

# HOM-ASSOCIATIVE ORE EXTENSIONS AND WEAK UNITALIZATIONS

PER BÄCK, JOHAN RICHTER, AND SERGEI SILVESTROV

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce hom-associative Ore extensions as non-unital, non-associative Ore extensions with a hom-associative multiplication, and give some necessary and sufficient conditions when such exist. Within this framework, we construct families of hom-associative quantum planes, universal enveloping algebras of a Lie algebra, and Weyl algebras, all being hom-associative generalizations of their classical counterparts, as well as prove that the latter are simple. We also provide a way of embedding any multiplicative hom-associative algebra into a multiplicative, weakly unital hom-associative algebra, which we call a weak unitalization.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Hom-Lie algebras and related hom-algebra structures have recently become a subject of growing interest and extensive investigations, in part due to the prospect of providing a general framework in which one can produce many types of natural deformations of (Lie) algebras, in particular  $q$ -deformations which are of interest both in mathematics and in physics. One of the main initial motivations for this development came from mathematical physics works on  $q$ -deformations of infinite-dimensional algebras, primarily the  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg algebras ( $q$ -deformed Weyl algebras), oscillator algebras, and the Virasoro algebra [1–8, 11, 12, 16–18].

Quasi-Lie algebras, subclasses of quasi-hom-Lie algebras, and hom-Lie algebras as well as their general colored (graded) counterparts were introduced between 2003 and 2005 in [10, 13–15, 25]. Further on, between 2006 and 2008, Makhlouf and Silvestrov introduced the notions of hom-associative algebras, hom-(co, bi)algebras and hom-Hopf algebras, and also studied their properties [19–21]. A hom-associative algebra, being a generalization of an associative algebra with the associativity axiom extended by a linear twisting map, is always hom-Lie admissible, meaning that the commutator multiplication in any hom-associative algebra yields a hom-Lie algebra [19]. Whereas associativity is replaced by hom-associativity in hom-associative algebras, hom-coassociativity for hom-coalgebras can be considered in a similar way.

One of the main tools in these important developments and in many constructions of examples and classes of hom-algebra structures in physics and in mathematics are based on twisted derivations, or  $\sigma$ -derivations, which are generalized derivations twisting the Leibniz rule by means of a linear map. These types of twisted derivation maps are central for the associative Ore extension algebras, or

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17A30, 17A01.

*Key words and phrases.* hom-associative Ore extensions, hom-associative Weyl algebras, hom-associative algebras.

rings, introduced in algebra in the 1930s, generalizing crossed product (semidirect product) algebras, or rings, incorporating both actions and twisted derivations.

Non-associative Ore extensions on the other hand were first introduced in 2015 and in the unital case, by Nystedt, Öinert, and Richter [23] (see also [24] for an extension to monoid Ore extensions). In the present article, we generalize this construction to the non-unital case, as well as investigate when these non-unital, non-associative Ore extensions are hom-associative. Finding necessary and sufficient conditions for such to exist, we are also able to construct families of hom-associative quantum planes (Example 5.9), universal enveloping algebras of a Lie algebra (Example 5.10), and Weyl algebras (Example 5.11), all being hom-associative generalizations of their classical counterparts. We do not make use of any previous results about non-associative Ore extensions, but our construction of hom-associative Weyl algebras has some similarities to the non-associative Weyl algebras in [23]; for instance they both are simple. At last, in Section 6, we prove constructively that any multiplicative hom-associative algebra can be embedded in a multiplicative, weakly unital hom-associative algebra.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present some definitions and review some results from the theory of hom-associative algebras and that of non-associative Ore extensions.

**2.1. Hom-associative algebras.** Here we define what we mean for an algebraic structure to be *hom-associative*, and review a couple of results concerning the construction of them. First, throughout this paper, by *non-associative* algebras we mean algebras which are not necessarily associative, which includes in particular associative algebras by definition. We also follow the convention of calling a non-associative algebra *A unital* if there exist an element  $1 \in A$  such that for any element  $a \in A$ ,  $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$ . By *non-unital* algebras, we mean algebras which are not necessarily unital, which includes also unital algebras as a subclass.

**Definition 2.1** (Hom-associative algebra). A *hom-associative algebra* over an associative, commutative, and unital ring  $R$ , is a triple  $(M, \cdot, \alpha)$  consisting of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , a binary operation  $\cdot: M \times M \rightarrow M$  linear over  $R$  in both arguments, and an  $R$ -linear map  $\alpha: M \rightarrow M$  satisfying, for all  $a, b, c \in M$ ,

$$(1) \quad \alpha(a) \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha(c).$$

Since  $\alpha$  twists the associativity, we will refer to it as the *twisting map*, and unless otherwise stated, it is understood that  $\alpha$  without any further reference will always denote the twisting map of a hom-associative algebra.

**Remark 2.2.** A hom-associative algebra over  $R$  is in particular a non-unital, non-associative  $R$ -algebra, and in case  $\alpha$  is the identity map, a non-unital, associative  $R$ -algebra.

Furthermore, if the twisting map  $\alpha$  is also multiplicative, i.e. if  $\alpha(a \cdot b) = \alpha(a) \cdot \alpha(b)$  for all elements  $a$  and  $b$  in the algebra, then we say that the hom-associative algebra is *multiplicative*.

**Definition 2.3** (Morphism of hom-associative algebras). A *morphism* between two hom-associative algebras  $A$  and  $A'$  with twisting maps  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  respectively, is an algebra homomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f$ . If  $f$  is also bijective, the two are *isomorphic*, written  $A \cong A'$ .

**Definition 2.4** (Hom-associative subalgebra). Let  $A$  be a hom-associative algebra with twisting map  $\alpha$ . A *hom-associative subalgebra*  $B$  of  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $A$  that is also a hom-associative algebra with twisting map given by the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $B$ .

**Definition 2.5** (Hom-ideal). A *hom-ideal* of a hom-associative algebra is an algebra ideal  $I$  such that  $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ .

In the classical setting, an ideal is in particular a subalgebra. With the above definition, the analogue is also true for a hom-associative algebra, in that a hom-ideal is a hom-associative subalgebra.

**Definition 2.6** (Hom-simplicity). We say that a hom-associative algebra  $A$  is *hom-simple* provided its only hom-ideals are 0 and  $A$ .

In particular, we see that any simple hom-associative algebra is also hom-simple, while the converse need not be true; there may exist ideals that are not invariant under  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.7** (Hom-associative ring). A *hom-associative ring* can be seen as a hom-associative algebra over the ring of integers.

**Definition 2.8** (Weakly unital hom-associative algebra). Let  $A$  be a hom-associative algebra. If for all  $a \in A$ ,  $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = \alpha(a)$  for some  $e \in A$ , we say that  $A$  is *weakly unital* with *weak unit*  $e$ .

