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Abstract

We resolve the space-time coordinates of Minkowski space into Weyl spinors with components in a split Clifford algebra. Poisson brackets are defined for Clifford-valued canonical variables and applied to the quantization of the point particle and string. In particular, we obtain the Poincaré algebra for the quantum string. The Clifford algebra is augmented with the octonions through an R-algebra tensor product and we apply the results of Manogue, Schray and Dray on octonionic Lorentz transformations to obtain a Lorentz invariant string action in ten dimensions.

1 Resolving Minkowski space into spinors

At first glance there seems to be no connection between the quantum interference between alternative space-time paths and the double homomorphism \(SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow SO(1,3)\) of the Lorentz group. However, if space-time is equipped with a spinorial substructure, the interference terms in the transition probabilities can be interpreted as single amplitudes in the underlying \(SL(2,\mathbb{C})\) space which reproduces space-time twice \cite{1}. This suggests that the non-locality of quantum mechanics is an artifact caused by describing amplitudes relative to an \(SO(1,3)\) base space. It is therefore of interest to examine the quantization of particles and strings in a spinorial Clifford space.

As shown in \cite{2}, the space-time coordinates \(x^\mu\) of four-dimensional Minkowski space can be resolved into Weyl spinors according to

\[
x^{A\dagger B} = c^A \bullet c^{\ast B}, \quad c^A \bullet c^B = 0, \quad x^{A\dagger B} = \sigma^{A\dagger B}_\mu x^\mu, \quad u \bullet v \equiv \frac{1}{2}\{u, v\},
\]

where the \(\sigma\)'s are the Hermitian Pauli matrices \cite{3,4} and the components of \(c^A\) belong to the split Clifford Algebra \(Cl(4,4,\mathbb{R})\). The product \(\bullet\) is the inner product of the Clifford Algebra. A similar factorization is well known from the factorization of the Lorentz metric \(\eta_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = \gamma_\mu \cdot \gamma_\nu\), where the \(\gamma\)'s generate the Clifford algebra \(Cl(1,3,\mathbb{R})\). In general, an even-dimensional real Clifford algebra can be written in complex form by a decomposition of the complexified generating space (a polarization) \cite{5}. This turns the algebra into a dual system, in our case exemplified by \(c\) and \(c^{\ast}\). The dotted and undotted capital letters define the transformation property of the Weyl spinors under
The complex conjugation \( * \) is born as an automorphism \( (uv)^* = u^*v^* \), but for later convenience we shall combine it with a natural antiautomorphism of the Clifford algebra (like reversion) [6], to turn it into an antiautomorphism \( (uv)^* = v^*u^* \). A well known example of such an antiautomorphism is the Hermitian adjoint in the Clifford algebra of creation and annihilation operator for a set of fermions.

Complex Weyl spinors can only generate a four-dimensional Minkowski space, but there are reasons to believe that four dimensions do not suffice to accommodate the symmetries of the Standard Model. Within the framework of Weyl spinors, our only option of increasing the dimension is to replace the complex numbers with a higher dimensional normed division algebra. It has been conjectured that there is a connection between the octonions and ten-dimensional Minkowski space [7],[8],[9],[10]. A main object of this paper is to create a model which exemplifies this connection.

The octonions [7] \( \mathcal{O} \) is a non-commutative normed division algebra which is alternative, but not associative. Alternative means that the product of three numbers is associative if at least two of them only differ by a real factor. An octonion \( z \) can be written as

\[
z = x_0e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{7} x_ie_i, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad e_0 = 1, \quad (e_i)^2 = -1,
\]

where \( e_i, i = 1, \ldots, 7 \), are the seven anti-commuting imaginary units.

Consider the tensor product \( T \equiv \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Cl}(2n,2n,\mathbb{R}) \) of the two \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebras \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \text{Cl}(2n,2n,\mathbb{R}) \). Since \( \mathcal{O} \) is non-commutative and non-associative and \( \text{Cl}(2n,2n,\mathbb{R}) \) is commutative and associative, their tensor product will be a non-commutative, non-associative \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra. As in the foregoing, we write \( \text{Cl}(2n,2n,\mathbb{R}) \) in complex form. The complex conjugation of the Clifford algebra and the conjugation of the octonions are both antiautomorphisms. Together they induce an antiautomorphism on \( T \) through \( (z \otimes u)^* \equiv z^* \otimes u^* \), where we have taken the liberty of using the same symbol * for all three conjugations. In the Clifford algebra we could obtain scalars from the inner product \( u_1 \cdot u_2^* \) of vectors in the generating space of \( \text{Cl}(2n,2n,\mathbb{R}) \) by taking advantage of the fact that it is proportional to the multiplicative identity \( 1 \). This inner product may be extended to \( T \) through

\[
(z_1 \otimes u_1) \cdot (z_2 \otimes u_2) \equiv (z_1z_2) \otimes (u_1 \cdot u_2),
\]

which defines the inner product of any pair of vectors in \( T \) relative to a basis of pure tensors \( e_i \otimes f_j \), and is independent of the choice of such a basis. When \( u_1 \cdot u_2^* = r1 \), \( r \in \mathbb{R} \), (2) gives

\[
(z_1 \otimes u_1) \cdot (z_2 \otimes u_2)^* = (z_1z_2^*r) \otimes 1,
\]

(3)
and we may leave out $\otimes 1$ and regard $v_1 \cdot v_\ast^2$ as the octonion $z_1 z_2^\ast r$.

