

Harmonic analysis and distribution-free inference for spherical distributions

S. Rao Jammalamadaka

Department of Statistics and Applied Probability, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

rao@pstat.ucsb.edu

Gyorgy Terdik (Corresponding author)

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, 4029 Debrecen, Hungary

Terdik.Gyorgy@inf.unideb.hu

Abstract

Fourier analysis and representation of *circular distributions* in terms of their Fourier coefficients, is quite commonly discussed and used for model-free inference such as testing uniformity and symmetry etc. in dealing with 2-dimensional directions. However a similar discussion for *spherical distributions*, which are used to model 3-dimensional directional data, has not been fully developed in the literature in terms of their harmonics. This paper, in what we believe is the first such attempt, looks at the probability distributions on a unit sphere, through the perspective of spherical harmonics, analogous to the Fourier analysis for distributions on a unit circle. Harmonic representations of many currently used spherical models are presented and discussed. A very general family of spherical distributions is then introduced, special cases of which yield many known spherical models. Through the prism of harmonic analysis, one can look at the mean direction, dispersion, and various forms of symmetry for these models in a generic setting. Aspects of distribution free inference such as estimation and large-sample tests for these symmetries, are provided. The paper concludes with a real-data example analyzing the longitudinal sunspot activity.

Keywords:

3D-Directional data, harmonic analysis, symmetries of densities, large-sample tests

2008 MSC: Primary 62H11, 62H15, Secondary 60E05 60E10

1. Introduction

Probability models for directional data in two- and three-dimensions can be represented using the circumference of a unit circle or the surface of a unit sphere as the support, and are called circular and spherical distributions respectively. In any discussion of probability distributions on the circle, Fourier analysis becomes an integral part, because such probability densities on a circle are periodic with period 2π (see e.g. [23], p. 25, [18]). On the other hand, when it comes to directions in 3-dimensions and probability distributions on the sphere, such analysis is nearly absent, partly because of the complexity, as we shall soon see. Yet, in spite of this complexity, such a study of spherical distributions through their harmonics lets one probe deeper into their fundamental properties and characterize their behaviour in terms of their symmetries etc. in a much more general model-free setting rather than by dealing with specific parametric models. We might mention here a paper [13] which considers nonparametric deconvolution of spherical densities via spherical harmonics. It is our goal in this

paper to discuss such harmonic analysis of spherical distributions in a general setting, and to provide model-free large-sample tests for testing various symmetries that these distributions might enjoy.

Harmonics play an important role in geosciences where they serve as a smoothing and interpolation device for noisy data sets. A good example of this is the map of global heat flow presented in [5]; see also [10] where spatio-temporal data on global climate is presented through visual animations. In the case of gravity and magnetic field etc., spherical harmonics are the solutions to differential equations that govern the potentials and provide a deeper physical relationship to the fields. More applications for such analysis include computational geometry, [14], image processing [25], approximate symmetries in a large data set [16], “big data” analysis for different meteorological data sets [6], etc.

The paper is organized as follows. We start with a brief introduction to spherical harmonics, and some essential properties that will be needed in the rest of the paper. We then discuss some parametric models for the unit sphere that have been commonly used in the literature to model 3-dimensional directional data. We do this by introducing a very broad class of spherical distributions called the “Generalized-Fisher-Bingham” family, that encompasses many currently used parametric models. In Section 3, we provide representation of the mean direction and dispersion for such spherical models, in terms of their harmonics. In Section 4, we consider various types of symmetries that a spherical distribution might enjoy, such as isotropy, antipodal symmetry etc. Sections 5-7 deal with nonparametric inference viz. estimation of the mean, as well as omnibus large-sample tests for these various forms of symmetry. The final section deals with a real data example of sunspot activity.

For a slightly more practical and computational side of these issues, the reader is referred to a companion paper [27] together with a MATLAB package: “3D-Directional Statistics, Simulation and Visualization” by the authors, which provides various simulation techniques and visualization tools for these spherical models.

1.1. Spherical Harmonics and the unit Sphere—some basics

Continuous functions on a compact set can usually be approximated uniformly by an orthogonal system of basis functions. In particular, we consider the unit sphere in 3 dimensions, labelled the 2D-Sphere \mathbb{S}_2 in \mathbb{R}^3 , with co-latitude $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$ and longitude $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$. Continuous functions on such a sphere can be approximated uniformly by composition of *Orthonormal Spherical Harmonics*, (see e.g. [20], Theorem 9). Such a basis set for \mathbb{S}_2 is given by the complex-valued functions $\{Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, \varphi), \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m = -\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1, \ell\}$ of **degree** ℓ and **order** m , defined by

$$Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, \varphi) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi} \frac{(\ell - m)!}{(\ell + m)!}} P_\ell^m(\cos \vartheta) e^{im\varphi}, \quad \varphi \in [0, 2\pi], \quad \vartheta \in [0, \pi], \quad (1)$$

where P_ℓ^m denotes *associated normalized Legendre function of the first kind*, ([7], 3.2)—see Appendix Appendix .1.1. These $\{Y_\ell^m\}$ are fully normalized in the sense, for each ℓ and m

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi |Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, \varphi)|^2 \sin \vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi = 1.$$

If $\tilde{x}(\vartheta, \varphi)$ denotes a point on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}_2 , we shall use the alternate notations $Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, \varphi)$ and $Y_\ell^m(\tilde{x})$ interchangeably. In particular, the first few spherical harmonics

are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_0^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}, \\
Y_1^{-1} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \vartheta e^{-i\varphi}, \quad Y_1^0 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos \vartheta, \quad Y_1^1 = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \vartheta e^{i\varphi}, \\
Y_2^{-2} &= \sqrt{\frac{15}{32\pi}} \sin^2 \vartheta e^{-i2\varphi}, \quad Y_2^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{15}{8\pi}} \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta e^{-i\varphi}, \quad Y_2^0 = \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} (3 \cos^2 \vartheta - 1) \\
Y_2^1 &= -\sqrt{\frac{15}{8\pi}} \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta e^{i\varphi}, \quad Y_2^2 = \sqrt{\frac{15}{32\pi}} \sin^2 \vartheta e^{i2\varphi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The spherical harmonics of order 0 have a special form so that for each ℓ

$$Y_\ell^0(\vartheta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta). \tag{3}$$

where P_ℓ denotes Legendre polynomial, see Equation (.1).

Real-valued spherical harmonics: Spherical harmonics are in general complex valued, because they depend on $e^{im\varphi}$ where φ is the longitude. Clearly $e^{im\varphi}$ is a complete orthogonal system which is equivalent to the real valued sine-cosine system. Similarly we can define real spherical harmonic functions (note the double subscripts)

$$Y_{\ell,m} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (Y_\ell^m + (-1)^m Y_\ell^{-m}) & m > 0 \\ Y_\ell^0 & m = 0 \\ \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} (Y_\ell^{-m} - (-1)^m Y_\ell^m) & m < 0 \end{cases}.$$

The harmonics for $m > 0$ are said to be of the cosine type, while those for $m < 0$ are of the sine type.

As in Fourier analysis, complex-valued harmonics can be re-expressed in terms of real-valued harmonics and sometimes they provide considerably simpler formulae. However, in most cases, we will keep using the complex-valued spherical harmonics and develop the basic theory using them.

We refer the reader to [28] and [24] for a more detailed account of spherical harmonics.

2. Probability distributions on a unit sphere and their harmonic representation

Let $\underline{X} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be a random variable with continuous density function f , with characteristic function $\Phi(\underline{\omega}) = \mathbb{E}e^{i\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{X}}$, and its inverse

$$f(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{x}} \Phi(\underline{\omega}) d\underline{\omega}.$$

Recall the **Rayleigh plane wave expansion** of the exponential $e^{-i\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{x}}$ (see [1] 10.1.47) that for any $\underline{\omega}, \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\rho = |\underline{\omega}|$, $r = |\underline{x}|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{i\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{x}} &= 4\pi \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} i^\ell j_\ell(\rho r) Y_\ell^m(\underline{\tilde{\omega}})^* Y_\ell^m(\underline{\tilde{x}}) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) i^\ell j_\ell(\rho r) P_\ell(\underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{x}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the notation $*$ is for the transpose and conjugate for a matrix and just the conjugate for a scalar and where $j_\ell(z)$ is the **Spherical Bessel function** of the first kind (see [1], 10.1.1)), and is related to the Bessel function of the first kind $J_{\ell+1/2}$ by the equation

$$j_\ell(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} J_{\ell+1/2}(z). \quad (5)$$

Utilizing this, we may write the density f in terms of the spherical Bessel functions j_ℓ and spherical harmonic basis functions Y_ℓ^m (see (5) and (1)). Putting $r = |\underline{x}|$, $\tilde{\underline{x}} = \underline{x}/r$, $\rho = |\underline{\omega}|$, $\tilde{\underline{\omega}} = \underline{\omega}/\rho$, for vectors $\underline{x}, \underline{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and denoting $\Omega(d\tilde{\underline{\omega}})$ as the Lebesgue element of surface area on \mathbb{S}_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \int_0^\infty 4\pi \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \sum_{m=-\ell}^\ell (-i)^\ell j_\ell(\rho r) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{\omega}})^* Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \Phi(\rho\tilde{\underline{\omega}}) \rho^2 d\rho \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{\omega}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \sum_{m=-\ell}^\ell b_\ell^m(r) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_\ell^m(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \int_0^\infty (-i)^\ell j_\ell(r\rho) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{\omega}})^* \Phi(\rho\tilde{\underline{\omega}}) \rho^2 d\rho \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{\omega}}).$$

In this paper, we are concerned with spherical distributions with density $f(\tilde{\underline{x}})$ on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}_2 , which is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^3 . When the density f is concentrated on unit sphere \mathbb{S}_2 , we will write $a_\ell^m = b_\ell^m(1)/2\pi^2$.

Theorem 1 ([20]). *Let the density function $f(\tilde{\underline{x}})$ on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}_2 be continuous. Then it has the series expansion in terms of spherical harmonics Y_ℓ^m viz.*

$$f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty \sum_{m=-\ell}^\ell a_\ell^m Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}), \quad (6)$$

where the complex valued coefficients $\{a_\ell^m\}$ given by

$$a_\ell^m = \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}), \quad (7)$$

and satisfy $a_\ell^{m*} = (-1)^m a_\ell^{-m}$ and the series (6) converges uniformly to f .

Notice that the spherical harmonic $Y_0^0 = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$, hence $a_0^0 = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$ is the normalizing constant for f . The series expansion (6) for a spherical density is analogous to the Fourier series expansion of a circular density (see e.g. Equation (2.1.5) in [23]).

