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Abstract. In this paper, we will introduce the notion of conjugate phase retrieval, which is a relaxed definition of phase retrieval allowing recovery of signals up to conjugacy as well as a global phase factor. It is known that frames of real vectors are never phase retrievable on $\mathbb{C}^M$ in the ordinary sense, but we show that they can be conjugate phase retrievable in complex vector spaces. We continue to develop the theory on conjugate phase retrievable real frames. In particular, a complete characterization of conjugate phase retrievable real frames on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$ is given. Furthermore, we show that a generic real frame with at least $4M - 6$ measurements is conjugate phase retrievable in $\mathbb{C}^M$ for $M \geq 4$.

1. Introduction

The phase retrieval problem concerns reconstruction of a signal from linear measurements with noisy or corrupt phase information. The classical formulation comes from applications such as X-ray crystallography where a signal must be recovered from the magnitudes of its Fourier coefficients [14]. Phase retrieval also occurs in numerous other applications such as diffraction imaging [8, 9], optics [13], speech processing [6], deep learning [23, 17], and quantum information theory [15, 16].

In 2006, Balan, Casazza and Edidin introduced the following mathematical formulation for the phase retrieval problem within a complex Hilbert space $H$ [6]:

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{C}$. We say that a set of vectors $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with index set $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, is complex phase retrievable if

$$|\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle| = |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|$$

for all $n \in I \implies x = e^{i\theta} y$, for some constant $\theta$.

If $\mathcal{H}$ is over the real numbers, then we say that $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in I}$ is real phase retrievable if $x = e^{i\theta} y$ is replaced by $x = \pm y$ in (1.1).

One of the main questions in phase retrieval on complex vector spaces is to determine the minimal number $N$ for which a generic frame (See Definition 2.1) in $\mathbb{C}^M$ with $N$ vectors can achieve complex phase retrieval. This means also that with probability one, a randomly chosen frame with at least $N$ vectors can perform conjugate phase retrieval. Balan, Casazza and Edidin introduced the complement property as a geometric characterization of real phase retrievability and showed that for $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^M$ any generic frame with at least $2M - 1$ vectors is real phase retrievable [6]. In comparison, complex phase retrievability is a much more difficult problem. There is no known geometric characterization of complex phase retrievability, and for $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^M$ we know that $4M - 4$ vectors are sufficient, with the necessary number of vectors of the order $4M - o(1)$ [7, 11]. There has also been intensive research about the stability of phase retrieval and other different type of generalizations.
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1.1. Conjugate Phase retrieval. Despite the wide applicability of complex phase retrieval, satisfactory descriptions for complex phase retrievable frames are still lacking. In particular, a set of vectors \(\{\varphi_n : n \in I\}\) taken from \(\mathbb{R}^M\) can never be complex phase retrievable on \(\mathbb{C}^M\), regardless of how many vectors we take. Real frames fail because real measurement vectors completely ignore conjugation: if \(\varphi_n \in \mathbb{R}^M\), then
\[
|\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle| = |\langle \overline{x}, \varphi_n \rangle|,
\]
for all \(x \in \mathbb{C}^M\). However, \(x \neq e^{i\theta}\overline{x}\) in general (for example, take \(x = (1 \ i \ i \cdots \ i)^T \in \mathbb{C}^M\)). This introduces also an additional difficulty to geometrically visualize complex phase retrievable vectors, which all lie inside \(\mathbb{C}^M \setminus \mathbb{R}^M\).

Phase retrieval problem is also defined on the Paley-Wiener space \(PW\) consisting of all of entire functions band-limited to \([\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\). We say that a sequence \(\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}\) is a set of real unsigned sampling if for any two real-valued \(f, g \in PW\), \(|f(\lambda_n)| = |g(\lambda_n)|\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) implies that \(f = \pm g\). It is proved that \[20\] (see also \[1, 3\]) if \(\lambda_n\) are taken to be twice of the Nyquist rate (e.g. \(\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}\)), then it forms a set of real unsigned sampling. However, the natural extension of our definition of unsigned sampling sets to complex valued \(PW\) cannot be resolved so easily. Given any band-limited complex-valued function \(f\), the function \(g(x) = \overline{f(x)}\) is also a function in \(PW\). Clearly \(|f(\lambda)| = |g(\lambda)|\) for any \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\), but it is not true in general that \(f(x) = e^{i\theta}\overline{f(x)}\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\) and global constant \(\theta\). Thus, there cannot exist a sequence of reals \(\{\lambda_n\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) that is a set of complex unsigned sampling as defined.

Both cases we discussed share the same problem that real samples and measurements cannot distinguish conjugate vectors or functions. Yet, with the phase information available, real frames on \(\mathbb{C}^M\) can span the complex vector spaces and real samples \(\mathbb{Z}\) can perfectly reconstruct bandlimited functions by the well-known Shannon Sampling Theorem. This means that if we want to close the gap between classical and phaseless reconstruction using real measurements, we need to accept conjugacy as one of our ambiguities. We thus propose the following definition:

**Definition 1.2.** We say that a set of vectors \(\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in I} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^M\) with index set \(I \subseteq \mathbb{N}\), is conjugate phase retrievable if
\[
|\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle| = |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle| \text{ for all } n \in I \implies \text{there exists } \theta \text{ such that } x = e^{i\theta}y \text{ or } x = e^{i\theta}\overline{y}.
\]
(Here \(\overline{y}\) means taking the conjugate over each coordinates)

It is clear that frames that are complex phase retrievable must be conjugate phase retrievable. Recently, the concept of norm retrieval with the implication requiring only \(|f| = |g|\) was proposed in \[4\] as another relaxed version of phase retrieval. The following implication is obvious:

Complex phase retrieval \(\implies\) Conjugate phase retrieval \(\implies\) Norm retrieval.

From this implication, we believe that conjugate phase retrieval would not lose more generality in the reconstruction as norm retrieval does. We now discuss our main result of conjugate phase retrieval.
1.2. Contribution. We will be focusing mainly on finite dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}^M$. The main conclusion of this paper is that frames of real vectors can be conjugate phase retrievable, for example, the frame with vectors in the column of $\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is conjugate phase retrievable when considered over $\mathbb{C}^2$ (see Theorem 2.4). We will explore in detail the conjugate retrievability of real frames lying in $\mathbb{C}^M$. On $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$, we fully solve the number of real measurement vectors needed for conjugate phase retrievability, and characterize all conjugate phase retrievable frame as real algebraic varieties. Building from the recent results by Wang and Xu [22], we prove that $4M - 6$ is a sufficient number of generic measurements for conjugate phase retrieval in $\mathbb{C}^M$ for $M \geq 4$.

The main idea of the proofs will be considering the phase-lift maps (similar to [7, 5]) by identifying a vector $x$ as $xx^*$ in the space of all Hermitian matrices. We will show that $x$ and $y$ are equivalent up to a phase and conjugacy if and only if the real part of $xx^*$ and $yy^*$ are equal (see Theorem 2.1), on which our analysis will be based.

We will also explore the conjugate phase retrievable frames that cannot perform complex phase retrieval. Such frames are called strictly conjugate phase retrievable. In particular, real frames belong to this class. On $\mathbb{C}^2$, we will show that the only strictly conjugate phase retrievable frames are essentially real frames.

We will organize our article as follows: In section 2, we will present our main setup and state our main results rigorously. In section 3, we will review the complement property and study the phase-lift map for conjugate phase retrieval. In section 4, we fully characterize conjugate phase retrieval by real frames on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}^3$. We prove the generic number of $4M - 6$ for $\mathbb{C}^M$, $M \geq 4$ in section 5. For section 6, we will study the strictly conjugate phase retrievable frames. We will end our article with some open questions for conjugate phase retrieval in both finite dimensional and infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces in Section 7.

2. Setup and Main Results

Throughout the rest of the paper, we will use the following equivalence relation on $\mathbb{C}^M$:

For $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^M$,

$x \sim y$ if and only if $x = e^{i\theta} y$ for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$

$x \sim^\text{conj} y$ if and only if $x \sim y$ or $x \sim \overline{y}$.

Recall also that if $y = (y_1 \ldots y_M)^T$, then $\overline{y} = (\overline{y_1} \ldots \overline{y_M})^T$. It is direct to check that the above statements are equivalence relations. A set of vectors $\Phi = \{\varphi_n : n = 1, \ldots, N\}$ is called a frame for $\mathbb{C}^M$ if there exists $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{C}^M.$$  

Here, $\varphi_n$ may be taken from $\mathbb{R}^M$ or $\mathbb{C}^M$. No matter where the $\varphi_n$ are taken, a frame $\Phi$ for $\mathbb{C}^M$ must be a spanning set of $\mathbb{C}^M$. The ratio of the frame bounds, $B/A$, control the robustness of the reconstruction. However, we will not be discussing the stability problem, so we will identify our frame $\Phi$ as a full-rank $M \times N$ (short-fat) matrix $\Phi$ with entries taken over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, i.e.