**Remark 2.9.** Any unital, hom-associative algebra with twisting map  $\alpha$  is weakly unital with weak unit  $\alpha(1)$ , since by hom-associativity

$$\alpha(1) \cdot a = \alpha(1) \cdot (1 \cdot a) = (1 \cdot 1) \cdot \alpha(a) = \alpha(a) = \alpha(a) \cdot (1 \cdot 1) = (a \cdot 1) \cdot \alpha(1) = a \cdot \alpha(1).$$

Any non-unital, associative algebra can be extended to a non-trivial hom-associative algebra, which the following proposition demonstrates:

**Proposition 2.10** ([26]). Let  $A$  be a non-unital, associative algebra,  $\alpha$  an algebra endomorphism on  $A$  and define  $*$ :  $A \times A \rightarrow A$  by  $a * b := \alpha(a \cdot b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . Then  $(A, *, \alpha)$  is a hom-associative algebra.

*Proof.* Linearity follows immediately, while for all  $a, b, c \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a) * (b * c) &= \alpha(a) * (\alpha(b \cdot c)) = \alpha(\alpha(a) \cdot \alpha(b \cdot c)) = \alpha(\alpha(a \cdot b \cdot c)), \\ (a * b) * \alpha(c) &= \alpha(a \cdot b) * \alpha(c) = \alpha(\alpha(a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha(c)) = \alpha(\alpha(a \cdot b \cdot c)), \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $(A, *, \alpha)$  is hom-associative.  $\square$

Note that we are abusing the notation in Definition 2.1 a bit here;  $A$  in  $(A, *, \alpha)$  does really denote the algebra and not only its module structure. From now on, we will always refer to this construction when writing  $*$ .

**Corollary 2.11** ([9]). If  $A$  is a unital, associative algebra, then  $(A, *, \alpha)$  is weakly unital with weak unit 1.

*Proof.*  $1 * x = \alpha(1 \cdot x) = \alpha(x) = \alpha(x \cdot 1) = x * 1$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Non-unital, non-associative Ore extensions.** In this section, we define non-unital, non-associative Ore extensions, together with some new terminology.

**Definition 2.12** (Left  $R$ -additivity). If  $R$  is a non-unital, non-associative ring, we say that a map  $\beta: R \rightarrow R$  is *left  $R$ -additive* if for all  $r, s, t \in R$ ,  $r \cdot \beta(s + t) = r \cdot (\beta(s) + \beta(t))$ .

In what follows,  $\mathbb{N}$  will always denote the set of non-negative integers, and  $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$  the set of positive integers. Now, given a non-unital, non-associative ring  $R$  with left  $R$ -additive maps  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  and  $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$ , by a *non-unital, non-associative Ore extension* of  $R$ ,  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ , we mean the set of formal sums  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i X^i$ ,  $a_i \in R$ , called polynomials, with finitely many  $a_i$  nonzero, endowed with the addition

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i X^i + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i X^i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a_i + b_i) X^i, \quad a_i, b_i \in R,$$

where two polynomials are equal if and only if their corresponding coefficients are equal, and for all  $a, b \in R$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a multiplication

$$(2) \quad aX^m \cdot bX^n = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n}.$$

Here  $\pi_i^m$  denotes the sum of all  $\binom{m}{i}$  possible compositions of  $i$  copies of  $\sigma$  and  $m - i$  copies of  $\delta$  in arbitrary order. Then, for example  $\pi_0^0 = \text{id}_R$  and  $\pi_1^3 = \sigma \circ \delta \circ \delta + \delta \circ \sigma \circ \delta + \delta \circ \delta \circ \sigma$ . We also extend the definition of  $\pi_i^m$  by setting  $\pi_i^m \equiv 0$  whenever  $i < 0$ , or  $i > m$ . Imposing distributivity of the multiplication over addition makes  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  a ring. In the special case when  $\sigma = \text{id}_R$ , we say that  $R[X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$  is a *non-unital, non-associative differential polynomial ring*, and when  $\delta \equiv 0$ ,  $R[X; \sigma, 0]$  is said to be a *non-unital, non-associative skew polynomial ring*.

Note that when  $m = n = 0$ ,  $aX^0 \cdot bX^0 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^0(b)) X^i = (a \cdot b)X^0$ , so  $R \cong RX^0$  by the isomorphism  $r \mapsto rX^0$  for any  $r \in R$ . Since  $RX^0$  is a subring of  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ , we can view  $R$  as a subring of  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ , making sense of expressions like  $a \cdot bX^0$ .

**Remark 2.13.** If  $R$  contains a unit, we write  $X$  for the formal sum  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i X^i$  with  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_i = 0$  when  $i \neq 1$ . It does not necessarily make sense to think of  $X$  as an element of the non-associative Ore extension if  $R$  is not unital.

The left-distributivity of the multiplication over addition forces  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$  to be left  $R$ -additive: for any  $r, s, t \in R$ ,  $rX \cdot (s + t) = rX \cdot s + rX \cdot t$ , and by expanding the left- and right-hand side,

$$\begin{aligned} rX \cdot (s + t) &= r \cdot \sigma(s + t)X + r \cdot \delta(s + t), \\ rX \cdot s + rX \cdot t &= r \cdot \sigma(s)X + r \cdot \delta(s) + r \cdot \sigma(t)X + r \cdot \delta(t), \end{aligned}$$

so by comparing coefficients, we arrive at the desired conclusion.

**Definition 2.14** ( $\sigma$ -derivation). Let  $R$  be a non-unital, non-associative ring where  $\sigma$  is an endomorphism and  $\delta$  an additive map on  $R$ . Then  $\delta$  is called a  $\sigma$ -*derivation* if  $\delta(a \cdot b) = \sigma(a) \cdot \delta(b) + \delta(a) \cdot b$  holds for all  $a, b \in R$ . If  $\sigma = \text{id}_R$ ,  $\delta$  is a *derivation*.

**Remark 2.15.** If  $R$  and  $\sigma$  are unital and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation, then  $\delta(1) = \delta(1 \cdot 1) = 2 \cdot \delta(1)$ , so that  $\delta(1) = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $R$  is also associative, then it is both a necessary and sufficient condition that  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation on  $R$  for the unital, associative Ore extension  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  to exist.

**Definition 2.16** (Homogeneous map). Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital non-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, non-associative ring  $R$ . Then we say that a map  $\beta: R[X; \sigma, \delta] \rightarrow R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is *homogeneous* if for all  $a \in R$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\beta(aX^m) = \beta(a)X^m$ . If  $\gamma: R \rightarrow R$  is any (additive) map, we may *extend it homogeneously* to  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  by defining  $\gamma(aX^m) := \gamma(a)X^m$  (imposing additivity).

### 3. NON-ASSOCIATIVE ORE EXTENSIONS OF NON-ASSOCIATIVE RINGS

We use this small section to present a couple of results that hold true for any non-unital, non-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, non-associative ring.