One can replace Y_ℓ^m by real spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell,m}$ in (6) then the coefficients $a_{\ell,m}$ of $Y_{\ell,m}$ will be given in terms of a_ℓ^m as follows

$$a_{\ell,m} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_\ell^m + (-1)^m a_\ell^{-m}) & m > 0 \\ a_\ell^0 & m = 0 \\ \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} (a_\ell^{-m} - (-1)^m a_\ell^m) & m < 0. \end{cases} .$$

2.1. Harmonics for some specific spherical models

In this section, we provide harmonic representations for many commonly used spherical models and a few new ones. While this section is comprehensive in covering most known spherical models, such a harmonic analysis is similar to what one would find as Fourier representations of existing circular models in the literature (See e.g. Section

2.2 of [23] or Section 3.5 of [18]). The first 6 examples are directly functions of the spherical harmonics, while Example 8 is a very broad class of parametric densities that is introduced here and called, the ‘‘Generalized Fisher-Bingham’’. Examples 8-11 are various special cases of this family, and are widely used in the literature.

Example 2. *Uniform / isotropic distribution* $f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = 1/4\pi$, then (ϑ, φ) have joint probability density

$$f(\vartheta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sin \vartheta.$$

Example 3. *Brownian Motion distribution, ([18] p.181)*

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0, \zeta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{-\ell(\ell+1)/4\zeta} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_{\ell}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (8)$$

where $\zeta > 0$, notice (3).

Another class of densities is obtained by taking

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \left| \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} b_{\ell}^m Y_{\ell}^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \right|^2 \quad (9)$$

for given values $\{b_{\ell}^m\}$, and this can then be re-expressed in the general form (6), where a_{ℓ}^n can be explicitly obtained from the Clebsch-Gordan series (see (.8)).

Two special cases described in Examples 4 and 5, are of particular interest. In quantum mechanics, the $Y_{\ell,m}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})^2$ itself and the probability density function (9) plays an important role in modelling the hydrogen atom, for instance when $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} |b_{\ell}^m|^2 = 1$. As can be seen from equations (1) and (39), the squared modulus $|Y_{\ell}^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})|^2$ is also a density function and serves as a model for rotational symmetric density function on sphere, since it depends on $\cos \vartheta$ only.

Example 4. *The density $|Y_{\ell}^m|^2$ has a representation of the form (6), as*

$$|Y_{\ell}^m|^2 = (-1)^m \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,-m}^{2h,0} Y_{2h}^0, \quad (10)$$

where $C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,m_2}^{k,m}$ are Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (see (.8 and [27])).

Example 5. *For $m > 0$, the density $Y_{\ell,m}^2$ has the representation of the form (6) as*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\ell,m}^2 &= \frac{2\ell+1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{h=m}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,m}^{2h,2m} Y_{2h,2m} \\ &+ (-1)^m \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,-m}^{2h,0} Y_{2h,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

See Appendix Appendix .2.2 for a proof.

Example 6. *Exponential family (see [3] and [29] p.82)*

$$f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \exp \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} c_{\ell}^m Y_{\ell}^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (12)$$

where $c_\ell^{m*} = (-1)^m c_\ell^{-m}$. The normalizing constant corresponds to c_0^0 , it depends on the rest of the parameters c_ℓ^m , since the integral of f_e must be 1. Beran ([3]) uses exponential of orthonormal spherical harmonics, not separated by degree. The likelihood of observations also takes this form.

Example 7. Exponential densities with rotational symmetry around the axis $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$ have the form

$$f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = \exp \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell P_\ell(\cos \gamma), \quad (13)$$

where γ is the angle between $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$, i.e. $\cos \gamma = \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$. The density $f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$ has the series expansion (6),

$$f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} f_\ell \frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\cos \gamma),$$

with

$$f_\ell = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 \hat{f}_e(x) P_\ell(x) dx,$$

where we set $f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = f_e(\cos \gamma) = \hat{f}_e(x)$, see Appendix Appendix .2.1 for a proof of Example 9.

For instance, consider the Generalized von Mises distribution discussed in [9] defined by

$$f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = \exp(\kappa_0 + \kappa_1 \cos \gamma + \kappa_2 \cos 2\gamma),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = \exp(c_0 + c_1 P_1(\cos \gamma) + c_2 P_2(\cos \gamma)),$$

using $\cos 2\gamma = 2 \cos^2 \gamma - 1$. A more general orthogonal form ([17]) is to take

$$f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) = \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \kappa_\ell \cos \ell \gamma\right).$$

This comes directly from (13) using

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) \cos k\vartheta \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) \cos k\vartheta \sin \vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) \cos k\vartheta \sin \vartheta d\vartheta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 P_\ell(x) T_k(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where T_k denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of degree k .

Example 8 (Generalized Fisher-Bingham family of spherical distributions).

A very broad class of distributions, which we shall call Generalized Fisher-Bingham family (GFB), has the following density

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \kappa, A) \cong \exp\left(\kappa \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^\top A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\right),$$

where \cong denotes equality up to a normalizing constant and where A is a symmetric 3 by 3 matrix. Matrix A has the form $A = MZM^\top$, where $M = [\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_3]$ is an orthogonal

matrix and $Z = \text{diag}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3)$ is a diagonal matrix. To avoid identifiability problems, it is necessary to impose some constraint, and the usual restriction imposed is that

$$\text{tr}(A) = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 = 0. \quad (14)$$

Further assume $\tilde{\underline{\mu}} = \tilde{\underline{\mu}}_3$, so that the number of parameters is 6. The resulting \mathbf{GFB}_6 model has a density given by,

$$f_6(\tilde{\underline{x}}; \kappa, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, M) \cong \exp\left(\kappa \tilde{\underline{\mu}}_3 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \zeta_k \left(\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_k \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}\right)^2\right). \quad (15)$$

An alternative parametrization of model \mathbf{GFB}_6 , when the constraint $\zeta_2 = -\zeta_1$ is imposed, provides an alternate form of the density

$$f_6(\tilde{\underline{x}}; \kappa, \beta, \gamma, M) \cong \exp\left(\kappa \tilde{\underline{\mu}}_3 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}} + \gamma \left(\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_3 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}\right)^2 + \beta \left(\left(\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_1 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}\right)^2 - \left(\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_2 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}\right)^2\right)\right), \quad (16)$$

where again vectors $\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_1$, $\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_2$ and $\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_3$ constitute an orthogonal system.

The next 4 examples provide some important special cases of this Generalized Fisher-Bingham family, that have been considered in the literature:

Example 9. We first consider the von Mises-Fisher (Fisher, Langevin) distribution (see [8], [23]) which is obtained from (16) by setting both $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta = 0$. The normalizing constant here involves the Modified Bessel function of the first kind (see [1], 9.6.18) given by

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^\nu}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)} \int_0^\pi e^{\pm z \cos \vartheta} \sin^{2\nu} \vartheta d\vartheta,$$

and the density

$$f(\tilde{\underline{x}}; \tilde{\underline{\mu}}, \kappa) = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{(2\pi)^{3/2} I_{1/2}(\kappa)} \exp(\kappa \tilde{\underline{\mu}} \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}),$$

where $\kappa \geq 0$. Notice that f is a particular case of \mathbf{GFB}_6 setting $\gamma = 0$, and $\beta = 0$, in (16). The density function f on \mathbb{S}_2 depends on cosine of the angle, say γ , between $\tilde{\underline{x}}$ and $\tilde{\underline{\mu}}$, i.e. $\tilde{\underline{x}} \cdot \tilde{\underline{\mu}} = \cos(\gamma)$. We find the series expansion (6) as

$$f(\tilde{\underline{x}}; \tilde{\underline{\mu}}, \kappa) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} \frac{I_{\ell+1/2}(\kappa)}{I_{1/2}(\kappa)} Y_\ell^0(\tilde{\underline{x}} \cdot \tilde{\underline{\mu}}). \quad (17)$$

See Appendix Appendix .2.1 for the proof.

Example 10. The model proposed by Bingham ([4]) which we label $\mathbf{GFB}_{5,B}$, is obtained by setting $\kappa = 0$ in (16) and has the form

$$f_B(\tilde{\underline{x}}; \beta, \gamma, M) \cong \exp\left(\gamma \left(\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_3 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}\right)^2 + \beta \left(\left(\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_1 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}\right)^2 - \left(\tilde{\underline{\mu}}_2 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}\right)^2\right)\right),$$

with five parameters.

For this special case with $\kappa = 0$, see [4] and the companion paper [27] for a more detailed account and its visualization and simulation.

Example 11. The Dimroth-Watson (Watson) Distribution, [29], is obtained by setting $\kappa = 0$, and $\beta = 0$, in (16), and we then have

$$f(\underline{\tilde{x}}; \underline{\tilde{\mu}}, \gamma) = \frac{1}{M(1/2, 3/2, \gamma)} \exp\left(\gamma (\underline{\tilde{\mu}} \cdot \underline{\tilde{x}})^2\right), \quad (18)$$

where $M(1/2, 3/2, \gamma)$ is Kummer's function, [18] p.181. The series expansion (6) of this density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{\tilde{x}}; \underline{\tilde{\mu}}, \gamma) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} Y_{\ell}^0(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $\vartheta = \arccos(\underline{\tilde{\mu}} \cdot \underline{\tilde{x}})$. For odd indices $c_{2\ell+1} = 0$, and for the even indices

$$c_{2\ell} = \frac{2\pi}{M(1/2, 3/2, \gamma)} \int_{-1}^1 \exp(\gamma y^2) P_{2\ell}(y) dy. \quad (20)$$

Example 12. The model proposed by Kent ([15]) which we label $\mathbf{GFB}_{5,K}$, arises by setting $\gamma = 0$ in (16), and has the form

$$f_5(\underline{\tilde{x}}; \kappa, \beta, M) \cong \exp\left(\kappa \underline{\tilde{\mu}}_3 \cdot \underline{\tilde{x}} + \beta \left((\underline{\tilde{\mu}}_1 \cdot \underline{\tilde{x}})^2 - (\underline{\tilde{\mu}}_2 \cdot \underline{\tilde{x}})^2 \right)\right), \quad (21)$$

which defines the five parameter model $\mathbf{GFB}_{5,K}$.

3. Mean direction, Moment of Inertia

In this section, the Mean direction and dispersion of any spherical model is expressed in terms of the harmonics.

