

# MOMENTS OF UNRAMIFIED 2-GROUP EXTENSIONS OF QUADRATIC FIELDS

JACK KLYS

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $f(K)$  be the number of unramified extensions  $L/K$  of a quadratic number field  $K$  with  $\text{Gal}(L/K) = H$  and  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = G$  where  $G$  is a central extension of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  by  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . We find a function  $g(K)$  such that  $f/g$  has finite moments and a distribution on its values. We show this distribution is a point mass when  $H$  is non-abelian and the Cohen-Lenstra distribution when  $H$  is abelian, despite the fact that the set of values of  $f/g$  do not form a discrete set. We prove an explicit formula for  $f$  as well as a refined counting function with local conditions. We also determine correlations of such counting functions for different groups  $G$ . Lastly we formulate a conjecture about moments and correlations for any pair of 2-groups  $(G, H)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Background.** The Cohen-Lenstra heuristics are a well known series of conjectures and heuristics for the distributions of class groups of number fields. There has been much recent interest and progress on these conjectures and their variants, including non-abelian versions. In this paper we make progress towards a potential (currently not yet formulated) non-abelian version of the Cohen-Lenstra conjectures for 2-groups in the setting of quadratic fields by proving distributional results about unramified 2-extensions of quadratic number fields.

Let  $\mathcal{D}_X^\pm$  denote the set of positive (resp. negative) quadratic discriminants of absolute value less than  $X$ . For any function  $f(K)$  defined on the set of quadratic fields let

$$E_k^\pm(f) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{K, D_K \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} f^k(K)}{|\mathcal{D}_X^\pm|}$$

be the average of  $f^k$  over positive (resp. negative) discriminants.

For any pair of groups  $H \leq G$  with  $[G : H] = 2$  define

$$f(K) = |\{L/K \text{ unramified} \mid \exists \phi : G(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G, \phi(G(L/K)) = H\}|.$$

We call any such extension as in the definition of  $f$  a  $(G, H)$ -extension.

The Cohen-Lenstra heuristics predict  $E_k^\pm(f)$  for all  $k$  when  $H$  is an abelian  $p$ -group with  $p$  odd and  $G = H \rtimes C_2$  with  $C_2$  acting by inversion [CL84]. In this case  $f(K) = |\text{Surj}(Cl_K, H)| \cdot |\text{Aut}(H)|^{-1}$ . In fact it can be shown that the Cohen-Lenstra conjectures about the distribution of  $Cl_{K,p}$  are equivalent to the statement that  $E_1^\pm(|\text{Surj}(Cl_K, H)|) = m_\pm$  for all finite abelian  $p$ -groups  $H$ , where  $m_\pm$  equals  $1/\text{Aut}(H)$  and 1 in the positive and negative cases respectively.

If we instead restrict to asking about the distribution of  $Cl_{K,p}[p]$  this is equivalent to asking for a distribution of the values of the function  $|\text{Surj}(Cl_K, H)|$  and in turn is

equivalent to computing  $\mathbf{E}_k^\pm(|\text{Surj}(Cl_K, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})|)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Thus computation of the moments  $\mathbf{E}_k^\pm(f)$  for any pair of groups  $(G, H)$  as above is a natural generalization of the abelian Cohen-Lenstra conjectures.

For any even group  $G$  Wood conjectured that  $\mathbf{E}_1^\pm(f)$  is finite when there is a unique conjugacy class of order 2 elements not in  $H$  and infinite otherwise [Woo16]. Alberts and the author investigated the latter case for the pair  $(G, H) = (Q_8 \rtimes C_2, C_2)$  by computing a finite value for  $\mathbf{E}_k^\pm(f/3^{\omega(D_k)})$  for all  $k$  (here  $Q_8 \rtimes C_2$  is the central product of  $D_8$  and  $C_4$  along  $C_2$ ) where  $f/3^{\omega(D_k)}$  is normalized precisely to make the moments finite [Alb16, AK16] ( $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of unique prime divisors of  $n$ ). We refer the reader there for a more detailed exposition of all the above results.

In this paper we consider the generalization of this to all 2-groups  $(G, H)$ . In particular when  $G$  is a central extension of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  by  $\mathbb{F}_2$  we determine a value  $c$  such that the function  $f/c^{\omega(D_k)}$  determines a distribution, and we compute this distribution and its moments. Furthermore we conjecture this value of  $c$  works for all pairs of finite 2-groups  $(G, H)$ .

**1.2. Main results.** Let  $G$  be a finite 2-group with  $H \leq G$  a subgroup such that  $[G : H] = 2$ . We will call the pair  $(G, H)$  admissible if there exists a  $(G, H)$ -extension. This implies  $G$  can be generated by order 2 elements not contained in  $H$ . For example any pair  $(G, H)$  with  $G$  an abelian 2-group of exponent greater than 2 is not admissible.

Let  $\Phi(G)$  be the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $T_0 \subset G/\Phi(G)$  be the subset of all elements whose lifts to  $G$  have order 2 and are not contained in  $H$ . We will refer to  $T_0$  as the maximal admissible generating set. Let  $c = c_{T_0}$  be the number of conjugacy classes of order 2 elements in  $G$  which are not contained in  $H$ . This can be viewed as the number of orbits of the set of lifts of  $T_0$  acting on itself by conjugation. Let  $\text{Aut}_H(G, \Phi(G))$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G/\Phi(G))$  preserving the set  $H$  whose elements lift to  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

Let  $\mu_{CL}^\pm(H)$  be the Cohen-Lenstra probability measure on finite abelian 2-groups  $H$  which is proportional to  $1/(|\text{Aut} H| \cdot |H|^a)$  where  $a = 0, 1$  in the positive (resp. negative) case. Let  $P_{CL}^\pm(i)$  be the probability with respect to the Cohen-Lenstra measure that a finite abelian 2-group has rank  $i$ .

Finally for any real valued function  $h$  defined on quadratic discriminants we will denote by  $\mu_h$  the distribution on  $\mathbb{R}$  (with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra) determined by its values (see Section 8.1 for a precise definition of  $\mu_h$ ).

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a central extension of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  by  $\mathbb{F}_2$  with  $(G, H)$  is admissible. Let  $h(d) = f(d)/c^{\omega(d)}$  and  $a = |\text{Aut}_H(G, \Phi(G))|$ .*

*If  $H$  is not abelian then the distribution of  $h(d)$  is  $\mu_h = \delta_P$  where  $\delta_P$  is the point-mass at  $P \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $P \neq 0$ .*

*If  $H$  is abelian then  $h(d)$  has distribution  $\mu_h$  supported on the set  $\{(2^i - 1)/a \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  given by*

$$\mu_h((2^i - 1)/2^{n-1}a) = P_{CL}^\pm(i)$$

*over the set of real (respectively imaginary) quadratic fields.*

See (Theorem 8.2) for a precise formula for  $P$ . Considering the function  $f(d)/c^{\omega(d)}$  rather than  $f(d)$  is crucial. The latter has infinite moments of all orders and there is no distribution on its values.

We note that even though in the case when  $H$  is abelian and  $\mu_h$  is supported on a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the values of  $h$  themselves do not form a discrete subset. In fact  $h$  takes values not in the support of  $\mu_h$  with positive density in the set of quadratic fields. The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that in this case  $h$  can be partially expressed in terms of  $|Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]|$  and this term is what controls the distribution of  $h$  and results in the occurrence of the distribution  $P_{CL}^{\pm}(i)$ .

We can additionally deduce a result about moments of the above function as well as correlations of functions for several pairs  $(G_i, H_i)$ . Let  $N(k)$  denote the number of subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(G_1, H_1), \dots, (G_k, H_k)$  be a sequence of admissible pairs. Let  $T_{i,0}$  be the maximal admissible generating set corresponding to  $(G_i, H_i)$ . Let  $f_i$  be the function counting  $(G_i, H_i)$ -extensions. Let  $Y \subset [k]$  be the set of indices  $i$  for which  $H_i$  is abelian. Then*

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^{\pm}} \prod_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{f_i(d)}{c_{T_{i,0}}^{\omega(d)}} \right)}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^{\pm}} 1} = \left( \prod_{j=1}^k q_j^{-1} \right) \left( \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus Y} P_j \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{|Y|} (-1)^i \binom{|Y|}{i} M^{\pm}(|Y| - i) \right)$$

where

- $q_j = 2^{n_j-1} |\text{Aut}_{H_j}(G_j, \Phi(G_j))|$  and  $P_j \in \mathbb{Q}$
- $M^-(j) = N(j)$  and  $M^+(j) = 2^{-j} (N(j+1) - N(j))$

See (Theorem 9.4) for an explicit formula for  $P_j$ . Note when  $(G_i, H_i)$  are all equal this theorem gives the  $k$ th moment of  $f(d)/c_{T_0}^{\omega(d)}$ .

We have the following corollary of Theorem 1.1 on the density of quotients of the Galois group of the maximal unramified extension of quadratic fields.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $(G_1, H_1, T_{0,1}), \dots, (G_k, H_k, T_{0,k})$  be a set of admissible tuples. Let  $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}$  be the set of all quadratic fields  $K$  whose maximal unramified extension  $K^{un}/\mathbb{Q}$  contains a  $(G_i, H_i)$  extension for all  $i$ .*

*If  $H_i$  is non-abelian for all  $i$  then  $\mathcal{K}_0$  has density 1. Otherwise  $\mathcal{K}_0$  has density  $1 - P_{CL}(0)$ .*

The first step to proving the above results is obtaining an explicit formula for  $f$  expressed as a sum of Legendre symbols. In fact we obtain a formula for a refined counting function. Let  $T \subset G/\Phi(G)$  be any subset. We will call  $T$  admissible for  $(G, H)$ , or say that  $(G, H, T)$  is admissible if  $T$  lifts to a generating set of order 2 elements of  $G$  not contained in  $H$ .

For any  $L/K$  a  $(G, H)$ -extension there is a canonical generating set  $U$  for  $G(L/\mathbb{Q})/\Phi(G(L/\mathbb{Q}))$  which is not contained in  $G(L/K)$  and lifts to a generating set of order 2 elements ( $U$  is given by projecting the inertia groups). We define

$$f_T(K) = |\{L/K \text{ unramified} \mid \exists \phi : (L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G, \phi(G(L/K)) = H, \phi(U) = T\}|$$

and call such an extension a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension.

Let  $\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G, \Phi(G))$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G/\Phi(G))$  preserving the sets  $H$  and  $T$  whose elements lift to  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Let  $c_T$  denote the number of conjugacy classes of lifts of  $T$ . This can be interpreted as the number of orbits of the set of lifts of  $T$  acting on itself by conjugation.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose  $(G, H, T)$  is admissible. Let  $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$  and let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subset G$  be any set of lifts. Let  $S_i = \{1 \leq j \leq r \mid [x_i, x_j] \neq 1\}$ . Then*

$$f_T(d) = \frac{1}{2^n |\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G, \Phi(G))|} \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_r)} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p|d_i} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p}\right)\right)$$

where the sum is over tuples of coprime fundamental discriminants such that  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$ .

In the proof we utilize the Embedding Theorem [MS91] which gives a condition for the existence of the type of extensions we are interested in. This formula generalizes the one in [AK16] used to count  $Q_8$ -extensions.

We define a graph  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  with vertex set  $T_0$ , and say  $u, v \in T_0$  are connected by an edge if their lifts do not commute. We show  $H$  being abelian is equivalent to  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  being complete and bipartite and split the proof up based on this property. We then proceed by determining the  $k$ th moments and hence the distribution of  $f_{T_0}$  in the case when  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  is not complete bipartite, and building on this information to obtain the distribution directly in the complete bipartite case by re-expressing  $f_{T_0}$  in terms of the class group and other functions of the form  $f_T$  and applying measure-theoretic arguments.

The theorems of Fouvry and Klüners on character sum cancellation [FK07] can be applied to  $f_T$  to show that the computation of the  $k$ th moments of  $f_T$  depends on classifying the maximal disconnected subgraphs of a graph  $\mathcal{G}^*(T^k)$  (see Section 4 for the definition). In particular the sizes of these subgraphs determine the asymptotics of  $f_T$ , whereas their particular form is needed to compute the coefficient of the main term.

While for  $k = 1$   $\mathcal{G}^*(T)$  is closely related to  $\mathcal{G}(T)$  above which is easy to handle, the structure of  $\mathcal{G}^*(T^k)$  is more complicated and necessitates additional tools. Since  $\mathcal{G}^*(T^k)$  depends on relations in  $G$  we encode these using a bilinear form in characteristic 2 which can be used to study the graph by employing the theory of bilinear forms and linear algebra in characteristic 2 (see Section 3).

**1.3. Related work.** There are some results known regarding the values  $\mathbf{E}_k^\pm(f)$  for various pairs of groups  $(G, H)$  and variants of  $f$ .

The well known theorem of Davenport-Heilbronn gives  $\mathbf{E}_1^\pm(f)$  for  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  [DH71]. Bhargava computed  $\mathbf{E}_1^\pm(f)$  for  $(G, H) = (S_n, A_n)$  for  $n = 3, 4, 5$  [Bha14]. The work of Fouvry and Klüners on 4-ranks of class groups of quadratic fields [FK07] (an extension of the Cohen-Lenstra heuristics to  $p = 2$ ) can be rephrased as computing  $\mathbf{E}_k^\pm(f/2^{\omega(D_k)})$  for  $(D_8, C_4)$  for all  $k$  (see Section 10.3).

The Embedding Theorem [MS91] used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 has been generalized by Alberts [Alb17] to a larger class of extensions, and consequently can be used

to obtain more general counting functions, the study of which we expect to form the basis of future work.

**1.4. Conjectures.** We state some conjectures which are suggested by our main theorems.

**Conjecture 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite 2-group. Let  $H \leq G$  be a subgroup with  $[G : H] = 2$  such that  $(G, H)$  is admissible. Then*

(1)

$$0 < \mathbf{E}_k^\pm \left( \frac{f}{c^{\omega(D_K)}} \right) < \infty$$

*and these moments determine a distribution on the values of  $f$ .*

(2) *There exists a constant  $C(G, H)$  such that*

$$\sum_{K, 0 < \pm D_K < X} f^k(K) \sim C(G, H) X (\log X)^{c^k - 1}.$$

The suggestion that  $c$  controls the asymptotics for even groups appeared previously in the work of Wood. She has shown that a suitable modification of the Malle-Bhargava field counting heuristics predicts the above asymptotic for  $k = 1$  for any even group. She also proved this asymptotic for  $G$  elementary abelian and obtained a lower bound in a function field analogue (see Section 6 and Theorem 1.2 in [Woo16]).

In Section 10.1 we restate her heuristic argument in the language of this paper and show that it can be modified to apply to the following refined conjecture involving the counting function  $f_T$ .

**Conjecture 1.6.** *Let  $(G_1, H_1, T_1), \dots, (G_k, H_k, T_k)$  be a sequence of admissible tuples of finite 2-groups and generating sets. Then*

(1) *For all positive integers  $k$*

$$0 < \mathbf{E}_1^\pm \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k f_{T_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^k c_{T_i}^{\omega(D_K)}} \right) < \infty.$$

*If  $(G_i, H_i, T_i) = (G, H, T)$  for all  $i$  these moments determine a distribution on the values of  $f_T$ .*

(2) *There exists a constant  $C(G, H)$  such that*

$$\sum_{K, 0 < \pm D_K < X} \prod_{i=1}^k f_{T_i}(K) \sim C(G, H, T) X (\log X)^{\prod_{i=1}^k c_{T_i}^k - 1}.$$

It is easy to show that Conjecture 1.5 follows from Conjecture 1.6 using the fact that  $c_T < c_{T_0}$  for any admissible generating set  $T \subsetneq T_0$  and  $f = \sum_{T \subseteq T_0} f_T$ .

As a consequence of our main theorems we have

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $G$  be a central extension of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  by  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and let  $(G, H, T)$  be admissible. Suppose  $G$  is not elementary abelian. Then Conjecture 1.5 (1) is true.*

*If either of the following conditions is true:*

(1)  *$T_i = T_{i,0}$  is the maximal admissible generating set for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$*

(2)  $k = 1$  and  $T_1$  is any admissible generating set for  $(G_1, H_1)$   
 then Conjecture 1.6 (1) true.

The proofs of our main theorems imply that in fact part (2) of Conjectures 1.5 and 1.6 is true but for the function counting extensions unramified away from infinity (indeed throughout the proof we work with this counting function, and show that when normalized it is asymptotic to  $f_T/c^\omega$ ). This at least gives a bound for the quantity in part (2) of the above conjectures.

**1.5. Plan of the proof.** In Section 2 we prove a formula for  $f$  the number of  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions of any quadratic field. In Sections 3 we prove necessary technical results about quadratic forms in characteristic 2. We define the graphs we will use in our computation of the moments of  $f$  in Section 4 and classify the disconnected subgraphs in Section 5.

Applying these results we compute the asymptotics and moments of  $f$  in Sections 6 and 7. Finally we compute the distributions and moments and correlations in Sections 8 and 9.

## 2. COUNTING 2-EXTENSIONS

The main goal of this section is to obtain a formula for the function  $f_T(K)$  which gives the number of  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions (defined below) of a quadratic field  $K$  for any fixed admissible  $(G, H, T)$ .

**2.1. Preliminaries.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ . Let  $G$  be a central extension of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  by  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and let  $L$  be an unramified extension of  $K$  with  $\phi : G(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G$  such that  $\phi(G(L/K)) = H$ .

Let  $L^g = L \cap K^{gen}$  where  $K^{gen} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q_1}, \dots, \sqrt{q_r})$  and the  $q_i$  are prime discriminants with  $\prod q_i = d$ . It is easy to show that  $L^g = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})$  where  $d'_i \mid d$  and are independent modulo  $(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ .

It is also clear that  $G(L^g/\mathbb{Q}) \cong C_2^n$  and we can pick the isomorphism such that the standard basis element  $e_i \in C_2^n$  projects nontrivially onto  $G_i = G(K(\sqrt{d'_i})/\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $y_i$  be any chosen lift of  $e_i$  in  $G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\langle a \rangle$  be the distinguished central subgroup of  $G$  of order 2. Note this implies there are only two possibilities for  $y_i$  and they differ by a multiple of  $a$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The set of  $y_i$  generates  $G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ .*

*Proof.* The  $e_i$  generate  $C_2^n \cong G(L/\mathbb{Q})/\langle a \rangle$  so the  $y_i$  generate at least  $2^n$  elements in  $G(L/\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{a\}$  and hence  $\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^n \cup \{a\}$  generates  $G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $a \in Z(G)$  and  $G(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is not abelian there must exist  $y_i$  and  $y_j$  which don't commute, so that  $[y_i, y_j] = a$ .  $\square$

For any such extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  let  $U \subset G(L/\mathbb{Q})/\Phi(G(L/\mathbb{Q}))$  be the projection of the generators of all the inertia subgroups of  $G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Definition 2.2.** We call  $L/K$  an unramified (resp. unramified away from infinity)  $(G, H, T)$ -extension if it is unramified everywhere (resp. away from infinity) and there

exists an isomorphism  $\phi : G(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G$  such that  $\phi(G(L/K)) = H$  and  $\bar{\phi}(U) = T$ . We call  $\phi$  the associated isomorphism of the  $(G, H, T)$ -extension (note  $\phi$  is not unique in general).

