

Generalization of The Results on Fixed Point For Couplings on Metric Spaces

Tawseef Rashid ¹ and Q. H. Khan ²

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of self-cyclic maps, g -coupling, Banach type g -coupling which is the generalization of couplings introduced by Choudhury et al.[5]. In our main result we prove the existence theorem of coupled coincidence point for Banach type g -couplings which extends the results by choudhury et al. [5]. We give an example in support of our result.

Keywords : g -coupling, coupled coincidence point, strong coupled coincidence point, self-cyclic maps, Banach type g -coupling.

2010MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of coupled fixed point was introduced in the work of Guo et al. [10]. Then after the coupled contraction mapping theorem by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [9], and the introduction of coupled coincidence point by Lakshmikantham.V and Ćirić.L [13], the coupled fixed and coupled coincidence point results reported in papers [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Kirk et al. [12] gave the concept of cyclic mapping. Recently Choudhury et al.[5] introduced the concept of couplings which are actually coupled cyclic mappings with respect to two given subsets of a metric space. Choudhury et al. [5] proved the existence of strong coupled fixed point for a coupling (w.r.t. subsets of a complete metric space). H. Aydi et al. [1] proved the theorem for existence and uniqueness of strong coupled fixed point in partial metric spaces. In this paper we extend the concept of Banach type coupling to Banach type g -coupling by extending the concept of coupling to g -coupling. We have introduced self-cyclic maps and generalize the results by Choudhury et al [5]. Now we recall some definitions.

Definition 1.1 (Coupled fixed point) [9]. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$, where X is any non-empty set, is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = x$ and $F(y, x) = y$.

Definition 1.2 (Strong coupled fixed point) [8]. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$, where X is any non-empty set, is called a strong coupled fixed point of the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if (x, y) is coupled fixed point and $x = y$; that is if $F(x, x) = x$.

¹Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India.
Email : tawseefrashid123@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India.
Email : qhkhan.ssitm@gmail.com

Definition 1.3 (Coupled Banach Contraction Mapping) [9]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is called coupled Banach contraction if there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ s.t $\forall (x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$, the following inequality is satisfied:

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)].$$

Definition 1.4 (Cyclic mapping) [12]. Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of a given set X . Any function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be cyclic (with respect to A and B) if

$$f(A) \subset B \text{ and } f(B) \subset A.$$

Definition 1.5 (Coupling) [8]. Let (X, d) be a metric space and A and B be two non-empty subsets of X . Then a function $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a coupling with respect to A and B if

$$F(x, y) \in B \text{ and } F(y, x) \in A \text{ whenever } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

Definition 1.6 (Banach type coupling) [5]. Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) . A coupling $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is called a Banach type coupling with respect to A and B if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)].$$

where $x, v \in A$, $y, u \in B$ and $k \in (0, 1)$.

Definition 1.7 (Coupled coincidence point of F and g) [13]. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of the mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = g(x)$ and $F(y, x) = g(y)$.

Definition 1.8 (Commutative mappings) [13]. For any set X , we say mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are commutative if

$$g(F(x, y)) = F(g(x), g(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

2. Main Result

Here we give some definitions in support of our main result.

Definition 2.1 (Self-cyclic mapping). Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of any set X . Then a mapping $g : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be self-cyclic (with respect to A and B) if

$$g(A) \subseteq A \text{ and } g(B) \subseteq B.$$

Definition 2.2 (Strong coupled coincidence points of F and g). A coupled coincidence point $(x, y) \in X \times X$ of $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be strong coupled coincidence point of F and g , if $x = y$ i.e. $F(x, x) = g(x)$.

Definition 2.3 (g-coupling). Let (X, d) be a metric space and A and B be two non-empty subsets of X . Let functions F and g are such that $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$. Then F is said to be g -coupling (with respect to A and B) if

$$F(x, y) \in g(A) \cap B \text{ and } F(y, x) \in g(B) \cap A, \text{ whenever } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

Remark 2.4: It should be noted that every g -coupling is a coupling but converse is not true in general.

Proof: Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be g -coupling, where $g : X \rightarrow X$. Then by Definition (2.3), we have $F(x, y) \in (g(A) \cap B) \subset B$ and $F(y, x) \in (g(B) \cap A) \subset A$.

this shows that F is a coupling (w.r.t. A and B).

