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Abstract. We study normal forms of germs of singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces. We prove the existence of rigid normal forms for singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces which are defined by the vanishing of the real part of complex quasihomogeneous polynomials with isolated singularity. This result generalizes previous results of Burns-Gong [6] and Fernández-Pérez [14]. Furthermore, we prove the existence of two new rigid normal forms for singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces which are preserved by a change of isochore coordinates, that is, a change of coordinates that preserves volume.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study normal forms of germs of singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces. Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1. Let $M = \{ F = 0 \}$ be a germ of an irreducible singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

(a) $F(z) = \text{Re}(Q(z)) + H(z, \bar{z})$;

(b) $Q$ is a complex quasihomogeneous polynomial of quasihomogeneous degree $d$ with isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

(c) $H$ is a germ of real-analytic function at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ of order strictly greater than $d$ and $H(z, \bar{z}) = \overline{H(\bar{z}, z)}$.

Then there exists a germ of biholomorphism $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that

$$\phi(M) = \left\{ \text{Re} \left( Q(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j e_j(z) \right) = 0 \right\},$$

$e_1, \ldots, e_s$ are the elements of the monomial basis of the local algebra of $Q$ of quasihomogeneous degree strictly greater than $d$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

When $M$ is a germ of a singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 3$, the same result was proved by Fernández-Pérez in [13]. Therefore, the above theorem completes the study of normal forms of real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces which are defined by the vanishing of real part of complex quasihomogeneous polynomials with isolated singularity. We also note...
that Theorem 1 generalizes the main results of [6] and [14], because the authors considered the same theorem for a generic Morse singularity and Arnold singularities of type $A_k$, $D_k$, $E_6$, $E_7$ and $E_8$, which are given by complex quasihomogeneous polynomials with inner modality zero (see for instance [2]).

Topics about singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces have been previously studied by several authors, see for instance [5], [7], [15], [16], [22], and normal forms of CR singular codimension two Levi-flat submanifolds was studied in [20]. On the other hand, the study of normal forms of real-analytic hypersurfaces with Levi-form non-degenerate is given by the theory of Cartan [9] and Chern-Moser [10].

The second part of this paper is devoted to prove the existence of normal forms of singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces which are preserved by a change of isochore coordinates, that is, a change of coordinates that preserve volume. Our main motivation are the Morse-type results for singularities of holomorphic functions given by J. Vey [25] and J-P Françoise [17]. More precisely, Vey proved an isochore version of Lemma of Morse for germs of holomorphic functions at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, and Françoise gave a new proof of the same result. A much more general statement was given by Garay [19]. In this same spirit, we propose here an analogous version of Vey’s theorem for singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces which are defined by the vanishing of the real part of a generic Morse function. We state the following result.

**Theorem 2.** Let $M = \{ F = 0 \}$ be a germ of an irreducible singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, such that

$$F(z) = \Re(z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2) + H(z, \bar{z}),$$

where $H(z, \bar{z}) = O(|z|^3)$ and $H(z, \bar{z}) = \overline{H(z, \bar{z})}$. Then, there exists a germ of a volume-preserving biholomorphism $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a germ of an automorphism $\psi : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that

$$\phi(M) = \{ \Re(\psi(z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2)) = 0 \}.$$

The above theorem can be viewed as an isochore version of Burns-Gong’s theorem [6]. On the other hand, in order to establish our next result we consider some definitions and notations that will be explained in the section 2: for a germ of a singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface $M$ with Levi foliation $\mathcal{L}$ and singular set $\Sing(M)$, we will define the complexification $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $M$, which will be a germ of complex analytic subvariety contained $M$. The singular set of $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ will be denoted by $\Sing(M_{\mathbb{C}})$. We will see that $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equipped with a germ of a singular codimension-one holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which will be the complexification of the Levi foliation $\mathcal{L}$. The singular set of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}$ will be denoted by $\Sing(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Recently in [24], A. Szawlowski presented a volume-preserving normal form for germs of holomorphic functions that are right-equivalent to the product of all coordinates. Motivated by [24], we will prove an analogous version for singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces.

**Theorem 3.** Let $M = \{ F = 0 \}$ be a germ of an irreducible singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, such that $F(z) = \Re(z_1 \cdots z_n) + H(z, \bar{z})$, where $H(z, \bar{z}) =$
$O(|z|^{n+1})$ and $H(z, \bar{z}) = \overline{H(z, \bar{z})}$. Suppose that
\[
\text{Sing}(M_C) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq k < \ell \leq n} V_{ijk\ell},
\]
where $V_{ijk\ell} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n : z_i = z_j = w_k = w_\ell = 0\}$ and $\text{Sing}(M_C) \subset \text{Sing}(L_C)$.
Then, there exists a germ of codimension-one holomorphic foliation $F_M$ tangent to $M$, with a non-constant holomorphic first integral $f(z) = z_1 \cdots z_n + O(|z|^{n+1})$ such that
\[
M = \{\text{Re}(f(z)) = 0\}.
\]

As a consequence of above theorem and the main result of Szawlowski [24] we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Let $M$ be a germ of an irreducible singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface as in Theorem 3. If $f$ is right equivalent to the product of all coordinates, $f \sim_R z_1 \cdots z_n$. Then there exists a germ of a volume-preserving biholomorphism $\Phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a germ of an automorphism $\Psi : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that
\[
\Phi(M) = \{\text{Re}(\Psi(z_1 \cdots z_n)) = 0\},
\]
where $\Psi$ is uniquely determined by $f$ up to a sign.

Let us recall that two germs of holomorphic functions $f$ and $g$ are right equivalent $f \sim_R g$, if there exist a germ of biholomorphism $\phi$ around the origin such that $f \circ \phi^{-1} = g$. We remark that the normal forms of Theorem 3 and Corollary 1 are germs of real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces whose singular set are of positive dimension. In general, the problem of finding normal forms of germs of real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces with non-isolated singularities is very difficult and there are few results about it, see for instance [15].

To prove theorems 1, 2 and 3 we use the techniques of holomorphic foliations developed by D. Cerveau and A. Lins Neto in [8] and the first author in [12]. These are fundamental in order to find normal forms of Levi-flat hypersurfaces. Specifically, we apply a result of Cerveau-Lins Neto that gives sufficient conditions for a real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface to be defined by the zeros of the real part of a holomorphic function and a key Lemma that will be stated in section 5.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we recall some properties and known results about singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces. In section 3, we state some results about normal forms for a complex quasihomogeneous polynomial. Section 4 is devoted to recall the notions of weighted projective space and weighted blow-ups. In section 5 we prove Theorem 1 and give an application of Theorem 1. The section 6 is dedicated to establish the isochore normal forms for holomorphic functions given by Vey and Szawlowski. In section 7, we proved Theorem 2 and finally in section 8, we proved Theorem 3 and Corollary 1.

2. **Singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces and holomorphic foliations**

The following notation will be used in this paper:
(1) $O_n$: the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
(2) $O_n^* = \{ f \in O_n | f(0) \neq 0 \}$.
(3) $\mathcal{M}_n = \{ f \in O_n | f(0) = 0 \}$, the maximal ideal of $O_n$.
(4) $\mathcal{A}_n$: the ring of germs at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of complex valued real-analytic functions.
(5) $\mathcal{A}_{n\mathbb{R}}$: the ring of germs of real valued functions. Note that $f \in \mathcal{A}_n \cap \mathcal{A}_{n\mathbb{R}} \iff f = \overline{f}$.
(6) $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is the $k$-jet at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of $f \in O_n$.
(7) $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$: the group of germs of biholomorphisms $f: (\mathbb{C}^n,0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with the operation of composition.

Let $X$ be a compact connected complex manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. A codimension-one singular holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ is given by a covering of $X$ by open subsets $\{ U_j \}_{j \in J}$ and a collection of integrable holomorphic 1-forms $\omega_j$ on $U_j$, $\omega_j \wedge d\omega_j = 0$, having zero set of complex codimension at least two such that, on each non-empty intersection $U_j \cap U_k$, we have

$$\omega_j = g_{jk} \omega_k,$$

with $g_{jk} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_j \cap U_k)$.

Let $\text{Sing}(\omega_j) = \{ p \in U_j : \omega_j(p) = 0 \}$. Condition (1) implies that $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) := \bigcup_{j \in J} \text{Sing}(\omega_j)$ is a complex subvariety of complex codimension at least two in $X$.

Let $M$ be a germ of a real codimension-one irreducible real-analytic subvariety at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $M = \{ F(z) = 0 \}$, where $F$ is a germ of irreducible real-analytic function at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We define the singular set of $M$ as

$$\text{Sing}(M) = \{ F(z) = 0 \} \cap \{ dF(z) = 0 \}$$

and its regular part is defined as $M^* = M \setminus \text{Sing}(M)$. Consider the distribution of complex hyperplanes $L$ on $M^*$ given by

$$L_p := \ker(\partial F(p)) \subset T_p M^* = \ker(dF(p)), \ p \in M^*.$$ 

This distribution is called Levi distribution. When $L$ is integrable, in the sense of Frobenius, then we say that $M$ is Levi-flat. Since $M^*$ admits an integrable complex distribution, it is foliated locally by a real-analytic codimension-one foliation $\mathcal{L}$ on $M^*$, the Levi foliation. Each leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ is a codimension-one holomorphic submanifold immersed in $M^*$.

