

Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras*

Qi Wang, Chengming Bai, Jiefeng Liu and Yunhe Sheng

Abstract

First we use a new approach to give a graded Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements characterize pre-Lie algebra structures. Then using this graded Lie bracket we define the notion of a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra which generates a trivial deformation of this pre-Lie algebra. There are close relationships between \mathcal{O} -operators, Rota-Baxter operators and Nijenhuis operators on a pre-Lie algebra. In particular, a Nijenhuis operator “connects” two \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra whose any linear combination is still an \mathcal{O} -operator in certain sense and hence compatible L-dendriform algebras appear naturally as the induced algebraic structures. For the case of the dual representation of the regular representation of a pre-Lie algebra, there is a geometric interpretation by introducing the notion of a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure which gives rise to a sequence of pseudo-Hessian and pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structures. Another application of Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras in geometry is illustrated by introducing the notion of a para-complex structure on a pre-Lie algebra and then studying paracomplex quadratic pre-Lie algebras and paracomplex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebras in detail. Finally, we give some examples of Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	4
2.1	Pre-Lie algebras, representations and cohomologies	4
2.2	\mathfrak{s} -matrices in pre-Lie algebras	5
2.3	The Gerstenhaber bracket, (graded) pre-Lie algebras and associative algebras . . .	6
3	Generalized Gerstenhaber bracket and pre-Lie algebras	7
4	Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras	9
5	\mathcal{O}-operators, Rota-Baxter operators and Nijenhuis operators	12
5.1	Relationships between \mathcal{O} -operators, Rota-Baxter operators and Nijenhuis operators	13
5.2	Compatible \mathcal{O} -operators and compatible L-dendriform algebras	14

⁰*Keywords:* pre-Lie algebra, Nijenhuis operator, deformation, pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure, paracomplex structure

⁰*MSC:* 17B60, 17B99, 17D99

*Research supported by NSFC (11471139, 11425104), NSF of Jilin Province (20170101050JC) and Nanhu Scholars Program for Young Scholars of XYNU.

6	Pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebras	18
7	Para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras and para-complex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebras	23
7.1	Para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras	23
7.2	Para-complex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebras	26
8	Some examples of Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras	26
8.1	Pre-Lie algebras from the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in Lie algebras	26
8.2	Pre-Lie algebras associated to integrable (generalized) Burgers equation	27
8.3	Pre-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras	28
8.4	Novikov algebras from derivations on commutative associative algebras	29

1 Introduction

For an algebra \mathfrak{g} , constructing a graded Lie algebra structure on the standard complex of multilinear maps (with possible additional conditions) of \mathfrak{g} into itself is very important. Deformations and cohomologies of the algebra can be well studied using this graded Lie algebra. For an associative algebra \mathfrak{g} , the corresponding graded Lie algebra is given as follows. On the graded vector space $\oplus_k \text{Hom}(\otimes^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, there is a graded commutator bracket

$$[P, Q]^G = P \circ Q - (-1)^{pq} Q \circ P,$$

where $P \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^{p+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, $Q \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^{q+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, such that $(\oplus_k \text{Hom}(\otimes^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), [\cdot, \cdot]^G)$ is a graded Lie algebra. This bracket is usually called the Gerstenhaber bracket. Note that the operation \circ defines a graded pre-Lie algebra structure. A bilinear map $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is an associative algebra if and only if $[\pi, \pi]^G = 0$ and the coboundary operator for the cohomology theory of this associative algebra is defined by $\delta(f) = (-1)^{|f|} [\pi, f]^G$. See [23, 24, 32, 33] for details of graded Lie algebras for associative algebras, commutative algebras and Lie algebras.

In [15], the authors constructed such a graded Lie algebra for pre-Lie algebras using the operad theory. Pre-Lie algebras are a class of nonassociative algebras coming from the study of convex homogeneous cones, affine manifolds and affine structures on Lie groups, and the aforementioned cohomologies of associative algebras. They also appeared in many fields in mathematics and mathematical physics, such as complex and symplectic structures on Lie groups and Lie algebras, integrable systems, Poisson brackets and infinite dimensional Lie algebras, vertex algebras, quantum field theory and operads. See [3, 8, 15, 29], and the survey [11] and the references therein for more details.

In this paper, we use a different approach to construct the graded Lie algebra for pre-Lie algebras. We introduce a partial skew-symmetrization operator $\alpha : \text{Hom}(\otimes^{k+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. Then we obtain a graded Lie algebra structure $[\cdot, \cdot]^C$ on the complex $\oplus_k \text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ via

$$[P, Q]^C := \frac{(p+q)!}{p!q!} \alpha([P, Q]^G).$$

Note that this graded Lie algebra is exactly the one given in [15] by replacing the left-symmetry by right-symmetry of the associator. Moreover, a bilinear map $\pi : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a pre-Lie algebra structure if and only if π is a Maurer-Cartan element ($[\pi, \pi]^C = 0$) of the graded Lie algebra

$(\oplus_k \text{Hom}(\wedge^k \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), [\cdot, \cdot]^C)$ and the coboundary operator of a pre-Lie algebra can be defined by $\delta(f) = (-1)^{|f|}[\pi, f]^C$.

Furthermore, we study deformations of pre-Lie algebras in terms of the aforementioned graded Lie bracket. In particular, it leads to the introduction of the notion of a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra which generates a trivial deformation of the pre-Lie algebra. It is also motivated by the similar study for Nijenhuis operators on a Lie algebra which play an important role in the study of integrability of nonlinear evolution equations [18].

There are close relationships between Nijenhuis operators and certain known operators on pre-Lie algebras such as \mathcal{O} -operators and Rota-Baxter operators, whereas the former were introduced in [5] as the generalization of the S -equation in a pre-Lie algebra which is an analogue of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in a Lie algebra given in [4] and the latter were studied in [2] and [28] with interesting motivations. On one hand, we illustrate these relationships explicitly and hence we get some examples of Nijenhuis operators from the study of \mathcal{O} -operators and Rota-Baxter operators on pre-Lie algebras. On the other hand, we find that Nijenhuis operators play an essential role in certain compatible structures in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators. Roughly speaking, a Nijenhuis operator “connects” two \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra whose any linear combination is still an \mathcal{O} -operator (they are called “compatible” \mathcal{O} -operators) in some sense. We also introduce the notion of compatible L-dendriform algebras as the naturally induced algebraic structures since the notion of an L-dendriform algebra was introduced in [5] as the algebraic structure behind an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pre-Lie algebra.

In particular, there is an interesting geometric interpretation when we consider the case of the dual representation of the regular representation of a pre-Lie algebra for the above approach. In fact, the notion of a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra was introduced in [31], which is the algebraic counterpart of a Lie group with a left-invariant Hessian structure [36]. By adding compatibility conditions between a pseudo-Hessian structure and a Nijenhuis structure on a pre-Lie algebra, we introduce the notion of a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure. Such a structure has many good properties such as

1. By a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure, we obtain a sequence of pseudo-Hessian structures and pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structures.
2. The properties that a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure enjoys are totally parallel to the ones of a symplectic-Nijenhuis structure on a Lie algebra [27].
3. The notion of a symmetric \mathfrak{s} -matrix in a pre-Lie algebra was introduced in [4] as a symmetric solution of the S -equation which was also interpreted as an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the dual representation of the regular representation in [5]. Since the inverse of an \mathfrak{s} -matrix is a pseudo-Hessian structure, we construct the correspondence between pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structures and the compatible invertible \mathfrak{s} -matrices on a pre-Lie algebra.

Furthermore, there is another application of Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras in geometry. Explicitly, we introduce the notion of a para-complex structure on a pre-Lie algebra in which the Nijenhuis condition is exactly the integrability condition. We show that a para-complex structure on a pre-Lie algebra gives rise to two transversal pre-Lie subalgebras. We mainly consider two kinds of structures which combine para-complex structures and certain symmetric or skew-symmetric non-degenerate bilinear forms satisfying some additional conditions. In the skew-symmetric cases, we introduce the notion of a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra as a combination of a para-complex structure and a quadratic structure on a pre-Lie algebra, whereas a quadratic pre-Lie algebra is a pre-Lie algebra together with a skew-symmetric nondegenerate invariant bilinear form,

which is the underlying structure of a symplectic Lie algebra. Moreover, we show that a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra is exactly the underlying structure of a para-Kähler Lie algebra:

$$\text{para-Kähler Lie algebra} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{commutator}]{x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y = \omega^{\sharp -1} \text{ad}_x^* \omega^{\sharp}(y)} \text{para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra}$$

Para-Kähler Lie algebras are the algebraic counterpart of para-Kähler manifolds [1, 17] which are widely studied recently [4, 7, 10, 12, 13]. On the other hand, in the symmetric cases, we introduce the notion of a paracomplex pseudo-Hessian structure on a pre-Lie algebra as a combination of a para-complex structure and a pseudo-Hessian structure on a pre-Lie algebra, which is equivalent to a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra with a pair of isotropic transversal pre-Lie subalgebras (such a structure is also called a Manin triple of pre-Lie algebras associated to a nondegenerate symmetric 2-cocycle in [31]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic properties of pre-Lie algebras like representations, coboundary operators, \mathfrak{s} -matrices and the relationship with the Gerstenhaber bracket for associative algebras. In Section 3, we construct a graded Lie algebra that are used to characterize pre-Lie algebras. In Section 4, we introduce the notion of a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra and show that it generates a trivial deformation of this pre-Lie algebra. In Section 5, we study the relationships between \mathcal{O} -operators, Rota-Baxter operators and Nijenhuis operators on a pre-Lie algebra. In particular, we give the relationships between Nijenhuis operators and compatible \mathcal{O} -operators and compatible L-dendriform algebras in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators on pre-Lie algebras. In Section 6, we introduce the notion of a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on a pre-Lie algebra and study its relation with compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices. In Section 7, we introduce the notion of a para-complex structure on a pre-Lie algebra and then introduce and study para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras and para-complex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebras. In Section 8, we study some examples of Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras, whereas the pre-Lie algebras arose from the operator forms of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in Lie algebras, integrable Burgers equation, Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras and derivations on commutative associative algebras respectively.

In this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0 and all the vector spaces are over \mathbb{K} . We only work on finite dimensional vector spaces.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Pre-Lie algebras, representations and cohomologies

Definition 2.1. A **pre-Lie algebra** is a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$, where \mathfrak{g} is a vector space and $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a bilinear multiplication satisfying that for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, the associator $(x, y, z) = (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z - x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)$ is symmetric in x, y , i.e.

$$(x, y, z) = (y, x, z), \text{ or equivalently, } (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z - x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) = (y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z - y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z).$$

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra. The commutator $[x, y]^c = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x$ defines a Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g} , which is called the **sub-adjacent Lie algebra** of $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and denoted by \mathfrak{g}^c . Furthermore, $L : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $x \rightarrow L_x$, where $L_x y = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y$, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, gives a representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^c on \mathfrak{g} . See [11] for more details.

