arXiv:1710.00383v1 [math.GM] 1 Oct 2017

Reflection on Rainbow Neighbourhood Numbers

Johan Kok*, Sudev Naduvath†

Centre for Studies in Discrete Mathematics
Vidya Academy of Science & Technology
Thrissur - 680501, Kerala, India.
*kokkiek2@tshwane.gov.za
†sudevnk@gmail.com

Orville Buelban

Department of Mathematics
Ateneo de Manila University
Quezon City, Philippines.
gobet_15@yahoo.com

Abstract

A rainbow neighbourhood of a graph G with respect to a proper colouring $\mathcal{C}$ of G is the closed neighbourhood $N[v]$ of a vertex v in G such that $N[v]$ consists of vertices from all colour classes in G with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. The number of vertices in G which yield a rainbow neighbourhood of G is called its rainbow neighbourhood number. In this paper, we show that all results known so far about the rainbow neighbourhood number of a graph G implicitly refer to a minimum number of vertices which yield rainbow neighbourhoods in respect of the minimum proper colouring where the colours are allocated in accordance with the rainbow neighbourhood convention. Relaxing the aforesaid convention allows for determining a maximum rainbow neighbourhood number of a graph G. We also establish the fact that the minimum and maximum rainbow neighbourhood numbers are respectively, unique and therefore a constant for a given graph.

Keywords: rainbow neighbourhood, rainbow neighbourhood number.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 05C15, 05C38, 05C75, 05C85.

1 Introduction

For general notation and concepts in graphs and digraphs see [1, 2, 8]. Unless mentioned otherwise all graphs G are simple, connected and finite graphs.

A set of distinct colours $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_\ell\}$ is said to be a *proper vertex colouring* of a graph G, denoted $c : V(G) \mapsto \mathcal{C}$, is an assignment of colours to the vertices of G such that no two adjacent vertices have the same colour. The cardinality of a minimum proper colouring of G is called the *chromatic number* of G, denoted by $\chi(G)$. We call such a colouring a χ-*colouring* or a *chromatic colouring* of G.

When a vertex colouring is considered with colours of minimum subscripts, the colouring is called a *minimum parameter colouring*. Unless stated otherwise, we consider minimum parameter colour sets throughout this paper. The colour class of G with respect to a colour c_i is the set of all vertices of G having the colour c_i and the cardinality of this colour class is denoted by $\theta(c_i)$.

In this paper, while χ-colouring the vertices of a graph G, we follow the convention that we colour maximum possible number of vertices of G with c_1, then colour the maximum possible number of remaining uncoloured vertices with colour c_2, and proceeding like until the last colour $c_{\chi(G)}$ is also assigned to some vertices. This convention is called *rainbow neighbourhood convention* (see [3, 4]). Such colouring is called a χ^--colouring.

For the main part of this paper the notation remains as found in the literature [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Later we introduce an appropriate change in subsection 2.1.

Recall that the closed neighbourhood $N[v]$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ which contains at least one coloured vertex from each colour class of G with respect to the chromatic colouring, is called a *rainbow neighbourhood* of G. We say that vertex v yields a rainbow neighbourhood. The number of rainbow neighbourhoods in G (the number of vertices which yields rainbow neighbourhoods) is call the *rainbow neighbourhood number* of G, denoted by $r_\chi(G)$.

We recall the following important results on the rainbow neighbourhood number for certain graphs provided in [3].

Theorem 1.1. [3] *For any graph G of order n, we have $\chi(G) \leq r_\chi(G) \leq n$.*

Theorem 1.2. [3] *For any bipartite graph G of order n, $r_\chi(G) = n$.*

We observe that if it is possible to permit a chromatic colouring of any graph G of order n such that the star subgraph obtained from vertex v as center and its open neighbourhood $N(v)$ the pendant vertices, has at least one coloured vertex from each colour for all $v \in V(G)$ then $r_\chi(G) = n$. Certainly, to examine this property for any given graph is complex.

