

RELATIVE PERTURBATION BOUNDS FOR THE JOINT SPECTRUM OF COMMUTING TUPLE OF MATRICES

ARNAB PATRA AND P. D. SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the relative perturbation bounds for joint eigenvalues of commuting tuples of normal $n \times n$ matrices. Some Hoffman-Wielandt type relative perturbation bounds are proved using the Clifford algebra technique. A result is also extended for diagonalizable matrices which improves a relative perturbation bound for single matrices.

1. Introduction

Let $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(m)})$ be an m -tuple commuting $n \times n$ matrices acting on \mathbb{C}^n . A joint eigenvalue of A is an element $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(m)}) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that,

$$A^{(j)}x = \lambda^{(j)}x \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

holds for some non-zero vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The vector x is called joint eigenvector. The set of all joint eigenvalues of A is called the joint spectrum of A .

The main concern of perturbation theory of matrix eigenvalues is to estimate the error when the eigenvalues of a matrix are approximated by the eigenvalues of a perturbed matrix. Let A and B are two $n \times n$ matrix and $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n\}$ are their eigenvalues respectively. An important result in the direction of absolute type perturbation bound is Hoffman-Weildant Theorem [4]. Which states that, if A and B are normal matrices, then there exist a permutation π of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \mu_{\pi(i)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|A - B\|_F$$

where $\|\cdot\|_F$ denotes the Frobenius Norm. Many researchers generalized this result in several directions. In 1993 Bhatia and Bhattacharyya [1] generalized the Hoffman-Weildant Theorem for joint eigenvalues of m -tuple of commuting normal matrices. More results on absolute type perturbation bounds for joint eigenvalues can be found in [3, 8].

In 1998, relative perturbation bounds for eigenvalues of diagonalizable matrices were studied by Eisenstat and Ipsen [2]. It was proved in [2] that, if A and B both are diagonalizable and A is non-singular, then there exists a permutation π of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\lambda_i - \mu_{\pi(i)}}{\lambda_i} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \kappa(X)\kappa(\tilde{X})\|A^{-1}(A - B)\|_F$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A42; Secondary 15A18.

Key words and phrases. Joint eigenvalues, Clifford algebra, commuting tuple of matrices.

where X and \tilde{X} are the invertible matrices which diagonalize A and B respectively and $\kappa(X) = \|X\|\|X^{-1}\|$ be the condition number of the matrix X . Furthermore, Li and Sun [6] obtained bounds for a normal non-singular matrix and a arbitrary matrix and Li and Chen [5] generalized it for diagonalizable matrices.

In this paper, we have proved some relative perturbation bounds for joint eigenvalues of m -tuple of commuting normal and diagonalizable matrices using the Clifford algebra technique proposed by McIntosh and Pryde [7]

2. The Clifford Algebra Technique

For the convenience of the reader we briefly discuss the Clifford algebra technique. Let \mathbb{R}^m be the real vector space of dimension m and let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m be the basis. The Clifford algebra is an algebra generated by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m with the following relations

$$e_i e_j = -e_j e_i \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } e_i^2 = -1 \text{ for all } i$$

and it is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{(m)}$. Then $\mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ is an algebra over \mathbb{R} of dimension 2^m . Let S be a subset of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ with $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_k \leq m$. Then the elements $e_S = e_{s_1} e_{s_2} \dots e_{s_k}$ form a basis of $\mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ where S runs over the all subsets of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ with $e_\emptyset = 1$. An element α of $\mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ is of the form $\alpha = \sum_S \alpha_S e_S$ where $\alpha_S \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\beta = \sum_S \beta_S e_S$, $\beta_S \in \mathbb{R}$ be another element of $\mathbb{R}_{(m)}$, the inner product of α and β is defined as,