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 & \cdots & \varphi_N \\ \end{bmatrix}.$$
If all \( \varphi_n \in \mathbb{R}^M \), we will identify \( \Phi \) as an element in \( \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} \). Otherwise, \( \Phi \) is identified as an element in \( \mathbb{C}^{M \times N} \). On \( \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} \) we endow it with the standard Euclidean topology. On \( \mathbb{C}^{M \times N} = \mathbb{R}^{2M \times 2N} \) we endow it with the Euclidean topology by considering the real and imaginary parts of each complex entry as separate coordinates. Putting also the standard Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} \) and \( \mathbb{R}^{2M \times 2N} \), we have the following definition:

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \) and let \( Y \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{M \times N} \) be the set of full-rank \( M \times N \) matrices over \( \mathbb{F} \) with some specified property \( P \). If \( Y \) is open and dense in \( \mathbb{F}^{M \times N} \) and \( X := Y^c \) has Lebesgue measure 0, we say that each frame \( \Phi \in Y \) is called a **generic frame** with property \( P \).

For most of the frame theory literature, \( X := Y^c \) is an real algebraic variety, which means \( X \) can be represented as a common zero set of a finite number of polynomial equations. It is well-known that for an algebraic variety, \( Y \) is either empty or an open-dense set with full Lebesgue measure. Thus, a frame in \( Y \) will be a generic frame. Our goal is not only to show that it is possible for real frames to perform conjugate phase retrieval, but to also determine as much as possible,

\[
N^*(M) := \min \{ N : \text{a generic frame } \Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} \text{ is conjugate phase retrievable on } \mathbb{C}^M \}
\]

\[
N_* (M) := \min \{ N : \text{there exists } \Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^{M \times N} \text{ which is conjugate phase retrievable on } \mathbb{C}^M \}.
\]

The main idea of theory will be to develop the phase-lift setup for the conjugate phase retrieval. Phase-lift has been the central idea for complex phase retrieval [7, and references therein], which linearizes the absolute value of the inner product.

### 2.1. Notation

Let \( \mathbb{H}_C^{M \times M} \) be the set of all complex \( M \times M \) Hermitian matrices (\( H = H^* \) with (*) denotes the conjugate transpose) and let \( \mathbb{H}_R^{M \times M} \) be the set of all real \( M \times M \) symmetric matrices (\( H = H^T \)). Both sets form vector spaces over the real numbers. Given \( H \in \mathbb{H}_C^{M \times M} \), we define

\[
\text{Re}(H) = [\text{Re}(h_{ij})] \in \mathbb{H}_R^{M \times M},
\]

where \( \text{Re}(z) \) denote the real part of the complex number \( z \). We will use similar notation as in [5] for spaces of Hermitian/symmetric matrices of lower rank. For \( 1 \leq r \leq M \), we define also the set \( \mathcal{S}_C^r \) (respectively \( \mathcal{S}_R^r \)) to be the set of \( Q \in \mathbb{H}_C^{M \times M} \) (respectively \( \mathbb{H}_R^{M \times M} \)) whose rank is at most \( r \). For non-negative integers \( p, q \) such that \( p + q \leq M \), we define also

\[
\mathcal{S}_F^{p,q} = \{ Q \in \mathbb{H}_F^{M \times M} : Q \text{ has at most } p \text{ positive eigenvalues and at most } q \text{ negative eigenvalues} \}
\]

where \( F = \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{R} \). Of particular interest is the subclass \( \mathcal{S}_C^{1,0} \) and \( \mathcal{S}_C^{1,1} \), which is known to have the following representation:

\[
\mathcal{S}_C^{1,0} = \{ xx^* : x \in \mathbb{C}^M \}
\]

\[
\mathcal{S}_C^{1,1} = \mathcal{S}_C^{1,0} - \mathcal{S}_C^{1,0}
\]

(See [5] Lemma 3.7).
2.2. Results on Phase-lift. Below we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for conjugate phase retrievability in terms of the corresponding phase-lift map, with proofs following in Section 3.2.

First, we have the following important characterization about (conjugate) equivalent vectors.

**Theorem 2.1.** For any \( x, y \in \mathbb{C}^M \),

1. \( x \sim y \) if and only if \( xx^* = yy^* \).
2. \( x \sim^{\text{conj}} y \) if and only if 
   \[ \text{Re}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \]

Given a finite set of frame vectors \( \Phi := \{ \varphi_n : n = 1, \ldots, N \} \subset \mathbb{R}^M \), we also note that 

\[ |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = \varphi_n^*(xx^*) \varphi_n. \]

Therefore, the following phase-lift map will play an important role:

\[ A : \mathbb{H}^M_{\mathbb{R}^M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N, \quad A(Q) := (\varphi_1^T Q \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N^T Q \varphi_N)^T. \]

Define similarly the phase-lift map \( A_C \) on \( \mathbb{H}^M_{\mathbb{C}^M} \) with transpose replaced by conjugate transpose. It was proved in ([15, Proposition 2], see also [7, Lemma 1.9] and [5, Theorem 2.2]) that

**Lemma 2.2.** A complex frame \( \Phi \) is complex phase retrievable if and only if \( \ker(A_C) \cap S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1} = \{0\} \).

The lemma can be obtained using the linearity of \( A_C \) and Theorem 2.1 (1) with the fact that 

\[ S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1} = S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0} - S_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,0}, \]

which means all matrices from \( S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1} \) can be written as \( xx^* - yy^* \) for some \( x, y \in \mathbb{C}^M \).

The following provides the analogous theorem for conjugate phase retrieval.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( \Phi := \{ \varphi_n : n = 1, \ldots, N \} \subset \mathbb{R}^M \) be a finite set of frame vectors.

1. \( \Phi \) is conjugate phase retrievable if and only if \( \ker(A) \cap \text{Re}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}) = \{0\} \), where 
   \[ \text{Re}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}) = \{\text{Re}(Q) : Q \in S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}\}. \]
2. If \( \ker(A) \cap S_{\mathbb{R}}^4 = \{0\} \), then \( \Phi \) is conjugate phase retrievable.

The proof of (2) is obtained by proving \( \text{Re}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}) \) are contained inside \( S_{\mathbb{R}}^4 \) and thus (2) follows from (1). However, we believe that the containment should be strict.

2.3. Results on conjugate phase retrieval on \( \mathbb{C}^M \). The phase-lift setup gives us the complete solution to \( M = 2 \) and 3, provided below and proven in Section 4.

**Theorem 2.4.** \( N^*(2) = N_*(2) = 3 \) and \( N^*(3) = N_*(3) = 6 \). Moreover,

1. If \( M = 2 \), \( \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 3} \) is conjugate phase retrievable on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) if and only if 
   \[ \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1^2 & 2a_1a_2 & a_2^2 \\ b_1^2 & 2b_1b_2 & b_2^2 \\ c_1^2 & 2c_1c_2 & c_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0. \]
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The complement property is known to be the fundamental property for phase retrieval

3.1. The Complement Property. A set of vectors \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \) in a complex Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) is said to have the complement property if for any subset \( I \) in \( \{ 1, \ldots, N \} \),

\[
\text{span}\{ \varphi_n : n \notin I \} = \mathcal{H} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{span}\{ \varphi_n : n \notin I^c \} = \mathcal{H}.
\]

The complement property is known to be the fundamental property for phase retrieval [6][7]. We first derive complement property as a necessary condition for conjugate phase retrievability in Section 6.

Moreover, using the recent result of Wang and Xu [22], we show that for \( M \geq 4 \),

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( M \geq 4 \). Suppose that \( N \geq 4M - 6 \). Then a generic frame \( \Phi = \{ \varphi_i : i = 1, \ldots, N \} \subset \mathbb{R}^M \) is conjugate phase retrievable.

Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 will be proved in Section 3.2. Theorem 2.4 will be proved in Section 4 and Theorem 2.5 will be proved in Section 5. Finally, we will discuss strict conjugate phase retrievability in Section 6.

