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#### Abstract

We show that for every finite nonempty subset $L$ of $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ there are a numerical monoid $H$ and a squarefree element $a \in H$ whose set of lengths $\mathrm{L}(a)$ is equal to $L$.


## 1. Introduction

In the last decade the arithmetic of numerical monoids has found wide interest in the literature. Since numerical monoids are finitely generated, every element of a given monoid can be written as a sum of atoms and all arithmetical invariants describing the non-uniqueness of factorizations are finite. The focus of research was on obtaining precise values for the arithmetical invariants (e.g., [1, 21, 2]), on their interplay with minimal relations of a given presentation (e.g., 7]), and also on computational aspects (e.g., 13, 14 and 10 for a software package in GAP). A further direction of research was to establish realization results for arithmetical parameters. This means to show that there are numerical monoids whose arithmetical parameters have prescribed values. So for example, it was proved only recently that every finite set (with some obvious restrictions) can be realized as the set of catenary degrees of a numerical monoid (22). The goal of the present note is to show a realization theorem for sets of lengths.

Let $H$ be a numerical monoid. If $a \in H$ and $a=u_{1}+\ldots+u_{k}$, where $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}$ are atoms of $H$, then $k$ is called a factorization length of $a$ and the set $\mathrm{L}(a) \subset \mathbb{N}$ of all factorization lengths is called the set of lengths of $a$. Further, $\mathcal{L}(H)=\{\mathrm{L}(a) \mid a \in H\}$ denotes the system of sets of lengths of $H$. It is easy to see that all sets of lengths are finite nonempty and can get arbitrarily large, and it is well-known that they have a well-defined structure (see the beginning of Section 3). As a converse, we show in the present paper that for every finite nonempty set $L \subset \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ there is a numerical monoid $H$ and a squarefree element $a \in H$ such that $\mathrm{L}(a)=L$ (Theorem 3.3). In fact, we show more precisely that the number of factorizations of each length can be prescribed. Several types of realization results for sets of lengths are known in the literature, most of them in the setting of Krull monoids (see [20, 16, 23, 11, 12, , [15, Theorem 7.4.1]). However, we know that if $H$ is a numerical monoid, then $\mathcal{L}(H) \neq \mathcal{L}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ for every Krull monoid $H^{\prime}$ (see [18, Theorem 5.5] and note that every numerical monoid is strongly primary).

It is an open problem which finite sets of positive integers can occur as sets of distances of numerical monoids. Based on our main result we can show that every finite set is contained in a set of distances of a numerical monoid (Corollary 3.4). There is a vibrant interplay between numerical monoids, and more generally affine monoids, and the associated semigroup algebras (4, 3, 6]). In Corollary [3.5 we shift our realization result from numerical monoids to numerical semigroup algebras.

[^0]
## 2. Background on the arithmetic of numerical monoids

We denote by $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ the set of prime numbers, positive integers, integers, and rational numbers respectively. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, let $[a, b]=\{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ be the discrete interval of integers lying between $a$ and $b$. If $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}$, then $A+B=\{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ denotes the sumset and $k A=A+\ldots+A$ is the $k$-fold sumset for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $A=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with $m_{i-1}<m_{i}$ for each $i \in[2, k]$, then $\Delta(A)=\left\{m_{i}-m_{i-1} \mid i \in[2, k]\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is the set of distances of $L$. Note that $\Delta(A)=\emptyset$ if and only if $|A| \leq 1$.

By a monoid, we mean a commutative cancellative semigroup with identity element. Let $H$ be a monoid. Then $H^{\times}$denotes the group of invertible elements, $\mathrm{q}(H)$ the quotient group of $H$, and $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the set of atoms (irreducible elements) of $H$. We say that $H$ is reduced if the identity element is the only invertible element. We call $H_{\mathrm{red}}=H / H^{\times}$the reduced monoid associated to $H$. A numerical monoid is a submonoid of $\left(\mathbb{N}_{0},+\right)$ whose complement in $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ is finite. Every numerical monoid is finitely generated, reduced, and its quotient group is $\mathbb{Z}$. For any set $P$, let $\mathcal{F}(P)$ denote the free abelian monoid with basis $P$. Then, using additive notation, every element $a \in \mathrm{q}(\mathcal{F}(P))$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$
a=\sum_{p \in P} l_{p} p
$$

where $l_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $p \in P$, and all but finitely many $l_{p}$ are equal to 0 . For $a=\sum_{p \in P} l_{p} p \in \mathcal{F}(P)$, we set $|a|=\sum_{p \in P} l_{p} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and call it the length of $a$.