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_S \alpha_S \beta_S.$$

Under this inner product $\mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ becomes an Hilbert space with the orthonormal basis e_S . Now the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ where

$$\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)} = \left\{ \sum_S x_S \otimes e_S : x_S \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\},$$

is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \left\langle \sum_S x_S \otimes e_S, \sum_S y_S \otimes e_S \right\rangle = \sum_S \langle x_S, y_S \rangle$$

where $x_S, y_S \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and the inner product in the right hand side be the usual inner product in \mathbb{C}^n . Therefore the norm on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ is defined by,

$$\left\| \sum_S x_S \otimes e_S \right\| = \left(\sum_S \|x_S\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where the norm in the right hand side is the usual norm in \mathbb{C}^n . Let M_n be the space of $n \times n$ matrices of complex entries. Then $M_n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ is a linear space and for $A \in M_n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)}$, A is of the form $A = \sum_S A_S \otimes e_S$, where $A_S \in M_n$. Each element $A = \sum_S A_S \otimes e_S \in M_n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ acts on the elements $x = \sum_T x_T \otimes e_T \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ by

$$Ax = \left(\sum_S A_S \otimes e_S \right) \left(\sum_T x_T \otimes e_T \right) = \sum_{S,T} A_S x_T \otimes e_S e_T.$$

For an m -tuple $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(m)})$ of $n \times n$ complex matrices, the corresponding Clifford operator $Cliff(A) \in M_n \otimes \mathbb{R}_{(m)}$ is defined by,

$$Cliff(A) = i \sum_{j=1}^m A^{(j)} \otimes e_j.$$

3. Relative perturbation bounds

Throughout this paper, S_n be the set of all $n!$ permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$, $\|\cdot\|$ denote the Frobenius norm and the usual operator norm respectively. $\Re(z)$ denotes the real part of a complex number z . A square matrix of non-negative real numbers is called a *doubly stochastic* matrix if each row and each column sum is 1. A *permutation matrix* is a square matrix such that every row and column contains exactly one entry 1 and 0 everywhere else.

Lemma 3.1. ([1, Lemma 1]) Let $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(m)})$ be any m -tuple of operators in \mathbb{C}^n and let $Cliff(A)$ be the corresponding Clifford operator. Then

$$\|Cliff(A)\|_F^2 = 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \|A^{(k)}\|_F^2.$$

Lemma 3.2. ([1]) If P is any operator of \mathbb{C}^n . Then

- (i) $trace(P \otimes e_T) = 0$ for any non empty subset T of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$,
- (ii) $trace(P \otimes e_\phi) = 2^m trace P$.

Let $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(m)})$ and $B = (B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}, \dots, B^{(m)})$ are two m -tuple of normal $n \times n$ matrices such that $B = A + E$, where $E = (E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, \dots, E^{(m)})$ is the perturbation given to A . Also let $\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^{(1)}, \alpha_i^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha_i^{(m)})$ and $\beta_i = (\beta_i^{(1)}, \beta_i^{(2)}, \dots, \beta_i^{(m)})$ are the joint eigenvalues of A and B respectively.

Theorem 3.3. If A and $B = A + E$ are m -tuple of normal matrices as mentioned above and for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, each $A^{(k)}$ is non-singular, then there exists a permutation π of S_n such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{\alpha_j^{(k)} - \beta_{\pi(j)}^{(k)}}{\alpha_j^{(k)}} \right|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \|A^{(k)-1} E^{(k)}\|_F^2.$$

Proof. Since $E = B - A$ therefore

$$(3.1) \quad E^{(k)} = B^{(k)} - A^{(k)} \Rightarrow A^{(k)-1} E^{(k)} = A^{(k)-1} B^{(k)} - I,$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Let $C = (A^{(1)-1} B^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(m)-1} B^{(m)})$, $D = (A^{(1)-1} E^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(m)-1} E^{(m)})$. Also let $\tilde{I} = (I, I, \dots, I)$ be the m -tuple of identity matrices of order $n \times n$. Then from (3.1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad C - \tilde{I} = D.$$