Definition 2.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and V a vector space. A **representation** of \mathfrak{g} on V consists of a pair (ρ, μ) , where $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a representation of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^c on V and $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a linear map satisfying

$$\rho(x)\mu(y)u - \mu(y)\rho(x)u = \mu(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y)u - \mu(y)\mu(x)u, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in V. \quad (1)$$

Usually, we denote a representation by $(V; \rho, \mu)$. It is obvious that $(\mathbb{K}; \rho = 0, \mu = 0)$ is a representation, which we call the **trivial representation**. Let $R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ be a linear map with $x \rightarrow R_x$, where the linear map $R_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $R_x(y) = y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x$, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}; \rho = L, \mu = R)$ is also a representation, which we call the **regular representation**. Define two linear maps $L^*, R^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with $x \rightarrow L_x^*$ and $x \rightarrow R_x^*$ respectively (for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$) by

$$\langle L_x^*(\xi), y \rangle = -\langle \xi, x \cdot y \rangle, \quad \langle R_x^*(\xi), y \rangle = -\langle \xi, y \cdot x \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \quad (2)$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}^*; \rho = \text{ad}^* = L^* - R^*, \mu = -R^*)$ is a representation of $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. In fact, it is the dual representation of the regular representation $(\mathfrak{g}; L, R)$.

The cohomology complex for a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$ is given as follows ([19]). The set of n -cochains is given by $\text{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V)$, $n \geq 1$. For all $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V)$, the coboundary operator $d : \text{Hom}(\wedge^{n-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^n \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & d\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho(x_i) \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \mu(x_{n+1}) \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i) \\ & \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x_{n+1}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \phi([x_i, x_j]^c, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for all $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. In particular, we use the symbol d^T to refer the coboundary operator associated to the trivial representation and d^{reg} to refer the coboundary operator associated to the regular representation. We denote the n -th cohomology group for the coboundary operator d^{reg} by $H_{\text{reg}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and $H_{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_n H_{\text{reg}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$.

Definition 2.3. ([31]) A **pseudo-Hessian structure** on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a symmetric nondegenerate 2-cocycle $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, i.e. $d^T \mathfrak{B} = 0$. More precisely,

$$\mathfrak{B}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, z) - \mathfrak{B}(x, y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z) = \mathfrak{B}(y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x, z) - \mathfrak{B}(y, x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

A pre-Lie algebra equipped with a pseudo-Hessian structure is called a **pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra**, and denoted by $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B})$.

2.2 \mathfrak{s} -matrices in pre-Lie algebras

Let \mathfrak{g} be a vector space. For any $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$, the linear map $r^{\sharp} : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\langle r^{\sharp}(\xi), \eta \rangle = r(\xi, \eta)$, for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. We say that $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ is invertible, if the linear map r^{\sharp} is an isomorphism.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$. We introduce $\llbracket r, r \rrbracket \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ as follows

$$\llbracket r, r \rrbracket(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = -\langle \xi, r^{\sharp}(\eta) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r^{\sharp}(\zeta) \rangle + \langle \eta, r^{\sharp}(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r^{\sharp}(\zeta) \rangle + \langle \zeta, [r^{\sharp}(\xi), r^{\sharp}(\eta)]^c \rangle, \quad \forall \xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \quad (4)$$

Definition 2.4. ([4]) Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra. If $r \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ and satisfies $\llbracket r, r \rrbracket = 0$, then r is called an **s-matrix** in $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Remark 2.5. An s-matrix in a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a solution of the S-equation in $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ which is an analogue of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in a Lie algebra. It plays an important role in the theory of pre-Lie bialgebras, see [4] for more details.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and r an s-matrix. Then $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_r)$ is a pre-Lie algebra, where the multiplication $\cdot_r : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by

$$\xi \cdot_r \eta = \text{ad}_{r^{\sharp}(\xi)}^* \eta - R_{r^{\sharp}(\eta)}^* \xi, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \quad (5)$$

Proposition 2.6. With the above notations, for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we have

$$r^{\sharp}(\xi \cdot_r \eta) - r^{\sharp}(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r^{\sharp}(\eta) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Proposition 2.7. ([4]) Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and r an invertible s-matrix. Define $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ by

$$\mathfrak{B}(x, y) = \langle x, (r^{\sharp})^{-1}(y) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (7)$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra.

Now we extend the equation (4) to any two elements $r_1, r_2 \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket r_1, r_2 \rrbracket(\xi, \eta, \zeta) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\langle \xi, r_1^{\sharp}(\eta) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_2^{\sharp}(\zeta) + r_2^{\sharp}(\eta) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_1^{\sharp}(\zeta) \rangle + \langle \eta, r_1^{\sharp}(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_2^{\sharp}(\zeta) + r_2^{\sharp}(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_1^{\sharp}(\zeta) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle \zeta, [r_1^{\sharp}(\xi), r_2^{\sharp}(\eta)]^c + [r_2^{\sharp}(\xi), r_1^{\sharp}(\eta)]^c \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that $\llbracket r_1, r_2 \rrbracket \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket r_1, r_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket r_2, r_1 \rrbracket, \\ \llbracket r_1, \lambda r_2 \rrbracket &= \lambda \llbracket r_1, r_2 \rrbracket, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \\ \llbracket r_1 + r_2, r_1 + r_2 \rrbracket &= \llbracket r_1, r_1 \rrbracket + 2\llbracket r_1, r_2 \rrbracket + \llbracket r_2, r_2 \rrbracket. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.8. Let r_1 and r_2 be two s-matrices. r_1 and r_2 are called **compatible** if $\llbracket r_1, r_2 \rrbracket = 0$.

It is obvious that r_1 and r_2 are compatible if and only if for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{K}$, $t_1 r_1 + t_2 r_2$ are s-matrices.

2.3 The Gerstenhaber bracket, (graded) pre-Lie algebras and associative algebras

Let \mathfrak{g} be a vector space. Denote by $A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}(\otimes^{p+1} \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ and set $A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. We assume that the degree of an element in $A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is p . Let $P \in A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, $Q \in A^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, $p, q \geq 0$ and $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p+q+1$. Then we have

Theorem 2.9. ([23]) The graded vector space $A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ equipped with the graded commutator bracket

$$[P, Q]^G = P \circ Q - (-1)^{pq} Q \circ P, \quad (9)$$

is a graded Lie algebra, where $P \circ Q \in A^{p+q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is defined by

$$P \circ Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p+q+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{(i-1)q} P(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, Q(x_i, \dots, x_{i+q}), x_{i+q+1}, \dots, x_{p+q+1}).$$

Furthermore, $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ is an associative algebra if and only if $[\pi, \pi]^G = 0$. This bracket is usually called the **Gerstenhaber bracket**.

Note that $(A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), \circ)$ is a graded pre-Lie algebra, that is,

$$(x, y, z) = (-1)^{pq}(y, x, z), \quad \forall x \in A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), y \in A^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), z \in A^r(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}).$$

Assume that $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$ is an associative algebra and set $\pi(x, y) = x * y$. Then the Hochschild coboundary operator $d^H : A^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^H P(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) &= x_1 * P(x_2, \dots, x_{p+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i P(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i * x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p+1} P(x_1, \dots, x_p) * x_{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

can be rewritten in a simple form $d^H(P) = (-1)^{p-1}[\pi, P]^G$ for $P \in A^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$.

3 Generalized Gerstenhaber bracket and pre-Lie algebras

With the notations in Subsection 2.3, define an **alternator** $\alpha : A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\alpha(P)(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sgn}(\sigma) P(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(p)}, x_{p+1}), \quad \forall P \in A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}). \quad (10)$$

By direct calculation, we have $\alpha^2 = \alpha$, which means that the map α is a projection.

Denote by $C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^p \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \alpha(A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}))$ and $C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. For the graded subspace $C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ of $A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, define the binary operation $\diamond : C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \times C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ by

$$P \diamond Q = \frac{(p+q)!}{p!q!} \alpha(P \circ Q), \quad \forall P \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), Q \in C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}).$$

More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P \diamond Q(x_1, \dots, x_{p+q+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \text{sgn}(\sigma) P(Q(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(q)}, x_{\sigma(q+1)}), x_{\sigma(q+2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(p+q)}, x_{p+q+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{pq} \sum_{\sigma \in (p,q)\text{-unshuffles}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) P(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(p)}, Q(x_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(p+q)}, x_{p+q+1})), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \in \Delta$ means $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(q), \sigma(q+2) < \dots < \sigma(p+q)$.

Denote by $[\cdot, \cdot]^C$ the corresponding commutator bracket given by

$$[P, Q]^C = P \diamond Q - (-1)^{pq} Q \diamond P = \frac{(p+q)!}{p!q!} \alpha([P, Q]^G). \quad (11)$$

Theorem 3.1. *With the above notations, we have*

- (i) $(C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), [\cdot, \cdot]^C)$ is a graded Lie algebra;
- (ii) $\pi \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ defines a pre-Lie algebra if and only if $[\pi, \pi]^C = 0$.

Proof. (i) It is obvious that $[\cdot, \cdot]^C$ is graded commutative. By a long but straightforward computation, we can show that for $P \in A^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), Q \in A^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$,

$$\alpha(P \circ Q) = \alpha(\alpha(P) \circ \alpha(Q)).$$

Thus for $P \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), Q \in C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), R \in C^r(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, by (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha([P, Q]^G, R]^G) &= \alpha([P, Q]^G \circ R - (-1)^{(p+q)r} R \circ [P, Q]^G) \\ &= \alpha(\alpha([P, Q]^G) \circ \alpha(R) - (-1)^{(p+q)r} \alpha(R) \circ \alpha([P, Q]^G)) \\ &= \frac{(p+q)!r!}{(p+q+r)!} [\alpha([P, Q]^G), \alpha(R)]^C \\ &= \frac{p!q!r!}{(p+q+r)!} [[P, Q]^C, R]^C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the graded Jacobi identity for the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^C$ follows directly from the graded Jacobi identity for the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^G$.

(ii) By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi]^C(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \pi \diamond \pi(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ &= \pi(\pi(x_1, x_2), x_3) - \pi(\pi(x_2, x_1), x_3) - \pi(x_1, \pi(x_2, x_3)) + \pi(x_2, \pi(x_1, x_3))), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that π defines a pre-Lie algebra if and only if $[\pi, \pi]^C = 0$. ■

Remark 3.2. *By a similar proof as that of the above conclusion (i), $(C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), \diamond)$ is also a graded pre-Lie algebra. The graded Lie algebra given above is exactly the graded Lie algebra given in [15] using the operad theory if the left-symmetry of the associator is replaced by the right-symmetry. Here the graded Lie algebra is given directly and explicitly through the skew-symmetrization of the Gerstenhaber bracket.*

Let (\mathfrak{g}, π) be a pre-Lie algebra. By Theorem 3.1, we have $[\pi, \pi]^C = 0$. Because of the graded Jacobi identity, we get a coboundary operator $\delta : C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\delta(\phi) = (-1)^n [\pi, \phi]^C, \quad \forall \phi \in C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}). \quad (12)$$

By straightforward computation, we have

Proposition 3.3. *For all $\phi \in C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \pi(x_i, \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \pi(\phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, x_i), x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \phi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n, \pi(x_i, x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} \phi(\pi(x_i, x_j) - \pi(x_j, x_i), x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Thus, the coboundary operator given by (12) is exactly the one given by (3) for the regular representation (L, R) , i.e. $\delta = d^{\text{reg}}$.