To extend the factorization (1) to the octonion case, we consider the matrix

$$H_{ij} = v_i \cdot v_j^\ast, \ v_i \in T, \ i, j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad (4)$$

which is identically Hermitian. If the inner products of the Clifford components of $v_i$ and $v_j$ are real, it becomes an octonionic Hermitian matrix. The following proposition shows that any octonionic Hermitian matrix can be obtained in this manner:

**Proof.** Consider the $n$ vectors

$$v_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \otimes e_k + b_{ik} \otimes f_k,$$

$$\{e_i, e_j^\ast\} = \delta_{ij}, \ \{f_i, f_j^\ast\} = -\delta_{ij}, \ i, j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $a_{ik}$ and $b_{ik}$ are octonions. Then by use of (2) and (3), (4) becomes

$$H_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{ik}|^2 - |b_{ik}|^2, \quad (5)$$

$$H_{i,i+m} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \cdot a_{i+m,k}^\ast - b_{ik} \cdot b_{i+m,k}^\ast, \ m = 1, \ldots, n - i. \quad (6)$$

We proceed by induction. Assume that equations (3) and (4) have been solved for $1 \leq i \leq j - 1$. Then for $i = j$, equation (2) can be solved with respect to $a_{jj}$ or $b_{jj}$ so that at least one of them does not vanish. This solution will not contain $a_{i+m,j}^\ast$ and $b_{i+m,j}^\ast$; so for $i = j, \ m = 1, \ldots, n - j$, equations (6) can be solved with respect to the one of them which has a non-vanishing accompanying factor $a_{jj}$ or $b_{jj}$.

Applying this proposition to a ten-dimensional Minkowski space, we get

$$x^{A\hat{B}} = c^A \cdot c^{*\hat{B}}, \ x^{A\hat{B}} \equiv \sigma^{A\hat{B}}_{\mu} x^\mu, \ c^A = \sum_{k=1}^{2} a_k^A \otimes e_k + b_k^A \otimes f_k, \quad (7)$$

where $\sigma^\mu$ are the ten octonionic Hermitian Pauli matrices which can be chosen as

$$\sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma^{k+2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (e_k)^\ast \\ e_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ k = 0, \ldots, 7.$$

When $a_{ik}$ and $b_{ik}$ belong to a complex subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}$, (7) reduces to (1) for a four-dimensional Minkowski space. In this case, however, we only need the complex form of $\text{Cl}(4,4,\mathbb{R})$. 
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The determinant of an octonionic Hermitian matrix is well-defined
\[ \text{det} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c^* & b \end{pmatrix} \equiv ab - cc^*, \]
and as in four-dimensional Minkowski space, we have \( \text{det}(X) = x_\mu x^\mu \), where \( X \) is the octonionic Hermitian matrix with entries \( x^{AB} \).

Lorentz transformations of \( v^A \equiv \chi^A \otimes u \) and \( w_A^* \equiv \psi_A \otimes u \) are defined as
\[ v^A \rightarrow (S_B^A \otimes 1) \cdot v^B = S_B^A \chi^B \otimes u, \quad w_A^* \rightarrow -w_B^* \cdot (S_A^B \otimes 1) = -\psi_B S_A^B \otimes u, \tag{8} \]
\[ S_A^B \equiv \epsilon_{BE} S_F^E \epsilon_{FA}, \tag{9} \]
where \( S \) contains only octonions from a single complex subspace of \( \mathcal{O} \). Under a Lorentz transformation of \( c^A \), the coefficients in (7) transform according to
\[ a_k^A \rightarrow S_B^A a_k^B, \quad b_k^A \rightarrow S_B^A b_k^B, \] or \[ a_k \rightarrow S a_k, \quad b_k \rightarrow S b_k, \]
which makes
\[ x^{AB} = \sum_{k=1}^2 a_k^A a_k^B - b_k^A b_k^B \]
transform as
\[ X \rightarrow X' = \sum_{k=1}^2 (S a_k)(S a_k)^\dagger - (S b_k)(S b_k)^\dagger. \tag{10} \]

Manogue and Dray [11] found that the compatibility condition
\[ (S v)(S v)^\dagger = S(v v^\dagger)S^\dagger \]
between the spinor and vector representations is satisfied iff \( S \) contains only octonions from a single complex subspace and \( \text{det}(S) \in \mathbb{R} \). If therefore we assume that \( \text{det}(S) = \pm 1 \), (10) becomes
\[ X \rightarrow X' = SXS^\dagger. \tag{11} \]

There is no associativity ambiguity because the octonions are alternative and only one complex subspace is used in each transformation. The determinant of \( X' \) is
\[ \text{det}(X') = \text{det}(S S^\dagger) \text{det}(X), \]
and since \( \text{det}(S S^\dagger) = \text{det}(S) \text{det}(S)^* = 1 \), it follows that \( \text{det}(X) \) is preserved and that (11) therefore generates a Lorentz transformation of \( x^\mu \). Arbitrary finite Lorentz transformations are obtained by consecutive (nested) application of transformations corresponding to different complex subspaces [8].

To construct an octonionic action, it is important that the real part of the contraction of two octonionic Weyl spinors is Lorentz invariant. Since the basic
types of $SO(1.9)$ transformations can be obtained from a single transformation with $\det(S) = 1$ or from two consecutive ones with $\det(S) = -1$ \[1\], we need
\begin{equation}
(S^A_E X^E)(-\psi F S_A^F) + \text{o.c.} = \det(S) X^A \psi_A + \text{o.c.}, \quad \det(S) \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{12}
\end{equation}

which corresponds to the Lorentz transformation \[3\]. The proof is given in the appendix.

