

# Nivat's conjecture holds for sums of two periodic configurations

Michal Szabados\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,  
University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland

**Abstract.** Nivat's conjecture is a long-standing open combinatorial problem. It concerns two-dimensional configurations, that is, maps  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite set of symbols. Such configurations are often understood as colorings of a two-dimensional square grid. Let  $P_c(m, n)$  denote the number of distinct  $m \times n$  block patterns occurring in a configuration  $c$ . Configurations satisfying  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  are said to have low rectangular complexity. Nivat conjectured that such configurations are necessarily periodic.

Recently, Kari and the author showed that low complexity configurations can be decomposed into a sum of periodic configurations. In this paper we show that if there are at most two components, Nivat's conjecture holds. As a corollary we obtain an alternative proof of a result of Cyr and Kra: If there exist  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn/2$ , then  $c$  is periodic. The technique used in this paper combines the algebraic approach of Kari and the author with balanced sets of Cyr and Kra.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite set of symbols and  $d$  a positive integer, the dimension. A  $d$ -dimensional symbolic configuration  $c$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ , that is, a map assigning a symbol to every vertex of the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . The symbol at position  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is denoted  $c_{\mathbf{v}}$ .

For a non-empty finite domain  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the elements of  $\mathcal{A}^D$  are  $D$ -patterns. We can observe patterns in a given configuration, the  $D$ -pattern occurring in  $c$  at position  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is the map

$$\begin{aligned} p: D &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ \mathbf{u} &\mapsto c_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

The number of distinct  $D$ -patterns occurring in  $c$ , denoted  $P_c(D)$ , is the  $D$ -pattern complexity of  $c$ . We say that  $c$  has low complexity if  $P_c(D) \leq |D|$  holds for some  $D$ .

We study what conditions on complexity imply that a configuration is periodic, that is, when there exists a non-zero vector  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $c_{\mathbf{v}} = c_{\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{u}}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . The situation in one dimension was described by Morse and Hedlund [MH38], let us denote  $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ :

---

\* Research supported by the Academy of Finland Grant 296018.

**Theorem (Morse–Hedlund).** *Let  $c$  be a one-dimensional symbolic configuration. Then  $c$  is periodic if and only if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_c(\llbracket n \rrbracket) \leq n$ .*

As a corollary, non-periodic one-dimensional configurations satisfy  $P_c(\llbracket n \rrbracket) \geq n + 1$ . Those for which equality holds for every  $n$  are *Sturmian words*, they are a central topic of combinatorics on words and have connections to discrete geometry, finite automata and mathematical physics [Lot02, AS03, DL99]. Note that Sander and Tijdeman [ST00] extended the Morse–Hedlund theorem for patterns of other shapes than  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$ , they showed that in fact any low complexity one-dimensional symbolic configuration is periodic.

Nivat’s conjecture [Niv97] is a natural extension of the theorem to two-dimensions. To simplify notation we write  $P_c(m, n) = P_c(\llbracket m \rrbracket \times \llbracket n \rrbracket)$ .

*Conjecture (Nivat).* If a two-dimensional symbolic configuration  $c$  satisfies  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then it is periodic.

Nivat’s conjecture is tight in the sense that there exist non-periodic configurations satisfying  $P_c(m, n) = mn + 1$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , all such configurations were classified by Cassaigne [Cas99]. Note that the conjecture is not an equivalence, the opposite implication is easily seen to be false.

There have been a number of partial results towards the conjecture. Cyr and Kra [CK16] proved that having  $P_c(3, n) \leq 3n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies periodicity, which was an improvement on a previous result with constant 2 [ST02]. In another direction, there are results showing that having  $P_c(m, n) \leq \alpha mn$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies periodicity for a suitable real  $\alpha$ . The best result to date is also by Cyr and Kra [CK15] with  $\alpha = 1/2$ , which improved on previous constants  $\alpha = 1/16$  [QZ04] and  $\alpha = 1/144$  [EKM03]. Recently, Kari and the author [KS15] proved an asymptotic version of the conjecture: If  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn$  for infinitely many pairs  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , the configuration is periodic.

The Morse–Hedlund theorem does not analogously generalize to higher dimensions. There exists a three-dimensional configuration with low block complexity which is not periodic [ST00].