Clearly converse is not true in general. If F is a coupling (w.r.t. A and B), it doesn't imply that F is a g -coupling for any $g : X \rightarrow X$ (w.r.t. A and B) as $F(x, y) \in B$ doesn't imply that $F(x, y) \in g(A)$, and similarly for $F(y, x)$.

Definition 2.5 (Banach type g -coupling). Let A and B be two non-empty subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) . Then a g -coupling $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is said to be Banach type g -coupling (with respect to A and B) if the following inequality holds:

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)].$$

whenever $x, v \in A$, $y, u \in B$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. where $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a self-cyclic mapping (with respect to A and B).

Note : If $g = I$ (the identity mapping) which is also self-cyclic, then Banach type g -coupling becomes Banach type coupling.

Theorem 2.6: Let A and B be any two subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) . If there exists a Banach type g -coupling $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ (with respect to A and B), where $g : X \rightarrow X$ is self-cyclic (with respect to A and B). If $g(A)$ and $g(B)$ are closed subsets of (X, d) . Then

- (i) $g(A) \cap g(B) \neq \emptyset$,
- (ii) F and g have a coupled coincidence point in $A \times B$, i.e. there exists $(a, b) \in A \times B$, s.t $F(a, b) = g(a)$ and $F(b, a) = g(b)$.

Proof : Here $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is given to be Banach type g -coupling, i.e.

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)]. \quad (1)$$

where $x, v \in A$, $y, u \in B$ and $k \in (0, 1)$.

Also as $g : X \rightarrow X$ is self-cyclic (w.r.t. A and B), so

$$gx, gv \in g(A) \subseteq A \text{ and } gy, gu \in g(B) \subseteq B$$

Let $x_0 \in A$ and $y_0 \in B$, then by definition of g -coupling, we have

$$F(x_0, y_0) \in g(A) \cap B \text{ and } F(y_0, x_0) \in g(B) \cap A$$

in particular $F(x_0, y_0) \in g(A)$ and $F(y_0, x_0) \in g(B)$.

If

$$F(x_0, y_0) = g(x_0) \text{ and } F(y_0, x_0) = g(y_0),$$

then (x_0, y_0) is the coupled coincidence point of F and g , so we are done in this case. Otherwise $\exists x_1 \in A$ and $y_1 \in B$, s.t.

$$F(x_0, y_0) = g(x_1) \text{ and } F(y_0, x_0) = g(y_1).$$

Now if,

$$F(x_1, y_1) = g(x_1) \text{ and } F(y_1, x_1) = g(y_1),$$

then (x_1, y_1) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g , and we are through otherwise $\exists x_2 \in A$ and $y_2 \in B$ s.t

$$F(x_1, y_1) = g(x_2) \text{ and } F(y_1, x_1) = g(y_2).$$

continuing in this way, we get sequences $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ in $g(A)$ and $g(B)$ respectively, such that

$$g(x_{n+1}) = F(x_n, y_n) \text{ and } g(y_{n+1}) = F(y_n, x_n). \quad (2)$$

Now using (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_1, gy_2) &= d(F(x_0, y_0), F(y_1, x_1)) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(gy_1, gx_2) &= d(F(y_0, x_0), F(x_1, y_1)) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gy_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gy_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

from above two inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_1, gy_2) + d(gy_1, gx_2) &\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1) + d(gx_0, gy_1)] \\ &= k[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

or,

$$\frac{d(gx_1, gy_2) + d(gy_1, gx_2)}{2} \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)]. \quad (3)$$

using (1), (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_2, gy_3) &= d(F(x_1, y_1), F(y_2, x_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_1, gy_2) + d(gy_1, gx_2)] \\ &\leq \frac{k^2}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gy_2, gx_3) &= d(F(y_1, x_1), F(x_2, y_2)) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gy_1, gx_2) + d(gx_1, gy_2)] \\
&\leq \frac{k^2}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Let for some integer n ,

$$d(gx_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k^n}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)]. \quad (4)$$

$$d(gy_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k^n}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)]. \quad (5)$$

Now using (1), (4) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_{n+1}, gy_{n+2}) &= d(F(x_n, y_n), F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_n, gy_{n+1}) + d(gy_n, gx_{n+1})] \\
&\leq \frac{k}{2}k^n[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)] \\
&= \frac{k^{n+1}}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

similarly as above we can show that

$$d(gy_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \leq \frac{k^{n+1}}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)].$$