Lemma 1.3. [3] *For any graph G, the graph $G' = K_1 + G$ has $r_\chi(G') = 1 + r_\chi(G)$.*

2 Uniqueness of Rainbow Neighbourhood Number

Since a χ^--colouring does not necessarily ensure a unique colour allocation to the vertices, the question arises whether or not the rainbow neighbourhood number is unique (a constant) for any minimum proper colouring with colour allocation in accordance to the rainbow neighbourhood convention. The next theorem answers in the affirmative.

Theorem 2.1. *Any graph G with minimum proper colouring as per the rainbow neighbourhood convention has a unique minimum rainbow neighbourhood number $r_\chi(G)$.*

Proof. First, consider any minimum proper colouring say, $\mathcal{C} = \{\underbrace{c_x, c_y, c_w, \ldots, c_z}_{\chi(G)-entries}\}$ and assume the colours are ordered (or labeled) in some context. Now, according to the ordering the rainbow neighbourhood convention, beginning with c_x, then c_y, then $\cdots, c_z$, the colouring maximises the allocation of same colours and therefore minimises those vertices which can have closed neighbourhoods having at least one of each colour in respect of a χ-colouring. The aforesaid follows because, assume that at least one vertex's colour say, $c(v) = c_\ell$ can be interchanged with vertex u's colour, $c(u) = c_t$ to cause at least one or more yielding vertices, not necessarily distinct from v or u, not to yield a rainbow neighbourhood any more. However, neither vertex v nor vertex u yielded initially. Else, $N[v]$ had at least one of each colour and will now have c_t adjacent to itself. Similarly, c_ℓ will be adjacent to itself in $N[u]$. In both cases we have a contradiction to a proper colouring.

So, $c_\ell \notin c(N[u])$, $c_t \notin c(N[v])$. Hence, the interchange can result in additional vertices yielding rainbow neighbourhoods and, not in a reduction of the number of vertices yielding rainbow neighbourhoods. Similar reasoning for all pairs of vertices which resulted in pairwise colour exchange leads to the same conclusion. This settles the claim that $r_\chi(G)$ is a minimum.

Furthermore, without loss of generality a minimum parameter colouring set may be considered to complete the proof.

If we relax connectedness it follows that the null graph (edgeless graph) on $n \geq 1$ vertices denoted by, $\mathfrak{N}_n$ has, $\chi(\mathfrak{N}_n) = 1$ and $r_\chi(\mathfrak{N})n) = n$ which is unique and therefore, a constant over all χ-colourings. Immediate induction shows it is true $\forall\ n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Theorem 1.2, it follows that the same result holds for graphs G with $\chi(G) = 2$. Hence, the result holds for all graphs with $\chi(G) = 1$ or $\chi(G) = 2$. Assume that it holds for all graphs G with $3 \leq \chi(G) \leq k$. Consider any graph H with $\chi(H) = k + 1$. It is certain that at least one such graph exists for example, any $G + K_1$ for which $\chi(G) = k$.

Consider the set of vertices $\mathcal{C}_{k+1} = \{v_i \in V(H) : c(v_i) = c_{k+1}\}$. Consider the induced subgraph $H' = \langle V(H) - \mathcal{C}_{k+1}\rangle$. Clearly, all vertices $u_i \in V(H')$ which yielded rainbow neighbourhoods in H also yield rainbow neighbourhoods in H' with $\chi(H') = k$. Note that $r_\chi(H')$ is a unique number hence, is a constant. It may also differ from $r_\chi(H)$ in any way, that is, greater or less. It is possible that a vertex which did not yield a rainbow neighbourhood in H could possibly yield such in H'.

For any vertex $v_j \in \mathcal{C}_{k+1}$ construct $H'' = H' \diamond v_j$ such that all edges $v_j u_m \in E(H'')$ has $u_m \in V(H')$ and $v_j u_m \in V(H)$. Now $c(v_j) = c_{k+1}$ remains and either, v_j yields a rainbow neighbourhood in H'' together with those in H' or it does not. Consider H'' and by iteratively constructing $H''', H'''', \cdots, H^{''''\ldots'\ (|\mathcal{C}|+1)-times}$, $\forall\ v_i \in \mathcal{C}_{k+1}$ and with reasoning similar to that in the case of $H' \diamond v_j$, the result follows for all graphs H with $\chi(H) = k + 1$. Note that any vertex u_ℓ which yielded a rainbow neighbourhood in H' and not in H, cannot yield same in H following the iterative reconstruction of H. Therefore, the result holds for all graphs with $\chi(G) = n,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

2.1 Maximum rainbow neighbourhood number $r_\chi^+(G)$ permitted by a minimum proper colouring

If the allocation of colours is only in accordance with a minimum proper colouring then different numbers of vertices can yield rainbow neighbourhoods in a given graph.

