

# Bivariate Exponentiated Generalized Linear Exponential Distribution with Applications in Reliability Analysis

Mohamed Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>, M. S. Eliwa<sup>2,\*</sup>, M. El- Morshedy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Statistics and Insurance,  
Faculty of Commerce, Damietta University, Damietta, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

mohamedibrahim1082@gmail.com  
mseliwa@mans.edu.eg  
mah\_elmorshedy@mans.edu.eg

## Abstract

The aim of this paper, is to define a bivariate exponentiated generalized linear exponential distribution based on Marshall-Olkin shock model. Statistical and reliability properties of this distribution are discussed. This includes quantiles, moments, stress-strength reliability, joint reliability function, joint reversed (hazard) rates functions and joint mean waiting time function. Moreover, the hazard rate, the availability and the mean residual lifetime functions for a parallel system, are established. One data set is analyzed, and it is observed that, the proposed distribution provides a better fit than Marshall-Olkin bivariate exponential, bivariate generalized exponential and bivariate generalized linear failure rate distributions. Simulation studies are presented to estimate both the relative absolute bias, and the relative mean square error for the distribution parameters based on complete data.

**Key words:** Joint probability density function, Joint reversed (hazard) rates functions, Joint mean waiting time function, Simulation studies.

## 1 Introduction

Sarhan et al. (2013) introduced exponentiated generalized linear exponential distribution (EGLED), which generalized a lot of probability distributions such as exponential (E), generalized exponential (GE), linear exponential (LE), generalized linear failure rate (GLFR), generalized linear exponential (GLE) distributions, among others. Furthermore, the EGLED provides more flexibility to analyze real data sets such as Leukemia data, drug data, among others.

---

\*Corresponding author: mseliwa@mans.edu.eg

In many scientific practical situations, multivariate lifetime data arise frequently, so it is important to consider different multivariate models that could be used to model such multivariate lifetime data. Such these models are interesting in several applications, such as reliability engineering, industrial engineering and computer systems. So, the aim of this paper is to introduce a bivariate exponentiated generalized linear exponential distribution (BEGLED) based on Marshall-Olkin shock model (1967), whose marginal distributions are EGLED. In the mentioned applications of the bivariate distribution, could be the lifetimes of two components, the magnitudes of stress and strength components and drought intensities. A lot of bivariate distributions based on Marshall-Olkin model are studied by many authors, see Sarhan and Balakrishnan (2007), Al- Khedhairi and El-Gohary (2008), Kundu and Gupta (2009), Sarhan et al. (2011), Kundu and Gupta (2013), Balakrishna and Shiji (2014), El-Gohary et al. (2016), Rasool and Akbar (2016) and El-Bassiouny et al. (2016).

The random variable  $X$  is said to have  $EGLED(a, b, \alpha, \theta)$  if its CDF is

$$F_X(x) = \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)}\right)^\theta; \quad x \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta(x) = ax + \frac{b}{2}x^2$ , the parameters  $a, b \geq 0$  such that  $a + b > 0$  and  $\alpha, \theta > 0$ .

The parameters  $a$  and  $b$  are scale parameters, while  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  are shape parameters.

## 2 The BEGLED and Its Marginal Functions

Assume  $U_i \sim EGLED(\alpha, a, b, \theta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  are three independent random variables. Define  $X_k = \max\{U_k, U_3\}$ ;  $k = 1, 2$ . So, the bivariate vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  has the BEGLED with parameters vector  $\Phi = (\alpha, a, b, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ . The joint CDF of  $(X_1, X_2)$  is

$$F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)}\right)^{\theta_1} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}\right)^{\theta_2} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(z)}\right)^{\theta_3}, \quad z = \min(x_1, x_2). \quad (2)$$

Also, we can get the joint PDF of  $(X_1, X_2)$  as follows

$$f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} f_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_1 < x_2 \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_2 < x_1 \\ f_3(x, x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where

$$f_1(x_1, x_2) = \varphi_2 [\eta(x_1)\eta(x_2)]^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\eta^\alpha(x_1)+\eta^\alpha(x_2))} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}\right)^{\theta_2-1} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)}\right)^{\theta_1+\theta_3-1},$$

$$f_2(x_1, x_2) = \varphi_1 [\eta(x_1)\eta(x_2)]^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\eta^\alpha(x_1)+\eta^\alpha(x_2))} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)}\right)^{\theta_1-1} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}\right)^{\theta_2+\theta_3-1},$$

and

$$f_3(x, x) = \alpha\theta_3(a + bx)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)}\right)^{\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3-1},$$

where

$$\varphi_i = \alpha^2 \theta_i (\theta_{3-i} + \theta_3) (a + bx_1) (a + bx_2) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2.$$

On the other hand, the marginal CDFs for the BEGLED can be represented as follows

$$F_{X_i}(x_i) = \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)}\right)^{\theta_i + \theta_3}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, we can get the marginal PDFs for the BEGLED as follows

$$f(x_i) = \alpha(\theta_i + \theta_3)(a + bx_i)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)}\right)^{\theta_i + \theta_3 - 1}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (5)$$

### 3 Statistical Properties

#### 3.1 The median of the BEGLED

Domma (2009) presented the median correlation coefficient  $M_{X_1, X_2}$  as a form

$$M_{X_1, X_2} = 4F_{X_1, X_2}(M_{X_1}, M_{X_2}) - 1, \quad (6)$$

where  $M_{X_1}$  and  $M_{X_2}$  denote the median of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively.

If  $X_1 \sim EGLED(\alpha, a, b, \theta_1 + \theta_3)$  and  $X_2 \sim EGLED(\alpha, a, b, \theta_2 + \theta_3)$  then

$$M_{X_i} = \frac{1}{b} \left( -a + \sqrt{a^2 + 2b \left[ -\ln \left( 1 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\left( \frac{1}{\theta_i + \theta_3} \right)} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (7)$$

So, the coefficient of median correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is

$$M_{X_1, X_2} = \begin{cases} 4 \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(M_{X_2})}\right)^{\theta_2} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(M_{X_1})}\right)^{\theta_1 + \theta_3} - 1 & \text{if } x_1 < x_2 \\ 4 \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(M_{X_1})}\right)^{\theta_1} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(M_{X_2})}\right)^{\theta_2 + \theta_3} - 1 & \text{if } x_1 > x_2. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) can be used to generate a bivariate data.