Let V and W be two vector spaces. A linear map $f : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W)$ can induce two maps $\bar{f} : \otimes^2(V \oplus W) \rightarrow V \oplus W$ and $\tilde{f} : \otimes^2(V \oplus W) \rightarrow V \oplus W$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(v_1 + w_1, v_2 + w_2) &= f(v_1)(w_2), \\ \tilde{f}(v_1 + w_1, v_2 + w_2) &= f(v_2)(w_1), \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in V, w_1, w_2 \in W.\end{aligned}$$

Consider a representation of a pre-Lie algebra, we have

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and V a vector space. A pair of linear maps $\rho, \mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a representation of the pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on V if and only if*

$$[\pi + \bar{\rho} + \tilde{\mu}, \pi + \bar{\rho} + \tilde{\mu}]^C = 0. \quad (14)$$

Proof. A pair of linear maps $\rho, \mu : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a representation of the pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on V if and only if $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} V$ is a pre-Lie algebra, where the pre-Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} V$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 + v_1) \star (x_2 + v_2) &= x_1 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x_2 + \rho(x_1)v_2 + \mu(x_2)v_1 \\ &= (\pi + \bar{\rho} + \tilde{\mu})(x_1 + v_1, x_2 + v_2), \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}, v_1, v_2 \in V.\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1, the conclusion follows immediately. ■

4 Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and $N : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a linear map. We also use $\pi : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ to denote the multiplication in the pre-Lie algebra, i.e. $\pi(x, y) = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y$. The deformed structure

$$\pi_N := [\pi, N]^C$$

defines a bilinear operation on \mathfrak{g} which we denote by \cdot_N . Explicitly,

$$x \cdot_N y = [\pi, N]^C(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (16)$$

Lemma 4.1. *The operation \cdot_N satisfies*

$$x \cdot_N y = N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) - N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (17)$$

We use $T_{\pi}N : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ to denote the Nijenhuis torsion of N defined by¹

$$T_{\pi}N := \frac{1}{2}([\pi, N \diamond N]^C + [N, [\pi, N]^C]^C). \quad (18)$$

Lemma 4.2. *With the above notations, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have*

$$T_{\pi}N(x, y) = N(x \cdot_N y) - N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y). \quad (19)$$

¹This definition is motivated by the Nijenhuis torsion of a Lie algebra given in [27, Proposition 2.3].

Proof. For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$[\pi, N \diamond N]^C(x, y) = N^2(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^2(y) - N^2(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [N, [\pi, N]^C]^C(x, y) &= N(N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) - N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y)) \\ &\quad - N^2(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) + N(N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \\ &\quad - N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) - x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^2(y) + N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$([\pi, N \diamond N]^C + [N, [\pi, N]^C]^C)(x, y) = 2\left(N(N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) - N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y)) - N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y)\right),$$

which implies that (19) holds. ■

Theorem 4.3. *Let (\mathfrak{g}, π) be a pre-Lie algebra and N a linear map. Then the deformed structure $\pi_N = [\pi, N]^C$ is a pre-Lie algebra if and only if the Nijenhuis torsion $T_\pi N$ of N is closed, i.e. $d^{\text{reg}}(T_\pi N) = 0$.*

Proof. By the graded Jacobi identity, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}([\pi, N]^C, [\pi, N]^C]^C) = [\pi, T_\pi N]^C, \quad (20)$$

which implies that (\mathfrak{g}, π_N) is a pre-Lie algebra if and only if $d^{\text{reg}}(T_\pi N) = 0$. ■

Remark 4.4. *The deformed pre-Lie algebra structure π_N is compatible with π in the sense that $\pi + \pi_N$ is a pre-Lie algebra structure, i.e. $[\pi + \pi_N, \pi + \pi_N]^C = 0$.*

Definition 4.5. *Let (\mathfrak{g}, π) be a pre-Lie algebra. A linear map $N : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called a **Nijenhuis operator** if $T_\pi N = 0$, i.e.*

$$N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) = N(N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) - N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (21)$$

By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, we have

Proposition 4.6. *Let $N : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, π) . Then (\mathfrak{g}, π_N) is also a pre-Lie algebra and N is a morphism from the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, π_N) to (\mathfrak{g}, π) .*

Recall that a **Nijenhuis operator** N on a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a linear operator $N : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$N([N(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) = [N(x), N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$ is a Lie algebra and N is a morphism from the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$ to $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$, where the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_N$ is defined by

$$[x, y]_N = [N(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The following conclusion is obvious.

Proposition 4.7. *Let N be a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then N is also a Nijenhuis operator on the sub-adjacent Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]^c)$. Furthermore, the commutator bracket of the multiplication \cdot_N is exactly the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_N^c$, i.e.*

$$[x, y]_N^c = x \cdot_N y - y \cdot_N x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In the following, we study deformations of pre-Lie algebras using the graded Lie algebra $(C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}), [\cdot, \cdot]^C)$ given in Theorem 3.1. Let (\mathfrak{g}, π) be a pre-Lie algebra, and $\omega \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. Consider a t -parameterized family of multiplications $\pi_t : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$\pi_t(x, y) = \pi(x, y) + t\omega(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If $\mathfrak{g}_t = (\mathfrak{g}, \pi_t)$ is a pre-Lie algebra for all t , we say that ω generates a **1-parameter infinitesimal deformation** of (\mathfrak{g}, π) .

Obviously, (\mathfrak{g}, π_t) is a deformation of (\mathfrak{g}, π) if and only if $[\pi_t, \pi_t]^C = 0$, which is equivalent to

$$[\pi, \omega]^C = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$[\omega, \omega]^C = 0. \tag{23}$$

Eq. (22) means that ω is a 2-cocycle for the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, π) , i.e. $d^{\text{reg}}\omega = 0$, and Eq. (23) means that (\mathfrak{g}, ω) is a pre-Lie algebra.

Two deformations $\mathfrak{g}_t = (\mathfrak{g}, \pi_t)$ and $\mathfrak{g}'_t = (\mathfrak{g}, \pi'_t)$ of a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$, which are generated by ω and ω' respectively, are said to be **equivalent** if there exists a family of pre-Lie algebra isomorphisms $\text{Id} + tN : \mathfrak{g}_t \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_t$. A deformation is said to be **trivial** if there exist a family of pre-Lie algebra isomorphisms $\text{Id} + tN : \mathfrak{g}_t \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \pi)$.

By straightforward computations, \mathfrak{g}_t and \mathfrak{g}'_t are equivalent deformations if and only if

$$\omega(x, y) - \omega'(x, y) = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) + N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y), \tag{24}$$

$$N\omega(x, y) = \omega'(x, N(y)) + \omega'(N(x), y) + N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y), \tag{25}$$

$$\omega'(N(x), N(y)) = 0, \tag{26}$$

in which $x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y = \pi(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Eq. (24) means that $\omega - \omega' = d^{\text{reg}}N$. Eq. (26) means that $\omega'|_{\text{Im}(N)} = 0$.

We summarize the above discussion into the following conclusion:

Theorem 4.8. *Let (\mathfrak{g}, π_t) be a deformation of a pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, π) generated by $\omega \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$. Then ω is closed, i.e. $d^{\text{reg}}\omega = 0$. Furthermore, if two deformations (\mathfrak{g}, π_t) and (\mathfrak{g}, π'_t) generated by ω and ω' respectively are equivalent, then ω and ω' are in the same cohomology class in $H_{\text{reg}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$.*

Now we consider trivial deformations of a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Eqs. (24) – (26) reduce to

$$\omega(x, y) = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) + N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y), \tag{27}$$

$$N(\omega(x, y)) = N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y). \tag{28}$$

It follows from (27) and (28) that N is a Nijenhuis operator.

We have seen that a trivial deformation of a pre-Lie algebra gives rise to a Nijenhuis operator. In fact, the converse is also true.

Theorem 4.9. *Let N be a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then a deformation of $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ can be obtained by putting*

$$\omega(x, y) = (d^{\text{reg}} N)(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Furthermore, this deformation is trivial.

Proof. Since ω is exact, ω is closed naturally, i.e. Eq. (22) holds. To see that ω generates a deformation, we only need to show that (23) holds, which follows from the Nijenhuis condition (21). We omit the details. At last, it is obvious that

$$(\text{Id} + tN)\pi_t(x, y) = \pi((\text{Id} + tN)(x), (\text{Id} + tN)(y)),$$

which implies that the deformation is trivial. ■

As in the Lie algebra case, any polynomial of a Nijenhuis operator is still a Nijenhuis operator. The following formula can be obtained by straightforward computations.

Lemma 4.10. *Let N be a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then for arbitrary positive $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following equation holds*

$$N^j(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^k(y) - N^k(N^j(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) - N^j(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^k(y)) + N^{j+k}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (29)$$

If N is invertible, this formula is valid for arbitrary $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let N be a Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then for any polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i z^i$ the operator $P(N)$ is also a Nijenhuis operator. If N is invertible, then $Q(N)$ is also a Nijenhuis operator for any polynomial $Q(z) = \sum_{i=-m}^n c_i z^i$.*

Proof. For $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, by Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P(N)(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} P(N)(y) - P(N)(P(N)(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) - P(N)(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} P(N)(y)) + P^2(N)(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^n c_j c_k \left(N^j(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^k(y) - N^k(N^j(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) - N^j(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^k(y)) + N^{j+k}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $P(N)$ is a Nijenhuis operator. The second statement is valid for similar reasons. ■

5 \mathcal{O} -operators, Rota-Baxter operators and Nijenhuis operators

In the first subsection, we illustrate the relationships between \mathcal{O} -operators on pre-Lie algebras introduced in [5], Rota-Baxter operators on pre-Lie algebras and Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras. In the second subsection, we give the relationships between Nijenhuis operators and certain compatible structures like compatible \mathcal{O} -operators and compatible L-dendriform algebras in terms of \mathcal{O} -operators on pre-Lie algebras.

5.1 Relationships between \mathcal{O} -operators, Rota-Baxter operators and Nijenhuis operators

Recall that an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$ is a linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$T(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T(v) = T\left(\rho(T(u))(v) + \mu(T(v))(u)\right), \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (30)$$

By Proposition 2.6, if r is an \mathfrak{s} -matrix, then r^{\sharp} is an \mathcal{O} -operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to the representation $(\mathfrak{g}^*; \text{ad}^*, -R^*)$.

Definition 5.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and $\mathcal{R} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a linear operator. If \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{R}(y) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{R}(y)) + \lambda \mathcal{R}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (31)$$

then \mathcal{R} is called a **Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ** on \mathfrak{g} .

Note that a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on a pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is exactly an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to the regular representation $(\mathfrak{g}; L, R)$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and $N : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a linear operator.