The distribution $L$ can be defined by the real-analytic 1-form $\eta = i(\partial F - \overline{\partial} F)$, the Levi form of $F$. The integrability condition is equivalent to

$$(\partial F - \overline{\partial} F) \wedge \partial \overline{\partial} F|_{M^*} = 0$$

which using the fact that $\partial F + \overline{\partial} F = dF$, is equivalent to

$$\partial F(p) \wedge \overline{\partial} F(p) \wedge \partial \overline{\partial} F(p) = 0 \quad \forall \ p \in M^*.$$ 

We refer to the book [4] for the basic language and background about Levi-flat hypersurfaces.

Suppose that $M$ is Levi-flat as above. If $\text{Sing}(M) = \emptyset$, then we say that $M$ is smooth. In this case, according to Cartan [1], around the origin of $\mathbb{C}^n$ one may find suitable coordinates $(z_1, ..., z_n)$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that the germ of $M$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by

$$\{ \text{Re}(z_n) = 0 \}.$$
This is called the \textit{local normal form} for a smooth real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface $M$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

In order to build singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces which are irreducible, we consider the following lemma from \cite{8}.

\textbf{Lemma 2.1.} Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$, $f \neq 0$, $f(0) = 0$ which is not a power in $\mathcal{O}_n$. Then $\operatorname{Im}(f)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(f)$ are irreducible in $\mathbb{A}_{n\mathbb{R}}$.

Before proving our results, let us describe some known results and examples.

\textbf{Example 2.1.} Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ be a germ of non-constant holomorphic function with $f(0) = 0$. Then the set $M = \{\operatorname{Re}(f) = 0\}$ is Levi-flat and its singular set is given by $\operatorname{crit}(f) \cap M$, where $\operatorname{crit}(f)$ is the set of critical points of $f$. The leaves of the Levi foliation $L$ on $M$ are the imaginary levels of $f$.

\textbf{Example 2.2.} In $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, let $M$ be given as the set of zeros of $F(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) = z_1^2 - z_2^2$. Then $M$ is Levi-flat and its singular set biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$. This real-analytic hypersurface is called \textit{quadratic complex cone}. The leaves of the Levi foliation $L$ on $M$ are the hyperplanes $L_c = \{(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : z_1 - c \cdot z_2 = 0\}$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

\textbf{Example 2.3.} Let $M$ be a germ of real-analytic hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $\{F = 0\}$, where $F(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \operatorname{Re}(z_1^2 + \ldots + z_n^2) + H(z, \bar{z})$, and $H(z, \bar{z}) = O(|z|^3)$. If $M$ is Levi-flat, then, according to \cite{9}, there exists a holomorphic coordinate system such that $M = \{\operatorname{Re}(x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2) = 0\}$. We remark that this result was generalized in \cite{13}, where the first author considered the real part of a complex homogeneous polynomial of degree $k \geq 2$ with an isolated singularity.

\textbf{Example 2.4.} We consider the famous $A_k, D_k, E_k$ singularities or simple singularities of Arnold \cite{1, 2}:

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Type & Normal form & Conditions \\
\hline
$A_k$ & $z_1^2 + z_2^{k+1} + \ldots + z_n^2,$ & $k \geq 1$ \\
$D_k$ & $z_1^2 z_2 + z_2^{k-1} + z_3^2 + \ldots + z_n^2,$ & $k \geq 4$ \\
$E_6$ & $z_1^2 + z_2^3 + z_3^2 + \ldots + z_n^2,$ & \\
$E_7$ & $z_1^2 z_2 + z_2^3 + z_3^2 + \ldots + z_n^2,$ & \\
$E_8$ & $z_1^2 + z_2^3 + z_3^2 + \ldots + z_n^2.$ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

Let $M$ be a germ of singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by $\{F = 0\}$, where $F(z) = \operatorname{Re}(Q(z)) + H(z, \bar{z})$, where $Q(z) = z_1^2 + z_2^3 + z_3^2 + \ldots + z_n^2$. 


where \( Q \) is a complex quasihomogeneous polynomial of \( A_k, D_k, \) or \( E_k \) type of quasihomogeneous degree \( d \). Then in [14] it has been proved that there exists a holomorphic coordinate system such that

\[
M = \{ \Re e(Q(z)) = 0 \}.
\]

We remark that, in this case, the elements \( e_1, \ldots, e_s \) of the monomial basis of the local algebra of \( Q \) of quasihomogeneous degree strictly greater than \( d \) are zero, because the inner modality of the \( A_k, D_k, E_k \) singularities are zero.

2.1. Complexification of singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces. Let \( M \) be a germ of a singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at \( 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n \) defined by the set of zeros of \( F \in A_n \mathbb{R} \).

Let \( \text{Sing}(M) \), \( M^* \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) be the singular set, the regular part and the Levi foliation on \( M^* \) respectively.

We write the Taylor series of \( F \) around \( 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n \) as

\[
F(z) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} F_{\mu\nu} z^\mu \bar{z}^\nu,
\]

where \( F_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{C}, \mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n), \nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n), z^\mu = z_1^{\mu_1} \cdots z_n^{\mu_n} \) and \( \bar{z}^\nu = \bar{z}_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \bar{z}_n^{\nu_n} \). Since \( F \in A_n \mathbb{R} \), the coefficients verify \( F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\nu\mu} \). We define the complexification \( F_C \) of \( F \) as the function defined by the power series

\[
F_C(z, w) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} F_{\mu\nu} z^\mu w^\nu.
\]

If the power series for \( F \) converges in a polydisc \( D^n_r = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_j| \leq r \} \) then the power series of the complexification \( F_C \) of \( F \) is convergent in the polydisc \( D^{2n}_r \) and therefore is holomorphic at \( 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \). Moreover,

\[
F(z) = F_C(z, \bar{z}) \quad \forall z \in D^n_r.
\]

This complexification does not depend on choice of coordinate system, see for instance [8].

As seen before, the Levi 1-form is given by \( \eta = i(\partial F - \partial \bar{F}) \). Its complexification is the germ of holomorphic 1-form

\[
\eta_C = i \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial z_j} dz_j - \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial w_j} dw_j \right) = i \sum_{\mu,\nu} (F_{\mu\nu} w^\nu d(z^\mu) - F_{\mu\nu} z^\mu d(w^\nu)).
\]

The complexification of \( M \) is defined as \( M_C = \{ F_C = 0 \} \). As before, \( M_C \) does not depend on choice of coordinate system. The regular part of \( M_C \) is

\[
M_C^* = M_C \setminus \{ dF_C = 0 \}
\]

and the singular part of \( M_C \) is

\[
\text{Sing}(M_C) = M_C \cap \{ dF_C = 0 \}.
\]

Since \( \eta \) is integrable on \( M^* \), then also \( \eta|_{M_C^*} \) is integrable and defines a codimension-one holomorphic foliation on \( M_C^* \), which will be denoted by \( \mathcal{L}_C \). Such foliation is called complexification of \( \mathcal{L} \).
Remark 2.1. We can write $\eta_C = i(\alpha - \beta)$, where 
$$\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial z_j} dz_j \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial w_j} dw_j.$$ 
Note that $dF_C = \alpha + \beta$, then 
$$\eta_C|_{\mathcal{M}} = (\eta_C + idF_C)|_{\mathcal{M}} = 2i\alpha|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$ 
Analogously 
$$\eta_C|_{\mathcal{M}} = (\eta_C - idF_C)|_{\mathcal{M}} = -2i\beta|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$ 
In particular, $\alpha|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\beta|_{\mathcal{M}}$ define $\mathcal{L}_C$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{L}_C) = \text{Sing}(\eta_C|_{\mathcal{M}})$.

Definition 2.1. Let $M = \{F = 0\}$ be a germ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of a real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface and $M_C$ its complexification. We define the algebraic dimension of $\text{Sing}(M)$ as the complex dimension of $\text{Sing}(M_C)$.

Let $W = M_C \setminus \text{Sing}(\eta_C|_{M_C})$ and let $L_p$ be the leaf of $\mathcal{L}_C$ through $p \in W$. We have the following lemma from [8].

Lemma 2.2. For any $p \in W$, the leaf $L_p$ is closed (with the induced topology) in $M_C$.

The following theorem, due to D. Cerveau and A. Lins Neto [8] is the key ingredient for finding normal forms of singular Levi-flat hypersurfaces.

Theorem 2.3. Let $M = \{F = 0\}$ be a germ of an irreducible real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, with Levi 1-form $\eta$. Assume that the algebraic dimension of $\text{Sing}(M)$ is at most $2n - 4$. Then there exists a unique germ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of codimension-one holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}_M$ tangent to $M$, if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

(a) $n \geq 3$ and $\text{cod}_{M_C}(\text{Sing}(\eta_C|_{M_C})) \geq 3$.

