

Three problems on exponential bases

Laura De Carli, Alberto Mizrahi, and Alexander Tepper

ABSTRACT. We consider three special and significant cases of the following problem. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a (possibly unbounded) set of finite Lebesgue measure. Let $E(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \{e^{2\pi i x \cdot n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be the standard exponential basis on the unit cube of \mathbb{R}^d . Find conditions on D for which $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame, a Riesz sequence, or a Riesz basis for $L^2(D)$.

1. Introduction

We are interested in the following problem. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a set of Lebesgue measure $|D| < \infty$. Let $E(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \{e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be the standard exponential basis for the unit cube $Q_d = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$. Can $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ be frame, or a Riesz sequence, or a Riesz basis for $L^2(D)$?

We have recalled definitions and general facts about frames, Riesz sequences and Riesz bases in Section 2.

Our investigation was motivated by the following problems:

P1. (*The broken interval*). Let $J = [0, \alpha) \cup [\alpha + r, L + r)$, with $0 < \alpha < L$ and $r > 0$. For which values of the parameters is the set $E(\mathbb{Z})$ a Riesz basis, a Riesz sequence or a frame in $L^2(J)$?

It is easy to verify that $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is a frame on J when $L + r \leq 1$ and it is a Riesz sequence when either $\alpha \geq 1$ or $L - \alpha \geq 1$ (see also Lemma 5). It is proved in [25] that $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is an orthonormal basis for J if and only if the measure of J is $L = 1$ and the "gap" r is a non-negative integer.

P2. (*The rotated square*). Let $Q_h = [-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}] \times [-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}]$ be a square with side $h > 0$. For $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, we let $\rho_\theta : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the rotation $\rho_\theta(x, y) = (x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta, x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta)$. For which values of θ is $E(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ a Riesz basis, a Riesz sequence or a frame on $\rho_\theta(Q_h)$?

Also the solution to this problem is trivial only for certain values of the parameters (for example, when θ is an integer multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$).

The next problem was kindly suggested by Chun Kit Lai.

P3. (*The translated parallelepiped*). Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a parallelepiped with sides parallel to the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Find conditions on these vectors for which that the set $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz basis, a Riesz sequence, or a frame in $L^2(P)$.

We recall that a *lattice* is the image of \mathbb{Z}^d by a linear invertible transformation $B : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and we observe that Problem 3 is equivalent to the following: *for which lattices $\Lambda = B\mathbb{Z}^d$ is the set $E(B\mathbb{Z}^d) = \{e^{2\pi i Bn \cdot x}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ a Riesz basis, or a Riesz sequence, or a frame in $L^2(Q_d)$?*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 42C15 Secondary classification: 42C30.

Key words and phrases. Exponential bases, frames, Riesz sequences, lattices .

Problem 3 is related to certain optimization problems on lattices that have deep applications in computer sciences and in cryptography. See Section 7.1 for details and references.

We first prove necessary and sufficient conditions for which $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence or a frame on a given domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and then we completely solve Problems 1, 2 and 3.

We let

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_D(x + m),$$

where χ_D denotes the characteristic function of D . Note that $\Phi(x)$ only takes non-negative integer values. Our first result is the following

THEOREM 1. *$E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^2(D)$ if and only if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ for which $A \leq \Phi(x) \leq B$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{Q}_d$.*

That is, we prove that $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^2(D)$ if and only if the integer translates of D (i.e., the sets $D + n = \{x + n, x \in D\}$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$) cover \mathbb{R}^d with the possible exception of a set of measure zero.

It is interesting to compare Theorem 1 with results in [5, 21, 17]. In these papers the authors consider domains that *multi-tile* \mathbb{R}^d , i.e. bounded measurable sets $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for which there exist a set of translations Λ and an integer $h > 0$ such that $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{S+\lambda}(x) \equiv h$ a.e.; if $h = 1$, we say that S *tiles* \mathbb{R}^d . It is proved in [17, Theorem 1] and in [21, Theorem 1] that bounded domains that multi-tile \mathbb{R}^d with a lattice of translation have an exponential basis; in the recent [5, Theorem 4.4] the converse of [21, Theorem 1] is proved.

If Φ is as in (1.1) and $\Phi(x) \equiv k$ a.e., then D multi-tiles \mathbb{R}^d with lattice of translations \mathbb{Z}^d . By Theorem 1, $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence on $L^2(D)$; when D is bounded, it is shown in [21, Theorem 1] that $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ can be completed to an exponential basis for $L^2(D)$, but when D is not bounded an example in [5] shows that that may not be possible.

Next, we investigate conditions for which $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame on D . The following result is proved in [16, Lemma 2.10]. See also the recent [7, Theorem 2].

THEOREM 2. *$E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame on $L^2(D)$ if and only if for every $m, s \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, with $m \neq s$, we have that*

$$(1.2) \quad |(D + m) \cap (D + s)| = 0.$$

In other words, $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame in $L^2(D)$ if and only if the integer translates of D only overlap on sets of measure zero. Equivalently, $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame on $L^2(D)$ if and only if $\Phi(x) \leq 1$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We finally prove the following

THEOREM 3. *Assume that $|D| = 1$. The following are equivalent in $L^2(D)$:*

- a) $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame
- b) $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a complete
- c) The integer translates of D tile \mathbb{R}^d .
- d) $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is an orthonormal Riesz basis
- e) $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence

We recall that a set $\{w_i\}_{i \in I}$ is *complete* in a Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ if and only if $\langle u, w_i \rangle_H = 0$ for every $i \in I$ implies $u = 0$. A frame is complete but the converse is not necessarily true.