- (i) If $N^2 = 0$, then N is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if N is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on \mathfrak{g} .
- (ii) If $N^2 = N$, then N is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if N is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight -1 on \mathfrak{g} .
- (iii) If $N^2 = \text{Id}$, then N is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if $N \pm \text{Id}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight ∓ 2 on \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. (i) and (ii) are obvious due to the definitions. (iii) follows from a conclusion in [6] (Corollary 2.10). ■

Example 5.3. In [28], Rota-Baxter operators \mathcal{R} of weight zero on pre-Lie algebras were studied with some examples. In particular, there are the following examples satisfying $\mathcal{R}^2 = 0$:

1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be an n -dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that $L_{e_1} = \text{Id}, L_{e_i} = 0, i = 2, \dots, n$.
2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be an n -dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of \mathfrak{g} such that $R_{e_1} = \text{Id}, R_{e_i} = 0, i = 2, \dots, n$.
3. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ whose non-zero products are given by

$$e_2 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_1 = -e_1, \quad e_2 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 = -e_2.$$

4. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ whose non-zero products are given by

$$e_1 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 = e_1, \quad e_2 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 = e_2.$$

5. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ whose non-zero products are given by

$$e_3 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_1 = e_1, \quad e_3 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 = e_2, \quad e_3 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_3 = e_3.$$

6. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ whose non-zero products are given by

$$e_1 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_3 = -e_1, \quad e_2 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_3 = -e_2, \quad e_3 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_3 = -e_3.$$

For every above pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , \mathcal{R} is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on \mathfrak{g} if and only if $\mathcal{R}^2 = 0$. In these cases, such \mathcal{R} is also a Nijenhuis operator on \mathfrak{g} .

Example 5.4. In [2], the classification of Rota-Baxter operators \mathcal{R} of weight -1 on complex associative algebras in dimensions 2 and 3 were given. It is straightforward to find that there are many examples satisfying $\mathcal{R}^2 = \mathcal{R}$ and hence they are also Nijenhuis operators on these associative algebras (hence pre-Lie algebras).

Lemma 5.5. ([6]) *Let \mathfrak{g} be a pre-Lie algebra and $(V; \rho, \mu)$ a representation. Let $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a linear map. For any λ , T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on \mathfrak{g} associated to $(V; \rho, \mu)$ if and only if the linear map $\mathcal{R}_T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & -\lambda \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ on the semidirect product pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} V, \star)$, where the multiplication \star is given by (15).*

Proposition 5.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and $(V; \rho, \mu)$ a representation. Let $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a linear map. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) T is an \mathcal{O} -operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$;
- (ii) $N_T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} V, \star)$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{N}_T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} V, \star)$.

Proof. Note that N_T corresponds to $\lambda = 0$ and $(N_T)^2 = 0$, \mathcal{N}_T corresponds to $\lambda = -1$ and $(\mathcal{N}_T)^2 = \mathcal{N}_T$. Hence the conclusions follow from Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 5.5. ■

5.2 Compatible \mathcal{O} -operators and compatible L-dendriform algebras

Definition 5.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and $(V; \rho, \mu)$ a representation. Let $T_1, T_2 : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be two \mathcal{O} -operators associated to $(V; \rho, \mu)$. If for all k_1, k_2 , $k_1 T_1 + k_2 T_2$ is still an \mathcal{O} -operator associated to $(V; \rho, \mu)$, then T_1 and T_2 are called **compatible**.*

Example 5.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra. Then two \mathfrak{s} -matrices r_1 and r_2 are compatible in the sense of Definition 2.8 if and only if r_1^{\sharp} and r_2^{\sharp} are compatible as \mathcal{O} -operators on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to the representation $(\mathfrak{g}^*; \text{ad}^*, -R^*)$.*

Proposition 5.9. *Let $T_1, T_2 : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be two \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$. Then T_1 and T_2 are compatible if and only if the following equation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T_1(v) &= T_1 \left(\rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_2(v))(u) \right) \\ &\quad + T_2 \left(\rho(T_1(u))(v) + \mu(T_1(v))(u) \right), \quad \forall u, v \in V. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Proof. It follows from a direct computation. ■

Using an \mathcal{O} -operator and a Nijenhuis operator, we can construct a pair of compatible \mathcal{O} -operators.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $T : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$ and N a Nijenhuis operator on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then $N \circ T$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to the representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$ if and only if for all $u, v \in V$, the following equation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left(NT(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T(v) + T(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT(v)\right) \\ = & N\left(T(\rho(NT(u))(v) + \mu(NT(v))(u)) + NT(\rho(T(u))(v) + \mu(T(v))(u))\right). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In this case, if in addition N is invertible, then T and $N \circ T$ are compatible. More explicitly, for any \mathcal{O} -operator T , if there exists an invertible Nijenhuis operator N such that $N \circ T$ is also an \mathcal{O} -operator, then T and $N \circ T$ are compatible.

Proof. Let $u, v \in V$. Since N is a Nijenhuis operator, we have

$$NT(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT(v) = N\left(NT(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T(v) + T(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT(v)\right) - N^2(T(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T(v)).$$

Note that

$$T(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T(v) = T\left(\rho(T(u))(v) + \mu(T(v))(u)\right).$$

Then

$$NT(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT(v) = NT\left(\rho(NT(u))(v) + \mu(NT(v))(u)\right)$$

if and only if (33) holds.

If $N \circ T$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator and N is invertible, then we have

$$NT(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T(v) + T(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT(v) = T(\rho(NT(u))(v) + \mu(NT(v))(u)) + NT(\rho(T(u))(v) + \mu(T(v))(u)),$$

which is exactly the condition that $N \circ T$ and T are compatible. ■

A pair of compatible \mathcal{O} -operators can also give rise to a Nijenhuis operator under some conditions.

Proposition 5.11. *Let $T_1, T_2 : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be two \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$. Suppose that T_2 is invertible. If T_1 and T_2 are compatible, then $N = T_1 \circ T_2^{-1}$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$.*

Proof. For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exist $u, v \in V$ such that $T_2(u) = x, T_2(v) = y$. Hence $N = T_1 \circ T_2^{-1}$ is a Nijenhuis operator if and only if the following equation holds:

$$NT_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT_2(v) = N(NT_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT_2(v)) - N^2(T_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T_2(v)).$$

Since $T_1 = N \circ T_2$ is an \mathcal{O} -operator, the left hand side of the above equation is

$$NT_2(\rho(NT_2(u))(v) + \mu(NT_2(v))(u)).$$

Since T_2 is an \mathcal{O} -operator which is compatible with $T_1 = N \circ T_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & NT_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T_2(v) + T_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} NT_2(v) \\ &= T_2(\rho(NT_2(u))(v) + \mu(NT_2(v))(u)) + NT_2(\rho(T_2(u))(v) + \mu(T_2(v))(u)) \\ &= T_2(\rho(NT_2(u))(v) + \mu(NT_2(v))(u)) + N(T_2(u) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} T_2(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Let N act on both sides, we get the conclusion. ■

By Proposition 5.10 and 5.11, we have

Corollary 5.12. *Let $T_1, T_2 : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be two \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$. Suppose that T_1 and T_2 are invertible. Then T_1 and T_2 are compatible if and only if $N = T_1 \circ T_2^{-1}$ is a Nijenhuis operator.*

Since a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero is an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pre-Lie algebra associated to the regular representation, we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 5.13. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra. Suppose that \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are two invertible Rota-Baxter operators of weight zero. Then \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are compatible in the sense that any linear combination of \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 is still a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero if and only if $N = \mathcal{R}_1 \circ \mathcal{R}_2^{-1}$ is a Nijenhuis operator.*

Remark 5.14. *Note that the inverse of an invertible Rota-Baxter operator is a derivation on a pre-Lie algebra. However, it is interesting to see that the similar role of Nijenhuis operators as above is not available for derivations since any linear combination of two derivations is always a derivation due to the fact that the set of all derivations is a linear space.*

There is a notion of L-dendriform algebra which was introduced in [5] as the algebraic structure behind an \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra. At the end of this section, we introduce the notion of compatible L-dendriform algebras as the naturally induced algebraic structures from the compatible \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra and hence in particular, there are compatible L-dendriform algebras obtained from a pair of an \mathcal{O} -operator and a Nijenhuis operator satisfying some conditions.

Definition 5.15. *Let A be a vector space with two binary operations denoted by \triangleright and $\triangleleft : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$. $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is called an **L-dendriform algebra** if for all $x, y, z \in A$,*

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleright z + (x \triangleleft y) \triangleright z + y \triangleright (x \triangleleft z) - (y \triangleleft x) \triangleright z - (y \triangleright x) \triangleright z, \quad (34)$$

$$x \triangleright (y \triangleleft z) = (x \triangleright y) \triangleleft z + y \triangleleft (x \triangleright z) + y \triangleleft (x \triangleleft z) - (y \triangleleft x) \triangleleft z. \quad (35)$$

Two L-dendriform algebras $(A, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(A, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are called **compatible** if for all k_1, k_2 , $(A, k_1 \triangleright_1 + k_2 \triangleright_2, k_1 \triangleleft_1 + k_2 \triangleleft_2)$ is an L-dendriform algebra. We denote it by $(A, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1; \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$.

For an L-dendriform algebra $(A, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, the binary operation $\cdot_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ given by

$$x \cdot_A y = x \triangleright y - y \triangleleft x, \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (36)$$

defines a (vertical) pre-Lie algebra.

Lemma 5.16. *Two L-dendriform algebras $(A, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(A, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are compatible if and only if for all $x, y, z \in A$, the following equations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright_1 (y \triangleright_2 z) + x \triangleright_2 (y \triangleright_1 z) &= (x \triangleright_1 y) \triangleright_2 z + (x \triangleright_2 y) \triangleright_1 z + (x \triangleleft_1 y) \triangleright_2 z + (x \triangleleft_2 y) \triangleright_1 z \\ &\quad + y \triangleright_1 (x \triangleright_2 z) + y \triangleright_2 (x \triangleright_1 z) - (y \triangleleft_1 x) \triangleright_2 z - (y \triangleleft_2 x) \triangleright_1 z \\ &\quad - (y \triangleright_1 x) \triangleright_2 z - (y \triangleright_2 x) \triangleright_1 z; \\ x \triangleright_1 (y \triangleleft_2 z) + x \triangleright_2 (y \triangleleft_1 z) &= (x \triangleright_1 y) \triangleleft_2 z + (x \triangleright_2 y) \triangleleft_1 z + y \triangleleft_1 (x \triangleright_2 z) + y \triangleleft_2 (x \triangleright_1 z) \\ &\quad + y \triangleleft_2 (x \triangleleft_1 z) + y \triangleleft_1 (x \triangleleft_2 z) - (y \triangleleft_1 x) \triangleleft_2 z - (y \triangleleft_2 x) \triangleleft_1 z. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is straightforward. ■

Lemma 5.17. ([5]) *Let $T : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$. Then there exists an L-dendriform algebra structure on V defined by*

$$u \triangleright v = \rho(T(u))v, \quad u \triangleleft v = -\mu(T(u))v, \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (37)$$

There is an induced L-dendriform algebra structure on $T(V) = \{T(v) \mid v \in V\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$T(u) \triangleright T(v) = T(u \triangleright v), \quad T(u) \triangleleft T(v) = T(u \triangleleft v), \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (38)$$

If in addition, T is invertible, then there exists an L-dendriform algebra structure on \mathfrak{g} defined by

$$x \triangleright y = T\rho(x)T^{-1}(y), \quad x \triangleleft y = -T\mu(x)T^{-1}(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (39)$$

Proposition 5.18. *Let $T_1, T_2 : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be two \mathcal{O} -operators on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$. Assume that T_1 and T_2 are compatible. Then $(V, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(V, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are compatible L-dendriform algebras, where*

$$\begin{aligned} u \triangleright_1 v &= \rho(T_1(u))v, & u \triangleleft_1 v &= -\mu(T_1(u))v, \\ u \triangleright_2 v &= \rho(T_2(u))v, & u \triangleleft_2 v &= -\mu(T_2(u))v, \end{aligned} \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

