$\{P_{\mu}, H_{\kappa}\}_{D} = -\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\mu\alpha} \{x^{\alpha}, H_{\kappa}\}_{D} + \Gamma^{\beta}_{\mu\alpha} P_{\beta} \{x^{\alpha}, H_{\kappa}\}_{D} - \frac{\lambda_{1}\kappa}{32} (\nabla_{\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda}) S^{\alpha\beta} S^{\sigma\lambda}, \qquad (29)$$

$$\{S^{\mu\nu}, H_{\kappa}\}_{D} = \frac{\kappa\lambda_{1}}{4} \theta^{[\mu}_{\alpha} S^{\nu]\alpha} + 2P^{[\mu}\{x^{\nu]}, H_{\kappa}\}_{D} - \left(\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} S^{\alpha\nu} + \Gamma^{\nu}_{\alpha\beta} S^{\mu\alpha}\right) \{x^{\beta}, H_{\kappa}\}_{D}. (30)$$

Adding them to the equations $\dot{z} = \{z, H_0\}_D$ given in (18)-(20), we arrive at the dynamical equations

$$\dot{x}^{\mu} = \lambda_1 \left[\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - \bar{a}(\kappa - 1) S^{\mu\alpha} \theta_{\alpha\nu} \right] P^{\nu} + \frac{\lambda_1 \kappa \bar{a}}{8} S^{\mu\nu} (\nabla_{\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda}) S^{\alpha\beta} S^{\sigma\lambda} , \qquad (31)$$

$$\nabla P_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} - \frac{\lambda_1 \kappa}{32} (\nabla_{\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda}) S^{\alpha\beta} S^{\sigma\lambda} , \quad (32)$$

$$\nabla S^{\mu\nu} = 2P^{[\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu]} + \frac{\lambda_1\kappa}{4}\theta^{[\mu}{}_{\alpha}S^{\nu]\alpha}.$$
(33)

Together with the constraints (10), (11), and (24), they give complete system of Hamiltonian equations of spinning particle with gravimagnetic moment κ . As it should be, our equations reduce to MPTD equations (18)-(20) when $\kappa = 0$. Comparing the two systems, we see that the non-minimal interaction yields quadratic and cubic in spin corrections to MPTD equations.

The equations (31)-(33) are greatly simplified for a particle with unit gravimagnetic moment, $\kappa = 1$ (gravimagnetic particle). It has a qualitatively different behavior as compared with MPTD particle. First, gravimagnetic particle has an expected behavior in the ultra relativistic limit [9, 24]: three-dimensional acceleration of the particle and angular velocity of precession remain finite as $|\mathbf{v}| \rightarrow c$, while the longitudinal acceleration vanishes in the limit. Second, at low velocities, taking $\kappa = 1$ and keeping only the terms which may give a contribution in the leading post-Newton approximation, $\sim \frac{1}{c^2}$, we obtain from (31)-(33) the approximate equations

$$\dot{x}^{\mu} = \lambda_1 P^{\mu} , \ \nabla P_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} - \frac{\lambda_1}{32} (\nabla_{\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda}) S^{\alpha\beta} S^{\sigma\lambda} ,$$
$$\nabla S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\lambda_1}{4} \theta^{[\mu}{}_{\alpha} S^{\nu]\alpha} , \qquad (34)$$

while MPTD equations ($\kappa = 0$) in the same approximation read

$$\dot{x}^{\mu} = \lambda_1 P^{\mu}, \quad \nabla P_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu}, \quad \nabla S^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$
 (35)

In Sect. IV, we compute $\frac{1}{c^2}$ corrections due to the extraterms appeared in (34).

Conserved charges. In curved space which possesses some isometry, MPTD equations admit a constant of motion (see, for example, [7])

$$J^{(\xi)} = P^{\mu}\xi_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}S^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu}, \qquad (36)$$

where ξ_{μ} is Killing vector which generates the isometry, i.e., $\nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} = 0$. Let us show that $J^{(\xi)}$ remains a constant of motion when the gravimagnetic interaction is included. Using (32) and (33), we obtain by direct calculation

$$\dot{J}^{(\xi)} = \frac{\kappa\lambda_1}{8} \left[S^{\alpha\beta} R^{\mu}_{\ \sigma\alpha\beta} S^{\sigma\nu} \nabla_{\nu} \xi_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4} S^{\alpha\beta} S^{\sigma\lambda} \xi^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda} \right]$$
(37)

Using the Bianchi identities we find the relation

$$S^{\alpha\beta}S^{\sigma\lambda}\xi^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda} = 2S^{\alpha\beta}S^{\sigma\nu}\xi^{\mu}\nabla_{\sigma}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}.$$
 (38)

Derivative of a curvature tensor is related with derivative of a Killing vector by the formula $\xi^{\mu} \nabla_{\sigma} R_{\alpha\beta\nu\mu} - \xi^{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\mu} = R_{\alpha\beta\sigma}^{\ \mu} \nabla_{\nu} \xi_{\mu} - R_{\alpha\beta\nu}^{\ \mu} \nabla_{\sigma} \xi_{\mu} + R_{\sigma\nu\alpha}^{\ \mu} \nabla_{\beta} \xi_{\mu} - R_{\sigma\nu\beta}^{\ \mu} \nabla_{\alpha} \xi_{\mu}$. Contracting twice with the spin tensor we obtain

$$S^{\alpha\beta}S^{\sigma\nu}\xi^{\mu}\nabla_{\sigma}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 2S^{\alpha\beta}R^{\mu}_{\ \sigma\alpha\beta}S^{\sigma\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu}.$$
 (39)

Using this expression in (38), we obtain $S^{\alpha\beta}S^{\sigma\lambda}\xi^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}R_{\alpha\beta\sigma\lambda} = 4S^{\alpha\beta}R^{\mu}_{\ \sigma\alpha\beta}S^{\sigma\nu}\nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu}$. This implies that the right hand side of (37) vanishes, so $\dot{J}^{(\xi)} = 0$. Thus, the quantity (36) represents a constant of motion of a spinning particle with gravimagnetic moment.