2 The classical point particle

The Hamiltonian \[12\] form of the action is
\begin{equation}
I = \sqrt{lm} \int d\tau \left( d_A^* \frac{dc^A}{d\tau} + \text{c.c.} - e(\tau) \sqrt{\frac{1}{m}} \mathcal{H}(x^\mu, p^\nu) \right), \tag{13}
\end{equation}

where $c$ and $d$ are the Clifford coordinates and momenta which determine the space-time coordinates and momenta
\begin{equation}
x^{AB} = c^A \cdot c^B, \quad p_{AB} = d_A^* \cdot d_B. \tag{14}
\end{equation}

$e(\tau)$ is an einbein and the Hamiltonian for the free particle is
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}(x^\mu, p^\nu) = \frac{1}{2M} (p^\mu p_\mu - M^2). \tag{15}
\end{equation}

$l$ and $m$ are constants with the dimension of length and mass respectively.

To write the Poisson brackets in a compact form, we define differentiation with respect to Clifford-valued variables through
\begin{equation}
\delta f = \frac{1}{2} \{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial c^A}, \delta c^A \}, \tag{16}
\end{equation}

which leads to the differentiation rules
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial c^A}{\partial c^B} = \delta^A_B, \quad \frac{\partial (d_A^* \cdot c^A)}{\partial c^B} = d_B^*, \quad \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial c^A} = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{AB}} c^B. \tag{17}
\end{equation}

The Poisson bracket in Clifford space can then be defined as the ‘Clifford bracket’
\begin{equation}
\{ N, M \}_{C.B.} \equiv \frac{1}{2\sqrt{lm}} \left( \left\{ \frac{\partial N}{\partial c^A}, \frac{\partial M}{\partial d^*_A} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{\partial N}{\partial d^*_A}, \frac{\partial M}{\partial c^A} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\partial M}{\partial c^A}, \frac{\partial N}{\partial d^*_A} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\partial M}{\partial d^*_A}, \frac{\partial N}{\partial c^A} \right\} \right), \tag{18}
\end{equation}

which is skew-symmetric in $N$ and $M$ and real when $N$ and $M$ are real. The equations of motion obtained from \[13\] by independent variation of $c$ and $d$ can then be written as
\begin{equation}
\frac{dc^A}{d\tau} = le(\tau) \left\{ c^A, \mathcal{H} \right\}_{C.B.}, \quad \frac{dd_A^*}{d\tau} = le(\tau) \left\{ d_A^*, \mathcal{H} \right\}_{C.B.}, \tag{19}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau} = le(\tau) \left\{ x^{\mu}, \mathcal{H} \right\}_{C.B.}, \quad \frac{dp_\mu}{d\tau} = le(\tau) \left\{ p_\mu, \mathcal{H} \right\}_{C.B.}. \tag{20}
\end{equation}
The action (13) has a global $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $U(1)$ gauge symmetry with the conserved Noether charges

$$J_{AB} \equiv d_A^* \cdot c_B + d_B^* \cdot c_A,$$
$$j \equiv i(d_A^* \cdot c^A - d_A \cdot c^A).$$

To obtain a pure space-time system where the equations of motion (16) only contain $x$ and $p$ themselves, we must require that they vanish

$$d_A^* \cdot c_B + d_B^* \cdot c_A = 0, \quad d_A^* \cdot c^A - d_A \cdot c^A = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$d_A^* \cdot c^B = \mu(\tau) \delta_A^B, \quad \mu(\tau) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (17)$$

In this case the Clifford bracket becomes proportional to the ordinary Poisson bracket:

$$\{N(x,p), M(x,p)\}_{C.B.} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{lm}} \left( \frac{\partial N}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial M}{\partial p_\nu} - \frac{\partial M}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial N}{\partial p_\nu} \right) \left( \frac{1}{8} \sigma_{AB}^\mu \sigma_{\nu}^{AE} \{ c^B, d^F \} \right) + c.c. = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{lm}} \{N(x,p), M(x,p)\}_{P.B.}. \quad (18)$$

When $\mu(\tau) \neq 0$ it can be absorbed into proper time $\tau$ by

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\tau} = \sqrt{\frac{l}{m} e(\tau) \mu(\tau)}, \quad (19)$$

which turns (16) into the usual space-time canonical equations of motion with proper time $\tau$

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = \{ x^\mu, H(x,p) \}_{P.B.}, \quad \frac{dp_\mu}{d\tau} = \{ p_\mu, H(x,p) \}_{P.B.},$$

and ‘hides’ the Clifford substructure. In general $\mu(\tau)$ has a zero. For a free particle with Hamiltonian (13), it follows from the equations of motion (15) that

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \mu(\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{1}{2} d_E^* \cdot c^E \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{l}{m} M} e(\tau). \quad (20)$$

In a parametrization where $e(\tau) = 1$, (19) and (20) yields the proper time

$$\tau = \frac{M}{4m}(\tau - \tau_0)^2 + \tau_0.$$
3 Matrix mechanics

In preparation of quantization, we shall describe the motion of the classical point particle by N integral curves in Clifford coordinate-momentum space and show that they form a unitarily invariant system. Let \( c^A_i(\tau), d^*_A(\tau), \ i = 1, \ldots, N \) be \( N \) solutions to the equations of motion (15) and let us assemble them into two \( N \)-dimensional ket- and bra-vectors \( \tilde{\text{C}} \) and \( \tilde{\text{D}} \). We shall assume that their components belong to \( \text{Cl}(4N, 4N, \mathbb{R}) \) and that all inner products between coordinates and momenta on different curves vanish. This means that the two Hermitian \( N \times N \) matrices

\[
X^A_B = \tilde{\text{C}}^A \cdot \tilde{\text{C}}^B, \quad P_{A\underline{B}} = \tilde{\text{D}}_{\underline{B}} \cdot \tilde{\text{D}}_{A},
\]

are diagonal. The diagonal entries are the space-time coordinates and momenta of the integral curves. Since \( X \) and \( P \) are diagonal they trivially commute with each other

\[
[X^\mu, X^\nu] = [P_\mu, P_\nu] = [X^\mu, P_\nu] = 0.
\]