If in addition, both T_1 and T_2 are invertible, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are compatible L-dendriform algebras, where

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright_1 y &= T_1\rho(x)T_1^{-1}(y), & x \triangleleft_1 y &= -T_1\mu(x)T_1^{-1}(y), \\ x \triangleright_2 y &= T_2\rho(x)T_2^{-1}(y), & x \triangleleft_2 y &= -T_2\mu(x)T_2^{-1}(y), \end{aligned} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof. It follows directly from Lemma 5.17 and the definitions of compatible \mathcal{O} -operators and compatible L-dendriform algebras. ■

Corollary 5.19. *Let $T : V \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be an \mathcal{O} -operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to a representation $(V; \rho, \mu)$. If there exists an invertible Nijenhuis operator N such that $N \circ T$ is also an \mathcal{O} -operator on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to $(V; \rho, \mu)$, then $(V, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(V, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are compatible L-dendriform algebras, where*

$$\begin{aligned} u \triangleright_1 v &= \rho(T(u))v, & u \triangleleft_1 v &= -\mu(T(u))v, \\ u \triangleright_2 v &= \rho(NT(u))v, & u \triangleleft_2 v &= -\mu(NT(u))v, \end{aligned} \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

If in addition, T is invertible, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are compatible L-dendriform algebras, where

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright_1 y &= T\rho(x)T^{-1}(y), & x \triangleleft_1 y &= -T\mu(x)T^{-1}(y), \\ x \triangleright_2 y &= NT\rho(x)(NT)^{-1}(y), & x \triangleleft_2 y &= -NT\mu(x)(NT)^{-1}(y), \end{aligned} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Example 5.20. Let \mathcal{R} be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Suppose that there exists an invertible Nijenhuis operator N such that $N \circ \mathcal{R}$ is also a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are compatible L-dendriform algebras, where

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright_1 y &= \mathcal{R}(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, & x \triangleleft_1 y &= -y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{R}(x), \\ x \triangleright_2 y &= (N \circ \mathcal{R})(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, & x \triangleleft_2 y &= -y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (N \circ \mathcal{R})(x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

If in addition, \mathcal{R} is invertible, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_1, \triangleleft_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \triangleright_2, \triangleleft_2)$ are also compatible L-dendriform algebras, where

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright_1 y &= \mathcal{R}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{R}^{-1}(y)), & x \triangleleft_1 y &= -\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}^{-1}(y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x), \\ x \triangleright_2 y &= (N \circ \mathcal{R})(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (N \circ \mathcal{R})^{-1}(y)), & x \triangleleft_2 y &= -(N \circ \mathcal{R})((N \circ \mathcal{R})^{-1}(y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

6 Pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebras

In this section, we consider the case of the dual representation of the regular representation of a pre-Lie algebra for the study in the previous section, that is, the compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices (see Example 5.8) and Nijenhuis operators. In particular, there is an interesting geometric interpretation here. Note that the inverse of an \mathfrak{s} -matrix corresponds to a pseudo-Hessian structure. So it is natural to introduce the notion of a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on a pre-Lie algebra as a pair of a pseudo-Hessian structure and a Nijenhuis operator satisfying certain compatibility conditions. Such a structure induces a sequence of pseudo-Hessian structures as well as pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structures. We show that two compatible invertible \mathfrak{s} -matrices give rise to a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure. Conversely, a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure must be obtained in this way. Finally, we investigate the pre-Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g}^* associated to a pair of compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and $N : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a linear operator. Suppose that $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ satisfies that

$$\mathfrak{B}(N(x), y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (40)$$

Define a sequence of bilinear forms by $\mathfrak{B}_k(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, N^k(y))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is obvious that $\mathfrak{B}_k \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Definition 6.1. Let \mathfrak{B} be a pseudo-Hessian structure and N an invertible Nijenhuis operator on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then (\mathfrak{B}, N) is called a **pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure** on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ if (40) holds and $d^T \mathfrak{B}_1 = 0$. More precisely,

$$\mathfrak{B}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, N(z)) - \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) = \mathfrak{B}(y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x, N(z)) - \mathfrak{B}(y, N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

A pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ equipped with a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure (\mathfrak{B}, N) is called a **pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra**.

Remark 6.2. Later we will see that many properties for a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra are totally parallel to the ones for a symplectic-Nijenhuis Lie algebra. The notion of a symplectic-Nijenhuis structure on a Lie algebra, or more generally on a Lie algebroid, was introduced in [27, Definition 8.1] as generalizations of Poisson-Nijenhuis structures [26, 30]. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ be a symplectic Lie algebra and N a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. A pair (ω, N) is called a symplectic-Nijenhuis structure² if

$$\omega(N(x), y) = \omega(x, N(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \quad (41)$$

²It is called an ΩN -structure in [27].

and ω_N is a 2-cocycle on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$, where $\omega_N : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $\omega_N(x, y) = \omega(N(x), y)$. By (41), ω_N is skew-symmetric.

Now we give some examples for pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebras.

Example 6.3. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ whose non-zero products are given as follows:

$$e_2 \cdot e_1 = -e_1, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 = e_2.$$

Let $\{e_1^*, e_2^*\}$ be the dual basis and \mathfrak{B} a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form given by

$$\mathfrak{B} = ae_1^* \otimes e_2^* + ae_2^* \otimes e_1^* + be_2^* \otimes e_2^*, \quad a \neq 0.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ is a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra.

It is straightforward to verify that all $N = \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$ with $c \neq 0$ are invertible Nijenhuis operators on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) .

By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}(N(x), y) &= \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y)), \\ d^T \mathfrak{B}_1(x, y, z) &= 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}_1(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y))$. Thus (\mathfrak{B}, N) is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) .

Example 6.4. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a 3-dimensional pre-Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ whose non-zero products are given as follows:

$$e_3 \cdot e_2 = e_2, \quad e_3 \cdot e_3 = -e_3.$$

Let $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, e_3^*\}$ be the dual basis and \mathfrak{B} a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form given by

$$\mathfrak{B} = ae_1^* \otimes e_1^* + be_2^* \otimes e_3^* + be_3^* \otimes e_2^* + ce_3^* \otimes e_3^*, \quad ab \neq 0.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot, \mathfrak{B})$ is a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra.

It is straightforward to verify that all $N = \begin{bmatrix} d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & f \\ 0 & 0 & e \end{bmatrix}$ with $de \neq 0$ are invertible Nijenhuis operators on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) .

By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}(N(x), y) &= \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y)), \\ d^T \mathfrak{B}_1(x, y, z) &= 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}_1(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y))$. Thus (\mathfrak{B}, N) is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot) .

We give a useful lemma.

Lemma 6.5. With above notations, for $\mathfrak{B}_k \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^T \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}(x, y, z) &= d^T \mathfrak{B}_k(N(x), y, z) + d^T \mathfrak{B}_k(x, N(y), z) - d^T \mathfrak{B}_{k-1}(N(x), N(y), z) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{B}([N(x), N(y)]^c - N([N(x), y]^c + [x, N(y)]^c - N([x, y]^c), N^{k-1}(z))). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Here we set $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{B}$.

Proof. By the definition of the coboundary operator d^T associated to the trivial representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^T \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}(x, y, z) &= -\mathfrak{B}(y, N^{k+1}(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) + \mathfrak{B}(x, N^{k+1}(y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) - \mathfrak{B}([x, y]^c, N^{k+1}(z)), \\ d^T \mathfrak{B}_k(Nx, y, z) &= -\mathfrak{B}(y, N^k(N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) + \mathfrak{B}(N(x), N^k(y \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) - \mathfrak{B}([N(x), y]^c, N^k(z)), \\ d^T \mathfrak{B}_k(x, Ny, z) &= -\mathfrak{B}(N(y), N^k(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) + \mathfrak{B}(x, N^k(N(y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) - \mathfrak{B}([x, N(y)]^c, N^k(z)), \\ d^T \mathfrak{B}_{k-1}(Nx, Ny, z) &= -\mathfrak{B}(N(y), N^{k-1}(N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) + \mathfrak{B}(N(x), N^{k-1}(N(y) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z)) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{B}([N(x), N(y)]^c, N^{k-1}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expression obtained into the right hand side of (42) and take (40) into account, we get the desired equality. ■

Theorem 6.6. *Let (\mathfrak{B}, N) be a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, \mathfrak{B}_k is a pseudo-Hessian structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$, i.e. $d^T \mathfrak{B}_k = 0$. Furthermore, for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, (\mathfrak{B}_k, N^l) is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$.*

Proof. Since N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$, N is also the Nijenhuis operator for the corresponding sub-adjacent Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]^c)$. Thus by (42), we have

$$d^T \mathfrak{B}_{k+1}(x, y, z) = d^T \mathfrak{B}_k(N(x), y, z) + d^T \mathfrak{B}_k(x, N(y), z) - d^T \mathfrak{B}_{k-1}(N(x), N(y), z).$$

Because of $d^T \mathfrak{B} = d^T \mathfrak{B}_1 = 0$, it follows that $d^T \mathfrak{B}_2 = 0$. By induction on k , it follows that $d^T \mathfrak{B}_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We finish the proof. ■

In the following, we characterize the algebraic structure of a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on a pre-Lie algebra in terms of compatible structures. At first, two invertible compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices can induce a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on a pre-Lie algebra.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and r_1, r_2 two compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices. Suppose that r_2 is invertible. Then $N = r_1^{\sharp} \circ (r_2^{\sharp})^{-1} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Furthermore, if r_1 is also invertible, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra, where \mathfrak{B} is defined by (7) associated to the \mathfrak{s} -matrix r_1 .*

Proof. Since r_1 and r_2 are \mathfrak{s} -matrices, r_1^{\sharp} and r_2^{\sharp} are \mathcal{O} -operators associated to the representation $(\mathfrak{g}^*; \text{ad}^*, -R^*)$. Then the first conclusion follows from Proposition 5.11. As for the second conclusion, by Proposition 2.7, if r_1 is invertible, then \mathfrak{B} is a pseudo-Hessian structure. As both r_1 and r_2 are symmetric, we have

$$\mathfrak{B}(N(x), y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y)).$$

Since r_2 is an invertible \mathfrak{s} -matrix, $\mathfrak{B}_1 \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined by $\mathfrak{B}_1(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y)) = \langle x, (r_2^{\sharp})^{-1} y \rangle$ is closed and therefore $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra. ■

Conversely, given a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure on a pre-Lie algebra, we can find a pair of compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ be a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra. Then there exists a pair of compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices r_1 and r_2 producing (\mathfrak{B}, N) as described in Proposition 6.7.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{B} is nondegenerate, the operator $\mathfrak{B}^{\sharp} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\sharp}(x) = \iota_x \mathfrak{B}, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism. Putting $r_1^\sharp = (\mathfrak{B}^\sharp)^{-1}$, $r_2^\sharp = N^{-1} \circ (\mathfrak{B}^\sharp)^{-1}$. Since \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{B}_1 are closed, r_1 and r_2 are \mathfrak{s} -matrices. Since N is an invertible Nijenhuis operator, by Proposition 5.10, r_1 and r_2 are compatible. ■

By Proposition 6.8 and Corollary 5.19, a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra gives rise to a pair of compatible L-dendriform algebras.