Lagrangian System of equations of motion. Since we are interested in the influence of non-minimal spingravity interaction on trajectory and spin of the particle, we eliminate the momenta P^{μ} and the auxiliary variable λ_1 from the equations (31)-(33), obtaining their Lagrangian form. In the equation (31), which relates velocity and momentum, appeared the matrix

$$T^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} \equiv \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} - (\kappa - 1)\bar{a}S^{\alpha\sigma}\theta_{\sigma\nu} \,. \tag{40}$$

Using the identity $(S\theta S)^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}(S^{\alpha\beta}\theta_{\alpha\beta})S^{\mu\nu}$, we find inverse² of the matrix T

$$\tilde{T}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} \equiv \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\nu} + (\kappa - 1)bS^{\alpha\sigma}\theta_{\sigma\nu} , \ b = \frac{1}{8m^2c^2 + \kappa(S\theta)} (41)$$

Using (41), we solve (31) with respect to P^{μ} . Using the resulting expression in the constraint (24), we obtain $\lambda_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-\dot{x}G\dot{x}}}{m_r c}$, where $m_r^2 \equiv m^2 + \frac{\kappa}{16c^2}(S\theta) - \kappa^2$ $\kappa^2 Z^2$ is the radiation mass in gravitational field. By Z^{μ} we have denoted the vector, which vanishes in spaces with covariantly-constant curvature, $Z^{\mu} =$ $\frac{b}{8c}S^{\mu\sigma}(\nabla_{\sigma}R_{\alpha\beta\rho\delta})S^{\alpha\beta}S^{\rho\delta}$. Besides, in the expression for λ_1 appeared a kind of effective metric G induced by spin-gravity interaction along the world-line, $G_{\mu\nu} =$ $\tilde{T}^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}g_{\alpha\beta}\tilde{T}^{\beta}{}_{\nu}$. Only for the gravimagnetic particle ($\kappa = 1$), the effective metric reduces to the original one. Using (31) and (41), we obtain expression for momentum in terms of velocity

$$P^{\mu} = \frac{m_r c}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}G\dot{x}}} \tilde{T}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} - \kappa c Z^{\mu}.$$
(42)

We substitute this P^{μ} into (32) and (33), arriving at the Lagrangian equations of our spinning particle with gravimagnetic moment κ

$$\nabla \left[\frac{m_r}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}G\dot{x}}} \tilde{T}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} \right] = -\frac{1}{4c} \theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} - \kappa \frac{\sqrt{-\dot{x}G\dot{x}}}{32m_r c^2} \nabla^{\mu} (S\theta) + \kappa \nabla Z^{\mu}, \quad (43)$$

$$\nabla S^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\kappa \sqrt{-\dot{x}G\dot{x}}}{4m_r c} (\theta S)^{[\mu\nu]} - \frac{2m_r c(\kappa - 1)b}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}G\dot{x}}} \dot{x}^{[\mu} (S\theta \dot{x})^{\nu]} + 2\kappa c \dot{x}^{[\mu} Z^{\nu]}.$$
(44)

IV. LEADING POST-NEWTONIAN CORRECTIONS DUE TO UNIT GRAVIMAGNETIC MOMENT

Taking $\kappa = 1$ in (43) and (44), we obtain equations of our gravimagnetic body

$$\nabla \left[\frac{m_r \dot{x}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}} \right] = -\frac{1}{4c} \theta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \dot{x}^{\nu} - \frac{\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}}{32m_r c^2} \nabla^{\mu} (S\theta) + \nabla Z^{\mu} , \qquad (45)$$

$$\nabla S^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}}}{4m_r c} (\theta S)^{[\mu\nu]} + 2c\dot{x}^{[\mu}Z^{\nu]} . \quad (46)$$

To test these equations, we compute the leading relativistic corrections due to unit gravimagnetic moment to the trajectory and precession of a gyroscope, orbiting around a rotating spherical body of mass M and angular momentum **J**. To this aim, we write equations of motion implied by (45) and (46) for the three-dimensional position $x^{i}(t)$ and for the spin-vector

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \left(S^{23}, S^{31}, S^{12} \right), \quad \text{or} \quad S_i(t) = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijk} S^{jk}(t), \\ S^{ij} = 2\epsilon^{ijk} S_k, \quad (47)$$

as functions of the coordinate time $t = \frac{x^0}{c}$. Due to the reparametrization invariance, the desired equations are obtained by setting $\tau = t$ in (45) and (46). We consider separately the trajectory and the spin.

Trajectory. We denote $v^{\mu} \equiv \frac{dx^{\mu}}{dt} = (c, \mathbf{v})$, so $\sqrt{-\dot{x}g\dot{x}} = \sqrt{-vgv} = \sqrt{-c^2g_{00} - 2cg_{0i}v^i - g_{ij}v^iv^j}$. The temporal and spatial parts of Eq. (45) read

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{m_r}{\sqrt{-vgv}} \right] &+ \frac{m_r}{c\sqrt{-vgv}} \Gamma^0{}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu \\ &= -\frac{1}{4c^2} \theta^0{}_\nu v^\nu - \frac{\sqrt{-vgv}}{32m_r c^3} \nabla^0(S\theta) + \frac{1}{c} \nabla_t Z^0 \,, \\ \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} &+ \Gamma^i_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu + \frac{v^i \sqrt{-vgv}}{m_r} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{m_r}{\sqrt{-vgv}} \right] \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-vgv}}{4m_r c} \theta^i{}_\nu v^\nu + \frac{vgv}{32m_r^2 c^2} \nabla^i(S\theta) + \frac{\sqrt{-vgv}}{m_r} \nabla_t Z^i \end{split}$$

Using the first equation in the second one, we avoid the necessity to compute time derivative in the second term, and obtain

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} = -\Gamma^i{}_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu} + \frac{v^i}{c}\Gamma^0{}_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{-vgv}}{4m_rc} \left[\theta^i{}_{\nu}v^{\nu} - \frac{v^i}{c}\theta^0{}_{\nu}v^{\nu}\right] \\
+\frac{vgv}{32m_r^2c^2} \left[\nabla^i(S\theta) - \frac{v^i}{c}\nabla^0(S\theta)\right] \\
+\frac{\sqrt{-vgv}}{m_r} \left[\nabla_t Z^i - \frac{v^i}{c}\nabla_t Z^0\right].$$
(48)