**Lemma 3.1** (Homogeneously extended ring endomorphism). Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital, non-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, non-associative ring  $R$ . If  $\gamma$  is an endomorphism on  $R$ , then the homogeneously extended map is an endomorphism on  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  if and only if

$$(3) \quad \gamma(a) \cdot \pi_i^m(\gamma(b)) = \gamma(a) \cdot \gamma(\pi_i^m(b)), \quad \text{for all } i, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a, b \in R.$$

*Proof.* Additivity follows from the definition, while for any monomials  $aX^m$  and  $bX^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(aX^m \cdot bX^n) &= \gamma\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)X^{i+n}\right) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma(a) \cdot \gamma(\pi_i^m(b))X^{i+n}, \\ \gamma(aX^m) \cdot \gamma(bX^n) &= \gamma(a)X^m \cdot \gamma(b)X^n = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma(a) \cdot \pi_i^m(\gamma(b))X^{i+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients between the two completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** (Homogeneously extended unital ring endomorphism). Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a unital, non-associative Ore extension of a unital, non-associative ring  $R$ . If  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism on  $R$  and there exists an  $a \in R$  such that  $\alpha(a) = 1$ , then the homogeneously extended map on  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is an endomorphism if and only if  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.1 by choosing  $a$  so that  $\alpha(a) = 1$ : if  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ , then  $\pi_i^m(\alpha(b)) = \alpha(\pi_i^m(b))$ . On the other hand, if  $\pi_i^m(\alpha(b)) = \alpha(\pi_i^m(b))$ , then by choosing  $m = 1$  and  $i = 0$ ,  $\pi_0^1(\alpha(b)) = \delta(\alpha(b))$ , and  $\alpha(\pi_0^1(b)) = \alpha(\delta(b))$ . If choosing  $m = 1$  and  $i = 1$ ,  $\pi_1^1(\alpha(b)) = \sigma(\alpha(b))$ ,  $\alpha(\pi_1^1(b)) = \alpha(\sigma(b))$ .  $\square$

### 4. HOM-ASSOCIATIVE ORE EXTENSIONS OF NON-ASSOCIATIVE RINGS

The following section is devoted to the question what non-unital, non-associative Ore extensions of non-unital, non-associative rings  $R$  are hom-associative?

**Proposition 4.1** (Hom-associative Ore extension). Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital, non-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, non-associative ring  $R$ . Furthermore, let  $\alpha_{i,j}(a) \in R$  be dependent on  $a \in R$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , and put for an additive map  $\alpha: R[X; \sigma, \delta] \rightarrow R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ ,

$$(4) \quad \alpha(aX^m) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i+1, m+1}(a)X^i, \quad \forall a \in R, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is hom-associative with the twisting map  $\alpha$  if and only if for all  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $k, m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i+1, m+1}(a) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^i(b \cdot \pi_{j-p}^n(c)) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^{i+n}(\alpha_{j+1, p+1}(c)).$$

*Proof.* For any  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(aX^m) \cdot (bX^n \cdot cX^p) &= \alpha(aX^m) \cdot \left( \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} (b \cdot \pi_q^n(c)) X^{q+p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(aX^m) \cdot ((b \cdot \pi_q^n(c)) X^{q+p}) \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i+1, m+1}(a) X^i \cdot ((b \cdot \pi_q^n(c)) X^{q+p}) \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i+1, m+1}(a) \cdot \pi_l^i(b \cdot \pi_q^n(c)) X^{l+q+p} \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i+1, m+1}(a) \cdot \pi_l^i(b \cdot \pi_{j-p}^n(c)) X^{l+j} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i+1, m+1}(a) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^i(b \cdot \pi_{j-p}^n(c)) X^k, \\ (aX^m \cdot bX^n) \cdot \alpha(cX^p) &= \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n} \right) \cdot \alpha(cX^p) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n} \cdot \alpha(cX^p) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n} \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{j+1, p+1}(c) X^j \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n} \cdot \alpha_{j+1, p+1}(c) X^j \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n}(\alpha_{j+1, p+1}(c)) X^{l+j} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^{i+n}(\alpha_{j+1, p+1}(c)) X^k. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital, hom-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, non-associative ring  $R$ , with twisting map defined by (4). Then the following assertions hold for all  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=k-p}^{I_{0,a}} \alpha_{i+1, 1}(a) \cdot \pi_{k-p}^i(b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_{k+1, p+1}(c),$$

$$\sum_{i=k-p-1}^{I_{0,a}} \alpha_{i+1, 1}(a) \cdot (\pi_{k-p-1}^i(b \cdot \sigma(c)))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad & + \sum_{i=k-p}^{I_{0,a}} a_{i+1,1} (\pi_{k-p}^i (b \cdot \delta(c))) = (a \cdot b) \cdot (\delta(\alpha_{k+1,p+1}(c)) + \sigma(\alpha_{k,p+1}(c))) \\
& = (a \cdot b) \cdot (\alpha_{k+1,p+1}(\delta(c)) + \alpha_{k,p+1}(\sigma(c))),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad & \sum_{i=k-p}^{I_{1,a}} \alpha_{i+1,2}(a) \cdot \pi_{k-p}^i (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot \sigma(b)) \cdot (\delta(\alpha_{k+1,p+1}(c)) + \sigma(\alpha_{k,p+1}(c))) \\
& + (a \cdot \delta(b)) \cdot \alpha_{k+1,p+1}(c),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_{0,p+1}(\cdot) := 0$ , and  $I_{p,a}$  is the smallest natural number, depending on  $p$  and  $a$ , such that  $\alpha_{i+1,p}(a) = 0$  for all  $i > I_{p,a}$ .

*Proof.* We get (6), the first equality in (7), and (8) immediatly from the cases  $m = n = 0$ ,  $m = 0, n = 1$ , and  $m = 1, n = 0$  in (5), respectively. The second equality in (7) follows from comparison with (6).  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** In case  $k < p$ , or  $k > I_{0,a}$  in (6),  $(a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_{k+1,p+1}(c) = 0$ . The statement is analogous for (7) and (8).

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital, hom-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, non-associative ring  $R$ , with twisting map defined by (4). Then the following assertions hold for all  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $j, p \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad & \\
(10) \quad & (a \cdot b) \cdot \sigma(\alpha_{I+1,p+1}(c)) = (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_{I+1,p+1}(\sigma(c)), \quad I = \max(I_{p,c}, I_{p,\delta(c)}), \\
& (a \cdot b) \cdot \delta(\alpha_{1,p+1}(c)) = (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_{1,p+1}(\delta(c)) = \begin{cases} (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha_{j+1,j+1}(\delta(c)) & \text{if } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Put  $k = \max(I_{p,c}, I_{p,\delta(c)})$  and  $k = 0$  in (7), respectively.  $\square$

## 5. HOM-ASSOCIATIVE ORE EXTENSIONS OF HOM-ASSOCIATIVE RINGS

In this section, we will continue our previous investigation, but narrowed down to hom-associative Ore extensions of hom-associative rings.

