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#### Abstract

The relationships among ecological variables are usually obtained by fitting statistical models that go through the conditional means of the dependent variables. For example, the nonparametric loess regression model and the parametric piecewise linear regression model, which pass through the conditional mean of the response variable given the predictor, are used to analyze simple to complex relationships among variables. We used locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (loess; and bootstrapped confidence intervals) to subjectively identify the number and positions of potential ecological breakpoints in a bivariate relationship, and a piecewise linear regression model (PLRM) to quantitatively estimate the location of breakpoints and the associated precision. We also estimated breakpoint location and precision using a piecewise linear quantile regression model (PQRM), which is fitted to the quantiles of the conditional distribution of the response variable given the predictor and provides much richer information in terms of estimating relationships and breakpoints. We compared the precision of breakpoints estimated by PQRM relative to PLRM. In the environmental literature, bootstrapped loess, piecewise linear regression and single-breakpoint PQRM have all been proposed to generate prediction bands for an ecological response against human induced disturbances in nature. We compared the precision of the methods using two examples from the ecological literature suspected to exhibit multiple breakpoints: relating a Fish Index of Biotic Integrity (an index of wetlands' fish community 'health') to the amount of human activity in wetlands' adjacent watersheds; and relating the biomass of cyanobacteria to the total phosphorus concentration in Canadian lakes. Statistically significant breakpoints were detected for both datasets, demarcating the boundaries of three line segments with markedly different slopes. PQRM generated confidence limits of the breakpoints that were consistently narrower than limits estimated


[^0]by the PLRM. Similarly, the prediction bands of the relationships estimated by PQRM were only $72.15 \%$ to $74.60 \%$ as wide as the bands estimated using PLRM. We recommend the piecewise linear quantile regression as an effective means of characterizing bivariate environmental relationships where the scatter of points represents natural environmental variation rather than measurement error.
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## 1. Introduction

Maintaining the health of aquatic habitat (biotic integrity) is one of the primary objectives set forth by the US Clean Water Act of 1972 (PL 92-500). "Biotic integrity" is defined as the "ability of a habitat to support and maintain a balanced, integrated, adaptive community of organisms having a composition, diversity and function comparable to that of a natural habitat (Frey, 1977)." The global pervasiveness of human activity means that few or no areas can be considered as completely "natural" habitat. Instead, comparisons are commonly made to locations that are in the reference condition, referring to an area subject to a minimum level of anthropogenic stress (Karr and Chu, 1998; Host et al., 2005; Stoddard et al., 2006). Questions of identifying the degree of disturbance ('numerical criterion') at which biological changes occur have long been an important consideration (Karr and Chu, 1998; Kilgour et al., 1998; Qian et al., 2003; Qian and Miltner, 2015). Such values can serve as guidelines used for the protection of environmental integrity of sites (by preventing or mitigating activities that would result in criteria being exceeded), and as theoretical restoration targets (Johnson, 2013). Statistical approaches and methods of identifying such environmental disturbance thresholds have been a topic of considerable research. Bunea et al. (1999) concluded that regression trees could be an effective means of dividing a sequence of biological values ordinated across a stress gradient into multiple classes representing tiers of ecological condition. Qian et al. (2003) proposed a nonparametric approach and a Bayesian approach to identifying the location of change-points in the environmental stress-ecological condition relationship. Brenden et al. (2008) evaluated the utility of several model-based approaches to identify single disturbance thresholds, including nonparametric deviance reduction (NDR [=regression trees]), piecewise regression (PR), Bayesian change-point (BCP), quantile piecewise constant (QPC), and quantile piecewise linear (QPL) approaches using simulated data. They concluded that QPL approaches most consistently identified the environmental change-point at which variation in a univariate dependent variable was altered. They considered stair-step, condition mean, and wedge response patterns. Dodds et al. (2010) reviewed additional methods commonly employed to detect single-inflection point nonlinearities in stress-response relationships. These models are appropriate for
identifying single change-points at which the measure of central tendency of a population of response measurements changes.

However, such models are limited in two perspectives. Firstly, they assume that the bivariate relationship is based upon the association of two simple variables drawn from a single population of values. Yet, in bioassessment the dependent variable is often a composite measure (such as an Index of Biological Integrity (IBI; Karr and Chu, 1998) estimated from samples of many different systems, each with differing component properties. This renders identification of the precise location of change-points difficult (King and Baker, 2011). Secondly, when the independent variable is the only one of many potentially limiting factors, which interact to influence the dependent variable, the observed relationships can be weak or nonsignificant (Cade et al., 1999; Cade and Noon, 2003). Such interactions produce the characteristic wedge-shaped pattern often observed when plotting simple bivariate relationships whereby the dependent variable is limited by the effects other unmeasured independent variables (Cade et al., 1999, 2005). Quantile regression has the potential to accommodate these limitations. Scharf et al. (1998) found that quantile regression was the most robust of several approaches to identifying functions to characterize the upper and lower boundaries of response variables. Horning (2012) used an application of quantile regression (Kandall-Theil robust line estimation (Granato, 2007)) to derive a nonlinear constraint envelope bounding the relationship between duration of marine mammal dives and time between dives. In this paper, we contrast three regression model approaches - two based on central tendency and one based on overall distribution of the response variable. The questions we address are: $a$ : is piecewise quantile regression better able to detect nonlinearities or the presence of a threshold than central tendency models typically employed?; and $b$ : are threshold estimates generated by piecewise quantile regression more precise than other models? We apply the procedures to two data sets relating ecological condition of North American aquatic systems and inferred effects of stress variables on biota.

The first application relates to assessing/estimating threshold effects of agricultural activity in catchments (watersheds) draining into the Laurentian Great Lakes and an index of community composition of fishes in bordering coastal wetlands. Run-off associated with agriculture is a major source of human induced disturbance affecting natural habitat loss for fishes in lakes and rivers (Brazner and Beals, 1997; Crosbie and Chow-Fraser, 1999). In this case, the measure of ecological condition is a wetland fish index of biotic integrity (Fish IBI; Uzarski et al., 2005; Bhagat et al., 2007), a metric representing the health of an ecoregion or watershed. Danz et al. (2005) derived a composite agricultural stress gradient to characterize risk of degradation of natural habitat using Geographic Information System (GIS) based data. Uzarski et al. (2005) developed a fish multimetric index of biotic integrity through assessing fish community composition in wetland areas where bulrush (Schoenoplectus, spp) was the dominant vegetation across the US and Canada Great Lakes Coastline.

The second application is concerned with identifying threshold concentrations of total phosphorus - that increase the risk of harmful algal blooms dom-
inated by potentially toxigenic Cyanobacteria in lakes (Watson et al., 1992; Downing et al., 2001). Total phosphorus (TP) is a limiting nutrient whose loads to lakes and rivers reflect contributions of sewage from urban centres, agricultural runoff and other manifestations of human activity (Reynolds and Walsby, 1975; Qian et al., 2003). Cyanobacterial blooms can contribute to hypolimnetic oxygen depletion, impacts on recreation, ecosystem integrity, human and animal health, and their toxic byproducts can overwhelm the capacity of municipal water treatment plants to provide safe drinking water (Downing et al., 2001; Beaulieu et al., 2014).