Now we assume a non relativistic motion, $\frac{v}{c} \ll 1$, and expand all quantities in (48) in series with respect to $\frac{1}{c}$. Typical metric of stationary spaces has the series of the form [40]

$$g_{00} = -1 + {}^{2}g_{00} + {}^{4}g_{00} + \dots$$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + {}^{2}g_{ij} + {}^{4}g_{ij} + \dots$$

$$g_{i0} = {}^{3}g_{i0} + {}^{5}g_{i0} + \dots,$$
(49)

where ${}^{n}g_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the term in $g_{\mu\nu}$ of order $1/c^{n}$. As a consequence, the series of connection, curvature and its covariant derivative starts from $\frac{1}{c^2}$ or from higher order. In some details, we have

0

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha} = {}^{2}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha} + {}^{4}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha} + \dots \text{ for } \Gamma^{i}_{00}, \ \Gamma^{i}_{mn}, \ \Gamma^{0}_{0m}, (50)$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha} = {}^{3}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha} + {}^{5}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha} + \dots \text{ for } \Gamma^{i}_{0m}, \ \Gamma^{0}_{00}, \ \Gamma^{0}_{mn}, (51)$$

$$R^{\mu}_{\nu\alpha\beta} = \tag{52}$$

$${}^{2}R^{\nu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} + {}^{4}R^{\nu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} + \dots \text{ for } R^{\circ}_{\ mn0}, R^{\circ}_{\ 0mn}, R^{\circ}_{\ 0mn}, R^{\circ}_{\ jmn},$$

$$R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} = (53)$$

$${}^{3}R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} + {}^{5}R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\alpha\beta} + \dots$$
 for $R^{0}_{\ imn}, \ R^{0}_{\ 0m0}, \ R^{i}_{\ 0mn}, \ R^{i}_{\ jm0}$

 $^{^{2}}$ We point out that the analogous matrix present in MPTD equations can not be explicitly inverted in the multipole approach.

Besides, for various quantities which appear in equations (45) and (46), we have the estimations

$$\sqrt{-vgv} \sim c + \frac{1}{c} + \dots, \qquad -vgv \sim c^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{c^2} + \dots,
m_r^2 \sim m^2 + \frac{1}{c^4} + \dots, \qquad \theta_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{1}{c^2} + \dots,
b \sim \frac{1}{c^2} + \dots, \qquad Z^{\mu} \sim \frac{1}{c^5} + \dots. \tag{54}$$

At last, the spin supplementary condition implies

$$S^{i0} = \frac{1}{c} S^{ij} v^j + \dots$$
 (55)

Keeping only the terms which may contribute up to order $\frac{1}{c^2}$ in the equation (48), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} = -\Gamma^i{}_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu} + \frac{v^i}{c}\Gamma^0{}_{\mu\nu}v^{\mu}v^{\nu}
+ \frac{1}{4m}\left[v^i\theta^0{}_0 - c\theta^i{}_0 - \theta^i{}_jv^j\right] - \frac{1}{32m^2}\nabla^i(S\theta). (56)$$

The terms on right-hand side of this equation are conveniently grouped according to their origin

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} = \mathbf{a}_{\Gamma} + \mathbf{a}_R + \mathbf{a}_{\nabla R} \,. \tag{57}$$

Here \mathbf{a}_{Γ} is the contribution due to connection, \mathbf{a}_R comes from interaction between spin and space-time curvature, and $\mathbf{a}_{\nabla R}$ is the contribution which involves derivatives of the Riemann tensor. Using (50)-(53) we obtain

$$a_{\Gamma}^{i} \equiv -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{i} v^{\alpha} v^{\beta} + \frac{v^{i}}{c} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{0} v^{\alpha} v^{\beta}$$

= $-c^{2} {}^{2} \Gamma_{00}^{i} - {}^{2} \Gamma_{mn}^{i} v^{n} v^{m} + 2v^{i} {}^{2} \Gamma_{m0}^{0} v^{m}$
 $-c^{2} {}^{4} \Gamma_{00}^{i} + cv^{i} {}^{3} \Gamma_{00}^{0} - 2c {}^{3} \Gamma_{m0}^{i} v^{m},$ (58)

$$a_{R}^{i} \equiv \frac{1}{4m} \left[v^{i} \theta^{0}_{0} - c \theta^{i}_{0} - \theta^{i}_{j} v^{j} \right]$$

= $-\frac{1}{4m} \left[2 \, {}^{2}R^{i}_{0m0}S^{mn}v^{n} + \, {}^{2}R^{i}_{kmn}S^{mn}v^{k} - \, {}^{2}R^{0}_{0mn}S^{mn}v^{i} \right] - \frac{c}{4m} \, {}^{3}R^{i}_{0mn}S^{mn}, \quad (59)$

$$a_{\nabla R}^{i} \equiv -\frac{1}{32m^{2}}g^{i\sigma}\nabla_{\sigma}R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}S^{\alpha\beta}S^{\mu\nu}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{32m^{2}}\partial_{i}^{2}R_{jklm}S^{jk}S^{lm}.$$
 (60)

As a concrete example of an external gravitational field, we take a stationary, asymptotically flat metric in the post-Newtonian approximation up to order $\frac{1}{c^4}$ [40]

$$ds^{2} = \left(-1 + \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r} - \frac{2G^{2}M^{2}}{c^{4}r^{2}}\right) (dx^{0})^{2} -4G\frac{\epsilon_{ijk}J^{j}x^{k}}{c^{3}r^{3}} dx^{0}dx^{i} + \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{c^{2}r} + \frac{3G^{2}M^{2}}{2c^{4}r^{2}}\right) dx^{i}dx^{i}.$$
(61)

It can be obtained taking the asymptotic form of the Kerr metric for a large radial coordinate [41]. With this metric, the equations (58)-(60) are³

$$\mathbf{a}_{\Gamma} = -\frac{MG}{r^2} \mathbf{\hat{r}} + \frac{4GM}{c^2 r^2} (\mathbf{\hat{r}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{v} - \frac{GM}{c^2 r^2} v^2 \mathbf{\hat{r}} + \frac{4G^2 M^2}{c^2 r^3} \mathbf{\hat{r}} + 2\frac{G}{c^2} \left[\frac{3(\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}}) \mathbf{\hat{r}} - \mathbf{J}}{r^3} \right] \times \mathbf{v}, \qquad (62)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{R} = 3 \frac{GM}{mc^{2}r^{3}} \left[(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{v})(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{S}) + \hat{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{S} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{v})) \right] - \frac{1}{m} \nabla \left[\frac{G}{c^{2}} \left(\frac{3(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{J}}{r^{3}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{S} \right], \quad (63)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{\nabla R} = -\frac{1}{2m} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \left[\frac{G}{c^2} \left(\frac{M}{m} \right) \left(\frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{S}}{r^3} \right) \cdot \mathbf{S} \right]. \quad (64)$$

We denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{r} . Spin torque. Setting $\kappa = 1$ and $\tau = t \equiv \frac{x^0}{c}$ in the spatial part of Eq. (46), this reads

$$\frac{dS^{ij}}{dt} = -\Gamma^{i}_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}S^{\beta j} - \Gamma^{j}_{\alpha\beta}v^{\alpha}S^{i\beta} + \frac{\sqrt{-vgv}}{4m_{r}c}\theta^{[i}_{\alpha}S^{j]\alpha} + 2cv^{[i}Z^{j]}.$$
(65)

For the spin-vector (47), this equation implies

$$\frac{dS^{i}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \Gamma^{j}{}_{\mu\nu} v^{\mu} S^{\nu k} - \frac{\sqrt{-vgv}}{8m_{r}c} \epsilon^{ijk} \theta^{j}{}_{\nu} S^{\nu k} + c \epsilon^{ijk} v^{j} Z^{k} .$$
(66)

Taking into account the equations (50)-(54), we keep only the terms which may contribute up to order $\frac{1}{c^2}$

$$\frac{dS^{i}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \left[c \Gamma^{j}{}_{00} S^{0k} + v^{n} \Gamma^{j}{}_{nm} S^{mk} + c \Gamma^{j}{}_{0n} S^{nk} \right]
- \frac{1}{8m} \epsilon^{ijk} \theta^{j}{}_{n} S^{nk}
= S^{n} \left({}^{2} \Gamma^{n}{}_{00} v^{i} + {}^{2} \Gamma^{n}{}_{ik} v^{k} \right) - S^{i} \left({}^{2} \Gamma^{k}{}_{00} + {}^{2} \Gamma^{l}{}_{kl} \right) v^{k}
+ c {}^{3} \Gamma^{k}{}_{0i} S^{k} + \frac{1}{2m} \epsilon_{mnl} {}^{2} R^{k}{}_{imn} S^{k} S^{l} .$$
(67)

The total torque on right hand side of this equation can be conveniently grouped as follows:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_v + \boldsymbol{\tau}_J + \boldsymbol{\tau}_R \,, \tag{68}$$

where τ_v contains the velocity-dependent terms, τ_J depends on inner angular momentum of central body, and

³ The first two terms in \mathbf{a}_R can be written also as follows: $-3\frac{GM}{mc^2r^3}\left[(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{S})-2\hat{\mathbf{r}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{S})\right)-(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\mathbf{v})\left(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\times\mathbf{S}\right)\right].$

 $\boldsymbol{\tau}_R$ is due to spin-curvature interaction. Computing these terms for the metric (61), we obtained

$$\tau_v = \frac{GM}{c^2 r^2} [2(\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{v} + (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{S} - (\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{v})\hat{\mathbf{r}}] , \quad (69)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{J} = \frac{G}{c^{2}} \left[\frac{3(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{J}}{r^{3}} \right] \times \mathbf{S} , \qquad (70)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{R} = \frac{G}{c^{2}} \left(\frac{M}{m}\right) \left[\frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r^{3}}\right] \times \mathbf{S} \,. \tag{71}$$

Magnitude of the torque (68) does not represent directly measurable quantity. Indeed, evolution of the gyroscope axis is observed in the frame co-moving with the gyroscope, so the measurable quantity is $\frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds}$, where S'_i are components of spin-vector in the rest frame of gyroscope, and s is its proper time. Magnitudes of the two torques do not coincide, since **S** is not a covariant object. According to the classical work of Schiff [38], we can present \mathbf{S}' through \mathbf{S} , and then use the resulting relation to compute $\frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds}$ through $\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt}$ given in (68). The procedure is as follows. First, we use the tetrad formalism, presenting original metric along an infinitesimal arc of the gyroscope trajectory as $g_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{e}^A_\mu \tilde{e}^B_\nu \eta_{AB}$. Let e^μ_A is inverse matrix of \tilde{e}^A_μ . Applying a general-coordinate transforma-tion $x^\mu \to x^A$ with the transition functions $\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^A} = e^\mu_A$, the metric acquires the Lorentz form, $\eta_{AB} = e^\mu_A e^\nu_B g_{\mu\nu}$. So the transformed spin-tensor, $S^{CD} = \tilde{e}^C_\mu \tilde{e}^D_\nu S^{\mu\nu}$, represents spin of gyroscope in a free-falling frame. Second, we apply the Lorentz boost $\Lambda^{C}{}_{A}(\mathbf{v})$, where \mathbf{v} is velocity of gyroscope, to make the frame co-moving with gyroscope. This gives the spin-tensor $S'^{CD} = \Lambda^C{}_A\Lambda^D{}_B\tilde{e}^A_\mu\tilde{e}^B_\nu S^{\mu\nu}$. Then three-dimensional spin (47) in the co-moving frame can be presented through the quantities given in original coordinates as follows:

$$S'_{i} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijk} \Lambda^{j}{}_{A} \Lambda^{k}{}_{B} \tilde{e}^{A}_{\mu} \tilde{e}^{B}_{\nu} S^{\mu\nu}.$$
(72)

Since our metric is diagonal in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation, the tetrad field is diagonal as well, and reads $\tilde{e}_0^0 = 1 - \frac{GM}{c^2 r}$, $\tilde{e}_i^i = 1 + \frac{GM}{c^2 r}$, i = 1, 2, 3, again to $\frac{1}{c^2}$ order. The Lorentz boost is given by the matrix with components $\Lambda^0_0 = \gamma$, $\Lambda^{i}_{0} = \Lambda^{0}_{i} = -\gamma \frac{v^{i}}{c}, \ \Lambda^{i}_{j} = \delta^{i}_{j} + \frac{\gamma^{-1}}{\mathbf{v}^{2}} v^{i} v_{j}, \ \text{where} \ \gamma =$ $(1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Using these expressions in Eq. (72), we write it in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation

$$\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{S} + \frac{2GM}{c^2 r} \mathbf{S} - \frac{1}{2c^2} \left[\mathbf{v}^2 \mathbf{S} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{v} \right].$$
(73)