Thus (4) and (5) remains also true for $n + 1$,

Hence by principle of mathematical induction, we have $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$d(gx_n, gy_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k^n}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)]. \quad (6)$$

$$d(gy_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k^n}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)]. \quad (7)$$

Again by (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_1, gy_1) &= d(F(x_0, y_0), F(y_0, x_0)) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_0, gy_0) + d(gy_0, gx_0)] \\
&= kd(gx_0, gy_0).
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$d(gx_1, gy_1) \leq kd(gx_0, gy_0). \quad (8)$$

Then from (1), (2) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_2, gy_2) &= d(F(x_1, y_1), F(y_1, x_1)) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_1, gy_1) + d(gy_1, gx_1)] \\
&= kd(gx_1, gy_1) \\
&\leq k^2 d(gx_0, gy_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Let for some integer n , we have

$$d(gx_n, gy_n) \leq k^n d(gx_0, gy_0). \quad (9)$$

Then from (1), (2) and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}) &= d(F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n)) \\
&\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_n, gy_n) + d(gy_n, gx_n)] \\
&= kd(gx_n, gy_n) \\
&\leq k^{n+1} d(gx_0, gy_0).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that (9) remains also true for $n+1$, thus by principle of mathematical induction, we say that

$$d(gx_n, gy_n) \leq k^n d(gx_0, gy_0), \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (10)$$

Now by (6), (7) and (10) and by triangular inequality, we have $\forall n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) &\leq d(gx_n, gy_n) + d(gy_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gy_n, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gy_{n+1}) \\
&= 2d(gx_n, gy_n) + [d(gy_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_n, gy_{n+1})] \\
&\leq 2k^n d(gx_0, gy_0) + k^n [d(gx_0, gy_1) + d(gy_0, gx_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

since $k \in (0, 1)$, it follows that $\sum d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + \sum d(gy_n, gy_{n+1}) < \infty$.

Thus sequences $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in $g(A)$ and $g(B)$.

As $g(A)$ and $g(B)$ are closed subsets of complete metric space (X, d) , so $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{gy_n\}$ are convergent in $g(A)$ and $g(B)$ respectively.

Therefore $\exists u \in g(A)$ and $v \in g(B)$, s.t.

$$gx_n \rightarrow u \text{ and } gy_n \rightarrow v \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (11)$$

using (10), as $k \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$d(gx_n, gy_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

therefore from (11), we have

$$u = v. \quad (12)$$

As $u \in g(A)$ and $v \in g(B) \Rightarrow u \in g(A) \cap g(B)$

This proves part (i) that $g(A) \cap g(B) \neq \emptyset$.

Now, since $u \in g(A)$ and $v \in g(B)$, therefore $\exists a \in A$ and $b \in B$, s.t $u = g(a)$ and $v = g(b)$.
then from (11) and (12), we have

$$gx_n \rightarrow g(a) \text{ and } gy_n \rightarrow g(b). \quad (13)$$

and

$$g(a) = g(b). \quad (14)$$

Now by (1), (2), (13), (14) and triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(a), F(a, b)) &\leq d(g(a), gy_{n+1}) + d(gy_{n+1}, F(a, b)) \\ &= d(g(a), gy_{n+1}) + d(F(y_n, x_n), F(a, b)) \\ &\leq d(g(a), gy_{n+1}) + \frac{k}{2}[d(gy_n, g(a)) + d(gx_n, g(b))] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have

$$F(a, b) = g(a). \quad (15)$$

Again from (1), (2), (13), (14) and triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(b), F(b, a)) &\leq d(g(b), gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, F(b, a)) \\ &= d(g(b), gx_{n+1}) + d(F(x_n, y_n), F(b, a)) \\ &\leq d(g(b), gx_{n+1}) + \frac{k}{2}[d(gx_n, g(b)) + d(gy_n, g(a))] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

thus, we get

$$F(b, a) = g(b). \quad (16)$$

Hence from (15) and (16) we get, $F(a, b) = g(a)$ and $F(b, a) = g(b)$,
where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Thus $(a, b) \in A \times B$ is the coupled coincidence point of F and g .

Remark 2.7. It is worth noting that the above theorem also gives the condition for the existence of symmetric point of F in $A \times B$, i.e. $\exists (a, b) \in A \times B$ s.t

$$F(a, b) = F(b, a).$$

As from (14) $g(a) = g(b)$ so, $F(a, b) = F(b, a)$.

Existence and Uniqueness of Strong coupled coincidence point of F and g .