B. Fuglede proved in [15] that if $\Lambda = A\mathbb{Z}^d$ is a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d , the set $E(A\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is an orthogonal exponential basis in $L^2(D)$ if and only if $\{D + \mu\}_{\mu \in (A^t)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d}$ tiles \mathbb{R}^d . Here, $(A^t)^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of the transpose of A . Thus, the equivalence of c) and d) in

Theorem 3 is a special case of Fuglede's theorem. The connections between tiling and exponential bases are deep and interesting and have been intensely investigated. We refer the reader to the introduction and to the references cited in [7]. See also [22].

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present preliminary definitions and known results. We prove Theorems 1, 2 and 3 in Sections 3 and 4. We solve Problems 1, 2 and 3 in Sections 5, 6 and 7.

Acknowledgments. The first author wishes to thank C.K. Lai for bringing Problem 3 to our attention and E. Hernandez for stimulating discussions that helped us improve the quality of this paper. We also wish thank the anonymous referees for their thorough reading of our manuscript and for their valuable suggestions.

2. Preliminary and notation

We denote with $x \cdot y = x_1y_1 + \dots + x_dy_d$ the inner product of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We let $\|f\|_2 = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the standard norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$; we let $\mathbf{c} = \{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ and we denote with $\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\ell^2} = (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the standard norm in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. We denote with $\langle f, g \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\bar{g}(x)dx$ the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. When there is no ambiguity we will use the same notation also for the inner product in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

The Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is $\hat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot t} dt$.

We will often say that a family of sets $\{D_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ covers \mathbb{R}^d with the understanding that $\mathbb{R}^d - \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D_\lambda$ may be a nonempty set of measure zero.

We use the notation τ_w to denote the translation operator $g \rightarrow g(\cdot + w)$.

2.1. Frames and Riesz bases. We have used the excellent textbooks [19] and [11] for most of the definitions and preliminary results presented in this section.

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. A sequence of vectors $\mathcal{V} = \{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset H$ is a *frame* if there exist constants $0 < A, B < \infty$ such that the following inequality holds for every $w \in H$.

$$(2.1) \quad A\|w\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle w, v_j \rangle|^2 \leq B\|w\|^2.$$

We say that \mathcal{V} is a *tight frame* if $A = B$ and is a *Parseval frame* if $A = B = 1$.

The left inequality in (2.1) implies that \mathcal{V} is complete in H but it may not be linearly independent. A *Riesz basis* is a linearly independent frame.

An equivalent definition of Riesz basis is the following: the set \mathcal{V} is a *Riesz sequence* if there exists constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that, for every finite set of coefficients $\{a_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$(2.2) \quad A \sum_{j \in J} |a_j|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{j \in J} a_j v_j \right\|^2 \leq B \sum_{j \in J} |a_j|^2,$$

and it is *Riesz basis* if it also satisfies (2.1). If \mathcal{V} is a Riesz basis, the constants A and B in (2.1) and (2.2) are the same (see [11, Proposition 3.5.5]).

An orthonormal basis is a Riesz basis; we can write $w = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle v_j, w \rangle v_j$ for every $w \in H$ and this representation formula yields the following important identities: for every $w, z \in H$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|w\|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle v_n, w \rangle|^2, \quad \langle w, z \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle v_n, w \rangle \overline{\langle v_n, z \rangle}.$$

The following useful proposition can be found in [11, Prop. 3.2.8].

PROPOSITION 4. *A sequence of unit vectors in H is a Parseval frame if and only if it is an orthonormal Riesz basis.*

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a measurable set, with $|D| < \infty$. An *exponential basis* of $L^2(D)$ is a Riesz basis made of functions in the form of $e^{2\pi i x \cdot \lambda}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Exponential bases are important in the applications because they allow one to represent functions in $L^2(D)$ in a stable manner, with coefficients that are easy to calculate.

The following lemma is easy to prove (see e.g. [14, Prop. 2.1]).

LEMMA 5. *Let $D_1 \subset D \subset D_2$ be measurable sets of \mathbb{R}^d , with $|D_2| < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{e^{2\pi i x \cdot \lambda_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be Riesz basis of $L^2(D)$ with frame constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$; then, \mathcal{V} is a Riesz sequence on $L^2(D_2)$ and a frame on $L^2(D_1)$ with the same frame constants.*

2.2. The Beurling density. In [8, 9] A. Beurling characterized sampling sets by means of their density.

For $h > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we let $Q_h(x)$ denote the closed cube centered at x with side length h . Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be *uniformly discrete*, i.e., we assume that $|\lambda_j - \lambda_k| \geq \delta > 0$ whenever $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k$. Following [12] we denote with

$$\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\Lambda \cap Q_h(x)|}{h^d}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\Lambda \cap Q_h(x)|}{h^d}$$

the upper and lower density of Λ . If $\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) = \mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda)$ we say that Λ has *uniform Beurling density* $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$.

Theorem 6 below is a generalization of theorems of Landau and Beurling [8, 26] in dimension $d \geq 1$. See also [27] and [28, Sect. 2].