Example: It is known that $r_\chi(C_n) = 3$, $n \geq 3$ if and only if n is odd. Consider the vertex labeling of a cycle to be consecutively and clockwise, $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n$. So for, the cycle C_7 with rainbow neighbourhood convention colouring, $c(v_1) = c_1, c(v_2) = c_2, c(v_3) = c_1, c(v_4) = c_2, c(v_5) = c_1, c(v_6) = c_2$ and $c(v_7) = c_3$ it follows that vertices v_1, v_6, v_7 yield rainbow neighbourhoods. By recolouring vertex v_4 to $c(v_4) = c_3$ a minimum proper colouring is permitted with vertices v_1, v_3, v_5, v_6, v_7 yielding rainbow neighbourhoods in C_7. It is easy to verify that this recolouring (not unique) provides a maximum number of rainbow neighbourhoods in C_7.

A review of results known to the authors shows that thus far, the minimum rainbow neighbourhood number is implicitly defined [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. It is proposed that henceforth the notation $r_\chi^-(G)$ replaces $r_\chi(G)$ and that $r_\chi(G)$ only refers to the number of rainbow neighbourhoods found for any given minimum proper colouring allocation. Therefore, $r_\chi^-(G) = \min\{r_\chi(G) : \text{over all permissible colour allocations}\}$ and $r_\chi^+(G) = \max\{r_\chi(G) : \text{over all permissible colour allocations}\}$. For any null graph as well as for any graph G with $\chi(G) = 2$ and for complete graphs, K_n it follows that $r^-(\mathfrak{N}_n) = r^+(\mathfrak{N}_n)$, $r^-(G) = r^+(G)$ and $r^-(K_n) = r^+(K_n)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Any graph G has a minimum proper colouring which permits a unique maximum (therefore, constant) rainbow neighbourhood number, $r_\chi^+(G)$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Proposition 2.3. *For cycle C_n, n is odd and $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$:*

(i) $r_\chi^+(C_{7+4\ell}) = 3 + 2(\ell + 1)$ *and,*

(ii) $r_\chi^+(C_{9+4\ell}) = 3 + 2(\ell + 1)$.

Proof. Consider the conventional vertex labeling of a cycle C_n to be consecutively and clockwise, $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n$. It can easily be verified that C_3, C_5 have $r_\chi^-(C_3) = r_\chi^+(C_3) = r_\chi^-(C_5) = r_\chi^+(C_5) = 3$. For C_5 let $c(v_1) = c_1, c(v_2) = c_2, c(v_3) = c_1, c(v_4) = c_2$ *and* $c(v_5) = c_3$. To obtain C_7 and without loss of generality, insert two new vertices v_1', v_2' clockwise into the edge v_1v_2. Colour the new vertices $c(v_1') = c_2, c(v_2') = c_3$. Clearly, a minimum proper colouring is permitted in doing such and it is easy to verify that, $r_\chi^+(C_7) = 5$ in that vertices v_1', v_2 yield additional rainbow neighbourhoods. By re-labeling the vertices of C_7 conventionally and repeating the exact same procedure to obtain C_9, thereafter C_{11}, thereafter $C_{13}, \cdots$, both parts (i) and (ii) follow through mathematical induction. □

Determining the parameter $r_\chi^+(G)$ seems to be complex and a seemingly insignificant derivative of a graph say G' can result in a significant variance between $r_\chi^+(G)$ and $r_\chi^+(G')$. The next proposition serves as an illustration of this observation. We recall that a sunlet graph S_n on $2n$, $n \geq 3$ is obtained by attaching a pendant vertex to each vertex of cycle C_n.

Proposition 2.4. *For sunlet S_n, n is odd and $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$:*

(i) $r_\chi^+(S_{7+4\ell}) = n$ *and*
(ii) $r_\chi^+(S_{9+4\ell}) = n$.