We recall some arithmetical concept of monoids. Since our focus is on numerical monoids we use additive notation. Let $H$ be an additively written monoid. The (additively written) free abelian monoid $\mathrm{Z}(H)=\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(H_{\mathrm{red}}\right)\right)$ is called the factorization monoid of $H$ and the canonical epimorphism $\pi: \mathrm{Z}(H) \rightarrow$ $H_{\text {red }}$ is the factorization homomorphism. For $a \in H$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)=\mathrm{Z}(a) & =\pi^{-1}\left(a+H^{\times}\right) \subset \mathrm{Z}(H) \quad \text { is the set of factorizations of } a, \\
\mathrm{Z}_{H, k}(a)=\mathrm{Z}_{k}(a) & =\{z \in \mathrm{Z}(a)| | z \mid=k\} \quad \text { is the set of factorizations of } a \text { of length } k, \quad \text { and } \\
\mathrm{L}_{H}(a)=\mathrm{L}(a) & =\{|z| \mid z \in \mathrm{Z}(a)\} \subset \mathbb{N}_{0} \quad \text { is the set of lengths of } a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by definition, $\mathrm{L}(a)=\{0\}$ if and only if $a \in H^{\times}$and $\mathrm{L}(a)=\{1\}$ if and only if $a \in \mathcal{A}(H)$. The monoid $H$ is said to be atomic if $\mathbf{Z}(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in H$ (equivalently, every non invertible element is a finite sum of atoms). We call

- $\mathcal{L}(H)=\{\mathrm{L}(a) \mid a \in H\}$ the system of sets of lengths of $H$, and
- $\Delta(H)=\bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}(H)} \Delta(L)$ the set of distances (also called delta set) of $H$.

Every numerical monoid $H$ is atomic with finite set of distances $\Delta(H)$, and $\Delta(H)=\emptyset$ if and only if $H=\mathbb{N}_{0}$.

## 3. A REALIZATION THEOREM FOR SETS OF LENGTHS

The goal of this section is to prove our main realization theorem, namely that for every finite nonempty subset $L \subset \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ there exists a numerical monoid $H$ such that $L$ is a set of lengths of $H$ (Theorem 3.3). We show the existence of this monoid by an explicit recursive construction over the size of $L$. Instead of working with numerical monoids directly, we work in the setting of finitely generated additive submonoids of the nonnegative rationals. Additive submonoids of $(\mathbb{Q} \geq 0,+)$ are called Puiseux monoids and have recently been studied in a series of papers by F. Gotti et al. (e.g., [19]). In the setting of Puiseux monoids all arithmetical concepts refer to addition and not to multiplication of rationals. In particular, an element $a$ of a Puiseux monoid $H$ is said to be squarefree if there are no nonzero elements $b, c \in H$ such that $a=b+b+c$.

Clearly, the constructed numerical monoid heavily depends on the given set $L$. This is inevitable because for every fixed numerical monoid $H$, sets of lengths have a well-defined structure. Indeed, there is a constant $M \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ (just depending on $H$ ) such that every $L \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=y+\left(L^{\prime} \cup\{\nu d \mid \nu \in[0, l]\} \cup L^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset y+d \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\min \Delta(H), y \in \mathbb{Z}, L^{\prime} \subset[-M,-1]$, and $L^{\prime \prime} \subset l d+[1, M]$ ([15), Theorem 4.3.6]).
We start with a technical lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. Then there exist pairwise distinct nonzero $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in\left[-k^{k-1}, k^{k-1}\right]$ with $c_{1}+\ldots+c_{k}=0$ such that for all primes $p>(k+1) k^{k-1}$ the following property holds: if $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p$, then

$$
l_{1}=\ldots=l_{k}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad l_{1}=\ldots=l_{k}=1 \quad \text { or } \quad l_{1}+\ldots+l_{k}>k .
$$

