

# SUBEXTENSIONS FOR CO-INDUCED MODULES

ANDREI V. ZAVARNITSINE

ABSTRACT. Using cohomological methods, we prove a criterion for the embedding of a group extension with abelian kernel into the split extension of a co-induced module. This generalises some earlier similar results. We also prove an assertion about the conjugacy of complements in split extensions of co-induced modules. Both results follow from a relation between homomorphisms of certain cohomology groups.

KEYWORDS: subextension, co-induced module, group cohomology.

MSC2010: 20D99

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The natural action of  $G = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$  on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  gives rise to the permutation wreath product of  $L = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  and  $G$ , where  $r$  is a prime divisor of  $(n, q-1)$ . The criterion of when this product contains a subgroup isomorphic to the nonsplit central extension of  $L$  by  $G$  was obtained in [9]. Namely, it was proved that the containments holds iff  $r$  does not divide  $(q-1)/(n, q-1)$ . In the present paper, using some cohomology theory, we generalise this fact by finding a criterion for embedding extensions with an abelian kernel into a split extension. To state the results more precisely, we introduce some terminology. In what follows, we use right modules and right composition of maps.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $G$  a group (possibly infinite), and let  $L$  and  $M$  be  $RG$ -modules. Assume that

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \tag{1}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\iota} S \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1, \tag{2}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\lambda} E \xrightarrow{\rho} G \longrightarrow 1 \tag{3}$$

are exact sequences of modules and groups, where the conjugation action of  $S$  on  $L\iota$  agrees with the module structure of  $L$ , i. e.  $(l\iota)^s = l(s\pi)\iota$  for all  $l \in L$ ,  $s \in S$ , and similarly for  $M$  and  $E$ . We say that  $S$  is a *subextension* of  $E$  with respect to the embedding  $\varepsilon$  if there exists a group homomorphism  $\beta$  that makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \varepsilon & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Should  $\beta$  exist, it must be a monomorphism, which follows from diagram chase.

The map  $\varepsilon$  induces a homomorphism of the second cohomology groups

$$\varepsilon^{(2)} : H^2(G, L) \longrightarrow H^2(G, M). \quad (5)$$

Let  $\bar{\delta} \in H^2(G, L)$  and  $\bar{\gamma} \in H^2(G, M)$  be the elements that define, respectively, the extensions  $S$  and  $E$  up to equivalence. The following fact holds.

**Lemma 1.** [8, Lemma 2] *In the above notation,  $S$  is a subextension of  $E$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$  if and only if  $\bar{\delta}\varepsilon^{(2)} = \bar{\gamma}$ .*

This general criterion sometimes can be made more explicit. For example, in the situation where  $G = \text{PSL}_n(q)$  described earlier, we clearly have a central extension of  $R = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$  by  $G$  as a subextension of the wreath product with respect to the diagonal embedding of the principal  $RG$ -module into the permutation module, and the above criterion for the existence of this subextension is purely number-theoretic. Since permutation modules are co-induced, we can generalise this as follows.

We say that a subgroup  $H \leq G$  is *liftable* to  $S$ , where  $S$  is as in (2), if  $H\pi^{-1}$  splits over  $L\iota$ . Given an  $RH$ -module  $N$ , we recall that

$$\text{Coind}_H^G(N) = \text{Hom}_{RH}(RG, N)$$

is an  $RG$ -module with the action of  $g \in G$  on  $\mu \in \text{Coind}_H^G(N)$  given by

$$(\mu g)(x) = \mu(gx)$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \leq G$ , and let  $L$  be an  $RG$ -module. Denote  $M = \text{Coind}_H^G(L_H)$  and let  $\varepsilon$  be the canonical embedding*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M. \quad (6)$$

*Then an extension*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 \quad (7)$$

*is a subextension of the natural semidirect product*

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M \rtimes G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

*with respect to  $\varepsilon$  if and only if  $H$  is liftable to  $S$ .*

We recall that the embedding  $\varepsilon$  in (6) is the image of the identity map of  $L_H$  under the natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{RH}(L_H, L_H) \cong \text{Hom}_{RG}(L, \text{Coind}_H^G(L_H)).$$

Explicitly, we have

$$(l\varepsilon)(g) = lg \quad (8)$$

for all  $l \in L$ ,  $g \in G$ , see [1, Corollary 2.8.3(ii)].