Until Section 8 we will work with unramified away from infinity  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions, and use  $f_T$  to denote the number of these. Note this differs from the definition used up to this point. To prove our theorems for unramified everywhere  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions we will apply Lemma 8.10. Thus until further notice we will simply say  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions to refer to those unramified away from infinity.

**2.2. Extensions with a fixed genus subfield.** Throughout this subsection we will fix an unramified away from infinity extension  $L'/K$  such that  $G(L'/K) \cong C_2^{n-1}$  and  $G(L'/\mathbb{Q}) \cong C_2^n$ . We will count  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions  $L/K$  with  $L^g = L'$ . Fix an isomorphism  $\phi' : \text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G/\Phi(G)$  such that  $\phi'(U) = T$  and  $\phi'(\text{Gal}(L'/K)) = \bar{H}$ .

First we define a notion which will be helpful to this end, using ideas of Lemmermeyer from [Lem97]. The field  $L$  can be written as  $L = L^g(\sqrt{\mu})$  for some  $\mu \in L^g$ . Then since  $L/L^g$  is a Kummer extension we see that  $\mu^{e_i e_j} \stackrel{2}{=} \mu$  in  $L^g$ . Let  $\alpha_{ij}^2 = \mu^{e_i e_j - 1}$ . Then  $(\alpha_{ij}^2)^{1+e_i e_j} = \mu^{(e_i e_j - 1)(e_i e_j + 1)} = 1$ . Hence  $\alpha_{ij}^{1+e_i e_j} = \pm 1$ . Define  $S(\mu) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  by

$$S(\mu)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha_{ij}^{1+e_i e_j} = -1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_{ij}^{1+e_i e_j} = +1. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.3.**  $y_i$  and  $y_j$  commute in  $G$  if and only if  $S(\mu)_{ij} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since the  $y_i$  have order 2 we have  $[y_i, y_j] = (y_i y_j)^2$ . We have  $\sqrt{\mu}^{y_i y_j} = \alpha_{ij} \sqrt{\mu}$  and hence  $(a + b\sqrt{\mu})^{(y_i y_j)^2} = a + \alpha_{ij}^{1+e_i e_j} b\sqrt{\mu}$  for  $a, b \in L^g$ . Thus  $y_i y_j$  has order 2 if and only if  $\alpha_{ij}^{1+e_i e_j} = 1$  and the result follows.  $\square$

This lemma in particular shows that the group  $G$  is determined by the choice of  $e_i$ 's along with  $S(\mu)$  (up to permutation of the entries) since by Lemma 2.1  $G$  is generated by the  $y_i$  which by definition have order 2, and  $S(\mu)$  encodes the relations between them. Then it is clear that  $S(\mu) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  if and only if  $G = C_2^{n+1}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $L_1 = L_1^g(\sqrt{\mu})$  and  $L_2 = L_2^g(\sqrt{\nu})$  be  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions with  $L_i^g = L'$  and associated isomorphisms  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . Assume  $\bar{\phi}_1 = \bar{\phi}_2 = \phi'$ .

Then  $\mu \stackrel{2}{=} \nu \delta$  for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$  a fundamental discriminant such that  $\delta \mid d$ . Conversely for any fundamental discriminant  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\delta \mid d$  we have that  $L_1^g(\sqrt{\delta \mu})$  is a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension with associated isomorphism that projects to  $\phi'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M = L_1 L_2$  and let  $L_3$  be the third quadratic extension of  $L_1^g$  contained in  $M$ , so  $L_3 = L_1^g(\sqrt{\mu \nu})$ . Let  $\beta_{ij}^2 = \nu^{e_i e_j - 1}$ . Then  $(\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ij})^2 = (\mu \nu)^{e_i e_j - 1}$ . Hence  $S(\mu \nu)_{ij} = S(\mu)_{ij} \cdot S(\nu)_{ij}$ .

Let  $\varphi = \phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1$ . Then  $\varphi : G(L_1/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G(L_2/\mathbb{Q})$  such that the morphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  induced on the quotient  $G(L'/\mathbb{Q})$  is the identity. From the existence of  $\varphi$  we see that  $S(\mu)_{ij} = S(\nu)_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ , and thus  $G(L_3/\mathbb{Q}) \cong C_2^{n+1}$ . This implies  $\mu \nu \stackrel{2}{=} \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\delta$

can be chosen to be a fundamental discriminant. Since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are unramified over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  so is  $L_3$ , and hence  $\delta \mid d$ .

Now suppose  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a fundamental discriminant such that  $\delta \mid d$ . From the definitions it is clear that  $S(\mu) = S(\delta\mu)$  and hence there is an isomorphism  $\varphi : G(L_1^g(\sqrt{\delta\mu})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G(L_1^g(\sqrt{\mu})/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\bar{\varphi} = id$  on  $G(L_1^g/\mathbb{Q})$ . Additionally  $L_1^g(\sqrt{\delta\mu})/K$  is unramified since it is a subfield of the composite of  $L_1^g(\sqrt{\mu})/K$  and  $K(\sqrt{\delta})/K$ , both of which are unramified (the latter because it is contained in  $K^{gen}$ ). This implies  $L_1^g(\sqrt{\delta\mu})$  is a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension with associated isomorphism  $\phi_1 \circ \varphi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $L' = K(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})$ . If there exists a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $L^g = L'$  with associated isomorphism  $\bar{\phi} = \phi'$  then there are exactly  $2^{\omega(d)-n}$  such extensions.*

*Proof.* If  $L = K(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})(\sqrt{\mu})$  is a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension with associated isomorphism  $\phi'$  then by Lemma 2.4 every other such extension is of the form  $L^g(\sqrt{\delta\mu})$  for some fundamental discriminant  $\delta \mid d$ . Define the  $\mathbb{F}_2$  vector space  $V = \langle q_1, \dots, q_{\omega(d)} \rangle / \langle q_1^2, \dots, q_{\omega(d)}^2 \rangle$  where the  $q_i$  are the divisors of  $d$  which are prime fundamental discriminants or one of  $\{-4, \pm 8\}$ . Then the number of such extensions which are distinct is the size of the vector space  $V / \langle d'_1, \dots, d'_n \rangle$ , which is clearly  $2^{\omega(d)-n}$  (recall the  $d'_i$  are all independent mod  $\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ ).  $\square$

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  let  $(a, b)$  denote the cyclic algebra in  $\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$  defined by

$$(a, b) = K \langle u, v \rangle / \langle u^2 = a, v^2 = b, uv = -vu \rangle.$$

Recall that there is an injection

$$inv : \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \prod_v \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_v) \cong \prod_v \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $(a, b)$  has order 2 we can view each factor of the image of  $inv$  as lying in  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Identify this group with  $\langle \pm 1 \rangle$ . Denote the composition of  $inv$  with the projection onto the factor corresponding to  $v$  by  $inv_v$ . Denote by  $(a, b)_v$  the quadratic Hilbert symbol over the field  $\mathbb{Q}_v$ . Then the crucial property we will need is

$$inv_v((a, b)) = (a, b)_v.$$

We will use the following theorem, stated as Theorem 1.2 in [MS91].

**Theorem 2.6** (Embedding Criterion). *Let  $L' = F(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})$ , where the  $d'_i$  are elements of  $F^*$  independent modulo  $F^{*2}$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(L'/F)$ , and consider a non-split central extension  $G^*$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  by  $G$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  generate  $G$ , where  $e_i \sqrt{d'_j} = (-1)^{\delta(i,j)} \sqrt{d'_j}$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be any set of preimages of  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  in  $G^*$ . Define  $c_{ij} = 1$  if  $[x_i, x_j] \neq 1$  and 0 otherwise for  $i \neq j$  and  $c_{ii} = 1$  if  $x_i^2 \neq 1$ .*



Then there exists a Galois extension  $L/F$  with  $L' \subset L$  such that  $\text{Gal}(L/F) \cong G^*$  and the surjection  $G^* \rightarrow G$  is the natural surjection of Galois groups, if and only if

$$\prod_{i \leq j} (d'_i, d'_j)^{c_{ij}} = 1 \in \text{Br}(F).$$

**2.3. Extensions of a fixed quadratic field.** For the next proposition we define some notation. Let  $\overline{H}$  be the projection of  $H$  to  $G/\Phi(G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_T$  be the set of pairs  $(L', \phi')$  where  $L'/K$  is a subfield of  $K^{\text{gen}}$  with isomorphism  $\phi' : \text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G/\Phi(G)$  such that  $\phi'(U) = T$  and  $\phi'(\text{Gal}(L'/K)) = \overline{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of  $r$ -tuples  $(d_1, \dots, d_r)$  of coprime fundamental discriminants with each  $d_i \neq 1$  and such that  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$ . Define  $U_i \subset [n]$  by the expression  $t_i = \sum_{j \in U_i} e_j$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *There is a bijective correspondence between  $\mathcal{K}_T$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(L', \phi') \in \mathcal{K}_T$ . Define  $d_i$  to be the product of all prime fundamental discriminants  $p$  (if  $p = 2$  use  $\pm 2^{\text{ord}_2 d}$ ) such that  $\phi'(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t_i$  for some (equivalently all)  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$  in  $L'$ . Then all the  $d_i$  are coprime and  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$  since each  $t_i$  is in the image of some inertia group by definition.

Conversely given a factorization  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  define  $d'_j = \prod_{i: j \in U_i} d_i$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $L' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$  let  $\phi'_j : \text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'_j})/\mathbb{Q})$  be the projection. Then  $\phi' = (\phi'_1, \dots, \phi'_n)$  is a homomorphism  $\phi' : \text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow G/\Phi(G)$  where  $G/\Phi(G)$  has basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ .

We will show  $\phi'$  is an isomorphism. The relation  $d'_j = \prod_{i: j \in U_i} d_i$  implies that if  $p \mid d_i$  then  $p \mid d'_j$  exactly when  $j \in U_i$ . This implies that for any prime  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$  in  $L'$  if  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the inertia group then  $\phi'_j(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  exactly when  $j \in U_i$ . Thus  $\phi'(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t_i$ . Since the  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  generate  $G/\Phi(G)$  this implies  $\phi'$  is surjective. Since  $\text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q})$  is a quotient of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  this implies  $\phi'$  is an isomorphism.

Pick a basis  $\{e'_1, \dots, e'_n\}$  for  $\text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $e'_i \sqrt{d'_j} = (-1)^{\delta_{ij}} \sqrt{d'_j}$ . Then clearly  $\phi'(e'_j) = e_j$ . Let  $t'_i = \sum_{j \in U_i} e'_j$ . It follows from the above argument that  $\phi'(U) = T$ . Each  $t'_i$  projects nontrivially to  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{F}_2$  so  $\text{Gal}(L'/K)$  is equal to the trace 0 subspace of  $\text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q})$  with respect to the generating set  $\{t'_1, \dots, t'_n\}$ . The same is true for  $\overline{H} \subset G/\Phi(G)$  with  $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ . Thus  $\phi'(\text{Gal}(L'/K)) = \overline{H}$ .

Next we will show the above maps are inverses of each other.

Let  $(L', \phi') \in \mathcal{K}_T$ . We can write  $L' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})$  where  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'_j})$  is the subfield corresponding to  $\phi'^{-1}(e_j)$ . Let  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$  be the corresponding factorization.

We claim that  $d'_j = \prod_{i: j \in U_i} d_i$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Fix  $p \mid d$ . Then  $p \mid d'_j$  if and only if  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  projects non-trivially to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'_j})$ . This is equivalent to  $\phi'(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t_i$  for some  $i$  satisfying  $j \in U_i$  which by definition of the correspondence is equivalent to  $p \mid d_i$  for some  $i$  satisfying  $j \in U_i$ . Furthermore it is clear that  $\phi' = (\phi'_1, \dots, \phi'_n)$  as defined above. This proves one direction.

Let  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . It is shown above that if  $p \mid d_i$  then  $\phi'(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t_i$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$  in  $L'$  where  $(L', \phi')$  is the corresponding pair. Thus the maps are inverse to each other, which completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a fixed basis for  $G/\Phi(G)$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  let  $x'_i \in G$  be any lift of  $e_i$ . Let  $S'_i = \{1 \leq j \leq n \mid j \neq i, [x'_i, x'_j] \neq 1\} \cup \{i \mid \text{ord}(x'_i) = 4\}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $(L', \phi') \in \mathcal{K}_T$  with  $L' = K(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})$ . There exists a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension  $L/L'/\mathbb{Q}$  with isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi'^{-1} \circ \bar{\phi}$  is the natural surjection of Galois groups if and only if*

$$\prod_{p|d} \left( 1 + (-1)^{\chi(p)} \left( \frac{\prod_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} (d'_j/p^{\alpha_j(p)})^{\alpha_i(p)} (d'_i/p^{\alpha_i(p)})^{\alpha_j(p)}}{p} \right) \right) \neq 0$$

where

$$\chi(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)}{2} \sum_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} \alpha_{i,j}(p) & p \text{ odd} \\ \sum_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} \frac{(d'_j/2^{\alpha_j(2)})-1}{2} \frac{(d'_i/2^{\alpha_i(2)})-1}{2} & p = 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We identify  $\text{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q})$  with  $G/\Phi(G)$  using  $\phi'$ . Then by Theorem 2.6 such an extension exists if and only if  $\prod_{j \leq i} (d'_i, d'_j)^{c_{ij}} = 1 \in \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$  (it is easy to show that when  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $L'/K$  is unramified at the finite places, the extension  $L$  given by Theorem 2.6 is always also unramified above  $K$  at the finite places). Clearly  $c_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $j \in S'_i$ .

By the discussion preceding the theorem, since  $\text{inv}_v$  is an injection such an extension exists if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \text{inv}_v \left( \prod_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} (d'_i, d'_j) \right) \\ &= \prod_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} (\text{inv}_v (d'_i, d'_j)) \\ &= \prod_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} (d'_i, d'_j)_v. \end{aligned}$$

at all places  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By the product formula for the Hilbert symbol this condition only needs to be checked at the finite primes. This is trivially satisfied for  $v \nmid d$ .

To simplify notation let  $\alpha_i(p) = \text{ord}_p d'_i$  and  $\alpha_{i,j}(p) = \alpha_i(p) \alpha_j(p)$ . Note the property of the quadratic Hilbert symbol  $(d'_i, d'_j)_v = (d'_j, d'_i)_v$ . Then the condition at odd  $p$  becomes

$$(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)}{2} \sum_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} \alpha_{i,j}(p)} \left( \frac{\prod_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} (d'_j/p^{\alpha_j(p)})^{\alpha_i(p)} (d'_i/p^{\alpha_i(p)})^{\alpha_j(p)}}{p} \right) = 1$$

The condition at  $p = 2$  becomes

$$-1^{\sum_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} \frac{(d'_j/2^{\alpha_j(2)})-1}{2} \frac{(d'_i/2^{\alpha_i(2)})-1}{2} + \alpha_i(2) \frac{(d'_j/2^{\alpha_j(2)})^2-1}{8} + \alpha_j(2) \frac{(d'_i/2^{\alpha_i(2)})^2-1}{8}} = 1$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(-1)^{\sum_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} \frac{(d'_j/2^{\alpha_j(2)})-1}{2} \frac{(d'_i/2^{\alpha_i(2)})-1}{2}} \left( \frac{\prod_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} (d'_j/p^{\alpha_j(2)})^{\alpha_i(2)} (d'_i/p^{\alpha_i(2)})^{\alpha_j(2)}}{2} \right).$$

The result follows.  $\square$

For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$  let  $x_i \in G$  be any lift of  $t_i$ . Let  $S_i = \{1 \leq j \leq r \mid [x_i, x_j] \neq 1\}$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $(L', \phi') \in \mathcal{K}_T$  and  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_i \in \mathcal{D}$  the corresponding factorization (see Proposition 2.7). There exists a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  with isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $L' \subset L$  and  $\phi'^{-1} \circ \bar{\phi}$  is the natural surjection of Galois groups if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p \mid d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.8 there exists a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  with isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $L' \subset L$  and  $\phi'^{-1} \circ \bar{\phi}$  is the natural surjection of Galois groups if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad \prod_{p \mid d} \left( 1 + (-1)^{\chi(p)} \left( \frac{\prod_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} (d'_j/p^{\alpha_j(p)})^{\alpha_i(p)} (d'_i/p^{\alpha_i(p)})^{\alpha_j(p)}}{p} \right) \right) \neq 0$$

where

$$\chi(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)}{2} \sum_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} \alpha_{i,j}(p) & p \text{ odd} \\ \sum_{j \leq i, j \in S'_i} \frac{(d'_j/2^{\alpha_j(2)})-1}{2} \frac{(d'_i/2^{\alpha_i(2)})-1}{2} & p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Since each  $x_i$  has order 2 this implies that the size of the set

$$\{(j_1, j_2) \mid 1 \leq j_1 \leq n, j_2 \leq j_1, j_2 \in S'_{j_1}, j_1, j_2 \in U_i\}$$

is even for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Note that for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and any  $p$ ,  $\alpha_j(p) \geq 1$  if and only if  $p \mid d_i$  and  $j \in U_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

Hence for  $p$  odd

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(p) &= \frac{(p-1)}{2} \sum_{j_1 \leq j_2, j_1 \in S'_{j_2}; j_1, j_2 \in U_i} 1 \\ &= \frac{(p-1)}{2} \left| \{(j_1, j_2) \mid 1 \leq j_1 \leq n, j_2 \leq j_1, j_2 \in S'_{j_1}, j_1, j_2 \in U_i\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

for some  $i$ . Thus  $\chi(p)$  is even for  $p$  odd. Since every odd fundamental discriminant is congruent to 1 mod 4,  $\frac{(d'_i/2^{\alpha_i(2)})-1}{2}$  is odd exactly when  $\alpha_i(2) \geq 1$ . This similarly implies that  $\chi(2)$  is even.

Now we partition the product over  $p \mid d$  in (2.2) by the  $d_i$ . Fix  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $p \mid d_i$ . Recall this implies  $\alpha_j(p) \geq 1$  exactly when  $j \in U_i$ . Fix  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k \in S'_j} (d'_j/p^{\alpha_j(p)})^{\alpha_k(p)} (d'_k/p^{\alpha_k(p)})^{\alpha_j(p)} &= \prod_{k \in S'_j \cap U_i} (d'_j/p^{\alpha_j(p)})^{\alpha_k(p)} (d'_k/p^{\alpha_k(p)})^{\alpha_j(p)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k \in S'_j \setminus U_i} (d'_k)^{\alpha_j(p)} \\ &= (d'_j/p^{\alpha_j(p)})^{\text{ord}_p(d) |S'_j \cap U_i|} \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

for some  $a$ . Hence considering the contribution from each  $j$  we see that for any  $1 \leq l \leq r$  with  $l \neq i$  the power of  $d_l$  in the factor corresponding to  $d_i$  in (2.2) will be  $\text{ord}_p(d) \sum_{j \in U_l} |S'_j \cap U_i|$  and  $\sum_{j \in U_l} |S'_j \cap U_i|$  is odd exactly when  $x_l$  and  $x_i$  do not commute. Note division by  $p$  only occurs in (2.2) when  $\alpha_{j,k}(p) \geq 1$  hence the numerator of the legendre symbol will in fact be a product of fundamental discriminants (negative signs remaining after any division operation cancel). Thus this factor is

$$\prod_{p \mid d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right).$$

□

Let  $\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G/\Phi(G))$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G/\Phi(G))$  preserving the set  $\overline{H}$  and the set  $T$ . Let  $\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G, \Phi(G))$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G/\Phi(G))$  consisting of elements which lift to  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *Suppose  $(G, H, T)$  is admissible. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad f_T(d) = \frac{1}{2^n |\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G, \Phi(G))|} \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p \mid d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $L' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d'_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d'_n})$  and suppose  $(L', \phi') \in \mathcal{K}_T$  with corresponding tuple  $(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathcal{D}$  given by Proposition 2.7. By Lemma 2.9 there exists a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $L^g = L'$  and associated isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi'^{-1} \circ \overline{\phi}$  is the natural surjection of Galois groups (equivalently  $\phi' = \overline{\phi}$ ) if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p \mid d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right) \neq 0.$$

This function takes on the values 0 or  $2^{\omega(d)}$ . By Proposition 2.5 if a  $(G, H, T)$ -extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $L^g = L'$  and associated isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi' = \overline{\phi}$  exists, there are exactly  $2^{\omega(d)-n}$  such extensions. Hence the formula (2.4) divided by  $2^n$  gives the number of  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $L^g = L'$  and associated isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi' = \overline{\phi}$ .