Proof. For $i \in[1, k-1]$ we define $c_{i}=k^{i-1}$, and we set $c_{k}=-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{i}$. Then clearly,

$$
c_{k}=-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{i}=-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} k^{i-1}=-\frac{k^{k-1}-1}{k-1} .
$$

Now we choose a prime $p>(k+1) k^{k-1}$ and $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i}>0$. We may distinguish the following two cases.
CASE 1: $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} l_{i} c_{i} \geq p$ or $l_{k} c_{k} \leq-p$.
If $p \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} l_{i} c_{i} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} l_{i}\right) c_{k-1}$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} l_{i} \geq \frac{p}{c_{k-1}}>\frac{(k+1) k^{k-1}}{c_{k-1}} \geq k+1
$$

If $p \leq l_{k}\left|c_{k}\right|$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} \geq l_{k} \geq \frac{p}{\left|c_{k}\right|}>\frac{(k+1) k^{k-1}}{\left|c_{k}\right|} \geq k+1
$$

CASE 2: $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} l_{i} c_{i}<p$ and $l_{k} c_{k}>-p$.
Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p$, we infer that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i}=0$. Suppose that there is a $j \in[1, k]$ with $l_{j} \geq k$. Since at least two elements of $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k}$ are positive, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i}>k$. Suppose that $l_{i} \in[0, k-1]$ for all $i \in[1, k]$. Since $0=\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i}$, the definition of $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}$ implies that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} l_{i} k^{i-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} l_{k} k^{i-1} .
$$

By the uniqueness of the $k$-adic digit expansion, we infer that $l_{i}=l_{k}$ for all $i \in[1, k-1]$. If $l_{1}=1$, then $l_{1}=\ldots=l_{k}=1$. If $l_{1}>1$, then $l_{1}+\ldots+l_{k}=k l_{1}>k$.

The following proposition will be our key tool to do the recursive construction step in Theorem 3.3 For every prime $p \in \mathbb{P}$, we denote by $\mathrm{v}_{p}$ the usual $p$-adic valuation of the rationals, that is, for $q \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\}$, $\mathrm{v}_{p}(q)$ the integer $j$ such that $q=p^{j} \frac{a}{b}$ with integers $a, b$ such that $p \nmid a b$. Moreover, we set $\mathrm{v}_{p}(0)=\infty$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and $H \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0},+\right)$ be a finitely generated monoid with $\mathbb{N}_{0} \subset H$ and $\mathcal{A}(H) \subset \mathbb{Q}_{<1}$. Then there exists a finitely generated monoid $H^{\prime}$ with $H \subset H^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}(H) \subset \mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{Q}<1$ such that the following properties are satisfied:
(a) For all $u \in H$ with $u<1$ we have $\mathrm{Z}_{H}(u)=\mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(u)$.
(b) $\mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(1)=\mathrm{Z}_{H}(1) \uplus\left\{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}\right\}$, where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$ are pairwise distinct and $\mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{A}(H) \uplus$ $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$.

Proof. We set

$$
\mathcal{A}(H)=\left\{\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{a_{s}}{b_{s}}\right\}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i \in[1, s]$. Let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in\left[-k^{k-1}, k^{k-1}\right]$ such that all properties of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied. We choose a prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
p \nmid \operatorname{lcm}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p>(k+1) k^{k-1}
$$

and we define

$$
q_{i}=\frac{p+c_{i}}{k p} \quad \text { for every } \quad i \in[1, k]
$$

By construction, we have $q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}=1$ and $\mathrm{v}_{p}\left(q_{i}\right)=-1$ whence $q_{i} \notin H$ for all $i \in[1, k]$. We define

$$
H^{\prime}=\left[H, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right] \subset(\mathbb{Q} \geq 0,+)
$$