We can choose orthonormal bases $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n such that,

$$A^{(k)} u_j = \alpha_j^{(k)} u_j, \quad B^{(k)} v_j = \beta_j^{(k)} v_j, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Let P_j and Q_j denotes the orthogonal projection operator to spaces spanned by u_j and v_j respectively. Then for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$A^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)} P_j, \quad B^{(k)} = \sum_{l=1}^n \beta_l^{(k)} Q_l.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cliff}(C) &= i \sum_{k=1}^m A^{(k)-1} B^{(k)} \otimes e_k \\ &= i \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)-1} P_j \beta_l^{(k)} Q_l \right) \otimes e_k \\ &= i \sum_{j,l=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)} I \otimes e_k \right) (P_j Q_l \otimes e_\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\text{Cliff}(\tilde{I}) = i \sum_{r=1}^n \left(\sum_{t=1}^m I \otimes e_t \right) (Q_r \otimes e_\phi).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now} \quad & \text{trace} [\text{Cliff}(\tilde{I}) \text{Cliff}(C)^*] \\ &= -\text{trace} \left[\sum_{j,l,r=1}^n \left(\sum_{t=1}^m I \otimes e_t \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} I \otimes e_k \right) (Q_r \otimes e_\phi) (Q_l P_j \otimes e_\phi) \right] \\ &= -\text{trace} \left[\sum_{j,l,r=1}^n \left(\sum_{t=1}^m I \otimes e_t \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} I \otimes e_k \right) (Q_r Q_l P_j \otimes e_\phi) \right] \\ &= -\text{trace} \sum_{j,l,r=1}^n \left[- \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} \right) (Q_r Q_l P_j \otimes e_\phi) \right] \\ &\quad - \text{trace} \sum_{j,l,r=1}^n \left[\sum_{\substack{k,t=1 \\ k \neq t}}^m \left(\overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} - \overline{\alpha_j^{(t)-1} \beta_l^{(t)}} \right) (Q_r Q_l P_j \otimes e_t e_k) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j,l,r=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} \text{trace}(Q_r Q_l P_j \otimes e_\phi) \\ &= 2^m \sum_{j,l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} \text{trace}(Q_l P_j) = 2^m \sum_{j,l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} \text{trace}(P_j Q_l). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Also, } \|Cliff(C)\|_F^2 &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \|A^{(k)-1} B^{(k)}\|_F^2 \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)-1} P_j \sum_{l=1}^n \beta_l^{(k)} Q_l \right\|_F^2 \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \left\| \sum_{j,l=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)} P_j Q_l \right\|_F^2 \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \text{trace} \left[\left(\sum_{j,l=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)} P_j Q_l \right) \left(\sum_{r,t=1}^n \alpha_r^{(k)-1} \beta_t^{(k)} P_r Q_t \right)^* \right] \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \text{trace} \left[\sum_{j,l,r=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)} \overline{\alpha_r^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} P_j Q_l P_r \right] \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j,l,r=1}^n \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)} \overline{\alpha_r^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)}} \text{trace}(P_j Q_l P_r) \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j,l=1}^n \left| \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_l^{(k)} \right|^2 \text{trace}(P_j Q_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $W = (w_{ij})$ where $w_{ij} = \text{trace}(P_i Q_j)$. It can be easily verified that, W is a doubly stochastic matrix. Hence by well-known Birkhoff's Theorem W is convex combination of permutation matrices. Therefore

$$W = \sum_{s=1}^{n!} t_s P_s, \quad t_s \geq 0, \quad \sum_{s=1}^{n!} t_s = 1$$

where P_s are the permutation matrices and let π_s be the corresponding permutation. Finally from (3.2) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Cliff(D)\|_F^2 &= \|Cliff(C - \tilde{I})\|_F^2 \\
 &= \|Cliff(C)\|_F^2 + \|Cliff(\tilde{I})\|_F^2 - 2\Re \left(\text{trace} (Cliff(C)^* Cliff(\tilde{I})) \right) \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{s=1}^{n!} t_s \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left[1 + \left| \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_{\pi_s(j)}^{(k)} \right|^2 - 2\Re \left(\overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_{\pi_s(j)}^{(k)}} \right) \right] \\
 &\geq 2^m \min_s \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left[1 + \left| \alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_{\pi_s(j)}^{(k)} \right|^2 - 2\Re \left(\overline{\alpha_j^{(k)-1} \beta_{\pi_s(j)}^{(k)}} \right) \right] \\
 &= 2^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{\alpha_j^{(k)} - \beta_{\pi(j)}^{(k)}}{\alpha_j^{(k)}} \right|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result is proved. \square