A few remarks are due about Theorem 2. Suppose a group  $S$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $L$  and quotient  $G = S/L$ . Then conjugation defines on  $L$  the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. If we take  $H$  to be the trivial subgroup of  $G$  then Theorem 2 ensures existence of the embedding  $S \rightarrow M \rtimes G$ , where  $M = \text{Coind}_H^G(L_H)$ . It is readily seen that in this case  $M \rtimes G$  is isomorphic to the unrestricted regular wreath product  $L \text{ wr } G$  and hence the embedding  $S \rightarrow M \rtimes G$  also follows from

**Theorem 3** (Kaloujnine–Krasner, [3]). *Every group  $S$  with a normal subgroup  $L$  can be embedded into the unrestricted regular wreath product  $L \wr S/L$ .*

Therefore, we give an alternative cohomological proof of this result in the case of abelian  $L$  and specify a necessary and sufficient condition for the embedding.

Now, let  $L$  be the principal  $RG$ -module and suppose that the index  $|G : H|$  is finite. Then  $M$  is just the transitive permutation module corresponding to the action of  $G$  on the cosets of  $H$  and  $L\varepsilon$  is its diagonal submodule. In [10], we have considered this situation restricted to the case where  $R$  has prime characteristic but generalised to not necessarily transitive action and shown without using cohomology that the liftability of  $H$  to  $S$  is necessary for the existence of the required subextension which must be a central extension in this case. Conversely, the sufficiency of liftability in the general case can also be deduced without applying cohomological methods using a generalisation of the Kaloujnine–Krasner theorem [6, Theorem 2.10.9] which is originally due to B. H. Neumann and is related to the so-called twisted wreath products.

As we show below, Theorem 2 follows from a group-theoretic interpretation in dimension 2 of the equality of kernels of homomorphisms between certain cohomology groups (see Corollary 7) which holds in arbitrary dimension. Since cohomology in dimension 1 is usually also meaningful for groups, we prove the corresponding corollary as well which is as follows.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \leq G$ , and let  $L$  be an  $RG$ -module. Denote  $M = \text{Coind}_H^G(L_H)$  and let  $\varepsilon$  be the canonical embedding (6). Then a complement to  $L$  in  $L \rtimes G$  is  $M$ -conjugate to  $G$  if and only if its intersection with  $L \rtimes H$  is  $L$ -conjugate to  $H$ .*

In the statement of Theorem 4, we assume that  $L \rtimes G$  is embedded in  $M \rtimes G$  via  $(g, l) \mapsto (g, l\varepsilon)$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $l \in L$ , and by  $X$ -conjugacy we mean the conjugacy by elements of  $X$ , where  $X \in \{M, L\}$ .

## 2. $H^n$ AS A FUNCTOR

We recall that  $H^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , can be viewed as a functor from the category of pairs  $(G, M)$ , where  $M$  is a  $G$ -module, see [2, §III.8]. A morphism in this category is a map

$$(\alpha, \varphi) : (H, N) \rightarrow (G, M)$$

with  $\alpha : H \rightarrow G$  a group homomorphism and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  a homomorphism of  $H$ -modules, where  $M$  is considered as an  $H$ -module via  $\alpha$ , i. e.

$$(m(h\alpha))\varphi = (m\varphi)h \tag{9}$$

for all  $m \in M$ ,  $h \in H$ . It gives rise to a homomorphism

$$(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)} : H^n(G, M) \rightarrow H^n(H, N).$$

By considering the standard (normalised) projective resolutions for  $N$  and  $M$ , it can be seen that  $(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)}$  is induced from the chain map  $C^n(G, M) \rightarrow C^n(H, N)$  on (normalised) cochains which we also denote by  $(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)}$  and which is given by

$$\lambda(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)} = (\alpha \times \dots \times \alpha)\lambda\varphi$$

for every  $\lambda \in C^n(G, M)$ . Three particular cases are of interest to us.