The Noether charge condition (17) can be written as

\[
\tilde{\text{C}}^A \cdot \tilde{\text{D}}_B = \mu(\tau) \delta^A_B \cdot 1.
\]

The \( N \) integral curves may be regarded as a single solution \( \tilde{\text{C}}, \tilde{\text{D}} \) to the equations of motion for an action describing \( N \) independent identical point particles. If the Hamiltonian is a polynomial expression in \( x \) and \( p \) this action can be written as

\[
\sqrt{1/m} \int d\tau \text{Tr} \left( \frac{d}{d\tau} \tilde{\text{C}}^A \cdot \tilde{\text{D}}_A + \text{h.c.} - \sqrt{\frac{i}{m}} \mathcal{H}(X, P) \right).
\]

The system (21), (22) and (23) is preserved by the global \( U(N) \) transformations

\[
\tilde{\text{C}}^A \rightarrow U \tilde{\text{C}}^A, \quad \tilde{\text{D}}_A \rightarrow \tilde{\text{D}}_A U^\dagger, \quad X^\mu \rightarrow UX^\mu U^\dagger, \quad P_\mu \rightarrow UP_\mu U^\dagger,
\]

which produces artificial couplings between different integral curves. Conversely, given this unitarily invariant system, \( X \) and \( P \) can be diagonalized and we regain the \( N \) integral curves. The appearance of a \( U(N) \) symmetry should not come as a complete surprise since it is already present in the complex form of the generating algebra of \( \text{Cl}(4N, 4N, \mathbb{R}) \).

The observables of the system are the coordinates and momenta of the original \( N \) integral curves. They can be characterized in a unitarily invariant manner as the eigenvalues of \( X \) and \( P \). If the point particle is restricted to move along one of the integral curves, we can define the ‘state’ of the particle as the integral
curve along which it is moving. This information can be encoded in a ‘state vector’ \(|s\rangle\) which selects the integral curve in question. The state vector is defined as determining the expectation value \(E\) of a measurement

\[ E(C^\alpha) \equiv \langle s| C^\alpha \rangle. \tag{24} \]

which is unitarily invariant when \(<s|\) transforms like a bra-vector. When \(<s|\) is set equal to the \(i\)'th eigenvector of \(X\) (and \(P\)), the expectation value is the Clifford coordinate corresponding to the space-time eigenvalue on the \(i\)'th integral curve. The equation of motion of \(<s|\) is

\[ \frac{d}{d\tau} < s| = 0, \]

d and the expectation value (24) will move through the Clifford coordinates corresponding to the eigenvalues on the integral curve selected by \(<s|\).

To quantize the classical point particle system, we must translate the Clifford brackets \(\{c^A, M(x,p)\}_{C.B.}\) and \(\{d^*_A, M(x,p)\}_{C.B.}\) in such a way that the Poisson bracket becomes a commutator. This is accomplished by

\[
\begin{align*}
&c^A \rightarrow C^\alpha, \quad d^*_A \rightarrow D_B, \quad x^{AB} \rightarrow C^\alpha \bullet C^B, \quad p_{AB} \rightarrow D_B \bullet D_A, \\
&\{c^A, M(x,p)\}_{C.B.} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar \sqrt{l_m}} [X^{AB}, M(X,P)] D_B, \\
&\{d^*_A, M(x,p)\}_{C.B.} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar \sqrt{l_m}} C^B [P_{AB}, M(X,P)],
\end{align*}
\]

which by use of the Noether charge condition (22) gives

\[ \{N(x,p), M(x,p)\}_{C.B.} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar \sqrt{l_m}} [N(X,P), M(X,P)], \]

and from the proportionality (18) becomes

\[ \{N(x,p), M(x,p)\}_{P.B.} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar} [N(X,P), M(X,P)]. \]

In the quantum matrix system, \(X\) and \(P\) are conjugate variables. Consequently, it is no longer possible to turn them into a set of integral curves through diagonalization. The state vector which used to describe the state of the system as a choice of integral curve now takes on a more abstract character. After a measurement of an eigenvalue has been performed, the expectation value will not remain a Clifford coordinate corresponding to an eigenvalue, but develop in time into a complex linear combination of Clifford coordinates corresponding to different eigenvalues. The measurements will no longer be predictable, but become stochastic according to the Born rule. The equation of motion of the state vector is the same: \(d/d\tau < s| = 0\), as in the classical system, and in the non-relativistic limit it can be turned into the matrix form of the Schrödinger equation by a local unitary transformation (the Schrödinger picture) [2]. Despite its abstract character, the formal resemblance of the quantum mechanical wave function to the classical state vector lends support to the view that it is an information carrying object rather than a primary physical variable.
4 The classical string