(b) $n \geq 2$, $\text{cod}_{M_C}(\text{Sing}(\eta_C|_{M_C})) \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{L}_C$ has a non-constant holomorphic first integral.

Moreover, in both cases the foliation $\mathcal{F}_M$ has a non-constant holomorphic first integral $f$ such that $M = \{\Re(f) = 0\}$.

We recall that germ of holomorphic function $h$ is called a holomorphic first integral for a germ of codimension-one holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ if its zeros set is contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and its level hypersurfaces contain the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

3. Normal forms for a quasihomogeneous polynomial

The local algebra of $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ is defined as 
$$A_f = \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle.$$ 
The number $\mu(f,0) = \dim_C(A_f)$ is the Milnor number of $f$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This number is finite if and only if $f$ has an isolated singularity at the origin. With these definitions, Morse lemma may be stated as follows: if $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is an isolated singularity of $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ with $\mu(f,0) = 1$, then
is right equivalent to is second jet $j_0^2(f)$. The Morse lemma has the following generalization, and the proof can be found in [3].

**Theorem 3.1.** If $f \in M_\alpha$ has an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with Milnor number $\mu$, then $f$ is right equivalent to $j_0^{\mu+1}(f)$.

**Definition 3.1.** A germ of function $f \in O_n$ is quasihomogeneous with weights $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ if, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$$f(\lambda^{w_1}z_1, \ldots, \lambda^{w_n}z_n) = \lambda^d f(z_1, \ldots, z_n).$$

The number $d$ is the quasihomogeneous degree of it.

The previous definition is equivalent to the following: $f(z)$ is quasihomogeneous of type $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ if it can be expressed as a linear combination of monomials $z_1^{i_1} \cdots z_n^{i_n}$ for which the equality

$$i_1 w_1 + \cdots + i_n w_n = d$$

holds. The number $d$ is the quasihomogeneous degree defined above.

**Definition 3.2.** The Newton support of germ $f = \sum a_{i_1 \ldots i_n} x^{i_1} \cdots x^{i_n}$ is defined as

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{(i_1, \ldots, i_n) : a_{i_1 \ldots i_n} \neq 0\}.$$

In the above situation, if $f = \sum a_I x^I$, $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$, $x^I = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$, then

$$\text{supp}(f) \subset \Gamma = \{I : w_1 i_1 + \cdots + w_n i_n = d\}.$$

The set $\Gamma$ is called the diagonal. One can define the quasihomogeneous filtration of the ring $O_n$. It consists of the decreasing family of ideals $A_d \subset O_n$, $A_d' \subset A_d$ for $d < d'$. Here $A_d = \{Q : \text{degrees of monomials from } \text{supp}(Q) \text{ are } \deg(Q) \geq d\}$; (the degree is quasihomogeneous). When $i_1 = \ldots = i_n = 1$, this filtration coincides with the usual filtration by the usual degree.

**Definition 3.3.** A function $f$ is semiquasihomogeneous if $f = Q + F'$, where $Q$ is quasihomogeneous of quasihomogeneous degree $d$ and $\mu(Q, 0) < \infty$, and $F' \in A_{d'}$, $d' > d$.

From [2] we have the following result of V.I. Arnold.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $f = Q + F'$ be a semiquasihomogeneous function. Then $f$ is right-equivalent to a function $Q(z) + \sum c_j e_j(z)$ where $e_1, \ldots, e_j$ are elements of the monomial basis of the local algebra $A_Q$ of quasihomogeneous degree strictly greater than $d$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

**Example 3.1.** Let $f = Q + F'$, where $Q(x, y) = x^2y + y^k$, then $f$ is right equivalent to $Q$. Indeed, the basis of the local algebra

$$A_Q = O_2/(xy, x^2 + ky^{k-1})$$

is $1, x, y, y^2, \ldots, y^{k-1}$. Here $\mu(Q, 0) = k + 1$.

In the proof of Theorem 3.2 we will used the following Lemma of Saito [23].
Lemma 3.3. If $f \in M_2$ is a complex quasihomogeneous polynomial, then $f$ factors itself uniquely as

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \mu z_1^m z_2^n \prod_{\ell=1}^{k} (z_2^p - \lambda_\ell z_1^q),$$

where $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mu, \lambda_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^* $ for each $\ell = 1, \ldots, k$, and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$.

4. Weighted Projective Varieties and Weighted Blow-ups

In this section we present an overview of weighted projective spaces and weighted blow-ups.

We refer to [11] and [21] for a more extensive presentation of the subject.

Let $\sigma := (a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ be positive integers. The group $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\lambda \cdot (x_0, \ldots, x_n) = (\lambda^{a_0} x_0, \ldots, \lambda^{a_n} x_n).$$

The quotient space under this action is the weighted projective space of type $\sigma$, $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_n) := \mathbb{P}_\sigma$. In case $a_i > 1$ for some $i$, $\mathbb{P}_\sigma$ is a compact algebraic variety with cyclic quotient singularities.

Let $[x_0 : \ldots : x_n]$ be the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$. The affine piece $x_i \neq 0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_{a_i}$, here $\mathbb{Z}_{a_i}$ denote the quotient group modulo $a_i$. Let $\epsilon$ be an $a_i$th-primitive root of unity. The group acts by

$$z_j \mapsto \epsilon^{a_i z_j}$$

for all $j \neq i$, on the coordinates $(z_0, \ldots, z_i, \ldots, z_n)$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$; here $z_j$ is thought of as $x_j/x_i^{1/a_i}$. Compare this to the case of $\mathbb{P}^n$ where the affine coordinates on $x_i \neq 0$ are $z_j = x_j/x_i$.

Definition 4.1. $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ is well-formed if for each $i$

$$\gcd(a_0, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, a_n) = 1.$$

We have a natural orbifold map $\phi_\sigma : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}_\sigma$ defined by

$$[x_0 : \ldots : x_n] \mapsto [x_0^{a_0} : \ldots : x_n^{a_n}]_\sigma.$$

Definition 4.2. Let $X$ be a closed subvariety of a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_\sigma$, and let $\rho : \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}_\sigma$ be the canonical projection. The punctured affine cone $C_X^*$ over $X$ is given by $C_X^* = \rho^{-1}(X)$, and the affine cone $C_X$ over $X$ is the completion of $C_X^*$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

Observe that $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $C_X^*$, giving $X = C_X^*/\mathbb{C}^*$. Note that we have the following fact.

Lemma 4.1. $C_X^*$ has no isolated singularities.

Definition 4.3. We say that $X$ in $\mathbb{P}_\sigma$ is quasi-smooth of dimension $m$ if its affine cone $C_X$ is smooth of dimension $m + 1$ outside its vertex $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

When $X \subset \mathbb{P}_\sigma$ is quasi-smooth the singularities of $X$ are given by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action and hence are cyclic quotient singularities. Notice that this definition is not equivalent to the smoothness of the inverse image $\phi_\sigma^{-1}(X)$ under the quotient map given in (2).

Another important fact (cf. [11], Theorem 3.1.6) is that a quasi-smooth subvariety $X$ of $\mathbb{P}_\sigma$ is a $V$-variety, that is, a complex space which is locally isomorphic to the quotient of a complex manifold by a finite group of holomorphic automorphisms.
Now, let $X = \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a cyclic quotient singularity. That is, $X$ is the quotient variety $\mathbb{C}^n / \tau$, where $\tau$ is given by

$$x_i \mapsto e^{a_i}x_i$$

for all $i$, where $\epsilon$ is a $m^{th}$-primitive root of unity.

4.1. **Weighted blow-ups.** In this part we will construct the blow-up of $X$. First, we describe $X$ using the theory of toric varieties (cf. [18]). Let

$$e_1 = (1,0,\ldots,0), \ldots, e_n = (0,\ldots,0,1)$$

and $e = \frac{1}{m}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$.

Then $X = \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ is the toric variety corresponding to the lattice $N = \mathbb{Z}e_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{Z}e_n + \mathbb{Z}e$ and the cone $C = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}e_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}e_n$. Denote by $\Delta$ the fan associated to $X$ consisting of all the faces of $C$.

Take $\nu = \frac{1}{m}(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \in N$ with $a_1,\ldots,a_n > 0$ and assume that $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ and $\nu$ generate the lattice $N$. Such $\nu \in N$ will be called a weight. We can construct the weighted blow-up

$$E : \tilde{X} \to X = \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$$

with weight $\nu$ as follows: we divide the cone $C$ by adding the 1-dimensional cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\nu$, that is, we divide $C$ into $n$ cones

$$C_i = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}e_1 + \ldots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\nu + \ldots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}e_n \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).$$

Let $\Delta'$ be the fan consisting of all the faces of $C_1,\ldots,C_n$. Then $\tilde{X}$ is the toric variety corresponding to $N$ and $\Delta'$, while $E$ is the morphism induced from the natural map of fans $(N,\Delta') \to (N,\Delta)$.