#### 3.2 The mathematical expectation

We can derive the marginal expectation ( $r$ th moment) of  $X_i$  when  $X_i \sim EGLED(\alpha, a, b, \theta_i + \theta_3)$  such that  $i = 1, 2$  as follows

$$E(X_i^r) = \int_0^\infty x_i^r f_{X_i}(x_i) dx_i, \quad (9)$$

by using Equation (5), Maclaurin expansion, binomial expansion and gamma function, we get

$$E(X_i^r) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{j,k}^{(l)} (a + b\theta) \Gamma(\Theta), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{j,k}^{(l)} &= \alpha(\theta_i + \theta_3) \frac{(-1)^j a^k U_j^{(l)}}{l!} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\alpha-k-1} \binom{\alpha-1}{k} \binom{\theta_i + \theta_3 - 1}{j}, \\ \Theta &= r + l + 2\alpha - k - 1,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$U_j^{(l)} = \frac{d^l}{dx_i^l} (\exp(-(j+1)\eta^\alpha(x_i) + x_i))|_{x_i=0}.$$

### 3.3 The conditional probability density functions

The conditional probability density function of  $X_i$  given  $X_j = x_j$ , ( $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$ ) is given by

$$f_{X_i|X_j}(x_i | x_j) = \begin{cases} f_{X_i|X_j}^{(1)}(x_i | x_j) & \text{if } x_i > x_j > 0 \\ f_{X_i|X_j}^{(2)}(x_i | x_j) & \text{if } x_j > x_i > 0 \\ f_{X_i|X_j}^{(3)}(x_i | x_j) & \text{if } x_i = x_j > 0, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}f_{X_i|X_j}^{(1)}(x_i | x_j) &= \alpha\theta_i(a + bx_i)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)}\right)^{\theta_i-1}, \\ f_{X_i|X_j}^{(2)}(x_i | x_j) &= \frac{\alpha\theta_j(\theta_i + \theta_3)(a + bx_i)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)}\right)^{\theta_i+\theta_3-1}}{(\theta_j + \theta_3)(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_j)})^{\theta_3}},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_{X_i|X_j}^{(3)}(x_i | x_j) = \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_j + \theta_3} \left[1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)}\right]^{\theta_i}.$$

Equation (11) can be getting by substituting from Equations (3) and (5) in the following relation

$$f_{X_i|X_j}(x_i | x_j) = \frac{f_{X_i, X_j}(x_i, x_j)}{f_{X_j}(x_j)}, \quad (i \neq j = 1, 2). \quad (12)$$

### 3.4 The distributions of $T = \max(X_1, X_2)$ and $S = \min(X_1, X_2)$

In the mentioned applications  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  could be exchange rates in two time periods. So, it is important to get the distributions of  $T$  and  $S$ . If the bivariate vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  has the BEGLED then

$$\begin{aligned}F_T(t) &= P(\max(X_1, X_2) \leq t) \\ &= P(\max(U_1, U_3) \leq t, \max(U_2, U_3) \leq t) \\ &= F_{EGLED}(\alpha, a, b, \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3).\end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Also, we can get the distribution of  $S$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}F_S(t) &= P(\min(X_1, X_2) \leq t) \\ &= P(X_1 < t) + P(X_2 < t) - P(X_1 < t, X_2 < t,) \\ &= F_{EGLED}(\alpha, a, b, \theta_1 + \theta_3) + F_{EGLED}(\alpha, a, b, \theta_2 + \theta_3) \\ &\quad - F_{EGLED}(\alpha, a, b, \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3).\end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

## 4 Reliability Properties

In this section, we present the stress-strength reliability, the joint reliability function, the joint reversed (hazard) functions and the joint of mean waiting time function. Also, we present the hazard rate, the availability and the mean residual lifetime functions for a parallel system with two components.

### 4.1 Stress-strength reliability

Let  $X_1$  is a random variable represents stress, and  $X_2$  is a random variable represents strength, and the random vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  has the BEGLED then, the reliability function  $R$  is

$$\begin{aligned} R &= P[X_1 < X_2] \\ &= P(U_1 < U_3 < U_2) + P(U_3 < U_1 < U_2) \\ &= \frac{\theta_2 + \theta_3}{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + 2\theta_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

### 4.2 The joint reliability function

Assume  $(X_1, X_2)$  be two dimensional random variable with CDF  $F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)$ , and the marginal functions are  $F_{X_1}(x_1)$  and  $F_{X_2}(x_2)$  then, the joint reliability function  $R_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)$  is

$$R_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = 1 - F_{X_1}(x_1) - F_{X_2}(x_2) + F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2). \quad (16)$$

Assume the random vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  has the BEGLED then, the joint reliability function of  $(X_1, X_2)$  is given by

$$R_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} R_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_1 < x_2 \\ R_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_2 < x_1 \\ R_3(x, x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(x_1, x_2) &= 1 - \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)}\right)^{\theta_1 + \theta_3} - \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}\right)^{\theta_2 + \theta_3} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}\right)^{\theta_2} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)}\right)^{\theta_1 + \theta_3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(x_1, x_2) &= 1 - \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)}\right)^{\theta_1 + \theta_3} - \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}\right)^{\theta_2 + \theta_3} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)}\right)^{\theta_1} \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}\right)^{\theta_2 + \theta_3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_3(x, x) &= 1 - \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)}\right)^{\theta_1 + \theta_3} - \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)}\right)^{\theta_2 + \theta_3} \\ &\quad + \left(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)}\right)^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3}. \end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 The joint reversed (hazard) rate functions