3. COMPLEMENT PROPERTY AND PHASE-LIFT

3.1. The Complement Property. A set of vectors \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \) in a complex Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) is said to have the complement property if for any subset \( I \) in \( \{ 1, \ldots, N \} \),

\[
\text{span}\{ \varphi_n : n \notin I \} = \mathcal{H} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{span}\{ \varphi_n : n \notin I^c \} = \mathcal{H}.
\]

The complement property is known to be the fundamental property for phase retrieval [6][7]. We first derive complement property as a necessary condition for conjugate phase retrieval by real-valued vectors. We say that a vector \( \varphi \in \mathbb{C}^M \) is real-valued if all entries are real numbers. The relationship between the real span of a real frame in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) and the complex span of the same frame in \( \mathbb{C}^M \) is crucial for the proof of complement property.

**Lemma 3.1.** A collection of real-valued vectors \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \) in \( \mathbb{C}^M \) has

\[
\text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N = \mathbb{C}^M \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N = \mathbb{R}^m.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \) be a collection of real-valued vectors in \( \mathbb{C}^M \). We write

\[
\text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N z_n \varphi_n \mid z_n \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n + ib_n) \varphi_n \mid a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.
\]

After distributing \( (a_n + ib_n) \varphi_n \) we receive

\[
\text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N = \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \oplus \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{ i\varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N.
\]

Suppose that \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \) spans \( \mathbb{C}^M \). Since \( \mathbb{C}^M \) is the direct sum of \( \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( i\mathbb{R}^M \), we conclude that \( \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N = \mathbb{R}^M \). Conversely, if \( \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{ i\varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N = i\mathbb{R}^M \), then \( \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{ i\varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \) and we can say that \( \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N = \mathbb{C}^M \).

\( \square \)
Proposition 3.2. Every conjugate phase retrievable frame in \( \mathbb{C}^M \) consisting of all real-valued vectors has the complement property in \( \mathbb{C}^M \).

Proof. Let \( \Phi = \{ \varphi_n \}_{n=1}^N \) be a frame of real vectors in \( \mathbb{C}^M \) allowing conjugate phase retrieval. For any \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^M \), \( |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \) for all \( n = 1, \ldots, N \) implies \( x \sim y \) or \( x \sim y \). Since \( y \) is real we conclude that \( x \sim y \) and \( x = \pm y \). Hence, \( \Phi \) is real phase retrievable on \( \mathbb{R}^M \) and must have the complement property in \( \mathbb{R}^M \). Since the complement property is defined by spanning properties, Lemma 3.1 implies that \( \Phi \) must have the complement property in \( \mathbb{C}^M \). □

We currently do not know whether a conjugate phase retrievable complex frame must possess the complement property. We will discuss more on complex conjugate phase retrievable frames in section 3.

3.2. Outer Products and Conjugate Equivalence. We will now prove our Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3, which will form our foundation of the paper. Throughout the proof, we will denote by \( [M] \) the set \{1, \ldots, M\} and \( \mathbb{T} \) the circle group.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (1). This part of the theorem should be well-known, and we provide it here for completeness. Suppose that \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^M \). For any index \( i, j \in [M] \), we have \( x_i \overline{x_j} = y_i \overline{y_j} \) for all \( i, j \in [M] \). In particular, if \( i = j \), then \( |x_i|^2 = |y_i|^2 \) for all \( i \in [M] \). This shows that \( x_k = \lambda_k y_k \) for some \( \lambda_k \in \mathbb{T} \). Thus, given \( i, j \in [M] \), \( x_i \overline{x_j} = \lambda_i \overline{y_j} y_j \) and hence

\[
\lambda_i \overline{y_j} y_j = y_i \overline{y_j}.
\]

If \( y_i, y_j \neq 0 \), it follows that \( \lambda_i \overline{y_j} = 1 \) and that \( \lambda_i = y_j \). Thus for any indices \( i, j \) with \( y_i, y_j \neq 0 \) we have \( x_i = \lambda y_i \) and \( x_j = \lambda y_j \) for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \). For any index \( k \) with \( y_k = 0 \) we have that \( x_k = 0 \) and trivially that \( x_k = \lambda y_k \). Therefore, \( x_k = \lambda y_k \) for all \( k \in [M] \) where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \), implying that \( x \sim y \). The converse holds by a direct computation. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (2). To prove part (2) of Theorem 2.1, we first note that \( x \) and only if

\[
(3.1) \quad xx^* = yy^* (x \sim y) \quad \text{or} \quad xx^* = \overline{yy}^* (x \sim y).
\]

If \( x, y \in \mathbb{C}^M \) with \( xx^* = yy^* \) we trivially have \( \text{Re}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \). Likewise, \( xx^* = \overline{yy}^* \) implies \( \text{Re}(xx^*) + i \text{Im}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(\overline{yy}^*) + i \text{Im}(\overline{yy}^*) \). But note that \( \overline{y_i} y_j = \overline{y_j} y_i \), which implies that \( \text{Re}(\overline{yy}^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \). We therefore conclude that \( \text{Re}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \).

We now prove the converse. Suppose that \( \text{Re}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \). Then we have \( \text{Re}(x_i \overline{x_j}) = \text{Re}(y_i \overline{y_j}) \) for all \( i, j \in [M] \). Using (3.1), we must show that if we write \( x = (x_1 \ldots x_M)^T, y = (y_1 \ldots y_M)^T \in \mathbb{C}^M \), we have

\[
(3.2) \quad (x_i \overline{x_j} = y_i \overline{y_j} \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j \in [M]) \quad \text{or} \quad (x_i \overline{x_j} = \overline{y_j} y_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j \in [M]).
\]

We first claim the following weaker statement:

Claim 1: Given any \( i, j \in [M] \), \( x_i \overline{x_j} = y_i \overline{y_j} \) or \( x_i \overline{x_j} = \overline{y_j} y_j \) holds.

To see this, we first note that by putting \( i = j \) in the assumption.

\[
(3.3) \quad |x_i|^2 = \text{Re}(x_i \overline{x_i}) = \text{Re}(y_i \overline{y_i}) = |y_i|^2
\]
Thus, $|x_i x_j|^2 = |y_i y_j|^2$. With \( \text{Re}(x_i x_j) = \text{Re}(y_i y_j) \), we find that

\[
\text{Re}(x_i x_j)^2 + \text{Im}(x_i x_j)^2 = \text{Re}(y_i y_j)^2 + \text{Im}(y_i y_j)^2
\]

\[
\text{Im}(x_i x_j) = \pm \text{Im}(y_i y_j)
\]

given any \( i, j \in [M] \). Hence, we have \( \text{Re}(x_i x_j) = \text{Re}(y_i y_j) \) and \( \text{Im}(x_i x_j) = \pm \text{Im}(y_i y_j) \). Therefore, \( x_i x_j = y_i y_j \) or \( x_i x_j = \overline{y_i} y_j \) and the claim is justified.

We now prove by induction on \( M \) that \eqref{eq:3.2} holds. We first notice that we only need to check \eqref{eq:3.2} for \( i \neq j \) (since \( i = j \) follows from \eqref{eq:3.3} ). If \( M = 2 \), we have only one pair of \( (i, j) \), namely \( (i, j) = (1, 2) \). Therefore, the statement is true trivially.

When \( M = 3 \), we may assume without loss of generality that none of the \( x_i \) are zero. Otherwise, there is only one pair and the equations for other pairs holds trivial as they are all zero. Now, we have three pairs for \( (i, j) = (1, 2), (2, 3), (1, 3) \). Using Claim 1 and the pigeonhole principle, one of the two possibilities in Claim 1 must hold twice. Without loss of generality, assume we have

\[
x_1 x_2 = y_1 y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 x_3 = y_2 y_3
\]

Multiplying them together gives

\[
x_1 |x_2|^2 y_3 = y_1 |y_2|^2 y_3.
\]

By \eqref{eq:3.3} and \( |x_2| \neq 0 \), we can cancel out the moduli of \( x_2 \) and \( y_2 \) and conclude that \( x_1 x_3 = y_1 y_3 \). Hence, \( xx^* = yy^* \). If the other possibility holds twice, using the same argument, we will have \( xx^* = yyyy^* \).

For \( M \geq 4 \), we use induction. Suppose that the claim holds for dimension \( M - 1 \). Let \( x = (x_1 \cdots x_M)^T \) and \( y = (y_1 \cdots y_M)^T \) be vectors in \( \mathbb{C}^M \) where \( \text{Re}(x_i x_j) = \text{Re}(y_i y_j) \) for each \( i, j \in [M] \). Consider the vectors \( (x_1 \cdots x_{M-1})^T \) and \( (y_1 \cdots y_{M-1})^T \) in \( \mathbb{C}^{M-1} \). Suppose that \( x_1 x_2 = y_1 y_2 \) holds. Then by the inductive hypothesis, \( x_i x_j = y_i y_j \) for all \( i, j \in [M-1] \). Similarly, considering the vectors \( (x_2 \cdots x_M)^T \) and \( (y_2 \cdots y_M)^T \) in \( \mathbb{C}^{M-1} \), we conclude by the induction hypothesis that \( x_i x_j = y_i y_j \) for all \( i, j \in [2, \ldots, M] \). Hence, combining the conditions on \( (x_1 \cdots x_{M-1})^T \) and \( (x_2 \cdots x_M)^T \) we have \( x_i x_j = y_i y_j \) for all \( i, j \in [M] \), except \( i = 1 \) and \( j = M \).