**Corollary 5.1.** Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital, non-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, hom-associative ring  $R$ , and extend the twisting map  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  homogeneously to  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ . Then  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is hom-associative if and only if for all  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a) \cdot \pi_i^m (b \cdot \pi_{l-i}^n (c)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m (b)) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n} (\alpha(c)).$$

*Proof.* A homogeneous  $\alpha$  corresponds to  $\alpha_{i+1,m+1}(a) = \alpha(a) \cdot \delta_{i,m}$  and  $\alpha_{j+1,p+1}(c) = \alpha(c) \cdot \delta_{j,p}$  in Proposition 4.1, where  $\delta_{i,m}$  is the Kronecker delta. Then the left-hand

side reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i+1, m+1}(a) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^i(b \cdot \pi_{j-p}^n(c)) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a) \cdot \delta_{i, m} \cdot \pi_{k-j}^i(b \cdot \pi_{j-p}^n(c)) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^m(b \cdot \pi_{j-p}^n(c)) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a) \cdot \pi_i^m(b \cdot \pi_{k-p-i}^n(c)) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a) \cdot \pi_i^m(b \cdot \pi_{l-i}^n(c)),
\end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^{i+n}(\alpha_{j+1, p+1}(c)) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_{k-j}^{i+n}(\alpha(c) \cdot \delta_{j, p}) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_{k-p}^{i+n}(\alpha(c)) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n}(\alpha(c)),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital, hom-associative Ore extension of a non-unital, hom-associative ring  $R$ , with the twisting map  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  extended homogeneously to  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ . Then, for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,

$$(12) \quad (a \cdot b) \cdot \delta(\alpha(c)) = (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha(\delta(c)),$$

$$(13) \quad (a \cdot b) \cdot \sigma(\alpha(c)) = (a \cdot b) \cdot \alpha(\sigma(c)),$$

$$(14) \quad \alpha(a) \cdot \delta(b \cdot c) = \alpha(a) \cdot (\delta(b) \cdot c + \sigma(b) \cdot \delta(c)),$$

$$(15) \quad \alpha(a) \cdot \sigma(b \cdot c) = \alpha(a) \cdot (\sigma(b) \cdot \sigma(c)).$$

*Proof.* Using the same technique as in the proof of Corollary 5.1, this follows from Corollary 4.2 with a homogeneous  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

For the two last equations, it is worth noting the resemblance to the unital and associative case (see the latter part of Remark 2.15).

**Corollary 5.3.** Assume  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  is the twisting map of a non-unital, hom-associative ring  $R$ , and extend the map homogeneously to  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ . Assume further that  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ . Then  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is hom-associative if and only if for all  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(16) \quad \alpha(a) \cdot \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i^m(b \cdot \pi_{l-i}^n(c)) = \alpha(a) \cdot \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\pi_i^m(b) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n}(c)).$$

*Proof.* Using Corollary 5.1, we know that  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is hom-associative if and only if for all  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a) \cdot \pi_i^m(b \cdot \pi_{l-i}^n(c)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n}(\alpha(c)).$$



However, since  $\alpha$  commutes with both  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ , and  $R$  is hom-associative, the right-hand side can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n}(\alpha(c)) &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) \cdot \alpha(\pi_l^{i+n}(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a) \cdot (\pi_i^m(b) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n}(c)). \end{aligned}$$

As a last step, we use left-distributivity to pull out  $\alpha(a)$  from the sums.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.** Assume  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  is the twisting map of a non-unital, hom-associative ring  $R$ , and extend the map homogeneously to  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ . Assume further that  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ , and that  $\sigma$  is an endomorphism and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation. Then  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is hom-associative.

*Proof.* We refer the reader to the proof in [22], where it is seen that neither associativity, nor unitality is used to prove that for all  $b, c \in R$  and  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(17) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i^m(b \cdot \pi_{l-i}^n(c)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_i^m(b) \cdot \pi_l^{i+n}(c),$$

and therefore also (16) holds.  $\square$

One may further ask oneself whether it is possible to construct non-trivial hom-associative Ore extensions, starting from associative rings? The answer is affirmative, and the remaining part of this section will be devoted to show that.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  be a non-unital, associative Ore extension of a non-unital, associative ring  $R$ , and  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  a ring endomorphism that commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ . Then  $(R[X; \sigma, \delta], *, \alpha)$  is a multiplicative, non-unital, hom-associative Ore extension with  $\alpha$  extended homogeneously to  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism on  $R$  that commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ , (3) holds, so by Lemma 3.1, the homogeneously extended map  $\alpha$  on  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is an endomorphism. Referring to Proposition 2.10,  $(R[X; \sigma, \delta], *, \alpha)$  is thus a hom-associative ring. Furthermore, we see that  $*$  is the multiplication (2) of a non-unital, non-associative Ore extension, since for all  $a, b \in R$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} aX^m * bX^n &= \alpha \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n} \right) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(a \cdot \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (a * \pi_i^m(b)) X^{i+n}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Remark 5.6.** Note in particular that if  $R[X; \sigma, \delta]$  is unital, then  $(R[X; \sigma, \delta], *, \alpha)$  is weakly unital with weak unit 1 due to Corollary 2.11.

**Proposition 5.7** (Hom-associative  $\sigma$ -derivation). Let  $A$  be an associative algebra,  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  algebra endomorphisms, and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation on  $A$ . Assume  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma$  is an algebra endomorphism and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation on  $(A, *, \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Linearity follows immediately, while for any  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(a * b) &= \sigma(\alpha(a \cdot b)) = \alpha(\sigma(a \cdot b)) = \alpha(\sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(b)) = \sigma(a) * \sigma(b), \\ \delta(a * b) &= \delta(\alpha(a \cdot b)) = \alpha(\delta(a \cdot b)) = \alpha(\sigma(a) \cdot \delta(b) + \delta(a) \cdot b) \\ &= \alpha(\sigma(a) \cdot \delta(b)) + \alpha(\delta(a) \cdot b) = \sigma(a) * \delta(b) + \delta(a) * b,\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.8.** For a non-unital, associative skew polynomial ring  $R[X; \sigma, 0]$ , one can always achieve a deformation into a non-unital, hom-associative skew polynomial ring using Proposition 5.5 by defining the twisting map  $\alpha$  as  $\sigma$ , due to the fact that  $\sigma$  always commutes with itself and the zero map.