3.1. Mean direction in terms of spherical harmonics

The mean of a random variable $\underline{\tilde{X}}$ is calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mu} &= \mathbf{E}\underline{\tilde{X}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \underline{\tilde{x}} f(\underline{\tilde{x}}) \Omega(d\underline{\tilde{x}}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \underline{\tilde{x}} \sum_{m=-1}^1 a_1^m Y_1^m(\underline{\tilde{x}}) \Omega(d\underline{\tilde{x}}). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We express the entries of $\underline{\tilde{x}} = (\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi, \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi, \cos \vartheta)^\top$ in terms of spherical harmonics

$$\tilde{x}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} (Y_1^{-1} - Y_1^1), \quad \tilde{x}_2 = i\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} (Y_1^{-1} + Y_1^1), \quad \tilde{x}_3 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} Y_1^0, \quad (23)$$

note $Y_{\ell}^{m*}(\vartheta, \varphi) = (-1)^m Y_{\ell}^{-m}(\vartheta, \varphi)$. Or in terms of real spherical harmonics

$$\tilde{x}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} Y_{1,1}, \quad \tilde{x}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} Y_{1,-1}, \quad \tilde{x}_3 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} Y_{1,0}.$$

Using the orthogonality of spherical harmonics, we have the components of $\underline{\mu}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{x}_1 \sum_{m=-1}^1 a_1^m Y_1^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} (Y_1^{-1} - Y_1^1) \sum_{m=-1}^1 a_1^m Y_1^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} (a_1^{-1} - a_1^1) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} a_{1,1},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_2 &= i\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} (a_1^1 + a_1^{-1}) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} a_{1,-1}, \\
\mu_3 &= \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} a_1^0 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} a_{1,0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Observe that although a_ℓ^m is complex valued, μ_k is real and one can write $\underline{\mu} = R (\sin \vartheta_\mu \cos \varphi_\mu, \sin \vartheta_\mu \sin \varphi_\mu, \cos \vartheta_\mu)^\top = R \tilde{\underline{\mu}}$, where the *resultant* R is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
R^2 &= \frac{4\pi}{3} (|a_1^{-1}|^2 + |a_1^0|^2 + |a_1^1|^2) \\
&= \frac{4\pi}{3} (a_{1,1}^2 + a_{1,-1}^2 + a_{1,0}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{\underline{\mu}} \in \mathbb{S}_2$, is the mean direction. We see that the mean direction depends on the first degree coefficients *only*. Also

$$R^2 = \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} (\tilde{x}_1^2 + \tilde{x}_2^2 + \tilde{x}_3^2) f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}) = 1$$

so that

$$R \leq 1.$$

Example 13 (Ex. 2 contd.). If $f(\tilde{\underline{x}})$ is uniform then $\underline{\mu} = 0$ and $R = 0$.

If $\underline{\mu} = 0$, then the mean direction is undefined. We can have $R = 0$ for non-uniform densities as well because of symmetries, as the following examples illustrate.

Example 14 (Ex. 11 contd.). *Dimroth-Watson Distribution (19) has $\underline{\mu} = 0$, as well as $R = 0$.*

Example 15 (Ex. 4, 5 contd.). *For both the densities $|Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}})|^2$ and $Y_{\ell,m}^2$, we have $R = 0$, since there is no linear term in Clebsch-Gordan series (see (10) and (11) respectively).*

Let us consider the **GFB**₆ model with parametrization (16).

Lemma 16. *The mean direction $\tilde{\underline{\mu}}$ of the model **GFB**₆ is characterized by a constant times $\kappa M^\top \tilde{\underline{N}}$, in particular if $\kappa = 0$, then $\tilde{\underline{\mu}}$ is undefined ($\underline{\mu} = 0$).*

Proof. The mean direction

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\underline{\mu}} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{\underline{x}} f_6(\tilde{\underline{x}}; \kappa, \beta, \gamma, M) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\ &= M^\top \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{\underline{y}} f_6(\tilde{\underline{y}}; \kappa, \beta, \gamma) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{y}}),\end{aligned}$$

hence we shall consider the mean direction of the density in canonical form. Rewriting the density f_6 in terms of real spherical harmonics

$$\begin{aligned}f_6(\tilde{\underline{y}}; \kappa, \beta, \gamma) &\cong e^{\kappa\tilde{y}_3 + \gamma\tilde{y}_3^2 + \beta(\tilde{y}_1^2 - \tilde{y}_2^2)} \\ &= \exp\left(\kappa\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_{1,0} + \gamma\sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}}Y_{2,0} + \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{3}Y_{0,0} + 2\beta\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,2}\right),\end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$f_6(\tilde{\underline{y}}; \kappa, \beta, \gamma) \cong \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}\left(\kappa\sqrt{5}Y_{1,0} + \gamma 2\sqrt{3}Y_{2,0} + 2\beta Y_{2,2}\right)\right), \quad (26)$$

where, $Y_{1,0} = Y_1^0$, $Y_{2,0} = Y_2^0$ and $Y_{2,2} = (Y_2^2 + Y_2^{-2})/\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{15/16\pi} \sin^2 \vartheta \cos(2\varphi)$. The formula (.8) for the product of two spherical harmonics shows that the order in the right side is fixed at $m_1 - m_2$, while the degree changes from $|\ell_1 - \ell_2|$ to $\ell_1 + \ell_2$. The series expansion of (26) contains various products of spherical harmonics $Y_{1,0}$, $Y_{2,0}$ and $Y_{2,2}$, each of them with even order. Therefore, the series expansion (6) of the density (26) does not contain spherical harmonics with odd order. The orthogonality of spherical harmonics implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{\underline{y}}_k f_6(\tilde{\underline{y}}; \kappa, \beta, \gamma) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{y}}) = 0,$$

for $k = 1, 2$. Since the coordinates \tilde{y}_1 , \tilde{y}_2 , and \tilde{y}_3 in terms of real spherical harmonics write as

$$\tilde{y}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}(Y_1^{-1} - Y_1^1), \quad \tilde{y}_2 = i\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}}(Y_1^{-1} + Y_1^1), \quad \tilde{y}_3 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_1^0,$$

and \tilde{y}_1 and \tilde{y}_2 are with odd order. The only even order coordinate is \tilde{y}_3 . The result is that the first two coordinates of mean direction of the density (26) is zero, i.e. is a constant times North pole $\tilde{\underline{N}}$.

If $\kappa = 0$, then consider the series expansion (6) of the density (26) and conclude that not only the coefficients with odd order are zero but the coefficients with odd degree as well, since $C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{\ell,0} = 0$, if $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell = 2j + 1$, where j is an integer. ■

3.2. Moment of Inertia and the Variance-Covariance matrix in terms of spherical harmonics

in order to consider the asymptotic distribution of the mean, or for estimating the rotational axes etc., we need to discuss second order moments, i.e. the moment of inertia, or the variance-covariance matrix, which we do below.

If we define the product

$$\tilde{\underline{x}}\tilde{\underline{x}}^\top = \begin{bmatrix} \sin^2 \vartheta \cos^2 \varphi & \sin^2 \vartheta \cos \varphi \sin \varphi & \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \\ \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi & \sin^2 \vartheta \sin^2 \varphi & \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \\ \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi & \cos \vartheta \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi & \cos^2 \vartheta \end{bmatrix},$$

this can be expressed in terms of real spherical harmonics

$$\underline{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}^\top = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,2} - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}Y_{2,0} + \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{3}Y_{0,0} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,-2} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,1} \\ \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,-2} & -\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,2} - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}Y_{2,0} + \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{3}Y_{0,0} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,-1} \\ \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,1} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}Y_{2,-1} & \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}Y_{2,0} + \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{3}Y_{0,0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

so that

$$\mathbf{E}\underline{\tilde{X}\tilde{X}}^\top = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,2} - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}a_{2,0} + \frac{1}{3} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,-2} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,1} \\ \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,-2} & -\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,2} - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}a_{2,0} + \frac{1}{3} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,-1} \\ \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,1} & \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}}a_{2,-1} & \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}a_{2,0} + \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moment of Inertia here depends on second degree coefficients and constant *only*. Notice that the trace of $\underline{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}^\top$ is 1, as well as for $\mathbf{E}\underline{\tilde{X}\tilde{X}}^\top$. Variance-Covariance matrix is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\underline{\tilde{X}}) &= \mathbf{E}\underline{\tilde{X}\tilde{X}}^\top - \mathbf{E}\underline{\tilde{X}}\mathbf{E}\underline{\tilde{X}}^\top \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2,2} & a_{2,-2} & a_{2,1} \\ a_{2,-2} & -a_{2,2} & a_{2,-1} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{4\pi}{3}\underline{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\mu}}^\top - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}a_{2,0}\text{Diag}(1, 1, -2) + \frac{1}{3}\text{Diag}(1, 1, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Example 17 (Ex. 5 contd.). For the density $Y_{\ell,m}^2$, $m > 0$, the series expansion (11) provides the following coefficients: $a_{0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}$, $a_{1,m} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2,0} &= (-1)^m \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{20\pi}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,-m}^{2,0}, \\ a_{2,2} &= \delta_{m=1} \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{40\pi}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2,0} C_{\ell,1;\ell,1}^{2,2}, \end{aligned}$$

$a_{2,1} = a_{2,-1} = a_{2,-2} = 0$, see [28], 8.5.1, p.248, hence $\text{Var}(\underline{\tilde{X}})$ is diagonal. In particular, if $\underline{\tilde{X}}$ is with density $Y_{3,2}^2$, then

$$\text{Var}(\underline{\tilde{X}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix},$$

since $C_{3,2;3,-2}^{2,0} = 0$, [28] 8.5,2 (45) p.252.

4. Rotations and Symmetries

Symmetries of physical systems, in particular the rules of atomic spectroscopy, conservation of angular momentum etc. motivate the consideration of the group of rotations of a 2D-sphere, which forms a noncommutative group called $SO(3)$ (see [30]). We follow the usual notation for a rotation $g \in SO(3)$ acting on a function f as $\Lambda(g)f(\underline{\tilde{x}}) = f(g^{-1}\underline{\tilde{x}})$. In particular $\Lambda(g)Y_\ell^m(\underline{\tilde{x}}) = Y_\ell^m(g^{-1}\underline{\tilde{x}})$, which is a rotated

spherical harmonic, and is expressed in terms of spherical harmonics in a natural manner in terms of Wigner D-matrices $D_{k,m}^{(\ell)}(g)$, i.e.

$$\Lambda(g) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} D_{k,m}^{(\ell)}(g) Y_\ell^k(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}). \quad (29)$$

Applying such a rotation on a density function f we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(g) f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m \Lambda(g) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m \sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} D_{k,m}^{(\ell)}(g) Y_\ell^k(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\ell}^{\ell} b_\ell^k(g) Y_\ell^k(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}), \end{aligned}$$

such that the new coefficients $b_\ell^k(g)$ are transforms of a_ℓ^m as follows

$$b_\ell^k(g) = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m D_{k,m}^{(\ell)}(g). \quad (30)$$

We use this relationship for *characterizing* symmetric densities in particular cases.

Remark 18. *In the case of an exponential family*

$$\Lambda(g) f_e(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \exp \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} c_\ell^m \Lambda(g) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}),$$

so that the coefficients in the exponent after the rotation satisfy the same equation (30). The consequence is that all characterizations of symmetry discussed below, follow directly from the coefficients c_ℓ^m .

5. Inference on isotropy on the sphere

One of the central problems in dealing with directional data, prior to any further inference, is to verify/test if the data is isotropic or uniformly distributed over the space \mathbb{S}_2 , in which case estimation of the Mean or dispersion does not make sense.

Definition 19. *f is isotropic (globally symmetric), if for all $g \in SO(3)$, $\Lambda(g) f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$.*

This definition provides us a necessary and sufficient condition for the uniformity which will be useful for inference.