Corollary 6.9. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ be a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra. Then there exists a pair of compatible L-dendriform algebra structures on \mathfrak{g} given by*

$$\mathfrak{B}(x \triangleright_1 y, z) = -\mathfrak{B}(y, x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} z - z \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x), \quad \mathfrak{B}(x \triangleleft_1 y, z) = -\mathfrak{B}(y, z \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x), \quad (43)$$

$$\mathfrak{B}(x \triangleright_2 y, z) = -\mathfrak{B}(N(y), x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^{-1}(z) - N^{-1}(z) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x), \quad \mathfrak{B}(x \triangleleft_2 y, z) = -\mathfrak{B}(N(y), N^{-1}(z) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x). \quad (44)$$

Proof. Since $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra, by Proposition 6.8, $r_1^\sharp = (\mathfrak{B}^\sharp)^{-1}$ and $r_2^\sharp = N^{-1} \circ (\mathfrak{B}^\sharp)^{-1}$ are invertible \mathfrak{s} -matrices and compatible. Thus r_1^\sharp and r_2^\sharp are also compatible \mathcal{O} -operators on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to the representation $(\text{ad}^*, -R^*)$. By Corollary 5.19, there is a pair of compatible L-dendriform algebras given by

$$\begin{aligned} x \triangleright_1 y &= r_1^\sharp(\text{ad}_x^*(r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(y)), & x \triangleleft_1 y &= r_1^\sharp(R_x^*(r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(y)), \\ x \triangleright_2 y &= r_2^\sharp(\text{ad}_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y)), & x \triangleleft_2 y &= r_2^\sharp(R_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

We only verify (44). The other one can be proved similarly. In fact, it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}(x \triangleright_2 y, z) &= \langle (r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(r_2^\sharp(\text{ad}_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y))), z \rangle = \langle \text{ad}_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y), r_2^\sharp((r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(z)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{ad}_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y), N(z) \rangle = -\langle (r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y), [x, N^{-1}(z)]^c \rangle \\ &= -\langle (r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(r_2^\sharp((r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y))), [x, N^{-1}(z)]^c \rangle = -\langle (r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(N(y)), [x, N^{-1}(z)]^c \rangle \\ &= -\mathfrak{B}(N(y), x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N^{-1}(z) - N^{-1}(z) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}(x \triangleleft_2 y, z) &= \langle (r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(r_2^\sharp(R_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y))), z \rangle = \langle R_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y), r_2^\sharp((r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(z)) \rangle \\ &= \langle R_x^*(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y), N^{-1}(z) \rangle = -\langle (r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y), N^{-1}(z) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x \rangle \\ &= -\langle (r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(r_2^\sharp((r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(y))), N^{-1}(z) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x \rangle = -\langle (r_1^\sharp)^{-1}(N(y)), N^{-1}(z) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x \rangle \\ &= -\mathfrak{B}(N(y), N^{-1}(z) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} x). \end{aligned}$$

We finish the proof. ■

By Theorem 6.6, we already know that a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ produces a sequence of pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structures (\mathfrak{B}, N^n) . By Proposition 6.7, any compatible invertible \mathfrak{s} -matrices r_1 and r_2 produce a sequence of \mathfrak{s} -matrices $s_n \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying

$$(s_n)^\sharp = (r_2^\sharp \circ (r_1^\sharp)^{-1})^n \circ r_1^\sharp.$$

In fact, since $N = r_1^\sharp \circ (r_2^\sharp)^{-1}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{B}(x, N^n(y)) = \mathfrak{B}(x, (r_1^\sharp \circ (r_2^\sharp)^{-1})^n(y)) = \langle x, (r_1^\sharp)^{-1} \circ (r_1^\sharp \circ (r_2^\sharp)^{-1})^n(y) \rangle.$$

Since $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N^n)$ is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{B}_n \in \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined by $\mathfrak{B}_n(x, y) = \mathfrak{B}(x, N^n(y))$ is closed. Thus by Proposition 2.7, the inverse of $(r_1^\sharp)^{-1} \circ (r_1^\sharp \circ (r_2^\sharp)^{-1})^n$ is an \mathfrak{s} -matrix, i.e. s_n is an \mathfrak{s} -matrix. In particular, we have $s_0 = r_1$ and $s_1 = r_2$.

Remark 6.10. All \mathfrak{s} -matrices s_n given above are compatible with r_1 and also with each other. In fact, by the fact that $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N^n)$ is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis structure and the proof of Proposition 6.8, $(\mathfrak{B}^\sharp)^{-1}$ and $(N^{-1})^n \circ (\mathfrak{B}^\sharp)^{-1}$ are compatible, which means that r_1 and s_n are compatible. Similarly, by the fact that $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}_n, N^m)$ is a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra, s_n and s_m are also compatible.

At the end of this section, we consider the pre-Lie algebra structure on the dual space \mathfrak{g}^* associated to a pair of compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Proposition 6.11. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and r_1, r_2 two compatible \mathfrak{s} -matrices in which r_2 is invertible. Then $N^* = (r_2^\sharp)^{-1} \circ r_1^\sharp$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_{r_2})$, where the multiplication \cdot_{r_2} is given by (5). Furthermore, the corresponding trivial deformation of $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_{r_2})$ generated by N^* is given by

$$\xi \cdot_t \eta = \xi \cdot_{r_2} \eta + t \xi \cdot_{r_1} \eta, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \quad (45)$$

Proof. Since r_1 and r_2 are compatible, $r_1 + tr_2$ is an \mathfrak{s} -matrix for arbitrary t . By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$(r_1^\sharp + tr_2^\sharp)(\xi \cdot_{r_1+tr_2} \eta) = (r_1^\sharp + tr_2^\sharp)(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (r_1^\sharp + tr_2^\sharp)(\eta),$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} r_1^\sharp(\xi \cdot_{r_1} \eta) &= r_1^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_1^\sharp(\eta), \\ r_2^\sharp(\xi \cdot_{r_2} \eta) &= r_2^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_2^\sharp(\eta), \\ r_2^\sharp(\xi \cdot_{r_1} \eta) + r_1^\sharp(\xi \cdot_{r_2} \eta) &= r_1^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_2^\sharp(\eta) + r_2^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_1^\sharp(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Now applying $(r_2^\sharp)^{-1}$ to both sides of above equalities, we obtain from the first two equalities

$$N^*(\xi \cdot_{r_1} \eta) = N^*(\xi) \cdot_{r_2} N^*(\eta). \quad (46)$$

By the second and the third equalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \cdot_{r_1} \eta + N^*(\xi \cdot_{r_2} \eta) &= (r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(r_1^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} (r_2^\sharp(\eta))) + (r_2^\sharp)^{-1}(r_2^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r_1^\sharp(\eta)) \\ &= (r_2^\sharp)^{-1}r_1^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{r_2} \eta + \xi \cdot_{r_2} (r_2^\sharp)^{-1}r_1^\sharp(\eta) \\ &= N^*(\xi) \cdot_{r_2} \eta + \xi \cdot_{r_2} N^*(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

By (46) and (47), we obtain that N^* is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_{r_2})$.

It is straightforward to see that the pre-Lie algebra structure given by (5) associated to the \mathfrak{s} -matrix $r_2 + tr_1$ is exactly the one given by (45). Thus, (45) is a deformation of the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_{r_2})$. By (47), this deformation is generated by N^* . The proof is finished. ■

Corollary 6.12. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ be a pseudo-Hessian-Nijenhuis pre-Lie algebra. Then the conjugate $N^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$, where the multiplication $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is given by

$$\langle \xi \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \eta, x \rangle = \mathfrak{B}(r^\sharp(\xi) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} r^\sharp(\eta), x), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*, x \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $r^\sharp : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is defined by (7).

Proof. It follows from Propositions 6.8 and 6.11. ■

7 Para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras and para-complex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebras

In this section, we study the role of Nijenhuis operators on a pre-Lie algebra in another kind of geometric structures. Explicitly, we introduce the notion of a para-complex structure on a pre-Lie algebra and show that a para-complex structure gives rise to a pair of transversal pre-Lie subalgebras. In particular, we introduce the notions of a paracomplex quadratic pre-Lie algebra and a paracomplex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra. For the former, we show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras and para-Kähler Lie algebras.

Definition 7.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a pre-Lie algebra and N a Nijenhuis operator on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. If $N^2 = \text{Id}$ and $\dim \ker(N + \text{Id}) = \dim \ker(N - \text{Id})$, then we call N a **para-complex structure** on the pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

The Nijenhuis condition is exactly the integrability condition. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, N)$ be a para-complex pre-Lie algebra. Set

$$\mathfrak{g}^+ = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | N(x) = x\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^- = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | N(x) = -x\}.$$

Then $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^+) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^-)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^-$ as the direct sum of vector spaces.

Proposition 7.2. With the above notations, both \mathfrak{g}^+ and \mathfrak{g}^- are pre-Lie subalgebras of the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Proof. For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}^+$, we have

$$N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y) = N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) - N(N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y)) = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y + x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y = x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y,$$

which implies that $x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y \in \mathfrak{g}^+$. Therefore \mathfrak{g}^+ is a pre-Lie subalgebra. Similarly, \mathfrak{g}^- is a pre-Lie subalgebra. ■

7.1 Para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a Lie algebra. Recall that $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a 2-cocycle on \mathfrak{g} if

$$\omega([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + \omega([z, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}, y) + \omega([y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (48)$$

A **symplectic Lie algebra**, which we denote by $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$, is a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ together with a nondegenerate 2-cocycle $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ on \mathfrak{g} . There is a close relationship between symplectic Lie algebras and quadratic pre-Lie algebras. A **quadratic pre-Lie algebra** is a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ equipped with a skew-symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$, which is invariant in the sense that

$$\omega(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, z) + \omega(y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (49)$$

Due to the following important result, quadratic pre-Lie algebras can be viewed as the underlying algebraic structures of symplectic Lie algebras.

Theorem 7.3. ([16]) Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ be a symplectic Lie algebra. Then there exists a pre-Lie algebra structure “ $\cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ” on \mathfrak{g} given by

$$\omega(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, z) = -\omega(y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (50)$$

such that the sub-adjacent Lie algebra is exactly $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ itself. Furthermore, $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ is a quadratic pre-Lie algebra.

Definition 7.4. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ be a symplectic Lie algebra and N a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ is called a **para-Kähler Lie algebra** if $N^2 = \text{Id}$, $\dim \ker(N + \text{Id}) = \dim \ker(N - \text{Id})$ and the following equality holds:

$$\omega(N(x), y) = -\omega(x, N(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (51)$$

Note that a Nijenhuis operator on a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ satisfies $N^2 = \text{Id}$ and $\dim \ker(N + \text{Id}) = \dim \ker(N - \text{Id})$ is called a **para-complex structure** on $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Now we give the notion of a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra.

Definition 7.5. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ be a quadratic pre-Lie algebra and N a paracomplex structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. If (51) holds, we call $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ a **para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra**.