Opinion on the shape of the relationship between total phosphorus and cyanobacteria biomass is varied. Total phosphorus is arguably the best single predictor of cyanobacterial biomass (sometimes measured as Chlorophyll a), and empirically-derived linear models are widely used in lake management (e.g., Dillon and Rigler, 1974, 1975; Stow and Cha, 2013; Beaulieu et al., 2014). However, sigmoidal relationships between total phosphorus and the biomass or relative abundance of cyanobacteria are well documented (Watson et al., 1992; Chow-Fraser et al., 1994; Watson et al., 1997; Downing et al., 2001; Filstrup et al., 2014). The management implications associated with applying these two different interpretations of the relationship are significant. Use of a linear model to guide management implies that any alteration in total phosphorus concentration in a receiving water body can be expected to result in a cyanobacterial response. In contrast, adherence to a sigmoidal model implies that there are points of inflection beyond which the two variables may behave independently, possibly obviating the need for the control of total phosphorus below a particular concentration.

Traditionally, points of inflection of potentially nonlinear models have been identified using loess, logistic or median regression analyses, which track the central tendency of the relationship to reveal overall behavior. However, if goals are to apply a precautionary principle to nutrient management, inflection points should be based on the boundaries (prediction limits) of the data rather than their maximum likelihood of occurrence. We investigated the effectiveness of using piecewise quantile regression as a means of identifying these points.

Beaulieu et al. (2014) summarized data from 149 Canadian lakes collected by Ministries of the Environment of Alberta, British Columbia, and Ontario, Canada. Using linear and nonlinear regression and mixed-effects models, they found that phosphorus and nitrogen were the best predictors of cyanobacterial biomass, but concluded that linear models better explained the data pattern than nonlinear approaches. Yet, scatterplots of their data appear to indicate nonlinearities at the upper and lower quantiles of the functions.

An ecological threshold is defined as a point of abrupt change of the response variable of an ecological process (such as an index of ecological health) against a measure of habitat, such as the human induced disturbance affecting natural habitat (Fahrig, 2001; Francesco Ficetola and Denol, 2009). Ecological thresholds are useful in diagnosing the condition of a natural habitat, and may provide guidelines for setting conservation targets (Johnson, 2013). Hence it is essential to identify a point at which human induced disturbance alters natural
habitat to the extent that a significant change in the response variable occurs.
Nonparametric regression is a frequently used and effective means of studying simple to complex relationships between a dependent and an independent variable. Trexler and Travis (1993) discussed the application of locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (loess) in ecology. Building on the recommendations of Toms and Lesperance (2003), we propose using loess to subjectively identify the number and positions of ecological breakpoints along a stressor gradient.

Piecewise linear regression is a popular approach to estimating the location of ecological thresholds. Shea and Vecchione (2002) developed piecewise linear regression methodology for identifying discontinuities (thresholds) in measurements of ecological variables. Toms and Lesperance (2003) subsequently demonstrated its value in modeling ecological thresholds, and compared a sharptransition model with three models incorporating smooth transitions: the hyperbolictangent, bent-hyperbola, and bent cable models. Ficetola and Denol (2009) compared a wide variety of statistical models, and suggested that that piecewise linear regression model is an especially effective means of identifying ecological thresholds. In all of the mentioned applications, the authors, used the piecewise linear regression model to identify single breakpoints. In this paper, we extended the model to incorporate two breakpoints and document its application to the two studies described above.

We complement the use of these two well-recognized approaches with a novel application of quantile regression. Quantile regression is a method of estimating relationships between variables in ecological process defined through different quantiles of the conditional distribution of the response variable. As a result, quantile regression models provide a more complete view of possible causal relationships between variables. Cade and Noon (2003) gave a general overview of ecological applications of quantile regression. They discussed linear and non-linear regression models with both homogeneous and heterogeneous error variances. McClain and Rex (2001) studied the relationship between dissolved oxygen concentration and maximum size in deep-sea turried gastropods using a linear quantile regression model. Austin (2007) reviewed the ecological applications of a number of statistical methods including the incorporation of linear and nonlinear quantile regressions into species response models used in conservation. Bissinger et al. (2008) predicted marine phytoplankton maximum growth rates from temperature using a non-linear quantile regression model. Planque and Buffaz (2008) used a linear quantile regression model to study fish recruitment-environment relationships in marine ecology. Cade et al. (2005) used linear and non-linear quantile regression models to reveal hidden bias and uncertainty in habitat models in ecology. Brenden et al. (2008) evaluated piecewise quantile regression as means of detecting a single disturbance threshold. Similarly, in this study, we used a piecewise linear quantile regression model to estimate ecological thresholds. The estimates of a threshold for different quantiles provide a precise means of identifying confidence intervals for the breakpoints. The confidence interval of a threshold provides an idea of the accuracy in estimation of the thresholds.

Construction of prediction intervals of the response variable given the pre-
dictor can also be informative as illustrated by Karanth et al. (2004), who generated prediction intervals for tiger densities as a function of their prey densities. In this paper, we assess the precision of prediction intervals determined by three methods for each of two ecological stress-response relationships - a wetland fish community index of biotic integrity relative to the extent of agricultural activity in contributing drainage basins; and cyanobacteria biomass in lakes relative to total phosphorus concentration. We construct three prediction intervals using three models: nonparametric regression loess, piecewise linear regression, and piecewise linear quantile regression.

We first describe the statistical methods used for each of the three approaches: loess and bootstrap prediction band, piecewise linear regression model, and piecewise linear quantile regression model. Subsequently, we apply the methods to ( $a$ ) wetland fish index of biotic integrity versus agricultural stress, and the (b) cyanobacteria biomass versus total phosphorus data sets. We then assess the relative performance of the approaches and their implications for interpreting the ecological data sets.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Summary of models

### 2.1.1. Loess and bootstrap prediction band

Let $y$ and $x$ be the response and predictor variables, respectively. A nonparametric smoothed regression model (Cleveland, 1979) is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=m(x ; h)+\epsilon, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(x ; h)$ is the smoothed function of interest with smoothing parameter $h$ and $\epsilon$ is an independent error term with mean 0 and constant scale $\sigma^{2}$. The smoothed function $m(x ; h)$ is obtained by fitting a polynomial using weighted least squares with varying large and small weights for the nearby and distant observations, respectively, in a neighborhood of $x$. This model is known as locally estimated scatterplot smoothing, loess. The loess model is robust against a few outliers in the data and prevents extreme observations from exerting a large influence in the fitting procedure.

The nonparametric smoothed regression model captures both linear and nonlinear relationships among variables. When the linear models fit poorly due to intrinsic non-linearity in the data, the nonparametric smoothed regression model appears to be effective in identifying relationships among variables. Our purpose of fitting a nonparametric smoothed regression model, is to identify the number and position(s) of the possible breakpoints(s) in ecological relationships.