to be the additive submonoid of nonnegative rationals generated by the elements of $H$ and by $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$. Thus $H^{\prime}$ is generated by $\mathcal{A}(H) \cup\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$ whence finitely generated. Since $H^{\prime}$ is reduced, [15, Proposition 1.1.7] implies that $H^{\prime}$ is atomic and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{A}(H) \cup\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We continue with the following assertions.
A1. $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\} \subset \mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$.
A2. Let $u \in H$ and suppose that $u$ has a factorization $z \in Z_{H^{\prime}}(u)$ which is divisible by some element from $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$. Then either $u>1$ or $z=q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k} \in \mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(u)$ (whence in particular $u=1$ ).
Proof of A1. Assume to the contrary that there is an $i \in[1, k]$ such that $q_{i} \notin \mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Since $q_{i} \notin H$, it is divisible by an atom from $\mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \backslash \mathcal{A}(H) \subset\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$, say $q_{i}=q_{j}+b$ with $j \in[1, k] \backslash\{i\}$ and $b \in H^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$. We claim that $b \notin H$. Since $0 \neq b=q_{i}-q_{j}$ and $0 \neq\left|c_{i}-c_{j}\right| \leq 2 k^{k-1}<p$,

$$
\mathrm{v}_{p}\left(q_{i}-q_{j}\right)=\mathrm{v}_{p}\left(\frac{c_{i}-c_{j}}{k p}\right)=-1
$$

which implies that $b \notin H$. Thus there is an $l \in[1, k]$ such that $b=q_{l}+d$ with $d \in H^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$. Since $p>(k+1) k^{k-1} \geq 3 k^{k-1} \geq\left|c_{i}\right|+\left|c_{j}\right|+\left|c_{l}\right|$, it follows that

$$
q_{i}=q_{j}+q_{l}+d \geq q_{j}+q_{l}=\frac{2 p+c_{j}+c_{l}}{k p}>\frac{p+c_{i}}{k p}=q_{i}
$$

a contradiction.
Proof of A2. Since $u$ has a factorization which is divisible by some element from $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$, there are $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $v \in H$ such that

$$
u=v+\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} q_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i}>0
$$

Since $\mathrm{v}_{p}(u) \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{v}_{p}(v) \geq 0$, it follows that

$$
0 \leq \mathrm{v}_{p}(u-v)=\mathrm{v}_{p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} q_{i}\right)=\mathrm{v}_{p}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} p+\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i}}{k p}\right)
$$

whence $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Therefore Lemma 3.1]implies that

$$
l_{1}=\ldots=l_{k}=1 \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i}>k
$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i}>k$ and $j \in[1, k]$ with $q_{j}=\min \left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=v+\sum_{i=1}^{l} l_{i} q_{i} \geq(k+1) q_{j}=(k+1) \frac{p+c_{j}}{k p}>1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality uses that $p>(k+1) k^{k-1} \geq(k+1)\left|c_{j}\right|$. If $l_{1}=\ldots=l_{k}=1$, then

$$
u=\sum_{i=1}^{l} l_{i} q_{i}+v=q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}+v=1+v
$$

Thus $v>0$ implies $u>1$ and $v=0$ implies $u=1$ and $z=q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}$.
[Proof of A2]
If $u \in \mathcal{A}(H)$, then $u<1$ by assumption and A2 implies that $u$ is not divisible by any element from $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$ and therefore $u \in \mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we obtain that $\mathcal{A}(H) \subset \mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ and together with $\mathbf{A 1}$ and (3.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{A}(H) \uplus\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}(H)=\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(H)) \subset \mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mathrm{Z}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{Z}_{H}(u) \subset \mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(u) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in H$. If $u<1$, then $\mathbf{A 2}$ implies that $\mathrm{Z}_{H}(u)=\mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(u)$.
It remains to show Property (b) given in Proposition 3.2, namely that

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{H}(1) \uplus\left\{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}\right\}=\mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(1)
$$

We see from Equation (3.5) that $\mathrm{Z}_{H}(1) \uplus\left\{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}\right\} \subset \mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(1)$. Conversely, let $z$ be a factorization of 1 in $H^{\prime}$. Then either $z \in \mathrm{Z}_{H}(1)$ or $z$ is divisible (in $\mathbf{Z}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ ) by some element from $\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$. In the latter case A2 implies that $z=q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k} \in \mathbf{Z}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $L \subset \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ be a finite nonempty set and $f: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ a map. Then there exist a numerical monoid $H$ and a squarefree element $a \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}(a)=L \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\mathrm{Z}_{k}(a)\right|=f(k) \quad \text { for every } k \in L \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Every finitely generated submonoid of $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0},+\right)$ is isomorphic to a numerical monoid (cf. [19, Proposition 3.2]) and the isomorphism maps squarefree elements onto squarefree elements. Thus it is sufficient to show that, for every set $L$ and every map $f$ as in the statement of the theorem, there is a finitely generated submonoid $H$ of the nonnegative rationals with $\mathbb{N}_{0} \subset H$ and $\mathcal{A}(H) \subset \mathbb{Q}<1$ such that the element $a=1 \in H$ is squarefree in $H$ and has the properties given in (3.6).