We now prove that \( x_1 x_M = y_1 y_M \). Note that if all \( x_2, \ldots, x_{M-1} \) are zero, we essentially have only one choice \( (i, j) = (1, M) \) and the equations for other pairs holds trivially as they are all zero. Therefore, \eqref{eq:3.2} holds trivially. Without loss of generality, we assume that \( x_2 \neq 0 \). Then multiply the equation for the pair \( (1, 2) \) and \( (2, M) \) and argue in the same way as before in \( M = 3 \), we conclude that \( x_1 x_M = y_1 y_M \) also holds. Equivalently we have that \( xx^* = yy^* \).

Similarly, if we assume instead that \( x_1 x_2 = y_1 y_2 \), we conclude that \( x_i x_j = y_i y_j \) for all \( i, j \in [M] \), in other words that \( xx^* = yyyy^* \). This completes the proof of \eqref{eq:3.2} and hence the whole proof of Theorem 2.1.

For \( Q \in \mathcal{H}_d^{M \times M} \) with \( \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{R} \), we define the vectorization of \( Q \) by

\[
\mathbf{v}(Q) = (q_{11} q_{22} \cdots q_{MM} q_{12} \cdots q_{1M} \cdots q_{(M-1)M})^T \in \mathbb{C}^{M(M+1)/2}
\]

which is a vector with \( M \) coordinates from the diagonal of \( Q \) and subsequent coordinates given from the remaining row entries above the diagonal of \( Q \) given from row 1 to row \( M \).
For \( \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^M \), we define \( \omega_\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{M(M+1)/2} \) by
\[
\omega_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 
\varphi_1^2 & \cdots & \varphi_M^2 \\
2\varphi_1\varphi_2 & \cdots & 2\varphi_1\varphi_M & \cdots & 2\varphi_M-1\varphi_M
\end{pmatrix}^T.
\]

The following lemma expresses two different important identities for the magnitudes of frame coefficients:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^M \) and let \( x \in \mathbb{C}^M \). Then
\[
(3.5) \quad |\langle x, \varphi \rangle|^2 = \varphi^T(\text{Re}(xx^*))\varphi
\]
\[
(3.6) \quad = \langle \omega_\varphi^T, \psi(\text{Re}(xx^*)) \rangle.
\]

**Proof.** We note that
\[
|\langle x, \varphi \rangle|^2 = \varphi^T(x x^*)\varphi = \varphi^T(\text{Re}(xx^*))\varphi + i\varphi^T(\text{Im}(xx^*))\varphi.
\]
But the left hand side is real-valued and \( \varphi^T \) is real-valued, which proves the first equality.

We have (3.5) proved. To prove (3.6), we let \( Q = \text{Re}(xx^*) = [q_{ij}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq M} \), then
\[
\varphi^T Q \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^M q_{ii} \varphi_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} 2q_{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j = \langle \omega_\varphi, \psi(Q) \rangle.
\]

\(\square\)

We now turn to prove Theorem 2.3.

The following lemma concerns the rank of the real part of rank 1 complex matrices.

**Lemma 3.4.** For any \( x, y \in \mathbb{C}^M \),
\[
\text{rank}(\text{Re}(xx^*)) \leq 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank}(\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*)) \leq 4.
\]

**Proof.** Notice that \( \text{Re}(xx^*) = \frac{xx^* + \overline{xx}^*}{2} = \frac{xx^* + \overline{xx}^*}{2} \). Since \( \text{rank}(A+B) \leq \text{rank}(A)+\text{rank}(B) \) for any \( A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N} \) we can say that
\[
\text{rank}(\text{Re}(xx^*)) \leq \text{rank} \left( \frac{xx^*}{2} \right) + \text{rank} \left( \frac{\overline{xx}^*}{2} \right) \leq 2.
\]
Similarly,
\[
\text{rank}(\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*)) \leq \text{rank}(\text{Re}(xx^*)) + \text{rank}(\text{Re}(yy^*)) \leq 2 + 2 = 4.
\]

\(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** We first prove (1). Suppose that \( \Phi \) is conjugate phase retrievable. Take \( Q \in \ker(A) \cap \text{Re}(S_{C}^{1,1}) \). Since \( S_{C}^{1,1} = S_{C}^{1,0} - S_{C}^{1,0} \), we can say that there exist \( x, y \in \mathbb{C}^M \) such that \( Q = \text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) \). Now, for all \( n = 1, \ldots, N \), by Lemma 3.4 (1),
\[
(3.7) \quad 0 = \varphi_n^T Q \varphi_n = \varphi_n^T \text{Re}(xx^*) \varphi_n - \varphi_n^T \text{Re}(yy^*) \varphi_n = |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 - |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2.
\]
Thus, by conjugate phase retrievability of \( \Phi \), we have \( x \overset{\text{conj}}{\sim} y \). By Theorem 2.1 (2), \( \text{Re}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \), which shows that \( Q = O \), the zero matrix.

Conversely, suppose that \( |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \) for all \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). Then, with the same computation in (3.7) we have \( Q = \text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) \in \ker(A) \) and also \( Q \in \text{Re}(S_{C}^{1,1}) \).

By our assumption, \( Q = O \). Thus \( \text{Re}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \), which means \( x \overset{\text{conj}}{\sim} y \) by Theorem 2.1 (2).
For (2), we just notice that from Lemma 3.3 (2), any \( Q \in \text{Re}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}) \) must have rank at most 4. Thus, \( \text{Re}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}) \) is a subset of \( S_{\mathbb{R}}^4 \). If \( \ker(A) \cap S_{\mathbb{R}}^4 = \{0\} \), then \( \ker(A) \cap \text{Re}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}) = \{0\} \) and (2) then follows from (1).

\[ \square \]

4. CONJUGATE PHASE RETRIEVAL ON \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) AND \( \mathbb{C}^3 \)

In this section, we will give a complete study of conjugate phase retrieval by real frames on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) and \( \mathbb{C}^3 \). Given a real valued frame \( \Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^N \) in \( \mathbb{C}^M \) we define the \( N \times \frac{M(M+1)}{2} \) matrix

\[
\Omega_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix}
- \omega_{\varphi_1}^T & \ldots & - \omega_{\varphi_N}^T
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where the \( n \)-th row is the vector \( \omega_{\varphi_n}^T \). Notice that if \( M = 2 \) or 3 and \( N = M(M+1)/2 \), then the respective \( \Omega_{\Phi} \) are exactly the matrices given in (2.1) and (2.2) in Theorem 2.4.

The following proposition gives a strong sufficient condition for conjugate phase retrieval:

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( \Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^N \) be a frame taken from \( \mathbb{R}^M \). If \( \ker(\Omega_{\Phi}) = \{0\} \), then \( \Phi \) is conjugate phase retrievable. In particular, if \( N = M(M+1)/2 \) and \( \det(\Omega_{\Phi}) \neq 0 \), then \( \Phi \) is conjugate phase retrievable.

**Proof.** Let \( x, y \in \mathbb{C}^M \) be such that \( |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \). Let also \( Q = \text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) \). Then using (3.6) in Lemma 3.3, we obtain

\[ 0 = |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 - |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = \langle \omega_{\varphi_n}, v(Q) \rangle \]

for all \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). Putting all the equations together, we have a system of linear equations: \( \Omega_{\Phi}(v(Q)) = 0 \). If \( \ker \Omega_{\Phi} = \{0\} \), we must have \( v(Q) = 0 \). This is equivalent to \( Q = O \) and hence \( \text{Re}(xx^*) = \text{Re}(yy^*) \). By Theorem 2.4 (2), \( x \sim \text{conj} y \). Thus \( \Phi \) is conjugate phase retrievable. If \( N = M(M+1)/2 \), then \( \ker(\Omega_{\Phi}) = \{0\} \) if and only if \( \det(\Omega_{\Phi}) \neq 0 \), so the second statement follows.