THEOREM 6. *If $E(\Lambda) = \{e^{2\pi i \lambda_j \cdot x}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame in $L^2(D)$, then $\mathcal{D}^-(\Lambda) \geq |D|$. If $E(\Lambda)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^2(D)$, then $\mathcal{D}^+(\Lambda) \leq |D|$.*

Thus, a necessary condition for $E(\Lambda)$ to be a Riesz basis in $L^2(D)$ is that $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda) = |D|$. In the special case of $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have the following

COROLLARY 7. *If $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame in $L^2(D)$ then $|D| \leq 1$; if $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^2(D)$ then $|D| \geq 1$.*

2.3. Shift invariant spaces. We let

$$V^2(\varphi) := \overline{\text{span}\{\tau_k \varphi\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}}$$

where $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and “bar” denotes the closure in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The space $V^2(\varphi)$ is *shift-invariant*, i.e. if $f \in V^2(\varphi)$ then also $\tau_m f \in V^2(\varphi)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Shift-invariant spaces of functions appear naturally in signal theory and in other branches of applied sciences. Following [4], [13], [10] we say that the translates $\{\tau_k \varphi\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ form a Riesz basis in $V^2(\varphi)$ if there exist constants $0 < A, B < \infty$ such that, for every finite set of coefficients $\mathbf{d} = \{d_j\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have that

$$(2.4) \quad A \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\ell^2} \leq \left\| \sum_j d_j \tau_j \varphi \right\|_2 \leq B \|\mathbf{d}\|_{\ell^2}.$$

If (2.4) holds, then $V^2(\varphi) = \{f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} d_k \tau_k \varphi, \mathbf{d} \in \ell^2\}$, and the sequence $\{d_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is uniquely determined by f .

The following theorem is well known: see e.g. [24] or [3, Prop. 1.1].

THEOREM 8. *The set $\{\tau_m \varphi\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a Riesz basis in $V^2(\varphi)$ with frame constants $0 < A, B < \infty$ if and only if,*

$$(2.5) \quad A = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Q}_d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(y + m)|^2 \leq \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Q}_d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(y + m)|^2 = B.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\ell_0^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ be the set of sequences $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that $a_n = 0$ whenever $|n| \geq N$, with $N = N(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$. Let $S(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}$. Recall that $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^2(D)$ if and only if there exists constants $0 < A, B < \infty$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad A \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 \leq \|S(\mathbf{a})\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq B \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2$$

for every $\mathbf{a} \in \ell_0^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. We gather:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S(\mathbf{a})\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &= \int_D \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot x} \right|^2 dx = \int_D \left(\sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \overline{a_m} e^{2\pi i (n-m) \cdot x} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \overline{a_m} \int_D e^{2\pi i (n-m) \cdot x} dx = \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \overline{a_m} \widehat{\chi_D}(n-m). \end{aligned}$$

Let T_D be the operator, initially defined in $\ell_0^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, as:

$$(3.3) \quad T_D(\mathbf{a})_m = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \widehat{\chi_D}(n-m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The calculation above shows that $\|S(\mathbf{a})\|_{L^2(D)}^2 = \langle T_D(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{a} \rangle_2$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ denotes the inner product in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. We can easily verify that $T_D(\mathbf{a})$ is self-adjoint and, in view of (3.2), that $\langle T_D(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 \geq 0$ for every $\mathbf{a} \in \ell_0^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$; thus, (3.1) holds if and only if

$$(3.4) \quad A \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \leq \langle T_D(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 \leq B \|\mathbf{a}\|_2, \quad \mathbf{a} \in \ell_0^2(\mathbb{Z}^d).$$

To prove (3.4) we need the following

LEMMA 9. *Assume that $\|T_D\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2} = \sup_{\|\mathbf{a}\|_2=1} \|T_D(\mathbf{a})\|_2 < \infty$. The inequality below holds for every $\mathbf{a} \in \ell_0^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ such that $\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = 1$.*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\|T_D(\mathbf{a})\|_2^2}{\|T_D\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2}^2} \leq \langle T_D(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 \leq \|T_D\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2}.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $\Phi(x)$ be as in (1.1). We show that if there exist constants $0 < A' \leq B' < \infty$ such that $A' \leq \Phi(x) \leq B'$ a.e. in \mathcal{Q}_d , then $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^2(D)$. Since $\Phi(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_D(x+m) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\chi_D(x+m)|^2$, by Theorem 8 the set $\{\tau_m \hat{\chi}_D\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a Riesz basis of $V^2(\hat{\chi}_D)$ with frame constants $0 < A', B' < \infty$. In view of (2.4) and (3.3), the inequality

$$(3.6) \quad A' \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \leq \|T_D \mathbf{a}\|_2 \leq B' \|\mathbf{a}\|_2$$

holds for every $\mathbf{a} \in \ell_0^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. By Lemma 9, we have (3.4), as required.