The variety $\tilde{X}$ is covered by $n$ affine open sets $\tilde{U}_1,\ldots,\tilde{U}_n$ which correspond to the cones $C_1,\ldots,C_n$ respectively. These affine open sets and $E$ are described as follows:

$$\tilde{U}_i = \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}_{a_i}(-a_1, \ldots, \frac{1}{m}, \ldots, -a_n)$$

(3)

$$E|_{\tilde{U}_i} : \tilde{U}_i \ni (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \mapsto (y_1 y_i^{a_1/m}, \ldots, y_i y_i^{a_i/m}, \ldots, y_n y_i^{a_n/m}) \in X.$$  

(4)

The exceptional divisor $D$ of $E$ is isomorphic to the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ and $D \cap \tilde{U}_i = \{y_i = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}_{a_i}$.

5. **FIRST INTEGRAL FOR THE LEVI FOLIATION AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM [1]**

In this section, we give sufficient conditions (dynamical criteria) to find a non-constant holomorphic first integral for the complexification of the Levi foliation $L_C$ on $M_C$ and then we prove Theorem [1].

Let $\pi$ be a weighted blow-up on $M_C$ with exceptional divisor $E$. Denote by $\tilde{M}_C$ the strict transform of $M_C$ by $\pi$ and by $\tilde{F} = \pi^*(L_C)$ the induced foliation on $\tilde{M}_C$. Suppose that $\tilde{M}_C$ is a
smooth variety and consider $\tilde{C} = \tilde{M}_C \cap E$. Assume that $\tilde{C}$ is invariant by $\tilde{F}$; i.e., it is a union of leaves and singularities of $\tilde{F}$.

Let $S := \tilde{C} \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{F})$. Then $S$ is a smooth leaf of $\tilde{F}$. Take a point $p_0$ in $S$ and a transverse section $\Sigma$ passing through $p_0$. Let $G \subset \text{Diff}(\Sigma, p_0)$ be the holonomy group of the leaf $S$; since $\text{dim}(\Sigma) = 1$, we assume that $G \subset \text{Diff}(\Sigma, 0)$. In this context, we have the following result of Fernández-Pérez [14].

**Lemma 5.1.** Assume the following:

(a) For any $p \in S \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{F})$, the leaf $L_p$ of $\tilde{F}$ through $p$ is closed in $S$.

(b) $g'(0)$ is a primitive root of unity, for all $g \in G$, $g \neq \text{id}$.

Then $L_C$ has a non-constant holomorphic first integral.

To continue, we use the above lemma to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $M$ be a germ of an irreducible singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Then we have the following:

(a) the algebraic dimension of $\text{Sing}(M)$ is 0;

(b) $\text{cod}_M(\text{Sing}(L_C)) = 2$;

(c) $L_C$ has a non-constant holomorphic first integral.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be as in Theorem 1. Then $M$ is given by $M = \{ F = 0 \}$, where

$$F(z) = \Re(Q(z)) + H(z, \bar{z}),$$

$Q$ is a complex quasihomogeneous polynomial of quasihomogeneous degree $d$ of type $(a, b)$ with an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $H$ is a germ of real-analytic function at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ of order strictly greater than $d$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $Q$ can be written as

$$Q(x, y) = \mu x^m y^n k \prod_{\ell=1}^k (y^p - \lambda_\ell x^q),$$

where $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ast$, $\mu, \lambda_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^\ast$ for each $\ell = 1, ..., k$, and $\text{gcd}(p, q) = 1$. Since $Q$ has an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, then we necessarily that both $m$ and $n$ are either 0 or 1.

On the other hand, since $Q$ has weights $(a, b)$ with $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1$ we have each polynomial $(y^p - \lambda_\ell x^q)$ has also weights $(a, b)$, which implies that $aq = bp$. Since $p, q$ are relatively prime, we get $a = p$ and $b = q$.

For simplicity, using (5), we write

$$Q(x, y) = \mu x^m y^n k \prod_{\ell=1}^k Q_\ell(x, y),$$

where $Q_\ell(x, y) = (y^p - \lambda_\ell x^q)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $Q$ has real coefficients. Then the complexification $F_C$ of $F$ is given by

$$F_C(x, y, z, w) = \frac{1}{2}Q(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}Q(z, w) + H_C(x, y, z, w).$$
Since $Q$ has an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, we get $M_C = \{F_C = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^4, 0)$ has an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^4$ and so the algebraic dimension of $\text{Sing}(M)$ is zero. Hence item (a) is proved. Consider the algebraic subvariety contained in $\mathbb{P}(a, b, a, b)$

$$V_{M_C} = \{Q(Z_0, Z_1) + Q(Z_2, Z_3) = 0\},$$

where $[Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2 : Z_3] \in \mathbb{P}(a, b, a, b)$. It is not difficult to see that $\text{Sing}(M_C) = \text{Sing}(V_{M_C})$.

Note that $V_{M_C}$ can be considered as $V$-variety

$$V_{M_C} \subset Z \simeq \mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}(a, b, a, b).$$

Now we consider the weighted blow-up $E : \tilde{Z} \to Z$, with weight $\delta = (a, b, a, b)$. Let $\tilde{M}_C$ be the strict transform of $M_C$ by $E$ and $D \simeq \mathbb{P}_\delta$ the exceptional divisor, with coordinates $(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \{0\}$. The intersection of $\tilde{M}_C$ with $\mathbb{P}_\delta$ is

$$\tilde{C} := \tilde{M}_C \cap \mathbb{P}_\delta = \{Q(Z_0, Z_1) + Q(Z_2, Z_3) = 0\}.$$

It follows from Remark [2.1] that $L_C$ can be defined by $\alpha|_{M_C} = 0$, where

$$\alpha = Q(x, y) \left[\left(\frac{m}{x} - qx^{q-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{Q_{\ell}(x, y)}\right) dx + \left(\frac{n}{y} + py^{p-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{1}{Q_{\ell}(x, y)}\right) dy\right] + \theta$$

and $\theta = 2 \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} dy\right)$ is a holomorphic 1-form with order strictly greater than $d$. It follows from (6) that $\text{Sing}(L_C)$ has codimension two proving item (b). The rest of the proof is devoted to the proof of item (c). Note that the leaves of $L_C$ are closed in $M_C \setminus \text{Sing}(L_C)$ by Lemma [2.2]. To apply Lemma [5.1] we need calculate the holonomy group associated to $L_C$.

For each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have the affine open sets

$$\tilde{U}_i = \mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{Z}_a, (-a, ..., \frac{1}{i-th}, ..., -b).$$

We work in $\tilde{U}_3$ with coordinates $(x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1)$. In this open subset, the blow-up $E$ has the following expression

$$E(x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1) = (x_1^{q_1}, y_1, z_1^{q_1}, w_1, z_1),$$

with $D \cap \tilde{U}_3 = \{z_1 = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}_a$. In this chart, the pull-back of $\alpha$ by $E$ is given by

$$E^*\alpha = z_1^{pm+qn+kpq-1}\alpha_1,$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = Q(x_1, y_1) \left[\left(\frac{mz_1}{x_1} - qx_1^{q-1} z_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{Q_\ell(x_1, y_1)}\right) dx_1 + \right.$$}

$$\left.\left(\frac{nz_1}{y_1} + py_1^{p-1} z_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{1}{Q_{\ell}(x_1, y_1)}\right) dy_1 + \left(\frac{pm + qn - pqx_1^{q-1}}{Q_{\ell}(x_1, y_1)} + ppy_1^{p-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{1}{Q_{\ell}(x_1, y_1)}\right) dz_1\right] + z_1\theta_1$$

$$...$$
and \( \theta_1 = E^* \theta/x_1^{pm+qn+kpq} \). The pull-back foliation \( \tilde{L}_C \) is defined by \( \alpha_1|_{\tilde{M}_C} = 0 \). The intersection of \( \tilde{C} \) with the open subset \( \tilde{U}_3 \) is

\[
\tilde{C} \cap \tilde{U}_3 = \{ z_1 = Q(x_1, y_1) + Q(1, w_1) = 0 \}/\mathbb{Z}_a,
\]

which implies that \( \tilde{C} \) is invariant by \( \tilde{L}_C \) by (7), and

\[
\text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3 = \{ z_1 = Q(x_1, y_1) = Q(1, w_1) = 0 \}/\mathbb{Z}_a.
\]

In the chart \( \tilde{U}_4 \), with coordinates \( (x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2) \), the blow-up is

\[
E(x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2) = (x_2 w_2^q, y_2 w_2, z_2, w_2^b)
\]

and \( D \cap \tilde{U}_4 = \{ w_2 = 0 \}/\mathbb{Z}_b \). In this chart, the pull-back of \( \alpha \) is

\[
E^* \alpha = w_2^{pm+qn+kpq-1} \alpha_2,
\]

where

\[
\alpha_2 = Q(x_2, y_2) \left[ \left( \frac{m w_2}{x_2} - q x_2^{q_2-1} - w_2 \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{\lambda_r}{Q_r(x_2, y_2)} \right) dx_2 + \left( \frac{m w_2}{y_2} + p y_2^{p_2-1} \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{1}{Q_r(x_2, y_2)} \right) dy_2 + \left( \frac{m_2 + q_2 - p y_2^{p_2} \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{\lambda_r}{Q_r(x_2, y_2)} + p q y_2^{p_2} \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{1}{Q_r(x_2, y_2)} \right) dw_2 \right] + w_2 \theta_2
\]

and \( \theta_2 = E^* \theta/w_2^{pm+qn+kpq} \). The pull-back foliation \( \tilde{L}_C \) is given by \( \alpha_2|_{\tilde{M}_C} = 0 \). Similarly as before, the intersection of \( \tilde{C} \) with the open subset \( \tilde{U}_4 \) is

\[
\tilde{C} \cap \tilde{U}_4 = \{ w_2 = Q(x_2, y_2) + Q(z_2, 1) = 0 \}/\mathbb{Z}_b,
\]

which is invariant by \( \tilde{L}_C \) by (8), and

\[
\text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_4 = \{ w_2 = Q(x_2, y_2) = Q(z_2, 1) = 0 \}/\mathbb{Z}_b.
\]