## Our contributions

In [KS15], Kari and the author introduced an algebraic view on symbolic configurations. Following their definition, let a *configuration* be any formal power series in  $d$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  with complex coefficients, that is, an element of

$$\mathbb{C}[[X^{\pm 1}]] = \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{\mathbf{v}} X^{\mathbf{v}} \mid c_{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

where  $X^{\mathbf{v}}$  is a shorthand for  $x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_d^{v_d}$ .<sup>1</sup> If the configuration has only integer coefficients it is called *integral*, if they come from a finite set the configuration

<sup>1</sup> For the most of this paper, however, it is enough to consider configurations to be elements of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ .

is *finitary*. A symbolic configuration can be identified with a finitary integral configuration if the symbols from  $\mathcal{A}$  are chosen to be integers. Kari and the author in [KS15] proved:

**Theorem (Decomposition theorem).** *Let  $c$  be a low complexity  $d$ -dimensional finitary integral configuration. Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and periodic  $d$ -dimensional configurations  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  such that  $c = c_1 + \dots + c_k$ .*

Note that the summands do not have to be finitary configurations. The minimal possible number of components  $k$  in the decomposition plays an important role. In this paper we prove:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $c$  be a two-dimensional configuration satisfying  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $c$  is a sum of two periodic configurations then it is periodic.*

In the proof of the asymptotic version of Nivat's conjecture given in [KS16], configurations which are a sum of horizontally and vertically periodic configuration had to be handled separately using a rather technical combinatorial approach. Theorem 1 is of particular interest since it covers this case.

In this paper we revisit the method of Van Cyr and Bryna Kra [CK15, CK16]. They approach Nivat's conjecture from the point of view of symbolic dynamics. They use a refined version of the classical notion of expansiveness of a subshift, a so called *one-sided non-expansiveness*. A key definition of theirs is that of a *balanced set* – it is a shape  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  which satisfies a particular condition on the complexity  $P_c(D)$ . (Note that this notion is different from balancedness usual in combinatorics on words.) The crucial tool they developed is a combinatorial lemma which links one-sided non-expansiveness and balanced sets to periodicity of a configuration. However, in order to obtain the main result of the paper from the lemma it still takes a rather lengthy technical analysis.

We combine the algebraic method with ideas of Cyr and Kra. We start the exposition with a very basic introduction to the topic of symbolic dynamics. In section 2 we define a subshift, in section 3 we fix some geometric terminology, and in section 4 we give definitions of non-expansiveness and one-sided non-expansiveness of a subshift.

In section 5 we introduce a simplified version of a balanced set and prove Lemma 4 which connects balanced sets with periodicity using the ideas of Cyr and Kra. We use the lemma together with decomposition theorem to prove Theorem 1 in section 6. As a corollary, we obtain an alternative proof of Theorem 1.2 of [CK15], the main result of their paper:

**Theorem (Cyr, Kra).** *Let  $c$  be a configuration satisfying  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn/2$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $c$  is periodic.*

## 2 Symbolic dynamics and subshifts

Let us recall basic facts from symbolic dynamics, for a comprehensive reference and proofs see [K r03].

Symbolic dynamics studies  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  as a topological space. Let us first make  $\mathcal{A}$  a topological space by endowing it with the discrete topology. Then  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  is considered to be a topological space with the product topology.

Open sets in this topology are for example sets of the following form. Let  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  be finite and  $p: D \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  arbitrary. Then

$$Cyl(p) := \{ c \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \mid \forall \mathbf{v} \in D: c_{\mathbf{v}} = p_{\mathbf{v}} \}$$

is an open set, also called a *cylinder*. In fact, the collection of cylinders  $Cyl(p)$  for all possible  $p$  forms a subbase of the topology on  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ .

For a vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the *shift* operator  $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}: \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  is defined by  $(\tau_{\mathbf{u}}(c))_{\mathbf{v}} = c_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}}$ . Informally,  $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$  shifts a configuration in the direction of vector  $\mathbf{u}$ .

The set  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  is called the *full shift*. A subset  $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  is called a *subshift* if it is a topologically closed set which is invariant under all shifts  $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$ :

$$\forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^d: c \in X \Rightarrow \tau_{\mathbf{u}}(c) \in X.$$

Subshifts are the central objects of study in symbolic dynamics.

Let  $c$  be a symbolic configuration. We denote by  $X_c$  the *orbit closure* of  $c$ , that is, the smallest subshift which contains  $c$ . It can be shown that  $c$  contains exactly those configurations  $c'$  whose finite patterns are among the finite patterns of  $c$ . In particular, for any  $c' \in X_c$  and a finite domain  $D$  we have  $P_{c'}(D) \leq P_c(D)$ .