For fixed  $L'$  the group  $\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G/\Phi(G))$  acts freely transitively on the set  $I = \{(L', \phi') \in \mathcal{K}_T\}$  by composition with  $\phi'$ . The set of  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $L^g = L'$  and associated isomorphism  $\phi$  such that  $\phi' = \overline{\phi}$  is equal for every element in

the orbit of  $\phi'$  under  $\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G, \Phi(G))$ . Hence summing over  $\mathcal{D}$  overcounts by a factor of  $|\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G, \Phi(G))|$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.11.* We will eventually look at the growth of the function 2.3 as  $d$  ranges over all fundamental discriminants. We can make some heuristic considerations to see what kind of behaviour to expect. If we expect the legendre symbol to take each value  $\pm 1$  with probability  $1/2$  then the expected value of  $\prod_{i, S_i \neq \emptyset} \prod_{p|d_i} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p}\right)\right)$  is

$$\frac{1}{2^{\omega(\prod_{i, S_i \neq \emptyset} d_i)}} 2^{\omega(\prod_{i, S_i \neq \emptyset} d_i)} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{\omega(\prod_{i, S_i \neq \emptyset} d_i)}}\right) 0 = 1.$$

Let  $r_1 = |\{i \mid S_i \neq \emptyset\}|$  and  $r_2 = r - r_1$ . Hence on average we expect

$$\begin{aligned} 2^n |\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G, \Phi(G))| f_T(d) &= \sum_{d=d_1 \cdots d_r} 2^{\omega(\prod_{i, S_i \neq \emptyset} d_i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\omega(d)} 2^i \binom{\omega(d)}{i} r_2^i r_1^{\omega(d)-i} \\ &= r_1^{\omega(d)} \sum_{i=0}^{\omega(d)} \left(\frac{2r_2}{r_1}\right)^i \binom{\omega(d)}{i} \\ &= r_1^{\omega(d)} \left(1 + \frac{2r_2}{r_1}\right)^{\omega(d)} \\ &= (r_1 + 2r_2)^{\omega(d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we expect  $\sum_{d < X} f_T(d) \sim C(G, H, T) X (\log X)^{r_1 + 2r_2 - 1}$  for some constant  $C(G, H, T)$ . We will show that this is indeed the case.

We can interpret the quantity  $r_1 + 2r_2$  as the number of conjugacy classes of lifts of  $T$  in  $G$ . Recall  $a$  is the distinguished central element of order 2 in  $G$ . If  $S_i = \emptyset$  then  $x_i$  commutes with all the  $x_j$ . Hence  $x_i \in Z(G)$  and there are the two conjugacy classes  $\{x_i\}$  and  $\{x_i a\}$ . If  $S_i \neq \emptyset$  then there is the conjugacy class  $\{x_i, x_i a\}$ .

### 3. ISOTROPIC SUBSETS FOR QUADRATIC FORMS

In this section we prove a result about maximal isotropic subsets of quadratic forms in characteristic 2. We will use this result to deduce statements about maximal disconnected subgraphs of the graph  $\mathcal{G}^*(T)$  on the generating set  $T$  (see 4) by encoding the graph structure using a particular quadratic form defined in the next section.

We collect some facts regarding quadratic forms in characteristic 2. Let  $Q : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  be a quadratic form and let  $B(u, v) = Q(u + v) + Q(u) + Q(v)$  be the corresponding bilinear form.

For any subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  we define  $\text{rad}(W) = W \cap W^\perp$  (where  $W^\perp$  is taken with respect to  $B$ ). We can decompose  $\mathbb{F}_2^n = V \oplus \text{rad}(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ , and  $\text{rad}(\mathbb{F}_2^n) = R \oplus R_0$  such that  $Q(R_0) = 0$ . We say  $Q$  is non-degenerate if  $\text{rad}(\mathbb{F}_2^n) = 0$ . Hence in the above notation  $Q$  is non-degenerate on  $V$  and it is easy to see that  $\dim R = 0$  or  $1$ .

We say any  $v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is singular if  $v \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . We say a subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is totally singular if  $Q(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in W$ .

The following result is Proposition 14.6 from [Gro02].

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $Q$  is nondegenerate, given any totally singular space there exists another one disjoint from it.*

We extend this result to the case when  $Q$  is degenerate and  $\ker Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^n} = 0$ . In the above notation this means  $\mathbb{F}_2^n = V \oplus R$ . Let  $p_V, p_R$  be the projections onto  $V$  and  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $Q$  be a quadratic form on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  and let  $R = \text{rad}(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$  be non-trivial. Suppose  $\ker(Q|_R) = 0$ . Given any totally singular subspace  $W$  there exists another totally singular subspace  $W'$  of the same size such that  $W \cap W' \subset \langle u \rangle$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  with  $p_R(u) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* As noted above we have  $\mathbb{F}_2^n = V \oplus R$ . Note the subspace  $W_0 = W \cap V$  has index  $\leq 2$  in  $W$ .

We can apply Proposition 14.6 from [Gro02] to  $W_0$  to obtain a totally singular subspace  $W_1 \subset V$  of the same size such that  $W_0 \cap W_1 = 0$ . Furthermore  $W_0$  has basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  and  $W_1$  has basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  and  $H_i = \langle u_i, v_i \rangle$  is hyperbolic, meaning  $B(u_i, v_i) = 1$ , and  $B(H_i, H_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

If  $W_0 = W$  then we let  $W' = W_1$ . Then  $W'$  satisfies the desired properties and we are done. Otherwise let  $u \in W \setminus W_0$ . Since  $Q$  is non-degenerate on  $V$  we can write  $V = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2$  with  $W_2 = (W_0 \oplus W_1)^\perp$  (taken in  $V$ ).

Write  $p_V(u)$  in this basis and let  $v$  be the element obtained by applying to  $p_V(u)$  the transposition exchanging  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  for all  $i$  (note that  $u = v$  is possible).

First we will show  $Q(v) = 0$ . Write  $u = w_0 + w_1 + w_2 + r$  with  $w_i \in W_i$  and  $r \in R$ . Recall  $B(u, v) = Q(u + v) + Q(u) + Q(v)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u) &= Q(w_0 + w_1 + w_2 + r) \\ &= Q(w_0 + w_1 + w_2) + Q(r) \\ &= Q(w_0 + w_1) + Q(w_2) + Q(r) \\ &= B(w_0, w_1) + Q(w_2) + Q(r) \end{aligned}$$

where we are using  $B(w_0 + w_1 + w_2, r) = 0$ ,  $B(w_0 + w_1, w_2) = 0$  and the fact that  $W_0$  and  $W_1$  are totally singular. This implies in particular that  $Q(u + w'_0) = B(w_0 + w'_0, w_1) + Q(w_2) + Q(r)$  for any  $w'_0 \in W_0$ . Since  $Q(u + w'_0) = 0$  for all  $w'_0 \in W_0$ , by the properties of  $B$  on hyperbolic subspaces listed above this implies  $w_1 = 0$ . Thus  $Q(u) = Q(w_2) + Q(r)$ . By symmetry also  $Q(v) = 0$ .

Then also by symmetry  $v \in W_1^\perp$  (taken in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ ) and hence  $W' = \langle W_1, v \rangle$  is totally singular. Clearly  $p_R(v) \neq 0$  and  $|W'| = |W|$ .

It remains to show  $W \cap W' \subset \langle u \rangle$ . Suppose  $w_0 + u = w_1 + v$  with  $w_i \in W_i$ . As shown above  $u$  is not supported on  $W_1$  and  $v$  is not supported on  $W_0$ . Thus we have equality if and only if  $u = v$  and  $w_i = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now prove some facts about maximal isotropic subsets of certain generating sets of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . We start with the case when  $\ker(Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^n}) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose  $\ker(Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^{n\perp}}) = 0$ . Let  $H \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be a codimension 2 subspace. Let  $S = (\ker Q) \setminus H$  and suppose  $S$  generates  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then for any subset  $T \subset S$*

$$|T^\perp \cap T| \leq |S| - |T|.$$

*Proof.* Decompose  $\mathbb{F}_2^n = V \oplus R$ , where  $R = \mathbb{F}_2^{n\perp}$  and  $\ker(Q|_R) = 0$ . To simplify notation let  $\hat{T} = T^\perp \cap T$  and  $r = |S|$ . Suppose  $T = \{w_1, \dots, w_{r'}\} \subset S$  and  $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\} = \hat{T}$ . We want to show  $m \leq r - r'$ . Assume  $m \geq 1$  otherwise this is trivial.

By definition  $B(w_i, w_j) = 0$  and  $Q(w_i) = 0$  for all  $w_i, w_j \in \hat{T}$ . The set  $\hat{T}$  can be extended to a maximal totally singular subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  containing  $\hat{T}$ .

If  $\dim(V \oplus R)$  is even then  $R = 0$ . If  $\dim(V \oplus R)$  is odd then  $R = \langle z \rangle$  and  $Q(z) = 1$ . Let  $p_R$  be the projection onto  $R$ . By Lemma 3.2 there exists a totally singular subspace  $W' \subset Y$  of the same size as  $W$  such that  $W \cap W' \subset \langle w \rangle$  for some  $w$  with  $p_R(w) \neq 0$ .

First suppose  $w \notin \hat{T}$ , so that  $W \cap W' = \{0\}$ . Let  $W_0 \subset W'$  be the set of all elements  $w_0$  such that  $B(w_i, w_0) = 1$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Suppose towards a contradiction that  $|W_0 \cap S| < m$ . Then since  $\hat{T} \cap W' = \emptyset$  we see that  $W_1 = \langle (W' \setminus W_0) \cup \hat{T} \rangle$  is a totally singular subspace containing  $\hat{T}$  which has strictly more elements not in  $H$  than  $W'$ . Since  $\dim(W_1/(W_1 \cap H)) = 1$ , that is half the elements in  $W_1$  are in  $H$ , this implies  $W_1$  is a totally singular space containing  $\hat{T}$  which is strictly larger than  $W'$  and hence  $W$ . Thus it must be that  $|W_0 \cap S| \geq m$ .

If  $w \in \hat{T}$  then by the same argument we get  $|W_0 \cap S| \geq m - 1$ . Note  $B(w, w_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r'$ . Since  $\ker(Q|_R) = 0$  it follows that  $w \notin R$ . If  $B(w, u) = 0$  for all  $u \in S$  then this would imply  $w \in R$  since  $S$  is a generating set for  $Y$ . Thus there exists some  $w' \in S$  such that  $B(w, w') = 1$ . Since  $w \in W'$  this implies  $w' \notin W'$ . Thus  $|(W_0 \cup \{w'\}) \cap S| \geq m$ .

From the definition of  $W_0$  and  $w'$  it follows that  $((W_0 \cup \{w'\}) \cap S) \cap \{w_1, \dots, w_{r'}\} = \emptyset$ . Thus  $m \leq r - r'$  as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* A simpler version of the above proof gives the same result when  $S = (\ker Q) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $S$  generates  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . We will require this fact in the proof of the next proposition.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $H \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be a codimension 2 subspace. Let  $S = (\ker Q) \setminus H$  and suppose  $S$  generates  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then for any subset  $T \subset S$  satisfying  $T \cap \ker(Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^{n\perp}}) = \emptyset$  we have*

$$|T^\perp \cap T| \leq |S| - |T|.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3 the result holds when  $\ker(Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^{n\perp}}) = 0$ , so assume  $\ker(Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^{n\perp}}) \neq 0$ . Decompose  $\mathbb{F}_2^n = V \oplus R \oplus R_0$ , where  $R \oplus R_0 = \mathbb{F}_2^{n\perp}$  and  $R_0 = \ker(Q|_{R \oplus R_0})$ . Let  $Y = V \oplus R$ .

Let  $T_z = T \cap (Y + z)$  for any  $z \in R_0$ . By translating back each of these cosets we obtain the subset  $T' = \cup_{z \in R_0} (T_z + z)$  of  $Y$ . Note  $T'^\perp = T^\perp$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |T^\perp \cap T| &= \sum_{z \in R_0} |T^\perp \cap T_z| \\ &\leq \sum_{z \in R_0 \setminus H} |T'^\perp \cap (T' \cap H)| + \sum_{z \in R_0 \cap H} |T'^\perp \cap (T' \setminus H)| \\ &= \frac{|R_0|}{[R_0 : R_0 \cap H]} |T'^\perp \cap T'|. \end{aligned}$$

We consider two cases.

*Case 1:* If  $R_0 \subset H$  then since  $T_z \cap H = \emptyset$  this implies  $T' \subset Y \setminus H$  so by Lemma 3.3  $|T'^\perp \cap T'| \leq |(\ker Q|_Y) \setminus H| - |T'|$ .

If  $x \in (\ker Q|_Y) \setminus (H \cup T')$  then clearly  $x + z \in (\ker Q|_{Y+z}) \setminus (H \cup T_z)$  for any  $z \in R_0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |R_0| (|(\ker Q|_Y) \setminus H| - |T'|) &\leq |R_0| \min_{z \in R_0} (|(\ker Q|_{Y+z}) \setminus H| - |T_z|) \\ &\leq \sum_{z \in R_0} |(\ker Q|_{Y+z}) \setminus H| - |T_z| \\ &= |S| - |T|. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2:* If  $R_0 \not\subset H$  then by Remark 3.4 (note that the condition  $T \cap \ker(Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^n}) = \emptyset$  implies  $T' \subset (\ker Q|_Y) \setminus \{0\}$ ) we have  $|T'^\perp \cap T'| \leq |(\ker Q|_Y) \setminus \{0\}| - |T'|$ . Then

$$|T^\perp \cap T| \leq \frac{|R_0|}{2} (|(\ker Q|_Y) \setminus \{0\}| - |T'|).$$

Let  $U_1 = (\ker(Q|_Y) \setminus (\{0\} \cup T')) \cap H$  and  $U_2 = \ker(Q|_Y) \setminus (\{0\} \cup T' \cup H)$ . If  $x \in U_1$  then  $x + z \in (\ker Q|_{Y+z}) \setminus (H \cup T_z)$  for  $z \in R_0 \setminus H$  and similarly if  $x \in U_2$  and  $z \in R_0 \cap H$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|R_0|}{2} (|(\ker Q|_Y) \setminus \{0\}| - |T'|) &= \sum_{z \in R_0 \setminus H} |U_1| + \sum_{z \in R_0 \cap H} |U_2| \\ &\leq \sum_{z \in R_0} |(\ker Q|_{Y+z}) \setminus H| - |T_z| \\ &= |S| - |T|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. THE GRAPH $\mathcal{G}^*$

In this section we define the graph whose maximal disconnected subgraphs we will be interested in classifying.

Recall we have a fixed isomorphism  $G/\Phi(G) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Define the graph  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$  with vertex set  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  and let  $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be connected by an edge if their lifts in  $G$  do not commute.



Define a set of elements  $F = \{u_w, v_w \mid w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}$ . We say  $u \in F$  is supported on  $w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  if  $u$  is of the form  $u_w$  or  $v_w$ . Let  $s : F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be the function sending an element to its support. Additionally for any subsets  $F_1 \subset F$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  we say  $F_1$  is supported on  $V$  if  $s(F_1) \subset V$ . We say that  $F_1$  has full support on  $V$  if  $s(F_1) = V$ . For any subset  $T \subset F$  we let  $F(T) = \{u \in F \mid s(u) \in T\}$ .

We define  $u, v \in F$  to be connected by an edge if  $u = u_w$  and  $v = v_z$  and  $(w, z)$  is an edge in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ . Define  $L(u, v) = 1$  if  $u, v$  are connected and 0 if not.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  and let  $\hat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$ . Define the graph  $\mathcal{G}^*(\hat{T})$  with vertex set  $F(\hat{T}) = \prod_{i=1}^k F(T_i)$  and an edge between  $u, v \in F(\hat{T})$  if  $\sum_{i=1}^k L(u_i, v_i) = 1$ .

We explain the relationship  $\mathcal{G}^*(\hat{T})$  holds to the counting function (2.3).

For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$  let  $d_i = D_{2i-1}D_{2i}$ . Let  $U = [2r]$ . Note that the expression in the summation in formula (2.3) can be expanded as

$$\sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p \mid d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right) = \sum_{d = \prod D_u} \prod_{u, v \in U} \left( \frac{D_u}{D_v} \right)^{\Phi(u, v)}$$

where  $\Phi(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . That is  $\Phi(u, v) = 1$  if the symbol  $(\frac{D_u}{D_v})$  appears in the expression and 0 otherwise.

Let  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  be  $k$  sets with  $U_i = [2r_i]$ . For  $u, v \in \prod_{i=1}^k U_i$  define  $\Phi_k(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(u_i, v_i)$ . Then we define  $u, v \in \prod_{i=1}^k U_i$  to be unlinked if

$$\Delta_k(u, v) = \Phi_k(u, v) + \Phi_k(v, u) = 0.$$

For any  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , if  $x_i = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{w_j}$  define a bijective mapping  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) : \prod_{i=1}^k U_i \rightarrow F(\hat{T})$  coordinate-wise with  $\varphi_i$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2i-1 &\mapsto u_w \\ 2i &\mapsto v_w. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\Delta_k = L_k \circ \varphi$ , and we will use this fact to view  $\Delta_k$  as defined on  $\mathcal{G}^*(\hat{T})$ .

Let  $T \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be an admissible generating set of  $G/\Phi(G)$ . Define a quadratic form on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  by

$$Q(u) = \sum_{i \leq j} a_{ij} u_i u_j$$

where  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $[x_i, x_j] \neq 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $a_{ii} = 1$  if  $\text{ord} x_i = 4$  and 0 otherwise. It has an associated symmetric bilinear form  $B(u, v) = Q(u+v) + Q(u) + Q(v)$  on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Alternately  $B(u, v) = u^T A v$  where  $A$  is the symmetric matrix given by  $A_{ij} = a_{ij}$  as defined above.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $x = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{u_i}$  be an element of  $G$  with  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then  $x$  has order 2 if and only if  $Q(u) = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  do not commute then  $[x_i, x_j] = a$  where  $a$  is the distinguished central element of  $G$ . If  $\text{ord} x_i = 4$  then  $x_i^2 = a$ . Explicitly writing out  $x^2$  as a product and interchanging the basis elements gives

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{u_i} \right)^2 \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{2u_i} a^{\sum_{i < j} a_{ij} u_i u_j} \\ &= a^{\sum_{i < j} a_{ij} u_i u_j} \\ &= a^{Q(u)}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $x = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{u_i}$  and  $y = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{v_i}$ . Then  $[x, y] = 1$  if and only if  $B(u, v) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c(x, y) = 1$  if  $[x, y] \neq 1$  and 0 otherwise. By an argument analogous to the above we have

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)^2 &= x^2 y^2 [x, y] \\ &= a^{Q(u) + Q(v) + c(x, y)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that, up to a multiple by  $a$ ,  $xy$  equals  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{u_i + v_i}$ . Since  $\text{ord}(xy) = \text{ord}(xya)$  we have  $(xy)^2 = a^{Q(u+v)}$ . Thus  $B(u, v) = c(x, y)$  which proves the result.  $\square$

## 5. MAXIMAL DISCONNECTED SUBGRAPHS

Fix a set  $T \subset G/\Phi(G)$  given by  $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  be any choice of lifts of  $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$ . Recall we defined  $S_i = \{1 \leq j \leq r \mid [x_i, x_j] \neq 1\}$ . This is the set of indices  $j$  such that  $t_j$  has an edge to  $t_i$  in the graph  $\mathcal{G}(T)$ .