We consider a string with coordinate functions $c^A(\tau, \sigma)$ in an infinite-dimensional split Clifford algebra and worldsheet parameters $\sigma^\alpha = \tau, \sigma$. We follow the convention that $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ denote the space-time indices and $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$, the worldsheet indices. In space-time, the Lorentz metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ induces a metric on the worldsheet through the tangent derivatives $\partial_\alpha x^\mu$. In Clifford space, these are replaced by the complex vectors

$$V^\mu_\alpha = \sigma^\mu_{AB} c^A \cdot \partial_\alpha c^B$$

with the real part $\partial_\alpha x^\mu$. The Hermitian tensor

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \equiv V^\mu_\alpha V^{\nu\alpha}_\beta \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha},$$

can be split into a metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and a scalar field $\phi$. We take the canonical variables $c^A$ and $d^\dagger_B$ to be two-component spinors, both in relation to space-time and in relation to the worldsheet. The worldsheet spinor indices will be suppressed. These spinors determine the space-time coordinates and the space-time momentum current density according to

$$x^A = \rho_\alpha \partial_\alpha c^A,$$

$$p^\mu_\alpha = \epsilon_\alpha^\alpha \rho_\alpha d^\dagger_B,$$

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_\alpha^a \epsilon_\beta^b \eta_{ab}, \quad \rho_\alpha = \epsilon_\alpha^a \rho^a, \quad \bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \rho^0,$$

$$\rho^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\rho^0, \rho^\beta\} = 2\eta^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \rho^\dagger = \rho_0 (\rho^a)^\dagger \rho^0 = \rho_\alpha.$$

$\rho^0$ and $\rho^1$ are the Dirac matrices in $1 + 1$ dimensions [13], and $x^\mu$ and $p^\mu_\alpha$ are worldsheet scalars and vectors respectively. The simplest string action is

$$\sqrt{l} m \int d\tau d\sigma e \underbar{d}_A \cdot \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha c^A + \text{c.c.} - \frac{\sqrt{l} m}{m^2} e \underbar{(d}_A \cdot d_B)(\underbar{d}^A \cdot \underbar{d}^B) + e \phi R(h_{\alpha\beta}),$$

(26)

where $l$ and $m$ are constants with dimension of length and mass respectively and $e = \text{det}(\epsilon^a_\alpha)$. The equations of motion obtained by independent variation of $c^A$, $\overline{d}_A$, $\phi$ and $\epsilon^a_\alpha$ are

$$\rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha c^A = 2\frac{\sqrt{l} m}{m^2} (\underbar{d}^A \cdot \underbar{d}^B)d_B,$$

(27)

$$\rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha^B = 0,$$

(28)

$$2\epsilon_\alpha^a \nabla^2 \phi - 2\epsilon^{\beta a} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \phi + \partial L_M/\partial \epsilon^a_\alpha = 0, \quad R(h_{\alpha\beta}) = 0.$$

It follows from (28) that the space-time momentum current density (25) is conserved. Since the scalar curvature vanishes, we can choose a parametrization in which $h_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ and $\epsilon^a_\alpha = \delta^a_\alpha$. 
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We shall find the class of solutions where $\vec{d}_A \cdot d_B$ is constant and invertible (not a null vector). Applying $\rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha$ to both sides of (27) and using (28), we find that $c^A$ satisfies the wave equation and can be expanded according to

$$c^A = c^A(0) + t^A + \sum_{n \neq 0} a^A(n)e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}(\tau + \sigma)} + b^A(n)e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}(\tau - \sigma)}, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq \pi. \quad (29)$$

By means of the identity

$$H_{AB}H^{BE} = \frac{1}{2}H_{FB}H^{FE} \delta^B_A$$

for Hermitian second rank spinors, (27) can be solved with respect to $d_B$, giving

$$d_B = k_{AB}\rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha c^A, \quad k_{AB} = \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{\text{Im}}}((\vec{d}_E \cdot d_F)(\vec{d}^E \cdot d^F))^{-1}(\vec{d}_A \cdot d_B),$$

with the expansion

$$d_B = k_{AB}\rho^\alpha (I^A 0 + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0} na^A(n)k_{\alpha}^L e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}(\tau + \sigma)} + nb^A(n)k_{\alpha}^R e^{-i\frac{\tau}{2}(\tau - \sigma)}), \quad (30)$$

$$k_{\alpha}^L \equiv (1, 1), \quad k_{\alpha}^R \equiv (1, -1).$$

When we assume that all components of the Fourier coefficients corresponding to different modes are orthogonal

$$a \cdot b^\dagger = 0, \quad a(n) \cdot a^\dagger(m) = b(n) \cdot b^\dagger(m) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \neq \pm m,$$

and use that the wave vectors $k^L$ and $k^R$ are null vectors, we get

$$\vec{T}_A \cdot d_B = -k_{AB}k_{EB}(\vec{T}^E \cdot I^E),$$

which is constant in accordance with our ansatz.

The conserved SL(2,C) Noether current density corresponding to the string action (26) is

$$\vec{j}_{AB} = \sqrt{\text{Im}} \, e (\vec{d}_A \cdot \rho^\gamma c_B + \vec{d}_B \cdot \rho^\gamma c_A),$$

which is the angular momentum current density. From (29) and (30), we obtain the expansions of the space-time momentum and angular moment current densities

$$p_{AB}^\gamma = e k_{AF}k_{EB}(\vec{T}^E \cdot \rho^0 \rho^0 \rho^0 I^E + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{n^2}{4}(\vec{a}_F(n) \cdot k_{\alpha}^L \rho^\alpha \rho^\gamma k_{\beta}^L \rho^\beta a^E(n) - \vec{a}_F(n) \cdot k^L_{\alpha} \rho^\alpha \rho^\gamma k_{\beta}^L \rho^\beta a^E(-n)e^{-in(\tau + \sigma)} - \vec{b}_F(n) \cdot k_{\alpha}^R \rho^\alpha \rho^\gamma k_{\beta}^R \rho^\beta b^E(-n)e^{in(\tau - \sigma)}) \bigg), \quad (31)$$
\[ \mathcal{J}_{AB}^{1} = -\frac{i}{2} e^{\sqrt{\text{Im}} k_{A}\tau} \sum_{n \neq 0} n\bar{\alpha}(n) \cdot k_{\beta}^{\rho} \rho \gamma a_{B}(n) + nb^{\rho}(n) \cdot k_{\beta}^{R} \rho^{\gamma} b_{B}(n) + n\bar{\beta}(n) \cdot k_{\beta}^{R} \rho \gamma a_{B}(-n)e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} + nb^{\rho}(n) \cdot k_{\beta}^{R} \rho^{\gamma} b_{B}(-n)e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} + A \leftrightarrow B \]