Remark 3.4. Sun [10] has generalized the Hoffman-Wielandt inequality for the case when one matrix is normal and other is arbitrary. Similarly, Theorem 3.3 can be

extended when one tuple of matrices are arbitrary. Let $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(m)})$ and $B = (B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}, \dots, B^{(m)})$ are two m -tuples of commuting matrices in M_n with joint eigenvalues $\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^{(1)}, \alpha_i^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha_i^{(m)})$ and $\beta_i = (\beta_i^{(1)}, \beta_i^{(2)}, \dots, \beta_i^{(m)})$ such that each $A^{(k)}$ is normal and non-singular. Since $B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}, \dots, B^{(m)}$ are commuting so they can be reduced to upper triangular form by a single unitary matrix. Then Using Theorem 3.3 and the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [10] it can be established that, if $A, B (= A + E)$ are two m -tuple of commuting matrices as mentioned above, then there exists a permutation σ in S_n such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{\alpha_j^{(k)} - \beta_{\sigma(j)}^{(k)}}{\alpha_j^{(k)}} \right|^2 \leq n \sum_{k=1}^m \|A^{(k)-1}\|^2 \|E^{(k)}\|_F^2.$$

When we relax the normality condition on each $B^{(k)}$, the constant n appears on the right hand side of the above inequality. This constant n is best possible. We can verify it by considering the following $n \times n$ matrices

$$A^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & k \\ k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where k runs over $1, 2, \dots, m$.

Now we prove the following diagonalizable analogue of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.5. If A and B are m -tuple of diagonalizable matrices and for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, each $A^{(k)}$ is non-singular, then there exists a permutation π of S_n such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{\alpha_j^{(k)} - \beta_{\pi(j)}^{(k)}}{\alpha_j^{(k)}} \right|^2 \leq \kappa(P)^2 \kappa(Q)^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \|A^{(k)-1} (B^{(k)} - A^{(k)})\|_F^2,$$

where $\kappa(P) = \|P\| \|P^{-1}\|$ be the condition number of P . To prove this theorem, first we need to prove the following lemma which is slightly different from the result proved in [9, p. 216].

Lemma 3.6. If M and N are normal matrices and $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n \geq 0$ then

$$\|M\Sigma N - \Sigma\|_F \geq \sigma_n \|MN - I\|_F.$$

Proof. To prove this, set $\Omega = \Sigma - \sigma_n I$. Clearly the diagonal elements of Ω are non-negative. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \|M\Sigma N - \Sigma\|_F^2 - \sigma_n^2 \|MN - I\|_F^2 \\ &= \|M(\Omega + \sigma_n I)N - (\Omega + \sigma_n I)\|_F^2 - \sigma_n^2 \|MN - I\|_F^2 \\ &= \|(M\Omega N - \Omega) + \sigma_n(MN - I)\|_F^2 - \sigma_n^2 \|MN - I\|_F^2 \\ &= \|(M\Omega N - \Omega)\|_F^2 + 2\sigma_n \Re\{\text{trace}[(M\Omega N - \Omega)^*(MN - I)]\} \\ &= \|(M\Omega N - \Omega)\|_F^2 + \sigma_n \text{trace}\{\Omega[(MN - I)^*(MN - I) + (MN - I)(MN - I)^*]\} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.5: Given $A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(m)})$ and $B = (B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}, \dots, B^{(m)})$ are two m -tuple of commuting diagonalizable matrices. Then $\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^{(1)}, \alpha_i^{(2)}, \dots, \alpha_i^{(m)})$ and $\beta_i = (\beta_i^{(1)}, \beta_i^{(2)}, \dots, \beta_i^{(m)})$ are joint eigenvalues of A and B respectively since there are two non-singular matrices P and Q such that for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} PA^{(k)}P^{-1} &= D_1^{(k)} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1^{(k)}, \alpha_2^{(k)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(k)}), \\ QB^{(k)}Q^{-1} &= D_2^{(k)} = \text{diag}(\beta_1^{(k)}, \beta_2^{(k)}, \dots, \beta_n^{(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \|A^{(k)-1}(B^{(k)} - A^{(k)})\|_F^2 &= \|A^{(k)-1}B^{(k)} - I\|_F^2 \\ &= \|P^{-1}D_1^{(k)-1}PQ^{-1}D_2^{(k)}Q - I\|_F^2 \\ &\geq \|P\|^{-2}\|Q^{-1}\|^{-2}\|D_1^{(k)-1}PQ^{-1}D_2^{(k)} - PQ^{-1}\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U\Sigma V^*$ be the singular value decomposition of PQ^{-1} and σ_n be the smallest diagonal element of Σ , then from above relation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{(k)-1}(B^{(k)} - A^{(k)})\|_F^2 &\geq \|P\|^{-2}\|Q^{-1}\|^{-2}\|D_1^{(k)-1}U\Sigma V^*D_2^{(k)} - U\Sigma V^*\|_F^2 \\ &\geq \|P\|^{-2}\|Q^{-1}\|^{-2}\|(U^*D_1^{(k)-1}U)\Sigma(V^*D_2^{(k)}V) - \Sigma\|_F^2 \\ &= \|P\|^{-2}\|Q^{-1}\|^{-2}\|M^{(k)-1}\Sigma N^{(k)} - \Sigma\|_F^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $M^{(k)} = U^*D_1^{(k)}U$ and $N^{(k)} = V^*D_2^{(k)}V$ and for each k , $M^{(k)}$ and $N^{(k)}$ are normal. Then from Lemma 3.6