**Example 5.9** (Hom-associative quantum planes). The quantum plane can be defined as the unital, associative skew polynomial ring  $K[Y][X; \sigma, 0] =: A$  where  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero and  $\sigma$  the unital  $K$ -algebra automorphism of  $K[Y]$  such that  $\sigma(Y) = qY$  and  $q \in K^\times$ ,  $K^\times$  being the multiplicative group of nonzero elements in  $K$ . From Remark 5.8, we know that at least one nontrivial deformation of  $K[Y][X; \sigma, 0]$  into a hom-associative skew polynomial ring exist, so let us try to see if there are others as well. Putting  $\alpha(Y) = a_m Y^m + \dots + a_1 Y + a_0$  for some constants  $a_m, \dots, a_0 \in K$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and then comparing  $\sigma(\alpha(Y)) = a_m q^m Y^m + \dots + a_1 q Y + a_0$  and  $\alpha(\sigma(Y)) = \alpha(qY) = q\alpha(Y) = a_m q Y^m + \dots + a_1 q Y + a_0 q$  gives  $\alpha(Y) = a_1 Y$  since  $q \in K^\times$  is arbitrary. By the same kind of argument,  $\alpha(\sigma(1)) = \sigma(\alpha(1))$  if and only if  $\alpha(1) = 1$ . For such  $\alpha$  and any monomial  $b_n Y^n$  where  $b_n \in K$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\sigma(b_n Y^n)) &= b_n \alpha(\sigma(Y^n)) = b_n \alpha(\sigma^n(Y)) = b_n \alpha^n(\sigma(Y)) = b_n \sigma^n(\alpha(Y)) \\ &= b_n \sigma(\alpha^n(Y)) = b_n \sigma(\alpha(Y^n)) = \sigma(\alpha(b_n Y^n)).\end{aligned}$$

By linearity,  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\sigma$  on any polynomial in  $K[Y][X; \sigma, 0]$ , and by excluding the possibility  $\alpha \equiv 0$ , we put the twisting map to be  $\alpha_k(Y) = kY$  for some element  $k \in K^\times$ , the index  $k$  making evident that the map depends on the parameter  $k$ . This  $K$ -algebra endomorphism gives us a family of hom-associative quantum planes  $(A, *, \alpha_k)$ , each value of  $k$  giving a weakly unital hom-associative skew polynomial ring, the member for which  $k = 1$  corresponding to the unital, associative quantum plane. If  $k \neq 1$ , we get nontrivial deformations, since for instance  $X * (Y * Y) = k^4 q^2 Y^2 X$ , while  $(X * Y) * Y = k^3 q^2 Y^2 X$ . Now that Proposition 5.7 guarantees that  $\sigma$  is a  $K$ -algebra endomorphism on any member of  $(A, *, \alpha_k)$  as well, we call these members *hom-associative quantum planes*, satisfying the commutation relation  $X * Y = kqY * X$ .

**Example 5.10** (Hom-associative universal enveloping algebras). The two-dimensional Lie algebra  $L$  with basis  $\{X, Y\}$  over the field  $K$  of characteristic zero is defined by the Lie bracket  $[X, Y]_L = Y$ . Its universal enveloping algebra,  $U(L)$ , can be written as the unital, associative differential polynomial ring  $K[Y][X; \text{id}_{K[Y]}, \delta]$  where  $\delta = Y \frac{d}{dY}$ . Put for the  $K$ -algebra endomorphism  $\alpha(Y) = a_n Y^n + \dots + a_1 Y + a_0$  where  $a_n, \dots, a_0 \in K$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\alpha(\delta(Y)) = \alpha(Y) = a_n Y^n + \dots + a_1 Y + a_0$  and  $\delta(\alpha(Y)) = n a_n Y^n + \dots + a_1 Y$ , so by comparing coefficients,  $a_1$  is the only nonzero such. Using the same kind of argument,  $\alpha(\delta(1)) = \delta(\alpha(1))$  if and only if  $\alpha(1) = 1$ . Let  $b_n \in K$  be arbitrary and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . Then  $\alpha(\delta(b_n Y^n)) = n b_n \alpha(Y^n) = n b_n \alpha^n(Y) = n b_n a_1^n Y^n$ , and  $\delta(\alpha(b_n Y^n)) = \delta(b_n \alpha^n(Y)) = \delta(b_n a_1^n Y^n) = n b_n a_1^n Y^n$ . Since it is sufficient to check commutativity of  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  on an arbitrary monomial, we

define the twisting map as  $\alpha_k(Y) = kY, k \in K^\times$ , giving a family of *hom-associative universal enveloping algebras of  $L$* ,  $(U(L), *, \alpha_k)$ , where the commutation relation  $X \cdot Y - Y \cdot X = Y$  is deformed to  $X * Y - Y * X = kY$ .

**Example 5.11** (Hom-associative Weyl algebras). Consider the first Weyl algebra exhibited as a unital, associative differential polynomial ring,  $K[Y][X; \text{id}_{K[Y]}, \delta] =: A$ , where  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero and  $\delta = \frac{d}{dY}$ . Clearly any algebra endomorphism  $\alpha$  on  $K[Y]$  commutes with  $\text{id}_{K[Y]}$ , but what about  $\delta$ ? Since  $\alpha(\delta(Y)) = \alpha(1) = 1$ , we need to have  $\delta(\alpha(Y)) = 1$  which implies  $\alpha(Y) = Y + k$ , for some  $k \in K$ . On the other hand, if  $\alpha$  is an algebra endomorphism such that  $\alpha(Y) = Y + k$  for any  $k \in K$ , then for any monomial  $aY^m$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\delta(aY^m)) &= am\alpha(Y^{m-1}) = am\alpha^{m-1}(Y) = am(Y + k)^{m-1}, \\ \delta(\alpha(aY^m)) &= a\delta(\alpha^m(Y)) = a\delta((Y + k)^m) = am(Y + k)^{m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence any algebra endomorphism  $\alpha$  on  $K[Y]$  that satisfies  $\alpha(Y) = Y + k$  for any  $k \in K$  will commute with  $\delta$  (and any algebra endomorphism that commutes with  $\delta$  will be on this form). Since  $\alpha$  commutes with  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$ , we know from Corollary 3.2 that  $\alpha$  extends to a ring endomorphism on  $A$  as well by  $\alpha(aX^m) = \alpha(a)X^m$ . Linearity over  $K$  follows from the definition, so in fact  $\alpha$  extends to an algebra endomorphism on  $A$ . Appealing to Proposition 5.5 and Remark 5.6, we thus have a family of hom-associative, weakly-unital differential polynomial rings  $(A, *, \alpha_k)$  with weak unit 1, where  $k \in K$  and  $\alpha_k$  is the  $K$ -algebra endomorphism defined by  $\alpha_k(p(Y)X^m) = p(Y + k)X^m$  for all polynomials  $p(Y) \in K[Y]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since Proposition 5.7 assures  $\delta$  to be a  $K$ -linear  $\sigma$ -derivation on any member  $(A, *, \alpha_k)$  as well, we call these *hom-associative Weyl algebras*, including the associative Weyl algebra in the member corresponding to  $k = 0$ . One can note that the hom-associative Weyl algebras all satisfy the commutation relation  $X * Y - Y * X = 1$ , where 1 is a weak unit.

**Lemma 5.12.** Let  $R$  be a non-unital, non-associative ring. Then in  $R[X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$ ,

$$(18) \quad aX^n \cdot b = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \cdot a \cdot \delta^{n-i}(b) X^i, \quad \text{for any } a, b \in R \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from (2) with  $\sigma = \text{id}_R$ . □

**Lemma 5.13.** Let  $R$  be a weakly unital, hom-associative ring with weak unit  $e$  and twisting map  $\alpha$  commuting with the derivation  $\delta$  on  $R$ , and extend  $\alpha$  homogeneously to  $R[X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $a \cdot \delta^n(e) = \delta^n(e) \cdot a = 0$  for any  $a \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ ,
- (ii)  $e$  is a weak unit in  $R[X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$ ,
- (iii)  $eX \cdot q - q \cdot eX = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha(\delta(q_i))X^i$  for any  $q = \sum_{i=0}^n q_iX^i \in R[X; \text{id}_R, \delta]$ .