Lemma 20. *f is globally symmetric if and only if f is uniform distribution on the sphere i.e. $f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = 1/4\pi$.*

Proof. We show that all coefficients in (39) are zero except a_0^0 , i.e. $a_\ell^m = \delta_{\ell=0}\delta_{m=0}a_0^0$. This follows from the equality of $b_\ell^k(g)$ and a_ℓ^k , by (30), since integrating both sides of (30) by the Haar measure dg on $SO(3)$ and using (.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{SO(3)} a_\ell^k dg &= \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m \int_{SO(3)} D_{k,m}^{(\ell)}(g) dg, \\ a_\ell^k &= \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m \delta_{\ell=0}\delta_{m=0}\delta_{k=0} = a_0^0 \delta_{\ell=0}\delta_{k=0}.\end{aligned}$$

■

In order to develop an omnibus test of uniformity, we need to consider estimation of the coefficients a_ℓ^k .

5.1. Estimation of a_ℓ^m

Consider now a random sample (i.i.d. observations) $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1(\vartheta_1, \varphi_1), \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2(\vartheta_2, \varphi_2), \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n(\vartheta_n, \varphi_n)$. Let the empirical density function $\tilde{f}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$ be defined as usual by putting mass $1/n$ at each observation $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k$. The estimator \hat{a}_ℓ^m , $\ell \neq 0$, of a_ℓ^m given in (7) be

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a}_\ell^m &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{f}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k).\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

Clearly \hat{a}_ℓ^m is unbiased since

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{a}_\ell^m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = a_\ell^m,$$

and has variance

$$\text{Var} \hat{a}_\ell^m = \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \right|^2 - |a_\ell^m|^2 \right)$$

where

$$\mathbb{E} \left| Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \right|^2 = (-1)^m \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{0 \leq h \leq \ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,-m}^{2h,0} a_{2h}^0.$$

It also follows that \hat{a}_ℓ^m is consistent.

From now on we introduce the notation

$$C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2) = \mathbb{E} Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}})^*,$$

then

$$\text{Cov} \left(Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}), Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \right) = C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2) - a_{\ell_1}^{m_1} a_{\ell_2}^{m_2*}\tag{32}$$

where we can express C in terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2) &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq h \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1 + 1)(2\ell_2 + 1)}{4\pi(2h + 1)}} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{h,0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_2}^{h,m_1-m_2} \mathbb{E} Y_h^{m_1-m_2}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq h \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1 + 1)(2\ell_2 + 1)}{4\pi(2h + 1)}} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{h,0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_2}^{h,m_1-m_2} a_h^{m_1-m_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

In particular if we fix the degree ℓ then $C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{h,0} = 0$ if $2\ell + h$ is odd, ([28], 8.5, (h), p.250)

$$\begin{aligned} C(\ell, m_1; \ell, m_2) &= (-1)^{m_2} \frac{2\ell + 1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{0 \leq h \leq 2\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2h + 1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{h,0} C_{\ell,m_1;\ell,-m_2}^{h,m_1-m_2} a_h^{m_1-m_2} \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \frac{2\ell + 1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{0 \leq h \leq \ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h + 1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m_1;\ell,-m_2}^{2h,m_1-m_2} a_{2h}^{m_1-m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{Cov}\left(Y_\ell^{m_1}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\right), Y_\ell^{m_2}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\right)\right)$ depends on coefficients $a_{2h}^{m_1-m_2}$, with even degrees $2h \leq 2\ell$ and $a_\ell^{m_1} a_\ell^{m_2*}$.

Let \underline{A}_L denote the theoretical vector of coefficients a_ℓ^m , $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L$, $m = -\ell, \dots, \ell$. Let us introduce the corresponding vector of estimated coefficients $\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n) = [\widehat{a}_1(n), \widehat{a}_2(n), \dots, \widehat{a}_L(n)]^\top$, where $\widehat{a}_\ell(n) = \left[\widehat{a}_\ell^{-\ell}, \widehat{a}_\ell^{-\ell+1}, \dots, \widehat{a}_\ell^\ell\right]$ are the estimated coefficients of degree ℓ . $\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)$ has dimension $L(L+2)$, and is in general complex valued except for the entries \widehat{a}_ℓ^0 , $\ell = 1, \dots, L$.

Theorem 21. $\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)$ is asymptotically complex Gaussian (CN) with expected value \underline{A}_L and covariance matrix given by (32), i.e.

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n) - \underline{A}_L \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{C}),$$

where \mathbf{C} is as defined in (32).

5.1.1. Estimation of the Mean direction

Estimating the mean direction $\underline{\mu}$ is very important in order to construct several tests discussed below. The natural estimator for $\underline{\mu}$ based on a sample $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1(\vartheta_1, \varphi_1)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2(\vartheta_2, \varphi_2)$, \dots , $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n(\vartheta_n, \varphi_n)$ is

$$\widehat{\underline{\mu}} = \underline{\bar{\mathbf{x}}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k(\vartheta_k, \varphi_k).$$

It is easy to see that this estimator is equivalent to the one based on estimating a_1^m by \widehat{a}_1^m first then using formula (22) for estimator $\widehat{\underline{\mu}}$. The variance of this estimator is given in terms of variance-covariance matrix (28). The asymptotic normality also follows as special cases of Theorem 21. Estimating the variance-covariance matrix is very similar and straightforward, see (28).

5.2. Testing uniformity or global symmetry

Testing uniformity is one of the well studied problem in circular statistics, and there are a large number of tests (See e.g. Chapter 6 of [23]). More recently Jammalamadaka et al. ([22]) provide a large sample test using the Fourier coefficients for testing isotropy of circular data. In the case of the sphere, as we have seen before, the density f is globally symmetric or isotropic if and only if it has the form $f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = 1/4\pi$ — in other words all coefficients a_ℓ^m are zero in the series expansion (6) except $a_0^0 = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$. Now consider the null hypothesis

$$H_0: a_\ell^m = 0, \text{ if } \ell \neq 0, \text{ for all } m.$$

Under this hypothesis, $\mathbb{E} \widehat{a}_\ell^m = 0$, with variance

$$\text{Var}\left(\widehat{a}_\ell^m\right) = \mathbb{E} \left| \widehat{a}_\ell^m \right|^2 - \left| \mathbb{E} \widehat{a}_\ell^m \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi n}.$$

This follows from (39) and from the Clebsch-Gordan series (10) for $|Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j)|^2$, so that

$$\mathbb{E} |Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j)|^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} Y_0^0 = \frac{1}{4\pi},$$

further we use (33) and (.9) and have

$$\mathbb{E} Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) = \delta_{j=k} \frac{1}{4\pi} + \delta_{j \neq k} |a_\ell^m|^2 = \delta_{j=k} \frac{1}{4\pi},$$

therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \widehat{a_\ell^m} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k,j=1}^n \mathbb{E} Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) = \frac{1}{4\pi n}.$$

In general, under H_0 , if $\ell_1 \ell_2 \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov} \left(Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k), Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) \right) &= \mathbb{E} Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1+1)(2\ell_2+1)}{4\pi}} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{\mathbf{0},0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_2}^{\mathbf{0},0} Y_0^0 \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \frac{2\ell_1+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} (-1)^{\ell_1} \delta_{\ell_1=\ell_2} (-1)^{\ell_1-m_1} \delta_{m_1=m_2} \frac{1}{2\ell_1+1} Y_0^0 \\ &= \delta_{\ell_1=\ell_2} \delta_{m_1=m_2} \frac{1}{4\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 \text{Cov} \left(\widehat{a_{\ell_1}^{m_1}}, \widehat{a_{\ell_2}^{m_2}} \right) &= \sum_{k, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}=1}^n \mathbb{E} Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) \\ &= n \delta_{\ell_1=\ell_2} \delta_{m_1=m_2} \frac{1}{4\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\widehat{a_{\ell_1}^{m_1}}$ and $\widehat{a_{\ell_2}^{m_2}}$ are uncorrelated if $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$, $m_1 \neq m_2$.

The real and imaginary parts of the complex Gaussian variate have the same variance, which in our case is $1/8\pi n$ asymptotically. We have the same value for $|\widehat{a_\ell^m}| = |\widehat{a_\ell^{-m}}|$, provided $m \neq 0$, hence the asymptotic distribution of $4\pi n \left(|\widehat{a_\ell^m}|^2 + |\widehat{a_\ell^{-m}}|^2 \right)$ is χ^2 with 2 degrees of freedom, $4\pi n |\widehat{a_\ell^0}|^2$ asymptotically χ^2 with 1 degree of freedom. It follows that

Theorem 22. *Under the above hypothesis H_0 we have*

$$4\pi n \left\| \widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n) \right\|^2 = 4\pi n \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \left| \widehat{a_\ell^m} \right|^2,$$

is asymptotically χ^2 with $L(L+2)$ degrees of freedom.

This test contains the Rayleigh test for the special case of $L = 1$, and provides a general framework for testing uniformity.

6. Inference on Rotational symmetry

We consider rotational symmetry with respect to a given axis $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0 = \tilde{\underline{x}}_0(\vartheta_0, \varphi_0)$.

Definition 23. f is rotational symmetric about an axis $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$ if for any rotation $g \in SO(3)$, around axis $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$, $\Lambda(g)f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = f(\tilde{\underline{x}})$.

We show a necessary and sufficient condition for rotational symmetry is that f is a function of $\cos \gamma$ only, i.e. (34) be satisfied.

Lemma 24. f is rotational symmetric about the axis $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$ if and only if $f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = f(\tilde{\underline{x}}_0 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}) = f(\cos \gamma)$, where γ is the angle between the rotation axis $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$ and the actual point $\tilde{\underline{x}}$, moreover

$$f(\cos \gamma) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_{\ell}(\cos \gamma). \quad (34)$$

Proof. Assume rotational symmetry and rotate $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$ to the coordinate z -axis by a rotation g_0 . Now, let $f_0(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = \Lambda(g_0)f(\tilde{\underline{x}})$. Consider a rotation about the coordinate z -axis (axis of rotation is the North pole $\tilde{\underline{N}}$) say by an angle α . This transforms the coefficients by (30), where $D_{k,m}^{(\ell)}(g) = \delta_{m=k} e^{im\alpha}$ so that

$$a_{\ell}^k = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_{\ell}^m \delta_{m=k} e^{im\alpha} = a_{\ell}^k e^{ik\alpha},$$

(see [28], 4.5.6 (30), p.84). Integrating over $\int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha$, we have $a_{\ell}^k = \delta_{k=0} a_{\ell}^0$. Use $Y_{\ell}^0(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = \sqrt{(2\ell+1)/4\pi} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\tilde{\underline{x}}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell}^0 Y_{\ell}^0(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_{\ell}^0 \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Let us denote $g_{\tilde{\underline{x}}_0} = g(\underline{\omega}; \vartheta_0, \varphi_0)$ a rotation given in terms of Euler angles $\underline{\omega}$ around $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$ by $\underline{\omega}$. Now we rotate back and forward $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$ to the North pole $\tilde{\underline{N}}$ and get $a_{\ell}^k = \delta_{k=0} a_{\ell}^0$. To guarantee the series expansion by an orthonormal system defined on $[0, \pi]$, instead of the whole sphere (see remark below), we put the coefficient

$$c_{\ell} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}} a_{\ell}^0 \quad (36)$$

in (35) and obtain (34) where γ is the angle between $\tilde{\underline{x}}$ and $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0$.