To compute derivative $\frac{d}{ds}$ of this expression, we note that the difference between ds and dt can be neglected, being of order $\frac{1}{c^2}$, so we can replace $\frac{d}{ds}$ on $\frac{d}{dt}$ on the right hand side of (73). For $\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt}$ we use its expression (57) in the leading approximation, $\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\frac{MG}{r^2}\hat{\mathbf{r}}$. The result is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds} = \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} - \frac{GM}{c^2r^2} \left[(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{v})\mathbf{S} + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{S})\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S})\hat{\mathbf{r}} \right].$$
(74)

We substitute (68) into (74), and then replace \mathbf{S} on \mathbf{S}' in the resulting expression, since according to (73), **S** differs from **S'** only by terms of order $\frac{1}{c^2}$. The final result for total torque in the rest frame of gyroscope is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds} = \boldsymbol{\tau}'_v + \boldsymbol{\tau}'_J + \boldsymbol{\tau}'_R \,, \tag{75}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{v}' = \frac{3GM}{2c^{2}r^{2}}[\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{v}] \times \mathbf{S}', \tag{76}$$

while τ'_J and τ'_R are given by (70) and (71), where **S** must be replaced on \mathbf{S}' .

Comments. 1. Curiously enough, spin torque in original coordinates, being averaged over a revolution along an almost closed orbit, almost coincides with instantaneous torque in the co-moving frame. This has been observed by direct computation of the mean value of $\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{ds}$, see [42, 43]. The same result is implied by Eq. (74): $\langle \frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds} \rangle - \langle \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \rangle \sim \frac{1}{c^2} \langle \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \rangle \sim \frac{1}{c^4}$, and since $\langle \frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds} \rangle \approx \frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds}$, we have $\langle \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} \rangle \approx \frac{d\mathbf{S}'}{ds}$. 2. Spin-tensor subject to the condition $S^{\mu\nu}P_{\nu} = 0$ can be

used to construct four-dimensional Pauli-Lubanski vector

$$s_{\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{-\det g_{\mu\nu}}}{4\sqrt{-P^2}} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} P^{\alpha} S^{\beta\gamma}, \text{ where } \epsilon_{0123} = -1(77)$$

In a free theory, where P^{α} does not depend on $S^{\beta\gamma}$, this equation can be inverted, so $S^{\beta\gamma}$ and s_{μ} are mathematically equivalent. Hence spatial components \mathbf{s} could be equally used to describe spin of a gyroscope [40]. In $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation we have $P^{\alpha} = m\dot{x}^{\alpha}$, and (77) implies $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{S}$ in the rest frame of gyroscope. Under general-coordinate transformations, \mathbf{S} transforms as spatial part of a tensor, while s transforms as a part of four-vector. So the two spins differ in all frames except the rest frame. Let us find the relation between them in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation. Using the approximate equalities $(-vgv)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{c} \left(1 + \frac{v^2}{2c^2} + \frac{GM}{c^2r} \right)$ and $\sqrt{-\det g_{\mu\nu}} =$ $1 + \frac{2GM}{c^2r}$ together with Eqs. (42), (54) and (55), we obtain for spatial part of (77)

$$\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbf{S} + \frac{1}{c^2} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{v} + \frac{3GM}{c^2 r} \mathbf{S}.$$
 (78)

Computing derivative of this equality and using (68)-(71), we arrive at the following expression for variation rate of **s**:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{s}}{dt} = \frac{GM}{c^2 r^2} \left[(\mathbf{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \mathbf{s} - 2(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \right] + \boldsymbol{\tau}_J + \boldsymbol{\tau}_R.$$
(79)

The first term coincides with that of Weinberg [40].

Post-Newtonian Hamiltonian. Let us obtain an effective Hamiltonian, which yields the equations (57) and (68) in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation. According to the procedure described in [34], complete Hamiltonian for dynamical variables as functions of the coordinate time t is $H = -cp_0$, where p_0 is a solution to the mass-shell constraint (24) with P_{μ} given in (3). Solving the constraint, we obtain

$$H = \frac{c}{\sqrt{-g^{00}}} \sqrt{(mc)^2 + \gamma^{ij} P_i P_j + \frac{1}{16} (\theta S)} - c\pi_\mu \Gamma^\mu{}_{0\nu} \omega^\nu + \frac{cg^{0i}}{g^{00}} P_i,$$
(80)

where $\gamma^{ij} = g^{ij} - \frac{g^{0i}g^{0j}}{g^{00}}$. After tedious computations, this gives the following expression up to $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -order:

$$H = mc^{2} + \frac{1}{2m} \left[\mathbf{p} + \frac{m}{c} \left(\frac{2G}{c} [\mathbf{J} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}}] + 2\frac{M}{m} \frac{G}{c} [\mathbf{S} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}}] \right) \right]^{2} \quad \text{a}$$
$$-\frac{(\mathbf{p}^{2})^{2}}{8m^{3}c^{2}} - \frac{3GM}{2mc^{2}r} \mathbf{p}^{2} - m\frac{GM}{r} + m\frac{(MG)^{2}}{2c^{2}r^{2}}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2c} \left(\frac{2G}{c} [\mathbf{\nabla} \times [\mathbf{J} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}}]] + \frac{M}{m} \frac{G}{c} [\mathbf{\nabla} \times [\mathbf{S} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}}]] \right) \cdot \mathbf{S}. \quad (81)$$

Together with the Dirac brackets (15), also taken in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ - approximation, this gives Hamiltonian equations of motion. Excluding from them the momentum **p**, we arrive at the Lagrangian equations (57) and (68).