*Proof.* First, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(a \cdot e) &= a \cdot \delta(e) + \delta(a) \cdot e = a \cdot \delta(e) + e \cdot \delta(a), \\ \delta(a \cdot e) &= \delta(e \cdot a) = e \cdot \delta(a) + \delta(e) \cdot a,\end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\delta(e) \cdot a = a \cdot \delta(e)$ . Moreover,  $\delta(a \cdot e) = \delta(\alpha(a)) = \alpha(\delta(a)) = e \cdot \delta(a)$ , so  $\delta(e) \cdot a = 0$ . Assume  $\delta^n(e) \cdot a = a \cdot \delta^n(e) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . Then, since  $a$  is

arbitrary,  $\delta^n(e) \cdot \delta(a) = \delta(a) \cdot \delta^n(e) = 0$  as well, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta(0) &= \delta(a \cdot \delta^n(e)) = a \cdot \delta^{n+1}(e) + \delta(a) \cdot \delta^n(e) = a \cdot \delta^{n+1}(e), \\ 0 = \delta(0) &= \delta(\delta^n(e) \cdot a) = \delta^n(e) \cdot \delta(a) + \delta^{n+1}(e) \cdot a = \delta^{n+1}(e) \cdot a, \end{aligned}$$

so the first assertion holds by induction. The second assertion follows from the first and Lemma 5.12 with  $b = e$ , since for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$aX^m \cdot e = (a \cdot e)X^m = \alpha(a)X^m = \alpha(aX^m) = (e \cdot a)X^m = e \cdot (aX^m),$$

and by distributivity of the multiplication,  $e \cdot q = q \cdot e = \alpha(q)$  for any  $q \in R[X; \text{id}_R; \delta]$ . The last assertion follows from a direct computation using the first assertion and Lemma 5.12.  $\square$

A well-known fact about the associative Weyl algebras are that they are simple. This fact is also true in the case of the non-associative Weyl algebras introduced in [23], and it turns out that the hom-associative Weyl algebras have this property as well.

**Proposition 5.14.** The hom-associative Weyl algebras are simple.

*Proof.* The main part of the proof follows the same line of reasoning that can be applied to the unital and associative case; let  $(A, *, \alpha_k)$  be any hom-associative Weyl algebra, and  $I$  any nonzero ideal of it. Let  $p = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i(Y)X^i \in I$  be an arbitrary nonzero polynomial with  $p_i(Y) \in K[Y]$ , and put  $m := \max_i(\deg(p_i(Y)))$ . Then, since  $1 \in A$  is a weak unit in  $(A, *, \alpha_k)$ , we may use Lemma 5.13 and the commutator  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  to compute

$$[X, p] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k(p'_i(Y)X^i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p'_i(Y + k)X^i.$$

Since  $\max_i(\deg(p'_i(Y + k))) = m - 1$ , by applying the commutator to the resulting polynomial with  $X$   $m$  times, we get a polynomial  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j X^j$  of degree  $n$ , where  $a_n \in K$  is nonzero. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j X^j * Y &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_j * \pi_i^j(Y) X^i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (a_j * X^{j-1} + a_j * Y X^j), \\ Y * \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j X^j &= \alpha_k \left( Y \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j X^j \right) = \alpha_k \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j Y X^j \right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k(a_j Y X^j) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j * Y X^j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\deg \left( \left[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j X^j, Y \right] \right) = n - 1$ , where  $\deg(\cdot)$  now denotes the degree of a polynomial in  $X$ . By applying the commutator to the resulting polynomial with  $Y$   $n$  times, we get  $a_n * 1 \in I$ ;

$$a_n * 1 = \alpha_k(a_n) = a_n \in I \implies a_n^{-1} * (a_n * 1) = a_n^{-1} * a_n = \alpha_k(1) = 1 \in I.$$

Take any polynomial  $q = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} q_i(Y)X^i$  in  $(A, *, \alpha_k)$ . Then

$$1 * \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} q_i(Y - k)X^i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} q_i(Y)X^i = q \in I, \text{ and therefore } I = (A, *, \alpha_k).$$

$\square$

## 6. WEAK UNITALIZATIONS OF HOM-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

For a non-unital, associative  $R$ -algebra  $A$  consisting of an  $R$ -module  $M$  endowed with a multiplication, one can always find an embedding of the algebra into a unital, associative algebra by taking the direct sum  $M \oplus R$  and defining multiplication by

$$(m_1, r_1) \cdot (m_2, r_2) := (m_1 \cdot m_2 + r_1 \cdot m_2 + r_2 \cdot m_1, r_1 \cdot r_2), \quad m_1, m_2 \in M \text{ and } r_1, r_2 \in R.$$

$A$  can then be embedded by the injection map  $M \rightarrow M \oplus 0$ , being an isomorphism into the unital, associative algebra  $M \oplus R$  with the unit given by  $(0, 1)$ .

In [9], Frégier and Gohr showed that not all hom-associative algebras can be embedded into even a weakly unital hom-associative algebra. In this section, we prove that any multiplicative hom-associative algebra can be embedded into a multiplicative, weakly unital hom-associative algebra by twisting the above unitalization of a non-unital, associative algebra with  $\alpha$ . We call this a *weak unitalization*.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let  $M$  be a non-unital, non-associative  $R$ -algebra and  $\alpha$  a linear map on  $M$ . Endow  $M \oplus R$  with the following multiplication:

$$(19) \quad (m_1, r_1) \bullet (m_2, r_2) := (m_1 \cdot m_2 + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_1), r_1 \cdot r_2),$$

for any  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Then  $M \oplus R$  is a non-unital, non-associative  $R$ -algebra.

*Proof.*  $R$  can be seen as a module over itself, and since any direct sum of modules over  $R$  is again a module over  $R$ ,  $M \oplus R$  is a module over  $R$ . For any  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $\lambda, r_1, r_2 \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \cdot ((m_1, r_1) \bullet (m_2, r_2)) &= \lambda \cdot (m_1 \cdot m_2 + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_1), r_1 \cdot r_2) \\ &= (\lambda \cdot m_1 \cdot m_2 + \lambda \cdot r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2) + \lambda \cdot r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_1), \lambda \cdot r_1 \cdot r_2) \\ &= (\lambda \cdot m_1 \cdot m_2 + \lambda \cdot r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(\lambda \cdot m_1), \lambda \cdot r_1 \cdot r_2) \\ &= (\lambda \cdot m_1, \lambda \cdot r_1) \bullet (m_2, r_2) = (\lambda \cdot (m_1, r_1)) \bullet (m_2, r_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ((m_1, r_1) + (m_2, r_2)) \bullet (m_3, r_3) &= (m_1 + m_2, r_1 + r_2) \bullet (m_3, r_3) \\ &= ((m_1 + m_2) \cdot m_3 + (r_1 + r_2) \cdot \alpha(m_3) \\ &\quad + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_1 + m_2), (r_1 + r_2) \cdot r_3) \\ &= (m_1 \cdot m_3 + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_3) + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_1), r_1 \cdot r_3) \\ &\quad + (m_2 \cdot m_3 + m_2 \cdot \alpha(m_3) + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_2), r_2 \cdot r_3) \\ &= (m_1, r_1) \bullet (m_3, r_3) + (m_2, r_2) \bullet (m_3, r_3), \end{aligned}$$