To show sufficiency, conversely assume $f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = f(\tilde{\underline{x}}_0 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}) = f(\cos \gamma)$. Observe $\cos \gamma = \tilde{\underline{x}}_0 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}$, and in general if we have the dependence of f on $\tilde{\underline{x}}_0 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}$, then the Funk-Hecke formula (see (.7)) provides

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} f(\tilde{\underline{x}}_0 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}) Y_{\ell}^{m*}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}) = c_{\ell} Y_{\ell}^{m*}(\tilde{\underline{x}}_0), \quad (37)$$

and

$$c_{\ell} = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 f(y) P_{\ell}(y) dy,$$

i.e. $a_\ell^m = c_\ell Y_\ell^{m*}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\cos \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

(see formula (.5)). Now, if $f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ is a density of the form (34) then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{c_0}{4\pi} 4\pi = 1,$$

hence $c_0 = 1$. ■

Remark 25. Note here if $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$ is **not the North pole**, then series expansion (37) is orthogonal in terms of Legendre polynomials P_ℓ , but **not** an orthogonal one in the sense of (6) (see (37)). Notice if $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$ is not the North pole then

$$\sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} P_\ell(\cos \gamma) \neq Y_\ell^0(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta).$$

For instance consider the density

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma) \cong e^{\gamma \tilde{x}_2^2}, \quad (38)$$

from the **GFB** family, where $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_3)^\top$, hence

$$\tilde{x}_2^2 = -\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{15}} Y_{2,2} - \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} Y_{2,0} + \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{3} Y_{0,0},$$

see (27), which depends not only on ϑ but on φ as well. Now, let $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = (0, 1, 0)^\top$ the y -axis, therefore we have $\tilde{x}_2^2 = (\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}})^2 = \cos^2 \gamma$, and f is rotationally symmetric with $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$.

6.0.1. Mean direction for a rotationally symmetric distribution.

Writing the rotation matrix M_μ in terms of Euler angles such that $M_\mu \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \tilde{\mathbf{N}}$, and putting $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = M_\mu^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mathbf{X}} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \\ &= M_\mu^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{\mathbf{y}} f(\tilde{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{y}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &= c_1 M_\mu^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{N}} = c_1 \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \end{aligned}$$

since rotational axis of $f(\tilde{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{y}})$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}$, $Y_\ell^0 = \text{const.} P_\ell$, and by (23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{y}_k P_\ell(\tilde{y}_3) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{y}}) &= 0, \quad k = 1, 2 \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{y}_3 P_\ell(\tilde{y}_3) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{y}}) &= \delta_{\ell=1} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} P_1^2(\tilde{y}) \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &= \delta_{\ell=1} \frac{4\pi}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the mean direction is $c_1 \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, since the rotational axis $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a unit vector the resultant is $R = |c_1|$.

Example 26 (Ex. 9 contd.). von Mises-Fisher distribution (see (17)) has mean direction

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{X} = \frac{I_{3/2}(\kappa)}{I_{1/2}(\kappa)}\tilde{\underline{\mu}} = \left(\coth(\kappa) - \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)\tilde{\underline{\mu}}.$$

Example 27 (Ex. 3 contd.). Brownian Motion distribution (8) has mean $\underline{\mu} = R\tilde{\underline{\mu}}$, with resultant $R = e^{-1/2\zeta}$.

Example 28 (Ex. 4 contd.). $|Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}})|^2$ is written in Clebsch-Gordan series, in terms of spherical harmonics according to (6), (see (10))

$$|Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}})|^2 = (-1)^m \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,-m}^{2h,0} P_{2h}(\cos\vartheta), \quad (39)$$

therefore $|Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}})|^2$ is rotational symmetric with axis $\tilde{\underline{N}}$, and the resultant is 0.

6.1. Moment of Inertia for a rotationally symmetric distribution.

As in the previous case

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\tilde{X}\tilde{X}^\top &= \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{\underline{x}}\tilde{\underline{x}}^\top f(\tilde{\underline{x}} \cdot \tilde{\underline{\mu}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\ &= M_\mu^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} \tilde{\underline{y}}\tilde{\underline{y}}^\top f(\tilde{\underline{N}} \cdot \tilde{\underline{y}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{y}}) M_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Put c_ℓ by (36), in (28), then the inertia matrix is

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{X}\tilde{X}^\top = M_\mu^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3}c_2 + \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3}c_2 + \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3}c_2 + \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} M_\mu.$$

Example 29 (Ex. 11 contd.). Dimroth-Watson distribution (see (18) and (20)) has moment of inertia with

$$c_2 = \frac{2\pi}{M(1/2, 3/2, \gamma)} \int_{-1}^1 \exp(\gamma y^2) P_\ell(y) dy.$$

Example 30 (Ex. 3 contd.). Brownian Motion distribution (8) has moment of inertia with $c_2 = e^{-3/2\zeta}$

6.1.1. Estimation for rotationally symmetric distributions

Let us consider the estimation of coefficients c_ℓ of rotational symmetric density

$$f(\cos\vartheta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\cos\vartheta).$$

It is straightforward considering

$$\hat{c}_\ell = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n P_\ell(\tilde{x}_{3,k})$$

where $\tilde{x}_{3,k} = \cos \vartheta_k$, is the third component of sample $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k$. Thus $\mathbf{E}\hat{c}_\ell = c_\ell$, and for deriving the variance of \hat{c}_ℓ we need an expression for $P_\ell(\tilde{x}_3)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\ell(\tilde{x}_3)^2 &= \frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1} Y_\ell^0(\tilde{x}_3)^2 \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq h \leq \ell} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{4h+1}} \left(C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0}\right)^2 Y_{2h}^0(\tilde{x}_3) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq h \leq \ell} \left(C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0}\right)^2 P_{2h}(\tilde{x}_3) \end{aligned}$$

compare to (40)

$$\begin{aligned} n \text{Var } \hat{c}_\ell &= \text{Var } P_\ell(\tilde{X}_3) = \mathbf{E}P_\ell(\tilde{X}_3)^2 - c_\ell^2 \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq h \leq \ell} \left(C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0}\right)^2 c_{2h} - c_\ell^2. \end{aligned}$$

Example 31. We consider the Dimroth-Watson Distribution ((19)) with parameter $\gamma = 2$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \tilde{\mathbf{N}}$. The coefficients c_ℓ are calculated according to Example 11. The random sample $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n$, $n = 2^{12}$ was simulated using MATLAB package *3D_Directional_SSV* see [27] for details. The following table shows the calculated and estimated values for he first four coefficients

ℓ	1	2	3	4
c_ℓ	0.0000	0.2969	0.0000	0.0576
\hat{c}_ℓ	0.0052	0.2982	0.0042	0.0596
$\text{std}\left(P_\ell(\tilde{X}_3)\right)$	0.7366	0.4778	0.4655	0.4024
$\text{std}\left(P_\ell(\tilde{x}_3)\right)$	0.7295	0.4763	0.4664	0.4030

6.2. Testing for rotational symmetry

We now consider testing the null hypothesis that the data comes from a distribution which is rotational symmetric around a *given* axis, which we can assume without loss of generality, is the North pole (by rotating the specified axis to the North pole).

H_0 : $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is rotational symmetric around the North pole $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}$.

Under this hypothesis f has the form (34). In other words

$$\mathbf{E}Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = c_\ell \delta_{m=0} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}}.$$

Now, based on the observations $\mathbf{x}_1(\vartheta_1, \varphi_1)$, $\mathbf{x}_2(\vartheta_2, \varphi_2)$, \dots , $\mathbf{x}_n(\vartheta_n, \varphi_n)$, we have that \widehat{a}_ℓ^m is unbiased $\mathbf{E}\widehat{a}_\ell^m = a_\ell^m$, and

$$\mathbf{E}\widehat{a}_\ell^m = \delta_{m=0} c_\ell \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}}.$$

For the variance, appealing again to the Clebsch-Gordan series (33), $m_1 m_2 \neq 0$, we repeat $C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2) = \mathbf{E}Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2) &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq k \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1 + 1)(2\ell_2 + 1)}{4\pi(2k + 1)}} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{k,0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_2}^{k,0} \sqrt{\frac{2k+1}{4\pi}} c_k, \\ &= \frac{\delta_{m_1=m_2} (-1)^{m_1}}{4\pi} \sqrt{(2\ell_1 + 1)(2\ell_2 + 1)} \sum_{|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq k \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{k,0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_1}^{k,0} c_k, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

since $C_{\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, -m_2}^{k, 0} = 0$, unless $m_1 - m_2 = 0$, 8,7,1 (2) p. 259. then for $m_1 m_2 \neq 0$

$$\text{Cov} \left(Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j), Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) \right) = \delta_{m_1=m_2} C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_1).$$

Now we introduce the vector $\widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n)$ of estimated coefficients, $\widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n) = [\widehat{\underline{a}}^1(n), \widehat{\underline{a}}^2(n), \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}^L(n)]^\top$, where $\widehat{\underline{a}}^m(n) = [\widehat{a}_m^m, \widehat{a}_{m+1}^m, \dots, \widehat{a}_L^m]^\top$ with dimension $L - m + 1$, are the coefficients with order m . $\widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n)$ is with dimension $L(L+1)/2$, it is complex valued. We have $\mathbb{E}\widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n) = 0$, and the covariance matrix of $\widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n)$, denote it $\mathcal{C}_{B,L} = \text{Cov}(\widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n), \widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n))$ is block diagonal with blocks $\text{Cov}(\widehat{\underline{a}}^m(n), \widehat{\underline{a}}^m(n))$. The entries of $\text{Cov}(\widehat{\underline{a}}^m(n), \widehat{\underline{a}}^m(n))$ are given in terms of $C(\ell_1, m; \ell_2, m)$.

Lemma 32. *If $\tilde{\underline{X}}$ is rotational symmetric then $2n\widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n)^* \mathcal{C}_{B,L}^{-1} \widehat{\underline{B}}_L(n)$ is $\chi_{L(L+1)}^2$ distributed with $L(L+1)$ degrees of freedom.*

In particular, we have for $m \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left| \widehat{a}_\ell^m \right|^2 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k,j=1}^n \mathbb{E} Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_k) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} C(\ell, m; \ell, m), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C(\ell, \ell; \ell, \ell) &= n \mathbb{E} \left| \widehat{a}_\ell^\ell \right|^2 = n \mathbb{E} Y_\ell^{\ell*}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) Y_\ell^\ell(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^\ell}{4\pi} (2\ell + 1) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \ell} C_{\ell, 0; \ell, 0}^{2k, 0} C_{\ell, \ell; \ell, -\ell}^{2k, 0} \end{aligned}$$

see 8.5.2 a (32), b. (36) p. 251. Hence \widehat{a}_ℓ^ℓ are uncorrelated and small, we reject the null hypothesis if

$$2n \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{\left| \widehat{a}_\ell^\ell \right|^2}{C(\ell, \ell; \ell)} \sim \chi_{2L}^2,$$

is sufficiently large. In this way we simplify the statistic at the cost of smaller degrees of freedom.