This theorem tells us that a generic frame with \( M(M+1)/2 \) vectors on \( \mathbb{R}^M \) is conjugate phase retrievable. However, such conditions on \( N \) and the determinant in the previous proposition is far from necessary. We will see the number of vectors required for a generic frame to be conjugate phase retrievable is of order \( 4M \) in the next section. Nonetheless, this proposition is accurate when \( M = 2 \) and 3, which is what we are going to prove now.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4 when \( M = 2 \).** We first prove the statement (1) in Theorem 2.4. For the sufficiency, we note that it has been proved in Proposition 4.1

We now prove the necessity. We note that for \( \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \),

\[
\det \Omega_{\Phi} = \det \begin{bmatrix}
-a_1^2 & 2a_1a_2 & a_2^2 \\ b_1^2 & 2b_1b_2 & b_2^2 \\ c_1^2 & 2c_1c_2 & c_2^2
\end{bmatrix} = -2(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)(a_1c_2 - a_2c_1)(b_1c_2 - b_2c_1)
\]

(after a computation by Mathematica). Suppose that \( \Phi \) is conjugate phase retrievable. Then \( \Phi \) possesses the complement property by Proposition 3.2 which means that any two vectors from \( \Phi \) are linearly independent. In particular, this implies that none of the factors \( (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1), \ (a_1c_2 - a_2c_1), \ (b_1c_2 - b_2c_1) \) are zero. Hence, \( \det \Omega_{\Phi} \neq 0 \). As \( \det \Omega_{\Phi} = 0 \) is an algebraic equation, it defines an algebraic variety. Note that the complement of this
algebraic variety clearly cannot be empty. Thus, generic frames of three real vectors is conjugate phase retrievable. This also shows that $N^*(2) \leq 3$.

We now show that no $\Phi$ with two vectors is conjugate phase retrievable. This shows that $N^*(2) = N^*(2) = 3$. Indeed, if $\Phi$ has only two vectors, then it is obvious that $\Phi$ cannot have the complement property on $\mathbb{C}^2$ (by taking index subsets $I, I^c$ having only one element). Hence, Proposition 3.2 tells us that $\Phi$ cannot be conjugate phase retrievable. This finishes the proof. □

From the proof, we also notice that $\det \Psi \neq 0$ if and only if $\Phi$ has the complement property, which gives the following simple characterization of conjugate phase retrievable real-valued frames in $\mathbb{C}^2$:

**Theorem 4.2.** A real-valued frame $\Phi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is conjugate phase retrievable if and only if $\Phi$ has the complement property.

The proof for $\mathbb{C}^2$ is based on the complement property. However, the determinant in (2.2) becomes impossible to factorize. In fact, we will find that the simple characterization by the complement property in Theorem 4.2 cannot hold on $\mathbb{C}^3$ or higher.

In the following, we will turn to studying the case $\mathbb{C}^3$ and prove Theorem 2.4 for $M = 3$ without using the complement property. Our idea is to first study the set $\text{Re}(S^{1,1}_\mathbb{R})$ for $M = 3$ and show that it will take all possibilities of symmetric matrices whose quadratic form is non-empty as a real algebraic variety. Then it will imply that a non-zero element in $\ker(\Omega_\Phi)$ will correspond to some non-conjugate equivalent vectors. This idea is also workable for $M = 2$ and interested readers are invited to complete the same proof for $M = 2$.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $W_{x,y} = \text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*)$ and let $Q$ be any $M \times M$ matrix with real entries. Then

$$W_{Qx,Qy} = Q W_{x,y} Q^T.$$  

**Proof.** First, note that for any $M \times M$ matrix $B$ with complex entries. Writing $B = \text{Re}(B) + i \text{Im}(B)$, we have

$$\text{Re}(Q(B)Q^T) = \text{Re}(Q \text{Re}(B)Q^T + iQ \text{Im}(B)Q^T)$$

$$= Q \text{Re}(B)Q^T$$

since $Q$ has real entries. Thus, with $B = xx^* - yy^*$,

$$W_{Qx,Qy} = \text{Re}((Qx)(Qx)^* - (Qy)(Qy)^*)$$

$$= \text{Re}(Qxx^*Q^T - Qyy^*Q^T)$$

$$= \text{Re}(Q(xx^* - yy^*)Q^T)$$

$$= Q \text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*)Q^T \ (\text{by (4.1)})$$

$$= Q W_{x,y} Q^T. \quad \Box$$

**Proposition 4.4.** For any $H \in H^3_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is not positive semidefinite or negative semidefinite, there exists $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $H = \text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*)$. 
Proof. Denote by diag($\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$) the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. For any $H$ that is not positive semidefinite or negative semidefinite, we can find an real orthogonal matrix $Q$ such that

$$Q^T H Q = \text{diag}(a, b, -c)$$

where $a, b, c > 0$. Suppose that we can find $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $W_{xy} = \text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) = \text{diag}(a, b, -c)$. Then

$$H = Q^T \text{diag}(a, b, -c) Q = Q^T W_{xy} Q = W_{Q^T x, Q^T y}$$

by Lemma 4.3. Therefore, it suffices to prove this proposition for diagonal matrices.

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)^T$ be two vectors in $\mathbb{C}^3$ and write them in exponential form:

$$x = (|x_1| e^{i\theta_1} |x_2| e^{i\theta_2} |x_3| e^{i\theta_3})^T, \quad y = (|y_1| e^{i\psi_1} |y_2| e^{i\psi_2} |y_3| e^{i\psi_3})^T.$$  

We are trying to solve for $|x_i|, |y_i|, \theta_i, \psi_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ satisfying $\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) = \text{diag}(a, b, -c)$, which can be written in the following sets of equations:

$$\begin{align*}
|x_1|^2 - |y_1|^2 &= a, \\
|x_2|^2 - |y_2|^2 &= b, \\
|x_3|^2 - |y_3|^2 &= -c
\end{align*}$$

These equations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 &= \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |y_1|^2}, \\
x_2 &= \sqrt{|x_2|^2 + |y_2|^2}, \\
x_3 &= \sqrt{|x_3|^2 + c}
\end{align*}$$

Putting the first set of equations into the second sets, we have

$$\begin{align*}
\sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |y_1|^2} \sqrt{|x_2|^2 + |y_2|^2} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_3) &= |y_1| |y_2| \cos(\psi_1 - \psi_2), \\
\sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |y_1|^2} |x_3| \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_3) &= |y_1| |y_3| \cos(\psi_1 - \psi_3), \\
\sqrt{|x_2|^2 + |y_2|^2} |x_3| \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_3) &= |y_2| |y_3| \cos(\psi_2 - \psi_3).
\end{align*}$$

Case(i): $\text{diag}(a, b, -c)$. We first set $\theta_1 = \psi_1, \theta_2 = \psi_2, \theta_3 = \psi_3$ and $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \psi_1 - \psi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The above equations are satisfied if and only if

$$\begin{align*}
\sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |y_1|^2} |x_3| &= |y_1| \sqrt{|c + |x_3|^2|}, \\
\sqrt{|x_2|^2 + |y_2|^2} |x_3| &= |y_2| \sqrt{|c + |x_3|^2|},
\end{align*}$$

which is equivalent to solving $|y_1|, |y_2|, |x_3|$ satisfying

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{|y_1|}{\sqrt{|a + |y_1|^2|}} &= \frac{|y_2|}{\sqrt{|b + |y_2|^2|}}, \\
\frac{|x_3|}{\sqrt{|c + |x_3|^2|}} &= \frac{|x_3|}{\sqrt{|c + |x_3|^2|}}.
\end{align*}$$

We now notice that for any $k > 0$, the function $f(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{k + x^2}}$ is a surjective function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $[0, 1]$. Indeed, for any $y \in [0, 1)$, we just take $x = \sqrt{\frac{ky^2}{1 - y^2}} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence, we can set $|y_1|$ be free, and we have then $\frac{|y_1|}{\sqrt{|a + |y_1|^2|}} \in [0, 1)$. As $\frac{x}{\sqrt{b + x^2}}$ and $\frac{x}{\sqrt{c + x^2}}$ is surjective, we can always find $|y_2|$ and $|x_3|$ such that (4.4) holds. With $|y_1|, |y_2|$ and $|x_3|$ chosen, we take $|x_1| = \sqrt{|a + |y_1|^2|}, \quad |x_2| = \sqrt{|b + |y_2|^2|}$ and $|y_3| = \sqrt{|c + |x_3|^2|}$.