Proof. Colour the induced cycle C_n of the sunlet graph S_n similar to that found in the proof of Proposition 2.3. Clearly, with respect to the induced cycle, each vertex v_i on C_n has $c(N[v_i])_{v_i \in \langle V(C_n) \rangle}$ either $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ or $\{c_1, c_2\}$. It is trivially true that each corresponding pendant vertex can be coloured either c_1, or c_2 or c_3 to ensure that $c(N[v_i])_{v_i \in V(S_n)} = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$. Hence, the n cycle vertices each yields a rainbow neighbourhood. □

There are different definitions of a sun graph in the literature. The *empty-sun graph*, denoted by $S_n^{\odot} = C_n \bigodot K_1$, is the graph obtained by attaching an additional vertex u_i with edges $u_i v_i$ and $u_i v_{i+1}$ for each edge $v_i v_{i+1} in E(C_n)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and similarly vertex u_n to edge $v_n v_1$.

Proposition 2.5. *For an empty-sun graph $S_n^{\odot}$, $n \geq 3$, $r_\chi^+(S_n^{\odot}) = 2n$.*

Proof. For n is odd, the result is a direct consequence of the minimum proper colouring required in the proof of Proposition 2.4. For n is even, let $c(u_i) = c_3$, $\forall i$. Clearly, all vertices in $V(S_n^{\odot})$ yield a rainbow neighbourhood. □

3 Conclusion

Note that for many graphs, $r_\chi^-(G) = r_\chi^+(G)$. Despite this observation, it is of interest to characterise those graphs for which $r_\chi^-(G) \neq r_\chi^+(G)$. From Proposition 2.3, it follows that for certain families of graphs such as odd cycles, the value $r_\chi^+(C_n)$ can be infinitely large whilst $r_\chi^-(C_n) = 3$.

Problem 1. An efficient algorithm for the allocation of colours in accordance with a minimum proper colouring to obtain $r_\chi^+(G)$ is not known.

Recalling that the clique number $\omega(G)$ is the order of the largest maximal clique in G, the next corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 3.1. *Any graph G of order n has, $\omega(G) \leq r_\chi^-(G)$.*

Problem 2. For weakly perfect graphs (as well as for perfect graphs) it is known that $\omega(G) = \chi(G)$. If weakly perfect graphs for which $\chi(G) = r_\chi^-(G)$ can be characterised, the powerful result, $\omega(G) = r_\chi^-(G)$ will be concluded.

Problem 3. An efficient algorithm to find a minimum proper colouring in accordance with the rainbow neighbourhood convention has not been found yet.

The next lemma may assist in a new direction of research in respect of the relation between degree sequence of a graph G and, $r_\chi^-(G)$ and $r_\chi^+(G)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If a vertex $v \in V(G)$ yields a rainbow neighbourhood in G then $d_G(v) \geq \chi(G) - 1$.*

Proof. The proof is straight forward. □

Lemma 3.2 motivates the next probability corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *A vertex $v \in V(G)$ possibly yields a rainbow neighbourhood in G if and only if $d_G(v) \geq \chi(G) - 1$.*

These few problems indicate there exists a wide scope for further research.

References

[1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory*, Springer, New York, (2008).

[2] F. Harary, **Graph theory**, Narosa Publ., New Delhi, (2001).

[3] J. Kok, S. Naduvath and M.K. Jamil, Rainbow neighbourhood number of graphs, *preprint*, arXiv: 1703.01089 [math.GM]

[4] J. Kok and S. Naduvath, Rainbow neighbourhood equate number of graphs, *communicated*.

[5] J. Kok and S. Naduvath, An Essay on compônentă analysis of graphs, *preprint*, arXiv: 1705.02097 [math.GM].

[6] C. Susanth, S.J. Kalayathankal, N.K. Sudev and J. Kok, A note on the rainbow neighbourhood number of graphs, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett.*, to appear.

[7] S. Naduvath, C. Susanth, S.J. Kalayathankal and J. Kok, Some new results on the rainbow neighbourhood number of graphs, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett.*, to appear.

[8] D.B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, Prentice-Hall India., New Delhi, (2001).