7. Other forms of symmetry

7.1. Axial or antipodal symmetry

Antipodal or axial symmetry refers to the density being the same at opposite ends of the diameter i.e. the density at the points $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(\vartheta, \varphi)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(\pi - \vartheta, \varphi + \pi)$ match (see [4]). Without loss of generality, we may assume that the normal is the North pole, because otherwise one can rotate the normal to the North pole.

Definition 33. *f is axially / antipodally symmetric, if $f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = f(-\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$ for all $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{S}_2$.*

For the ‘‘inversion’’ $\tilde{\underline{x}}(\vartheta, \varphi) \rightarrow -\tilde{\underline{x}}(\pi - \vartheta, \pi + \varphi)$, we have

$$Y_\ell^m(-\tilde{\underline{x}}) = (-1)^\ell Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}). \quad (41)$$

If $f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = f(-\tilde{\underline{x}})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\ &= f(-\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} (-1)^\ell a_\ell^m Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}), \end{aligned}$$

see (41), therefore $a_{2\ell+1}^m = -a_{2\ell+1}^m$, hence $a_{2\ell+1}^m = 0$, for all m and ℓ . We have the following

Lemma 34. *f is axially symmetric if and only if for all ℓ and m , $a_{2\ell+1}^m = 0$, in this case*

$$f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-2\ell}^{2\ell} a_{2\ell}^m Y_{2\ell}^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}).$$

In this case, the mean direction is undefined $\mathbf{E}\tilde{\underline{X}} = 0$.

Example 35 (Ex. 4, 5 contd.). *Both $|Y_\ell^m|^2$ (see (10)) and $Y_{\ell,m}^2$ (see (11)) are axially / antipodally symmetric.*

7.1.1. Testing for Axial symmetry

In this case as we have seen before, the mean direction $\mathbf{E}\tilde{\underline{X}} = 0$. For an observation vector $\tilde{\underline{x}}_1(\vartheta_1, \varphi_1), \tilde{\underline{x}}_2(\vartheta_2, \varphi_2), \dots, \tilde{\underline{x}}_n(\vartheta_n, \varphi_n)$, we have that \widehat{a}_ℓ^m is unbiased $\mathbf{E}\widehat{a}_\ell^m = a_\ell^m$, therefore

$$\mathbf{E}\widehat{a}_{2\ell}^m = a_{2\ell}^m, \quad \mathbf{E}\widehat{a}_{2\ell+1}^m = 0.$$

Hence all $\widehat{a}_{2\ell+1}^m$ are small. We can calculate the covariance matrix for estimators $\widehat{a}_{2\ell+1}^m$, consider first

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}Y_{2\ell_1+1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{X}})Y_{2\ell_2+1}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{X}})^* \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{2|\ell_1-\ell_2| \leq h \leq 2\ell_1+2\ell_2+2} \sqrt{\frac{(4\ell_1+3)(4\ell_2+3)}{4\pi(2h+1)}} C_{2\ell_1+1,0;2\ell_2+1,0}^{h,0} C_{2\ell_1+1,m_1;2\ell_2+1,-m_2}^{h,m_1-m_2} a_h^{m_1-m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

by (33). Since $2\ell_1 + 2\ell_2 + 2$ is even, so h must be even as well

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}Y_{2\ell_1+1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{X}})Y_{2\ell_2+1}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{X}})^* \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{|\ell_1-\ell_2| \leq h \leq \ell_1+\ell_2+1} \sqrt{\frac{(4\ell_1+3)(4\ell_2+3)}{4\pi(4h+3)}} C_{2\ell_1+1,0;2\ell_2+1,0}^{2h,0} C_{2\ell_1+1,m_1;2\ell_2+1,-m_2}^{2h,m_1-m_2} a_{2h}^{m_1-m_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n) = [\widehat{a}_1(n), \widehat{a}_3(n), \dots, \widehat{a}_{2L+1}(n)]^\top$, where $\widehat{a}_{2\ell+1}(n) = [a_{2\ell+1}^{-2\ell-1}, a_{2\ell+1}^{-2\ell}, \dots, a_{2\ell+1}^{2\ell+1}]$,

and the covariance matrix \mathcal{C} of $\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)$ is given in terms $\mathbf{E}Y_{2\ell_1+1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{X}})Y_{2\ell_2+1}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{X}})^*$.

Lemma 36. *If $\tilde{\underline{X}}$ is axially symmetric then $2n\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)^* \mathcal{C}^{-1} \widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)$ has a $\chi_{(L+2)L}^2$ distribution for large n .*

We reject this null hypothesis of axial symmetry if $2n\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)^* \mathcal{C}^{-1} \widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)$ is large.

7.2. Reflection with respect to equatorial plane

This kind of symmetry may be observed e.g. in crystallography and astrophysics.

Definition 37. f is symmetric with respect to equatorial plane, if for any $\tilde{\underline{x}}, \tilde{\underline{x}}' \in \mathbb{S}_2$, such that $\tilde{\underline{x}}(\vartheta, \varphi) \rightarrow \tilde{\underline{x}}'(\pi - \vartheta, \varphi)$, then $f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = f(\tilde{\underline{x}}')$.

Lemma 38. f is symmetric with respect to equatorial plane if and only if $a_{2\ell+1}^{2m} = 0$, and $a_{2\ell}^{2m+1} = 0$, for all ℓ , and m , in this case

$$f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_{2\ell}^{2m} Y_{2\ell}^{2m}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) + \sum_{m=-\ell-1}^{\ell} a_{2\ell+1}^{2m+1} Y_{2\ell+1}^{2m+1}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \right),$$

See Appendix Appendix .2.3 for a proof.

Remark 39. Mean direction $\tilde{\underline{\mu}}$ for a density which is symmetric with respect to equatorial plane is $\mathbf{E}\tilde{\underline{X}} = \sqrt{4\pi/3}(-a_{1,1}, a_{1,-1}, 0)^\top$, and belongs to the x, y plane.

7.2.1. Testing for symmetry with respect to the equatorial plane

In this case, since the mean direction is given by $\mathbf{E}\tilde{\underline{X}} = \sqrt{4\pi/3}(-a_{1,1}, a_{1,-1}, 0)^\top$, we can clearly reject the hypothesis by testing if $\hat{a}_1^0 = 0$. Since this is not a sufficient condition to claim this type of symmetry, we now consider the following more comprehensive procedure.

For an observation vector $\tilde{\underline{x}}_1(\vartheta_1, \varphi_1), \tilde{\underline{x}}_2(\vartheta_2, \varphi_2), \dots, \tilde{\underline{x}}_n(\vartheta_n, \varphi_n)$, we have $\widehat{a_\ell^m}$ is unbiased, therefore

$$\mathbf{E}\widehat{a_{2\ell+1}^{2m}} = 0, \mathbf{E}\widehat{a_{2\ell}^{2m+1}} = 0, \quad (42)$$

so that we expect all $\widehat{a_{2\ell+1}^{2m}}$ and $\widehat{a_{2\ell}^{2m+1}}$ to be small. Again we can calculate the covariance matrix. By (33) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{X}})Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{X}})^* \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{|\ell_1-\ell_2| \leq h \leq \ell_1+\ell_2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1+1)(2\ell_2+1)}{4\pi(2h+1)}} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{h,0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_2}^{h,m_1-m_2} a_h^{m_1-m_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if the parity of m_1 and m_2 is equal, then $m_2 - m_1$ is even, and if both ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 either odd or even then h should be even. If the parity of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 is equal and at the same time the parity of m_1 and m_2 is also equal, then under (42) we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2) &= \mathbf{E}Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{X}})Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{X}})^* \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{|\ell_1-\ell_2|/2 \leq h \leq (\ell_1+\ell_2)/2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1+1)(2\ell_2+1)}{4\pi(4h+1)}} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_2}^{2h,m_1-m_2} a_{2h}^{m_1-m_2} \\ &= \frac{\delta_{\ell_1=\ell_2} \delta_{m_1=m_2}}{4\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if the parity of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are different, then h should be odd and $a_h^{m_1-m_2} \neq 0$, if $m_2 - m_1$ is odd, i.e. the parity of m_1 and m_2 are also different, in which case

$$\begin{aligned} C(\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2) &= \mathbf{E}Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{X}})Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{X}})^* \\ &= (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{(|\ell_1-\ell_2|-1)/2 \leq h \leq (\ell_1+\ell_2-1)/2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1+1)(2\ell_2+1)}{4\pi(4h+3)}} C_{\ell_1,0;\ell_2,0}^{2h+1,0} C_{\ell_1,m_1;\ell_2,-m_2}^{2h+1,m_1-m_2} a_{2h+1}^{m_1-m_2} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\widehat{\underline{A}}_{L,1}(n) = [\widehat{\underline{a}}_1(n), \widehat{\underline{a}}_3(n), \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}_{2L+1}(n)]$, where $\widehat{\underline{a}}_{2\ell+1}(n) = \left[\widehat{a}_{2\ell+1}^{-2\ell}, \widehat{a}_{2\ell+1}^{-2\ell+2}, \dots, \widehat{a}_{2\ell+1}^{2\ell} \right]$, and similarly $\widehat{\underline{A}}_{L,2}(n) = [\widehat{\underline{a}}_2(n), \widehat{\underline{a}}_4(n), \dots, \widehat{\underline{a}}_{2L}(n)]$, where $\widehat{\underline{a}}_{2\ell}(n) = \left[\widehat{a}_{2\ell}^{-2\ell+1}, \widehat{a}_{2\ell}^{-2\ell+3}, \dots, \widehat{a}_{2\ell}^{2\ell-1} \right]$. Let $\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n) = \left[\widehat{\underline{A}}_{L,1}(n), \widehat{\underline{A}}_{L,2}(n) \right]^\top$ with dimension $(L+1)(2L+1)$. Notice that the $\mathcal{C} = \text{Var} \left(\widehat{\underline{A}}_{L,1}(n) \right)$ matrix is diagonal and we conclude

Lemma 40. *If $\widetilde{\underline{X}}$ has density f which is symmetric with respect to equatorial plane then $2n\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)^* \mathcal{C}^{-1} \widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)$ is $\chi_{(L+1)(2L+1)}^2$ distributed with $(L+1)(2L+1)$ degrees of freedom.*

We reject this hypothesis of symmetry around the equatorial plane if $2n\widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)^* \mathcal{C}^{-1} \widehat{\underline{A}}_L(n)$ is large enough.

7.3. Reflection with respect to meridial plane $\varphi = \varphi_0$ and $\varphi = \pi + \varphi_0$

Definition 41. *f is symmetric with respect to meridial plane $\varphi = \varphi_0$, and $\varphi = \pi + \varphi_0$, if for any $\widetilde{\underline{x}}, \widetilde{\underline{x}}' \in \mathbb{S}_2$, such that $\widetilde{\underline{x}}(\vartheta, \varphi) \rightarrow \widetilde{\underline{x}}'(\vartheta, 2\varphi_0 - \varphi)$, then $f(\widetilde{\underline{x}}) = f(\widetilde{\underline{x}}')$.*

If f is rotationally symmetric then it is symmetric with respect to meridial planes which contains the axis $\widetilde{\underline{x}}_0$ of symmetry.