Then (4.2) holds. Hence, we have found $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) = \text{diag}(a, b, -c)$. 
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Case (ii): diag\((a, 0, -c)\). In this case, \([13]\) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{a + |y_1|^2} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) &= |y_1| \cos(\psi_1 - \psi_2), \\
\sqrt{a + |y_1|^2} |x_3| \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_3) &= |y_1| \sqrt{c + |x_3|^2} \cos(\psi_1 - \psi_3), \\
|x_3| \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) &= \sqrt{c + |x_3|^2} \cos(\psi_2 - \psi_3).
\end{align*}
\]

We take \(\theta_i = \psi_i\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3\) and \(\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \psi_1 - \psi_2 = \pi/2\) and \(\theta_2 - \theta_3 = \psi_2 - \psi_3 = \pi/2\). Then \(\theta_1 - \theta_3 = \psi_1 - \psi_3 = \pi\) and we have

\[
\sqrt{a + |y_1|^2} |x_3| = |y_1| \sqrt{c + |x_3|^2}
\]

or equivalently \(\frac{|y_1|}{\sqrt{a + |y_1|^2}} = \frac{|x_3|}{\sqrt{c + |x_3|^2}}\).

Hence, taking \(|y_1|\) free and surjectivity of the function \(\sqrt{c + x^2}\) implies that we can find \(|x_3|\) satisfying the above equations. Now, taking also \(|x_2| = |y_2|\), equations \([4.2]\) are satisfied and the proof is complete. \(\square\)

This proposition shows that the converse of Proposition \([4.1]\) is true when \(M = 3\).

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \(\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^N\) be a real-valued frame over \(\mathbb{C}^3\). Then \(\Phi\) is conjugate phase retrievable over \(\mathbb{C}^3\) if and only if \(\ker(\Omega_\Phi) = \{0\}\).

**Proof.** We just need to prove that \(\Phi\) is conjugate phase retrievable over \(\mathbb{C}^3\) implies that \(\ker(\Omega_\Phi) = \{0\}\) since the other side was proved in Proposition \([4.1]\). Suppose that \(\ker(\Omega_\Phi) \neq \{0\}\) and we take \(v \in \ker(\Omega_\Phi)\) and \(v \neq 0\). Note that \(v \in \mathbb{R}^{M(M+1)/2}\) and if we order \(v\) as

\[
v = (v_{11} \cdots v_{MM} \; v_{12} \cdots v_{1M} \cdots v_{(M-1)M})^T
\]

in a way analogous to \((3.4)\), we can associate uniquely and naturally \(Q_v = [v_{ij}] \in \mathcal{H}_R^{M \times M}\). Hence, \(\Omega_\Phi v = 0\) holds if and only if

\[
0 = \langle \omega_\varphi_n, v \rangle = \varphi_n^T Q_v \varphi_n \quad \text{for all } n = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

Note that \(Q_v\) cannot be positive semidefinite or negative semidefinite. If not, the above equation implies that \(\varphi_n = 0\) for all \(n\), which is impossible since \(\varphi_n\) forms a frame. Hence, Proposition \([4.4]\) implies the existence of \(x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3\) such that \(\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) = Q_v\). As \(v \neq 0\), so \(x\) and \(y\) are not conjugate equivalent. However, \(0 = \varphi_n^T Q_v \varphi_n = \varphi_n^T (\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*)) \varphi_n = |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 - |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2\)

by Lemma \([3.3] (3.6)\). This means that \(\Phi\) is not conjugate phase retrievable as it cannot distinguish \(x\) and \(y\). \(\square\)

We are now ready to prove Theorem \([2.4]\) for \(M = 3\).

**Proof of Theorem \([2.4]\) when \(M = 3\).** We first prove statement (2) in Theorem \([2.4]\). The sufficiency was proved in Proposition \([4.1]\). For the necessity, we note that \(\text{det}(\Omega_\Phi) \neq 0\) if and only if \(\ker(\Omega_\Phi) = \{0\}\). Hence, the necessity follows from Theorem \([4.5]\).

Finally, we also note that if \(N \leq 5 < 6\), then \(\ker(\Omega_\Phi)\) must be non-trivial. By Theorem \([4.5]\) \(\Phi\) cannot be conjugate phase retrievable. Hence, there is no conjugate phase retrievable frame with cardinality less than 6. Combining with statement (2), we conclude that \(N_\ast(3) = N^\ast(3) = 6\). \(\square\)

The following example illustrates that the complement property is not sufficient to determine conjugate phase retrievable frames when \(M = 3\).
Example 4.6. By Proposition 4, we can find $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*) = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$. Hence, $x, y$ are not conjugate equivalent. Let $\Phi$ be a finite set of vectors taken from the cone $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = x_3^2$. Then for any $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)^T \in \Phi$, we have

$$|\langle x, \varphi \rangle|^2 - |\langle y, \varphi \rangle|^2 = \varphi^T(\text{Re}(xx^* - yy^*))\varphi = \varphi_1^2 + \varphi_2^2 - \varphi_3^2 = 0.$$ 

This shows that $\Phi$ cannot be conjugate phase retrievable. Since $\Phi$ is taken from the cone, it is easy to see that we can take $\Phi$ to span $\mathbb{R}^3$ or even satisfies the complement property. Hence, this also shows that the complement property is not sufficient to guarantee conjugate phase retrievability for $M \geq 3$.

5. Generic Numbers

In this section, we will be proving the generic number required for conjugate phase retrieval using real vectors. To this end, we need some terminology from algebraic geometry and we will use a theorem in a recent paper by Wang and Xu [22].

A subset $V \subset \mathbb{C}^M$ is called a complex algebraic variety if $V$ is the zero set in $\mathbb{C}$ of a collection of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Let also $V_\mathbb{R}$ be the set of all real points of $V$ (i.e. $V_\mathbb{R} = V \cap \mathbb{R}^M$). We will be following the definition of dimension of and algebraic variety in [22, Section 3.1] (see also [12, Chapter 9]) and it is denoted by $\dim(\cdot)$. For real algebraic variety $X$, its dimension is denoted by $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. The set $V$ is called a complex projective variety if $V$ is the zero set in $\mathbb{C}$ of a collection of homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

Definition 5.1. Let $V$ be a complex projective variety with $\dim V > 0$ and let $\ell_\alpha : \mathbb{C}^M \to \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in I$ ($I$ is an index set), be a family of linear functions. We say that $V$ is called admissible with respect to $\{\ell_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ if $\dim(V \cap \{x \in \mathbb{C}^M : \ell_\alpha(x) = 0\}) < \dim V$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

This admissibility is equivalent to the property that for a generic point $x \in V$ and any small neighborhood $U$ of $x$, $U \cap V$ is not completely contained in the hyperplane $\ell_\alpha(x) = 0$.

Theorem 5.1. [22, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3] For $j = 1, \ldots, N$, let $L_j : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ be bilinear functions and $V_j$ be complex projective varieties on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Set $V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_N \subset (\mathbb{C}^n)^N$. Let $W$ be a complex projective variety. Suppose that for each $j$, $V_j$ is admissible with respect to the linear functions $\{f^w(\cdot) := L_j(\cdot, w) : w \in W \setminus \{0\}\}$. We have the following conclusions:

1. If $N \geq \dim W$, then there exists an algebraic variety $Z \subset V$ with $\dim Z < \dim V$ such that for any $X = (x_j)_{j=1}^N \in V \setminus Z$ and $w \in W$, $L_j(x_j, w) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, N$ implies $w = 0$.

2. If $\dim V_\mathbb{R} = \dim V$, then there exists a real algebraic variety $\tilde{Z} \subset V_\mathbb{R}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{Z} < \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_\mathbb{R}$ such that for any $X = (x_j)_{j=1}^N \in V_\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{Z}$ and $w \in W$, $L_j(x_j, w) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, N$ implies $w = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof is inspired by Theorem 4.1 in [22]. We will let $V_r$ be the complex algebraic variety of $M \times M$ complex symmetric matrices (i.e. $A^T = A$, and $A$ has complex entries) with rank at most $r$. This is a complex projective variety defined by the homogeneous polynomials vanishing on all $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$ minors. The real points $(V_r)_\mathbb{R}$ are all the real symmetric matrices with rank at most $r$. In our notation, $(V_r)_\mathbb{R} = S_r^r$. Moreover,

$$\dim V_r = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}((V_r)_\mathbb{R}) = Mr - \frac{r(r - 1)}{2}.$$
Consider bilinear functions $L_j : C^{M \times M} \times C^{M \times M}$ and $V = V_1 \times \ldots \times V_1 \subset (C^{M \times M})^N$. (i.e. $N$ copies of $V_1$). In particular, we will consider

$$L_j(A, Q) = Tr(AQ), \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, N$$