To write the Hamiltonian in a more convenient form, we introduce⁴ vector potential $A_{Ji} = -c^2 g_{0i}$ for the gravitomagnetic field \mathbf{B}_J , produced by rotation of central body (we use the conventional factor $\frac{2G}{c}$, different from that of Wald [26]. In the result, our $\mathbf{B}_J = 4\mathbf{B}_{Wald}$)

$$\mathbf{A}_{J} = \frac{2G}{c} [\mathbf{J} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}}],$$

then
$$\mathbf{B}_{J} = [\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}_{J}] = \frac{2G}{c} \frac{3(\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{J}}{r^{3}}.$$
 (82)

Then Eq. (81) prompts to introduce also the vector potential \mathbf{A}_S of fictitious gravitomagnetic field \mathbf{B}_S due to rotation of a gyroscope

$$\mathbf{A}_{S} = \frac{M}{m} \frac{G}{c} [\mathbf{S} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}}],$$

then
$$\mathbf{B}_{S} = [\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}_{S}] = \frac{M}{m} \frac{G}{c} \frac{3(\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{S}}{r^{3}}, \qquad (83)$$

as well as the extended momentum

$$\mathbf{\Pi} \equiv \mathbf{p} + \frac{m}{c} (\mathbf{A}_J + 2\mathbf{A}_S). \tag{84}$$

With these notation, the Hamiltonian (81) becomes similar to that of spinning particle in a magnetic field

$$H = mc^{2} + \frac{1}{2m}\Pi^{2} - \frac{(\Pi^{2})^{2}}{8m^{3}c^{2}} - \frac{3GM}{2mc^{2}r}\Pi^{2} - \frac{mGM}{r} + \frac{m(MG)^{2}}{2c^{2}r^{2}} + \frac{1}{2c}(\mathbf{B}_{J} + \mathbf{B}_{S}) \cdot \mathbf{S} \quad (85)$$
$$= \frac{c}{\sqrt{(mc)^{2} + a^{ij}\Pi\cdot\Pi}} + \frac{1}{2c}(\mathbf{B}_{J} + \mathbf{B}_{S}) \cdot \mathbf{S} \quad (86)$$

$$= \frac{c}{\sqrt{-g^{00}}} \sqrt{(mc)^2 + g^{ij} \Pi_i \Pi_j + \frac{1}{2c} (\mathbf{B}_J + \mathbf{B}_S) \cdot \mathbf{S}.(86)}$$

⁴ We recall [44] that vector potential, produced by a localized current distribution $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}')$ in electrodynamics is determined, in the leading order, by the vector of magnetic moment $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{2c} \int [\mathbf{x}' \times \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}')] d^3x$ as follows: $\mathbf{A} = [\boldsymbol{\mu} \times \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3}]$, and the corresponding magnetic field is $\mathbf{B} = [\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}] = \frac{3(\boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}}{r^3}$.

Note that the Hamiltonian $\frac{c}{\sqrt{-g^{00}}}\sqrt{(mc)^2 + g^{ij}p_ip_j}$ corresponds to the usual Lagrangian $L = -mc\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu}}$ describing a particle propagating in the Schwarzschild metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. So, the approximate Hamiltonian (86) can be thought as describing a gyroscope orbiting in the field of Schwarzschild space-time and interacting with the gravitomagnetic field.

Effective Hamiltonian for MPTD equations turns out to be less symmetric: it is obtained from (86) excluding the term $\frac{1}{2c}(\mathbf{B}_S \cdot \mathbf{S})$, while keeping the potential \mathbf{A}_S in (84). Hence the only effect of non-minimal interaction is the deformation of gravitomagnetic field of central body according to the rule

$$\mathbf{B}_J \to \mathbf{B}_J + \mathbf{B}_S. \tag{87}$$

V. DISCUSSION

Starting from a variational problem, we have studied relativistic spinning particle with non-minimal spingravity interaction through the gravimagnetic moment κ . Hamiltonian equations for an arbitrary κ are presented in (31)-(33). When $\kappa = 0$, our variational problem yields MPTD equations (19) and (20), accompanied by the momentum-velocity relation (18) and by the expected constraints (7), (10) and (11). When $\kappa = 1$, the MPTD equations are modified by extra terms, see Eqs. (34) and (35) above.

We have computed, in the coordinate-time parametrization $t = \frac{x^0}{c}$, the acceleration (88)-(90) and the spin torque (69)-(71) of our gravimagnetic particle in the field of a rotating central body (61) in the leading post-Newtonian approximation. We also obtained the approximate Hamiltonian (86), which implies these expressions in the Hamiltonian formulation with use of Dirac brackets. As it should be expected, the expressions (62), (63) and (69), (70) coincide with those of known from analysis of MPTD equations [39, 42, 43, 45–52]. The new terms due to the non-minimal interaction are (64) and (71). Using the notation (82) and (83), the total acceleration of spinning particle in $\frac{1}{c^2}$ -approximation reads

$$\mathbf{a} = -\frac{MG}{r^2}\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{4GM}{c^2r^2}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\mathbf{v})\mathbf{v} - \frac{GM}{c^2r^2}v^2\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{4G^2M^2}{c^2r^3}\hat{\mathbf{r}}$$
(88)

$$+\frac{1}{c}(\mathbf{B}_{J}+\mathbf{B}_{S})\times\mathbf{v}+\frac{GM}{mc^{2}r^{3}}[\mathbf{S}\times\mathbf{v}]$$

$$+\frac{2(\mathbf{S}-(\hat{\mathbf{x}}\times\mathbf{v}))\hat{\mathbf{z}}]$$
(80)

$$\frac{1}{1} \qquad (89)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2mc}\boldsymbol{\nabla}([\mathbf{B}_J + \mathbf{B}_S] \cdot \mathbf{S}). \tag{90}$$

The first term in (88) represents the standard limit of Newtonian gravity and implies an elliptical orbit. The next three terms represent an acceleration in the orbital plane and are responsible for the precession of perihelia [40, 45, 46]. The term $\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{B}_J \times \mathbf{v}$ represents the acceleration due to Lense-Thirring rotation of central body, while the remaining terms in (89) and (90) describe the influence of the gyroscopes spin on its trajectory. The first term in (89) has been computed by Lense and Thirring [48–50], the remaining terms in (89) have been discussed in [17, 26, 35]. The gravitational dipole-dipole force $\frac{1}{2mc}\nabla(\mathbf{B}_J \cdot \mathbf{S})$ has been computed by Wald [26]. The new contribution due to non-minimal interaction, $\frac{1}{2mc}\nabla(\mathbf{B}_S \cdot \mathbf{S})$, is similar to the Wald term. The acceleration (89) comes from second term of effective Hamiltonian (85), while (90) comes from the last term.

The geodetic precession (69) comes from second term of effective Hamiltonian (85), while the frame-dragging precession (70) is produced by the term $\frac{1}{2c}(\mathbf{B}_J \cdot \mathbf{S})$. So they are the same for both gravimagnetic and MPTD particle. They have been first computed by Schiff [38], and measured during Stanford Gravity Probe B experiment [53, 54]. The term (71) is due to non-minimal interaction, and appears only for gravimagnetic particle.