When a nonparametric regression model is used to visualize the relationships between variables, generation of a prediction band provides a measure of the variability of the response variable $y$ given a particular value of the predictor variable $x$. Bootstrap samples (Efron and Tibshirani, 1994) can be used to generate the nonparametric prediction band for the ecological response. Similar to methods presented in Härdle and Bowman (1988) and Davison and Hinkley
(1997), we propose the following algorithm to construct prediction bands for the ecological response given the predictor.

```
Algorithm 1 Bootstrap resampling to construct a nonparametric prediction
band for the ecological response given the predictor.
(a) Fit loess \(\hat{m}(x ; h)\) of the model \(m(x ; h)\), and make prediction \(\hat{y}_{i}=\hat{m}\left(x_{i} ; h\right)\) for \(i=1,2, \cdots, n\).
(b) Calculate \(i\) th residual \(\hat{\epsilon}_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\), and normalize the residuals as following \(\tilde{\epsilon}_{i}=\hat{\epsilon}_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{\epsilon}_{j}\) for \(i=1,2, \cdots, n\).
(c) For \(b\) in 1 to \(B\) :
(i) Generate bootstrap residuals \(\left\{\epsilon_{i}^{*}\right\}\) by sampling with replacement from \(\left\{\tilde{\epsilon}_{i}\right\}\), and calculate bootstrap observations \(y_{i}^{*}=\hat{m}(x ; h)+\epsilon_{i}^{*}\).
(ii) Fit loess \(\hat{m}^{*}(x ; h)\) by smoothing bootstrapped observations \(\left(x_{i}, y_{i}^{*}\right)\), and calculate bootstrapped residuals \(e_{i}^{*}=y_{i}^{*}-\hat{m}^{*}\left(x_{i} ; h\right)\) for \(i=1,2, \cdots, n\).
(iii) Normalize the bootstrapped residuals \(\tilde{e}_{i}^{*}=e_{i}^{*}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{j}^{*}\) for \(i=\) \(1,2, \cdots, n\).
(iv) Sample residuals \(\left\{e_{1}^{* *}, e_{2}^{* *}, \cdots, e_{n}^{* *}\right\}\) with replacement from the normalized bootstrapped residuals \(\left\{\tilde{e}_{1}^{*}, \tilde{e}_{2}^{*}, \cdots, \tilde{e}_{n}^{*}\right\}\), and calculate predicted residuals \(e_{i}^{* p}=\hat{m}\left(x_{i} ; h\right)-\hat{m}^{*}\left(x_{i} ; h\right)+e_{i}^{* *}\) for \(i=1,2, \cdots, n\).
(d) End For.
(e) Set the confidence coefficient \(\gamma \in(0,1)\) for the prediction band, calculate empirical quantiles \(e_{i}^{* p}((1-\gamma) / 2)\) and \(e_{i}^{* p}((1+\gamma) / 2)\) of the predicted residuals across bootstrap resamples, and construct lower and upper limits of the prediction band \(\left[\hat{y}_{i}+e_{i}^{* p}((1-\gamma) / 2), \hat{y}_{i}+e_{i}^{* p}((1+\gamma) / 2)\right]\).
```

The advantage of this algorithm is that it can be applied to any parametric or norparametric method to construct confidence bands for the response by replacing $\hat{m}(x ; h)$ by appropriate model.

We used the $R$ (R Core Team, 2017) statement loess to fit the model (equation 1) and to construct a confidence band using algorithm 1 . The goals are threefold:
(i) Displaying the shapes of relationships between the biological response variable and the environmental condition,
(ii) Identifying possible locations of the breakpoints (if there are any), and
(iii) Determining the prediction band for the ecological response variable given environmental condition.

### 2.1.2. Piecewise linear regression model

The simple linear regression model defines the relationship between a response and a predictor variable. It goes through the conditional mean of the
response given the predictor and is linear in parameters and variables. A piecewise linear regression model with one breakpoint goes through the conditional mean of the response and connects two linear segments at the breakpoint. Similarly, a piecewise linear regression model with two breakpoints connects three linear segments, wherein the first and second segments are connected at the first breakpoint and the second and third linear segments are connected at the second breakpoint.

Where stress-response relationships approximate a logistic curve characterized by two inflection points, a model that identifies two breakpoints is appropriate. We define a piecewise linear regression model

$$
y_{i}=m\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)+\epsilon_{i}
$$

to estimate two breakpoints incorporating three linear segments (Seber and Wild, 2003) as following:

$$
m\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lrr}
\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i} & \text { for } & x_{i} \leq \alpha_{1}  \tag{2}\\
\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\beta_{2}\left(x_{i}-\alpha_{1}\right) & \text { for } & \alpha_{1}<x_{i} \leq \alpha_{2} \\
\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\beta_{2}\left(x_{i}-\alpha_{1}\right)+\beta_{3}\left(x_{i}-\alpha_{2}\right) & \text { for } & x_{i}>\alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $y_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ are the values for the $i$ th response and predictor variables, respectively, and $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are the two breakpoints. Here, the vector of parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{T}\right)^{T}$ contains the vector of regression coefficients $\boldsymbol{\beta}=$ $\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)^{T}$ and vector of break points $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)^{T}$. We assume that the errors $\epsilon_{i}$ are independent and identically distributed normal random variable with zero mean, constant variance, and finite absolute moment for some order greater than 2 . The slopes for the first, second, and third segments of this model are $\beta_{1}, \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$, and $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}$, respectively. The above parametrization of the model forces continuity and abrupt transitions at the breakpoints. The parameters are estimated using a non-linear least squares method. The initial values to run the non-linear least squares method are obtained from fitting non-parametric regression loess.

We fitted the piecewise linear regression model (equation 2) to the ecological response variables against their environmental predictor using the $R$ package segmented (Muggeo, 2015). The piecewise linear regression model estimates the relationship among variables and identified the locations of the breakpoints and their confidence intervals, allowing us to make inferences about the statistical significance of the relationships.

### 2.1.3. Piecewise linear quantile regression model

Classical regression methods focus on estimating the parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ of a regression model $m(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ defined at the conditional mean of the response variable $y$ as a function of the vector of predictor variables $\mathbf{x}$. In mathematical notation we write $E(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})=m(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $m$ is the model of interest.

One can also construct regression models that are defined through the quantiles of the conditional distribution of the response variable given the predictors (Cade and Noon, 2003). As the mean alone is not enough to characterize an entire distribution, the classical regression method gives an incomplete picture of the conditional distribution of the response variable given the predictors (Mosteller and Tukey, 1977).

Quantile regression models are defined through the quantiles of the conditional distribution of the response variable. Such models allow one to evaluate relationships among variables through the conditional median of the response variable, as well as the full range of other conditional quantile functions. By supplementing the classical regression model, which is defined at the conditional mean only, quantile regression models provide a more complete statistical analysis of the relationships among variables. This is especially relevant when the range of variation in the dependent variable is of interest rather than its conditional mean. For example, in a simple linear regression model with heterogeneous variance linearly increasing/decreasing relative to the predictor implies that no single slope can characterize changes in the conditional distribution of the response given the predictor. In such a situation, by focusing exclusively on changes in the conditional mean, a classical linear regression model may underestimate/overestimate the slopes in the heterogeneous conditional distribution (Cade et al., 1999; Terrell et al., 1996). Unequal variance in the conditional distribution implies that there are many slopes describing the relationship between the response and predictor. Quantile regression models can estimate the multiple slopes and thus provide a more informative picture of the relationships.