Now, we focus in the chart \( \tilde{U}_3 \). In this open subset, the action of the group is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 & \mapsto x_1, \\
y_1 & \mapsto e^{2\pi i} y_1, \\
w_1 & \mapsto e^{2\pi i} w_1.
\end{align*}
\]

The exceptional divisor in this chart is given by

\[
\text{Sing}(D) \cap \tilde{U}_3 = \{ y_1 = z_1 = w_1 = 0 \}/\mathbb{Z}_a
\]

and therefore the intersection of the singular set of \( \tilde{L}_C \) with the singular set of the exceptional divisor is

\[
\text{Sing}(D) \cap \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3 = \{ y_1 = z_1 = w_1 = Q(1, 0) = 0 \}/\mathbb{Z}_a.
\]

Due to the factorization of \( Q \) given in (6), we investigated four cases.
• $m = n = 1$. In this case, $Q(1, 0) = 0$ and, since $Q(1, v_1)$ is a complex polynomial in $v_1$, there exists another complex polynomial $\tilde{Q}$ such that $Q(1, v_1) = v_1 \tilde{Q}(v_1)$ such that $\tilde{Q}(0) \neq 0$. Note that the power for $v_1$ may not be higher than one, because this would conflict with the fact that $n = 1$ in the factorization of $Q$. Now, if $r$ is a root of $\tilde{Q}$, then $r \neq 0$ and therefore $(0, 0, 0, r) \in \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3$ and $(0, 0, 0, r) \notin \text{Sing}(D) \cap \tilde{U}_3$. Hence, we get $\text{Sing}(D) \cap \tilde{U}_3 \subseteq \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3$.

• $m = 0$, $n = 1$. The same argument as the previous one holds in this case and therefore we have $\text{Sing}(D) \cap \tilde{U}_3 \subseteq \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3$.

We arrive to the same conclusions working in the chart $\tilde{U}_4$. In both cases we have shown that, either $\text{Sing}(D) \cap \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) = \emptyset$ or that $\text{Sing}(D) \subseteq \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C)$.

Consider the set $S := \tilde{C} \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C)$. This set is a leaf of $\tilde{L}_C$. Let $q_0$ be a point in $S \setminus \text{Sing}(D)$ and a section $\Sigma$ transverse to $S$ passing through $q_0$. Working on the chart $\tilde{U}_3$, we may assume without loss of generality that $q_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\Sigma = \{(1, 0, t, 0) : t \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Let $G$ be the holonomy group of the leaf $S$ of $\tilde{L}_C$ in $\Sigma$. Recall that $\text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3 = \{z_1 = Q(x_1, y_1) = Q(1, w_1)\}/\mathbb{Z}_a$.

This set splits into several connected components, separated in the following cases:

• $m = 1$, $n = 1$. In this case,

$$Q(x, y) = xy \prod_{\ell=1}^k Q_\ell(x, y),$$

where $Q_\ell(x, y) = (y^p - \lambda_\ell x^q)$, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $aq = pb = d$. The set $\text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3$ splits as the union of the following connected components:

$$C^r_{rs} = \{z_1 = Q_\ell(x_1, y_1) = w_1 - \varepsilon_p^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}_a,$$

$$C^{x_1}_{rs} = \{z_1 = x_1 = w_1 - \varepsilon_p^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}_a,$$

$$C^{y_1}_{rs} = \{z_1 = y_1 = w_1 - \varepsilon_p^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}_a,$$

where $s, \ell \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $r \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ and for each $r$, $\varepsilon_p^{(r)}(\lambda_s)$ is an $p$-th root of $\lambda_s$. According to [20], the fundamental group $\pi_1(S, q_0)$ may be written in terms of generators and its relations as

$$\pi_1(S, q_0) = \langle \gamma_{\ell rs}, \delta_{\ell rs}, \xi_{rs}, \tau_{rs} : \gamma_{(r s)}^p = \delta_{(r s)}^p \rangle, \qquad \ell, s = 1, \ldots, k; \quad r = 1, \ldots, p,$$

where, for each $\ell, r, s$, the elements $\gamma_{\ell rs}$ and $\delta_{\ell rs}$ are loops around the connected component $C^\ell_{rs}$ of $\text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3$, $\xi_{rs}$ are loops around $C^{x_1}_{rs}$ and $\tau_{rs}$ a loop around $C^{y_1}_{rs}$. If $G$ is the holonomy group of the leaf $S$ of $\tilde{L}_C$ in the section $\Sigma$, then

$$G = \langle f_{\ell rs}, g_{\ell rs}, h_{rs}, k_{rs} \rangle, \qquad \ell, s = 1, \ldots, k; \quad r = 1, \ldots, p.$$
where $f_{trs}$, $g_{trs}$, $h_{trs}$ and $k_{trs}$ correspond to the equivalence classes of the loops $\gamma_{trs}$, $\delta_{trs}$, $\xi_{trs}$, $\tau_{rs}$ in $\pi_1(S, q_0)$, respectively. Each one of these loops lifts up to $\Gamma_{trs}(t)$, $\Delta_{trs}(t)$, $\Xi_{rs}(t)$, $\Upsilon_{rs}(t)$, respectively, under the condition that each one of these belong on the leaves of $\tilde{L}_C$ and that this foliation is defined by $\alpha_1|_{M^*_C} = 0$ (see for instance (7)). We have the coefficients of the linear terms of the holonomy maps are given by

$$f'_{trs}(0) = e^{-\frac{2(1+pq)}{pq+1} \pi_i},$$

$$g'_{trs}(0) = e^{-\frac{2}{q+1} \pi_i},$$

$$h'_{trs}(0) = 1,$$

$$k'_{trs}(0) = e^{-2(\frac{1+p}{pq+1}) \pi_i}.$$

According to Lemma 5.1, the foliation $\tilde{L}_C$ has a holomorphic non-constant first integral and the proof in this case is finished.

- $m = 0, n = 1$. In this case,

$$Q(x, y) = y \prod_{\ell = 1}^k Q_\ell(x, t),$$

where $Q_\ell = (y^p - N_{x^p})$, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $aq = pb = d$. The set $\text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3$ splits as the union of the following connected components:

$$C_{rs}^l = \{z_1 = Q_\ell(x_1, y_1) = w_1 - \varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}_a,$$

$$C_{rs}^y = \{z_1 = y_1 = w_1 - \varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0\}/\mathbb{Z}_a,$$

where $s, \ell \in \{1, ..., k\}$, $r \in \{1, ..., p\}$ and, for each $r$, $\varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s)$ is a $p$-th root of $\lambda_s$. The group $\pi_1(S, q_0)$ is written in terms of generators and its relations as

$$\pi_1(S, q_0) = \langle \gamma_{trs}, \delta_{trs}, \tau_{rs}: \gamma_{trs}^p = \delta_{trs}^q, \tau_{rs}^{\ell_s} \rangle_{r = 1, ..., p}$$

where, for each $\ell, r, s$, $\gamma_{trs}$ and $\delta_{trs}$ are loops around $C_{rs}^l$ and $\tau_{rs}$ a loop around $C_{rs}^y$. If $G$ is the holonomy group of the leaf $S$ of $\tilde{L}_C$ in the section $\Sigma$ then

$$G = \langle f_{trs}, g_{trs}, k_{trs} \rangle_{r = 1, ..., p}$$

where $f_{trs}$, $g_{trs}$ and $k_{trs}$ correspond to the equivalence classes of the loops $\gamma_{trs}$, $\delta_{trs}$, $\tau_{rs}$ in $\pi_1(S, q_0)$, respectively. Each one of these loops lifts up to $\Gamma_{trs}(t)$, $\Delta_{trs}(t)$, $\Upsilon_{rs}(t)$, respectively, under the condition that each one of these belong on the leaves of $\tilde{L}_C$ and that this foliation is defined by $\alpha_1|_{M^*_C} = 0$ (see for instance (7)), we have the coefficients of the linear terms of the holonomy maps are given by

$$f'_{trs}(0) = e^{-\frac{2 \pi_i}{\ell_s}},$$

$$g'_{trs}(0) = e^{-\frac{2 \pi_i}{\ell_s}},$$

$$k'_{trs}(0) = 1.$$

Using Lemma 5.1 the proof in this case is finished.
\[ m = 1, n = 0. \] In this case