Let  $C_1, \dots, C_s$  be the connected components of  $\mathcal{G}(T)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $i \in \{1, \dots, r_1\}$  satisfies  $S_i \neq \emptyset$ .

For a fixed pair  $(G, H)$  we will let  $T_0 \subset G/\Phi(G)$  be the set of all elements which lift to order 2 elements in  $G \setminus H$  and call  $T_0$  the maximal admissible generating set. The graph  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  determines  $(G, H)$  as follows.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The group  $H$  is abelian if and only if  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  is a complete and bipartite graph.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $H$  is abelian. Then  $G \cong H \rtimes C_2$  with  $C_2$  acting by inversion. Hence every element of  $G$  can be written as  $x\sigma$  with  $x \in H$  and  $\sigma \in C_2$ . Every element of  $G - H$  has order 2 since  $x\sigma x\sigma = xx^{-1}\sigma^2 = 1$  since  $\sigma$  acts by inversion. Thus  $T_0 = \overline{G - H} \subset G/\Phi(G)$ .

If  $y \in G$  has order 4 then  $y^2 = a$  where  $\langle a \rangle = \Phi(G)$  and  $a$  is central of order 2. Hence  $y^{-1} = ya$ . Let  $x_1\sigma, x_2\sigma \in G$ . Then

$$x_1\sigma x_2\sigma = x_2^{-1}\sigma x_1^{-1}\sigma.$$

Thus  $x_1\sigma$  and  $x_2\sigma$  commute if and only if  $x_i$  both have order 2, or both have order 4 in  $H$ .

Combining the above we see that  $\mathcal{G}(T_0) = (W_1, W_2)$  is complete and bipartite where  $W_1 = \{\overline{x\sigma} \mid \text{ord}(x) = 2\}$  and  $W_2 = \{\overline{x\sigma} \mid \text{ord}(x) = 4\}$ .

Now suppose  $\mathcal{G}(T_0) = (W_1, W_2)$  is complete and bipartite. Since  $H$  has index 2 in  $G$ , clearly  $H = \{\widehat{t_i t_j} \mid t_i, t_j \in T_0\}$  where  $\widehat{t_i t_j}$  denotes the lift from  $G/\Phi(G)$  to  $G$ . Suppose  $x_1 = \widehat{t_1 t_2}$  and  $x_2 = \widehat{s_1 s_2}$  with  $t_i, s_i \in T_0$ . By checking the cases  $t_i \in W_k, s_j \in W_l$ , with  $i, j, k, l = 1, 2$  we see that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  commute, hence  $H$  is abelian.  $\square$

Throughout this paper we will primarily work with the sets  $T_0 \subset G/\Phi(G)$  and hence refer to the condition on  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  rather than that on  $(G, H)$  from the above lemma. The lemma will be applied at the end to translate the theorem statements to ones about  $(G, H)$ .

For any  $T \subset G/\Phi(G)$  define  $c_T$  to be the number of orbits of the set of lifts of  $T$  acting on itself by conjugation. If  $T$  is a generating set this is the number of conjugacy classes of the lifts of  $T$  in  $G$ .

We will write  $c = c_{T_0}$ .

The numbers  $c_T$  will be crucial to determining the maximal disconnected subgraphs of  $\mathcal{G}^*$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $T \subseteq T_0$ . Then  $c_T \leq c$ . Furthermore if  $T$  is a generating set then equality holds if and only if  $T = T_0$ .*

*Proof.* Note  $T \subset T_0 = \ker Q \setminus H$  by definition and Lemma 4.2.

By definition of the quadratic form  $Q$  and its associated bilinear form  $B$  and Lemma 4.3,  $T^\perp \cap T$  is the subset of  $T$  whose lifts have trivial action. There are two lifts of any  $t \in T$ , and hence they form one orbit if  $t \notin T^\perp \cap T$  and two distinct orbits if  $t \in T^\perp \cap T$ .

Let  $K = \ker(Q|_{\mathbb{F}_2^\perp})$ . Let  $T'_0 = T_0 \setminus K$  and  $T''_0 = T_0 \cap K$ . Similarly let  $T' = T \cap T'_0$  and  $T'' = T \cap T''_0$ . The above implies that the lifts of each element of  $T'_0$  form one orbit (under the action of  $T_0$ ) and the lifts of each element of  $T''_0$  form two orbits.

Then

$$c_T \leq c - (|T'_0| - |T'|) - 2(|T''_0| - |T''|) + |T'^\perp \cap T'|.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.5 that

$$|T'^\perp \cap T'| \leq |T'_0| - |T'|.$$

Thus we have  $c_T \leq c$  for any  $T \subseteq T_0$ .

Suppose  $c_T = c$  and  $T$  is a generating set. If  $T \neq T_0$  then there is some  $x \in T$  which is fixed by the conjugation action of  $T$  but not  $T_0$ . Since any set of lifts of  $T$  generates  $G$  this implies any lift  $x' \in Z(G)$ . This is a contradiction since  $x$  is not fixed by  $T_0$ . Thus  $T = T_0$ .  $\square$

If  $T$  is a generating set then the proof of the above result does not require Proposition 3.5. However the proofs in Section 5 will necessitate dealing with sets  $T$  which are not generating sets.

**5.1. Maximal unlinked sets.** We will now define certain subsets of  $\mathcal{G}^*(\widehat{T})$  called maximal unlinked sets, which we will show are equal to maximal disconnected subgraphs of  $\mathcal{G}^*(\widehat{T})$  of maximum size.

As above fix a set  $T \subset G/\Phi(G)$  given by  $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$ . Throughout this section we will use the identification made in Section 4 between the vertices of  $\mathcal{G}^*(T)$  and  $U = \{1, \dots, 2r\}$ . We partition the vertices of  $\mathcal{G}^*(T)$  as follows.

For  $1 \leq j \leq s$  we let

$$\begin{aligned} A_j &= \{2i - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq r_1, i \in C_j\}, \\ B_j &= \{2i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r_1, i \in C_j\} \end{aligned}$$

Also we let

$$C = \{2i - 1, 2i \mid i = r_1 + 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Let  $E_j = A_j \cup B_j$  so that  $U = \cup_{j=1}^s E_j \cup C$ .

It is easy to verify the following description of  $\mathcal{G}^*(T)$ . If  $i_1 \in C_j$  and  $u = 2i_1 - 1$  (that is  $u \in A_j$ ) then  $u$  has an edge to every  $2i_2$  with  $i_2 \in C_j$  and  $i_2 \neq i_1$ . It has no edge to every other element of  $U$ . Similarly  $u = 2i_1$  (that is  $u \in B_j$ ) has an edge to every  $2i_2 - 1$  with  $i_2 \in C_j$  and  $i_2 \neq i_1$  and has no edge to everything else. Every element of  $C$  is disconnected.

Consider the set of subsets of  $U$

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{T} = \{\cup_{j=1}^s W_j \cup C \mid W_j = A_j, B_j\}.$$

Let  $(G, H) = (D_4, C_4)$  and  $S \subset \mathbb{F}_2^2$  be the maximal admissible generating set for this pair. For the purpose of the next subsection we will identify  $\mathcal{G}^*(S^k)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2k}$  and refer to disconnected subgraphs of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2k}$ .

Suppose  $T$  is a generating set, such that  $T = (W_1, W_2)$  is a complete bipartite graph. Partition the vertices into four disjoint sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{2u - 1 \mid u \in W_1\}, \\ A_3 &= \{2u - 1 \mid u \in W_2\}, \\ A_1 &= \{2u \mid u \in W_1\}, \\ A_2 &= \{2u \mid u \in W_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be a sequence of such generating sets. Define a bijection between  $\{A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3\}^k$  and elements of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2k}$  which acts coordinatewise by sending  $A_i$  to the binary representation of  $i$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ . We will implicitly use this identification in what follows. For any  $\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbb{F}_2^2)^k$  let

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}} = \left\{ x \in \prod_{i=1}^k U \mid x_i \in \mathbf{q}_i \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of maximal disconnected subgraphs of  $\mathcal{G}^*(S)$  with full support on  $S$  (see Section 4 for the definition of the support). For any  $V \subset (\mathbb{F}_2^2)^k$  define  $\mathcal{R}_V = \bigcup_{\mathbf{q} \in V} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}$ . Then define

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{R} = \{R_V \mid V \in \mathcal{D}\}.$$

Now we put together the above definitions of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ . For generating sets  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  let  $Y$  be the subset of indices  $i = 1, \dots, k$  for which  $T_i$  is a complete bipartite graph. Let  $\mathcal{T}_i$  correspond to  $T_i$  for  $i \notin Y$  and let  $\mathcal{R}$  correspond to the set of  $\{T_i\}_{i \in Y}$  as defined above. Then let

$$\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}) = \mathcal{R} \times \prod_{j \notin Y} \mathcal{T}_j.$$

We will denote maximal unlinked sets in  $\mathcal{R}$  to be of *type 1* and those in  $\prod_j \mathcal{T}_j$  of *type 2*.

### 5.2. Classification when $T = T_0$ .

**Definition 5.3.** For any  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  let  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})$  be the set of largest maximal disconnected subgraphs of  $\mathcal{G}^*(\widehat{T})$  with full support on  $\widehat{T}$  (see Section 4 for the definition of the support of  $\widehat{T}$ ).

We will show that when  $\widehat{T} = T_0^k$  we have the equality of sets  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}) = \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})$ .

Throughout this section we will say  $u, v \in \mathcal{G}^*(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$  are unlinked if there is no edge between them.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $t \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . Then  $|t| \leq c^k$ . Furthermore, if  $T$  is a product of generating sets then equality holds if and only if  $T_i = T_0$  for all  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $t = R_V \times \prod_{j \notin Y} t_j$ . Clearly  $|t_j| = c_{T_j}$  and by Lemma 5.2  $c_{T_j} \leq c$ . For  $i \in Y$  write  $T_i = (W_{i,1}, W_{i,2})$  and without loss of generality suppose  $|W_{i,1}| \geq |W_{i,2}|$  for all  $i$ . Note  $2|W_{i,j}| = c_{W_{i,j}} \leq c$  for all  $i, j$ . Since  $|R_{\mathbf{q}}| \leq \prod_{i \in Y} |W_{i,1}|^r$  for all  $\mathbf{q} \in V$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_V| &\leq 2^r \prod_{i \in Y} |W_{i,1}|^r \\ &= \prod_{i \in Y} c_{W_{i,1}} \\ &\leq c^r. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $|W_{i,1}| > |W_{i,2}|$  for some  $i$ . Since  $t$  has full support on  $T$  there is some  $\mathbf{q} \in V$  such that for some  $i$  we have  $\mathbf{q}_i \in \{(0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ , that is, for  $j = 1$  or  $3$ , every  $x \in R_{\mathbf{q}}$  satisfies  $x_i \in A_j$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{\mathbf{q}}| &\leq |W_{i,2}| \prod_{i' \neq i} |W_{i',1}|^r \\ &< \prod_{i \in Y} |W_{i,1}|^r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in this case equality holds if and only if  $|W_{i,1}| = |W_{i,2}|$  (which implies  $|R_{\mathbf{q}}| = (c_{T_i}/2)^r$ ) and  $c_{T_i} = c$  (which for generating sets only holds when  $T_i = T_0$ ) for all  $i$ .

Since  $|t| = |R_V| \cdot \prod_{j \notin Y} |t_j|$  this completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that for any  $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}_1}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}_2}$  the value of  $\Phi_r(x, y)$  and hence  $\Delta_r(x, y)$  is determined by  $\mathbf{q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{q}_2$ . This induces the function  $\Delta_r : \mathbb{F}_2^{2r} \times \mathbb{F}_2^{2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ . This is the same function used to detect maximal disconnected subsets in the sense of [FK07, Section 5.2, p. 472] (which they refer to as maximal unlinked sets). In particular there exists a non-degenerate quadratic form  $P$  defined on  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$  such that  $\Delta_r(u, v) = P(u + v)$ . It can be seen from the explicit formula for  $P$  given there that  $P$  is non-degenerate.

We will show that when  $\widehat{T}$  is a product of complete bipartite generating sets the elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})$  are indexed by maximal unlinked sets in the sense of Fouvry-Klüners. Recall in the previous subsection we identified  $\mathcal{G}^*(S^k)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2k}$ .

**Lemma 5.5** ([FK07, Lemma 18, Section 5.5, p. 478]). *Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$  be a disconnected subgraph. Then  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq 2^r$  and for any  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ ,  $c + \mathcal{U}$  is also a disconnected subgraph. If  $|\mathcal{U}| = 2^r$ , then either  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$  of dimension  $r$  or a coset of such a subspace.*

**Lemma 5.6.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, k$  let  $T_i \subseteq T_0$  (not necessarily generating sets). Let  $\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{R}$ , be as defined above. Let  $T'_i \subset T_i$  for each  $i$  and define similarly  $\mathcal{T}'_i, \mathcal{R}'$ . Suppose  $c_{T'_1, \dots, T'_k} = c_{T_1, \dots, T_k} = c^k$ .*

*Let  $x \in t$  for some  $t \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . Then for any  $t' \in \mathcal{M}(T')$  there exists some  $y \in t'$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  are unlinked.*

*Proof.* First note that since  $c_{T'_i}, c_{T_i} \leq c$  for each  $i$  by Lemma 5.2, this implies that  $c = c_{T'_i} = c_{T_i}$  for each  $i$ . Let  $Y'$  be the set of indices for which  $T'_i$  is a complete bipartite graph. Let  $t = t_{Y'} \times t_0$  and similarly for  $t'$ . Let  $\bar{x}$  be the projection of  $x$  onto the coordinates in  $Y'$ . We construct an element  $y$  such that  $\bar{y}$  is unlinked with  $\bar{x}$  and  $y_i$  is unlinked with  $x_i$  for all  $i \notin Y'$ .

First suppose  $i \notin Y'$ . If  $\text{supp}(x_i) \in T'_i$  then let  $y_i$  be the element of  $t'_i$  with  $\text{supp}(y_i) = \text{supp}(x_i)$ . Now suppose  $\text{supp}(x_i) \notin T'_i$ . Since  $c_{T'_i} = c_{T_i}$  this implies there exists some  $u \in T'_i$  which is a fixed point for the action of  $T'_i$  but is not fixed for the action of  $T_i$ . Hence  $2u - 1, 2u \in t'_i$  so we let  $y_i \in \{2u - 1, 2u\}$  have the same parity as  $x_i$ .

Now consider all  $i \in Y'$ . If  $T'_i$  is strictly contained in  $T_i$  with  $c_{T'_i} = c_{T_i}$  then  $T'_i$  must contain at least one disconnected element, which contradicts that it is complete. Thus  $T'_i = T_i$ . Suppose  $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{q}$ . Since the function  $\Delta_r$  is invariant under translation (simultaneously in both coordinates) by Lemma 5.5, we can assume  $t'_{Y'} = R_V$  where  $V$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ . Thus we want to show that there exists  $\mathbf{q}' \in V$  with  $\Delta_r(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}') = 0$ .

Since  $V$  is an unlinked set containing 0 we have  $P(V) = 0$ , that is  $V$  is a totally singular space for  $P$ . By Lemma 3.1 there exists a subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$  such that  $P(W) = 0$  and  $W \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $|W| = |V| = 2^r$ . Thus  $V \oplus W = \mathbb{F}_2^{2r}$ . Write  $\mathbf{q} = v + w$  for some  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$ . Let  $\mathbf{q}' = v$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_r(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}') &= P(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}') \\ &= P(w) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can let  $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}'}$  be any element and we get  $\Delta_r(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 0$ .

Combining the above for all coordinates we construct an element with  $\Delta_k(x, y) = 0$ .  $\square$

We need one more graph-theoretic lemma. For any edge  $(u, v) \in E(T)$  consider the property  $P(u, v)$ : there exists some  $w \in T$  such that either  $(w, u), (w, v) \notin E(T)$  or  $(w, u), (w, v) \in E(T)$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *The condition  $P(u, v)$  is false for all  $(u, v) \in E(T)$  if and only if  $T$  is a complete bipartite graph.*

*Proof.* Let  $(u, v) \in E(T)$ . If  $P(u, v)$  is false then for every  $w \in T$  either  $(w, u) \in E(T)$  or  $(w, v) \in E(T)$  but not both. Let  $W_u = \{w \in T \mid (w, v) \in E(T)\}$  and similarly for  $W_v$ . Then it is not hard to see that  $(W_u, W_v)$  is a complete partition of  $T$ . The other direction is trivial.  $\square$

We will make some notation which will be used in the following proofs. Given any subset  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq U^k$  we can write it as a disjoint union  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s+1} \mathcal{U}_j$  where we let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_j &= \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid u_k \in E_j\} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq s, \\ \mathcal{U}_{s+1} &= \{u \in \mathcal{U} \mid u_k \in C\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p$  be the projection onto the first  $k-1$  coordinates. Let  $\mathcal{U}_{j,i} = \{u \in \mathcal{U}_j \mid u_k = i\}$ . For simplicity we will write  $V_i = p(\mathcal{U}_{j,i})$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *Any disconnected subgraph  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{G}^*(\widehat{T})$  satisfies  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq c^k$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $k$ . Let  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  and  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $T_i$  is bipartite complete exactly for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The base case  $k = r$  follows by Lemma 5.5 which says that the  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . Any element of  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  has size bounded by  $c^k$  by Lemma 5.4.

Suppose the statement is true for  $k-1$ . For any  $u \in T_k$ ,  $V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2u}$  is an unlinked set, hence by the induction hypothesis  $|V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2u}| \leq c^{k-1}$ . Suppose  $\{2u-1, 2u\} \subset E_j$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , so  $(u, v) \in E(T_k)$  for some  $v \in T_k$  and either  $V_{2v-1}$  or  $V_{2v}$  is non-empty. This implies that  $V_{2u-1} \cap V_{2u} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $|\mathcal{U}_{j,2u-1}| + |\mathcal{U}_{j,2u}| = |\mathcal{U}_{j,2u-1} \cup \mathcal{U}_{j,2u}| \leq c^{k-1}$ . If  $\{2u-1, 2u\} \subset C$  then clearly  $|\mathcal{U}_{j,2u-1}|, |\mathcal{U}_{j,2u}| \leq c^{k-1}$ .

Thus summing  $|\mathcal{U}_{j,i}|$  over all  $i \in U_k$  gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  where  $T_i$  are all generating sets. Then any  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})$  satisfies  $|\mathcal{U}| = c^k$  if and only if  $T_i = T_0$  for all  $i$ . In this case  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that if  $T_i = T_0$  for all  $i$  then any  $t \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  is an unlinked set of size  $c^k$  with full support. This gives one direction of the first part of the theorem statement.