Comparing the expressions (70) and (71), we conclude that precession of spin **S** due to non-minimal interaction is equivalent to that of caused by rotation of central body with the momentum $\mathbf{J} = \frac{M}{m} \mathbf{S}$.

Effective Hamiltonian for the case of non-rotating central body (Schwarzschild metric) is obtained from (86) by setting $\mathbf{A}_J = \mathbf{B}_J = 0$. We conclude that, due to the term $\frac{1}{2c}\mathbf{B}_S \cdot \mathbf{S}$, the spin of gravimagnetic particle will experience frame-dragging effect (71) even in the field of a non-rotating central body.

In a co-moving frame, gravimagnetic particle experiences the precession $\frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dt} = [\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{S}]$ with angular velocity

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \frac{3GM}{2c^2r^2}[\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{v}] + \frac{1}{2c}\mathbf{B}_J + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{B}_S, \qquad (91)$$

which depends on gyroscopes spin \mathbf{S} . Hence, two gyroscopes with different magnitudes and directions of spin will precess around different rotation axes. Then the angle between their own rotation axes will change with time in Schwarzschild or Kerr space-time. Since the variation

of the angle can be measured with high precision, this effect could be used to find out whether a rotating body has unit or null gravimagnetic moment.

To estimate the relative magnitude of spin torques due to \mathbf{B}_J and \mathbf{B}_S , we represent them in terms of angular velocities. Assuming that both bodies are spinning spheres of uniform density, we write $\mathbf{J} = I_1 \boldsymbol{\omega}_1$ and $\mathbf{S} = I_2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_2$, where $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$ is angular velocity and $I_i = (2/5)m_i r_i^2$ is moment of inertia. Then the last two terms in (91) read

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{fd} = \frac{2Gm_1r_1^2}{5c^2r^3} \left[3\left(\left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 + \rho^2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_2 \right] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \right) \hat{\mathbf{r}} - \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 + \rho^2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_2 \right) \right] ,$$
(92)

where $\rho \equiv (r_2/r_1)$. Note that Ω_{fd} does not depend on mass of the test particle. The ratio $\rho^2 \equiv (r_2/r_1)^2$ is extremely small for the case of Gravity Probe B experiment, so the MPTD and gravimagnetic bodies are indistinguishable in this experiment. For a system like Sun-Mercury $\rho^2 \sim 10^{-5}$. For a system like Sun-Jupiter $\rho^2 \sim 10^{-2}.$ The new effect could be relevant to the analysis of binary pulsars with massive companions, where the geodetic spin precession has been observed [55–57]. Besides, the two torques could have a comparable magnitudes in a binary system with stars of the same size (so $\rho = 1$), but one of them much heavier than the other (neutron star or white dwarf). Then our approximation of a central field is reasonable and, according to Eq. (92), the frame-dragging effect due to gravimagnetic moment becomes comparable with the Schiff frame-dragging effect

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

WGR thanks to Coordenação de aperfeiçoamento de pessoal de nivel superior (CAPES) for the financial support (Program PNPD/2017). The research of AAD was supported by the Tomsk Polytechnic University competitiveness enhancement program and by the Brazilian foundations CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - Brasil).

- M. Mathisson, Neue Mechanik materieller Systeme, Acta Phys. Polon. 6 (1937) 163; Republication: Gen. Rel. Grav. 42 (2010) 1011.
- [2] V. A. Fock, J. Phys. USSR, 1 (1939) 81.
- [3] A. Papapetrou, Spinning Test-Particles in General Relativity. I, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 209 (1951) 248.
- [4] W. M. Tulczyjew, Motion of multipole particles in general relativity theory binaries, Acta Phys. Polon. 18 (1959) 393.
- [5] W. G. Dixon, A Covariant Multipole Formalism for Extended Test Bodies in General Relativity, Nuovo Cimento 34 (1964) 317.
- [6] F. A. E. Pirani, Acta. Phys. Pol. 15 (1956) 389.
- [7] W. Guzmán Ramírez and A. A. Deriglazov, Lagrangian formulation for Mathisson-Papapetrou-Tulczyjew-Dixon

(MPTD) equations, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 124017.

- [8] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1980).
- [9] A. A. Deriglazov and W. Guzmán Ramírez, Mathisson-Papapetrou-Tulczyjew-Dixon (MPTD) equations in ultrarelativistic regime and gravimagnetic moment, International Journal of Modern Physics D 26 (2017) 1750047.
- [10] R. Amorim, E. M. C. Abreu and W. Guzmán Ramírez, Noncommutative relativistic particles, Phys. Rev. D 81, 105005 (2010).
- [11] E. M. C. Abreu, R. Amorim and W. Guzmán Ramírez, Noncommutative Particles in Curved Spaces, JHEP 1103, 135 (2011).
- [12] E.M.C. Abreu, B.F. Rizzuti, A.C.R. Mendes, M.A. Freitas and V. Nikoofard, *Noncommutative and Dynamical*