\[ Q(x, y) = x \prod_{r=1}^{k} Q_r(x, t), \]

where \( Q_r = (y^p - \lambda x^q), \gcd(p, q) = 1 \) and \( aq = pb = d \). The set \( \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3 \) splits as the union of the following connected components:

\[ C^e_{rs} = \{ z_1 = Q_r(x_1, y_1) = w_1 - \varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0 \} / \mathbb{Z}_a, \]

\[ C^{q_{rs}} = \{ z_1 = x_1 = w_1 - \varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0 \} / \mathbb{Z}_a, \]

where \( s, \ell \in \{ 1, \ldots, k \}, r \in \{ 1, \ldots, p \} \) and, for each \( r \), \( \varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s) \) is a \( p \)-th root of \( \lambda_s \). The group \( \pi_1(S, q_0) \) is written in terms of generators and its relations as

\[ \pi_1(S, q_0) = \langle \gamma_{tr}, \delta_{tr}, \xi_r : \gamma^{p}_{|_{rt}} = \delta^{q}_{|_{rt}} \rangle \]

where, for each \( \ell, r, s \), \( \gamma_{tr} \) and \( \delta_{tr} \) are loops around \( C^e_{rs} \) and \( \xi_r \) a loop around \( C^{q_{rs}} \). If \( G \) is the holonomy group of the leaf \( S \) of \( \tilde{L}_C \) in the section \( \Sigma \) then

\[ G = \langle f_{tr}, g_{tr}, h_{tr} \rangle \quad r, s = 1, \ldots, k \]

where \( f_{tr}, g_{tr} \) and \( h_{tr} \) correspond to the equivalence classes of the loops \( \gamma_{tr}, \delta_{tr}, \xi_r \) in \( \pi_1(S, q_0) \), respectively. Each one of these loops lifts up to \( \Gamma_{tr}(t), \Delta_{tr}(t), \Xi_{tr}(t) \), respectively, under the condition that each one of these belong on the leaves of \( \tilde{L}_C \) and that this foliation is defined by \( \alpha_1|_{M_2} = 0 \) (see for instance (7)), we have the coefficients of the linear terms of the holonomy maps are given by

\[ f'_{tr}(0) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{p}}, \]

\[ g'_{tr}(0) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{q}}, \]

\[ k'_{tr}(0) = 1, \]

Again by Lemma 5.1, the proof in this case is finished.

\[ m = 0, n = 0. \] In this case, \( Q(x, y) = \prod_{r=1}^{k} Q_r(x, t), \) where \( Q_r = (y^p - \lambda e x^q), \gcd(p, q) = 1 \) and \( aq = pb = d \). The set \( \text{Sing}(\tilde{L}_C) \cap \tilde{U}_3 \) splits as the union of the following connected components:

\[ C^e_{rs} = \{ z_1 = Q_r(x_1, y_1) = w_1 - \varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s) = 0 \} / \mathbb{Z}_a, \]

where \( s, \ell \in \{ 1, \ldots, k \}, r \in \{ 1, \ldots, p \} \) and, for each \( r \), \( \varepsilon^{(r)}(\lambda_s) \) is a \( p \)-th root of \( \lambda_s \). The group \( \pi_1(S, q_0) \) is written in terms of generators and its relations as

\[ \pi_1(S, q_0) = \langle \gamma_{tr}, \delta_{tr} : \gamma^{p}_{|_{rt}} = \delta^{q}_{|_{rt}} \rangle \]

where, for each \( \ell, r, s \), \( \gamma_{tr} \) and \( \delta_{tr} \) are loops around \( C^e_{rs} \) and \( \xi_r \) a loop around \( C^{q_{rs}} \). If \( G \) is the holonomy group of the leaf \( S \) of \( \tilde{L}_C \) in the section \( \Sigma \) then

\[ G = \langle f_{tr}, g_{tr}, h_{tr} \rangle \quad r, s = 1, \ldots, k \]
where, for each $\ell, r, s$, $\gamma_{\ell rs}$ and $\delta_{\ell rs}$ are loops around $C_{\ell rs}^t$. If $G$ is the holonomy of the leaf $S$ of $\tilde{L}_C$ in the section $\Sigma$ then

$$G = \langle f_{\ell rs}, g_{\ell rs} \rangle_{\ell, r = 1, \ldots, k, s = 1, \ldots, p}$$

where $f_{\ell rs}, g_{\ell rs}$ correspond to the equivalence classes of the loops $\gamma_{\ell rs}, \delta_{\ell rs}$ in $\pi_1(S, q_0)$, respectively. Each one of these loops lifts up to $\Gamma_{\ell rs}(t), \Delta_{\ell rs}(t)$, respectively, under the condition that each one of these belong on the leaves of $\tilde{L}_C$ and that this foliation is defined by $\alpha_1|_{M^*_z} = 0$ (see for instance (7)), we have the coefficients of the linear terms of the holonomy maps are given by

$$f'_{\ell rs}(0) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{q}},$$
$$g'_{\ell rs}(0) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{p}}.$$

Finally Lemma $5.1$ implies that $\tilde{L}_C$ has a holomorphic non-constant first integral. \[\square\]

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1**. Note that Proposition $5.2$ implies that the hypotheses of Theorem $2.3$, part (b) are verified. Then there exists a germ of holomorphic foliation $F$ with a non-constant holomorphic first integral $f \in O_2$ such that $M = \{ \Re(f) = 0 \}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f$ is not a power in $O_2$ and therefore so $\Re(f)$ is irreducible by Lemma $2.1$. This implies

$$\Re(f) = U \cdot F,$$

where $U \in \mathcal{A}_{n\mathbb{R}}$ and $U(0) \neq 0$. Since $F(z) = \Re(Q(z)) + H(z, \bar{z})$ and $Q$ is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of quasihomogeneous degree $d$ with weights $(a, b)$, we can write $f$ as the decomposition

$$f = \sum_{\ell \geq d} f_{\ell},$$

where each $f_{\ell}$ is a quasihomogeneous polynomial of quasihomogeneous degree $\ell$ with weights $(a, b)$ (see [2, p. 193]). If the power series of $U$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ is

$$U(z) = U(0) + \tilde{U}(z) = U(0) + \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 1} c_{\mu_1, \mu_2 = 1} x^{\mu_1} y^{\mu_2} \left(z_1^{\left(\frac{a_1}{2} \mu_1 + \frac{b_1}{2} \mu_2 \right)} z_2^{\left(\frac{a_2}{2} \mu_1 + \frac{b_2}{2} \mu_2 \right)} \right)$$

then

$$\Re(f) = (U(0) + \tilde{U})(\Re(Q) + H) = U(0)\Re(Q) + \tilde{U}\Re(Q) + U(0)H + \tilde{U}H.$$  

We need to investigate what terms on the previous equality have quasihomogeneous degree $d$ with weights $(a, b)$, the sum of these terms will be equal to $\Re(f_d)$. Set $\tilde{H} = U(0)H + \tilde{U}H$, note that the quasihomogeneous terms of $\tilde{H}$ has order strictly greater than $d$. Writing

$$\Re(f) = \Re(f_d) + \sum_{\ell > d} \Re(f_{\ell}),$$
we have, for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \)
\[
\text{Re}(f(\lambda^a z_1, \lambda^b z_2)) = U(0) \text{Re}(Q(\lambda^a z_1, \lambda^b z_2)) + \tilde{U}(\lambda^a z_1, \lambda^b z_2) + \tilde{H}(\lambda^a z_1, \lambda^b z_2)
\]
\[
= U(0) \text{Re}(\lambda^d Q(z_1, z_2)) + \tilde{U}(\lambda^a z_1, \lambda^b z_2) + \tilde{H}(\lambda^a z_1, \lambda^b z_2)
\]
\[
= U(0) \left( \frac{\lambda^d Q(z) + \lambda^b Q(z)}{2} \right)
\]
\[
+ \left( c_{1000} \lambda^a z_1 + c_{0100} \lambda^b z_2 + c_{0010} \lambda^d z_1 + \ldots \right) \text{Re}(\lambda^d Q(z)) + \tilde{H}(\lambda^a z_1, \lambda^b z_2)
\]
where the generalized degree is \( d \), which means that \( f_0(z) = U(0)Q(z) \), hence \( f(z) = U(0)Q(z) + \sum_{\ell > d} f_{\ell} \). Without any loss of generality we may assume that \( U(0) = 1 \). In particular, \( \mu(f, 0) = \mu(Q, 0) \), since \( Q \) has an isolated singularity at the origin. According to Theorem 3.2, there exists a germ of biholomorphism \( \phi: (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \) such that
\[
f \circ \phi^{-1}(z) = Q(z) + \sum_j c_j e_j(z),
\]
where \( c_j \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( e_j \) are elements of the monomial basis of \( \mathbb{A}_Q \) with \( \deg(e_j) > d \). Hence
\[
\phi(M) = \left\{ \text{Re} \left( Q(z) + \sum_j c_j e_j(z) \right) = 0 \right\}
\]
and this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Example 5.1.** Now we give an application of Theorem 1. Consider the complex quasihomogeneous polynomial
\[
Q(x, y) = x^a + \lambda x^2 y^2 + y^b \quad \text{where} \quad a \geq 4, \ b \geq 5, \ \lambda \neq 0.
\]
We have \( Q \) has isolated singularity at \( 0 \in \mathbb{C}^2 \) with \( \mu(Q, 0) = a + b + 1 \). According to \cite{2} p. 33, every semiquasihomogeneous function \( f \) with principal part \( Q(x, y) \) is right equivalent to \( Q(x, y) \). Consequently, if we consider \( F(x, y) = \text{Re}(Q(x, y)) + H(x, y) \) as a germ of real-analytic function at \( 0 \in \mathbb{C}^2 \) such that \( M = \{ F = 0 \} \) is Levi-flat then Theorem 1 implies that
$M$ is biholomorphic to germ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ of real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface defined by

$$M' = \{ \text{Re}(x^a + \lambda x^2 y^2 + y^b) = 0 \} \text{ where } a \geq 4, \ b \geq 5, \ \lambda \neq 0.$$  

6. Isochore normal forms for holomorphic functions

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ be a germ of holomorphic function with an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that its Hessian form

$$h := \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 f(0)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} z_i z_j$$

is non-degenerate. The classical Morse’s lemma asserts that $f$ is right equivalent to $h$.