so the binary operation  $\bullet$  is linear in the first argument, and by symmetry, also linear in the second argument.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2** (Weak unitalization). If  $(M, \cdot, \alpha)$  is a multiplicative hom-associative algebra over an associative, commutative, and unital ring  $R$ , then  $(M \oplus R, \bullet, \beta_\alpha)$  is a multiplicative, weakly unital hom-associative algebra over  $R$  with weak unit  $(0, 1)$ . Here,  $\bullet$  is given by (19) and  $\beta_\alpha: M \oplus R \rightarrow M \oplus R$  by

$$(20) \quad \beta_\alpha((m_1, r_1)) := (\alpha(m_1), r_1), \quad \text{for any } m_1 \in M \text{ and } r_1 \in R.$$

We call  $(M \oplus R, \bullet, \beta_\alpha)$  a *weak unitalization* of  $(M, \cdot, \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* We proved in Proposition 6.1 that the multiplication  $\bullet$  made  $M \oplus R$  a non-unital, non-associative algebra, and due to the fact that  $\alpha$  is linear, it follows that  $\beta_\alpha$  is also linear. Multiplicativity of  $\beta_\alpha$  also follows from that of  $\alpha$ , since for any  $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in M$  and  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\alpha((m_1, r_1)) \bullet \beta_\alpha((m_2, r_2)) &= (\alpha(m_1), r_1) \bullet (\alpha(m_2), r_2) \\ &= (\alpha(m_1) \cdot \alpha(m_2) + r_1 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(r_2)) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(r_1)), r_1 \cdot r_2) \\ &= (\alpha(m_1 \cdot m_2) + r_1 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(r_2)) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(r_1)), r_1 \cdot r_2) \\ &= (\alpha(m_1 \cdot m_2 + r_1 \cdot \alpha(r_2) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(r_1)), r_1 \cdot r_2) \\ &= \beta_\alpha((m_1, r_1) \bullet (m_2, r_2)), \end{aligned}$$

while hom-associativity can be proved by the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\alpha((m_1, r_1)) \bullet ((m_2, r_2) \bullet (m_3, r_3)) &= (\alpha(m_1), r_1) \bullet (m_2 \cdot m_3 + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_3) \\ &\quad + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_2), r_2 \cdot r_3) \\ &= (\alpha(m_1) \cdot (m_2 \cdot m_3) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_1) \cdot \alpha(m_3) \\ &\quad + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_1) \cdot \alpha(m_2) \\ &\quad + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2 \cdot m_3 + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_3) + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_2)) \\ &\quad + r_2 \cdot r_3 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(m_1)), r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot r_3) \\ &= ((m_1 \cdot m_2) \cdot \alpha(m_3) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_1) \cdot \alpha(m_3) \\ &\quad + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_1) \cdot \alpha(m_2) \\ &\quad + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2 \cdot m_3 + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_3) + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_2)) \\ &\quad + r_2 \cdot r_3 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(m_1)), r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot r_3) \\ &= ((m_1 \cdot m_2 + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_1)) \cdot \alpha(m_3) \\ &\quad + r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot \alpha(\alpha(m_3)) + r_3 \cdot \alpha(m_1 \cdot m_2) \\ &\quad + r_3 \cdot \alpha(r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2) + r_2 \cdot \alpha(m_1)), r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot r_3) \\ &= ((m_1, r_1) \bullet (m_2, r_2)) \bullet \beta_\alpha((m_3, r_3)). \end{aligned}$$

At last,  $(m_1, r_1) \bullet (0, 1) = (0, 1) \bullet (m_1, r_1) = (1 \cdot \alpha(m_1), 1 \cdot r_1) = \beta_\alpha((m_1, r_1))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** In case  $\alpha$  is the identity map, so that the algebra is associative, the weak unitalization is the unitalization described in the beginning of this section, thus giving a unital algebra.

**Corollary 6.4.**  $(M, \cdot, \alpha) \cong (M \oplus 0, \bullet, \beta_\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* The projection map  $\pi: M \oplus 0 \rightarrow M$  is a bijective algebra homomorphism. For any  $m \in M$ ,  $\pi(\beta_\alpha(m, 0)) = \pi(\alpha(m), 0) = \alpha(m)$  and  $\alpha(\pi(m, 0)) = \alpha(m)$ , therefore  $\alpha \circ \pi = \pi \circ \beta_\alpha$ , so by Definition 2.3,  $(M \oplus 0, \bullet, \beta_\alpha) \cong (M, \cdot, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

Using Corollary 6.4, we identify  $(M, \cdot, \alpha)$  with its image in  $(M \oplus R, \bullet, \beta_\alpha)$ , seeing the former as embedded in the latter.

**Lemma 6.5.** All ideals in a weakly unital hom-associative algebra are hom-ideals.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal,  $a \in I$  and  $e$  a weak unit in a hom-associative algebra. Then  $\alpha(a) = e \cdot a \in I$ , so  $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ .  $\square$

A simple hom-associative algebra is always hom-simple, the hom-associative Weyl algebras in Example 5.11 being examples thereof. The converse is also true if the algebra has a weak unit, due to Lemma 6.5.

**Corollary 6.6.**  $(M, \cdot, \alpha)$  is a hom-ideal in  $(M \oplus R, \bullet, \beta_\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $m_1, m_2$  and  $r_1 \in R$ ,  $(m_1, r_1) \bullet (m_2, 0) = (m_1 \cdot m_2 + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2), 0) \in M$ , and  $(m_2, 0) \bullet (m_1, r_1) = (m_2 \cdot m_1 + r_1 \cdot \alpha(m_2), 0) \in M$ , so  $(M, \cdot, \alpha)$  is an ideal in a weakly unital hom-associative algebra, and by Lemma 6.5 therefore also a hom-ideal.  $\square$

Recall that for a ring  $R$ , if there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $n \cdot a = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ , then the smallest such  $n$  is the *characteristic of the ring*  $R$ ,  $\text{char}(R)$ . If no such positive integer exists, then one defines  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ .

**Proposition 6.7.** Let  $R$  be a weakly unital hom-associative ring with weak unit  $e$  and injective or surjective twisting map  $\alpha$ . If  $n \cdot e \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ . If  $n \cdot e = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then the smallest such  $n$  is the characteristic of  $R$ .