Proposition 7.6. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ be a quadratic pre-Lie algebra and N a Nijenhuis operator on $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ is a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra if and only if there exist two isotropic pre-Lie subalgebras \mathfrak{g}^+ and \mathfrak{g}^- (with respect to the quadratic structure ω) of the quadratic pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ such that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^+) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^-)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^-$ as the direct sum of vector spaces.

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra. By Proposition 7.2, both \mathfrak{g}^+ and \mathfrak{g}^- are pre-Lie subalgebras. By (51), both \mathfrak{g}^+ and \mathfrak{g}^- are isotropic.

Conversely, let $N : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a linear transformation defined by

$$N(x + y) = x - y, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}^+, y \in \mathfrak{g}^-.$$

Then $N^2 = \text{Id}$ and N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. It is obvious that

$$\dim(\ker N + \text{Id}) = \dim \mathfrak{g}^- = \dim \mathfrak{g}^+ = \dim(\ker N - \text{Id}).$$

Moreover, since both \mathfrak{g}^+ and \mathfrak{g}^- are isotropic, for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}^+, y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}^-$, we have

$$\omega(N(x_1 + y_1), x_2 + y_2) = \omega(x_1, y_2) - \omega(y_1, x_2) = -\omega(x_1 + y_1, N(x_2 + y_2)),$$

which implies that (51) holds. Thus, $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra. ■

The following theorem establishes the relation between para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras and para-Kähler Lie algebras.

Theorem 7.7. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ be a para-Kähler Lie algebra and $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega)$ the associated quadratic pre-Lie algebra given in Theorem 7.3. Then

- (i) $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ is a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra;
- (ii) $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \omega)$ is a symplectic Lie algebra and $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \omega, N)$ is also a para-Kähler Lie algebra;
- (iii) (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_N) is the corresponding pre-Lie algebra associated to the symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \omega)$, where \cdot_N is given by (17).

Proof. (i) By (50), for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(x \cdot_N y, z) &= \omega(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(y) + N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y - N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y), z) \\ &= -\omega(N(y), [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \omega(y, [N(x), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \omega(N(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y), z) \\ &= \omega(y, N([x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})) - \omega(y, [N(x), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \omega(x \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} y, N(z)) \\ &= \omega(y, N([x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}})) - \omega(y, [N(x), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \omega(y, [x, N(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= -\omega(y, [x, z]_N). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

By (50), (52), $N^2 = \text{Id}$ and the fact that N is a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega(y, N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(z) - N(x \cdot_N z)) \\
&= \omega(y, N(x) \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} N(z)) + \omega(N(y), x \cdot_N z) \\
&= \omega(N([N(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}), z) - \omega([x, N(y)]_N, z) \\
&= \omega(N([N(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - [N(x), N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - [x, N^2(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + N([x, N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}), z) \\
&= \omega\left(N([N(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - N([N(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) - [x, N^2(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + N([x, N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}), z\right) \\
&= \omega(N^2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - [x, N^2(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Furthermore, it is obvious that $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N)$ is a para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebra.

(ii) By (52) and $[x, y]_N = x \cdot_N y - y \cdot_N x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^N \omega(x, y, z) &= \omega([x, y]_N, z) + \omega([z, x]_N, y) + \omega([y, z]_N, x) \\
&= \omega(x \cdot_N y - y \cdot_N x, z) + \omega([z, x]_N, y) + \omega([y, z]_N, x) \\
&= \omega(x \cdot_N y, z) + \omega(y, [x, z]_N) - \omega(y \cdot_N x, z) - \omega(x, [y, z]_N) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that ω is 2-cocycle on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$. Thus $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \omega)$ is a symplectic Lie algebra. Furthermore, since N is also a Nijenhuis operator on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$, $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \omega, N)$ is also a para-Kähler Lie algebra;

(iii) By (52), (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_N) is the corresponding pre-Lie algebra associated to the symplectic Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N, \omega)$. ■

In [34], the author gave a classification of 4-dimensional real symplectic Lie algebras. Now we consider the following example of 4-dimensional para-Kähler Lie algebras and the corresponding para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras. See [12, 13] for more details on the classification of 4-dimensional para-Kähler Lie algebras.

Example 7.8. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be a basis of a 4-dimensional symplectic Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The Lie algebra structure is given by the following non-zero brackets

$$[e_1, e_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_4]_{\mathfrak{g}} = e_4, \quad [e_2, e_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} = -e_4, \quad [e_2, e_4]_{\mathfrak{g}} = e_3,$$

and the symplectic structure ω is given by $\omega = e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4$, where $e^i, i = 1, \dots, 4$ is the dual basis of e_i . The corresponding pre-Lie algebra structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_1 &= -e_1, & e_2 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 &= e_1, & e_1 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 &= e_2 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_1 = -e_2, \\
e_3 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_1 &= -e_3, & e_3 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 &= e_4, & e_4 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_1 &= -e_4, & e_4 \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}} e_2 &= -e_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are para-Kähler Lie algebras and $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega, N_i)$ are the corresponding para-complex quadratic pre-Lie algebras.

7.2 Para-complex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebras

Definition 7.9. Let N a para-complex structure and \mathfrak{B} be a pseudo-Hessian structure on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then (\mathfrak{B}, N) is called a **para-complex pseudo-Hessian structure** on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ if

$$\mathfrak{B}(N(x), y) = -\mathfrak{B}(x, N(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (53)$$

Let (\mathfrak{B}, N) be a paracomplex pseudo-Hessian structure on the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then we can obtain a skew-symmetric bilinear form $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*$ by

$$\omega(x, y) := \mathfrak{B}(x, N(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Furthermore, ω and the para-complex structure N are compatible in the sense that

$$\omega(N(x), N(y)) = -\omega(x, y).$$

Similar as Proposition 7.6, we have

Proposition 7.10. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B})$ be a pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra and N a paracomplex structure on a pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B}, N)$ is a para-complex pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra if and only if there exist two isotropic pre-Lie subalgebras \mathfrak{g}^+ and \mathfrak{g}^- (with respect to the symmetric bilinear form \mathfrak{B}) of the pseudo-Hessian pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{B})$ such that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^+) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^-)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}^-$ as the direct sum of vector spaces.

Proof. The proof is totally parallel to that of Proposition 7.6, we leave it to readers. ■

Remark 7.11. In [31], we call the above structure a **Manin triple of pre-Lie algebras associated to a nondegenerate symmetric 2-cocycle** which is equivalent to a bialgebra structure, namely, L -dendriform bialgebra.

8 Some examples of Nijenhuis operators on pre-Lie algebras

8.1 Pre-Lie algebras from the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in Lie algebras

Lemma 8.1. ([25]) Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a Lie algebra and $r : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a linear map satisfying the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation

$$[r(x), r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = r([r(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (54)$$

Then (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^r) is a pre-Lie algebra, where \cdot^r is defined by

$$x \cdot^r y = [r(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (55)$$

Proposition 8.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a Lie algebra. Let $r : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a linear map satisfying the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and N a Nijenhuis operator on $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$. If $N \circ r = r \circ N$, then r also satisfies the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$. Furthermore, N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^r) given by (55) and satisfies

$$x \cdot_N^r y = [r(x), y]_N, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (56)$$

Proof. By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[r(x), r(y)]_N &= [N(r(x)), r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [r(x), N(r(x))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([r(x), r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= [r(N(x)), r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [r(x), r(N(y))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([r(x), r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= r([r(N(x)), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [N(x), r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + r([r(x), N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&\quad + [x, r(N(y))]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - r(N([r(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + N([x, r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\
&= r\left([N(r(x)), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [r(x), N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([r(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [N(x), r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, N(r(y))]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([x, r(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}})\right) \\
&= r([r(x), y]_N + [x, r(y)]_N),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that r also satisfies the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation in the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_N)$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(x) \cdot^r N(y) &= [r(N(x)), N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [N(r(x)), N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\
&= N([r(N(x)), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [r(x), N(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} - N([r(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\
&= N(N(x) \cdot^r y + x \cdot^r N(y) - N(x \cdot^r y)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^r) and (56) holds. ■

8.2 Pre-Lie algebras associated to integrable (generalized) Burgers equation

Let \mathfrak{g} be a vector space with an ordinary scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$x \cdot^a y = \langle x, y \rangle a + \langle x, a \rangle y, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (57)$$

defines a pre-Lie algebra structure on \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 8.3. *This pre-Lie algebra plays an important role in the integrable (generalized) Burgers equation [37].*

Proposition 8.4. *Let (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^a) be the pre-Lie algebra given above. Then any $N \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the sub-adjacent Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]^c)$ of the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^a) . Furthermore, if $N \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies*

$$\langle N(x), y \rangle = -\langle x, N(y) \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (58)$$

then N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^a) if and only if

$$\langle N(x), N(y) \rangle a = -\langle x, y \rangle N^2(a).$$

Proof. For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $N \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[N(x), N(y)]^c - N([N(x), y]^c + [x, N(y)]^c - N([x, y]^c)) \\
&= \langle N(x), a \rangle N(y) - \langle N(y), a \rangle N(x) - N\left(\langle N(x), a \rangle y - \langle y, a \rangle N(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle x, a \rangle N(y) - \langle N(y), a \rangle x - \langle x, a \rangle N(y) + \langle y, a \rangle N(x)\right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, any $N \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Nijenhuis operator on the sub-adjacent Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]^c)$.

For any N satisfying (58), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & N(x) \cdot^a N(y) - N(N(x) \cdot^a y + x \cdot^a N(y) - N(x \cdot^a y)) \\ = & \langle N(x), N(y) \rangle a + \langle N(x), a \rangle N(y) - N\left(\langle N(x), y \rangle a + \langle N(x), a \rangle y \right. \\ & \left. + \langle x, N(y) \rangle a + \langle x, a \rangle N(y) - \langle x, y \rangle N(a) - \langle x, a \rangle N(y)\right) \\ = & \langle N(x), N(y) \rangle a + \langle x, y \rangle N^2(a). \end{aligned}$$

The second conclusion follows immediately. ■

Proposition 8.5. *Let (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^a) be the pre-Lie algebra given above and $N \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying (58). Then we have*

$$x \cdot_N^a(y) = -x \cdot^{N(a)} y, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Thus, $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_N^a)$ is a pre-Lie algebra.

Proof. By (58), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot_N^a y &= N(x) \cdot^a y + x \cdot^a N(y) - N(x \cdot^a y) \\ &= \langle N(x), y \rangle a + \langle N(x), a \rangle y + \langle x, N(y) \rangle a + \langle x, a \rangle N(y) - \langle x, y \rangle N(a) - \langle x, a \rangle N(y) \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle (-N(a)) + \langle x, -N(a) \rangle y \\ &= -x \cdot^{N(a)} y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_N^a)$ is a pre-Lie algebra. ■

8.3 Pre-Lie algebras from Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$ be an associative algebra. Then a linear map $N : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called a Nijenhuis operator on \mathfrak{g} if it is a Nijenhuis operator as a pre-Lie algebra. For more details of Nijenhuis operators on associative algebras, see [14, 20].