Let $m_{\tau}\left(x ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau}\right)$ be the $\tau$ th quantile of the conditional distribution of the response $y$ given a predictor $x$. Then the piecewise linear quantile regression model with two breakpoints is defined as following:
$m_{\tau}\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lrr}\beta_{0 \tau}+\beta_{1 \tau} x_{i} & \text { for } & x_{i} \leq \alpha_{1 \tau} \\ \beta_{0 \tau}+\beta_{1 \tau} x_{i}+\beta_{2 \tau}\left(x_{i}-\alpha_{1 \tau}\right) & \text { for } & \alpha_{1 \tau}<x_{i} \leq \alpha_{2 \tau} \\ \beta_{0 \tau}+\beta_{1 \tau} x_{i}+\beta_{2 \tau}\left(x_{i}-\alpha_{1 \tau}\right)+\beta_{3 \tau}\left(x_{i}-\alpha_{2 \tau}\right) & \text { for } & x_{i}>\alpha_{2 \tau}\end{array}\right.$
where $\alpha_{1 \tau}$ and $\alpha_{2 \tau}$ are the first and second breakpoints defined at the $\tau$ th quantile of the conditional distribution.

To estimate the parameters of the piecewise linear quantile regression model the following objective function is minimized:

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau} \in R^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{\tau}\left(g_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau}\right)\right),
$$

where $g_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau}\right)=\left(y_{i}-m_{\tau}\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau}\right)\right)$, assumed to be differentiable with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau}$, and $\rho_{\tau}$ is the loss function defined as $\rho(u)=u(\tau-I(u<0))$, for some $\tau \in(0,1)$ and $I$ being the indicator function. Here, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\tau}$ is the vector of parameters, with dimension $p=6$, for the regression model defined at the $\tau$ th quantile. The above optimization problem is solved using linear programming method (Koenker,
2005). We used R package quantreg (Koenker et al., 2018) to fit piecewise linear quantile regression model where the initial values of the parameters are obtained from the fitted piecewise linear regression model.

The advantage of quantile regression is that there is no restriction for any distribution of the error term nor there is any restriction in specifying the structure of the error variance. Consequently, the quantile regression estimates are well suited to constructing prediction intervals for the breakpoints without the need to assume any parametric distribution for the estimates.

The piecewise linear quantile regression model, which is defined at conditional quantiles, provides much richer information in terms of estimating a relationship and breakpoints than the piecewise linear regression model, which is defined at the conditional mean. The collection of estimates of a breakpoint identified from different quantile regression curves provide an appropriate confidence interval for the index breakpoint. Moreover, the upper and lower quantile regression curves provide a prediction band for the data points.

### 2.1.4. Calculation of area within the prediction band with rationale

We calculated the area within the confidence band by introducing grids in the horizontal axis. The smaller the grid cells the better the efficiency in calculation. Within each small grid cell, we calculated the area of the rectangle (height $\times$ width) which is sandwiched between the lower and upper limits of the band. The areas of the rectangles in the grid that fell within the prediction bands of the relationship were then added together to provide an estimate for the area of the prediction band.

The calculation of area within the band allows us to compare the relative precision of the prediction bands obtained from different methods. In this paper, we compare $80 \%$ prediction band as it is available for the 3 methods we have introduced.

## 3. Applications

### 3.1. Relating a wetland fish index of biotic integrity to variation in agricultural stress among wetlands

The index of biotic integrity (IBI) provides a measure of the ecological condition of an ecoregion or watershed. Uzarski et al. (2005) and Bhagat et al. (2007) validated a multimetric index of biotic integrity by assessing fish community composition in stands of bulrush (Schoenoplectus, spp) in 30 coastal wetlands distributed across the US coast of the Great Lakes (Top of Table 1). Numerous researchers have quantified agricultural and land use as the major cause of natural habitat alteration, affecting fish communities in lakes and rivers (Brazner and Beals, 1997; Crosbie and Chow-Fraser, 1999). Danz et al. (2005) derived an index summarizing agricultural stress to characterize disturbance to natural land using GIS based data across the US Great Lakes coastline. In this application, we regressed the fish index of biotic integrity against the agricultural stress gradient (Bhagat et al., 2007). Theoretically, the fish IBI scores
vary from 0 to 100 , with larger scores representing better ecological health of the fish community sampled at a coastal shoreline draining a watershed. The agricultural stress gradient, a scaled principal component analysis (PCA) score was rescaled from its original values (Danz et al., 2005) to a 0 to 1 range with larger numbers reflecting more extensive agricultural activities. The original PCA scores ranged from -1.5634 to +1.6733 , and the adjusted PCA scores were rescaled according to the formula:

Scaled agricultural stress $=0.341774+(0.196037 \times$ Reported agricultural stress score $)$.
The overall mean of fish IBI is 44.40 with $95 \%$ confidence interval of 39.47 to 49.33. The overall mean of agricultural stress is 0.32 with $95 \%$ confidence interval of 0.27 to 0.38 . Bhagat et al. (2007) concluded that the fish IBI scores exhibited a negative linear correlation with respect to agricultural stress, but suggested the presence of threshold responses; however, they did not quantitatively test for the presence of breakpoints.

### 3.2. Relating cyanobacteria biomass to total phosphorus concentrations among lakes

Increasing prevalence of cyanobacteria blooms and their biomass is a global phenomenon (Bullerjahn et al., 2016). Cyanobacteria blooms are manifestations of eutrophication and are directly related to risks to human and animal health (Downing et al., 2001; Svendsen et al., 2018). Cyanobacteria-dominated blooms generate compounds that can be acutely toxic (Campos and Vasconcelos, 2010; Roegner et al., 2014), and that are linked to diseases such as carcinoma (Labine and Minuk, 2009; Lone et al., 2015). Mitigation of these risks requires understanding causes of blooms in lakes and rivers. Biomass is a standard index of the extent and concentration of cyanobacteria and often used as a proxy for the risk of toxicity of harmful algal blooms.

The frequency of cyanobacterial blooms is rising across Canada (Pick, 2016) as well as elsewhere. Beaulieu et al. (2014) used data from 149 lakes collected across three regions in Canada and fitted a linear regression model to predict cyanobacterial biomass ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) from total phosphorus concentrations (TP, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$.

Because the relationships between $\log _{10}$ (cyanobacterial biomass) and $\log _{10}$ (total phosphorus) has been argued to be better represented as a sigmoidal function with abrupt changes evident at two positions defined by $\log$ (total phosphorus) concentration, we used the piecewise linear regression model to estimate the breakpoints and generated confidence bands for the response variable given the predictor.

The data presented in Beaulieu et al. (2014) were collected from 43 sites from Alberta, 10 from British Columbia, and 97 from Ontario (Bottom of Table 1). The data were provided by the Ministries of the Environment of Alberta, British Columbia and Ontario.

The overall mean and $95 \%$ confidence interval of the $\log _{10}$ (cyanobacterial biomass) is 2.12 and ( $1.96-2.28$ ), respectively. The overall mean of $\log _{10}$ (total phosphorus) is 1.20 with $95 \%$ confidence interval (1.13-1.27). The mean values are greatest
in Alberta followed by British Columbia and Ontario. The total phosphorus concentration is significantly higher in Alberta lakes than in those from British Columbia and Ontario. The mean of cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus concentration in British Columbia and Ontario are not significantly different.