The boundary condition says that there is no flow of momentum and angular momentum at the endpoints of the string

\[ p_{AB}^{1}(\tau, 0) = p_{AB}^{1}(\tau, \pi) = 0, \quad \mathcal{J}_{AB}^{1}(\tau, 0) = \mathcal{J}_{AB}^{1}(\tau, \pi) = 0. \]

When we ignore the constant momentum flow from the overall translational motion of the string, these boundary conditions give the relations between the \(a\)- and \(b\) coefficients:

\[ \bar{\alpha}^{B}(n) \bullet \text{diag}(0, 1) a^{A}(\pm n) = \bar{\beta}^{B}(n) \bullet \text{diag}(0, 1) b^{A}(\pm n), \]

and simplify the expansion (31) into

\[ p_{AB}^{0} = k_{AE} k_{EB} \left( -\bar{\tau}^{F} \cdot \rho^{0} l^{E} + \sum_{n \neq 0} 2n^{2}\bar{\alpha}^{F}(n) \bullet \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) a^{E}(n) \right. \]

\[ -2n^{2}\bar{\alpha}^{F}(n) \bullet \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) a^{E}(-n)e^{-in\tau\cos(n\sigma)}), \]

\[ p_{AB}^{1} = k_{AE} k_{EB} \left( -\bar{\tau}^{F} \cdot \rho^{1} l^{E} - \sum_{n \neq 0} 2in\bar{\alpha}^{F}(n) \bullet \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) a^{E}(-n)e^{-in\tau\sin(n\sigma)} \right). \]

If we switch around \(\text{diag}(0, 1)\) and \(\text{diag}(1, 0)\) in (32) and add it to (32), the expansion of the space-time coordinates becomes a standing wave

\[ x^{AB} = \bar{\alpha}^{B} \bullet c^{A} = \bar{\alpha}^{B}(0) \bullet c^{A}(0) + \bar{\beta}^{B} \bullet l^{A} \tau^{2} \]

\[ + 2 \sum_{n \neq 0} \bar{\alpha}^{B}(n) \bullet a^{A}(n) + \bar{\beta}^{B}(n) \bullet a^{A}(-n)e^{-in\tau\cos(n\sigma)}, \quad (33) \]

corresponding to the boundary condition \(\partial_{\sigma}x = 0\).

The octonionic generalization of the string action (26) is

\[ \sqrt{\text{Im}} \int d\tau d\sigma e^{\sqrt{\text{Im}} \rho a} \bullet \partial_{\alpha} c^{A} + o.c. - \frac{1}{2m^{2}} e^{a \partial_{a}^{B}} \cdot \partial_{a}^{A} + e\phi R(h_{\alpha \beta}), \]

\[ d^{A} \equiv \epsilon^{AE} d_{E}, \]

where we have written the worldsheet spinor index \(a\) explicitly because the matrix spinor notation conflicts with the correct order of the octonion components. We assume that the Clifford components of \(c\) and \(d\) belong to the generating
space of the Clifford algebra and that their inner products are real. Under a
Lorentz transformation in ten-dimensional Minkowski space, \(c^A\) and \(d_A^*\) transform according to
\[
c^A \rightarrow (S^A_E \otimes 1) \cdot c^E, \quad d_A^* \rightarrow -d^*_F \cdot (S^F_A \otimes 1).
\]
Since \(\rho^0\) and \(\rho^1\) are real, \(\bar{T}_A\) transforms like \(d_A^*\) and \(\rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha c^A\) like \(c^A\). The first two
terms in the Lagrangian therefore transform like the real part of an octonionic
spinor contraction and, according to (12), are Lorentz invariant. From (8) and
(9) it follows that
\[
d^\dot{B}_a \rightarrow (S^\dot{B}_F \otimes 1) \cdot d^\dot{F}_a, \quad d_A^a \rightarrow d^E_a \cdot (S^E_A \otimes 1),
\]
and hence the Hermitian octonionic matrix \(d_a^B \cdot \bar{d}_a^A\) transforms like \(X\) in (11)
with \(S\) and \(S^\dagger\) switched around. Its determinant is therefore Lorentz invariant.

5 The quantum string

Let \(\Gamma\) be a space-like curve connecting two fixed points on the boundaries of the
worldsheet and let \(\sigma^\alpha(u)\), \(\sigma^\alpha(u')\) and \(\sigma^\alpha(u'')\) be three points on this curve. We
can then define the worldsheet spinors
\[
d^B_a(u) \equiv e^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} \rho^\beta d^\dot{B}_a, \quad \bar{T}_A(u) \equiv e^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} \bar{T}_A \rho^\beta, \quad v^\alpha = \frac{d\sigma^\alpha}{du},
\]
and the Clifford bracket
\[
\{N', M''\}_{C.B.} \equiv 1 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\ell m}} \int_\Gamma du \left( \left\{ \frac{\partial N'}{\partial c^G}, \frac{\partial M''}{\partial d_G} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{\partial N'}{\partial \bar{c}^G}, \frac{\partial M''}{\partial d_G} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\partial M''}{\partial c^G}, \frac{\partial N'}{\partial d_G} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{\partial M''}{\partial \bar{c}^G}, \frac{\partial N'}{\partial d_G} \right\} \right)
\]
for two functions \(N\) and \(M\) of \(c^A\) and \(d^B\) and their Dirac conjugates. \(N\) and
\(M\) are worldsheet scalars; so therefore their derivatives in the Clifford bracket
are worldsheet spinors and their Dirac conjugates. It is understood that these
spinors are to be contracted with each other so that the Clifford bracket becomes
a worldsheet scalar. Unprimed variables depend on \(u\), and variables with a single
prime or a double prime depend on \(u'\) and \(u''\) respectively.