($Tr$ denotes the trace of the matrix). If $A \in V_1$ and positive semidefinite, then $A = \varphi \varphi^T$ and $L_j(A, Q) = \varphi^T Q \varphi$. Let $W = V_1$. Then $\dim V_1 = 4M - 6$. Assume we can prove that $V_1$ is admissible with respect to $\{f^Q(\cdot) = L_j(\cdot, Q) : Q \in W\}$. Then Theorem 5.1 (2) and the fact that positive semidefinite matrices of rank 1 is open in $V_1$ (See [22] Remark after Theorem 4.1) imply that there exists an real algebraic variety $\tilde{Z}$ with dimension strictly less than that of $V_\mathbb{R}$ such that for every $(\varphi_n\varphi_n^T)_{n=1}^N \in V_\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{Z}$, the following property holds:

$$L_j(\varphi_n\varphi_n^T, Q) = \varphi_n^T Q \varphi_n = 0 \text{ for all } n = 1, \ldots, N \text{ and } Q \in W \implies Q = O.$$

But then this implies $\ker(A) \cap S_2^G = \{O\}$ (since $S_2^G \subset W$). Hence, generic frame will be conjugate phase retrievable by Theorem 2.3

It remains to show $V_1$ is admissible with respect to $\{f^Q(\cdot) = L_j(\cdot, Q) : Q \in W\}$. It suffices to show that a generic point $A_0 \in V_1$ and any non-zero $Q_0 \in W$, we must have $Tr(AQ_0) \neq O$ in any small neighborhood of $A_0$ in $V_1$. If $Tr(A_0Q_0) \neq 0$, then we are done. So we assume that $Tr(A_0Q_0) = 0$. In this case, we factorize $A_0 = uv^T$ and for any fixed $z, w \in C^M$, consider

$$A_t = (u + tz)(v + tw)^T$$

Then

$$Tr(A_tQ_0) = Tr((u + tz)(v + tw)^TQ_0) = t(Tr(uw^T + zv^T)Q_0) + t^2Tr(zw^TQ_0).$$

As $Q_0 \neq O$, we can find $z, w$ such that $w^TQ_0z \neq 0$, so that $Tr(zw^TQ_0) = Tr(w^TQ_0z) \neq 0$. Thus, for any sufficiently small $t$, $Tr(AQ_0) \neq O$ in any small neighborhood of $A_0$ in $V_1$. This completes the whole proof.

6. Strict Conjugate Phase retrievability

In this section, we are going to give a systematic study of general frame $\Phi \subset C^M$ (not necessarily real vectors) that are conjugate phase retrievable. Of course, we know that a complex phase retrievable frame must be conjugate phase retrievable. Our interest will be frames in the following definition.

**Definition 6.1.** We say a frame is **strictly conjugate phase retrievable** if the frame is conjugate phase retrievable but not complex phase retrieval.

Complex phase retrieval fails using real vectors because there always exist $x$ and $\overline{x}$ that are not equivalent up to phase. A natural question that arises is: what are the vectors $x$ which are equivalent to $\overline{x}$ up to a phase (i.e. $x \sim \overline{x}$)? It turns out that these vectors will all be phased real vectors. Moreover, they will give us an important characterization for strictly conjugate phase retrievable frame.

**Definition 6.2.** We say that $y$ is a **phased real vector** if $y$ belongs to the following set:

$$\vartheta \mathcal{R}^M = \{\lambda v \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{T}, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^M\}$$

**Proposition 6.1.** A vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^M$ is equivalent to its conjugate $\overline{y}$ up to a global phase if and only if $y \in \vartheta \mathcal{R}^M$. 
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Proof. It is clear that if \( y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^M \), then \( y \sim \overline{y} \). Suppose \( y \sim \overline{y} \). Then there exists \( 0 < \theta < 2\pi \) such that \( y_n = e^{i\theta y_n} \) for all \( n = 1, \ldots, M \). Writing \( y_n = |y_n|e^{i\theta_n} \), it follows that 
\[
e^{i\theta_n} = e^{i(\theta-\theta_n)}.
\]

Thus, \( 2\theta_n = \theta + 2\pi k \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), which implies that \( \theta_n = \frac{\theta}{2} + \pi k \). Hence, \( e^{i\theta_n} = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \) or \( -e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \). Therefore, \( y_n = \pm |y_n|e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \) for each \( n = 1, \ldots, M \) with sign depending on \( n \). Thus, \( y = \lambda v \) with \( \lambda = e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} \) and \( v = (\pm |y_1| \pm |y_2| \cdots \pm |y_M|)^T \). \( \square \)

Note that Proposition 6.1 implies that no frame \( \Phi \subseteq \partial \mathbb{R}^M \) is complex phase retrievable.

**Theorem 6.2.** Suppose that \( \Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^N \) is a frame over \( \mathbb{C}^M \) that is conjugate phase retrievable. Then, \( \Phi \) is strictly conjugate phase retrievable if and only if there exists some \( y \in \mathbb{C}^M \) with \( y \notin \partial \mathbb{R}^M \) and \( |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle \overline{y}, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \) for all \( n \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \Phi \) is strictly conjugate phase retrievable. Then, there exist \( x, y \in \mathbb{C}^m \) such that \( |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \) for all \( n \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \), with \( x \neq y \) but \( x \sim y \). Since \( x \sim y \) is transitive, \( y \sim \overline{y} \) would imply that \( x \sim y \), a contradiction. Hence, \( y \not\sim \overline{y} \) and we conclude that \( y \notin \partial \mathbb{R}^M \). With \( x \sim \overline{y} \), we can write \( x = \lambda \overline{y} \) for some unimodular scalar \( \lambda \), which gives

\[
|\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle x, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle \lambda \overline{y}, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle \overline{y}, \varphi_n \rangle|^2.
\]

Thus, \( |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle \overline{y}, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \) for all \( n \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \). This shows the necessity.

Conversely, suppose that there exists some \( y \in \mathbb{C}^M \) with \( y \notin \partial \mathbb{R}^M \) and \( |\langle y, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle \overline{y}, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 \) for all \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). Since \( y \notin \partial \mathbb{R}^M \) implies \( y \not\sim \overline{y} \) it follows that \( \Phi \) is not complex phase retrievable and is only strictly conjugate phase retrievable by the original assumption. \( \square \)

Strict conjugate phase retrieval relates directly back to phased real vectors. The following set of equations characterize those frames which strictly allow conjugate phase retrieval.

**Proposition 6.3.** Let \( \Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^N \) be a conjugate phase retrievable frame in \( \mathbb{C}^M \) where \( \varphi_n = (\varphi_{1n} \varphi_{2n} \cdots \varphi_{Mn})^T \) for \( n \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \). Then \( \Phi \) is strictly conjugate phase retrievable if and only if there exists some \( x = (x_1 \cdots x_M)^T \in \mathbb{C}^M \), with \( x \notin \partial \mathbb{R}^M \) and

\[
\sum_{j<k} \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k}) \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi_{jn}} \varphi_{kn}) = 0
\]

for each \( n = 1, \ldots, N \).

Proposition 6.3 will be a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.4.** For \( x = (x_1 \cdots x_M)^T, \varphi = (\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_M)^T \in \mathbb{C}^M \),

\[
|\langle x, \varphi \rangle|^2 = |\langle \overline{x}, \varphi \rangle|^2 \text{ if and only if } \sum_{j<k} \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k}) \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k) = 0.
\]

**Proof of Lemma 6.4.** Let \( x = (x_1 \cdots x_M)^T, \varphi = (\varphi_1 \cdots \varphi_M)^T \in \mathbb{C}^M \). Expanding using the definition of the conjugate, we may write

\[
|\langle x, \varphi \rangle|^2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^M x_j \overline{\varphi_j} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^M x_k \overline{\varphi_k} \right) = \sum_{j,k=1}^M x_j \overline{\varphi_j} x_k \overline{\varphi_k} = 
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^M |x_k \varphi_k|^2 + \sum_{j,k=1, j \neq k}^M x_j \overline{\varphi_j} x_k \overline{\varphi_k}.
\]
Thus,
\[ | \langle x, \varphi \rangle |^2 = \sum_{j,k=1, j \neq k}^M x_j \overline{x_k} \varphi_k - x_j \overline{\varphi_k} x_k \]

\[ = \sum_{j,k=1, j \neq k}^M \overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k (x_j \overline{x_k} - x_j x_k) \]

\[ = \sum_{j,k=1, j \neq k}^M \overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k (2i \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k})). \]

Now, for any fixed \( j \neq k \), we observe that we have the equality \( \overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k (2i \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k})) = \overline{\varphi_k} \varphi_j (2i \text{Im}(x_k \overline{x_j})). \) Therefore, we can split our sum into a sum over indices with \( j < k \) and a sum over indices with \( k < j \),

\[ \sum_{j,k=1, j \neq k}^M \overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k (2i \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k})) = \sum_{j<k} \left[ \overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k (2i \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k})) + \overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k (2i \text{Im}(x_k \overline{x_j})) \right] \]

\[ = \sum_{j<k} 4 \text{Re}(i(\overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k}))) \]

\[ = \sum_{j<k} -4 \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k})) \]

\[ = \sum_{j<k} -4 \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k}) \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k). \]

Therefore, \( | \langle x, \varphi \rangle |^2 = | \langle \overline{x}, \varphi \rangle |^2 \) if and only if \( \sum_{j<k} \text{Im}(x_j \overline{x_k}) \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k) = 0. \)

**Proof of Proposition 6.3.** Suppose \( \Phi \) is strictly conjugate phase retrievable. By Theorem 6.2 there exists some \( x \in \mathbb{C}^M \) with \( x \not\sim x \) and \( | \langle x, \varphi_n \rangle |^2 = | \langle \overline{x}, \varphi_n \rangle |^2 \) for \( n = 1, \ldots, N \). Using Lemma 6.4 with \( x \) and \( \varphi_n \) for each \( n = 1, \ldots, N \) completes this direction of the proof.