Table 1: Means and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of means of the two variables for the fish index of biotic integrity score and agricultural stress data (top) and for the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data (bottom).

| Fish IBI score and agricultural stress |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Variables | $n$ | Mean | 95\% CI of mean |  |
|  |  |  |  | lower | upper |
| GLEI ${ }^{1}$ | Fish IBI | 13 | 42.23 | 36.57 | 47.90 |
|  | Agg. stress |  | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.40 |
| Uzarski ${ }^{2}$ | Fish IBI | 17 | 46.06 | 38.04 | 54.08 |
|  | Agg. stress |  | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.40 |
| Combined | Fish IBI | 30 | 44.40 | 39.47 | 49.33 |
|  | Agg. stress |  | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.38 |


| Cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | Variables | $n$ | Mean | $95 \%$ CI of mean |  |
|  |  |  |  | lower | upper |
| Alberta | Cyan | 43 | 2.86 | 2.62 | 3.10 |
|  | TP |  | 1.54 | 1.44 | 1.63 |
| BC | Cyan | 10 | 2.40 | 1.74 | 3.06 |
|  | TP |  | 1.12 | 0.81 | 1.42 |
| Ontario | Cyan | 97 | 1.76 | 1.58 | 1.94 |
|  | TP |  | 1.06 | 0.98 | 1.14 |
| Combined | Cyan | 150 | 2.12 | 1.96 | 2.28 |
|  | TP |  | 1.20 | 1.13 | 1.27 |

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Relationships between fish index of biotic integrity and agricultural stress

### 4.1.1. Loess and bootstarap confidence band

The relationship between fish IBI and agricultural stress was negative (Figure 1): the fish IBI decreased with the increase of agricultural stress. The nonparametric loess suggests the possible presence of two breakpoints - one around agricultural stress value of 0.22 and the other around 0.45 . The Fish IBI score was independent of agricultural stress for agricultural stress scores up to 0.22 , decreased sharply between stress values of 0.22 to 0.45 , and reached its minimum at stress values greater than 0.45 . The $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ bootstrap prediction

[^1]bands for the fish IBI were obtained from 10,000 bootstrap samples. The loess and bootstrapped prediction bands provide an idea about possible locations and spread of future data points. The $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ bootstrapped prediction bands covered surface areas of 12.479 and 17.666 square units, respectively.


Figure 1: The scatter plot of fish index of biotic integrity against agricultural stress highlighting labels for Uzarski and GLEI sites. The scatter plot displays loess along with $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ bootstrap prediction bands, which cover surface areas of 12.479 and 17.666 square units, respectively.

### 4.1.2. Piecewise linear regression model

Piecewise linear regression fitted to the fish IBI and agricultural stress data identified two breakpoints at agricultural stress scores of 0.263 and 0.488 , respectively (Table 2), broadly corresponding to the location of inflection points identified by the loess model. Table 2 also shows the estimates, standard errors, t-values, p-values and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of the breakpoints and slopes of the piecewise linear regression model fitted to the fish IBI versus agricultural stress data. The $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the first and second breakpoints $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are $(0.196,0.331)$ and $(0.391,0.585)$, respectively. There is no overlap between the confidence intervals for the two estimated breakpoints. Thus, the two breakpoints are significantly different from one other.

The $95 \%$ confidence interval of the regression coefficient $\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ for the first segment of the regression model is $(-70.110,78.920)$. As the confidence interval contains $\beta_{1}=0$ inside with $t$ and $p$ values of 0.122 and 0.904 , respectively, the relationship between fish IBI and agricultural stress is statistically nonsignificant in this segment. That is, Fish IBI did not change as Agricultural Stress changed from 0 to 0.263 . The $95 \%$ confidence interval of the slope $\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)$ in the second segment of the regression model is $(-272.800,-50.670)$. As the confidence interval does not contain $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}=0$, with $t$ and $p$ values of -3.005 and 0.006 , respectively, the relationship between fish IBI and agricultural stress is statistically significant in this segment. That is, fish IBI decreases significantly with the increase of agricultural stress between 0.263 to 0.488 . The $95 \%$ confidence interval of slope $\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}\right)$ in the third segment of the regression model is $(-54.240,278.800)$. As the confidence interval contains $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}=0$ inside with $t$ and $p$ values of 1.392 and 0.177 , respectively, the relationship between fish IBI and agricultural stress is statistically insignificant in this segment. That is, fish IBI does not reflect the changes as agricultural stress changes from 0.488 and 1.00 .

Table 2: Estimates, standard errors (SE), t-values $(t)$, p-values $(p)$ and $95 \%$ confidence intervals $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ of the breakpoints (breaks) and slopes of the piecewise linear regression lines fitted to the fish index of biotic integrity (IBI) score versus agricultural stress data. The statistically significant slopes are highlighted by light grey color.

| Names | Parameters | Fish IBI score and agricultural stress |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Estimates | SE | $t$ | $p$ | 95\% CI |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| Breaks | $\alpha_{1}$ | 0.263 | 0.033 | 7.970 | 0.000 | 0.196 | 0.331 |
|  | $\alpha_{2}$ | 0.488 | 0.047 | 10.383 | 0.000 | 0.391 | 0.585 |
| Slopes | $\beta_{1}$ | 4.405 | 36.100 | 0.122 | 0.904 | -70.110 | 78.920 |
|  | $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$ | -161.700 | 53.810 | -3.005 | 0.006* | -272.800 | -50.670 |
|  | $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}$ | 112.300 | 80.680 | 1.392 | 0.177 | -54.240 | 278.800 |

Figure 2 shows the piecewise linear regression model fitted to the fish IBI and agricultural stress data. This figure depicts the two breakpoints 0.263 and 0.488 along with the $95 \%$ confidence intervals (see the two solid lines along the horizontal axis). This plot also shows the $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ prediction bands for the response variable fish IBI given the predictor agricultural stress which provide an idea about the positions of the future data points. The $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ prediction bands cover surface areas of 17.240 and 27.000 square units, respectively. The prediction bands are wider in this figure, in contrast to Figure 1, as the model contains 6 parameters and leaving only 30 data points for estimation.

### 4.1.3. Piecewise linear quantile regression

A piecewise linear quantile regression model provides much richer information in terms of estimating relationship and breakpoints than the piecewise linear regression model which goes through the means of each segment. The collection


Figure 2: The scatter plot of fish index of biotic integrity against agricultural stress highlighting labels for Uzarski and GLEI sites. The scatter plot displays the fitted piecewise linear regression model along with $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ prediction bands which cover surface areas of 17.240 and 27.000 square units, respectively. The two solid lines along the horizontal axis are the marginal $95 \%$ confidence intervals of the breakpoints.
of breakpoints from different quantile regression curves provides confidence intervals for the breakpoints (Table 3). Moreover, the upper and lower quantile regression curves provide narrower prediction bands ( 12.438 square units only) for the data points (Figure 3) than the other two models. The quantile regression curves at the 10th and 90th quantiles closely tracked the lower and upper bounds of the data points, respectively.

The fitted quantile regression curves each provide paired estimates for the values of first and second breakpoints on the stress axis (Table 3). The smallest and the largest breakpoints are highlighted by light and dark gray, respectively. The collection of estimates for the first breakpoint ranges from 0.233 to 0.284 and can be considered as the $80 \%$ confidence interval for $\alpha_{1}$. The collection of estimates for the second breakpoint ranges from 0.448 and 0.564 and can be considered as the $80 \%$ confidence interval for $\alpha_{2}$. So the first breakpoint occurs within the interval 0.233 to 0.284 and the second breakpoint is situated somewhere between 0.448 and 0.564 .