The space-time momentum and angular momentum define the worldsheet scalars
\[
p_{AB}(u) \equiv e^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} p^\gamma_{AB} = \bar{T}_A \cdot d_B, \quad j_{AB}(u) \equiv e^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} j^\beta_{AB} = \sqrt{\ell m} (\bar{T}_A \cdot c_B + d_B \cdot \bar{d}_A),
\]
with the Clifford brackets
\[
\left\{ J_{AB}, J''_{EF} \right\}_{C.B.} = \left( (J'_{AE} \epsilon_{FB} + A \leftrightarrow B) + E \leftrightarrow F \right) \delta(u' - u'') ,
\]
\[
\left\{ J_{AB}, J''_{EF} \right\}_{C.B.} = 0 ,
\]
\[
\left\{ J_{AB}, p''_{EF} \right\}_{C.B.} = \left( (p'_{AF} \epsilon_{EB} + p_{BF} \epsilon_{EA}) \right) \delta(u' - u'') ,
\]
\[
\left\{ p'_{AB}, p''_{EF} \right\}_{C.B.} = 0 .
\]

The quantization
\[
c^A \rightarrow \hat{c}^A , \quad d^A \rightarrow \hat{d}^A , \quad j_{AB} \rightarrow J_{AB} , \quad p_{AB} \rightarrow P_{AB} ,
\]
\[
\{ N, M \}_{C.B.} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i \hbar} [N, M] .
\]

turns single spinors into infinite sets of spinors transforming like ket and bra vectors under a unitary symmetry. \( J_{AB} \) and \( P_{AB} \) are hereby turned into infinite-dimensional matrices \( J_{AB} \) and \( P_{AB} \) with the commutation relations
\[
[J'_{AB}, J''_{EF}] = i\hbar \left( (J'_A \epsilon_{EF} + A \leftrightarrow B) + E \leftrightarrow F \right) \delta(u' - u'') ,
\]
\[
[J'_{AB}, P''_{EF}] = i\hbar \left( (P'_{AF} \epsilon_{EB} + p_{BF} \epsilon_{EA}) \right) \delta(u' - u'') ,
\]
\[
[J'_{AB}, J''_{EF}] = 0 , \quad [P'_{AB}, P''_{EF}] = 0 .
\]

Integrating both sides of (34) and (35) with respect to both \( u' \) and \( u'' \), we get the commutation relations for the total Noether charges
\[
[J^\text{tot}_{AB}, j^\text{tot}_{EF}] = i\hbar \left( (J^\text{tot}_{AE} \epsilon_{FB} + A \leftrightarrow B) + E \leftrightarrow F \right) ,
\]
\[
[J^\text{tot}_{AB}, J^\text{tot}_{EF}] = 0 ,
\]
\[
[J^\text{tot}_{AB}, P^\text{tot}_{EF}] = i\hbar \left( (P^\text{tot}_{AF} \epsilon_{EB} + p^\text{tot}_{BF} \epsilon_{EA}) \right) ,
\]
\[
[J^\text{tot}_{AB}, P^\text{tot}_{EF}] = 0 ,
\]
\[
j^\text{tot}_{AB} = \int_\Gamma e^\sigma \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} J^\beta_{AB} , \quad P^\text{tot}_{AB} = \int_\Gamma e^\sigma \epsilon_{\beta \alpha} P^\beta_{AB} .
\]

Since both \( J^\text{tot}_{AB} \) and \( P^\text{tot}_{AB} \) are conserved currents, their total charges are independent of the path of integration \( \Gamma \).

In terms of
\[
N^+ = \frac{i}{4} (J^{\text{tot}}_{22} - J^{\text{tot}}_{11}) , \quad N^+ = \frac{1}{4} (J^{\text{tot}}_{11} + J^{\text{tot}}_{22}) , \quad N^+ = \frac{i}{2} J^{\text{tot}}_{12} ,
\]
\[
J = N^+ + N^+ , \quad K = i(N^+ - N^+) ,
\]
\[
(36) \quad \text{and} \quad (37)
\]
become the Poincaré algebra
\[
[N, N] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} N^i , \quad [N^+, N^+] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} N^i , \quad [N, N^+] = 0 ,
\]
\[
[J_{lm}, p^\text{tot}_m] = i\hbar \epsilon_{lmn} p^\text{tot}_n , \quad [J_{lm}, p^\text{tot}_0] = 0 , \quad [K_{lm}, p^\text{tot}_m] = i\hbar \epsilon_{lmn} p^\text{tot}_n ,
\]
\[
[K_{lm}, p^\text{tot}_0] = -i\hbar p^\text{tot}_l , \quad [p^\text{tot}_m, p^\text{tot}_n] = 0 .
\]

The states of the string are therefore representations of the Poincaré group.
6 Redshift

The average motion of the free string given by \( \dot{x} = x^\mu(0) + l^\mu \tau^2 \), which shows that there are two different measures of time involved in the description of the string: parameter time \( \tau \) and coordinate time \( t = t^0 + 0^0 \tau^2 \). In the point particle model, parameter time could be absorbed into proper time by using the proportionality between Clifford brackets and conventional Poisson brackets. This is not possible in the string model, where the internal dynamics of the string is described in terms of parameter time, while the external dynamics (like inertial motion) is described in terms of coordinate time. Coordinate time has a minimum where parameter time starts to reproduce it for the second time.