#### 4.3.1 The joint hazard rate function and its marginal functions

Assume  $(X_1, X_2)$  be two dimensional random variable with PDF  $f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)$ , and reliability function  $R_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)$ . Basu (1971) defined the bivariate hazard rate function as

$$h(x_1, x_2) = \frac{f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)}{R_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)}. \quad (18)$$

So, the bivariate hazard rate function for the random vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  which has the BEGLED is

$$h_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} h_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_1 < x_2 \\ h_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_2 < x_1 \\ h_3(x, x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\varphi_2 [\eta(x_1)\eta(x_2)]^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\eta^\alpha(x_1)+\eta^\alpha(x_2))} (\Psi(x_2))^{\theta_2-1} (\Psi(x_1))^{\theta_1+\theta_3-1}}{1 - (\Psi(x_1))^{\theta_1+\theta_3} - (\Psi(x_2))^{\theta_2+\theta_3} + (\Psi(x_2))^{\theta_2} (\Psi(x_1))^{\theta_1+\theta_3}},$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\varphi_1 [\eta(x_1)\eta(x_2)]^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\eta^\alpha(x_1)+\eta^\alpha(x_2))} (\Psi(x_1))^{\theta_1-1} (\Psi(x_2))^{\theta_2+\theta_3-1}}{1 - (\Psi(x_1))^{\theta_1+\theta_3} - (\Psi(x_2))^{\theta_2+\theta_3} + (\Psi(x_1))^{\theta_1} (\Psi(x_2))^{\theta_2+\theta_3}},$$

and

$$h_3(x, x) = \frac{\alpha\theta_3(a + bx)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)} (\Psi(x))^{\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3-1}}{1 - (\Psi(x))^{\theta_1+\theta_3} - (\Psi(x))^{\theta_2+\theta_3} + (\Psi(x))^{\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3}},$$

where  $\Psi(\cdot) = 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(\cdot)}$ .

Also, the marginal hazard rate functions  $h_i(x_i), i = 1, 2$  of the BEGLED are

$$h_i(x_i) = \frac{\alpha(\theta_i + \theta_3)(a + bx_i)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)} (\Psi(x_i))^{\theta_i+\theta_3-1}}{1 - (\Psi(x_i))^{\theta_i+\theta_3}}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (20)$$

#### 4.3.2 The joint reversed hazard rate function and its marginal functions

Assume  $(X_1, X_2)$  be two dimensional random variable with CDF  $F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)$ , the joint reversed hazard rate function is

$$r(x_1, x_2) = \frac{f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)}{F_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2)}. \quad (21)$$

So, the joint reversed hazard rate function for the random vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  which has the BEGLED is

$$r_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} r_1(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_1 < x_2 \\ r_2(x_1, x_2) & \text{if } 0 < x_2 < x_1 \\ r_3(x, x) & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = x, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where

$$r_1(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\varphi_2 [\eta(x_1)\eta(x_2)]^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\eta^\alpha(x_1)+\eta^\alpha(x_2))}}{(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}) (1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)})},$$

$$r_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\varphi_1 [\eta(x_1)\eta(x_2)]^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\eta^\alpha(x_1)+\eta^\alpha(x_2))}}{(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)}) (1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)})},$$

and

$$r_3(x, x) = \frac{\alpha\theta_3(a + bx)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)}}{(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)})}.$$

Also, the marginal reversed hazard rate functions  $r_i(x_i), i = 1, 2$  to the BEGLED are

$$r_i(x_i) = \frac{\alpha(\theta_i + \theta_3)(a + bx_i)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)}}{(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)})}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (23)$$

#### 4.4 The joint mean waiting time and its marginal functions

The waiting time is closely related to important random variable reversed hazard rate function, which the failure occurs in the interval  $[0, t]$ . The observations of waiting times can be used for prediction the distribution function. So, one of the most important applications of the waiting time is to describe different maintenance strategies to any system. The joint mean waiting time function  $M_w(t_1, t_2)$  is defined as follows

$$M_w(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{F(t_1, t_2)} \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} F(x_1, x_2) dx_2 dx_1. \quad (24)$$

Assume the random vector  $(X_1, X_2)$  has the BEGLED. Using Maclaurin and binomial expansions then, the joint mean waiting time function  $M_w(t_1, t_2)$  is

$$M_w(t_1, t_2) = \begin{cases} M_{w_1}(t_1, t_2) & \text{if } t_1 > t_2 > 0 \\ M_{w_2}(t_1, t_2) & \text{if } 0 < t_1 < t_2 \\ M_{w_3}(t, t) & \text{if } t_1 = t_2 = t, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where

$$M_{w_i}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{F(t_1, t_2)} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_j^{(k)} \psi_j^{(k)}}{((k+1)!)^2} \binom{\theta_i}{j} \binom{\theta_{3-i} + \theta_3}{j} (t_1 t_2)^{k+1}; \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$M_{w_3}(t, t) = \frac{1}{F(t, t)} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j Q_j^{(k)}}{(k+1)!} \binom{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3}{j} t^{k+1},$$

and

$$g_j^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{dx_1^k} (e^{-j\eta^\alpha(x_1)})|_{x_1=0}, \quad \psi_j^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{dx_2^k} (e^{-j\eta^\alpha(x_2)})|_{x_2=0}, \quad Q_j^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{dx^k} (e^{-j\eta^\alpha(x)})|_{x=0}.$$

Also, the marginal mean waiting time functions  $m_{w_i}(t)$  for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{w_i}(t) &= \frac{1}{F_{X_i}(t)} \int_0^t F_{X_i}(x_i) dx_i \\ &= \frac{1}{F_{X_i}(t)} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j g_{*j}^{(k)}}{(k+1)!} \binom{\theta_i + \theta_3}{j} t^{k+1}; \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where

$$g_{*j}^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{dx_i^k} (e^{-j\eta^\alpha(x_i)})|_{x_i=0}.$$

#### 4.5 The hazard rate, the availability and the mean residual lifetime functions for a parallel system

Cox (1972) defined the joint hazard rate function as a vector, which is useful to calculate the total life span of a two component parallel system ( $2 - out - of - 2 : F$ ) as follows

$$h(x^*) = (h_X(x), h_{12}(x_1|x_2), h_{21}(x_2|x_1)), \quad (27)$$

where the first element  $h(x)$  in the vector  $h(x^*)$ , gives the hazard function of the system using the information that both the component has survived beyond  $x$ , where  $X = \min(X_1, X_2)$ . The second element  $h_{12}(x_1|x_2)$ , gives the hazard function span of the first component given that it has survived to an age  $x_1$ , and the other has failed at  $x_2$ . Similar argument holds for the third element  $h_{21}(x_2|x_1)$ .