To prove the other direction and the second part we proceed by induction on  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $T_i$  is bipartite complete exactly for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The base case is  $k = r$ . As above, by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.4  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  and its elements have size bounded by  $c^r$  with equality if and only if  $T_i = T_0$  for all  $i$ .

Suppose the statement is true for  $k-1$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is an unlinked set with full support on  $T$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}| = c^k$ . We will show that either  $p(\mathcal{U}_{j,i}) = \emptyset$  exactly for all

$i \in A_j$  or for all  $i \in B_j$ . From the coordinatewise definition of  $\Delta_k$  it is clear that for any  $(u, v) \in E(T_k)$  the elements of the set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2u}, V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2v-1}, V_{2u} \cup V_{2v}, V_{2v-1} \cup V_{2v}\}$$

are unlinked sets. Furthermore every element of  $V_{2u-1}$  is linked with every element of  $V_{2v}$ , and similarly for  $V_{2u}$  and  $V_{2v-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  has full support, either  $V_{2u-1}$  or  $V_{2u}$  is non-empty. Also note that  $V_{2u-1} \cap V_{2u} = \emptyset$ . Lemma 5.8 implies  $|\mathcal{U}_{j,2u-1} \cup \mathcal{U}_{j,2u}| \leq c^{k-1}$ .

By Lemma 5.7 there exists  $(u, v) \in E(T_k)$  such that the condition  $P(u, v)$  is true. We will use this to rule out the case when  $V_{2u-1}, V_{2u}, V_{2v-1}, V_{2v}$  are all non-empty. Suppose towards a contradiction that this is true. This implies  $V_{2u-1}, V_{2u}, V_{2v-1}, V_{2v}$  are all disjoint.

Lemma 5.8 implies  $|\mathcal{U}_{2u} \cup \mathcal{U}_{2v}| \leq c^{k-1}$ . Let  $w \in T_k$  verify  $P(u, v)$ . First suppose that  $(w, u), (w, v) \in E(T_k)$  and without loss of generality that  $V_{2w} \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $T' = \prod T'_i$  be the support of the coordinates of the unlinked set  $V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2v-1}$ . By the induction hypothesis, if  $|V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2v-1}| = c^{k-1}$  then  $T'_i = T_i = T_0$  and hence  $c_{T'_i} = c_{T_i} = c$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Any element of  $V_{2w}$  is linked with every element of  $V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2v-1}$  and hence by Lemma 5.6  $|V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2v-1}| < c^{k-1}$ . Since  $V_{2u-1} \cap V_{2v-1} = \emptyset$  this implies  $|\mathcal{U}_{2u-1} \cup \mathcal{U}_{2v-1}| < c^{k-1}$ . Thus

$$|\mathcal{U}_{2u-1} \cup \mathcal{U}_{2v-1} \cup \mathcal{U}_{2u} \cup \mathcal{U}_{2v}| < 2c^{k-1}$$

which implies  $|\mathcal{U}_j| < |C_j| c^{k-1}$ .

Now suppose that  $(w, u), (w, v) \notin E(T_k)$  and again without loss of generality that  $V_{2w} \neq \emptyset$ . Then any element of  $V_{2w}$  is unlinked with every set in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Since  $V_{2u-1} \cup V_{2u}$  and  $V_{2v-1} \cup V_{2v}$  are disjoint this implies they cannot both be maximal so as above we get  $|\mathcal{U}_j| < |C_j| c^{k-1}$ .

Thus  $V_{2u-1}, V_{2u}, V_{2v-1}, V_{2v}$  cannot all be non-empty. Suppose without loss of generality that  $V_{2u-1} = \emptyset$  which implies  $V_{2u} \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $V_{2v-1} \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \in V_{2v-1}$  then every element of  $V_{2u}$  is connected to  $x$ . We will apply Lemma 5.6 to show that  $V_{2u}$  cannot be a maximal diconnected subgraph. Let  $T' = \prod T'_i$  be the support of the coordinates of the unlinked set  $V_{2u}$ . If  $|V_{2u}| = c^{k-1}$  by the same argument as above by Lemma 5.6 there is an element of  $V_{2u}$  which is unlinked with  $x$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|V_{2u}| < c^{k-1}$ . If in addition  $V_{2v} = \emptyset$  then this implies  $|\mathcal{U}_j| < |C_j| c^{k-1}$ .

Thus we have shown that if  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}| = c^k$  then  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ .

Thus we have shown that either  $p(\mathcal{U}_{j,i}) = \emptyset$  exactly for all  $i \in A_j$  or exactly for all  $i \in B_j$  as claimed. Hence  $\cup_{j,i} p(\mathcal{U}_{j,i})$  is an unlinked set, which implies  $\mathcal{U}_j$  has maximal size when  $p(\mathcal{U}_{j,i})$  is equal to a unique maximal unlinked set fully supported on  $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} T_i$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . □

## 6. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS

Given a product of generating sets  $\widehat{T} = \prod_i T_i$  we define  $f_{\widehat{T}} = \prod_i f_{T_i}$ . We will compute the averages of functions of the form  $f_{\widehat{T}}$ , and use them to obtain the  $k$ th moments of  $f$  which will in turn determine a distribution.



**6.1. Notational preliminaries.** We define some notation for the next theorem. Let  $\text{Aut}_{H,\widehat{T}}(G, \Phi(G)) = \prod_i \text{Aut}_{H,T_i}(G, \Phi(G))$ . Define  $\mathcal{N}^\pm(T_i)$  be the set of subsets  $N \subset T_i$  of even (respectively odd) cardinality. Let  $\mathcal{N}^\pm(\widehat{T}) = \prod_i \mathcal{N}^\pm(T_i)$ .

We define a condition on tuples of congruence classes which will be used in the next theorem. Let  $r(j) = |T_j|$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $\Upsilon \in \prod_{j=1}^k [r(j)]$ ,  $\alpha \in \{0, 2, 3\}$ . Let  $\widehat{U} = \prod_{i=1}^k U_i$  with  $U_i = [2r(j)]$  (recall from Section 4 there is a bijection  $\widehat{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*(\widehat{T})$ ).

Let  $(h_u)_{u \in \widehat{U}}$  be a tuple of integers. For each  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $i \in [r(j)]$  define the following conditions on  $(h_u)$  modulo 4

$$(6.1) \quad \prod_{u,v} h_u h_v \equiv \begin{cases} -1 & i \in N_j, i \neq \Upsilon_j \\ 1 & i \notin N_j, i \neq \Upsilon_j \\ 1 & i \in N_j, i = \Upsilon_j, \alpha = 2 \\ \pm 1 & i \in N_j, i = \Upsilon_j, \alpha = 3 \end{cases}$$

where the product is over all  $u, v \in \widehat{U}$  with  $u_j = 2i - 1, v_j = 2i$ . For any positive integer  $m$  with  $4 \mid m$  let

$$\Lambda_m(N, \Upsilon) = \left\{ (h_u) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\widehat{U}} \mid (h_u \bmod 4)_u \text{ satisfies (6.1)} \right\},$$

$$\Lambda_m(\mathcal{U}, N, \Upsilon) = \left\{ (h_u)_{u \in \widehat{U}} \in \Lambda_m(N, \Upsilon) \mid h_u = 1 \text{ if } u \notin \mathcal{U} \right\}.$$

We will use  $\Lambda_m(N, \Upsilon)$  to restrict the congruence classes of certain factorizations of the discriminant in the upcoming theorem.

Next we define some functions which will be used in the description of the coefficient of the main term of  $f_{\widehat{T}}$ . For  $N \in \mathcal{N}^\pm(T)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{G}^*(\widehat{T})$  let

$$\lambda^N(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & u = 2i, i \leq r_1, |N \cap S_i| \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Also recall we defined  $\Phi_k(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(u_i, v_i)$  for  $u, v \in \mathcal{G}^*(T)$ , where the function  $\Phi$  is defined at the start of Section 4. Let  $\Gamma \subseteq [k]$ . Then let

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_k^N(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^{N_i}(u_i), \\ \gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u) &= \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \Phi(u_i, 2\Upsilon_i), \\ \psi_{\Upsilon}(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(2\Upsilon_i, u_i). \end{aligned}$$

Finally for  $(h_u) \in \Lambda_m(N, \Upsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \widehat{U}$  define

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_1((h_u), \mathcal{U}) &= \prod_{\{u,v\} \subset \mathcal{U}} (-1)^{\Phi_k(u,v) \frac{h_u-1}{2} \frac{h_v-1}{2}}, \\ \chi_2((h_u), \mathcal{U}) &= \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (-1)^{\lambda_k^N(u) \frac{h_u-1}{2}}, \\ \chi_3((h_u), \mathcal{U}) &= \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (-1)^{\frac{h_u^2-1}{8} (\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u) + \psi_{\Upsilon}(u) \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_{X, \alpha}^{\pm}$  to be the set of positive (resp. negative) fundamental discriminants divisible by  $2^\alpha$ .

## 6.2. Proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\widehat{T} = \prod_i T_i$  be a product of generating sets and let  $\mathbf{c} = \max_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})} |\mathcal{U}|$ . With the above notation, for any positive constant  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \in \{0, 2, 3\}$  the sum of  $f_{\widehat{T}}(d) / a^{\omega(d)}$  over  $\mathcal{D}_{X, \alpha}^{\pm}$  is*

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X, \alpha}^{\pm}} \frac{f_{\widehat{T}}(d)}{a^{\omega(d)}} = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})}}{4^{\mathbf{c}} 2^{kn} |\text{Aut}_{H, \widehat{T}}(G, \Phi(G))|} \frac{4}{\pi^2} X (\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1} + o(X (\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1})$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})} = \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}(\widehat{T})} \sum_{\Gamma \subset [k]} \sum_{\Upsilon \in \prod_j [r(j)]} S_{N, \Gamma, \Upsilon}(\widehat{T}),$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad S_{N, \Gamma, \Upsilon} = \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T}) \\ |\mathcal{U}| = \mathbf{c}}} \sum_{(h_u) \in \Lambda_8(\mathcal{U}, N, \Upsilon)} \prod_{i=1}^3 \chi_i((h_u), \mathcal{U}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $d \in \mathcal{D}_{X, \alpha}^{\pm}$ . For any  $T$  which is one of the factors of  $\widehat{T}$  we expand the formula from Theorem (2.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} f_T(d) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}_{H, \widehat{T}}(G, \Phi(G))|} \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}(T)} \sum_{\Upsilon=1}^r \sum_{d=2^\alpha \prod D_u} \prod_{u,v} \left( \frac{D_u}{D_v} \right)^{\Phi(u,v)} \prod_u \left( \frac{-1}{D_u} \right)^{\lambda_1^N(u)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_u \left( \frac{2^\alpha}{D_u} \right)^{\psi_{\Upsilon}(u)} \left( 1 + \prod_u \left( \frac{D_u}{2} \right)^{\gamma_{\Upsilon, 1}(u)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

where the second sum only appears when  $\alpha \neq 0$  and the third sum is over factorizations of  $d$  into positive integers  $D_u$  such that  $(D_u) \in \Lambda_8(N, l)$  and the functions appearing in the exponents are defined in (6.2) with  $k = 1$ . Note the  $D_u$  were allowed to be negative and divisible by 2 previously, hence we introduce  $\lambda_1^N$  to keep track of the negative signs and  $\gamma_{\Upsilon, 1}, \psi_{\Upsilon}$  to keep track of the factor  $2^\alpha$ .

For  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  we obtain, using the same procedure as in [FK07, p.470-472]

$$f_{\hat{T}}(d) = \frac{1}{2^{kn}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}_{H,\hat{T}}(G, \Phi(G))|} \sum_{\Gamma \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}(\hat{T})} \sum_{\Upsilon \in \prod_j [r(j)]} \sum_{d=2^{\alpha} \prod D_u} \prod_{u,v} \left( \frac{D_u}{D_v} \right)^{\Phi_k(u,v)} \\ \times \prod_u \left( \frac{-1}{D_u} \right)^{\lambda_k^N(u)} \left( \frac{D_u}{2} \right)^{\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u)} \left( \frac{2^{\alpha}}{D_u} \right)^{\psi_{\Upsilon}(u)}$$

where  $(D_u) \in \Lambda_8(N, \Upsilon)$  and  $\lambda_k^N, \gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}, \psi_{\Upsilon}$  are defined in the theorem statement. The sum over  $\Gamma \subset [k]$  comes from expanding the product  $\prod_{j=1}^k (1 + \prod_u (\frac{D_u}{2})^{\gamma_{\Upsilon}(u)})$ .

An analysis of character sums and their cancellation, and a removal of the congruence conditions on the  $D_u$  as in [FK07, p.473-478] gives

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X, \alpha}^{\pm}} \frac{f_{\hat{T}}(d)}{a^{\omega(d)}} = \frac{1}{2^{kn}} \frac{1}{4^{\mathbf{c}}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}_{H,\hat{T}}(G, \Phi(G))|} \left[ \sum_{N, \Gamma, \Upsilon} S_{N, \Gamma, \Upsilon} \right] \left[ \sum_{(D_u)} \frac{\mu^2(2 \prod D_u)}{a^{\omega(\prod D_u)}} \right] \\ + o(X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1})$$

where the range of the last sum is over factorizations into  $\mathbf{c}$ -tuples of coprime positive integers up to  $X$  and  $S_{N, \Gamma, \Upsilon}$  is as given by (6.5) in the statement. The factor of  $4^{-\mathbf{c}}$  comes from removing the congruence conditions on the remaining  $\mathbf{c}$  variables in the last sum in (6.6) as in the argument from [FK07, p.480-482] (Lemma 19 is modified to give a factor of  $1/4$  instead of  $1/2$  since we are considering odd congruence classes mod 8 instead of mod 4).

Since the number of ways of writing any integer  $x < X$  as a product of  $\mathbf{c}$  integers is  $\mathbf{c}^{\omega(x)}$  we have

$$\sum_{(D_u)} \frac{\mu^2(2 \prod D_u)}{a^{\omega(\prod D_u)}} = \left[ \sum_{x < X} \mu^2(2x) \left( \frac{\mathbf{c}}{a} \right)^{\omega(x)} \right] + o(X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1}) \\ = \frac{4}{\pi^2} X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1} + o(X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1})$$

and the theorem follows.  $\square$

## 7. COMPUTING THE MOMENTS

Throughout this section we will prove results about  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\hat{T})}$  (defined as in Theorem 6.1 with  $\mathcal{M}(\hat{T})$  in place of  $\mathbf{U}(\hat{T})$ ) when  $\hat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  with all  $T_i$  not complete bipartite. We will apply them in the case when  $\mathbf{U}(\hat{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\hat{T})$ . Let  $c_{\hat{T}} = \prod_{i=1}^k c_{T_i}$  be the cardinality of any element of  $\mathcal{M}(\hat{T})$ .

**7.1. The congruence conditions.** We will first reduce to a simpler set of congruence conditions. Let  $\hat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  where all  $T_i$  are not complete bipartite. Let  $N \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}(\hat{T})$  and  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{T})$ . Let  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$  be the set of tuples of congruence classes modulo 4 such

that for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $i \in [r(j)]$

$$(7.1) \quad \prod_{u,v} h_u h_v \equiv \begin{cases} -1 & i \in N_j \\ 1 & i \notin N_j \end{cases}$$

where the product is over all  $u, v \in \widehat{U}$  with  $u_j = 2i - 1, v_j = 2i$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . In the notation of Theorem 6.1 we have*

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} = 2^{c_{\widehat{T}}} \left| \widehat{T} \right| \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}(\widehat{T})} \sum_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} \sum_{(h_u) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)} \chi_1((h_u), \mathcal{U}) \chi_2((h_u), \mathcal{U})$$

where  $\chi_i$  is defined in (6.3).

*Proof.* Since  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  in (6.5) only depend on the class of  $h_u$  modulo 4, we can let  $(h'_u)$  denote the reduction of  $(h_u)$  modulo 4 and factor the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\Gamma \subset [k]} \sum_{\Upsilon \in \prod_j [r(j)]} S_{N, \Gamma, \Upsilon} &= \sum_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} \sum_{(h'_u)} \chi_1((h'_u), \mathcal{U}) \chi_2((h'_u), \mathcal{U}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\Gamma \subset [k]} \sum_{\Upsilon \in \prod_j [r(j)]} \sum_{(h_u)} \chi_3((h_u), \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Note in the above sum  $(h_u)$  depends on  $(h'_u)$  since  $h_u \equiv h'_u \pmod{4}$  and hence there are two possible choices for the class of  $h_u$  modulo 8. If  $h'_u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $h_u \equiv 1$  or  $5$  modulo 8. If  $h_u \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $\frac{h_u^2 - 1}{8}$  is even, and if  $h_u \equiv 5$  then  $\frac{h_u^2 - 1}{8}$  is odd. Hence both parities are possible. Similarly when  $h'_u \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Fix  $\Upsilon$  and let

$$\kappa(\Upsilon) = \sum_{\Gamma \subset [k]} \sum_{(h_u)} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} (-1)^{\frac{h_u^2 - 1}{8} (\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u) + \psi_{\Upsilon}(u) \alpha)}.$$

Using the above observation we can replace the sum over  $(h_u)$  as follows

$$\kappa(\Upsilon) = \sum_{\Gamma \subset [k]} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left( 1 + (-1)^{(\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u) + \psi_{\Upsilon}(u) \alpha)} \right).$$

Recall the definitions  $\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u) = \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \Phi(u_i, 2\Upsilon_i)$  and  $\psi_{\Upsilon}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(2\Upsilon_i, u_i)$ .

It follows from the definition of  $\Phi$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  for  $\widehat{T}$  not complete bipartite that there is only one  $\Gamma$  in the above sum for which  $\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u) + \psi_{\Upsilon}(u) \alpha$  is even for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . In particular if  $\alpha$  is even  $\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u)$  is even for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  only when  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\alpha$  is odd then  $\gamma_{\Upsilon, \Gamma}(u) + \psi_{\Upsilon}(u)$  is even for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  only when  $\Gamma = \{i \in [k] \mid u_i \text{ is even for all } u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . In both cases we get  $\kappa(\Upsilon) = 2^{c_{\widehat{T}}}$  for all  $\Upsilon$ . Finally note that  $\left| \prod_{j=1}^k r(j) \right| = \left| \widehat{T} \right|$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next we rewrite the congruence conditions (6.1) in linear algebraic terms.

Let  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . Let  $(h_u) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$ . These congruence conditions can be encoded as vectors lying in a certain coset of a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{|\mathcal{U}|}$ . For any  $(h_u)$  define the

corresponding element  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{|\mathcal{U}|}$  by

$$(7.2) \quad x_u = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h_u = -1 \\ 0 & \text{if } h_u = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $r_k = \sum_{j=1}^k r(j)$ . Then there is a  $r_k \times |\mathcal{U}|$  matrix  $M_{\widehat{T}}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and vector  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r_k}$  such that the set of elements  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{|\mathcal{U}|}$  corresponding to  $(h_u) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$  is equal to the set of solutions of the system  $M_{\widehat{T}}x = \mathbf{h}$  (that is, equal to a coset of  $\ker M_{\widehat{T}}$ ).

**7.2. Factoring the main term.** We begin by investigating how the congruence conditions (6.1) behave under products of maximal unlinked sets.