If $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence on D , we argue as in the proof of [6, Theorem 3.1]. Using Plancherel's identity and the Poisson summation formula, from (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|S(\mathbf{a})\|_{L^2(D)}^2 &= \sum_m \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \widehat{\chi_D}(n-m) \right|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_d} \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \widehat{\chi_D}(n-m) e^{2\pi i x \cdot m} \right|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}_d} \left| \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n e^{2\pi i x \cdot n} \right) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{\chi_D}(n-m) e^{2\pi i x \cdot (m-n)} \right|^2 dx \\
 (3.7) \quad &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}_d} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot x} \right|^2 |\Phi(x)|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, the integral in (3.7) is finite and so $\Phi(x) < \infty$ a.e.. To show that $\Phi(x) > 0$ a.e. we argue by contradiction: suppose that there exists $\Omega \subset D$, with $|\Omega| > 0$, where $\Phi(x) \equiv 0$. We can assume that $\Omega \subset \mathcal{Q}_d$. Since $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz basis in $L^2(\mathcal{Q}_d)$, we can write $\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_d - \Omega}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} b_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}$, with $\vec{b} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Thus, $\int_{\mathcal{Q}_d} |\Phi(x)|^2 \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} b_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot x} \right|^2 dx = 0$ which, together with (3.7), contradicts (3.1). \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 9. The right inequality in (3.5) is [18, Theorem 13.8] so we only need to prove the left inequality. Let $\alpha = \sup_{\|\mathbf{a}\|_2=1} |\langle T_D(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{a} \rangle|$ and $U = \alpha I - T_D$, where I is the identity operator in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. It is easy to verify that U is positive and that

$$(3.8) \quad T_D U T_D + U T_D U = \alpha^2 T_D - \alpha T_D^2.$$

The operators $T_D U T_D$ and $U T_D U$ are positive too; indeed, for every $\mathbf{a} \in \ell^2$, we have that $\langle T_D U T_D \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 = \langle U(T_D \mathbf{a}), T_D \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 \geq 0$ and $\langle U T_D U \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 = \langle T_D(U \mathbf{a}), U \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 \geq 0$ because T_D and U are both positive. By (3.8), also the operator $\alpha T_D - T_D^2$ is positive. For every $\mathbf{a} \in \ell^2$ with $\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = 1$, we have that

$$\langle (\alpha T_D - T_D^2) \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 = \alpha \langle T_D \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 - \langle T_D^2 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 = \alpha \langle T_D \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} \rangle_2 - \|T_D \mathbf{a}\|_2^2 \geq 0$$

and the left inequality in (3.5) is proved. \square

Remark. From the identity (3.7) it follows that the constants A and B in (3.1) are the minimum and maximum of $\Phi(x)$ on the unit square \mathcal{Q}_d . Thus, A and B are integers.

When $|D| = 1$, Theorem 3 shows that $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence if and only the integer translates of D tile \mathbb{R}^d , and so $A = B = 1$. In general, if $k \leq |D| < k+1$ for some positive integer k , we can easily verify that the integer translates of D cover \mathbb{R}^d k times but not $k+1$ times. Thus, $A \leq |D|$ and $B \geq |D|$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be measurable, with $|D| \leq 1$. By Lemma 5, the theorem is trivial when $D \subset \mathcal{Q}_d$, so we assume that $D - \mathcal{Q}_d$ has positive measure. Let D_1, \dots, D_N, \dots be a (possibly infinite) family of disjoint sets of positive measure such that $D - \mathcal{Q}_d = \cup_j D_j$. We can choose the D_j in such way that, for certain vectors $v_1, \dots, v_N, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have that $D_j + v_j \subset \mathcal{Q}_d$. Let $D_0 = D \cap \mathcal{Q}_d$ and $v_0 = 0$. We prove the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{LEMMA 10. } &E(\mathbb{Z}^d) \text{ is frame for } L^2(D) \text{ if and only, for every } v \in \mathbb{Z}^d \text{ and every } k \neq j, \\
 (4.1) \quad &|(v + D_j) \cap D_k| = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that (4.1) is equivalent to (1.2), and so Theorem 2 is equivalent to Lemma 10.




FIGURE 1

PROOF. Assume that $|(D_1 + v) \cap D_0| > 0$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ (the proof is similar in the other cases). We can assume without loss of generality that $D_1 + v \subset Q_d$ (see Figure 1); otherwise we let $D_1 = D'_1 \cup D''_1$, with $D'_1 + v \subset Q_d$ and we replace D_1 with D'_1 . We show that $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is not a frame on $L^2(D)$.

Every $f \in L^2(D)$ can be written as $f = f_0 + f_1$ where $f_0 = f\chi_{D-D_1}$ and $f_1 = f\chi_{D_1}$. Recall that $\tau_w g(x) = g(x + w)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f \rangle_{L^2(D)}|^2 &= |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f_0 \rangle_{L^2(D-D_1)} + \langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f_1 \rangle_{L^2(D_1)}|^2 \\
 &= |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f_0 \rangle_{L^2(D-D_1)} + \langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot (x-v)}, \tau_{-v} f_1 \rangle_{L^2(D_1+v)}|^2 \\
 &= |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f_0 \rangle_{L^2(Q_d)} + \langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, \tau_{-v} f_1 \rangle_{L^2(Q_d)}|^2 \\
 &= |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f_0 \rangle_{L^2(Q_d)}|^2 + |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, \tau_{-v} f_1 \rangle_{L^2(Q_d)}|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f_0 \rangle_{L^2(Q_d)} \overline{\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, \tau_{-v} f_1 \rangle_{L^2(Q_d)}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have used the change of variables $x \rightarrow x - v$ in the second inner product in (4.2) and the fact that $e^{2\pi i n \cdot v} = 1$. Since $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is an orthonormal basis in Q_d , the identities (2.3) in Section 2 and the calculation above yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f \rangle_{L^2(D)}|^2 &= \|f_0\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 + \|\tau_{-v} f_1\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle f_0, \tau_{-v} f_1 \rangle_{L^2(Q_d)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we let $B = (D - D_1) \cap (D_1 + v)$ we can choose $f = f_1 + f_0$, with $f_1(x) = \chi_B(x + v)$ and $f_0(x) = -\chi_B(x)$; from (4.3) it readily follows that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f \rangle_{L^2(D)}|^2 = 0$, which contradicts (2.1).