Figure 3: Piecewise linear quantile regression models for quantiles $\tau=0.10,0.50$, and 0.90 applied to the fish index of biotic integrity and agricultural stress data. The models with quantiles 0.10 and 0.90 provide $80 \%$ prediction band for the response given the predictor. The $80 \%$ prediction band covers surface area of 12.438 square units.

### 4.2. Relationship between cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus

Subsections 4.2.1, 4.2.2, and 4.2.3 contain results from statistical methods applied to the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data.

### 4.2.1. Loess and bootstrap prediction band

The fitted model loess and its $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ prediction bands indicated that there was a positive relationship between $\log _{10}$ (cyanobacteria biomass) and $\log _{10}$ (total phosphorus) (Figure 4). Discontinuities in the trend line suggested the potential of finding two candidate breakpoints one at $\log (\mathrm{TP})$ of approximately $1.20(15.85 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ and the other at around $\log (\mathrm{TP})$ of $1.70(50.12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$. Cyanobacteria biomass increased steadily as function of $\log (\mathrm{TP})$ up to a value of 1.20 , rises sharply between $\log (\mathrm{TP})$ values between 1.20 and 1.70 , and then rises more slowly at greater $\log (\mathrm{TP})$ concentrations. The $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ bootstrap prediction bands (generated from 10000 bootstrap samples) identify the potential range of cyanobacteria biomass of a given value of $\log (\mathrm{TP})$. The $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ prediction bands cover surface areas of 3.456 and 5.936 square units, respectively.

Table 3: Estimates of the breakpoints $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of the piecewise linear quantile regression models for quantiles $\tau=(0.10,0.20,0.30,0.40,0.50,0.60,0.70,0.80,0.90)$ applied to the fish IBI score and agricultural stress data. The grid of quantiles covers $(0.90-0.10) \times 100=80 \%$ of the total space. Hence, the smallest and largest breakpoints - which are highlighted by light and dark grey, respectively - provide $80 \%$ confidence intervals for the breakpoints.

| Quantiles | Breakpoints |  | Quantiles | Breakpoints |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | $\alpha_{1}(\tau)$ | $\alpha_{2}(\tau)$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha_{1}(\tau)$ | $\alpha_{2}(\tau)$ |
| 0.10 | 0.233 | 0.452 | 0.60 | 0.255 | 0.476 |
| 0.20 | 0.239 | 0.450 | 0.70 | 0.264 | 0.533 |
| 0.30 | 0.233 | 0.450 | 0.80 | 0.284 | 0.564 |
| 0.40 | 0.270 | 0.448 | 0.90 | 0.264 | 0.552 |
| 0.50 | 0.264 | 0.466 | - | - | - |

### 4.2.2. Piecewise linear regression model

The piecewise linear regression model (equation 2) fitted to the cyanobacteria biomass identified lower and upper breakpoints ( $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ ) at $\log (\mathrm{TP})$ of 1.212 (16.293 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and $1.624(42.073 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, respectively (Table 4).

Table 4 also shows the estimates, standard errors, t-values, p-values and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of the breakpoints and slopes of the piecewise linear regression model fitted to the cyanobacteria biomass vs total phosphorus data. The statistically significant slopes are highlighted by light gray shading. The $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the breakpoints $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are $(1.026,1.399)$ and $(1.418,1.830)$, respectively. The two breakpoints are statistically different as there is no overlap between the two confidence intervals.

The values and trends of the three slopes estimated by the piecewise regression analysis (Table 4; Figure 5) were consistent in relative magnitude with the patterns subjectively described by the loess model. Values for regression slope coefficients in the first and second segments of the regression lines were both significantly greater than zero $\left(b_{1}=1.172, t=3.595, p=0.000 ; b_{1}+b_{2}=\right.$ $3.344, t=4.791, p=0.000$ ). Although the estimated slope of the third segment of the regression line was greater than zero, the value was not quite statistically significant $\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}=0.831, t=1.884, p=0.062\right)$. Figure 5 displays $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ prediction bands, which cover surface areas of 3.956 and 6.073 square units, respectively. The prediction bands for the data points are compact as there are many data points ( 150 , here) in this application. The prediction bands provide an idea about the possible range of values of log of cyanobacteria biomass for a given value of $\log$ of total phosphorus.

### 4.2.3. Piecewise linear quantile regression

Figure 6 shows the fitted piecewise linear quantile regression model (equation 3) for conditional quantiles $\tau=0.10,0.50$, and 0.90 bounding the $\log$ of cyanobacteria biomass and $\log$ of total phosphorus relationship. The quantile regression curves at 10 th and 90 th quantiles provide a prediction band for the range of the cyanobacterial biomass values expected for a given total phosphorus concentration. The $80 \%$ prediction band covers 2.951 square units of the


Figure 4: The scatterplot of $\log$ of cyanobacteria biomass against $\log$ of total phosphorus highlighting labels for the lakes in Alberta, British Columbia and Ontario. The scatter plot displays loess along with $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ bootstrap prediction bands, which cover surface areas of 3.456 and 5.936 square units, respectively.
surface area.
The quantile regression models generate an estimate of the location of the first and second break points, for each of the quantile boundaries (Table 5). The estimated values for the first break points $\alpha_{1}$ ranged from $1.066(11.641 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ to $1.230(16.982 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$. The values for the second break point $\alpha_{2}$ ranged from the $1.585(38.459 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ to $1.662(45.920 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$. The $80 \%$ prediction intervals for $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are $(1.066,1.230)$ and $(1.585,1.662)$, respectively.

## 5. Comparison of confidence intervals and prediction bands

We examined which method provided the narrowest confidence intervals of the breakpoints. We fixed the confidence coefficient at 0.80 as the piecewise linear quantile regression model provides $80 \%$ confidence intervals for the breakpoints. As loess does not provide estimates for the breakpoints, we could not calculate the width for loess. However, one can use loess to subjectively estimate the positions of the breakpoints and their intervals.