Suppose there exists a vector \( x \notin \mathbb{V}_R^M \) and that

\[ \sum_{i<j} \text{Im}(x_i \overline{x_j}) \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi_i} \varphi_j) = 0 \text{ for each } n \in [N]. \]

Then, Lemma 6.4 implies that \( | \langle x, \varphi_n \rangle |^2 = | \langle \overline{x}, \varphi_n \rangle |^2 \) for each \( n = 1, \ldots, N \) which gives that \( \Phi \) is not complex phase retrievable.

Note that given any conjugate phase retrievable \( \Phi \subset \mathbb{V}_R^M \), equation (6.1) holds for any \( \varphi \in \Phi \) and \( x \in \mathbb{C}^M \) because \( \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi_j} \varphi_k) \) are always zero. Hence, Proposition 6.3 implies \( \Phi \) is strictly conjugate phase retrievable. In the following, we show that in \( \mathbb{C}^2 \), every strictly conjugate phase retrievable frame is a frame in \( \mathbb{V}_R^M \).

**Theorem 6.5.** Any frame over \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) that is strictly conjugate phase retrievable must be a frame contained in \( \mathbb{V}_R^M \). Furthermore, we have the following consequence:

1. Any frame \( \Phi \subset \mathbb{V}_R^M \) on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) that is conjugate phase retrievable must be complex phase retrievable and have at least four vectors.
2. On the other hand, any real-valued frame \( \Phi \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) that is conjugate phase retrievable requires only at least three vectors.
Proof. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n\}$ be a strictly conjugate phase retrievable frame over $\mathbb{C}^2$. We first write the frame matrix of $\Phi$ as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_{11} & \varphi_{12} & \cdots & \varphi_{1n} \\
\varphi_{21} & \varphi_{22} & \cdots & \varphi_{2n}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varphi_1 \\
\varphi_2 \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_n
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

By Theorem 5.3 there exists $y = (y_1, y_2)^T$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ with $y \notin \vartheta \mathbb{R}^M$ and

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Im}(\varphi_{11} \overline{\varphi_{21}}) \text{Im}(y_1 \overline{y_2}) &= 0 \\
\text{Im}(\varphi_{12} \overline{\varphi_{22}}) \text{Im}(y_1 \overline{y_2}) &= 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\text{Im}(\varphi_{1n} \overline{\varphi_{2n}}) \text{Im}(y_1 \overline{y_2}) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

By assumption, $y \not\in \overline{y}$, and we must have $y_1 \overline{y_2} \neq \overline{y_1} y_2 = \overline{y_1 \overline{y_2}}$ and thus $\text{Im}(y_1 \overline{y_2}) \neq 0$. To satisfy the above list of equations we must then have

\[
\text{Im}(\varphi_{11} \overline{\varphi_{21}}) = \cdots = \text{Im}(\varphi_{1n} \overline{\varphi_{2n}}) = 0.
\]

For any frame vector $\varphi_i$, we have $\text{Im}(\varphi_{1i} \overline{\varphi_{2i}}) = 0$, which implies $\varphi_i \in \vartheta \mathbb{R}^M$. Thus, $\Phi \subseteq \vartheta \mathbb{R}^M$. Thus, we can say that any strictly conjugate phase retrievable frame over $\mathbb{C}^2$ is a frame in $\vartheta \mathbb{R}^M$.

To prove (1), suppose that $\Phi$ is conjugate phase retrievable and $\Phi \not\subseteq \vartheta \mathbb{R}^M$. By what we just proved, $\Phi$ is not strictly conjugate phase retrievable, Thus, we must have that $\Phi$ is complex phase retrievable on $\mathbb{C}^2$. In [7] it was proved that a minimum of four vectors is required for complex phase retrieval on $\mathbb{C}^2$. This completes the proof. Statement (2) has been proved in Theorem 2.4. \qed

7. Discussions and Open Questions

We end this paper with a discussion of some problems concerning conjugate phase retrieval that is also in line with the current research about phase retrieval.

7.1. Conjugate Phase Retrieval in High Dimension. For $M \geq 4$, the following two questions are naturally raised:

(1) Compute $N_s(M)$ and $N^*(M)$ for conjugate phase retrieval of real frames when $M \geq 4$.

(2) Determine if $N_s(M) < N^*(M)$ can happen for conjugate phase retrieval.

In Theorem 2.5 we showed that $N^*(M) \leq 4M - 6$ and $N_s(M) \leq N^*(M) \leq 4M - 6$ for $M \geq 4$. In comparison with complex phase retrieval with the same notation for $N_s(M)$ and $N^*(M)$, $N^*(M) \leq 4M - 4$ in any dimension $M$ for complex phase retrieval, but it is also known that when $M = 4$, there exists a frame of 11 vectors that also does complex phase retrieval [21]. In other words, $N_s(4) \leq 11 \leq 4(4) - 4 = 12$.

Notice that the proof for $4M - 6$ generic vectors performing phase retrieval uses the sufficient condition that $\ker(A) \cap S_4^R = \{O\}$ in Theorem 2.3 (2). However, a weaker condition that $\ker(A) \cap \text{Re}(S_{C}^{1,1}) = \{O\}$ is already enough. Unfortunately, we do not know if $\text{Re}(S_{C}^{1,1})$ is a real projective variety, nor its real dimension. Therefore, we cannot use Theorem 5.1 to obtain a sharper result. Furthermore, we conjecture that $\text{Re}(S_{C}^{1,1})$ should be strictly contained in $S_4^R$ when $M \geq 4$. In view of this, we believe that $N_s(4) < 10 = 4(4) - 6$ is highly possible to happen for conjugate phase retrieval with real frames.
7.2. **Strict Conjugate Phase Retrieval.** Another interesting question raised up is to know which vectors perform strict conjugate phase retrieval. We showed that strictly conjugate phase retrievable frames in $\mathbb{C}^2$ come entirely from phased real vectors $\vartheta \mathbb{R}^M$. Is this true in higher dimensions? If not, what other frames $\Phi \not\subset \vartheta \mathbb{R}^M$ are strictly conjugate phase retrievable for $M > 2$?

7.3. **Conjugate Unsigned Sampling.** Recent studies about phase retrieval on real-valued bandlimited functions and also on shift-invariant spaces can be found in [1, 3, 2, 10, 20]. However, as indicated in the introduction, phase retrieval on complex-valued Paley-Wiener space bandlimited on $[-b/2, b/2]$ ($PW_b$) is impossible using real samples. With the notion of conjugate phase retrieval, we ultimately wish to recover complex-valued functions in $PW_b$ from real samples. We propose the following definition for recovery up to conjugacy in $PW_b$ and a natural question is raised:

**Definition 7.1.** Let $\Lambda$ be a countable subset of $\mathbb{R}$. We say $\Lambda$ is a set of conjugate unsigned sampling for $PW_b$ if for any $f, g \in PW_b$ $|f(\lambda)| = |g(\lambda)|$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ implies that $f = e^{i\theta}g$ or $f = e^{i\theta}g$ for some $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$.

*(Qu)* Does there exist a set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ that forms a set of conjugate unsigned sampling on $PW_b$?

In [18, 19], the authors proved the possibility of complex phase retrieval on $PW$ and Bernstein spaces under a very specific measurement setup with samples taken over the complex plane. In their work, a sampling density of four times of the bandwidth is also recorded. With the results studied in this paper, conjugate unsigned sampling by real numbers may be possible and its density should be at least four times of the bandwidth.
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