We now assume that $|(w + D_j) \cap D_k| = 0$ for every $k \neq j$ and every $w \in \mathbb{Z}^d$; we prove that $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a tight frame in $L^2(D)$. We assume for simplicity that $D_1 + v \subset Q_d$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $f = f_0 + f_1$ be as in the first part of the proof. By assumption, $|(D_1 + v) \cap (D - D_1)| = 0$, and so (4.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle e^{2\pi i n \cdot x}, f \rangle_{L^2(D)}|^2 &= \|f_0\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 + \|\tau_{-v} f_1\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 \\
 &= \|f\chi_{D-D_1}\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 + \|f\chi_{D_1+v}\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(D)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a tight frame in $L^2(D)$ as required. \square

The proof of Theorem 2 shows that if (4.1) is not satisfied, we can produce a function $f \in L^2(D)$ for which $\langle f, e^{2\pi i x \cdot n} \rangle_{L^2(D)} = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and so $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is not complete. This observation proves the following:

COROLLARY 11. $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is complete in $L^2(D)$ if and only if the integer translates of D intersect on sets of measure 0.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. We have proved that a) \iff b); we show that b) \iff c). By Corollary 11, $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is complete in $L^2(D)$ if and only if the integer translates of D overlap only on sets of measure zero. Thus, c) \Rightarrow b). Let us prove that b) \Rightarrow c); let $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_N, \dots$ and $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_N, \dots$ be as in the proof of Lemma 10. Since $|(D_j + v_j) \cap (D_k + v_k)| = 0$ when $k \neq j$, and

$$(4.4) \quad 1 = |\mathcal{Q}_d| = |D| = |D_0| + \sum_j |D_j + v_j|$$

necessarily $\cup_j (D_j + v_j) = \mathcal{Q}_d$ and the integer translates of D tiles \mathbb{R}^d .

By Fuglede's theorem, c) \iff d). Clearly d) \Rightarrow e); to finish the proof of the theorem we show that e) \Rightarrow c). By Theorem 1, the integer translates of D cover \mathbb{R}^d ; thus, $\cup_j (D_j + v_j) = \mathcal{Q}_d$ and from (4.4) follows that the $D_j + v_j$'s can only intersect on set of measure zero. Thus, the integer translated of D can only intersect on sets of measure zero and c) is proved. \square

5. The broken interval

In this section we solve the first problem stated in the introduction. We let $J = [0, \alpha) \cup [\alpha + r, L + r) \subset \mathbb{R}$, with $0 < \alpha < L$ and $r > 0$.

By Lemma 10 and Theorem 1, $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is a frame on $L^2(J)$ if and only if the integer translates of J do not overlap in $[0, 1]$ and it is a Riesz sequence if and only if the integer translates of J cover \mathbb{R} .

Let $[r]$ be the integer part of r , i.e., the largest integer $n \leq r$; let $\{r\} = r - [r]$ be the fractional part of r . We prove the following

THEOREM 12. a) $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is a frame on J if and only if $L + \{r\} \leq 1$.
b) $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Riesz sequence on J if and only if one of the following is true:

- i) $\alpha \geq 1$ or $L - \alpha \geq 1$
- ii) $\{r\} = 0$ and $L \geq 1$
- iii) $1 \leq L < 2$ and $L + \{r\} \geq 2$;

To prove b) we will need the following

LEMMA 13. The integer translates of J cover \mathbb{R} if and only if the integer translates of $J' = [0, \alpha) \cup [\alpha + \{r\}, L + \{r\})$ cover \mathbb{R} .

PROOF. If the integer translates of J cover \mathbb{R} then for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there is an integer m such that either $x \in (m, \alpha + m)$ or $x \in (\alpha + r + m, L + r + m)$. If $x \in (m, \alpha + m)$ then clearly $x \in J' + m$ as well. If $x \in (\alpha + r + m, L + r + m)$, then $x \in (\alpha + \{r\} + [r] + m, L + \{r\} + [r] + m)$, i.e. x is in the translation of J' by $[r] + m$. The converse is similar. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 12. By Theorem 2 and Lemma 13, $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is a frame on J if and only if the integer translates of $[0, \alpha) \cup [\alpha + \{r\}, L + \{r\})$ do not intersect in $[0, 1]$, or if and only if $(0, \alpha) \cap (\alpha + \{r\} - 1, L + \{r\} - 1) = \emptyset$. This is equivalent to having either $\alpha \leq \alpha + \{r\} - 1$, which is impossible, or $L + \{r\} \leq 1$. That proves part a).

Let us prove part b). By Lemma 13 we can assume that $r = \{r\}$, i.e. that $0 \leq r < 1$.