If  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a BEGLE random vector, then the joint hazard rate function  $h(x^*)$  is

$$h_X(x) = \frac{\alpha(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)(a + bx)\eta^{\alpha-1}(x)e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)}(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)})^{-1}}{(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x)})^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1} - 1}, \quad (28)$$

$$h_{12}(x_1|x_2) = \frac{f_{X_1}(x_1)(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)})^{\theta_3}}{1 - (1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_1)})^{\theta_1}} \quad (29)$$

and

$$h_{21}(x_2|x_1) = \frac{f_{X_2}(x_2)(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)})^{\theta_3}}{1 - (1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_2)})^{\theta_2}}. \quad (30)$$

Also, the joint availability function can be defined as a vector, which is useful to calculate the expected lifetime of a parallel system with two component as follows

$$V(x^*) = (v_X(x), v_{12}(x_1|x_2), v_{21}(x_2|x_1)), \quad (31)$$

where the first element  $v(x)$  in the vector  $V(x^*)$ , gives the expected lifetime of the system using the information that both the component has survived beyond  $x$ , where  $X = \min(X_1, X_2)$ . The second element  $v_{12}(x_1|x_2)$ , gives the expected lifetime span of the first component given that it has survived to an age  $x_1$ , and the other has failed at  $x_2$ . Similar argument holds for the third element  $v_{21}(x_2|x_1)$ .

If  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a BEGLE random vector, then the joint availability function  $V(x^*)$  is

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{A} \int_x^\infty y f_X(y) dy; \quad A = \int_x^\infty f_X(y) dy, \quad (32)$$

using Maclaurin expansion, binomial expansion and upper incomplete gamma function, we get

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{1 - (\Psi(x))^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \vartheta_{i,j}^{(k)} (a\Gamma(\alpha^*, x) + b(\Gamma(\alpha^* + 1, x))). \quad (33)$$



Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{12}(x_1|x_2) &= \frac{1}{B} \int_{x_1}^{\infty} y f(y, x_2) dy; B = \int_{x_1}^{\infty} f(y, x_2) dy, \quad x_1 > x_2, \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - (\Psi(x_1))^{\theta_1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i,k=0}^{\infty} \xi_{i,j}^{(k)} (a\Gamma(\alpha^*, x_1) + b(\Gamma(\alpha^* + 1, x_1))), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{21}(x_2|x_1) &= \frac{1}{C} \int_{x_2}^{\infty} y f(x_1, y) dy; C = \int_{x_2}^{\infty} f(x_1, y) dy, \quad x_1 < x_2, \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - (\Psi(x_2))^{\theta_2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i,k=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{i,j}^{(k)} (a\Gamma(\alpha^*, x_2) + b(\Gamma(\alpha^* + 1, x_2))), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{i,j}^{(k)} &= \alpha(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3) \frac{(-1)^i a^{\alpha-j-1} Q_{*i}^{(k)}}{k!} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^j \binom{\alpha-1}{j} \binom{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1}{i}, \\ \xi_{i,j}^{(k)} &= \alpha\theta_1 \frac{(-1)^i a^{\alpha-j-1} Q_{*i}^{(k)}}{k!} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^j \binom{\alpha-1}{j} \binom{\theta_1 - 1}{i}, \\ \Omega_{i,j}^{(k)} &= \alpha\theta_2 \frac{(-1)^i a^{\alpha-j-1} Q_{*i}^{(k)}}{k!} \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^j \binom{\alpha-1}{j} \binom{\theta_2 - 1}{i}, \\ \alpha^* &= \alpha + j + k + 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_{*i}^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{dy^k} (\exp(-(1+i)\eta^\alpha(y) + y))|_{y=0}.$$

On the other hand, Asha and Jagathnath (2008) defined the joint mean residual lifetime  $m(x^*)$ , which is useful to compute the mean residual lifetime (MRL) to two component in a parallel system, as follows

$$m(x^*) = (m_X(x), m_{12}(x_1|x_2), m_{21}(x_2|x_1)), \quad (36)$$

where the first element  $m(x)$  in the vector  $m(x^*)$ , gives the MRL of the system using the information that both the component has survived beyond  $x$ , where  $X = \min(X_1, X_2)$ . The second element  $m_{12}(x_1|x_2)$ , gives the MRL span of the first component given that it has survived to an age  $x_1$ , and the other has failed at  $x_2$ . Similar argument holds for the third element  $m_{21}(x_2|x_1)$ . The joint MRL function related to the joint vitality function by the relationships

$$m_X(x) = v_X(x) - x, \quad x > 0. \quad (37)$$

$$m_{12}(x_1|x_2) = v_{12}(x_1|x_2) - x_1, \quad x_1 > x_2. \quad (38)$$

$$m_{21}(x_2|x_1) = v_{21}(x_2|x_1) - x_2, \quad x_1 < x_2. \quad (39)$$

So, If  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a BEGLE random vector, then it is easy to get the vector  $m(x^*)$ .