Table 4: Estimates, standard errors (SE), t-value ( $t$ ), p-value ( $p$ ) and $95 \%$ confidence intervals ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ) of the breakpoints (breaks) and slopes of the piecewise linear regression model fitted to the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data. The statistically significant slopes are highlighted by light grey color.

| Names | Parameters | Cyanobacteria biomass vs total phosphorus |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SE | $t$ | $p$ |  | $95 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0.094 | 12.894 | 0.000 | 1.026 |
|  |  | 1.624 | 0.104 | 15.615 | 0.000 | 1.399 |  |
|  | $\alpha_{2}$ | 1.172 | 0.326 | 3.595 | $0.000^{*}$ | 0.528 | 1.830 |
| Slopes | $\beta_{1}$ | 3.344 | 0.698 | 4.791 | $0.000^{*}$ | 1.964 | 4.725 |
|  | $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$ | 0.831 | 0.441 | 1.884 | 0.062 | -0.041 | 1.702 |

Table 5: Estimates of the breakpoints $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of the piecewise linear quantile regression models for quantiles $\tau=0.10,0.20,0.30,0.40,0.50,0.60,0.70,0.80$ and 0.90 applied to the log of cyanobacteria biomass and log of total phosphorus data. The grid of quantiles covers $(0.90-0.10) \times 100=80 \%$ of the total space. Hence, the smallest and largest breakpoints which are highlighted by light and dark grey, respectively - provide $80 \%$ confidence intervals for the breakpoints.

| Quantiles | Breakpoints |  | Quantiles | Breakpoints |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | $\alpha_{1}(\tau)$ | $\alpha_{2}(\tau)$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha_{1}(\tau)$ | $\alpha_{2}(\tau)$ |
| 0.10 | 1.228 | 1.609 | 0.60 | 1.176 | 1.641 |
| 0.20 | 1.201 | 1.585 | 0.70 | 1.155 | 1.623 |
| 0.30 | 1.066 | 1.645 | 0.80 | 1.146 | 1.598 |
| 0.40 | 1.230 | 1.646 | 0.90 | 1.200 | 1.623 |
| 0.50 | 1.110 | 1.662 |  | - | - |

Table 6 compares the width of the $80 \%$ confidence intervals of the breakpoints $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ for the piecewise linear regression model (PLRM) and piecewise linear quantile regression model (PQRM). The first part of this table shows results for the fish index of biotic integrity and agricultural stress data. The widths of the first breakpoints $\alpha_{1}$ are $0.306-0.220=0.086$ and $0.284-0.233=0.051$ for PLRM and PQRM, respectively. For $\alpha_{1}$, the PQRM generated a substantially narrower interval. Similarly, the widths of the $80 \%$ confidence intervals for the second breakpoint $\alpha_{2}$ are 0.124 and 0.116 for PLRM and PQRM, respectively. Again, the PQRM generated a narrower interval.

The second part of Table 6 summarizes the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data. For the first breakpoint $\alpha_{1}$, the widths of the $80 \%$ confidence intervals for PLRM and PQRM are 0.243 and 0.164 , respectively. For the second breakpoint $\alpha_{2}$, the widths of the $80 \%$ confidence intervals for PLRM and PQRM are 0.269 and 0.077 , respectively. Again, for a given confidence coefficient 0.80 the smaller width is produced by PQRM. Thus, we advocate that the piecewise linear quantile regression model provides more precise confidence intervals for the breakpoints.


Figure 5: The scatterplot of $\log$ of cyanobacteria biomass against $\log$ of total phosphorus highlighting the labels for the lakes in Alberta, British Columbia and Ontario. The scatter plot displays the fitted piecewise linear regression model along with $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ prediction bands, which cover surface areas of 3.956 and 6.073 square units, respectively. The two solid lines along the horizontal axis are the marginal $95 \%$ confidence intervals of the breakpoints.

We also compared the surface areas bounded by the prediction band for each of the three methods. For a given confidence coefficient, the method covering the smallest surface area is considered the best. Table 7 compares the surface areas in square units of the $80 \%$ prediction bands for bootstrapped loess (BL), piecewise linear regression model (PLRM) and piecewise linear quantile regression model (PQRM). The top part of Table 7 shows results for the fish IBI and agricultural stress data. For the confidence coefficient of 0.80 , the surface areas covered by BL, PLRM and PQRM are $12.479,17.240$, and 12.438 square units, respectively. The smallest surface area 12.438 , highlighted by dark grey color, was derived from the PQRM.

The bottom part of Table 7 shows results for the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data. The surface areas of $80 \%$ prediction bands are 3.456 , 3.956 , and 2.951 square units, respectively. Even though bootstrapped loess provides surface area which is close to piecewise linear quantile regression model, we prefer the latter method as it provides better estimates of the breakpoints.


Figure 6: Piecewise linear quantile regression models for quantiles $\tau=0.10,0.50$, and 0.90 applied to the log of cyanobacteria biomass and log of total phosphorus data. The models with quantiles 0.10 and 0.90 provide $80 \%$ prediction band for the data points. The $80 \%$ prediction band covers 2.951 square units of the surface area.

## 6. Discussion and conclusion

We applied a suite of statistical methods to estimate ecological thresholds in two case studies. We proposed to use the nonparametric regression loess to provide a subjective idea of the number and positions of the breakpoints. Having obtained initial values of the breakpoints from loess, the piecewise linear regression model was used to estimate the breakpoints based on the conditional mean of the dependent variable given the independent variable. Finally, a suite of estimates of the breakpoints for a range of quantiles summarizing the full distribution of response values was obtained using the piecewise linear quantile regression method. Whereas the piecewise linear regression model provides standard errors and confidence intervals for the breakpoints (indicating the precision of the coefficients), the piecewise linear quantile regression model generated narrower confidence intervals for the breakpoints. The confidence intervals indicate the breakpoints' most likely lower and upper bounds. Furthermore, we used the three methods to generate prediction bands for response variable indicating an index of biological condition given a predictor variable representing environmental disturbance.

Table 6: Comparison of width of the $80 \%$ confidence intervals of the breakpoints ( $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ ) for the piecewise linear regression model (PLRM) and piecewise linear quantile regression model (PQRM). The smaller the width, the better the method. The top portion belongs to the fish IBI score and agricultural stress data and the bottom portion belongs to the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data. The smaller width in each row, which is highlighted by the dark grey color, belongs to the piecewise linear quantile regression model.

| Methods | PLRM |  |  | PQRM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish IBI score vs agricultural stress |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breakpoints | $80 \%$ confidence intervals |  | $80 \%$ confidence intervals |  |  |  |
|  | Lower | Upper | Width | Lower | Upper | Width |
| $\alpha_{1}$ | 0.220 | 0.306 | 0.086 | 0.233 | 0.284 | 0.051 |
| $\alpha_{2}$ | 0.426 | 0.550 | 0.124 | 0.448 | 0.564 | 0.116 |
| Cyanobacteria biomass vs total phosphorus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breakpoints | $80 \%$ confidence intervals | $80 \%$ confidence intervals |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lower | Upper | Width | Lower | Upper | Width |
| $\alpha_{1}$ | 1.091 | 1.334 | 0.243 | 1.066 | 1.230 | 0.164 |
| $\alpha_{2}$ | 1.489 | 1.758 | 0.269 | 1.585 | 1.662 | 0.077 |

### 6.1. Fish index of biotic integrity versus agricultural stress

Bootstrapped loess (BL), piecewise linear regression (PLRM) and the piecewise linear quantile regression model (PQRM) all identified 2 breakpoints (agricultural stress thresholds) in the fish IBI vs agricultural stress dataset, all in the same locations along the stress gradient. Of the two methods from which confidence intervals could be identified, the $80 \%$ CI of the PQRM were $59.30 \%$ and $93.55 \%$ as wide as those of the PLRM breakpoints, for the lower and upper thresholds, respectively. Similarly, the PQRM estimates produced the narrowest prediction bands, enveloping an area only $72.15 \%$ of that produced by the PLRM. The BL envelope was similar to the PQRM, bounding an area that was $72.38 \%$ of the PLRM area.