By Theorem 1, $E(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Riesz sequence on J if and only if the integer translates of J cover \mathbb{R} . If one of the connected components $[0, \alpha)$ or $[\alpha + r, L + r)$ covers \mathbb{R} by integer translations, we have that either $\alpha \geq 1$ or $L - \alpha \geq 1$, and i) is proved.

If neither component covers \mathbb{R} by integer translations, i.e. if both $\alpha < 1$ and $L - \alpha < 1$, we can consider 2 sub-cases:

- If $r = 0$, we have that $J = [0, L)$, and the integer translates of J cover \mathbb{R} if and only if $L \geq 1$; that proves ii).
- Suppose next that $r > 0$. The integer translates of J cover \mathbb{R} if and only if the "gap" $(\alpha, \alpha + r)$ is covered by integer translates of J . This is possible if and only if $(1, \alpha + 1) \cup (\alpha + r - 1, L + r - 1) \supset (\alpha, \alpha + r)$ (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 2

We have $(1, \alpha + 1) \cap (\alpha, \alpha + r) = (1, \alpha + r)$, because $\alpha, r < 1$. Thus, J covers \mathbb{R} if and only if $(\alpha + r - 1, L + r - 1) \cap (\alpha, \alpha + r) \supset (\alpha, 1)$. This is equivalent to the conditions

$$\begin{cases} r - 1 \leq 0 \\ L + r - 1 \geq 1 \\ \alpha + r \geq 1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} r \leq 1 \\ L + r \geq 2 \\ \alpha + r \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Since $\alpha < 1$ and $L - \alpha < 1$ by assumption, and recalling that $r < 1$, we can see at once that the condition $L + r \geq 2$ implies $\alpha + r \geq 1$. Indeed, if $\alpha + r < 1$, then

$$L + r = L - \alpha + \alpha + r < L - \alpha + 1 < 2.$$

Thus, the integer translates of J covers \mathbb{R} if and only if $L + r \geq 2$, and we have iii). The theorem is proved. \square

6. The rotated square

Let $Q_h = Q_h(0) = [-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}] \times [-\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{2}]$ be the square in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at the origin with sides of length h . Let $A_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$ be the matrix of a rotation by an angle θ , and let $Q_{h,\theta} = A_\theta Q_h(0)$ be the square obtained from the rotation of $Q_h(0)$. The following theorem offers a complete solution to Problem 2:

THEOREM 14. a) $E(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is a Riesz sequence on $L^2(Q_{h,\theta})$ if and only if $h \geq 1 - \sin(2\theta)$.
b) $E(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is a frame on $L^2(Q_{h,\theta})$ if and only if $h \leq \frac{1}{\sin\theta + \cos\theta}$.

PROOF. We first prove Part a). Let $P_1 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $P_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $P_3 = (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, $P_4 = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ be the vertices of Q_2 . We first find conditions on h and θ for which the points P_1, \dots, P_4 lie on the sides of $Q_{h,\theta}$.

Let $\ell_1 : y - \frac{1}{2} = \tan(\theta)(x - \frac{1}{2})$, $\ell_2 : y - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{\tan(\theta)}(x + \frac{1}{2})$ and $\ell_3 : y + \frac{1}{2} = \tan(\theta)(x + \frac{1}{2})$ be the equations of the sides of $Q_{h,\theta}$ that contain the points P_1, P_2 and P_3 , resp. It is easy to verify that ℓ_2 intersects ℓ_1 and ℓ_3 at the points $Q_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}\cos(2\theta), \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sin(2\theta)))$ and $Q_3 = (-\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sin(2\theta)), -\frac{1}{2}\cos(2\theta))$, and that the length of the segment that join Q_2 and Q_3 equals to $l(\theta) = 1 - \sin(2\theta)$. Thus, when $h \geq l(\theta)$, the set $E(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is a Riesz sequence on $L^2(Q_{h,\theta})$.

We show that when $h < l(\theta)$ the integer translates of $Q_{h,\theta}$ do not cover the plane anymore. Indeed, if $h < l(\theta)$, the four vertices of Q_2 are outside the square $Q_{l(\theta),\theta}$ and have positive distance from the boundary of $Q_{h,\theta}$. We can find a small rectangle R with sides parallel to the sides of Q_2 for which $R + P_j \subset Q_2 - Q_{h,\theta}$ for every j (see Figure 3). The integer translates of $Q_{h,\theta}$ cannot cover the rectangles $R + P_j$ and so the condition of Theorem 1 is not verified.




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4

Let us prove Part b): the vertices Q_1, \dots, Q_4 of $Q_{h,\theta}$ lie on the sides of Q_2 if and only if there exists $0 < t \leq 1$ for which $Q_1 = (t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $Q_2 = (-\frac{1}{2}, t - \frac{1}{2})$, $Q_3 = (\frac{1}{2} - t, -\frac{1}{2})$ and $Q_4 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - t)$. If we let $\tan(\theta)$ be the slope of the line that joins Q_3 and Q_4 , we can see at once that $\tan(\theta) = \frac{1-t}{t}$; thus, $t = \frac{1}{1+\tan(\theta)} = \frac{\cos(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)+\cos(\theta)}$. The length of the segment $[Q_3Q_4]$ is then:

$$s(\theta) = \sqrt{t^2 + (1-t)^2} = \frac{1}{\sin(\theta) + \cos(\theta)}$$

and $E(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is a frame on $Q_{h,\theta}$ whenever $h \leq s(\theta)$.