Lemma 8.6. ([25]) *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$ be an associative algebra and $R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a linear map satisfying*

$$R(x) * R(y) + R(x * y) = R(R(x) * y + x * R(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (59)$$

Then (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^R) is a pre-Lie algebra, where \cdot^R is given by

$$x \cdot^R y = R(x) * y - y * R(x) - x * y, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (60)$$

Remark 8.7. *The above R is exactly a Rota-Baxter operator of weight -1 by regarding $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$ as a pre-Lie algebra. In fact, the notion of Rota-Baxter operator on an associative algebra was introduced to solve analytic [9] and combinatorial [35] problems.*

Proposition 8.8. *Let R be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight -1 and N a Nijenhuis operator on an associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$. If $R \circ N = N \circ R$, then we have*

- (i) R is also a Rota-Baxter operator of weight -1 on the associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *_N)$;
- (ii) N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^R) ;

- (iii) the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_N^R)$ defined by the Nijenhuis operator N is exactly the pre-Lie algebra given by (60) associated to the associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *_N)$ and R .

Proof. Let R be a Rota-Baxter operator and N a Nijenhuis operator on \mathfrak{g} satisfying $R \circ N = N \circ R$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& R(x) *_N R(y) + R(x *_N y) - R(R(x) *_N y + x *_N R(y)) \\
= & N(R(x)) * R(y) + R(x) * N(R(y)) - N(R(x) * R(y)) + R(N(x) * y + x * N(y) - N(x * y)) \\
& - R(N(R(x)) * y + R(x) * N(y) - N(R(x) * y) + N(x) * R(y) + x * N(R(y)) - N(x * R(y))) \\
= & R(N(x)) * R(y) + R(N(x) * y) - R(R(N(x)) * y + N(x) * R(y)) \\
& + R(x) * R(N(y)) + R(x * N(y)) - R(R(x) * N(y) + x * R(N(y))) \\
& - N(R(x) * R(y) + R(x * y) - R(R(x) * y - x * R(y))) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that R is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight -1 on the associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *_N)$.

For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, also by $R \circ N = N \circ R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& N(x) \cdot^R N(y) - N(N(x) \cdot^R y + x \cdot^R N(y) - N(x \cdot^R y)) \\
= & R(N(x)) * N(y) - N(y) * R(N(x)) - N(x) * N(y) - N(R(N(x)) * y - y * R(N(x)) \\
& - N(x) * y + R(x) * N(y) - N(y) * R(x) - x * N(y) - N(R(x) * y - y * R(x) - x * y)) \\
= & N(R(x)) * N(y) - N(N(R(x)) * y + R(x) * N(y) - N(R(x) * y)) \\
& - N(y) * N(R(x)) + N(N(y) * R(x) + y * N(R(x)) - N(y * R(x))) \\
& - N(x) * N(y) + N(N(x) * y + x * N(y) - N(x * y)) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^R) .

At last, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x \cdot_N^R y &= N(x) \cdot^R y + x \cdot^R N(y) - N(x \cdot^R y) \\
&= R(N(x)) * y - y * R(N(x)) - N(x) * y + R(x) * N(y) - N(y) * R(x) - x * N(y) \\
&\quad - N(R(x) * y - y * R(x) - x * y) \\
&= R(x) *_N y - y *_N R(x) - x *_N y,
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. ■

8.4 Novikov algebras from derivations on commutative associative algebras

Recall that a Novikov algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a pre-Lie algebra satisfying $R_x R_y = R_y R_x$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. The following construction of Novikov algebras is due to Gel'fand [22], Filipov [21] and Xu [38].

Lemma 8.9. *Let D be a derivation on a commutative associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$ over a field \mathbb{K} . Then for all $s \in \mathbb{K}$, the new product*

$$x \cdot^s y = x * D(y) + s(x * y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \quad (61)$$

makes (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^s) being a Novikov algebra. Furthermore, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$, $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^\alpha)$ is also a Novikov algebra, where \cdot^α is given by

$$x \cdot^\alpha y = x * D(y) + \alpha * x * y, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proposition 8.10. *Let D be a derivation and N a Nijenhuis operator on a commutative associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *)$ over the field \mathbb{K} . If $D \circ N = N \circ D$, then we have*

- (i) *D is also a derivation on the commutative associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *_N)$;*
- (ii) *N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^s) for $s \in \mathbb{K}$;*
- (iii) *the pre-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_N^s)$ defined by the Nijenhuis operator N is exactly the pre-Lie algebra given by (61) associated to the commutative associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *_N)$, i.e.*

$$x \cdot_N^s y = x *_N D(y) + s(x *_N y). \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof. By $D \circ N = N \circ D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x *_N y) &= D(N(x) * y + x * N(y) - N(x * y)) \\ &= D(N(x)) * y + N(x) * D(y) + D(x) * N(y) \\ &\quad + x * D(N(y)) - N(D(x)) * y + x * D(y) \\ &= N(D(x)) * y + D(x) * N(y) - N(D(x) * y) \\ &\quad + N(x) * D(y) + x * N(D(y)) - N(x * D(y)) \\ &= D(x) *_N y + x *_N D(y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that D is a derivation on the commutative associative algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, *_N)$.

Also by $D \circ N = N \circ D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &N(x) *^s N(y) - N(N(x) *^s y + x *^s N(y) - N(x *^s y)) \\ &= N(x) * D(N(y)) + s(N(x) * N(y)) - N(N(x) * D(y) \\ &\quad + sN(x) * y + x * D(N(y)) + sx * N(y) - N(x * D(y) + s(x * y))) \\ &= N(x) * N(D(y)) - N(N(x) * D(y) + x * N(D(y)) - N(x * D(y))) \\ &\quad + s(N(x) * N(y) - N(N(x) * y + x * N(y) - N(x * y))) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that N is a Nijenhuis operator on the pre-Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot^s) for all $s \in \mathbb{F}$.

At last, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot_N^s y &= N(x) \cdot^s y + x \cdot^s N(y) - N(x \cdot^s y) \\ &= N(x) * D(y) + s(N(x) * y) + x * D(N(y)) + s(x * N(y)) - N(x * D(y) + s(x * y)) \\ &= x *_N D(y) + s(x *_N y), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. ■

Similarly, we have

Proposition 8.11. *For a fixed $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$, assume that $N(\alpha * x * y) = \alpha * N(x * y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, then the above results are also true.*

References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky, C. Medori and A. Tomassini, Homogeneous para-Kähler Einstein manifolds, *Russian Math. Surveys* 64 (2009), 1-43.
- [2] H. An and C. Bai, From Rota-Baxter algebras to pre-Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 41 (2008), 015201.
- [3] A. Andrada and S. Salamon, Complex product structures on Lie algebras, *Forum Math.* 17 (2005), no. 2, 261-295.
- [4] C. Bai, Left-symmetric bialgebras and an analogue of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 10 (2008), 221-260.
- [5] C. Bai, L. Liu and X. Ni, Some results on L-dendriform algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* 60 (2010), 940-950.
- [6] C. Bai, L. Guo and X. Ni, \mathcal{O} -operators on associative algebras, associative Yang-Baxter equations and dendriform algebras, in “Quantized Algebra and Physics”, Nankai Series in Pure, Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics 8 (Edited by M.-L. Ge, C. Bai and N. Jing), World Scientific (2011), 10-51.
- [7] I. Bajo and S. Benayadi, Abelian para-Kähler structures on Lie algebras, *Differential Geom. Appl.* 29 (2011), 160-173.
- [8] B. Bakalov and V. Kac, Field algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2003), 123-159.
- [9] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 731-742.
- [10] S. Benayadi and M. Boucetta, On para-Kähler and hyper-para-Kähler Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* 436 (2015), 61-101.
- [11] D. Burde, Left-symmetric algebras and pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* 4 (2006), 323-357.
- [12] G. Calvaruso, Four-dimensional paraKähler Lie algebras: classification and geometry, *Houston J. Math.* 41 (2015), no. 3, 733-748.
- [13] G. Calvaruso, A complete classification of four-dimensional paraKähler Lie algebras, *Complex Manifolds* 2 (2015), 1-10.
- [14] J. Carinena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, Quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* 15 (2000), no. 30, 4797-4810.
- [15] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet, Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 8 (2001), 395-408.
- [16] B. Y. Chu, Symplectic homogeneous spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 197 (1974), 145-159.
- [17] V. Cruceanu, P. Fortuny and P. M. Gadea, A survey on paracomplex geometry, *Rocky Mount. J. Math.* 26 (1996), 83-115.

- [18] I. Dorfman, *Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations*, Wiley, Chichester, 1993.
- [19] A. Dzhumadil'daev, Cohomologies and deformations of right-symmetric algebras, *J. Math. Sci.* 93 (1999), 836-876.
- [20] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, On the associative Nijenhuis relation, *Electron. J. Combin.* 11 (2004), no. 1, Research Paper 38, 13 pp.
- [21] V. T. Filipov, A class of simple nonassociative algebras, *Mat. Zametki* 45 (1989), 101-105.
- [22] I. M. Gel'fand and I. Dorfman, Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 13 (1979), 248-262.
- [23] M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 57 (1953), 591-603.
- [24] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, *Ann. of Math.* 78 (1963), 267-288.
- [25] I. Z. Golubschik and V. V. Sokolov, Generalized operator Yang-Baxter equations, integrable ODEs and nonassociative algebras, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 7 (2000), 184-197.
- [26] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, Poisson-Nijenhuis structures, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, A (Phys. Theor.)* 53 (1990), 35-81.
- [27] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and V. Rubtsov, Compatible structures on Lie algebroids and Monge-Ampère operators, *Acta Appl. Math.* 109 (2010), no. 1, 101-135.
- [28] X. Li, D. Hou and C. Bai, Rota-Baxter operators on pre-Lie algebras, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 14 (2007), 269-289.
- [29] A. Lichnerowicz and A. Medina, On Lie groups with left-invariant symplectic or Kählerian structures, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 16 (1988), 225-235.
- [30] F. Magri and C. Morosi, A Geometrical characterization of integrable Hamiltonian systems through the theory of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds, *Quaderno S19, University of Milan*, 1984.
- [31] X. Ni and C. Bai, Pseudo-Hessian Lie algebras and L-dendriform bialgebras, *J. Algebra*. 400 (2014), 273-289.
- [32] A. Nijenhuis, The graded Lie algebras of an algebra, *Indag. Math.* 29 (1967), 475-486.
- [33] A. Nijenhuis and R. Richardson, Deformation of Lie algebra structures, *J. Math. Mech.* 17 (1967), 89-105.
- [34] G. Ovando, Four dimensional symplectic Lie algebras, *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie* 47 (2) (2006), 419-434.
- [35] G. C. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, In: "Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory papers and commentaries", Joseph P.S. Kung, Editor, Birkhäuser, Boston 1995.
- [36] H. Shima, Homogeneous Hessian manifolds, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 30 (1980), 91-128.

- [37] S. I. Svinolupov and V. V. Sokolov, Vector-matrix generalizations of classical integrable equations, *Theoret. Math. Phys.* 100 (1994), 959-962.
- [38] X. Xu, On simple Novikov algebras and their irreducible modules, *J. Algebra* 185 (3) (1996), 905-934.

Qi Wang and Yunhe Sheng

Department of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, Jilin, China

Email: shengyh@jlu.edu.cn

Chengming Bai

Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Email: baicm@nankai.edu.cn

Jiefeng Liu

Department of Mathematics, Xinyang Normal University, Xinyang 464000, Henan, China

Email:liujf12@126.com