Theorem 2.8: If in addition to above condition in Theorem 2.6, g is one-one, then

- (i) $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, and
- (ii) F and g have unique strong coupled coincidence point in $A \cap B$.

Proof: since g is given to be one-one

then from (14) of Theorem 2.6, we get

$$a = b.$$

since, $a \in A$ and $b \in B$
 $\Rightarrow a = b \in A \cap B$. Hence $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, this proves our part (i).
Also from (15) of Theorem 2.6, we have

$$F(a, a) = g(a).$$

which shows that F and g have strong coupled coincidence point in $A \cap B$.

Uniqueness: Let us suppose if possible there exists two strong coupled coincidence points $l, m \in A \cap B$ of F and g
then by definition we have,

$$F(l, l) = g(l) \text{ and } F(m, m) = g(m). \quad (17)$$

then from (1) of Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(l), g(m)) &= d(F(l, l), F(m, m)) \\ &\leq \frac{k}{2}[d(g(l), g(m)) + d(g(l), g(m))] \\ &= k[d(g(l), g(m))]. \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction as $k \in (0, 1)$ and is only possible if $d(g(l), g(m)) = 0$,
i.e. $g(l) = g(m)$ or $l = m$ because g is one-one.

Hence F and g have a unique strong coupled coincidence point in $A \cap B$.

Corollary 2.9 : If $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are commutative and g is one-one.

if (gx, gy) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g , where $x, y \in X$, then
 (x, y) is also a coupled coincidence point of F and g .

Proof : As (gx, gy) is a coupled coincidence point of F and g , we have

$$F(gx, gy) = gx, \quad (18)$$

$$F(gy, gx) = gy. \quad (19)$$

Now by (18) and commutativity of F and g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(F(x, y)) &= F(gx, gy) \\ &= gx. \end{aligned}$$

as g is one-one, we have from above

$$F(x, y) = x. \quad (20)$$

Now again by (19) and commutativity of F and g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(F(y, x)) &= F(gy, gx) \\ &= gy. \end{aligned}$$

as g is one-one, we have from above

$$F(y, x) = y. \quad (21)$$

Thus from (20) and (21), we get

$$F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y.$$

i.e. (x, y) is the coupled coincidence point of F and g .

The following example illustrates our results.

Example 2.10. Let $X = R$ with the metric defined as $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, where $x, y \in X$.

Let $A = [0, 2]$ and $B = [0, 3]$.

Let F be defined as $F(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{10}$, where $x, y \in X$.

and let $g : X \rightarrow X$ is defined by $g(x) = \frac{x}{2}$.

Then $g(A) = [0, 1]$ and $g(B) = [0, \frac{3}{2}]$, so $g(A)$ and $g(B)$ are closed subsets of X .

Also $g(A) \subseteq A$ and $g(B) \subseteq B$, so g is self-cyclic.

Now we show that F is g -coupling.

As $g(A) \cap B = [0, 1]$ and $g(B) \cap A = [0, 1]$, so $\forall x \in A$ and $y \in B$, we have $0 \leq F(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq F(y, x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

i.e. $F(x, y) \in g(A) \cap B$ and $F(y, x) \in g(B) \cap A$, which shows that F is g -coupling with respect to A and B .

Again for $x, v \in A$ and $y, u \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &= \left| \frac{x+y}{10} - \frac{u+v}{10} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \left| \frac{x-u}{2} + \frac{y-v}{2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5} \left[\left| \frac{x-u}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{y-v}{2} \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \left[\left| \frac{x}{2} - \frac{u}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{y}{2} - \frac{v}{2} \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{k}{2} [d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)], \text{ where } k = \frac{2}{5} \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

which shows that F is a Banach type g -coupling (w.r.t. A and B).

Thus all the conditions of Theorem (2.6) are satisfied, therefore $\exists (a, b) \in A \times B$ s.t.

$$F(a, b) = g(a) \text{ and } F(b, a) = g(b).$$

i.e.

$$\frac{a+b}{10} = \frac{a}{2} \text{ and } \frac{b+a}{10} = \frac{b}{2} \quad (22)$$

or

$$\frac{a+b}{5} = a = b.$$

which is only possible with $a = 0$ and $b = 0$.

thus $(0, 0)$ is the unique strong g -coupled coincidence point of F and g .

Note : The uniqueness of the strong g -coupled coincidence point in the above example is because of g is one-one.