Lemma 42. *f is symmetric with respect to meridial plane $\varphi = \varphi_0$, and $\varphi = \pi + \varphi_0$, if and only if $a_\ell^m = |a_\ell^m| e^{-im(\varphi_0 + \delta_{m>0}\pi)}$ for all ℓ , and m . In this case*

$$f(\widetilde{\underline{x}}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} |a_\ell^m| e^{-im(\varphi_0 + \delta_{m>0}\pi)} Y_\ell^m(\widetilde{\underline{x}}).$$

See Appendix Appendix .2.4 for the proof.

Remark 43. *If $\varphi_0 = \pi$, then all coefficients a_ℓ^m are real.*

Remark 44. *Mean direction $\widetilde{\underline{\mu}}$ for a density which is symmetric with respect to meridial plane $\varphi = \varphi_0$, and $\varphi = \pi + \varphi_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\widetilde{\underline{X}} &= \sqrt{2\pi/3} \left(a_1^1 - a_1^{-1}, -i(a_1^1 + a_1^{-1}), \sqrt{2}a_{1,0} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi/3} \left(|a_1^1| \left(e^{-i(\varphi_0+\pi)} - e^{i\varphi_0} \right), -i|a_1^1| \left(e^{-i(\varphi_0+\pi)} + e^{i\varphi_0} \right), \sqrt{2}a_{1,0} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi/3} \left(-2|a_1^1| \cos \varphi_0, 2|a_1^1| \sin \varphi_0, \sqrt{2}a_{1,0} \right), \end{aligned}$$

belongs to the meridial plane $\varphi = \varphi_0$, and $\varphi = \pi + \varphi_0$, which is very reasonable. Mean direction characterizes the plane, i.e. the angle φ_0 . If $\varphi_0 = \pi$, then $\mathbf{E}\widetilde{\underline{X}} = \sqrt{2\pi/3} (-2|a_1^1|, 0, \sqrt{2}a_{1,0})^\top$.

7.3.1. Testing reflection with respect to meridial plane $\varphi = \varphi_0$, and $\varphi = \pi + \varphi_0$

In this case the imaginary part of $\mathbf{E} e^{im(\varphi_0 + \delta_{m>0}\pi)} \widehat{a}_\ell^m = \rho_\ell^m$ is zero, i.e.

$$\text{Im} \mathbf{E} e^{im(\varphi_0 + \delta_{m>0}\pi)} \widehat{a}_\ell^m = 0.$$

Based on the sample $\widetilde{\underline{x}}_1(\vartheta_1, \varphi_1), \widetilde{\underline{x}}_2(\vartheta_2, \varphi_2), \dots, \widetilde{\underline{x}}_n(\vartheta_n, \varphi_n)$, we consider the statistics

$$2 \text{Im} e^{im(\varphi_0 + \pi)} \widehat{a}_\ell^m = (-1)^m e^{im\varphi_0} \widehat{a}_\ell^m + e^{-im\varphi_0} \widehat{a}_\ell^{-m},$$

and reject the hypothesis of symmetry with respect to meridial plane if these are significantly large.

8. Real Data Example – Sunspot Activity

The solar photospheric activity is a long-standing subject of observations and research in Astronomy. We consider the data on Sunspots which contains daily positions and areas of sunspots. This data can be found in the Debrecen Photoheliographic Data (DPD) sunspot catalogue (<http://fenyi.solarobs.csfk.mta.hu/DPD/>, [2], [12]), [11] .

Locations of a spot refer to the position of the centroid of the whole spot or that of the umbra if an umbra is identified within a spot. Locations are defined by their Heliographic latitude and Heliographic longitude. We use daily data labelled “sDPD” and consider four columns: column No. 8 with NOAA sunspot group number, column No 9 with spot numbers within the group, column No.14 with Heliographic latitude which is positive: North, negative: South, and finally column No.15 with Heliographic longitude. The daily data contains the same spot as many times as its lifetime in days. We transformed the data such that each location is included only once. This way the data set between 1976-2014 includes 187,223 positions, see Figure 1.

Figure 1: From left to right: Sunspot data, the histogram and the fitted density

The histogram of size 3072 (resolution number: 16) shows two girdles with equal distances from the equator, see Figure 1. From this figure, we might surmise that a generalization of the Dimroth-Watson Distribution of the following form, would provide a good fit.

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma, \alpha) \cong \frac{1}{2}e^{\gamma \cos^2(\vartheta - \alpha)} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\gamma \cos^2(\vartheta + \alpha)}, \quad (43)$$

where $\alpha \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $\gamma < 0$. Here α moves the girdle up and down and $|\gamma|$ is the parameter of concentration. The model (43) can be considered as a particular case of a more general mixture of Dimroth-Watson Distributions, viz.

$$f_W(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma, \alpha) \cong pe^{\gamma_1 \cos^2(\vartheta - \alpha_1)} + (1 - p)e^{\gamma_2 \cos^2(\vartheta + \alpha_2)},$$

where $p \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, \pi/2]$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 < 0$. The simulation of such a model is quite straightforward, starting with the simulation of two DW random variates with parameters γ_1, γ_2 , then shift them by α_1, α_2 respectively and finally mix them up by p and $1 - p$.

We used the histogram $H(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$, see [27], for estimation of the parameter α of the model (43). First we took the average of $H(\tilde{\mathbf{x}})$ by longitudes for each fixed colatitude, then the maximum value gives an estimate $\hat{\alpha}$, which comes out to be $\hat{\alpha} = 0.2527$.

Now, we estimate γ for a given α . The model (43) is rotationally symmetric with axis \tilde{N} therefore the series expansion of the density has the form

$$f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}; \gamma, \alpha) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_{2\ell}(\gamma, \alpha) \frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi} P_{2\ell}(\cos(\vartheta)).$$

We estimate the coefficients $c_{2\ell}(\gamma, \alpha)$ from the data for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, and applied the method of nonlinear least squares for fitting (43), obtaining $\hat{\gamma} = -39.0022$.

Figure 2: The yearly estimated shift α , $\hat{\alpha}(t)$ upper curve and $-\hat{\alpha}(t)$ lower curve, $t = 1976, 1977, \dots, 2014$

Remark 45. *It is known that the Sunspot activity has a period of around 11 years, while a more precise period can be obtained using Carrington rotation numbers, [11]. We estimated the shift α yearly between 1976-2014 years and plotted both $\hat{\alpha}$ and $-\hat{\alpha}$. The period of roughly 11 years shows up in the "Butterfly" Figure 2, which refers to the movement of girds by the years.*

Acknowledgement 46. *This work was supported in part by the project EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00015*

Appendix .1. Legendre polynomials and Spherical Harmonics

Appendix .1.1. Legendre polynomials

Here we give a brief description and some properties of **Standardized Legendre polynomials** (see e.g. [21], 2.17.5.1 for further details)

$$P_\ell(x) = \frac{1}{2^\ell \ell!} \frac{d^\ell (x^2 - 1)^\ell}{dx^\ell}, \quad x \in [-1, 1], \quad (.1)$$

$P_0(x) = 1$, $P_\ell(1) = 1$, $P_1(x) = x$, $P_2(x) = (3x^2 - 1)/2$, ([7], 2, p.180) they are orthogonal and

$$\int_{-1}^1 [P_\ell(x)]^2 dx = \frac{2}{2\ell + 1},$$

hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} [P_\ell(\cos \vartheta)]^2 \Omega(d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{4\pi}{2\ell + 1}. \quad (.2)$$

Appendix .1.2. More on Spherical Harmonics– the Funk-Hecke formula and the Clebsch-Gordan series

At the *North pole* \tilde{N} when $\vartheta = 0$ and φ arbitrary, all spherical harmonics have the special value

$$Y_\ell^m(\tilde{N}) = \delta_{m=0} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi}}. \quad (.3)$$

Also from Equation (1), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\ell^{m*}(\vartheta, \varphi) &= Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, -\varphi) \\ &= (-1)^m Y_\ell^{-m}(\vartheta, \varphi), \\ Y_\ell^{-m}(\vartheta, \varphi) &= (-1)^m e^{-i2m\varphi} Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, \varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (.4)$$

For any two points $\tilde{\underline{x}}_1$ and $\tilde{\underline{x}}_2$ from \mathbb{S}_2 , we have the **addition formula** (see [28])

$$\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\underline{x}}_1) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}_2) = \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\cos \gamma), \quad (.5)$$

where $\cos \gamma = \tilde{\underline{x}}_1 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}_2$. In particular

$$\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_\ell^{m*}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}. \quad (.6)$$

Suppose G is continuous on $[-1, 1]$, then $G(\cos \gamma) = G(\tilde{\underline{x}}_1 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}})$ is defined on \mathbb{S}_2 , where $\tilde{\underline{x}}_1$ is fixed and $\tilde{\underline{x}}_1 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}} = \cos \gamma$. The series expansion in terms of Legendre polynomials,

$$G(\cos \gamma) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\ell+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 G(x) P_\ell(x) dx P_\ell(\cos \gamma),$$

can be derived with the help of **Funk-Hecke formula** which says ([20], p. 20)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} G(\tilde{\underline{x}}_1 \cdot \tilde{\underline{x}}) Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \Omega(d\tilde{\underline{x}}) &= G_\ell Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}_1), \\ G_\ell &= 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 G(x) P_\ell(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (.7)$$

An important result that has been frequently used in this paper and which provides the coefficients of the product of two spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{x}})^*$ in terms of linear combination of other spherical harmonics, is the so-called **Clebsch-Gordan series** (see [28] p.144), and is given by

$$Y_{\ell_1}^{m_1}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) Y_{\ell_2}^{m_2}(\tilde{\underline{x}})^* = (-1)^{m_2} \sum_{|\ell_1 - \ell_2| \leq k \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell_1 + 1)(2\ell_2 + 1)}{4\pi(2k + 1)}} C_{\ell_1, 0; \ell_2, 0}^{k, 0} C_{\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, -m_2}^{k, m_1 - m_2} Y_k^{m_1 - m_2}(\tilde{\underline{x}}). \quad (.8)$$

These quantities $C_{\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell, m}$ are called the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and can be evaluated sometimes using available MATLAB codes. For some applications involving these for 3D spectra on sphere, see [19], [26],.

Some basic properties as follows

$$\sum_{m_1:2=-\ell_1:2}^{\ell_1:2} C_{\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell, m} C_{\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2}^{\ell^*, m^*} = \delta_{\ell=\ell^*} \delta_{m=m^*},$$

[28] p.250. $C_{\ell_1, 0; \ell_2, 0}^{0, 0} = \delta_{\ell_1=\ell_2} (-1)^{\ell_1} / \sqrt{2\ell_1 + 1}$, and 8.7.2.4 p.259

$$C_{\ell_1, m_1; \ell_2, m_2}^{0, 0} = \delta_{m_1=-m_2} \delta_{\ell_1=\ell_2} \frac{(-1)^{\ell_1 - m_1}}{\sqrt{2\ell_1 + 1}}. \quad (.9)$$

[28] 8.5.1 (a) p.248.