$$\|A^{(k)-1}(B^{(k)} - A^{(k)})\|_F^2 \geq \sigma_n^2 \|P\|^{-2}\|Q^{-1}\|^{-2}\|M^{(k)-1}N^{(k)} - I\|_F^2.$$

Finally we have

$$\|M^{(k)-1}(N^{(k)} - M^{(k)})\|_F^2 \leq \kappa(P)^2\kappa(Q)^2\|A^{(k)-1}(B^{(k)} - A^{(k)})\|_F^2.$$

Now applying Theorem 3.3 for the commuting tuples of normal matrices $M = (M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, \dots, M^{(m)})$ and $N = (N^{(1)}, N^{(2)}, \dots, N^{(m)})$ from above relation we have the required result.

Remark 3.7. Corollary 5.2 of [2] is a special case of Theorem 3.5 for $m = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia and T. Bhattacharyya, *A generalization of the hoffman-wielandt theorem*, Linear Algebra Appl. **179** (1993), 11–17.
- [2] SC Eisenstat and ICF Ipsen, *Three absolute perturbation bounds for matrix eigenvalues imply relative bounds*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **20** (1998), no. 1, 149–158.
- [3] D. Z. Freedman, *Perturbation bounds for the joint spectrum of commuting matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **387** (2004), 29–40.
- [4] A. J. Hoffman and H. W. Wielandt, *The variation of the spectrum of a normal matrix*, Duke Math. J **20** (1953), no. 1, 37–39.

- [5] W. Li and J.-X. Chen, *The eigenvalue perturbation bound for arbitrary matrices*, J. Comput. Math. (2006), 141–148.
- [6] W. Li and W. Sun, *The perturbation bounds for eigenvalues of normal matrices*, Numer. Linear Algebra Appl. **12** (2005), no. 2-3, 89–94.
- [7] A. McIntosh and A. Pryde, *A functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987), no. 2, 421–439.
- [8] A. J. Pryde, *Inequalities for the joint spectrum of simultaneous triangularizable matrices*, Miniconference on Probability and Analysis, Centre for Mathematics and its Applications, Mathematical Sciences Institute, The Australian National University, 1992, pp. 196–207.
- [9] G. W. Stewart and J. G. Sun, *Matrix perturbation theory academic*, New York (1990).
- [10] J. G. Sun, *On the variation of the spectrum of a normal matrix*, Linear Algebra Appl. **246** (1996), 215–223.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, INDIA 721302
E-mail address: `arnptr91@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, INDIA 721302
E-mail address: `pds@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in`