Factor  $\widehat{T} = \widehat{T}_1 \times \widehat{T}_2$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  factors as  $\mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2$ . We can correspondingly factor  $N = N_1 \times N_2$ . Define a map  $\lambda_1 : \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N) \longrightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_1, N_1)$  by

$$[\lambda_1((h_u))]_{u_1} = \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2 \\ u = (u_1, u_2)}} h_u$$

for each  $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ . It follows from the conditions (6.1) that this map is well-defined. Let  $p_1$  be the projection onto the coordinates in  $[k]$  corresponding to  $\widehat{T}_1$ . If we let  $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{r_1}$  represent  $\lambda_1((h_u))$  then  $M_{\widehat{T}_1}x_1 = p_1(\mathbf{h})$ . Similarly define  $\lambda_2 : \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N) \longrightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_2, N_2)$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** *In the above notation the map  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 : \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N) \longrightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_1, N_1) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_2, N_2)$  is a  $2^{|\mathcal{U}_1||\mathcal{U}_2| - |\mathcal{U}_1| - |\mathcal{U}_2| + 1}$  to 1 function.*

*Proof.* Under the correspondence (7.2) the map  $\lambda_1$  becomes

$$[\lambda_1(x)]_{u_1} = \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2 \\ u = (u_1, u_2)}} x_u.$$

Put an arbitrary ordering on the elements of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$ , and the lexicographic ordering on  $\mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\lambda_1$  is given by a matrix  $M_1$  of size  $|\mathcal{U}_1| \times |\mathcal{U}|$  of full rank. Similarly  $\lambda_2$  is given by  $M_2$  of size  $|\mathcal{U}_2| \times |\mathcal{U}|$  and full rank. Thus  $\lambda$  is the matrix  $M_\lambda = [M_1^T, M_2^T]^T$  which has size  $(|\mathcal{U}_1| + |\mathcal{U}_2|) \times |\mathcal{U}|$  and rank  $|\mathcal{U}_1| + |\mathcal{U}_2| - 1$ . It follows that  $\dim \ker M_\lambda = |\mathcal{U}_1||\mathcal{U}_2| - |\mathcal{U}_1| - |\mathcal{U}_2| + 1$ .

Finally we need to show that  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N) + \ker M_\lambda \subset \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$  which is equivalent to  $\ker M_\lambda \subset \ker M_{\widehat{T}}$  by definition of  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$  as a coset of  $\ker M_{\widehat{T}}$ . We have  $\Lambda(\mathcal{U}_i, N_i) = p_i(\mathbf{h}) + \ker M_{\widehat{T}_i}$ . This implies that  $M_{\widehat{T}_i}M_i x = p_i(\mathbf{h}) = p_i(M_{\widehat{T}}x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{|\mathcal{U}|}$ .

Let  $M'$  be the block diagonal matrix constructed from  $M_{\widehat{T}_1}$  and  $M_{\widehat{T}_2}$ . Then for any  $x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$  and  $y \in \ker M_\lambda$  we have  $M_{\widehat{T}}y = M'M_\lambda y = 0$ . Hence  $\ker M_\lambda \subset \ker M_{\widehat{T}}$  as required. This completes the proof.  $\square$

By definition each  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  factors into  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . We can factor  $N = N_1 \times N_2$  and let  $x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$ . Suppose  $\chi(\mathcal{U}, x)$  is a function satisfying

$$(7.3) \quad \chi(\mathcal{U}, x) = \chi(\mathcal{U}_1, \lambda_1(x)) \chi(\mathcal{U}_2, \lambda_2(x))$$

for all  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . Define

$$(7.4) \quad \gamma(\mathcal{U}, N) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)} \chi(\mathcal{U}, x)$$

and  $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} = \sum_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} \gamma(\mathcal{U}, N)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} = 2^{c^k} |\widehat{T}| \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}^\pm(\widehat{T})} \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N}$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $\widehat{T} = \widehat{T}_1 \times \widehat{T}_2$  and  $N = N_1 \times N_2$ . Let  $c_{\widehat{T}_i}$  be the cardinality of any element of  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}_i)$ . Then*

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} = 2^{c_{\widehat{T}_1} c_{\widehat{T}_2} - c_{\widehat{T}_1} - c_{\widehat{T}_2} + 1} \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}_1), N_1} \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}_2), N_2}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2$ . We have  $|\mathcal{U}_1| = c_{\widehat{T}_1}$  and  $|\mathcal{U}_2| = c_{\widehat{T}_2}$ . Let  $\theta = c_{\widehat{T}_1} c_{\widehat{T}_2} - c_{\widehat{T}_1} - c_{\widehat{T}_2} + 1$ . Hence by Lemma 7.2 we can factor the resulting sum

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} &= \sum_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} \sum_{x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)} \chi(\mathcal{U}, x) \\ &= 2^\theta \sum_{\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2} \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_1, N_1) \times \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_2, N_2)} \chi(\mathcal{U}_1, x_1) \chi(\mathcal{U}_2, x_2) \\ &= 2^\theta \sum_{\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2} \left( \sum_{x_1 \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_1, N_1)} \chi(\mathcal{U}_1, x_1) \right) \left( \sum_{x_2 \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}_2, N_2)} \chi(\mathcal{U}_2, x_2) \right) \\ &= 2^\theta \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}_1), N_1} \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}_2), N_2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**7.3. Computing the main term.** Let  $s(j) = s_1(j) + s_2(j)$  be the number of connected components of  $T_j$  where  $s_2(j)$  is the number of components of size 1. Recall  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}) = \prod_{j=1}^k \mathcal{T}_j$ . We recall some notation from previous sections. For  $j \in [k]$  we denote by  $C_j$  the set of fixed points for the action of  $T_j$  on itself. Hence  $|C_j| = s_2(j)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}_{j,i}$  be the  $i$ th connected component of  $T_j$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, s_1(j)$  we let  $A_{j,i}, B_{j,i}$  be the  $i$ -th connected component of  $A_j, B_j$  respectively.

For any  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  and  $x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)$  define  $\chi(\mathcal{U}, x) = \chi_1(\mathcal{U}, x) \chi_2(\mathcal{U}, x)$  (see 6.3). Then  $\chi$  satisfies (7.3).

We want to compute  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} = 2^{c^k} |\widehat{T}| \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}^\pm(\widehat{T})} \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N}$  where

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} = \sum_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} \sum_{x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{U}, N)} \chi(\mathcal{U}, x).$$

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $\omega = c_{\widehat{T}} - \sum_{j \in [k]} c_{T_j} + \sum_{j \in [k]} s(j) + (k-1)$ . For any  $N$  let  $m_{j,i} = |N_j \cap \text{supp } B_{j,i}|$ . Then we have*

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} = \begin{cases} 2^\omega & \text{if } m_{j,i} \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \text{ for all } i, j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* For the remainder of the proof we will write  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{j=1}^k T_j$ , and let  $\widehat{T}_i = \prod_{j \geq i} T_j$ . Any  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$  factors as  $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{j=1}^k \mathcal{W}_j$  with each  $\mathcal{W}_j$  maximal unlinked with full support on  $T_j$ . Hence by repeated application of Proposition 7.3 we have

$$(7.5) \quad \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} = 2^{c_{\widehat{T}} - \sum_j c_{T_j} + (k-1)} \prod_{j=1}^k \sum_{\mathcal{W}_j} \sum_{x_j \in \Lambda(\mathcal{W}_j, N_j)} \chi(\mathcal{W}_j, x_j)$$

where we are using that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{\widehat{T}_i} - c_{\widehat{T}_{i+1}} - c_{T_i} + 1 = c_{\widehat{T}} - \sum_j c_{T_j} + (k-1).$$

Note that  $|\Lambda(\mathcal{W}_j, N_j)| = 2^{s_2(j)}$  but the value of  $e(\mathcal{W}_j, \cdot) + f_{N_j}(\mathcal{W}_j, \cdot)$  is constant on  $\Lambda(\mathcal{W}_j, N_j)$  (since  $\Phi$  and  $\lambda_{N_j}$  are zero on  $C_j$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{W}'_j = \mathcal{W}_j \setminus C_j$ . Then  $|\Lambda(\mathcal{W}'_j, N_j)| = 1$ . Hence (7.5) becomes

$$(7.6) \quad 2^{\omega_0} \prod_{j=1}^k \sum_{\mathcal{W}'_j} \chi(\mathcal{W}'_j, x_j)$$

where  $\omega_0 = 2^{c_{\widehat{T}} - \sum_j c_{T_j} + (k-1) + \sum_j s_2(j)}$ .

Note that for every  $j$ , if  $u \in A_{j,i}$  then  $\Phi(v, u) = 0$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{W}_j$ . Furthermore for every  $u, v \in \mathcal{W}_j$  we have  $\Phi(u, v) = \Phi(v, u)$ . Hence it follows from the definition of the sets  $\mathcal{W}_j = \left\{ \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{s_1(j)} W_i \right) \cup C_j \mid W_i = A_{j,i} \text{ or } B_{j,i} \right\}$  that (7.6) factors as

$$2^{\omega_0} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^{s_1(j)} (1 + \chi(B_{j,i}, x_j))$$

where by abuse of notation we are denoting by  $x_j$  its projection onto the coordinates corresponding to the  $i$ th connected component  $\mathcal{C}_{j,i}$ .

We now turn to computing  $\chi(B_{j,i}, x_j)$  which is determined by the parity of

$$\sum_{\{u,v\} \subset B_{j,i}} \Phi(u, v) x_u x_v + \sum_{u \in B_{j,i}} \lambda^{N_j}(u) x_u.$$

Note that  $\Phi(u, v) = 1$  for all  $u, v \in B_j$  if  $u \neq v$ . It follows from (??) that for any  $u = 2l \in B_{j,i}$

$$x_u = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l \in N_j \\ 0 & \text{if } l \notin N_j. \end{cases}$$

It follows from this that  $\sum_{\{u,v\} \subset B_{j,i}} \Phi(u, v) x_u x_v = \binom{m_{j,i}}{2}$  which is even when  $m_{j,i} \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ . Furthermore for  $u = 2l \in B_{j,i}$  we have

$$\lambda^{N_j}(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |N_j \cap S_l| \text{ odd} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{u \in B_{j,i}} \lambda^{N_j}(u) x_u = \begin{cases} m_{j,i} & \text{if } m_{j,i} \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

which is always even. Thus if  $m_{j,i} \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} &= 2^{\omega_0} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^{s_1(j)} (1 + \chi(B_{j,i}, x_j)) \\ &= 2^{\omega_0 + \sum_j s_1(j)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_0 = 2^{c_{\widehat{T}} - \sum_j c_{T_j} + (k-1) + \sum_j s_2(j)}$ . If  $m_{j,i} \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$  for any  $i$  or  $j$  then  $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} = 0$ . Since  $s(j) = s_1(j) + s_2(j)$  for all  $j$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall for the next proposition that we defined  $\mathcal{C}_{j,i}$  be the  $i$ th connected component of  $T_j$ .

**Proposition 7.5.** *Let  $a = 0, 1$  in the case of positive (respectively negative) discriminants. We have*

$$(7.7) \quad \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})} = 2^\omega |\widehat{T}| \prod_{j=1}^k \left[ \sum_{\substack{m \equiv a(2) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{s(j)} m_i = m}}^{r(j)} \sum_{\substack{m_i \equiv 0, 1(4) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{s(j)} m_i = m}} \prod_{i=1}^{s(j)} \binom{|\mathcal{C}_{j,i}|}{m_i} \right]$$

where  $\omega = 2c_{\widehat{T}} - \sum_{j \in [k]} c_{T_j} + \sum_{j \in [k]} s(j) + (k-1)$ .

*Proof.* We begin with the formula from Lemma 7.4 and sum over  $N \in \mathcal{N}^\pm(\widehat{T})$  satisfying the appropriate conditions. For any  $N$  let  $m_{j,i} = |N_j \cap \text{supp } B_{j,i}|$ . Then we have  $\sum_N \gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T}), N} = 2^\omega \sum'_N 1$  where the prime indicates summation over  $N$  satisfying  $|N_j| \equiv a(2)$  and  $m_{j,i} \equiv 0, 1(4)$ . If we let  $Q_j^\pm = |\{N_j \subset T_j \mid |N_j| \equiv a(2), m_{i,j} \equiv 0, 1(4)\}|$  then we have  $\sum'_N 1 = \prod_{j=1}^k Q_j^\pm$ . One can further compute

$$(7.8) \quad Q_j^\pm = \sum_{\substack{m \equiv a(2) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{s(j)} m_i = m}}^{r(j)} \sum_{\substack{m_i \equiv 0, 1(4) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{s(j)} m_i = m}} \prod_{i=1}^{s(j)} \binom{|\mathcal{C}_{i,j}|}{m_i}.$$

The outer summation corresponds to choices of possible sizes of the set  $N_j$  and the inner sum to the choices of elements of  $T_j$  contained in  $N_j$ .  $\square$

**7.4. The  $k$ th moment.** We can combine the results of the previous two subsections.

**Proposition 7.6.** *Let  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$  be a product of generating sets and suppose  $T_i$  is not complete bipartite for all  $i$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . Then for all positive integers*



$k$

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\pm}} \frac{f_{\widehat{T}}(d)}{c_{\widehat{T}}^{\omega(d)}}}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\pm}} 1} = \frac{2^{k + \sum_{j \in [k]} (s(j) - c_{T_j}) - kn} |\widehat{T}|}{|\text{Aut}_{H,\widehat{T}}(G/\Phi(G))|} \prod_{j=1}^k Q_j^{\pm}$$

where

- $Q_j^{\pm}$  is given by (7.8),
- $s(j)$  is the number of connected components of  $T_j$ .

Furthermore if  $a > c_{\widehat{T}}$  then

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d < X} \frac{f_{\widehat{T}}(d)}{a^{\omega(d)}}}{\sum_{d < X} 1} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.1 we have

$$\sum_{d < X} \frac{f_{\widehat{T}}(d)}{a^{\omega(d)}} = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})}}{4^{\mathbf{c}} 2^{kn} |\text{Aut}_{H,\widehat{T}}(G/\Phi(G))|} \frac{4}{\pi^2} X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1} + o(X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1}).$$

By assumption  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})}$  which is given by Proposition 7.5. We defined  $\mathbf{c} = \max_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})} |\mathcal{U}|$  which by assumption is given by  $\mathbf{c} = c_{\widehat{T}}$ . Thus setting  $a = c_{\widehat{T}}$  and noting the well known formula for the number of real quadratic fields  $\sum_{d < X} 1 \sim \frac{2}{\pi^2} X$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\pm}} \frac{f_{\widehat{T}}(d)}{a^{\omega(d)}}}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\pm}} 1} &= \frac{2^{\omega+1} |\widehat{T}|}{4^{c_{\widehat{T}}} 2^{kn} |\text{Aut}_{H,\widehat{T}}(G/\Phi(G))|} \prod_{j=1}^k Q_j^{\pm} X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1} \\ &\quad + o(X(\log X)^{(\mathbf{c}/a)-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\omega + 1 - 2c_{\widehat{T}} - kn = k + \sum_{j \in [k]} (s(j) - c_{T_j}) - kn$ . Clearly if  $a > c_{\widehat{T}}$  then the above limit is 0.  $\square$

## 8. DETERMINING THE DISTRIBUTION

**8.1. Preliminaries for distributions of functions on quadratic fields.** We start by recalling some preliminaries on measures and distributions. In particular we specify what we mean by the distribution of a function on the set of quadratic fields.

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of real or imaginary quadratic fields. Let  $\Pi$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathcal{K}$  consisting of all subsets and define the measurable space  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{K}, \Pi)$ .

For any positive  $X \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\mu_X$  be the measure on  $\mathcal{M}$  with mass supported uniformly on  $\{K \in \mathcal{K} \mid |D_K| < X\}$ . Then for any measurable space  $\mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{X}, \Sigma)$  and function  $g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  we have a measure  $\mu_{g,X} = g_* \mu_X$  on  $\mathcal{I}$  (note any function is measurable since  $\Pi$  is the power set of  $\mathcal{K}$ ). Thus for any measurable set  $U \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mu_{g,X}(U)$  is the proportion of quadratic fields in  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $|D_K| < X$  such that  $g(K) \in U$ .

Now suppose  $\mathcal{X}$  is also a metric space. Then for any function  $g : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  we define

$$\mu_g = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{g,X}$$

to be weak limit of measures, if it exists. If  $\mathcal{X}$  has the discrete topology this is equivalent to strong convergence:  $\mu_{g,X}(A) \rightarrow \mu_g(A)$  for all  $A$ , but this equivalence is not true in general. Note also the limit  $\mu_g$  may not be a probability measure (that is escape of mass can occur). We call  $\mu_g$  the distribution of  $g$  if  $\mu_g$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Example.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of finite abelian  $p$ -groups, and let  $\Sigma$  be the power set of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $g : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be defined by  $g(K) = Cl_{K,p}^2$  (note squaring only has an effect when  $p = 2$ ).

Then the Cohen-Lenstra conjectures state that  $\mu_g$  exists and describe what it should be equal to, for any  $p$ .

**Example.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of finite abelian  $p$ -torsion groups, and let  $\Sigma$  be the power set of  $\mathcal{X}$ . In this case any  $A \in \mathcal{X}$  is determined by its order, and hence we can identify  $\mathcal{X}$  with the set  $\{p^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ . Let  $g : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be defined by  $g(K) = |Cl_K^2[p]|$ . Fouvry and Klüners computed  $\mu_g$  in the case when  $p = 2$ .

Since in this case  $\mathcal{X}$  is discrete we have strong convergence of the  $\mu_{g,X}$ . Since  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  it is easy to see that this also implies convergence when the measures in question are viewed on  $\mathbb{Q}$  with its usual metric as follows. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{Q}$  (the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Then we can equivalently consider  $\mu_g$  on the measurable space  $\mathcal{I}' = (\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{B})$ . Letting  $\mu'_g$  be the new measure on  $\mathcal{I}'$  the connection is given by  $\mu'_g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_{\{p^i\}} \mu_g(p^i)$  where  $\delta_{\{p^i\}}$  is the points-mass with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In our case the functions  $f$  are rational valued, however taking the discrete metric on the set of values we find that  $\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{f,X}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , that is  $\mu_f$  exists but there is escape of mass. This issue is corrected if we instead view the set of values lying inside  $\mathbb{Q}$  with its usual metric, as in the second example above. We will see that in this case  $\mu_f$  will be a probability measure.

We prove a lemma which will be needed throughout the next two sections.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{I}$  be measurable spaces with  $\mathcal{I} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ . Let  $g, h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  measurable functions such that  $\mu_g$  exists and  $\mu_h = \delta_a$  and let  $t_a$  be translation by  $a$ . Then*

$$\mu_{g+h} = (t_a)_* \mu_g.$$

*Proof.* For any measure  $\mu$  let  $F_\mu$  denote its cumulative distribution function. It is a fact that for a sequence of measures  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly if and only if  $F_{\mu_n}(x) \rightarrow F_\mu(x)$  at all points of continuity of  $F_n, F$ .

First note that  $F_{\mu_g}(x-a) = F_{(t_a)_* \mu_g}(x)$ , since for any  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $(t_a)_* \mu_g(U) = \mu_g(U-a)$ . Hence we need to show that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_{g+h,X}}(x) = F_{\mu_g}(x-a).$$

By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu_{g+h,X}}(x) &= \mu_{g+h,X}((-\infty, x]) \\ &= \mu_X((g+h)^{-1}((-\infty, x])). \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  be small enough such that  $|F_{\mu_g}(x-a) - F_{\mu_g}(x-a \pm \epsilon_0)| < \epsilon$  (this is possible since  $F_{(t_a)_* \mu_g}$  is continuous at  $x$  which implies  $F_{\mu_g}$  is continuous at

$x - a$ ) and there exists  $X$  large enough such that for  $W = h^{-1}(a - \epsilon_0, a + \epsilon_0)$  we have  $\mu_X(W) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ .

We have the set inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} (g)^{-1}((-\infty, x - a - \epsilon_0]) \cap W &\subset (h + g)^{-1}((-\infty, x]) \cap W, \\ (h + g)^{-1}((-\infty, x]) \cap W &\subset (g)^{-1}((-\infty, x - a + \epsilon_0]) \cap W. \end{aligned}$$

By choice of  $X$  we have  $|\mu_X(U \cap W) - \mu_X(U)| < \epsilon$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ . Hence

$$|F_{\mu_{g,X}}(x - a \pm \epsilon_0) - \mu_X((g)^{-1}((-\infty, x - a \pm \epsilon_0]) \cap W)| < \epsilon,$$

$$|F_{\mu_{g+h,X}}(x) - \mu_X((h + g)^{-1}((-\infty, x]) \cap W)| < \epsilon.$$

Furthermore since  $F_{\mu_{g,X}} \longrightarrow F_{\mu_g}$  we have

$$|F_{\mu_{g,X}}(x - a \pm \epsilon_0) - F_{\mu_{g,X}}(x - a)| < 2\epsilon.$$

Putting the above together we obtain that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $X$  large enough such that

$$F_{\mu_{g,X}}(x - a) - \epsilon_1 \leq F_{\mu_{g+h,X}}(x) \leq F_{\mu_{g,X}}(x - a) + \epsilon_1$$

for some  $\epsilon_1$  a multiple of  $\epsilon$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_{g+h,X}}(x) &= \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} F_{\mu_{g,X}}(x - a) \\ &= F_{\mu_g}(x - a). \end{aligned}$$

As stated at the beginning  $F_{\mu_g}(x - a) = F_{(t_a)_* \mu_g}(x)$  which implies  $\mu_{g+h,X} \longrightarrow (t_a)_* \mu_g$  weakly. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We are now interested in computing the distribution  $\mu_f$  of the function  $f = \sum_{T_i} f_{T_i}$  where the sum is over generating sets  $T_i \subset T_0$ .

We first consider the case when  $T_0$  is not complete bipartite and later apply this to handle the complete bipartite case.

## 8.2. The non complete bipartite case.

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $a = |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))|$ . Suppose  $T_0$  is not complete bipartite. For all positive integers  $k$*

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^\pm} \left( \frac{f(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}} \right)^k}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^\pm} 1} = \begin{cases} (2^{c-s+n-1}a)^{-k} \cdot (|T_0| Q^\pm)^k & \text{if } T_i = T_0 \text{ for all } i \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

where  $Q^\pm$  is defined in (7.8).

*Proof.* Since  $f = \sum_{T_i} f_{T_i}$ , taking the  $k$ th power gives  $f^k = \sum_{\widehat{T}} f_{\widehat{T}}$  where  $\widehat{T} = \prod_{i=1}^k T_i$ .

Fix  $\widehat{T}$  and let  $\mathbf{c} = \max_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(\widehat{T})} |\mathcal{U}|$ . First suppose  $\widehat{T} \neq \prod_{i=1}^k T_0$ . By Theorem 5.9  $\mathbf{c} < c^k$ . Then the second case of the statement follows by Theorem 6.1 with  $a = c^k$ .

Now suppose  $T_i = T_0$  for all  $i$ . Then by Theorem 5.9  $\mathbf{c} = c^k$  and  $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\widehat{T})$ . Then the first case of the statement follows by Proposition 7.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.3.** *Let  $a = |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))|$ . Let  $q = (2^{c-s+n-1}a)^{-k} \cdot (Q^\pm)^k$ . Suppose  $T_0$  is not complete bipartite.*

*Then the distribution of  $h(d) = \frac{f(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}}$  is  $\mu_h = \delta_q$  where  $\delta_q$  is the point-mass at  $q$ .*

*Proof.* In the case where  $T_i = T_0$  and  $T_0$  is complete bipartite the  $k$ th moment of  $f(d)/(c)^{\omega(d)}$  is the  $k$ th power of some non-zero rational number by Theorem 8.2. Thus the distribution determined is a point-mass.  $\square$

**8.3. The complete bipartite case.** Suppose  $T_0$  is complete bipartite. Fix a quadratic discriminant  $d$  of the quadratic field  $K$ . Let

$$(8.1) \quad g(d) = \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p|d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right).$$

Note  $g(d) = 2^n |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))| f_{T_0}(d)$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} = \{(d_1, \dots, d_r) \mid \prod_{i=1}^r d_i = d\}$ . Recall we defined  $\mathcal{D} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$  to be the subset such that  $d_i \neq 1$  for all  $i$ . In the following we will write  $\mathcal{D}_T$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_T$  to keep track of tuples corresponding to any subset  $T \subset T_0$  and  $g_{\mathcal{D}_T}$  for the function in (8.1) with  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_T$ . We have

$$g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d) = \sum_{T \subset T_0} g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d)$$

where we sum over all subsets  $T \subset T_0$  (not necessarily generating sets).

Note  $g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}/c^{\omega(d)} = 2^n |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))| f_{T_0}(d)/c^{\omega(d)}$  is the function whose distribution we want to compute. We can write

$$(8.2) \quad \frac{g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}} = \frac{g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}} - \sum_{T \subset T_0} \frac{g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}}.$$

Now we consider the distribution of each of the functions on the right-hand side. We start with  $g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d)/c^{\omega(d)}$ .

For any  $d'_1, d'_2$  dividing  $d$  define

$$P_{d'_1}(d'_2) = \prod_{p|d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{d'_2}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right).$$

Now define the function

$$C(d) = \frac{1}{2^{\omega(d)}} \sum_{\substack{(d'_1, d'_2) \\ d'_1 d'_2 = d}} P_{d'_1}(d'_2) P_{d'_2}(d'_1).$$

We will use this function to relate  $g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}/c^{\omega(d)}$  to the 4-torsion in  $Cl_K$ .

**Lemma 8.4.** *For any quadratic field  $K$  with discriminant  $d$*

$$\frac{g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}} = C(d).$$

*Proof.* Since  $T_0$  is bipartite we have  $T_0 = (W_1, W_2)$ . Let  $T_{0,i} = T_0 \cap W_i$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}} &= \frac{1}{c^{\omega(d)}} \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{p|d_i} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\prod_{j \in S_i} d_j}{p} \right)^{\text{ord}_p(d)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{c^{\omega(d)}} \sum_{\substack{(d'_1, d'_2) \\ d'_1 d'_2 = d}} \left( \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_{r/2}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_{0,1}}(d'_1)} P_{d'_1}(d'_2) \right) \left( \sum_{(d_{r/2+1}, \dots, d_r) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_{0,2}}(d'_2)} P_{d'_2}(d'_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that the terms in the inner summations depend only on the factors  $d'_1$  and  $d'_2$  we can write this as

$$\frac{1}{c^{\omega(d)}} \sum_{\substack{(d'_1, d'_2) \\ d'_1 d'_2 = d}} P_{d'_1}(d'_2) P_{d'_2}(d'_1) \left( \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_{r/2}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_{0,1}}(d'_1)} 1 \right) \left( \sum_{(d_{r/2+1}, \dots, d_r) \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_{0,2}}(d'_2)} 1 \right).$$

Now  $|\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_{0,i}}(d'_i)| = (r/2)^{\omega(d'_i)} = c_{T_{0,i}}^{\omega(d'_i)}$  since this is the number of ways of factoring  $d'_i$  into  $r/2$  elements. Note that  $c_{T_{0,i}} = c_{T_0}/2$ . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{c^{\omega(d)}} \sum_{\substack{(d'_1, d'_2) \\ d'_1 d'_2 = d}} P_{d'_1}(d'_2) P_{d'_2}(d'_1) c_{T_{0,1}}^{\omega(d'_1)} c_{T_{0,2}}^{\omega(d'_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\omega(d)}} \sum_{\substack{(d'_1, d'_2) \\ d'_1 d'_2 = d}} P_{d'_1}(d'_2) P_{d'_2}(d'_1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.5.** *Suppose  $d$  is the discriminant of a quadratic field  $K$ . Then*

$$\frac{g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}} = 2 |Cl_K[4] / Cl_K[2]|.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 8.4  $g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d) / c^{\omega(d)} = C(d)$ .

Let  $G = D_4 \cong C_4 \rtimes C_2$ ,  $H = C_4$  and  $T$  be the two non-trivial elements of  $G/\Phi(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2$  not in the projection of  $H$ . Then by Theorem 2.10

$$\begin{aligned} C(d) &= \frac{2^n |\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G/\Phi(G))|}{2^{\omega(d)}} f_T(d) + 2 \\ (8.3) \quad &= \frac{1}{2^{\omega(d)}} (8 f_T(d) + 2 \cdot 2^{\omega(d)}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $n = 2$  and  $|\text{Aut}_{H,T}(G/\Phi(G))| = 2$ .

Now  $f_T$  is the number of  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions of  $K$ . This is also the number of  $(G, H)$ -extensions since this is the unique  $T$  for the pair  $(G, H) = (D_4, C_4)$ .

We claim that  $f_T$  is in fact equal to the number of unramified  $C_4$ -extensions of  $K$ . Clearly any  $(G, H)$ -extension is an unramified  $C_4$ -extension of  $K$ . Conversely suppose  $L/K$  is unramified and  $\alpha : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow C_4$  is an isomorphism. Since  $C_4$  is abelian  $L$  is a subfield of the Hilbert class field of  $K$  hence Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then since  $G \cong C_4 \rtimes C_2$  and  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(L/K) \rtimes \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  we can lift  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha' : \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow G$ . Hence  $L/K$  is a  $(G, H)$ -extension.

For any such extension there are exactly 2 surjections from  $Cl_K$  to  $C_4$ . Hence  $2 \cdot f_T = |\text{Surj}(Cl_K, C_4)|$ .

Note  $|\text{Hom}(Cl_K, C_{2^m})| = |Cl_K[2^m]|$ . Furthermore

$$|\text{Hom}(Cl_K, C_4)| = |\text{Surj}(Cl_K, C_4)| + |\text{Hom}(Cl_K, C_2)|.$$

It is well known that  $|Cl_K[2]| = 2^{\omega(d)-1}$ . Combining the above facts with (8.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} C(d) &= \frac{1}{2^{\omega(d)}} (4 |\text{Surj}(Cl_K, C_4)| + 2 \cdot 2^{\omega(d)}) \\ &= \frac{4}{2^{\omega(d)}} (|\text{Surj}(Cl_K, C_4)| + 2^{\omega(d)-1}) \\ &= 2 |Cl_K[4]| / |Cl_K[2]|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $\mu_{CL}^\pm(H)$  be the Cohen-Lenstra probability measure on finite abelian 2-groups  $H$  which is proportional to  $1/(|\text{Aut} H| \cdot |H|^a)$  where  $a = 0, 1$  in the positive (resp. negative) case. Let  $P_{CL}^\pm(i)$  be the probability with respect to the Cohen-Lenstra measure that a finite abelian 2-group has rank  $i$ .

The distribution of the function  $|Cl_K[4]|/|Cl_K[2]|$  over both imaginary and real quadratic fields was determined by Fouvry and Klüners in [FK07] and [FK06]. Thus we conclude the following.

**Lemma 8.6.** *The function  $h(d) = g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d) / c^{\omega(d)}$  has distribution  $\mu_h$  supported on the set  $\{2^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$  given by*

$$\mu_h(2^i) = P_{CL}^\pm(i-1)$$

*over all real (respectively imaginary) quadratic fields.*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma 8.5 and Theorem 3 from [FK07]. □

Next we consider the distribution of  $h_T(d) = g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d) / c^{\omega(d)}$  for  $T \subset T_0$ . We will do this by computing the  $k$ th moments of  $h_T$ .

**Lemma 8.7.** *If  $T \in \{W_1, W_2\}$  then  $\mu_{h_T} = \delta_1$ . If  $T \notin \{W_1, W_2, T_0\}$  then  $\mu_{h_T} = \delta_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{c} = \max_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(T^k)} |\mathcal{U}|$ . Since  $T \subset T_0$  and  $T_0$  is complete bipartite it is easy to see that  $c_T \leq c_{T_0}$  with equality if and only if  $T \in \{W_1, W_2, T_0\}$ .

Let  $G'$  be the group generated by  $T$ ,  $H' = H \cap G$  and let  $T'_0$  be the corresponding maximal generating set which lifts to order 2 elements in  $G' - H'$ . By Theorem 5.9 applied to  $T'_0$  we see that  $\mathbf{c} \leq c_{T'_0}^k$  with equality if and only if  $T = T'_0$ . By Lemma 5.8  $c_{T'_0} \leq c_{T_0}$ .

If  $T = T'_0$  then  $\mathbf{c} = c_T^k = c_{T'_0}^k$ . Putting these facts together we see that  $\mathbf{c} \leq c_{T'_0}^k$  with equality if and only if  $T \in \{W_1, W_2, T_0\}$ .

Hence if  $T \notin \{W_1, W_2, T_0\}$  by Theorem 6.1

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d < X} \left( \frac{g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d)}{c^{\omega(d)}} \right)^k}{\sum_{d < X} 1} = 0.$$

If  $T \in \{W_1, W_2\}$  then from the definition of  $g_{\mathcal{D}_T}$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} h_T(d) &= \frac{1}{c^{\omega(d)}} \sum_{(d_1, \dots, d_{r/2}) \in \mathcal{D}_T} 2^{\omega(d)} \\ &= \frac{1}{c^{\omega(d)}} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{\omega(d)} 2^{\omega(d)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now put together the results of this section to determine the distribution of  $g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}/c^{\omega(d)}$  and subsequently  $f$ .

**Theorem 8.8.** *Suppose  $T_0$  is complete bipartite. Let  $q = 2^{n-1} |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))|$ . Then  $h(d) = f(d)/c^{\omega(d)}$  has distribution  $\mu_h$  supported on the set  $\{(2^i - 1)/q \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  given by*

$$\mu_h((2^i - 1)/q) = P_{CL}^{\pm}(i)$$

over the set of real (respectively imaginary) quadratic fields.

*Proof.* Recall we have the relations

$$(8.4) \quad f = \sum_{T \subset T_0} f_T$$

where the sum is over generating sets,

$$g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}(d) = g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d) - \sum_{T \subsetneq T_0} g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d)$$

where the sum is over all strict subsets of  $T_0$ , including non-generating sets, and  $g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}(d) = 2^n |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))| f_{T_0}(d)$ .

By an argument similar to Lemma 8.7 we can show that when  $T \neq T_0$  the  $k$ th moments of  $f_T/c^{\omega(d)}$  are all equal to 0 since in this case  $T$  is a generating set and hence  $\max_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathbf{U}(T^k)} |\mathcal{U}| < c_{T_0}^k$ . Thus  $\mu_{f_T/c^{\omega}} = \delta_0$ . Combined with (8.4) this implies that  $\mu_h = \mu_{f_{T_0}/c^{\omega}}$ .

Let  $h_g(d) = g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}/c^{\omega(d)}$ . By Lemmas 8.6 and 8.7  $\mu_{h_g}$  is supported on the set  $\{2^i - 2 \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$  and

$$\mu_{h_g}(2^i - 2) = P_{CL}^{\pm}(i - 1)$$

over real (respectively imaginary) quadratic fields.

Thus we conclude that  $\mu_h$  is supported on  $\{(2^i - 1)/q \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  given by

$$\mu_h((2^i - 1)/q) = P_{CL}^{\pm}(i).$$

□

*Remark 8.9.* From the above proof we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f/c^{\omega(d)} &= \frac{1}{2^n |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))|} \left( 2 |Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]| - \sum_{T \subsetneq T_0} g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d) / c^{\omega(d)} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum'_{T \subsetneq T_0} f_T / c^{\omega(d)} \\
 (8.5) \quad &= \frac{1}{2^n |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))|} \left( 2 |Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]| - \sum''_{T \subsetneq T_0} g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d) / c^{\omega(d)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the prime indicates a sum over subsets  $T$  which are generating sets, and the double-prime indicates a sum is over subsets  $T$  which are *not* generating sets.

It is evident from this that when  $T_0$  is complete bipartite the distribution of  $f(d)/c^{\omega(d)}$  is controlled by the 4-torsion of the class group. The values of the function however depend on additional information, which comes from the other unramified 2-extensions of the quadratic field  $K$ .

Up until now we have been working with the formula for the function  $f_T$  which counts  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions unramified away from infinity. For the remainder of the paper we switch notation as follows. Let  $f'_T$  denote the function counting  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions unramified away from infinity and let  $f_T$  denote that counting  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions unramified everywhere. Similarly define  $f'$  and  $f$ . We now show that the distributions and moments of these two functions are the same.

**Lemma 8.10.** *Let  $f'_T(K)$  and  $f_T(K)$  denote the number of  $(G, H, T)$ -extensions of  $K$  which are unramified away from infinity (resp. unramified everywhere). Then  $\mu_{f_{T_0}/c^\omega} = \mu_{f'_{T_0}/c^\omega}$  and  $E_k(f_{T_0}/c^\omega) = E_k(f'_{T_0}/c^\omega)$  for all positive integers  $k$ .*

*Proof.* By the same proofs as in Propositions 11 and Lemma 12 of [AK16] we see that if we define  $g = f_{T_0}/c^\omega - f'_{T_0}/c^\omega$  then  $E_k(g) = 0$  for all  $k$ . This implies  $\mu_g = \delta_0$  and hence by Lemma 8.1  $\mu_{f_{T_0}/c^\omega} = \mu_{f'_{T_0}/c^\omega}$ . Then also clearly  $E_k(f_{T_0}/c^\omega) = E_k(f'_{T_0}/c^\omega)$ . □

## 9. MOMENTS AND CORRELATIONS

Using results from the previous section we can determine the moments of  $f$  for a fixed pair  $(G, H)$  as well as correlations of such functions for several different pairs  $(G, H)$ .

Using almost the same proof as in Lemma 8.1 we can also prove

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{I}$  be measurable spaces with  $\mathcal{I} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ . Let  $g, h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  measurable functions such that  $\mu_g$  exists and  $\mu_h = \delta_a$  and let  $m_a$  be multiplication by  $a$ . Then*

$$\mu_{g \cdot h} = (m_a)_* \mu_g.$$

We first need a lemma on correlations of the type of functions we will encounter. For any measure  $\mu$  let  $E_k(\mu)$  denote the  $k$ th moment defined by  $\int x^k d\mu$ .



**Lemma 9.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{I}$  be measurable spaces with  $\mathcal{I} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ . Let  $g, h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  be positive measurable functions. Suppose  $g$  has countable well-ordered image and  $\mu_{g,X} \rightarrow \mu_g$  strongly and  $\mu_h = \delta_a$ . Furthermore suppose  $E_k(\mu_{g,X}) \rightarrow E_k(\mu_g)$  and  $E_k(\mu_{h,X}) \rightarrow E_k(\mu_h)$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Then  $E_1(\mu_{g \cdot h, X}) \rightarrow E_1(\mu_{g \cdot h})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be the image of  $g$  in increasing order. Then for each  $k = 1, 2$  we have the equations

$$E_k(\mu_g) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_g(y_i) y_i^k.$$

Let  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ . Since the above series converges there exists  $N$  large such that  $\int_{x>N} x^k d\mu_g < \epsilon_1$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Since  $E_k(\mu_{g,X}) \rightarrow E_k(\mu_g)$  and  $\mu_{g,X} \rightarrow \mu_g$  strongly we can make  $X$  large enough so that  $\int_{x>N} x^k d\mu_{g,X} < \epsilon_1$  for  $k = 1, 2$ .