The piecewise linear regression approach identified two breakpoints along the agricultural stress gradient, at values 0.263 and 0.488 . The breakpoints were significantly different from each other and represented marked discontinuities in the index of biotic integrity-agricultural stress relationship. Fish IBI scores were independent of agricultural stress over the stress range from 0 to 0.263 , but were a significant negative function of increasing agricultural stress from 0.263 to 0.488 . Fish IBI was also independent of agricultural stress at stress values greater than 0.488 . The $80 \%$ confidence interval using the piecewise linear quantile regression model for the first and second breakpoints are $(0.233,0.284)$ and ( $0.448,564$ ), respectively. That is we are $80 \%$ confident that the first breakpoint is situated between 0.233 and 0.284 and that the second breakpoint is located between 0.448 and 0.564 .

Tests for departure of the 3 segments of the fish IBI vs agricultural stress regression line indicated that fish IBI score was a negative function of agricultural stress only between the two stress thresholds. Below the lower threshold, fish IBI scores was variable, but consistently greater than 45 (the intercept of

Table 7: Comparison of the surface areas in square units of the $80 \%$ prediction bands for bootstrapped loess (BL), piecewise linear regression model (PLRM) and piecewise linear quantile regression model (PQRM). The smaller the surface area, the better the method. The top portion belongs to the fish IBI score and agricultural stress data and the bottom portion belongs to the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data. The smaller surface area in each row, which is highlighted by the dark grey, belongs to the piecewise linear quantile regression model.

| Fish IBI score vs agricultural stress |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methods | BL | PLRM | PQRM |
| Surface area | 12.479 | 17.240 | 12.438 |
| Cyanobacteria biomass vs total phosphorus |  |  |  |
| Methods | BL | PLRM | PQRM |
| Surface area | 3.456 | 3.956 | 2.951 |

the first segment of the 10 th quantile of the PQRM). Above the upper threshold, fish IBI scores were similarly variable but consistently less than 38 (the maximum interpolated value of the 90th quantile; Figure 3).

The ecological and environmental management implications of this interpretation are significant. The models suggest that agricultural stress values less than 0.196 (lower bound of the $95 \%$ CI for the lower breakpoint) has no detectable influence on fish community condition, relative to the range of natural variation. In contrast, under high levels of agricultural stress ( $>0.585$; upper bound of the $95 \%$ CI for the higher breakpoint) management practices that slightly reduce agricultural effects are unlikely to improve fish community condition as expressed in IBI scores. Agricultural changes to watersheds draining into coastal wetlands are only likely to influence fish community condition in wetlands with stress scores between the two breakpoints.

### 6.2. Cyanobacterial biomass versus total phosphorus

The relative effectiveness of the 3 regression models (BL, PLRM and PQRM) for the cyanobacterial biomass versus total phosphorus relationship matched those observed for the fish IBI versus agricultural stress analysis. Once again, the PQRM-derived $80 \%$ confidence intervals for the two thresholds were narrower than the intervals derived from the PLRM (only $67.49 \%$ and $28.63 \%$ of the PLRM-derived widths for the lower and upper TP thresholds, respectively). As was the case for the fish IBI dataset, the PLRM model produced the widest $80 \%$ prediction envelop over the range of total phosphorus concentrations. In this case, however, the area of the $80 \%$ prediction band estimated by the PQRM was markedly narrower ( $74.60 \%$ of the PLRM area) than the band estimated by the BL ( $87.36 \%$ of the PLRM area).

Our tests for the presence of ecological breakpoints in the data relating cyanobacterial biomass to total phosphorus also identified two statistically significant breakpoints, corresponding to log of total phosphorus of 1.212 (16.293 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$ and $1.624(42.073 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, respectively. Cyanobacterial biomass increases slowly below log of total phosphorus concentration of 1.212 , sharply between
1.212 to 1.624 , and slowly at $\log$ of total phosphorus concentration greater than 1.624. The $95 \%$ confidence intervals using the piecewise linear quantile regression model for the first and second breakpoints are $(1.026,1.399)$ and $(1.418,1.830)$, respectively. That is, we are $95 \%$ confident that the first breakpoint occurs between 1.026 and 1.399, and the second breakpoint occurs between 1.418 and 1.830 .

The slopes of the 3 segments of the cyanobacterial biomass versus total phosphorus relationship were all significantly greater than zero, indicating that CB is associated with the amount of TP across the entire nutrient gradient. However, both the range of variation in CB and the slope of the relationship is much steeper over the range of TP concentrations between about $12\left(10^{1.066}\right)$ and 46 $\left(10^{1.662}\right) \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$. Below the lower threshold, CB is consistently less than about 100 $\left(10^{2}\right) \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, whereas above the upper threshold, CB is predicted to be greater than about $320\left(10^{2.5}\right) \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$, ranging to as least $3 \times 10^{3}$ at the upper limit of observed TP concentrations (Figure 6). The piecewise quantile regression analysis lends support to both interpretations of the CB versus TP relationship. Biomass was a monotonically increasing function of total phosphorus as interpreted by Dillon and Rigler (1974) and Beaulieu et al. (2014). But the identification of 3 significantly different segments of the relationship separated by breakpoints in the nutrient gradient supports the sigmoidal interpretation of the relationship (Watson et al., 1992; Filstrup et al., 2014). Clearly, the identification of breakpoints indicates that the relationship is more complicated than that implied by linear regression of the log-transformed data.

The $80 \%$ and $95 \%$ bootstrap prediction bands using loess for the fish index of biotic integrity and agricultural stress data (Figure 1) exhibit more uncertainty than that of the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus data (Figure 4)). The prediction bands for the fish index of biotic integrity and agricultural stress data are more uncertain as this dataset contains 30 data points, which is much smaller than the 150 data points for the cyanobacteria biomass dataset. This shows that the methodology is sensitive to the sizes of the data sets. Overall, the prediction bands using the proposed bootstrap method provides a good idea of the spread of the future data points.

The wide prediction bands generated using the piecewise linear regression model derived for the fish index of biotic integrity and agricultural stress scores reflect the limited number of observations ( $n=30$; Figure 2) and the number of degreess of freedom needed to fit the 6 parameters of the model. In contrast, the fitting of multiple parameters was less inconsequential to the width of prediction bands for the cyanobacteria biomass and total phosphorus concentration ( $n=$ 150; Figure 5).

Sample size limitation exerted similar effects on the precision of prediction bands (Figure $3 \& 6$ ) derived from the piecewise linear quantile regression. In these cases, the effective sample size is a function of the quantile analyzed. As a result, the $80 \%$ percentile prediction bands are more erratic than the prediction bands generated by the bootstrapped loess and piecewise linear regression models. However, as is true for all statistical methods, greater amounts of data would improve the precision of prediction bands.

The upper and lower bounds of prediction intervals (Figures 1, 2, 4 and 5) derived from the bootstrapped loess and piecewise linear regression models reflect the relationships that are evident in the central tendency of the data. These bounds do not reflect the relationships that are present in the conditional quantiles of the data. One advantage of the prediction bands obtained from the piecewise linear quantile regression is that the upper and lower bounds (Figures 3 and 6) reflect the relationships in the conditional quantiles of the data.

We recommend using the piecewise linear quantile regression model to estimate the breakpoints as it provides the narrowest confidence intervals of the breakpoints. Furthermore, the piecewise linear quantile regression model provides the smallest width of the prediction band for the data points.
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