*Proof.* If  $n \cdot e \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , then clearly we cannot have  $n \cdot a = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ , and hence  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ . Now assume  $n$  is a positive integer such that  $n \cdot e = 0$ . If  $\alpha$  is injective, then for all  $a \in R$ ,

$$\alpha(n \cdot a) = n \cdot \alpha(a) = n \cdot (e \cdot a) = (n \cdot e) \cdot a = 0 \cdot a = 0 \iff n \cdot a = 0.$$

On the other hand, if  $\alpha$  is surjective, then for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a = \alpha(b)$  for some  $b \in R$ , and hence  $n \cdot a = n \cdot \alpha(b) = n \cdot (e \cdot b) = (n \cdot e) \cdot b = 0 \cdot b = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8.** Let  $R := (M, \cdot, \alpha)$  be a hom-associative ring, and define

$$S := \begin{cases} (M \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \bullet, \beta_\alpha), & \text{if } \text{char}(R) = 0, \\ (M \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n, \bullet, \beta_\alpha), & \text{if } \text{char}(R) = n. \end{cases}$$

Then the weak unitalization  $S$  of  $R$  has the same characteristic as  $R$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately by using the definition of the characteristic.  $\square$

The main conclusion to draw from this section is that any multiplicative hom-associative algebra can be seen as a multiplicative, weakly unital hom-associative algebra by its weak unitalization. The converse, that any weakly unital hom-associative algebra is necessarily multiplicative if also  $\alpha(e) = e$ , where  $e$  is a weak unit, should be known. However, since we have not been able to find this statement elsewhere, we provide a short proof of it here for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition 6.9.** If  $e$  is a weak unit in a weakly unital hom-associative algebra  $A$ , and  $\alpha(e) = e$ , then  $A$  is multiplicative.

*Proof.* For any  $a, b \in A$ ,  $\alpha(e) \cdot (a \cdot b) = e \cdot (a \cdot b) = \alpha(a \cdot b)$ . Using hom-associativity,  $\alpha(e) \cdot (a \cdot b) = (e \cdot a) \cdot \alpha(b) = \alpha(a) \cdot \alpha(b)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** We would like to thank Lars Hellström for discussions leading to some of the results presented in the article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aizawa and H. Sato, *q-deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension*, Phys. Lett. B, 256(2) (1991), 185–90 (*Preprint* Hiroshima University HUPD-9012 (1990)).
- [2] M. Chaichian, D. Ellinas, and Z. Popowicz, *Quantum conformal algebra with central extension*, Phys. Lett. B, 248 (1990), 95–99.
- [3] M. Chaichian, A. P. Isaev, J. Lukierski, Z. Popowicz, and P. Prešnajder, *q-deformations of Virasoro algebra and conformal dimensions*, Phys. Lett. B, 262(1) (1991), 32–38.
- [4] M. Chaichian, P. Kulish, and J. Lukierski, *q-deformed Jacobi identity, q-oscillators and q-deformed infinite-dimensional algebras*, Phys. Lett. B, 237(3–4) (1990), 401–406.
- [5] M. Chaichian, Z. Popowicz, and P. Prešnajder, *q-Virasoro algebra and its relation to the q-deformed KdV system* Phys. Lett. B, 249(1) (1990), 63–65.
- [6] T. L. Curtright and C. K. Zachos, *Deforming maps for quantum algebras*, Phys. Lett. B, 243(3) (1990), 237–244.
- [7] T. L. Curtright, D. B. Fairlie, and C. K. Zachos, *Ternary Virasoro-Witt algebra*, Phys. Lett. B, 666(4) (2008), 386–390.
- [8] C. Daskaloyannis, *Generalized deformed Virasoro algebras*, Modern Phys. Lett. A, 7(9) (1992), 809–816.
- [9] Y. Fregier and A. Gohr, *On unitality conditions for Hom-associative algebras*, arXiv:0904.4874 (2009).
- [10] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S. D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using  $\sigma$ -derivations*, J. of Algebra, 295(2) (2006), 314–361 (*Preprint* Lund University LUTFMA-5036-2003 (2003)).
- [11] N. Hu, *q-Witt algebras, q-Lie algebras, q-holomorph structure and representations*, Algebra Colloq., 6(1) (1999), 51–70.
- [12] C. Kassel, *Cyclic homology of differential operators, the Virasoro algebra and a q-analogue*, Comm. Math. Phys., 146(2) (1992), 343–356.
- [13] D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, *Quasi-Hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, J. Algebra, 288(2) (2005), 321–344 (*Preprint* Lund University LUTFMA-5038-2004 (2004)).
- [14] D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, *Quasi-Lie Algebras*, in "Noncommutative geometry and representation theory in mathematical physics", eds. J. Fuchs, J. Mickelsson, G. Rozenblioum, A. Stolin, A. Westerberg, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, Contemp. Math., vol. 391, (2005), 241–248. (*Preprint* Lund University LUTFMA-5049-2004 (2004)).
- [15] D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, *Graded quasi-Lie algebras*, Czechoslovak J. Phys., 55(11) (2005), 1473–1478.
- [16] K. Q. Liu, *Quantum central extensions*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada. **13** (1991), 135–140.
- [17] K. Q. Liu, *Characterizations of the quantum Witt algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys., 24(4) (1992), 257–265.
- [18] K. Q. Liu, *The Quantum Witt Algebra and Quantization of Some Modules over Witt Algebra*, PhD thesis, University of Alberta, 1992.
- [19] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl., 2(2) (2008), 51–64 (*Preprint* Lund University LUTFMA-5074-2006 (2006)).
- [20] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras and hom-coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl., 9(4) (2010), 553–589 (*Preprint* Lund University LUTFMA-5103-2008 (2008) and arXiv:0811.0400 (2008)).
- [21] A. Makhlouf A and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom-Lie admissible hom-coalgebras and hom-Hopf algebras*, in Generalized Lie Theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond, S. Silvestrov, E. Paal *et al* eds., Springer, Berlin, 2009, chapter 17 pp 189–206 (*Preprint* Lund University LUTFMA-5091-2007 (2007) and arXiv:0709.2413 (2007)).
- [22] P. Nystedt, *A combinatorial proof of associativity of Ore extensions*, Discrete Math., 313(23) (2013), 2748–2750.
- [23] P. Nystedt, J. Öinert, and J. Richter, *Non-associative Ore extensions*, Isr. J. Math., 224(1) (2018), 263–292, arXiv:1509.01436 (2015).
- [24] P. Nystedt, J. Öinert, and J. Richter, *Monoid Ore extensions*, arXiv:1705.02778 (2017).
- [25] G. Sigurdsson and S. Silvestrov, *Graded quasi-Lie algebras of Witt type*, Czech J Phys., 56(10–11) (2006), 1287–1291.



- [26] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras and homology*, J. Lie Theory, 19 (2009), 409–421.

DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, THE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, CULTURE AND COMMUNICATION, MÄLARDALEN UNIVERSITY, BOX 883, SE-721 23 VÄSTERÅS, SWEDEN

*E-mail addresses:* `per.back@mdh.se`, `johan.richter@mdh.se`, and `sergei.silvestrov@mdh.se`