## 5 Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

In this section, we want to estimate the unknown parameters of the BEGLED. We will use the maximum likelihood method. Suppose that  $(x_{11}, x_{21}), (x_{12}, x_{22}), \dots, (x_{1n}, x_{2n})$  is a sample of size  $n$ , from the BEGLED. We use the following notation  $I_1 = \{x_{1i} < x_{2i}\}$ ,  $I_2 = \{x_{1i} > x_{2i}\}$ ,  $I_3 = \{x_{1i} = x_{2i} = x_i\}$ ,  $I = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3$ ,  $|I_1| = n_1$ ,  $|I_2| = n_2$ ,  $|I_3| = n_3$ , and  $|I| = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n$ . Based on the observations, the likelihood function  $l(\Phi)$  of this sample is

$$l(\Phi) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} f_1(x_{1i}, x_{2i}) \prod_{i=1}^{n_2} f_2(x_{1i}, x_{2i}) \prod_{i=1}^{n_3} f_3(x_i, x_i). \quad (40)$$

Substituting from Equation (3) into Equation (40), the log-likelihood function  $L(\Phi)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\Phi) &= n_1 \ln(\alpha^2 \theta_2 (\theta_1 + \theta_3)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln(a + bx_{1i}) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln(\eta(x_{1i})) \\ &+ (\theta_1 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \eta^\alpha(x_{1i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln(a + bx_{2i}) \\ &+ (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln(\eta(x_{2i})) + (\theta_2 - 1) \ln(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \eta^\alpha(x_{2i}) \\ &+ n_2 \ln(\alpha^2 \theta_1 (\theta_2 + \theta_3)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(a + bx_{1i}) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(\eta(x_{1i})) \\ &+ (\theta_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \eta^\alpha(x_{1i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(a + bx_{2i}) \\ &+ (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(\eta(x_{2i})) + (\theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})}) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \eta^\alpha(x_{2i}) + n_3 \ln \alpha \theta_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \ln(a + bx_i) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \ln(\eta(x_i)) \\ &+ (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \ln(1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \eta^\alpha(x_i). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The first partial derivatives of Equation (41) with respect to  $\alpha, a, b, \theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$  are

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} &= \frac{2n_1}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln(\eta(x_{1i})) + (\theta_1 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i}) \ln(\eta(x_{1i}))}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} - 1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \eta^\alpha(x_{1i}) \ln(\eta(x_{1i})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln(\eta(x_{2i})) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \eta^\alpha(x_{2i}) \ln(\eta(x_{2i})) \\
&\quad + (\theta_2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i}) \ln(\eta(x_{2i}))}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} - 1} + \frac{2n_2}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(\eta(x_{1i})) \\
&\quad + (\theta_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i}) \ln(\eta(x_{1i}))}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} - 1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \eta^\alpha(x_{1i}) \ln(\eta(x_{1i})) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln(\eta(x_{1i})) + (\theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i}) \ln(\eta(x_{2i}))}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} - 1} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \eta^\alpha(x_{2i}) \ln(\eta(x_{2i})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \ln(\eta(x_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \eta^\alpha(x_i) \ln(\eta(x_i)) \\
&\quad + \frac{n_3}{\alpha} + (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{\eta^\alpha(x_i) \ln(\eta(x_i))}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_i)} - 1}, \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial a} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{1}{a + bx_{1i}} + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{x_{1i}}{\eta(x_{1i})} + (\theta_1 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\alpha x_{1i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} - 1} \\
&\quad - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_{1i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{1}{a + bx_{2i}} + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{x_{2i}}{\eta(x_{2i})} \\
&\quad + (\theta_2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\alpha x_{2i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} - 1} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_{2i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{a + bx_{1i}} \\
&\quad + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{x_{1i}}{\eta(x_{1i})} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_{1i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i}) + (\theta_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{\alpha x_{1i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} - 1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{a + bx_{2i}} + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{x_{2i}}{\eta(x_{2i})} + (\theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{\alpha x_{2i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} - 1} \\
&\quad - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_{2i} \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{1}{a + bx_i} + (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{\alpha x_i \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i)}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_i)} - 1} \\
&\quad + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{x_i}{\eta(x_i)} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} x_i \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i), \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = & \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{x_{1i}}{a + bx_{1i}} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{(x_{1i})^2}{\eta(x_{1i})} + \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_3 - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\alpha(x_{1i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} - 1} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{x_{2i}}{a + bx_{2i}} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (x_{1i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i}) + \frac{\theta_2 - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\alpha(x_{2i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} - 1} \\
& + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{(x_{2i})^2}{\eta(x_{2i})} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (x_{2i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{x_{1i}}{a + bx_{1i}} \\
& + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{(x_{1i})^2}{\eta(x_{1i})} + \frac{\theta_1 - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{\alpha(x_{1i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} - 1} \\
& - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (x_{1i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{1i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{x_{2i}}{a + bx_{2i}} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{(x_{2i})^2}{\eta(x_{2i})} \\
& + \frac{\theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{\alpha(x_{2i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i})}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} - 1} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (x_{2i})^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_{2i}) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{x_i}{a + bx_i} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{(x_i)^2}{\eta(x_i)} + \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - 1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{\alpha(x_i)^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i)}{e^{\eta^\alpha(x_i)} - 1} \\
& - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} (x_i)^2 \eta^{\alpha-1}(x_i), \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_1} = & \frac{n_1}{\theta_1 + \theta_3} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} \right) + \frac{n_2}{\theta_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)} \right), \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_2} = & \frac{n_1}{\theta_2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} \right) + \frac{n_2}{\theta_2 + \theta_3} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} \right) \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)} \right), \tag{46}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_3} = & \frac{n_1}{\theta_1 + \theta_3} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{1i})} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_{2i})} \right) + \frac{n_3}{\theta_3} \\
& + \frac{n_2}{\theta_2 + \theta_3} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\eta^\alpha(x_i)} \right). \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

By Equating the Equations (42-47) by zeros, we get the non-linear normal Equations. So, the solution has to be obtained numerically.

## 6 Data Analysis

In this section, we have analyzed one bivariate real data set to explicate that the BEGLED can be a good lifetime model, comparing with Marshall-Olkin bivariate exponential distribution (MOBED), bivariate generalized exponential distribution (BVGED) and bivariate generalized linear failure rate distribution (BGLFRD). To make this comparison, we will use the log-likelihood values (L), Akaike information criterion (AIC), correct Akaike information criterion (CAIC), Hannan-Quinn information criterion (HQIC) and the likelihood ratio test ( $\Lambda$ ).