Let $\omega = a(z) dz_1 \wedge ... \wedge dz_n$, $a(0) \neq 0$ be a holomorphic volume form on a coordinate system $(z_1, ..., z_n)$ on an open set around $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. A coordinate system $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is isochore or volume preserve, if $\omega$ can be written as $dx_1 \wedge ... \wedge dx_n$ on these coordinates. Then, we say that a biholomorphism $\phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is isochore or volume-preserving if the coordinate system induced by it is isochore.

In 1977, J. Vey [25] has posed the following question: It is possible to find a coordinate system isochore such that $f$ is right equivalent to $h$? Vey answered negatively to question and proved the following result.

Lemma 6.1 (Vey [25]). Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$, $n \geq 2$, with isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that its Hessian form $h$ is non-degenerate. Then there exists a germ of a volume-preserving biholomorphism $\phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a germ of an automorphism $\psi \in \mathcal{O}_1$, with $\psi(0) = 0$, such that

$$f \circ \phi^{-1} = \psi \circ h, \quad \psi(t) = t + c_2 t^2 + c_3 t^3 + ...$$

The function $\psi$ is uniquely determined by $f$ up to a sign.

This result was also proved by J-P Françoise [17]. The approach used by Françoise was later generalized by A. Szawlowski [24] to study of complex quasihomogeneous polynomials and to the germ of a holomorphic function that is right equivalent to the product of coordinates $z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_n$, as stated by the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2 (Szawlowski [24]). Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$, $n \geq 2$ be a germ of holomorphic function that is right equivalent to the product of all coordinates: $f \sim_R z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_n$. Then there exists a germ of a volume-preserving biholomorphism $\Phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and a germ of an automorphism $\Psi \in \mathcal{O}_1$, with $\Psi(0) = 0$, such that

$$f \circ \Phi(z) = \Psi(z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_n).$$

The function $\Psi$ is uniquely determined by $f$ up to a sign.

Note that the above normal form for $f$ is a germ of holomorphic function whose singular set is of positive dimension (non-isolated singularity). In general, normal forms of germs of functions with non-isolated singularities are very difficult of find, even for a change of coordinates non-isochore.
7. Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2 we use the following result proved in [13], although it is not stated as a separate theorem. We restate it here for completeness.

**Theorem 7.1** (Fernández-Pérez [13]). Let $M = \{ F = 0 \}$ be a germ of an irreducible singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, such that

1. $F(z) = \Re(P(z)) + H(z, \bar{z})$,
2. $P$ is a complex homogeneous polynomial of degree $k$ with an isolated singularity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$,
3. $j^k_0(H) = 0$ and $H(z, \bar{z}) = H(\bar{z}, z)$.

Then there exists a germ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of holomorphic codimension-one foliation $\mathcal{F}_M$ tangent to $M$. Moreover, the foliation $\mathcal{F}_M$ has a non-constant holomorphic first integral $f(z) = P(z) + O(|z|^{k+1})$, and $M = \{ \Re(f) = 0 \}$.

**7.1. Proof of Theorem 2.** Let $M = \{ F = 0 \}$ be a germ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, of an irreducible real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface such that

$$F(z) = \Re(z_1^2 + \ldots + z_n^2) + H(z, \bar{z}),$$

where $j^2_0(H) = 0$, $H(z, \bar{z}) = H(\bar{z}, z)$. Since $P(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = z_1^2 + \ldots + z_n^2$ is a complex homogeneous polynomial of degree 2, we can apply Theorem 7.1 so that there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$ such that $f(z) = z_1^2 + \ldots + z_n^2 + O(|z|^3)$ and $M = \{ \Re(f) = 0 \}$. On the other hand, applying Lemma 6.1 to $f$, there exists a volume-preserving $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and an automorphism $\psi_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1$, with $\psi_1(0) = 0$, such that

$$f \circ \phi^{-1} = \psi_1(2P), \quad \psi_1(t) = t + c_2t^2 + c_3t^3 + \ldots$$

Taking $\psi := \psi_1(t/2) \in \mathcal{O}_1$, we have $f \circ \phi^{-1} = \psi \circ P$. Finally, $\phi(M) = \{ \Re(\psi(z_1^2 + \ldots + z_n^2)) = 0 \}$ and the proof of Theorem 2 ends.

8. Proof of Theorem 3 and Corollary 1

Here we will use the same idea of the proof of Theorem 1. First of all, note that, in dimension two, under the change of variables $z_1 = y + ix$, $z_2 = y - ix$, and we have $z_1z_2 = x^2 + y^2$ and then Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 2 because the singular set of $M_C$ is the origin of $\mathbb{C}^4$. Therefore, we only consider the case $n \geq 3$.

**Proposition 8.1.** Let $M$ be a germ of a singular real-analytic Levi-flat hypersurface at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 3$, satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3. Then $\mathcal{L}_C$ has a non-constant holomorphic first integral.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be as in Theorem 3. Then, $M$ is given by $\{ F = 0 \}$ where

$$F(z) = \Re(z_1 \cdots z_n) + H(z_1, \ldots, z_n),$$
and \( j^0_\pi (H) = 0 \). Its complexification is

\[
F_C(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} (z_1 \cdots z_n) + \frac{1}{2} (w_1 \cdots w_n) + H_C(z, w),
\]

and therefore \( M_C = \{ F_C = 0 \} \subset (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \). By hypotheses, \( \text{Sing}(M_C) \) is the union of the sets

\[
V_{ijk\ell} = \{ z_i = z_j = w_k = w_\ell = 0 \}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad 1 \leq k < \ell \leq n.
\]

Since \( V_{ijk\ell} \) has complex dimension \( 2n - 4 \), then the algebraic dimension of \( \text{Sing}(M) \) is \( 2n - 4 \).

On the other hand, it follows from Remark 2.1 that \( L \) has complexification \( \bar{L} \). Let us prove that \( L \) is defined by \( \bar{\alpha} |_{\bar{M}_C} = 0 \), where

\[
\bar{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial F_C}{\partial z_i} dz_i.
\]

Using (9) we can write \( \alpha \) in coordinates \( (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \) as

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( r_1 \cdots \tilde{r}_i \cdots r_n + \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_i} \right) dr_i,
\]

where \( \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_i} = 2 \frac{\partial H_C}{\partial r_i} \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then we can consider that \( \mathcal{L}_C \) is defined by \( \tilde{\alpha} |_{\bar{M}_C} = 0 \), where

\[
\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( r_1 \cdots \tilde{r}_i \cdots r_n + \frac{\partial R}{\partial r_i} \right) dr_i.
\]

Let us prove that \( \mathcal{L}_C \) has a non-constant holomorphic first integral. We start with the blow-up \( \pi_1 \) at \( 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n \) with exceptional divisor \( D_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \). Let \( [Z : Y] = [Z_1 : \ldots : Z_n : Y_1 : \ldots : Y_n] \) be the homogeneous coordinates of \( D_1 \). The intersection of \( \bar{M}_C = \pi_1^* (M_C) \) with the divisor \( D_1 \) is the algebraic hypersurface

\[
Q_1 := \bar{M}_C \cap D_1 = \{ [Z : Y] \in \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} : Z_1 \cdots Z_n + Y_1 \cdots Y_n = 0 \}.
\]

In the chart \( (W, (r, \ell) = (r_1, \ldots, r_n, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n)) \) of \( \bar{\mathbb{C}}^{2n} \) where

\[
\pi_1 (r, \ell) = (\ell_1 r_1, \ldots, \ell_1 r_2, \ldots, \ell_1 r_n, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n),
\]