- [13] Kai Ma, Ya-Jie Ren and Ya-Hui Wang Probing Noncommutativities of Phase Space by Using Persistent Charged Current and Its Asymmetry, arXiv:1703.10923.
- [14] Kai Ma, Constrains of Charge-to-Mass Ratios on Noncommutative Phase Space, arXiv:1705.05789
- [15] I. B. Khriplovich, Particle with internal angular momentum in a gravitational field, Sov. Phys. JETP 69, 217 (1989) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 96, 385 (1989)].
- [16] I. B. Khriplovich and A. A. Pomeransky, Equations of motion of spinning relativistic particle in external fields, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 86 (1998) 839; [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 113 (1998) 1537.] [gr-qc/9710098].
- [17] I. B. Khriplovich and A. A. Pomeransky, Gravitational Interaction of Spinning Bodies, Center-of-Mass Coordinate and Radiation of Compact Binary Systems, Phys. Lett. A 216 (1996) 7.
- [18] C. M. Will, The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment, Living Rev. Rel. 17, 4 (2014) doi:10.12942/lrr-2014-4 [arXiv:1403.7377 [gr-qc]].
- [19] A. Galajinsky, Near horizon geometry of extremal black holes and Banados-Silk-West effect, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 027505.
- [20] S. R. Dolan, N. Warburton, A. I. Harte, A. Le Tiec, B. Wardell and L. Barack, *Gravitational self-torque and spin precession in compact binaries*, Phys. Rev. D 89, no. 6, 064011 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.064011 [arXiv:1312.0775 [gr-qc]].
- [21] S. Akcay, D. Dempsey and S. R. Dolan, Spin-orbit precession for eccentric black hole binaries at first order in the mass ratio, Class. Quant. Grav. 34, no. 8, 084001 (2017) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aa61d6 [arXiv:1608.04811 [gr-qc]].
- [22] D. Bini, A. Geralico and R. T. Jantzen, Gyroscope precession along general timelike geodesics in a Kerr black hole spacetime, Phys. Rev. D 95, no. 12, 124022 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.124022 [arXiv:1703.09525 [grqc]].
- [23] R. Plyatsko and M. Fenyk, Antigravity: Spin-gravity coupling in action, Phys. Rev. D 94, no. 4, 044047 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.044047 [arXiv:1610.01545 [grqc]].
- [24] A. A. Deriglazov and W. Guzmán Ramírez, Ultrarelativistic spinning particle and a rotating body in external fields, Advances in Higher Energy Physics, (2016) Article ID 1376016, 27 pages.
- [25] H. Thirring, Phys. Z. 19 (1918) 204.
- [26] R. Wald, Gravitational spin interaction, Phys. Rev. D 6 (1972) 406.
- [27] L. F. O. Costa, J. Natrio and M. Zilhao, Spacetime dynamics of spinning particles: Exact electromagnetic analogies, Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 10, 104006 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.104006 [arXiv:1207.0470 [grqc]].
- [28] J. Natario, Quasi-Maxwell interpretation of the spincurvature coupling, Gen. Rel. Grav. 39, 1477 (2007) doi:10.1007/s10714-007-0474-7 [gr-qc/0701067].
- [29] A. A. Deriglazov, Lagrangian for the Frenkel electron, Phys. Lett. B 736 (2014) 278.
- [30] A. A. Deriglazov, Classical Mechanics: Hamiltonian and Lagrangian Formalism (Springer, second ed., 2016).
- [31] A. O. Barut, Electrodynamics and Classical Theory of Fields and Particles (MacMillan, New York 1964).

- [32] A. A. Deriglazov and A. M. Pupasov-Maksimov, Lagrangian for Frenkel electron and position's noncommutativity due to spin, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3101.
- [33] Long Huang, Xiaohua Wu, Tao Zhou, Pryce's masscenter operators and the anomalous velocity of a spinning electron, arXiv:1706.08384.
- [34] A. A. Deriglazov and A. M. Pupasov-Maksimov, *Relativistic corrections to the algebra of position variables and spin-orbital interaction*, Phys. Lett. B 761 (2016) 207.
- [35] W. Guzmán Ramírez, A. A. Deriglazov and A. M. Pupasov-Maksimov, Frenkel electron and a spinning body in a curved background, JHEP **1403** (2014) 109.
- [36] A. Trautman, Lectures on general relativity, Gen. Rel. Grav. 34 (2002) 721.
- [37] A. J. Hanson and T. Regge, The relativistic spherical top, Annals of Physics 87(2) (1974) 498.
- [38] L. I. Schiff, Motion of a gyroscope according to Einstein's theory of gravitation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. 46 (1960) 871.
- [39] B. M. Barker and R. F. O'Connell, Gravitational two-body problem with arbitrary masses, spins, and quadrupole moments Phys. Rev. D 12, 329 (1975).
- [40] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology, Willey, New York, NY (1972).
- [41] N. Straumann, General Relativity, Springer, Netherlands (2013) Chap. 6.3, 8.3.13.
- [42] R. J. Adler, The three-fold theoretical basis of the Gravity Probe B gyro precession calculation, Class. Quant. Grav. 32 (2015) 224002.
- [43] K. S. Thorne, *Gravito-magnetism*, Near Zero (Edited J. D. Fairbank, B. S. Deaver Jr., C. W. F. Everitt and P. F. Michelson (New York: W. H. Freeman, 1988).
- [44] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Willey and Sons, New York (1975).
- [45] A. Einstein, Sitzungsder. preuss. Acad. Wiss., (1915) 831.
- [46] A. Einstein, Annalen der Phys. 49 (1916) 769 (English translation: *The principle of relativity* (Methuen, 1923, reprinted by Dover Publication), p.35.
- [47] W. de Sitter, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 77, 155 (1916).
- [48] H. Thirring, Uber die Wirkung rotierender ferner Massen in der Einstein- schen Gravitationstheorie, Phys. Zeit. 19 (1918) 33.
- [49] J. Lense and H. Thirring, Über den Einfluss der Eigenrotation der Zentralkörper auf die Bewegung der Planeten und Monde nach der Einsteinschen Gravitations- theorie, Phys. Zeit. 19 (1918) 156.
- [50] B. Mashhoon, F. W. Hehl and D. S.Theiss, On the gravitational effects of rotating masses: the Thirring-Lense papers, General Relativity and Gravitation, 16 (1984) 711.
- [51] B. M. Barker and R. F. O'Connell, Derivation of the equations of motion of a gyroscope from the quantum theory of gravitation Phys. Rev. D 2, 1428 (1970).
- [52] H. P. Robertson, Ann. Math. **39**, 101 (1938).
- [53] C. W. F. Everitt et all Gravity Probe B: final results of a spece experiment to test general relativity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 221101.
- [54] C. W. F. Everitt et al., The Gravity Probe B test of general relativity, Class. Quant. Grav. 32, no. 22, 224001 (2015). doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/22/224001
- [55] J. M. Weisberg and J. H. Taylor, General relativistic

geodetic spin precession in binary pulsar b1913+16: mapping the emission beam in two dimensions, Astrophys. J. 576, 942 (2002) doi:10.1086/341803 [astro-ph/0205280].

- [56] A. W. Hotan, M. Bailes and S. M. Ord, *Geodetic precession in PSR J1141-6545*, Astrophys. J. **624**, 906 (2005) doi:10.1086/429270 [astro-ph/0412152].
- [57] R. N. Manchester et al., Observations and Modelling of Relativistic Spin Precession in PSR J1141-6545, Astrophys. J. 710, 1694 (2010) doi:10.1088/0004-637X/710/2/1694 [arXiv:1001.1483 [astro-ph.GA]].