(i) Suppose that  $H = G$  and  $\alpha = \text{id}_H$ . Then we denote  $\varphi^{(n)} = (\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)}$  which is just the standard induced homomorphism  $H^n(G, \varphi)$  in this case. In particular,  $\lambda\varphi^{(n)} = \lambda\varphi$  for  $\lambda \in C^n(G, M)$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\alpha : H \hookrightarrow G$  is an embedding and  $N = M_H$ . If  $\varphi = \text{id}_M$  then the compatibility condition (9) holds and we denote  $\alpha^{(n)} = (\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)}$ . In particular,  $\lambda\alpha^{(n)} = (\alpha \times \dots \times \alpha)\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in C^n(G, M)$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $\alpha : H \hookrightarrow G$  is an embedding and  $M = \text{Coind}_H^G(N)$ . If  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  is the canonical epimorphism

$$\mu\varphi = \mu(1), \quad (10)$$

where  $\mu \in M$ , the compatibility condition (9) holds. In this case, the induced map  $(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)} : H^n(G, M) \rightarrow H^n(H, N)$  is known to be an isomorphism due to the following result.

**Lemma 5** (Shapiro's lemma, [7, §6.3]). *If  $H \leq G$  and  $N$  is an  $H$ -module then  $H^n(G, \text{Coind}_H^G(N)) \cong H^n(H, N)$ .*

The fact that the isomorphism in Shapiro's lemma coincides with the map  $(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)}$  is well known, see [2, Proposition (III.6.2) and §8, Exercise 2].

### 3. CO-INDUCED MODULES

Let  $\alpha : H \hookrightarrow G$  be an embedding of groups and let  $L$  be a  $G$ -module. Denote  $M = \text{Coind}_H^G(L_H)$ . The canonical embedding  $\varepsilon : L \rightarrow M$  gives rise to a homomorphism  $\varepsilon^{(n)} : H^n(G, L) \rightarrow H^n(G, M)$  as in (i) above. By the previous discussion, we also have the homomorphisms  $\alpha^{(n)}$  and  $(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)}$  which fit into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(G, L) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{(n)}} & H^n(G, M) \\ \alpha^{(n)} \downarrow & \swarrow (\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)} & \\ H^n(H, L_H) & & \end{array} \quad (11)$$

where the map  $\varphi : M \rightarrow L_H$  is as in (10).

**Lemma 6.** *Diagram (11) is commutative.*

*Proof.* It suffices to check that  $\lambda\varepsilon^{(n)}(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)} = \lambda\alpha^{(n)}$  for every  $\lambda \in C^n(G, L)$ . By (i)–(iii) above, we have

$$(\lambda\varepsilon^{(n)})(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)} = (\lambda\varepsilon)(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)} = (\alpha \times \dots \times \alpha)\lambda\varepsilon\varphi = (\alpha \times \dots \times \alpha)\lambda = \lambda\alpha^{(n)},$$

since  $\varepsilon\varphi = \text{id}_L$  due to (8) and (10). The claim follows.  $\square$

The map  $(\alpha, \varphi)^{(n)}$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 5. Therefore, Lemma 6 implies

**Corollary 7.**  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon^{(n)} = \text{Ker } \alpha^{(n)}$ .

We note that henceforth instead of  $G$ -modules we may as well consider arbitrary  $RG$ -modules. This follows from the next result which essentially says that co-induced modules and cohomology groups are independent of the ground ring.