Let  $\epsilon_2, \delta > 0$  and  $I = (a - \delta, a + \delta)$  and let  $J = \mathbb{R} \setminus I$ . Since  $\mu_h = \delta_a$  we can find  $X$  large enough such that  $\mu_{h,X}(I) > 1 - \epsilon_2$  and  $\mu_{h,X}(J) < \epsilon_2$ . Since  $E_k(\mu_{h,X}) \rightarrow E_k(\mu_h) = a^k$  we can also find  $X$  large enough such that  $|a^k - \int x^k d\mu_{h,X}| < \epsilon_2$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Hence

$$(9.1) \quad \left| \int_J x^k d\mu_{h,X} \right| < \epsilon_2 + \left| a^k - \int_I x^k d\mu_{h,X} \right|$$

and the right side goes to 0 with  $\epsilon_2$  and  $\delta$ . Also choose  $\epsilon_2$  such that  $\epsilon_2 N^2$  is small. So we can choose  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta$  arbitrarily small and  $X$  large enough such that all of the above holds.

Now fix such an  $X$  and let  $W_1 = h^{-1}(I) \cap \mathcal{D}_X$  and  $W_1^c = \mathcal{D}_X \setminus W_1$ . Note  $\mu_X(W_1) = \mu_{h,X}(I) > 1 - \epsilon_2$  and  $\mu_X(W_1^c) = \mu_{h,X}(J) < \epsilon_2$ . By definition

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\mu_{g \cdot h, X}) &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_X} (g \cdot h)(x) d\mu_X \\ &= \int_{W_1} (g \cdot h)(x) d\mu_X + \int_{W_1^c} (g \cdot h)(x) d\mu_X \end{aligned}$$

For  $k = 1, 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9.2) \quad \int_{W_1^c} g(x)^k d\mu_X &= \int_{W_1^c \cap g^{-1}([0, N])} g(x)^k d\mu_X + \int_{W_1^c \cap g^{-1}(N, \infty)} g(x)^k d\mu_X \\ &\leq \epsilon_2 N^k + \int_{x>N} x^k d\mu_{g,X} \\ &\leq \epsilon_2 N^2 + \epsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $k = 1$  this implies that  $|E_1(\mu_g) - \int_{W_1} g(x) d\mu_X| < |E_1(\mu_g) - E_1(\mu_{g,X})| + \epsilon_2 N^2 + \epsilon_1$ . Then it follows from the definition of  $W_1$  and the previous fact that

$$(a - \delta) \int_{W_1} g(x) d\mu_X \leq \int_{W_1} (g \cdot h)(x) d\mu_X \leq (a + \delta) \int_{W_1} g(x) d\mu_X$$

so  $\int_{W_1} (g \cdot h)(x) d\mu_X$  can be made arbitrarily close to  $aE_1(\mu_g) = E_1(\mu_{g \cdot h})$  (see Lemma 9.1).

Finally it follows from Cauchy-Schwarz that

$$\int_{W_1^c} (g \cdot h)(x) d\mu_X \leq \left( \int_{W_1^c} g(x)^2 d\mu_X \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{W_1^c} h(x)^2 d\mu_X \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note  $\int_{W_1^c} h(x)^2 d\mu_X = \int_J x^2 d\mu_{h,X}$ . Hence by (9.1) and (9.2) with  $k = 2$  we see that  $\int_{W_1^c} (g \cdot h)(x) d\mu_X$  goes to 0 with  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  and  $\delta$ .  $\square$

*Remark 9.3.* A similar easier proof can be used to show Lemma 9.2 holds when  $g$  satisfies the same conditions as  $h$  and  $\mu_g = \delta_b$  for some non-zero  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Considering the explicit form of the function  $f$  when  $T_0$  is complete bipartite we obtain the following result on correlations.

**Theorem 9.4.** *Let  $(G_1, H_1), \dots, (G_k, H_k)$  be a sequence of admissible pairs. Let  $T_{i,0}$  be the maximal admissible generating set corresponding to  $(G_i, H_i)$ . Let  $f_i$  be the function counting  $(G_i, H_i)$ -extensions. Let  $Y \subset [k]$  be the set of indices for which  $T_{i,0}$  is complete bipartite. Then*

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} \prod_{i=1}^k \left( \frac{f_i(d)}{c_{T_{i,0}}^{\omega(d)}} \right)}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} 1} = \left( \prod_{j=1}^k q_j^{-1} \right) \left( \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus Y} P_j^\pm \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{|Y|} (-1)^i \binom{|Y|}{i} M^\pm(|Y| - i) \right)$$

where

- $q_j = 2^{n_j-1} |\text{Aut}_{H_j}(G_j, \Phi(G_j))|$
- $M^-(j) = N(j)$  and  $M^+(j) = 2^{-j} (N(j+1) - N(j))$
- $P_j^\pm = 2^{c_{T_{j,0}} - s_j + n_j - 1} |T_{j,0}| Q_j^\pm$  where  $s_j$  is the number of connected components of  $T_{j,0}$  and  $Q_j^\pm$  is defined in (7.8).

*Proof.* From Remark 8.9 we see that if  $T_{i,0}$  is complete bipartite then  $f_i(d)/c_{T_{i,0}}^{\omega(d)}$  can be written as a sum of  $(|Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]| - 1)/q_i$  and functions  $g_{i,j}$  satisfying  $\mu_{g_{i,j}} = \delta_0$  and  $E_k(\mu_{g_{i,j},X}) \rightarrow E_k(\mu_{g_{i,j}})$ . Let  $f(K) = |Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]| - 1$ . Note  $f^k(K) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} |Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]|^i$ . By Fouvry-Klüners

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} (|Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]|)^k}{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} 1} = M^\pm(k).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} (f(K))^k}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} 1} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} M^\pm(k - i)$$

and  $\mu_f(2^i - 1) = P_{CL}^\pm(i)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Note  $f(K)^k$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 9.2.

By Theorem 8.2 and Corollary 8.3 we know the limiting distribution and moments of  $f_i(d)/c_{T_{i,0}}^{\omega(d)}$  for each  $i \notin Y$ . Let  $g(d) = \prod_{i \in [k] \setminus Y} (f_i(d)/c_{T_{i,0}}^{\omega(d)})$ . By applying Remark 9.3 iteratively to products of powers of the functions  $f_i(d)/c_{T_{i,0}}^{\omega(d)}$  for  $i \in [k] \setminus Y$  we obtain that  $\mu_g$  is a point-mass supported at  $\prod_{j \in [k] \setminus Y} P_j^\pm/q_j$  and

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} g(d)}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} 1} = \prod_{j \in [k] \setminus Y} P_j^\pm/q_j.$$

Let  $h(d) = \prod_{i \in Y} (f_i(d)/c_{T_{i,0}}^{\omega(d)})$ . Then similarly by Remark 9.3 applied to the functions  $g_{i,j}$  for  $i \in Y$  and Lemma 9.2 to products of  $f$  and the  $g_{i,j}$  we see

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} h(d)}{\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_X^\pm} 1} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} M^\pm(k-i)$$

and  $\mu_h(2^i - 1) = P_{CL}^\pm(i)$ . Finally applying Lemma 9.2 to  $g$  and  $h$  once more completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.5.** *Let  $(G_1, H_1, T_{0,1}), \dots, (G_k, H_k, T_{0,k})$  be a set of admissible tuples. Let  $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}$  be the set of all quadratic fields  $K$  whose maximal unramified extension  $K^{un}/\mathbb{Q}$  contains a  $(G_i, H_i)$  extension for all  $i$ .*

*If  $H_i$  is non-abelian for all  $i$  then  $\mathcal{K}_0$  has density 1. Otherwise  $\mathcal{K}_0$  has density  $1 - P_{CL}(0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f_i$  and  $c_i$  be the counting function and normalizing constant corresponding to  $(G_i, H_i, T_{0,i})$ . Since in the first case for each  $i$  the function  $h_i = f_i/c_i^\omega$  is distributed as a point mass at some non-zero value this implies that  $h_i$  evaluates to be non-zero at one hundred percent of quadratic fields. Clearly the field  $K^{un}$  contains the desired compositum if  $\prod_{i=1}^k h_i$  is non-zero at  $K$ .

On the other hand if  $H_i$  is abelian for at least one  $i$  then  $\prod_{i=1}^k h_i$  will be zero with density  $P_{CL}(0)$  (since for such  $i$  the functions  $h_i$  are maximally correlated).  $\square$

## 10. ADDITIONAL REMARKS

**10.1. Malle-Bhargava heuristics.** As further evidence for the refined Conjecture 1.6 we obtain an asymptotic for  $\sum_{K, 0 < \pm D_K < X} f_T(K)$  by giving a minor modification of an argument of Wood [Woo16] who computed an asymptotic for  $\sum_{K, 0 < \pm D_K < X} f(K)$ . The argument employs the field counting heuristics put forth by Bhargava [Bha07]. In the setting of counting  $G$  extensions  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $D_L < X$  the heuristics say that the asymptotic is determined by the product of the local masses at each prime  $p$ :

$$m_p = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\phi \in S_{\mathbb{Q}_p, G}} \frac{1}{p^{c(\phi)}}$$

where  $S_{\mathbb{Q}_p, G}$  is the set of homomorphisms  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow G$ , and  $c(\phi)$  is a function giving the power of  $p$  in  $D_{L_p}$  where  $L_p/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the extension corresponding to  $\phi$ .

Since we are interested only in fields counted by  $f_T$  we modify the above local mass as follows. The sum is restricted to morphisms which map the absolute inertia group  $I_p \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  to an element  $x$  which projects to an element of  $T$  in  $G/\Phi(G)$ . Furthermore since we are counting extensions  $L/K/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $D_K < X$  (rather than  $D_L < X$ ) we let  $c(\phi)$  be the function giving the power of  $p$  in  $D_{K_p}$  where  $K_p$  is the ramified quadratic subfield of  $L_p/\mathbb{Q}_p$  the field corresponding to  $\phi$ .

With these considerations, for each odd prime  $p$  the modified local mass is easily shown to be

$$(10.1) \quad m'_p = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_c \sum_{x \in c} \sum_{\langle x \rangle \leq D \leq G} |\text{Aut}_{\langle x \rangle}(D)| |\{F/\mathbb{Q}_p \mid \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong D\}| \cdot p^{-1} \\ + \sum_{D \leq G} |\text{Aut}_{\langle x \rangle}(D)| |\{F/\mathbb{Q}_p \mid \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong D\}|$$

where the first sum is over conjugacy classes of order 2 elements lifting  $T$  and the third sum is over possible decomposition groups with inertia group  $\langle x \rangle$  and the sum in the second term is over cyclic subgroups, since this term corresponds to the unramified extensions.

**Proposition 10.1.** *With the above notation*

$$m'_p = 1 + \frac{c_T}{p}.$$

*Proof.* Note that since  $D/\langle x \rangle$  has to be cyclic and  $x$  cannot be a square in  $G$  (if  $x$  is a square then  $x \in \Phi(G)$ )  $D$  has to be of the form  $C_2 \times C_{2^m}$  where  $m \geq 0$ .

For any  $c$  and  $m$  let

$$r_p(c, m) = \sum_{x \in c} \sum_{\substack{\langle x \rangle \leq D \leq G, \\ D \cong C_2 \times C_{2^m}}} |\text{Aut}_{\langle x \rangle}(D)| |\{F/\mathbb{Q}_p \mid \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong D\}|$$

so that by switching summations the first term in (10.1) becomes

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_c \sum_{m \geq 0} r_p(c, m) \cdot p^{-1}.$$

We now compute the values of  $r_p(c, m)$ . Note that  $|\text{Aut}_{\langle x \rangle}(D)| = 2^m$ . Also, for any odd prime there is exactly 1 ramified extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong C_2 \times C_{2^m}$  for  $m > 0$  and exactly 2 ramified extensions for  $m = 0$ .

If  $D \cong C_2$  (that is  $m = 0$ ) then

$$r_p(c, 0) = \sum_{x \in c} 2 \\ = 2|c|.$$

Now suppose  $m \geq 1$ . Then

$$r_p(c, m) = 2^m \sum_{x \in c} \sum_{\substack{\langle x \rangle \leq D \leq G, \\ D \cong C_2 \times C_{2^m}}} 1$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{\langle x \rangle \leq D \leq G, \\ D \cong C_2 \times C_{2^m}}} 1 = \frac{|C_G(x)[2^m]| - |C_G(x)[2^{m-1}]| - \delta(m)}{|\text{Aut}_{\langle x \rangle}(D)|}$$

where  $\delta(m) = 1$  if  $m = 1$  and 0 otherwise. We subtract  $\delta(m)$  to account for the element  $x \in C_G(x)[2]$  which does not contribute to the count of  $D \cong C_2 \times C_2$  in the case  $m = 1$ .

Thus for any  $m \geq 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} r_p(c, m) &= \sum_{x \in c} |C_G(x)[2^m]| - |C_G(x)[2^{m-1}]| \\ &= |c| (|C_G(x)[2^m]| - |C_G(x)[2^{m-1}]| - \delta(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $|C_G(x)[2^m]| = |C_G(x)|$  for large enough  $m$  and  $|C_G(x)[2^0]| + \delta(1) = 2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_c \sum_{m \geq 0} r_p(c, m) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_c |c| |C_G(x)| \\ &= \sum_c 1 \\ &= c_T. \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we are using the orbit stabilizer theorem which says  $|G| = |c| |C_G(x)|$ .

By a similar argument one can show that

$$\sum_{D \leq G} |\text{Aut}_{\langle x \rangle}(D)| |\{F/\mathbb{Q}_p \mid \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong D\}| = |G|$$

where the sum is over all cyclic subgroups (recall this term corresponds to the unramified extensions).

Thus the local mass at  $p$  is

$$1 + \frac{c_T}{p}.$$

This translates to an asymptotic of  $X(\log X)^{c_T-1}$  for the global count, in agreement with Conjecture 1.6.  $\square$

**10.2. Examples.** We list all the examples of admissible pairs  $(G, H)$  along with their graphs and asymptotics for  $n = 2$  and 3.

When  $n = 2$  the only example is  $(G, H) = (D_4, C_4)$  and  $T_0 = \{t_1, t_2\}$  which form an edge in  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$ . Hence  $c = 2$ .

The following example shows that  $f_{(G,H)}$  can have different asymptotics (that is different values  $c_{T_0}$ ) for different subgroups  $H$  of the same group  $G$ .

Let  $n = 3$ . There are two possibilities for  $G$  which is an admissible central extension of  $\mathbb{F}_2^3$  by  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

Let  $G$  be the central product of  $D_4$  and  $C_4$  (the quotient of  $D_4 \oplus C_4$  obtained by identifying their Frattini subgroups). It is isomorphic to both  $Q_8 \rtimes C_2$  and  $(C_4 \times C_2) \rtimes C_2$  with the appropriate actions.

If we let  $H = Q_8$  then  $T_0 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  is complete on its vertices. Hence  $c = 3$ .

If we let  $H = C_4 \times C_2$  then  $T_0 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_1 t_2 t_3\}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}(T_0)$  is complete bipartite with partitions  $W_1 = \{t_1, t_2\}$  and  $W_2 = \{t_3, t_1 t_2 t_3\}$ . Hence  $c = 4$ .

Let  $G = D_4 \times C_2$ . The only possibility is  $H = D_4$  in which case  $T_0 = \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$  such that  $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{G}(T_0)$  and  $t_3$  is disconnected. Hence  $c = 4$ .

**10.3. Comparison with Fouvry-Klüners.** In the case  $(G, H) = (D_4, C_4)$  the formula for our counting function  $f$  in Theorem 2.10 closely resembles the expression computed by Fouvry and Klüners for  $|Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]|$ . They showed that the function  $h(d) = |Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]|$  has distribution  $\mu_h(2^i) = P_{CL}^\pm(i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We make explicit the relationship.

In this case the only admissible generating set  $T_0$  is the maximal one consisting of two elements, hence  $f = f_{T_0}$  and  $c_{T_0} = 2$ . Hence (using the notation of Section 8.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}(d) &= g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d) - \sum_{T \subsetneq T_0} g_{\mathcal{D}_T}(d) \\ &= g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d) - 2 \cdot 2^{\omega(d)} \end{aligned}$$

where the sum in the first line is over all strict subsets of  $T_0$ , including non-generating sets. Furthermore in this case

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathcal{D}_{T_0}}(d) &= 2^n |\text{Aut}_H(G/\Phi(G))| f_{T_0}(d) \\ &= 8 f_{T_0}(d). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8.5

$$\frac{g_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{T_0}}(d)}{2^{\omega(d)}} = 2 |Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]|$$

so by the above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(d)}{2^{\omega(d)}} &= \frac{1}{8} (2 |Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]| - 2) \\ &= \frac{|Cl_K[4]/Cl_K[2]| - 1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in this case the function  $f$  is supported exactly on the same set as its distribution  $\mu_{f/2^\omega}$  which by Theorem 8.8 (or directly from the above result of Fouvry-Klüners) is

$$\mu_h((2^i - 1)/4) = P_{CL}^\pm(i)$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (we reiterate that for any pair  $(G, H) \neq (D_4, C_4)$   $f$  will be supported away from this set with positive density).

## REFERENCES

- [AK16] Brandon Alberts and Jack Klys. The distribution of  $h_8$ -extensions of quadratic fields. 2016. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05595>.
- [Alb16] Brandon Alberts. Cohen-Lenstra Moments for Some Nonabelian Groups, 2016. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07867>.
- [Alb17] Brandon Alberts. Certain Unramified Metabelian Extensions Using Lemmermeyer Factorizations, 2017. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.00900>.
- [Bha07] Manjul Bhargava. Mass formulae for extensions of local fields, and conjectures on the density of number field discriminants. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):Art. ID rnm052, 20, 2007.
- [Bha14] Manjul Bhargava. The geometric sieve and the density of squarefree values of invariant polynomials. 2014. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.0031>.
- [CL84] H. Cohen and H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Heuristics on class groups of number fields. In *Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983 (Noordwijkerhout, 1983)*, volume 1068 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 33–62. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [DH71] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn. On the density of discriminants of cubic fields. II. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 322(1551):405–420, 1971.
- [FK06] Étienne Fouvry and Jürgen Klüners. Cohen-Lenstra heuristics of quadratic number fields. In *Algorithmic number theory*, volume 4076 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 40–55. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [FK07] Étienne Fouvry and Jürgen Klüners. On the 4-rank of class groups of quadratic number fields. *Invent. Math.*, 167(3):455–513, 2007.
- [Gro02] Larry C. Grove. *Classical groups and geometric algebra*, volume 39 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Lem97] Franz Lemmermeyer. Unramified quaternion extensions of quadratic number fields. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 9(1):51–68, 1997.
- [MS91] Ján Mináč and Tara L. Smith. A characterization of  $C$ -fields via Galois groups. *J. Algebra*, 137(1):1–11, 1991.
- [Woo16] Melanie Wood. Nonabelian cohen-lenstra moments. 2016. Preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.04644>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CANADA  
[jack.klys@ucalgary.ca](mailto:jack.klys@ucalgary.ca)