The data set in Table 1 has been obtained from Meintanis (2007). This data represents football (soccer) data of the UEFA Champion's League data for the year 2004 : 2005 and 2005 : 2006. This data describes the games which satisfy the following two conditions:

1. At least one kick goal scored by any team have been considered.
2. The home team must be scored at least one goal.

Note that, the kick goal is the goal which scored directly from foul kick, penalty kick or any other direct free kick. Here the variables  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are as follows:

$X_1$  : represents the time in minutes of the first kick goal scored by any team.

$X_2$  : represents the first goal of any type scored by the home team.

**Table 1.** The UEFA Champion's League data for the year 2004 : 2005 and 2005 : 2006.

2005 : 2006	$X_1$	$X_2$	2004 : 2005	$X_1$	$X_2$
Lyon : Real Madrid	26	20	Internazionale : Bremen	34	34
Milan : Fenerbahce	63	18	Real Madrid : Roma	53	39
Chelsea : Anderlecht	19	19	Man. United : Fenerbahce	54	7
Club Brugge : Juventus	66	85	Bayern : Ajax	51	28
Fenerbahce : PSV	40	40	Moscow : PSG	76	64
Internazionale : Rangers	49	49	Barcelona : Shakhtar	64	15
Panathinaikos : Bremen	8	8	Leverkusen : Roma	26	48
Ajax : Arsenal	69	71	Arsenal : Panathinaikos	16	16
Man. United : Benfica	39	39	Dynamo Kyiv : Real Madrid	44	13
Real Madrid : Rosenborg	82	48	Man. United : Sparta	25	14
Villarreal : Benfica	72	72	Bayern : M. TelAviv	55	11
Juventus : Bayern	66	62	Bremen : Internazionale	49	49
Club Brugge : Rapid	25	9	Anderlecht : Valencia	24	24
Olympiacos : Lyon	41	3	Panathinaikos : PSV	44	30
Internazionale : Porto	16	75	Arsenal : Rosenborg	42	3
Schalke : PSV	18	18	Liverpool : Olympiacos	27	47
Barcelona : Bremen	22	14	M. Tel-Aviv : Juventus	28	28
Milan : Schalke	42	42	Bremen : Panathinaikos	2	2
Rapid : Juventus	36	52			

To analyze this data by the BEGLED, we fit at first the marginals  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of the BEGLED separately one by one on this data. The following Tables obtain the MLEs, L, Anderson-Darling ( $A^*$ ) and Cramér-Von Mises ( $W^*$ ) values for the marginals  $X_1$  and  $X_2$

respectively for each model.

**Table 2.** The MLE(s), L,  $A^*$ ,  $W^*$  values for  $X_1$ .

Model	$\hat{a}$	$\hat{b}$	$\hat{\theta}$	$\hat{\alpha}$	-L	$A^*$	$W^*$
E	0.0245	-	-	-	174.30	0.5202	0.0686
GE	0.0449	-	3.119	-	165.82	0.6171	0.0826
GLFR	0.0052	0.0009	1.302	-	162.68	0.2637	0.0399
EGLE	0.0022	0.0006	0.492	1.897	161.89	0.2530	0.0396

**Table 3.** The MLE(s), L,  $A^*$ ,  $W^*$  values for  $X_2$ .

Model	$\hat{a}$	$\hat{b}$	$\hat{\theta}$	$\hat{\alpha}$	-L	$A^*$	$W^*$
E	0.0304	-	-	-	166.219	0.3651	0.0549
GE	0.0413	-	1.678	-	163.937	0.3859	0.0576
GLFR	0.0192	$6 \times 10^{-4}$	1.14	-	162.938	0.2713	0.04478
EGLE	0.0172	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	0.622	1.705	162.672	0.2640	0.0436

We can conclude that, the EGLE distribution fits the data better than E, GE and GLFR distributions for the marginals, because it has the smallest value among -L,  $A^*$  and  $W^*$ .

Since, the E, GE and GLFR distributions are special cases from the EGLE distribution, we perform the following three testing of hypotheses for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  separately:

Test 1:  $H_{01} : \alpha = 1, b = 0, \theta = 1$  (ED) against  $H_{11} : \alpha \neq 1, b > 0, \theta \neq 1$  (EGLED).

Test 2:  $H_{02} : \alpha = 1, b = 0$  (GED) against  $H_{12} : \alpha \neq 1, b > 0$  (EGLED).

Test 3:  $H_{03} : \alpha = 1$  (GLFRD) against  $H_{13} : \alpha \neq 1$  (EGLED).

The likelihood ratio test statistics ( $\Lambda$ ), the degree of freedom (d.f) and the corresponding p-values for the three tests of hypotheses in case of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are presented in Tables 4 and 5 respectively.

**Table 4.** The likelihood ratio test statistics, d.f and p-values for  $X_1$ .

Model	$H_o$	$\Lambda$	d.f.	p-values
E	$\alpha = 1, b = 0, \theta = 1$	24.824	3	0.00001681
GE	$\alpha = 1, b = 0$	7.846	2	0.01978166
GLFR	$\alpha = 1$	1.576	1	0.20933780

**Table 5.** The likelihood ratio test statistics, d.f and p-values for  $X_2$ .

Model	$H_o$	$\Lambda$	d.f.	p-values
E	$\alpha = 1, b = 0, \theta = 1$	7.094	3	0.06896126
GE	$\alpha = 1, b = 0$	2.53	2	0.2822393
GLFR	$\alpha = 1$	0.532	1	0.46576723

When the level of significance  $\delta$  equals 0.05, it is clear that:

- (a) The EGLED provides a significantly better fit in case of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  compared to the ED.
- (b) The EGLED provides a significantly better fit in case of  $X_1$  compared to the GED.
- (c) The EGLED provides a better fit for  $X_2$  compared to the GED.
- (d) The EGLED provides a better fit in case of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  compared to the GLFRD.

On the other hand, after studying the marginals  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , we fit the BEGLED on the UEFA Champion's League data. The following tables obtain the MLEs, L, AIC, CAIC and HQIC values.