Let us show that $E(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is not a frame on $Q_{h,\theta}$ whenever $h > s(\theta)$. Indeed, if $h > s(\theta)$, the set $Q_{h,\theta} - Q_2$ has positive measure; we can find a small rectangle R with sides parallel to the sides of Q_2 and vectors $n_1, \dots, n_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $R + n_j \subset Q_{h,\theta} - Q_2$ (see Figure

3). Thus, the integer translates of $Q_{h,\theta}$ overlap on the rectangles $R + n_j$ and by Theorem 2, $E(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is not a frame on $L^2(Q_{h,\theta})$. \square

7. The translated parallelepiped

In this section we solve Problem 3.

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a parallelepiped with sides parallel to vectors v_1, \dots, v_d . We let $A = \{a_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$ be the matrix whose columns are v_1, \dots, v_d ; we let $A^{-1} = \{b_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$. We prove the following

THEOREM 15. *a) The set $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame on $L^2(P)$ if and only if $\det(A) \leq 1$ and $\max_{\substack{1 \leq i,k,j \leq d \\ j \neq k}} |a_{i,j}| + |a_{i,k}| \leq 1$*

b) The set $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence on $L^2(P)$ if and only if $\det(A) \geq 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} |b_{i,j}| \leq 1$.




FIGURE 5

PROOF. Observe that if $|P| = \det(A) > 1$, the set $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ cannot be a frame on $L^2(P)$ so in part a) we assume $\det(A) \leq 1$. Similarly, for part b) we assume that $\det(A) \geq 1$.

We prove part a) by induction on the dimension d .

By Theorem 2, the set $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a frame on $L^2(P)$ if and only if the integer translates of P overlap only on sets of zero measure. In dimension $d = 2$, we let $v_1 = (a_{1,1}, a_{2,1})$ and $v_2 = (a_{1,2}, a_{2,2})$ be the vectors that are parallel to the sides of P . When the components of v_1 and v_2 are non-negative, we can easily verify that P overlaps with $P + (1, 1)$ if and only if the sum of the projections of v_1 and v_2 on the x_1 and x_2 axes has measure ≥ 1 (see Figure 4). Thus, P overlaps with $P + (1, 1)$ if and only if $a_{1,1} + a_{1,2} \geq 1$ and $a_{2,1} + a_{2,2} \geq 1$. These conditions imply that no pair of integer translates of P intersect. For general v_1 and v_2 we can similarly verify that the integer translates of P do not intersect if and only if $|a_{1,1}| + |a_{1,2}| \geq 1$ and $|a_{2,1}| + |a_{2,2}| \geq 1$.

We now assume that part a) of the theorem is valid in dimension $d \geq 2$. We prove that it is valid also in dimension $d + 1$.

Let P be a parallelepiped in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . The integer translates of P overlap on sets of positive measure in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} if and only if the integer translates of the faces of P overlap on sets of positive measure in \mathbb{R}^d . Let P_h be the face of P spanned by the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{h-1}, v_{h+1}, \dots, v_{d+1}$.

Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, ..., $e_{d+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ be the standard orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} and let H_j be the orthogonal complement of e_j . Clearly, the integer translates of P_h overlap if and only if the integer translates of the orthogonal projections of P_h on the H_j 's overlap.

The projection of P_h on H_k is a parallelepiped in \mathbb{R}^d spanned by the vectors $w_1, \dots, w_{h-1}, w_{h+1}, \dots, w_{d+1}$ where w_j is the projection of v_j on H_k , i.e., it is the vector v_j with the k -th component removed. By assumptions, $\max_{\substack{1 \leq i, k, j \leq d+1 \\ i \neq k \\ k \neq j \neq h}} \{|a_{i,j}| + |a_{i,k}|\} \leq 1$.

This inequality is valid for every face of P and for every projection, and so we have that $\max_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq d+1 \\ k \neq j}} \{|a_{i,k}| + |a_{i,j}|\} \leq 1$ as required.

We now prove part b). By Theorem 1, the integer translates of P must cover \mathbb{R}^d . Since P is the image of the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$ via the linear transformation $A(x) = Ax$, we can write $P = A([0, 1]^d)$. Thus, $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^2(P)$ if and only if $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (A([0, 1]^d) + n) = \mathbb{R}^d$, or:

$$A^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (A([0, 1]^d) + n) \right) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} ([0, 1]^d + A^{-1}n) = \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The translates of the unit cube $[0, 1]^d$ cover \mathbb{R}^d if and only if the components of the vectors $A^{-1}e_k$ are all ≤ 1 , i.e., if and only if $\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} |b_{i,j}| \leq 1$. □

7.1. The shortest vector problem. Let $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be linear and invertible; consider the parallelepiped $P = A(Q)$, where $Q = [0, 1]^d$. The sides of P are parallel to the columns of the matrix that represents A .

By Corollary 11, the set $E(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is complete in $L^2(A(Q))$ if and only if the integer translates of $A(Q)$ do not intersect. The integer translates of $A(Q)$ intersect if and only if there are $x, y \in Q$ such that $Ax = Ay + n$, for some nonzero $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We can also say that the translates of $A(Q_d)$ intersect if and only if there exist $x, y \in Q$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $A^{-1}n = x - y$, i.e., if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $A^{-1}n \in D = \{w \mid \|w\|_\infty < 1\}$.