If a photon could be modeled as a string in Clifford space, we would expect its frequency to be defined in terms of parameter time \( \tau \) and to remain fixed as long as the string is moving freely in a flat background. Externally, however, we measure its frequency in terms of coordinate time \( t \) corresponding to its inertial motion. If the photon is emitted at \( t_{\text{emit}} \) and observed at \( t_{\text{obs}} \) and the minimum of \( t \) is set at \( t = 0 \), we would observe a redshift of

\[
z \equiv \frac{f_{\text{emit}}}{f_{\text{obs}}} - 1 = \frac{d\tau}{dt}(t_{\text{emit}})/\frac{d\tau}{dt}(t_{\text{obs}}) - 1 = \sqrt{\frac{t_{\text{obs}}}{t_{\text{emit}}}} - 1
= \frac{T}{(T - \Delta t)} - 1,
\]

where \( T \) is the time which has elapsed since the minimum coordinate time, and \( \Delta t \) is the lookback time to the light-emitting object \([14]\). This redshift decreases with increasing \( T \). In a linear approximation (\( z < 0.3 \)) it would increase the optically determined Hubble constant by \( 1/2T \), and therefore \( T \) must be significantly greater than a Hubble-time.

7 Conclusion

We have examined the point particle and string in a Clifford space which forms a substructure of Minkowski space. The classical point particle has been described by a unitarily invariant system of integral curves in Clifford space. This paves the way for quantization in a more direct manner than the usual space-time based procedure. We have derived the Poincaré algebra for the quantum string from Poisson brackets in Clifford space. By enlarging the Clifford algebra to an \( R \)-algebra tensor product with the octonions, we have obtained a Lorentz invariant string action in ten-dimensional Minkowski space. This lends support to the mathematical hypothesis that there is a connection between the dimension of space-time and the normed division algebras.
8 Appendix

To prove (12), we expand $S$, $\chi$ and $\psi$ in terms of the octonion units:

$$S^E_A = S^E_A(0) + S^E_A(k)e_k,$$
$$S^B_A = S^B_A(0) + S^B_A(k)e_k,$$
$$\chi^E = \chi^E(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{7} \chi^E(i)e_i,$$
$$\psi_F = \psi_F(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{7} \psi_F(j)e_i,$$

where $S$ lies in the complex subspace corresponding to $e_k$. When $\det(S)$ is real, it follows from the general formula for determinants

$$\epsilon_{AB}S^A_{E}S^B_{F} = \det(S)\epsilon_{EF}, \text{ or } S^A_{E}S^B_{A} = -\det(S)\delta_{EF},$$

that

$$S^E_A(0)S^F_A(0) - S^E_A(k)S^F_A(k) = -\det(S)\delta_{EF}, \quad \text{(38)}$$
$$S^E_A(0)S^F_A(k) + S^E_A(k)S^F_A(0) = 0. \quad \text{(39)}$$

Terms with imaginary units only contribute to the expansions in (12) if the product of these units is $\pm 1$. Terms with two or four imaginary units can be computed as if $e_ne_m = -\delta_{nm}$ and $(e_ke_i)(e_ke_k) = \delta_{ij}$.

The terms of the l.h.s of (12) with no imaginary units are

$$-S^A_E(0)S^F_A(0)\chi^E(0)\psi_F(0) + o.c. \quad \text{(40)}$$

For the sake of clarity we have retained $+o.c.$ instead of converting it to a factor of 2. The terms with two imaginary units become

$$S^E_A(k)\chi^E(0)\psi_F(0)S^F_A(k) + S^E_A(0)\chi^E(k)\psi_F(0)S^F_A(k) + \sum_{j=1}^{7} S^E_A(j)\chi^E(0)\psi_F(j)S^F_A(0) + S^E_A(0)\chi^E(0)\psi_F(k)S^F_A(k),$$

which, by use of (39) are reduced to

$$S^E_A(0)S^F_A(0)\sum_{j=1}^{7} \chi^E(0)\psi_F(j) + S^E_A(k)S^F_A(k)\chi^E(0)\psi_F(k) + o.c. \quad \text{(41)}$$

The terms with three imaginary units become

$$-S^E_A(0)\sum_{i,j=1}^{7} \chi^E(i)\psi_F(j)S^F_A(k)e_i(e_je_k)$$
$$-S^E_A(k)\sum_{i,j=1}^{7} \chi^E(i)\psi_F(j)S^F_A(0)(e_ke_i)e_j + o.c. \quad \text{(42)}$$
(terms with two $e_k$'s vanish). $e_i(e_j e_k)$ only contributes if $e_j e_k = \pm e_i$, in which case we have $e_i(e_j e_k) = (e_k e_j) e_i$. Together with (39), this makes (42) vanish. Terms with four imaginary units become

$$-S_A^E(k) S_A^F(k) \sum_{j=1}^{7} \chi^E(j) \psi_F(j) + o.c. \quad (43)$$

The total contribution to the expansion of the l.h.s. of (12) is obtained by adding (40), (41) and (43), which gives

$$\text{det}(S) \left( \chi^E(0) \psi_E(0) - \sum_{j=1}^{7} \chi^E(j) \psi_E(j) \right) + o.c.,$$

and is readily seen to be the same as the expansion of the r.h.s. of (12).
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