**Table 6.** The MLEs and L values

Model	$\hat{\alpha}$	$\hat{a}$	$\hat{b}$	$\hat{\theta}_1$	$\hat{\theta}_2$	$\hat{\theta}_3$	-L
MOBE	-	-	-	0.012	0.014	0.022	339.0
BVGE	-	0.039	-	1.351	0.465	1.153	296.9
BGLFR	-	0.0002	0.0008	0.492	0.411	0.411	293.4
BEGLE	0.089	0.0107	2.711	0.00017	0.249	0.220	291.7

**Table 7.** The AIC, CAIC and HQIC values.

Model	AIC	CAIC	HQIC
MOBE	684.0	684.7	685.8
BVGE	601.9	603.1	604.1
BGLFR	596.8	598.7	599.6
BEGLE	595.4	598.2	598.8

It is clear that, the BEGLED provides a better fit than MOBE, BVGE and BGLFR distributions because it has the smallest value among -L, AIC, CAIC and HQIC. Since, the BVGE and BGLFR distributions are special cases from the BEGLED, then we perform the following two testing of hypotheses:

Test 1:  $H_{02} : \alpha = 1, b = 0$  (BVGED) against  $H_{12} : \alpha \neq 1, b > 0$  (BEGLED).

Test 2:  $H_{03} : \alpha = 1$  (BVGLFRD) against  $H_{13} : \alpha \neq 1$  (BEGLED).

The likelihood ratio test statistics, d.f and p-values for the BVGE and the BGLFR distributions are given in the following Table.

**Table 8.** The likelihood ratio test statistics, d.f and p-values.

Model	$H_0$	$\Lambda$	d.f.	p-values
BVGE	$\alpha = 1, b = 0$	10.466	2	0.00533749
BGLFR	$\alpha = 1$	3.354	1	0.06704192

We note that the p-value is not large. So, we prefer the BEGLED for analyzing this data.

## 7 Simulation Study

In this section, the MLE method is used to estimate the parameters  $\alpha, a, b, \theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$  of the BEGLED. The population parameters are generated using software "Mathcad prime 3" package. The sampling distributions are obtained for different sample sizes  $n = [30, 50, 100, 200]$  from  $N = 1000$  replications. This study presents an assessment of the properties of the MLE for the parameters in terms of bias, variance (Var), mean square error (MSE) and 95% confidence intervals (C.I), which be obtained in the following Tables.

**Table 9.** The MLEs, Bias, Var, MSE and C.I values

n	parameter	Estimate	Bias	Var	MSE	C.I
30	$\alpha = 1.5$	1.529447	0.029447	0.0422195	0.0430866	(1.2060, 2.0056)
	$a = 0.5$	0.505473	0.005473	0.0050818	0.0051117	(0.3717, 0.6442)
	$b = 0.7$	0.711647	0.011647	0.0098601	0.0099958	(0.5353, 0.9118)
	$\theta_1 = 0.8$	0.913251	0.113251	0.2811745	0.2940002	(0.2862, 2.2053)
	$\theta_2 = 1.2$	1.300607	0.100607	0.3690383	0.37916	(0.5189, 2.7853)
	$\theta_3 = 1.3$	1.35555	0.05555	0.2409224	0.2440082	(0.6489, 2.4833)
	50	$\alpha = 1.5$	1.5125455	0.0125455	0.0249091	0.0250665
$a = 0.5$		0.4996033	-0.000396	0.0031267	0.0031268	(0.3929, 0.6071)
$b = 0.7$		0.7016369	0.0016369	0.0059615	0.0059642	(0.5624, 0.8559)
$\theta_1 = 0.8$		0.901861	0.101861	0.1651336	0.1755093	(0.3843, 1.9003)
$\theta_2 = 1.2$		1.2920736	0.0920736	0.2386965	0.247174	(0.5865, 2.4482)
$\theta_3 = 1.3$		1.3579218	0.0579218	0.1491417	0.1524966	(0.7602, 2.2375)
100		$\alpha = 1.5$	1.5092043	0.0092043	0.011566	0.0116508
	$a = 0.5$	0.5002042	0.0002042	0.0014805	0.0014805	(0.4263, 0.5754)
	$b = 0.7$	0.7015377	0.0015377	0.0028327	0.0028351	(0.6049, 0.8093)
	$\theta_1 = 0.8$	0.8486051	0.0486051	0.0765937	0.0789561	(0.4596, 1.5080)
	$\theta_2 = 1.2$	1.2433257	0.0433257	0.1115477	0.1134248	(0.7225, 1.9904)
	$\theta_3 = 1.3$	1.3260033	0.0260033	0.0700138	0.0706899	(0.8795, 1.9229)
	200	$\alpha = 1.5$	1.5029588	0.0029588	0.0057007	0.0057094
$a = 0.5$		0.4999514	-0.000486	0.0007961	0.0007961	(0.4468, 0.5564)
$b = 0.7$		0.700456	0.000456	0.0015143	0.0015145	(0.6319, 0.7807)
$\theta_1 = 0.8$		0.8273891	0.0273891	0.0397173	0.0404674	(0.5076, 1.2493)
$\theta_2 = 1.2$		1.2235063	0.0235063	0.0584567	0.0590093	(0.8101, 1.7279)
$\theta_3 = 1.3$		1.3136215	0.0136215	0.0367075	0.036893	(0.9755, 1.7035)