These considerations show that Problem 3 is related to the so-called *shortest vector problems* (SVP): Given a lattice \mathcal{L} and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^d , find the minimum length $\lambda = \min_{0 \neq v \in \mathcal{L}} \|v\|$ of a nonzero lattice point. The SVP is known to be NP-hard (see [1]).

The conjectured intractability of the SVP and of other optimization problems on lattices is central in the construction of secure lattice-based cryptosystems. For more information on this problem see e.g. [2], [20] and the references cited in these papers.

References

- [1] Ajtai, M., *Generating hard instances of lattice problems*. Proc. of the 28th annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (1996) 99-108.
- [2] Aggarwal, D.; Dubey, C., *Improved hardness results for unique shortest vector problem*. Inform. Process. Lett. 116 (2016), no. 10, 631-637.
- [3] Aldroubi, A.; Sun, Q.; Tang, W., *Connection between p -frames and p -Riesz bases in locally finite SIS of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$* . Proceedings of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering, February 1970
- [4] Aldroubi, A.; Sun, Q.; Tang, W., *p -Frames and shift invariant subspaces of L^p* , J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 7 (2001) 1-21.
- [5] Agora, E.; Antezana, J.; Cabrelli, C. *Multi-tiling sets, Riesz bases, and sampling near the critical density in LCA groups*. Adv. Math. 285 (2015), 454-477.
- [6] Antony Selvan, A.; Radha, R., *Sampling and reconstruction in shift invariant spaces on \mathbb{R}^d* . Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 194 (2015) no. 6, 1683-1706.
- [7] Barbieri, D.; Hernández, E.; Mayeli, A., *Lattice sub-tilings and frames in LCA groups*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 355 (2017), no. 2, 193-199.

- [8] Beurling, A., *The Collected Works of Arne Beurling*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 2, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1989
- [9] Beurling, A., *Local harmonic analysis with some applications to differential operator*. In: Some Recent Advances in the Basic Sciences, Vol. 1 (Proc. Annual Sci. Conf., Belfer Grad. School Sci., Yeshiva Univ., New York, 1962/1964), 109-125.
- [10] Casazza, P.; Christensen, O.; Stoeva, D.T., *Frame expansions in separable Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 307 (2005) 710–723.
- [11] Christiansen, O., *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [12] Christiansen, O; Deng, B.; Heil, C., *Density of Gabor Frames*, Appl. Comput. Harm. An. 7 (1999) 292-304.
- [13] Christensen, O; Stoeva, D. T., *p-Frames in separable Banach spaces*, Adv. Comput. Math. 18 (2003) 117-126.
- [14] L. De Carli and A. Kumar, *Exponential bases on two dimensional trapezoids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), no. 7, 2893–2903.
- [15] Fuglede, B., *Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem*, J. Funct. Anal. 16 (1974), 101–121.
- [16] Gabardo, J.P; Li, Y.Z., *Density results for Gabor systems associated with periodic subsets of the real line*, J. of Approx. Theory 157 (2009) 172-192.
- [17] Grepstad, S.; Lev, N., *Multi-tiling and Riesz bases*. Adv. Math. 252 (2014), 1–6.
- [18] Haase, M., *Functional Analysis: An Elementary Introduction*, AMS Graduate studies in Mathematics, no. 156, 2014
- [19] Heil, C., *A basis theory primer*, Appl. Num. Harm. Analysis, Birkhäuser (2011).
- [20] Khot, S., *Hardness of approximating the shortest vector problem in lattices*. J. ACM. 52 (2005) no.(5), 789-808.
- [21] Kolountzakis, M., *Multiple lattice tiles and Riesz bases of exponentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), 741–747.
- [22] Kolountzakis, M., *The study of translational tiling with Fourier analysis*. Fourier analysis and convexity, 131-187, in: Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [23] Kulkarni, S.H.; Radha, R.; Sivananthan, S., *Non-uniform sampling problem*. J. Appl. Funct. Anal. 4 (2009), no. 1, 58-74.
- [24] Jia, R.Q.; Micchelli, C. A., *Using the refinement equation for the construction of pre-wavelets II: power of two*, In "Curves and Surfaces" (P. J. Laurent, A. Le Mehaute and L. L. Schumaker eds.), Academic Press, New York 1991, 209–246.
- [25] Laba, I. *Fuglede's conjecture for a union of two intervals*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), no. 10, 2965-2972.
- [26] Landau, H. J., *Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions*. Acta Math. 117 (1967) 37-52.
- [27] Nitzan, S.; Olevskii, A., *Revisiting Landaus density theorems for Paley- Wiener spaces*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 350 (2012) no. 910, 509-512.
- [28] Seip, K., *On the Connection between exponential bases and certain related sequences in $L^2(\pi, \pi)$* , J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), no. 1, 131–160.
- [29] Paley, R.; Wiener, N., *Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 19, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1934 .
- [30] Young, R. M. *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

LAURA DE CARLI, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI, FL 33199, USA

E-mail address: `decarlil@fiu.edu`

ALBERTO MIZRAHI, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI, FL 33199, USA

E-mail address: `amizr007@fiu.edu`

ALEXANDER TEPPER, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI, FL 33199, USA

E-mail address: `atepp001@fiu.edu`