**Lemma 8.** *For  $H \leq G$ , let  $M$  be an  $RG$ -module and  $N$  an  $RH$ -module. Then the following isomorphisms of abelian groups hold:*

- (i)  $\text{Hom}_{RH}(RG, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}H}(\mathbb{Z}G, N)$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Ext}_{RG}^n(R, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}G}^n(\mathbb{Z}, M)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Both abelian groups equal

$$\{f : G \rightarrow N \mid (gh)f = (gf)h \quad \forall g \in G, h \in H\}$$

with the natural additive structure.

(ii) See [4, Lemma 9.4.13].  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We now prove Theorem 2.

*Proof.* Since the split extension  $M \rtimes G$  is defined by the zero element of  $H^2(G, M)$ , Lemma 5 implies that  $S$  is a subextension of  $M \rtimes G$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$  if and only if  $\bar{\delta} \in \text{Ker } \varepsilon^{(2)}$ , where  $\bar{\delta} \in H^2(G, L)$  defines  $S$ . By Corollary 7 specialised to dimension 2, we have  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon^{(2)} = \text{Ker } \alpha^{(2)}$ , where  $\alpha^{(2)} : H^2(G, L) \rightarrow H^2(H, L_H)$  and  $\alpha$  is the embedding  $H \hookrightarrow G$ . However,  $\bar{\delta}$  lies in  $\text{Ker } \alpha^{(2)}$  if and only if it is mapped to the zero element of  $H^2(H, L_H)$  which defines the split extension  $L \rtimes H$ , i.e. this is possible if and only if  $H$  is liftable to  $S$ , as is required.  $\square$

In a similar fashion, Theorem 4 can be proved as follows.

*Proof.* The  $L$ -conjugacy classes of complements to  $L$  in  $L \rtimes G$  are in a one-to-one correspondence with the elements of  $H^1(G, L)$  with the class of  $G$  corresponding to the zero of  $H^1(G, L)$ , see [5, 11.1.3]. Therefore, by considering the action on 1-cocycles, one sees that the elements of the kernel of  $\varepsilon^{(1)} : H^1(G, L) \rightarrow H^1(G, M)$  correspond to the  $L$ -conjugacy classes of complements in  $L \rtimes G$  that merge to the  $M$ -conjugacy class of  $G$ . On the other hand, Corollary 7 specialised to dimension 1 implies that  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon^{(1)} = \text{Ker } \alpha^{(1)}$ . Again, by considering the action on 1-cocycles, we see that the elements of the kernel of  $\alpha^{(1)} : H^1(G, L) \rightarrow H^1(H, L_H)$  correspond to the  $L$ -conjugacy classes of complements in  $L \rtimes G$  that intersect  $L \rtimes H$  in an  $L$ -conjugate of  $H$ . The claim follows from these remarks.  $\square$

#### 5. DEFINING SUBGROUPS

Given an  $RG$ -module  $L$  and a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , we say that an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\iota} S \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1 \quad (12)$$

is *defined* by  $H$  if  $L$  is a subextension of  $M \rtimes G$ , where  $M = \text{Coind}_H^G(L_H)$ , with respect to the natural embedding  $\varepsilon : L \rightarrow M$  given in (8).

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $H \leq G$ , let  $L$  be an  $RG$ -module, and let  $S$  be the extension (12) that is defined by  $H$ . Then*

- (i)  $S$  is defined by  $K$  for every  $K \leq H$ ;
- (ii)  $S$  is defined by  $H^g$  for every  $g \in G$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2, the fact that  $S$  is defined by  $H$  is equivalent to the liftability of  $H$  to  $S$  which clearly implies the liftability of both  $K$  and  $H^g$ , hence the claim.

Observe that we can also prove this lemma without using Theorem 2. Indeed, let  $M = \text{Coind}_H^G(L_H)$  and let  $\beta : S \rightarrow M \rtimes G$  be the subextension embedding.