Then

\[
\tilde{F}_C (r, \ell) = F_C \circ \pi_1 (r, \ell) = \ell_1^r r_1 \cdots r_n + \ell_1^\ell \ell_2 \cdots \ell_n + R(\pi_1 (r, \ell))
\]

\[
= \ell_1^r \left( r_1 \cdots r_n + \ell_2 \cdots \ell_n + \ell_1 R_1 (r, \ell) \right),
\]

where \( R_1 (r, \ell) = R(\pi_1 (r, \ell)) / \ell_1^{n+1} \). Therefore

\[
\bar{M}_C \cap W = \{ r_1 \cdots r_n + \ell_2 \cdots \ell_n + R_1 (r, \ell) = 0 \},
\]

and

\[
Q_1 \cap W = \{ \ell_1 = r_1 \cdots r_n + \ell_2 \cdots \ell_n = 0 \}.
\]
On the other hand, the pull-back of $\tilde{\alpha}$ by $\pi_1$ is

$$\pi_1^*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_1^{n-1} (r_1 \cdots \tilde{r}_i \cdots r_n) d(\ell_1 r_i) + \theta$$

$$= \ell_1^{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_1 r_1 \cdots \tilde{r}_i \cdots r_n dr_i + nr_1 \cdots r_n d\ell_1 + \ell_1 \theta_1 \right),$$

where $\theta_1 = \theta/\ell_1^n$. In the chart $W$, the exceptional divisor is written as $D_1 = \{\ell_1 = 0\}$ and $\tilde{L}_C$ is given by $\alpha_1|\tilde{M}_C = 0$, where

$$\alpha_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_1 r_1 \cdots \tilde{r}_i \cdots r_n dr_i + nr_1 \cdots r_n d\ell_1 + \ell_1 \theta_1.$$

Note that $\tilde{M}_C \cap D_1$ is invariant by $\tilde{L}_C$ and moreover

$$\text{Sing}(\tilde{M}_C) \cap W = \bigcup_{i,j,k,s} W_{i,j,k,s},$$

where

$$W_{i,j,k,s} := \{r_i = r_j = \ell_k = \ell_s = 0\} \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, s \leq n \quad \text{where} \quad i \neq j, k \neq s \quad \text{and} \quad k \neq 1, s \neq 1.$$

Consider the irreducible component $W_{1,2,2,3}$ of $\text{Sing}(\tilde{M}_C) \cap W$. We make a blow-up along this component; the process of desingularization around the other components of $\text{Sing}(\tilde{M}_C) \cap W$ are similarly obtained by exchanging coordinates. Let $E$ be the exceptional divisor of $\pi_{\ell} : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Let $\tilde{M}_C$ be the strict transform of $M_C$ and $\tilde{L}_C$ be the pull-back of $L_C$ by $\pi_1$ respectively. Let $U$ be an open subset with coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$ where the blow-up is

$$\pi_{\ell}(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) = (x_1x_{n+3}, x_2x_{n+3}, x_3, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}x_{n+3}, x_{n+3}, x_{n+4}, \ldots, x_{2n}),$$

we have

$$\tilde{F}_C = \tilde{F}_C \circ \pi_{\ell} = x_{n+1}^n x_{n+3}^2 (x_1 \cdots x_n + x_{n+1}x_{n+2}x_{n+4} \cdots x_{2n} + x_{n+1}x_{n+3} R_2),$$

where $R_2 = R_1(\pi_{\ell}(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}))/x_{n+3}^3$. Therefore

$$\tilde{M}_C \cap U = \{x_1 \cdots x_n + x_{n+2}x_{n+4} \cdots x_{2n} + x_{n+1}x_{n+2} R_2 = 0\}$$

hence

$$\tilde{M}_C \cap E \cap U = \{x_{n+1} = x_{n+3} = x_1 \cdots x_n + x_{n+2}x_{n+4} \cdots x_{2n} = 0\}.$$

The pull-back of $\alpha_1$ by $\pi_{\ell}$ is

$$\pi_{\ell}^*(\alpha_1) = x_{n+3} (x_2 \cdots x_n x_{n+1} x_{n+3} dx_1 + x_1 x_3 \cdots x_n x_{n+1} x_{n+3} dx_2 +$$

$$\sum_{i=3}^n x_1 x_2 x_{n+3} dx_{i} +$$

$$nx_1 x_n^2 x_{n+3} dx_{n+1} + 2x_1 x_2 x_{n+1} x_{n+3} dx_{n+3} + x_{n+1} x_{n+3} \theta_2),$$
where \( \theta_2 = \theta_1/x_{n+3}^2 \). In the chart \( U \), the exceptional divisor is written as
\[
D = D_1 \cup D_2 = \{ x_{n+1} = 0 \} \cup \{ x_{n+3} = 0 \}
\]
and \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C \) is given by \( \alpha_2|_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C} = 0 \), where
\[
\alpha_2 = x_2 \cdots x_n x_{n+1} x_{n+3} dx_1 + x_1 x_3 \cdots x_n x_{n+1} x_{n+3} dx_2 + \sum_{i=3}^{n} x_1 \cdots x_n x_{n+1} x_{n+3} dx_i + nx_1 \cdots x_n x_{n+3} dx_{n+1} + 2x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n x_{n+1} dx_{n+3} + x_{n+1} x_{n+3} \partial_2,
\]
which allows us to conclude that \( \tilde{M}_C \cap D \) is invariant by \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C \). The singularities of the foliation \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C \) on the exceptional divisor in this chart are given by
\[
\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C) \cap D \cap U = \{ x_{n+1} = x_{n+3} = x_1 \cdots x_n = x_{n+2} x_{n+4} \cdots x_{2n} = 0 \}.
\]
If we define \( C_{i,n+j} = \{ x_{n+1} = x_{n+3} = x_i = x_{n+j} = 0 \} = \mathbb{C}^{2(n-2)} \), then we can write
\[
\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C) \cap D \cap U = \bigcup_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \bigcup_{j \neq 1, 3} C_{i,n+j},
\]
Since \( \tilde{M}_C \cap D \) is invariant by \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C \), then
\[
S := (\tilde{M}_C \cap D) \setminus \text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C)
\]
is a leaf of \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_C \). Let \( G \) be its holonomy group, and \( p_0 \in S \) given by
\[
p_0 = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+3}, x_{n+4}, \ldots, x_{2n}) = (1, \ldots, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, \ldots, 1).
\]
Take \( \Sigma \) the transversal section through \( p_0 \) given by
\[
\Sigma = \{(1, \ldots, 1, \lambda, -1, \lambda, 1, \ldots, 1) : \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \}.
\]
Let \( \delta_{i,j}(\theta) \) be a loop around \( C_{i,n+j} \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( 4 \leq j \leq n \), and \( \delta_{i,2}(\theta) \) a loop around \( C_{i,n+2} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) with \( \theta \in [0,1] \). Each one of these loops lifts up to \( \Gamma_{i,j}^{\lambda}(\theta) \) and \( \Gamma_{i,2}^{\lambda}(\theta) \), respectively, such that \( \Gamma_{i,j}(0,0) = \lambda_0 \) and \( \Gamma_{i,j}(\lambda,0) = \lambda \) and \( \Gamma_{i,j}(\lambda,\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i,j}^k(\theta) \lambda^k \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( j = 2, 4, 5, \ldots, n \). The holonomy map with respect to these loops are
\[
h_{i,j}^{\lambda}(\lambda) = \Gamma_{i,j}(\lambda,1).
\]
Using the expression of \( \alpha_2 \) given in (10), we get
\[
h_{i,j}^{\lambda}(0) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\lambda^2}}, \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \text{ and } j = 2, 4, 5, \ldots, n.
\]
It follows from Lemma [5,1] that \( \mathcal{L}_C \) has a non-constant holomorphic first integral. \( \square \)
8.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.** Note that Proposition 8.1 implies that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3, part (b) are verified. Then we get \( f \in \mathcal{O}_n \) such that the foliation \( \mathcal{F} \) given by \( df = 0 \) is tangent to \( M \) and \( M = \{\text{Re}(f) = 0\} \). Without loss of generality we may assume that \( f \) is not a power in \( \mathcal{O}_n \) and therefore \( \text{Re}(f) \) is irreducible in \( \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \). We must have that \( \text{Re}(f) = U \cdot F \) where \( U \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}, \ U(0) \neq 0 \). If the Taylor expansion of \( f \) at \( 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n \) is

\[
f = \sum_{j \geq n} f_j,
\]

where \( f_j \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( j \), then

\[
\text{Re}(f_n) = j^n_0(\text{Re}(f)) = j^n_0(U \cdot F) = U(0)\text{Re}(z_1 \cdots z_n),
\]

which means \( f_n(z) = U(0)z_1 \cdots z_n \). We can assume that \( U(0) = 1 \) and therefore

\[
f(z) = z_1 \cdots z_n + O(|z|^{n+1}).
\]

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.

8.2. **Proof of Corollary 1.** If we assume that \( f(z) \sim_R z_1 \cdots z_n \), it follows from Theorem 6.2 that there exists a germ of a volume-preserving biholomorphism \( \Phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) and a germ of an automorphism \( \Psi : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0) \), such that

\[
f \circ \Phi^{-1}(z) = \Psi(z_1 \cdots z_n).
\]

Hence

\[
\Phi(M) = \{\text{Re}(\Psi(z_1 \cdots z_n)) = 0\}.
\]

This finishes the proof of Corollary 1.
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