Clearly, it is equivalent to consider nonzero maps $f: \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with finite support and to find a monoid $H$ as above such that $\left|Z_{k}(1)\right|=f(k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and 1 is squarefree in $H$. For every nonzero map $f: \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with finite support $\sum_{k \geq 2} f(k)$ is a positive integer and we proceed by induction on this sum.

To do the base case, let $f: \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ be a map with $\sum_{k \geq 2} f(k)=1$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ with $f(k)=1$. We have to find a finitely generated monoid $H \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0},+\right)$ with $\mathcal{A}(H) \subset \mathbb{Q}_{<1}$ and pairwise distinct atoms $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k} \in H$ such that $Z_{H}(1)=\left\{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}\right\}$.

We proceed along the lines of the proof of A2 in Proposition 3.2 Indeed, we choose $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k} \in$ $\left[-k^{k-1}, k^{k-1}\right]$ such that all properties of Lemma 3.1] are satisfied and pick a prime number $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p>(k+1) k^{k-1}$. We set

$$
q_{i}=\frac{p+c_{i}}{k p} \quad \text { for every } \quad i \in[1, k]
$$

and define $H=\left[q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right] \subset \mathbb{Q} \geq 0$. By [15, Proposition 1.1.7], $\mathcal{A}(H) \subset\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$. Since for all (not necessarily distinct) $r, s, t \in[1, k]$ we have $q_{r}<q_{s}+q_{t}$, it follows that $q_{r} \in \mathcal{A}(H)$. Thus we obtain that $\mathcal{A}(H)=\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$. Since $q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}=1$, it follows that $\left\{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k}\right\} \subset Z_{H}(1)$. To show equality,
let $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $1=\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} q_{i}$. It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i} c_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p$. Therefore Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$
l_{1}=\ldots=l_{k}=1 \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i}>k
$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{k} l_{i}>k$ and $j \in[1, k]$ with $q_{j}=\min \left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\sum_{i=1}^{l} l_{i} q_{i} \geq(k+1) q_{j}=(k+1) \frac{p+c_{j}}{k p}>1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

a contradiction. Thus $l_{1}=\ldots=l_{k}=1$ and the claim follows.
Now let $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and suppose that the assertion holds all nonzero maps $f: \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with finite support and with $\sum_{k \geq 2} f(k)<N$. Let $f_{0}: \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $\sum_{k \geq 2} f_{0}(k)=N$. We choose an element $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ with $f\left(k_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and define a map $f_{1}: \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ as $f_{1}\left(k_{0}\right)=f_{0}\left(k_{0}\right)-1$ and $f_{1}(k)=f_{0}(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \backslash\left\{k_{0}\right\}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a finitely generated monoid $H_{1} \subset(\mathbb{Q} \geq 0,+)$ with $\mathbb{N}_{0} \subset H_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{Q}<1$ such that $\left|Z_{H_{1}, k}(1)\right|=f_{1}(k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and 1 is squarefree in $H_{1}$. By Proposition 3.2 there exist a finitely generated monoid $H_{0} \subset(\mathbb{Q} \geq 0,+)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{H_{0}}(1)=\mathrm{Z}_{H_{1}}(1) \uplus\left\{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k_{0}}\right\},
$$

where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k_{0}}$ are pairwise distinct and $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(H_{1}\right) \uplus\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k_{0}}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{<1}$. Since $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k_{0}}$ are pairwise distinct and since 1 was squarefree in $H_{1}$, it follows that 1 is squarefree in $H_{0}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Z}_{H_{0}, k}(1)=\mathrm{Z}_{H_{1}, k}(1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \backslash\left\{k_{0}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{H_{0}, k_{0}}(1)=\mathrm{Z}_{H_{1}, k_{0}}(1) \uplus\left\{q_{1}+\ldots+q_{k_{0}}\right\}$. In particular, we have $\left|Z_{H_{0}, k}(1)\right|=f_{0}(k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.