Corrollary 2.11: Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a Banach contraction, Then every Banach type g -coupling (w.r.t. A and B) is a Banach type coupling (w.r.t. A and B), where A and B are subsets of X .

Proof : since g is a contraction, therefore $\exists \alpha \in (0, 1)$, s.t

$$d(gx, gy) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (23)$$

Let $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a Banach type g -coupling (w.r.t. A and B), i.e.

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k}{2}[d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)], \text{ where } x, v \in A, \ y, u \in B \text{ and } k \in (0, 1). \quad (24)$$

Also F is a g -coupling (w.r.t. A and B) and hence by remark (2.4), F is a coupling (w.r.t. A and B).

Now using (23) in (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) &\leq \frac{k}{2}[\alpha d(x, u) + \alpha d(y, v)] \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha k}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)]. \end{aligned}$$

as $k \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, therefore $k\alpha = k_1 \in (0, 1)$, so

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{k_1}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)].$$

where $x, v \in A, \ y, u \in B$ and $k_1 \in (0, 1)$.

Hence F is a Banach type coupling (w.r.t. A and B).

3. Conclusion

In this paper we introduce some new results that extend the concept of coupling and Banach type coupling introduced by Choudhury et al. [5] to g -coupling and Banach type g -coupling resp. and proved the existence and uniqueness theorem for coupled coincidence point and strong coupled coincidence point.

References

- [1] H. Aydi, M. Barakat, A. Felhi, H. Isik, *On ϕ -contraction type couplings in partial metric spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, **8**(2017), 78-89.
- [2] H. Aydi, *Coupled fixed point results in ordered partial metric spaces*, Selçuk J. Appl. Math. **13**(2012), 23-33.
- [3] V. Berinde, *Generalized coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlin. Anal. **74**(2011), 7347-7355.
- [4] N. Bilgili, I. M. Erham, E. Karapinar, D. Turkoglu, *A note on coupled fixed point theorems for mixed g -monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2014**(2014):120, 6 pages.

- [5] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, P. Konar, *Fixed point results for couplings on metric spaces*, U. P. B. Sci. Bull., series A, **79**(1)(2017). 1-12.
- [6] B.S. Choudhury, A. Kundu, *A coupled coincidence result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **73**(2010), 2524-2531.
- [7] B.S. Choudhury, A. Kundu, *Two coupled weak contraction theorems in partially ordered metric spaces*, Revista de la Real Academia de ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Series A.Mathematicas.**108**(2014), 335-351.
- [8] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, *Cyclic coupled fixed point result using Kannan type contractions*, Journal Of Operators. **2014**(2014), Article ID 876749, 5 pages.
- [9] T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlin. Anal. **65**(2006), 1379-1393.
- [10] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Coupled fixed points of non-linear operators with applications*, Nonlin. Anal. **11**(1987), 623-632.
- [11] Harjani. J, Lopéz. B, Sadarangani. K, *Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **74**(2011), 1749-1760.
- [12] W.A. Kirk, P.S. Srinivasan and P. Veeramani, *Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions*, Fixed Point Theory. **4**(2003), 78-89.
- [13] Lakshmikantham. V, L. Ćirić, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **70**(2009), 4341-4349.
- [14] Luong. NV, Thuan. NX, *Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces depended on another function*, Bull. Math. Anal. **3**(2011), 129-140.
- [15] N. V. Luong and N. X. Thuan, *Coupled points in ordered generalized metric spaces and application to integro-differential equations*, Analele Universitatii "Ovidius" Constanta Seria Matematica, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 155-180, 2013.
- [16] Mujahid Abbas, Bashir Ali, Yusuf I.Suleiman, *Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Application, (2015) 2015:64, 24 pages.
- [17] Rosouli. SH, Bahrampour. M, *A remark on the coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators in partially ordered metric spaces*, J. Math. Comput. Sci. **3**(2011), 246-261.

- [18] W. Shatanawi, H.K. Nashine, N. Tahat, *Generalization of some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, **2012**(2012), Article ID 686801, 10 pages.
- [19] W. Shatanawi, B. Samet, M. Abbas, *Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, **55**(2012), 680-687.
- [20] Wasfi Shatanawi, Mujahid Abbas, Hassen Aydi, Nedal Tahat, *Common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed points in G-metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. and Application, **2012**(2012), Article ID jnaa-00162, 16 pages.