First, suppose  $K \leq H$  and denote  $N = \text{Coind}_K^G(L_K)$ . There is a canonical  $RG$ -embedding  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  which acts identically on every element of  $M$  viewed as a map  $G \rightarrow L$ . In particular,  $\delta = \varepsilon\varphi$  is the natural embedding  $L \rightarrow N$ . Also,

$\varphi$  uniquely extends to a map  $\alpha : M \rtimes G \rightarrow N \rtimes G$  so that  $\beta\alpha$  gives the required subextension embedding  $S \rightarrow N \rtimes G$  with respect to  $\delta$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & S & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow \varepsilon & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M \rtimes G & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \alpha & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & N \rtimes G & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1
\end{array}$$

Second, suppose  $g \in G$  and denote  $U = \text{Coind}_{H^g}^G(L_{H^g})$ . Since the  $RH$ - and  $RH^g$ -modules  $L_H$  and  $L_{H^g}$  are conjugate by  $g$ , there is an  $RG$ -isomorphism  $\psi : M \rightarrow U$  given by  $(\mu\psi)(x) = \mu(xg^{-1})g$  for all  $\mu \in M$ ,  $x \in G$ . We see that  $\varepsilon\psi$  is the natural embedding  $L \rightarrow U$ , because  $(l\varepsilon\psi)(x) = (l\varepsilon)(xg^{-1})g = lxg^{-1}g = lx$ . Hence, as above we have the required subextension embedding  $S \rightarrow U \rtimes G$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 9, the study of defining subgroups for a given extension (12) reduces to the study up to conjugacy of maximal liftable to  $S$  subgroups of  $G$ . The set of such subgroups is nonempty as the identity subgroup is always liftable.

For example, consider the particular case of alternating groups and their central double covers.

**Problem 1.** *Let  $G = A_n$  be the alternating group of degree  $n \geq 4$  and let  $S = 2.A_n$  be its nonsplit double cover.*

- (i) *Describe the maximal liftable to  $S$  subgroups of  $G$ .*
- (ii) *Find a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(n)$  is the minimal number with the property that  $S$  is embedded to a semidirect product  $M \rtimes G$ , where  $M$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $2^{f(n)}$ .*
- (iii) *Describe the maximal subgroups of  $G$  that lift to  $S$ .*

It follows from Theorem 2 that the value  $f(n)$  in item (ii) is bounded above by the minimal index of liftable subgroups. The case (iii), where a maximal subgroup of  $G$  is liftable to  $S$ , is of special interest, because we then obtain the most ‘economic’ subextension embedding in view of Lemma 9(i). This need not always happen, however, as we saw, for example, in the case  $G = \text{PSL}_n(q)$  above. For  $G = A_n$ , it can be shown that no maximal subgroup is liftable to  $2.A_n$  for  $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$ , but there are three conjugacy classes of maximal subgroup of  $A_9$  that lift to  $2.A_9$ . These subgroups have indices 120 (two classes) and 840 (one class) and are isomorphic to  $L_2(8):3$  and  $\text{ASL}_2(3)$ , respectively.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology. I. basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 30, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [3] L. Kaloujnine and M. Krasner, *Le produit complet des groupes de permutations et le problème d’extension des groupes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **227** (1948), 806–808.
- [4] C. R. Leedham-Green and S. McKay, *The structure of groups of prime power order*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 27, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [5] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups. 2nd ed.*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

- [6] M. Suzuki, *Group theory i*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 247, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [7] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [8] A. V. Zavarnitsine, *Subextensions for a permutation  $PSL_2(q)$ -module*, Sib. Elect. Math. Reports **10** (2013), 551–557.
- [9] ———, *Embedding central extensions of simple linear groups into wreath products*, Sib. Elect. Math. Reports **13** (2016), 361–365.
- [10] ———, *On the embedding of central extensions into wreath products*, Sib. Math. J. **58** (2017), to appear.

Andrei V. Zavarnitsine, Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, 4, Koptyug av., 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia,

*E-mail address:* `zav@math.nsc.ru`