Wigner D-matrices $D_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(g)$, see [28], p. 79 for details. We introduce the notation $D^{(\ell)} = [D_{m,k}^{(\ell)}]$, for fixed rotation g . Thus $D^{(\ell)}$ denotes a unitary matrix of order $2\ell + 1$, and it follows $D^{(\ell)} [D^{(\ell)}]^{-1} = D^{(\ell)} D^{(\ell)*}$, $\det D^{(\ell)} = 1$ (unimodular). We shall use the integral

$$\int_{SO(3)} D_{m,k}^{(\ell)}(g) dg = \delta_{\ell,0} \delta_{m,0} \delta_{k,0}, \quad (.10)$$

where $dg = \sin \vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi d\gamma / 8\pi^2$ is the Haar measure (see [24] I.4.14).

Appendix .2. Some proofs

Appendix .2.1. Example 9

Proof. The Funk-Hecke formula (see (.7)) gives us

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_2} f(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{\mu}; \kappa) Y_\ell^{m*}(\underline{x}) \Omega(d\underline{x}) = c_\ell Y_\ell^{m*}(\underline{\mu}),$$

where

$$c_\ell = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 f(y; \kappa) P_\ell(y) dy.$$

Now

$$\int_{-1}^1 \exp(\kappa y) P_\ell(y) dy = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}} I_{\ell+1/2}(\kappa),$$

hence $c_\ell = I_{\ell+1/2}(\kappa) / I_{1/2}(\kappa)$. Plugging in $c_\ell Y_\ell^{m*}$ into the series expansion (6) for f , we have

$$f(\underline{x}; \underline{\mu}, \kappa) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} c_\ell Y_\ell^{m*}(\underline{\mu}) Y_\ell^m(\underline{x}).$$

Finally applying the addition formula for the spherical harmonics (see (.5)) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}; \underline{\mu}, \kappa) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{\mu}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \frac{I_{\ell+1/2}(\kappa)}{I_{1/2}(\kappa)} P_\ell(\cos \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

and using (3) gives us the desired result (17). ■

Appendix .2.2. Example 5

Proof. Note that for $m > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 2Y_{\ell,m}^2 &= (Y_\ell^m + (-1)^m Y_\ell^{-m})^2 \\ &= (Y_\ell^m)^2 + (Y_\ell^{-m})^2 + 2|Y_\ell^m|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and by Clebsch-Gordan series (.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_\ell^m)^2 &= Y_\ell^m ((-1)^m Y_\ell^{-m})^* \\ &= \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{h=m}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,m}^{2h,2m} Y_{2h}^{2m}, \end{aligned} \quad (.11)$$

see [28], 8.5, (h), p.250. Similarly $(Y_\ell^{-m})^2 = ((Y_\ell^m)^2)^*$

$$(Y_\ell^{-m})^2 = \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{h=m}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,m}^{2h,2m} Y_{2h}^{-2m}, \quad (.12)$$

which follows from $(Y_\ell^m)^2$. Therefore using (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\ell,m}^2 &= \frac{2\ell+1}{2\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{h=m}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,m}^{2h,2m} (Y_{2h}^{2m} + Y_{2h}^{-2m}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^m \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4h+1}} C_{\ell,0;\ell,0}^{2h,0} C_{\ell,m;\ell,-m}^{2h,0} Y_{2h}^0, \end{aligned}$$

and the required representation (11) follows. ■

Appendix .2.3. Lemma 38

Proof. Consider the transformation $\tilde{\underline{x}}(\vartheta, \varphi) \rightarrow \tilde{\underline{x}}'(\pi - \vartheta, \varphi)$, we have

$$Y_\ell^m(\pi - \vartheta, \varphi) = (-1)^{\ell+m} Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, \varphi).$$

Now using symmetry, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\ &= f(\tilde{\underline{x}}') \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell+m} a_\ell^m Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $a_\ell^m = (-1)^{\ell+m} a_\ell^m$, $a_{2\ell+1}^{2m} = 0$, and $a_{2\ell}^{2m+1} = 0$, we get

$$f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_{2\ell}^{2m} Y_{2\ell}^{2m}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) + \sum_{m=-\ell-1}^{\ell} a_{2\ell+1}^{2m+1} Y_{2\ell+1}^{2m+1}(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \right).$$

■

Appendix .2.4. Lemma 42

Proof. Consider the transformation $\tilde{\underline{x}}(\vartheta, \varphi) \rightarrow \tilde{\underline{x}}'(\vartheta, 2\varphi_0 - \varphi)$, we have

$$Y_\ell^m(\vartheta, 2\varphi_0 - \varphi) = (-1)^m e^{i2m\varphi_0} Y_\ell^{-m}(\vartheta, \varphi).$$

Now under the assumption of the lemma

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tilde{\underline{x}}) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_\ell^m Y_\ell^m(\tilde{\underline{x}}) \\ &= f(\tilde{\underline{x}}') \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} (-1)^m e^{i2m\varphi_0} a_\ell^m Y_\ell^{-m}(\tilde{\underline{x}}). \end{aligned}$$

From the polar form $a_\ell^m = \rho_\ell^m e^{-i\varphi_\ell^m}$, and from the equations $a_\ell^{m*} = (-1)^m a_\ell^{-m}$, and $a_\ell^{-m} = (-1)^m e^{i2m\varphi_0} a_\ell^m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi_\ell^m &= \varphi_\ell^{-m} + m\pi, \\ \varphi_\ell^{-m} &= \varphi_\ell^m + 2m\varphi_0 + m\pi. \end{aligned}$$

The solution of these equations is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\ell^{-m} &= m\varphi_0, \\ \varphi_\ell^m &= -m(\varphi_0 + \pi)\end{aligned}$$

which can be combined into the result

$$\varphi_\ell^m = -m(\varphi_0 + \delta_{m>0}\pi),$$

where $\delta_{m>0}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. Hence $a_\ell^m = \rho_\ell^m e^{-im(\varphi_0 + \delta_{m>0}\pi)}$. ■

References

- [1] M. ABRAMOWITZ AND I. A. STEGUN. “Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables”. Dover Publications Inc., New York (1992). Reprint of the 1972 edition.
- [2] T. BARANYI, L. GYÓRI, AND A. LUDMÁNY. On-line tools for solar data compiled at the debrecen observatory and their extensions with the greenwich sunspot data. *Solar Physics* **291**(9-10), 3081–3102 (2016).
- [3] R. BERAN. Exponential models for directional data. *The Annals of Statistics* pp. 1162–1178 (1979).
- [4] C. BINGHAM. An antipodally symmetric distribution on the sphere. *The Annals of Statistics* pp. 1201–1225 (1974).
- [5] D. S. CHAPMAN AND H. N. POLLACK. Global heat flow: A new look. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **28**(1), 23 – 32 (1975).
- [6] A. T. J. DE LAAT, R. J. VAN DER A, AND M. VAN WEELE. Tracing the second stage of ozone recovery in the antarctic ozone-hole with a” big data” approach to multivariate regressions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **15**(1), 79–97 (2015).
- [7] A. ERDÉLYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER, AND F. G. TRICOMI. “Higher transcendental functions. Vol. I”. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Melbourne, Fla. (1981). Based on notes left by Harry Bateman, With a preface by Mina Rees, With a foreword by E. C. Watson, Reprint of the 1953 original.
- [8] R. A. FISHER. Dispersion on a sphere. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **217**(1130), 295–305 (1953).
- [9] R. GATTO AND S. RAO JAMMALAMADAKA. The generalized von Mises distribution. *Statistical Methodology* **4**(3), 341–353 (2007).
- [10] M. G. GENTON, S. CASTRUCCIO, P. CRIPPA, S. DUTTA, R. HUSER, Y. SUN, AND S. VETTORI. Visuanimation in statistics. *Stat* **4**(1), 81–96 (2015). sta4.77.
- [11] N. GYENGE, T. BARANYI, AND A. LUDMÁNY. Variations of solar non-axisymmetric activity. *Cent. Eur. Astrophys. Bull. (arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.1739)* **1**(1), 1–16 (2014).
- [12] L. GYÓRI, A. LUDMÁNY, AND T. BARANYI. Comparative analysis of debrecen sunspot catalogues. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **465**(2), 1259–1273 (2016).

- [13] D. M. HEALY, H. HENDRIKS, AND P. T. KIM. Spherical deconvolution. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **67**(1), 1–22 (1998).
- [14] M. KAZHDAN, B. CHAZELLE, D. DOBKIN, T. FUNKHOUSER, AND S. RUSINKIEWICZ. A reflective symmetry descriptor for 3d models. *Algorithmica* **38**(1), 201–225 (2004).
- [15] J. T. KENT. The Fisher-Bingham distribution on the sphere. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* pp. 71–80 (1982).
- [16] S. KORMAN, R. LITMAN, S. AVIDAN, AND A. BRONSTEIN. Probably approximately symmetric: Fast rigid symmetry detection with global guarantees. *Computer Graphics Forum* **34**(1), 2–13 (2015).
- [17] V. M. MAKSIMOV. Necessary and sufficient statistics for the family of shifts of probability distributions on continuous bicomact groups. *Teoriya Veroyatnostei i ee Primeneniya* **12**(2), 307–321 (1967).
- [18] K. V. MARDIA AND P. E. JUPP. “Directional Statistics”, vol. 494. John Wiley & Sons (2009).
- [19] D. MARINUCCI AND G. PECCATI. Representations of $SO(3)$ and angular polyspectra. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **101**, 77–100 (2010).
- [20] C. MÜLLER. “Spherical harmonics”. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.17 (1966).
- [21] A. P. PRUDNIKOV, Y. A. BRYCHKOV, AND O. I. MARICHEV. “Integrals and Series. Vol. 2”. Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, second ed. (1988). Special functions, Translated from the Russian by N. M. Queen.
- [22] S. RAO JAMMALAMADAKA, S. G. MEINTANIS, AND T. VERDEBOUT. Omnibus goodness of fit tests for uniformity of circular data. Technical Report, UCSB (2017).
- [23] S. RAO JAMMALAMADAKA AND A. SENGUPTA. “Topics in Circular Statistics”, vol. 5. World Scientific (2001).
- [24] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS. “Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces”. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1971). Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32.
- [25] C. SUN AND J. SHERRAH. 3D symmetry detection using the extended Gaussian image. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* **19**(2), 164–168 (1997).
- [26] G. TERDIK. Angular spectra for non-Gaussian isotropic fields. *Braz. J. Probab. Stat.* **29**(4), 833–865 (2015).
- [27] G. TERDIK, S. RAO JAMMALAMADAKA, AND B. WAINWRIGHT. Spherical statistics and distributions in 3D – simulation and visualization. Technical Report, UCSB and UD (2017).
- [28] D. A. VARSHALOVICH, A. N. MOSKALEV, AND V. K. KHERSONSKII. “Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum”. World Scientific Press (1988).
- [29] G. S. WATSON. “Statistics on spheres.” No. 6 in University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in the Mathematical Sciences. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1983).

- [30] E. P. WIGNER. “Group theory, and its application to the quantum mechanics of atomic spectra”, vol. 5. Elsevier (2012).