**Table 10.** The MLEs, Bias, Var, MSE and C.I values

n	parameter	Estimate	Bias	Var	MSE	C.I
30	$\alpha = 2$	1.8540053	-0.1459947	0.0861492	0.1074636	(1.3579, 2.5402)
	$a = 0.2$	0.2043051	0.0043051	0.0047227	0.0047412	(0.0793, 0.3422)
	$b = 1.5$	1.5124662	0.0124662	0.0168988	0.0170542	(1.2850, 1.7882)
	$\theta_1 = 0.5$	0.5857591	0.0857591	0.092056	0.0994106	(0.2091, 1.3758)
	$\theta_2 = 0.6$	0.6827111	0.0827111	0.108878	0.1157192	(0.2713, 1.4742)
	$\theta_3 = 0.9$	0.9424471	0.0424471	0.0855705	0.0873723	(0.4933, 1.6119)
50	$\alpha = 2$	1.8900924	-0.1099076	0.054245	0.0663247	(1.4403, 2.4056)
	$a = 0.2$	0.2016888	0.0016888	0.0029133	0.0029162	(0.0983, 0.3127)
	$b = 1.5$	1.5054807	0.0054807	0.0103271	0.0103572	(1.3217, 1.7219)
	$\theta_1 = 0.5$	0.5604422	0.0604422	0.0556656	0.0593188	(0.2489, 1.1597)
	$\theta_2 = 0.6$	0.657993	0.057993	0.0633326	0.0666958	(0.3180, 1.3028)
	$\theta_3 = 0.9$	0.9271563	0.0271563	0.0536466	0.054384	(0.5486, 1.4589)
100	$\alpha = 2$	1.9448174	-0.0551826	0.0271835	0.0302286	(1.6074, 2.2876)
	$a = 0.2$	0.2006911	0.0006911	0.0014431	0.0014436	(0.1273, 0.2765)
	$b = 1.5$	1.5025237	0.0025237	0.0050839	0.0050903	(1.3686, 1.6470)
	$\theta_1 = 0.5$	0.5351945	0.0351945	0.0261092	0.0273479	(0.2977, 0.9242)
	$\theta_2 = 0.6$	0.6309327	0.0309327	0.0311299	0.0320867	(0.3745, 1.0547)
	$\theta_3 = 0.9$	0.9152326	0.0152326	0.0263485	0.0265805	(0.6396, 1.2798)
200	$\alpha = 2$	1.977254	-0.022746	0.0112714	0.0117888	(1.7652, 2.1946)
	$a = 0.2$	0.2002004	0.0002004	0.0006825	0.0006825	(0.1481, 0.2525)
	$b = 1.5$	1.5009306	0.0009306	0.0023884	0.0023893	(1.4047, 1.6006)
	$\theta_1 = 0.5$	0.5172642	0.0172642	0.0120296	0.0123276	(0.3456, 0.7748)
	$\theta_2 = 0.6$	0.6161236	0.0161236	0.014622	0.014882	(0.4252, 0.9035)
	$\theta_3 = 0.9$	0.9085774	0.0085774	0.0124857	0.0125593	(0.7099, 1.1482)

From Tables 9 and 10, we note that the bias is reduced as the sample size is increased.

## 8 Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a bivariate exponentiated generalized linear exponential distribution (BEGLED), whose marginals are exponentiated generalized linear exponential distributions. We discussed some statistical and reliability properties of the new distribution. Since the joint CDF and the joint PDF are in a closed form, therefore the BEGLED can be used in practice for non-negative and positively correlated random variables. The maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) of the six parameters index to the BEGLED are discussed. Moreover, a real data set is analyzed to show the usefulness of the proposed distribution. Also, the bias of the parameters is calculated using simulation studies. We hope our new distribution (BEGLED) might attract wider sets of applications in reliability analysis.

## References

- [1] Al-Khedhairi, A. and El-Gohary, A., (2008). A new class of bivariate Gompertz distributions and its mixture. International journal of mathematical analysis, 2(5), 235-253.

- [2] Asha, G. and Jagathnath, K. M., (2008). Modeling and characterizations of a bivariate Pareto distribution. *Stat and appli*, 7(4), 435-452.
- [3] Balakrishna, N. and Shiji, K., (2014). On a class of bivariate exponential distributions. *Statistics and probability letters*, 85,153-160.
- [4] Basu, A. P., (1971). Bivariate failure rate. *American statistics association*, 66, 103-104.
- [5] Cox, D. R.,(1972). *Regression models and life tables*. Royal statistics society, 34, 187-220.
- [6] Domma, F., (2009). Some properties of the bivariate Burr type III distribution. *Statistics*. DOI: 10.1080/02331880902986547.
- [7] El-Bassiouny, A. H., EL-Damcese, M., Abdelfattah, M. and Eliwa, M. S., (2016). Bivariate exponentiated generalized Weibull-Gompertz distribution. *Journal of applied probability and statistics*, 11(1), 25-46.
- [8] El-Gohary, A., El-Bassiouny, A. H. and El-Morshedy, M., (2016). Bivariate exponentiated modified Weibull extension distribution. *J. stat. appl. pro*, 5(1), 67-78.
- [9] Kundu, D. and Gupta, R. D., (2009). Bivariate generalized exponential distribution. *Journal of multivariate analysis*,100, 581-593.
- [10] Kundu, D. and Gupta, K., (2013). Bayes estimation for the Marshall-Olkin bivariate Weibull distribution. *Journal of computational statistics and data analysis*, 57(1), 271-281.
- [11] Marshall, A. W. and Olkin, I., (1967). A multivariate exponential model. *Journal of the American statistical association*, 62, 30-44.
- [12] Meintanis, S. G., (2007). Test of fit for Marshall-Olkin distributions with applications. *Journal of statistical planning and inference*, 137, 3954-3963.
- [13] Rasool, R. and Akbar, A. J., (2016). On bivariate exponentiated extended Weibull family of distributions. *Ciênciae Natura*, Santa Maria, 38(2), 564-576.
- [14] Sarhan, A. and Balakrishnan, N., (2007). A new class of bivariate distributions and its mixture. *Journal of multivariate analysis*, 98,1508-1527.
- [15] Sarhan, A. M., Hamilton, D. C., Smith, B., and Kundu, D., (2011). The bivariate generalized linear failure rate distribution and its multivariate extension. *Computational statistics and data analysis*, 55(1),644-654.
- [16] Sarhan, A., Abd EL-Baset, A. A., Ibtisam, A. A., (2013). Exponentiated generalized linear exponential distribution. *Applied mathematical modelling*, 37, 2838-2849.