We continue with a corollary on sets of distances. Let $H$ be an atomic monoid with nonempty set of distances $\Delta(H)$. Then it is easy to verify that $\min \Delta(H)=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta(H)$, and the question is which finite sets $D$ with $\min D=\operatorname{gcd} D$ can be realized as a set of distances in a given class of monoids or domains. The question has an affirmative answer in the class of finitely generated Krull monoids ([17]). If $H$ is a numerical monoid generated by two atoms, say $\mathcal{A}(H)=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\}$, then $\Delta(H)=\left\{\left|n_{2}-n_{1}\right|\right\}$ whence every singleton occurs as a set of distances of a numerical monoid. There are periodicity results on individual sets $\Delta(\mathrm{L}(a))$ for elements in a numerical monoid ( 8$])$, but the only realization result beyond the simple observation above is due to Colton and Kaplan (9). They show that every two-element set $D$ with $\min D=\operatorname{gcd} D$ can be realized as the set of distances of a numerical monoid. As a consequence of Theorem 3.3 we obtain that every finite set is contained in the set of distances of a numerical monoid (this was achieved first by explicit constructions in [5, Corollary 4.8]).

Corollary 3.4. For every finite nonempty subset $D \subset \mathbb{N}$ there is a numerical monoid $H$ such that $D \subset \Delta(H)$.
Proof. Let $D=\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a finite nonempty subset. By Theorem 3.3 there is a numerical monoid $H$ such that $L=\left\{2,2+d_{1}, 2+d_{1}+d_{2}, \ldots, 2+d_{1}+\ldots+d_{k}\right\} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ whence $D=\Delta(L) \subset \Delta(H)$.

Let $K$ be a field and $H$ a numerical monoid. The semigroup algebra

$$
K[H]=\left\{\sum_{h \in H} a_{h} X^{h} \mid a_{h} \in H \text { for all } h \in H \text { and almost all } a_{h} \text { are zero }\right\} \subset K[X]
$$

is a one-dimensional noetherian domain and its integral closures $K[X]$ is a finitely generated module over $K[H]$. Thus $K[H]$ is weakly $\operatorname{Krull}, \operatorname{Pic}(K[H])$ is finite if $K$ is finite whence it satisfies all arithmetical finiteness results established for weakly Krull Mori domains with finite class group (see [15 for basic information). However, all results on $\mathcal{L}(K[H])$ so far depend on detailed information on the Picard group and the distribution of height one prime ideals not containing the conductor in the Picard group.

Corollary 3.5. Let $K$ be a field, $L \subset \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ a finite nonempty set, and $f: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ a map. Then there is a numerical monoid $H$ and a squarefree element $g \in K[H]$ such that

$$
\mathrm{L}_{K[H]}(g)=L \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\mathrm{Z}_{K[H], k}(g)\right|=f(k) \quad \text { for every } k \in L
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 there is a numerical monoid $H$ and a squarefree element $c \in H$ having the required properties. Clearly, the additive monoid $H$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative monoid of monomials

$$
H^{\prime}=\left\{X^{h} \mid h \in H\right\} \subset K[H] .
$$

Since $K[H]^{\times}=K^{\times}$, the monoid $H^{\prime \prime}=\left\{c X^{h} \mid h \in H, c \in K^{\times}\right\} \subset K[H]$ is a divisor-closed submonoid and $H_{\text {red }}^{\prime \prime} \cong H^{\prime}$. Thus for every $k \in L$ and the element $g=X^{c} \in K[H]$ we obtain that

$$
\left|Z_{K[H], k}\left(X^{c}\right)\right|=\left|Z_{H^{\prime \prime}, k}\left(X^{c}\right)\right|=\left|Z_{H^{\prime}, k}\left(X^{c}\right)\right|=\left|Z_{H, k}(c)\right|=f(k)
$$

whence the assertion follows.
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