*Example 1.* Let us give an example of taking orbit closure. Let  $c \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  be such that  $c_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = 0$  or  $j = 0$ , and  $c_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. When pictured, the configuration  $c$  consists of a large cross with its center at  $(0,0)$ . The orbit closure  $X_c$  then consist of four types of configurations: a cross, a horizontal line, a vertical line and all zero configurations, with all possible translations, see Figure 1. It is easy to see that any pattern which occurs in them also occurs in  $c$ , and not difficult to prove that those are all such configurations.  $\square$



Fig. 1: Four types of configurations in the orbit closure  $X_c$  from Example 1. The gray color corresponds to value 1, white is 0.

### 3 Geometric notation and terminology

In the sequel we will be concerned with the geometry of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Let us establish some notation and terminology.

We view  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  as a subset of the vector space  $\mathbb{Q}^2$ . A *direction* is an equivalence class of  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  modulo the equivalence relation  $u \sim v$  iff  $u = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . By a slight abuse of notation, we identify a non-zero vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with the direction  $\mathbf{u}\mathbb{Q}^+$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  be non-zero. An (undirected) *line* in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is a set of the form

$$\{\mathbf{v} + q\mathbf{u} \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$$

for some  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . We call both  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $-\mathbf{u}$  a *direction* of the line. We define a *directed line* to be a line augmented with one of the two possible directions.

Let  $\ell$  be a directed line in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  going through  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . The *half-plane* determined by  $\ell$  is defined by

$$H_\ell = \{\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^2, w_1 u_2 - u_1 w_2 \geq 0\}.$$

With the usual choice of coordinates it is the half-plane “on the right” from the line. Let  $H_{\mathbf{u}}$  denote the half-plane determined by the directed line in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  going through the origin.

We say that a non-empty  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  is *convex* if  $D$  can be written as an intersection of half-planes. *Convex hull* of  $D$ , denoted  $\text{Conv}(D)$ , is the smallest convex set containing  $D$ . Assume  $\ell$  is a directed line in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $D \subset H_\ell$  and  $\ell \cap D$  is non-empty. If  $|\ell \cap D| > 1$  we call it the *edge* of  $D$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ , otherwise we call it the *vertex* of  $D$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . Note that a vertex is a vertex for many directions, but an edge has a unique direction (as long as  $D$  is not contained in a line). See Figure 2 for an example.




Fig. 2: A convex set. The point  $\mathbf{v}$  is a vertex of the set for both directions  $\mathbf{u}_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2$ . The set of three marked points  $e$  is the edge in direction  $\mathbf{u}_3$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a direction and  $\ell, \ell'$  two directed lines in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . If

$$S = H_\ell \setminus H_{\ell'}$$

is non-empty, then  $S$  is called a *stripe* in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . We call  $\ell, \ell'$  the *inner* and *outer* boundary of  $S$  respectively. Let  $S^\circ = S \setminus \ell$  be the *interior* of  $S$ .

For  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we say that  $A$  *fits in*  $B$  if there exists a translation of  $A$  which is a subset of  $B$ .

## 4 Non-expansiveness and one-sided non-expansiveness

It can be verified that the topology on  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  is compact and also metrizable. Note that shift operators  $\tau_{\mathbf{u}}$  are continuous maps on  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ . Expansiveness can be defined in general for a continuous action on a compact metric space, the definition is however too general for our purposes. We give a definition specific to the case of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ .

Let  $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  be a subshift and  $\mathbf{u}$  a direction. Then  $\mathbf{u}$  is an *expansive direction* for  $X$  if there exists a stripe  $S$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  such that

$$\forall c, e \in X: c|_S = e|_S \Rightarrow c = e.$$

Informally speaking,  $\mathbf{u}$  is an expansive direction for  $X$  if a configuration in  $X$  is uniquely determined by its coefficients in a wide enough stripe in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ .

A two-dimensional configuration is *doubly periodic* if it has two linearly independent period vectors. The following classical theorem links double periodicity of a configuration with expansiveness. It is a corollary of a theorem by Boyle and Lind [BL97].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $c$  be a symbolic configuration. Then  $c$  is doubly periodic iff all directions are expansive for  $X_c$ .*  $\square$

Let  $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  be a subshift and  $\mathbf{u}$  a direction. Then  $\mathbf{u}$  is a *one-sided expansive direction* for  $X$  if

$$\forall c, e \in X: c|_{H_{\mathbf{u}}} = e|_{H_{\mathbf{u}}} \Rightarrow c = e.$$

Equivalently,  $\mathbf{u}$  is a one-sided expansive direction for  $X$  if there exists a wide enough stripe  $S$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $\forall c, e \in X: c|_S = e|_S \Rightarrow c|_{H_{-\mathbf{u}}} = e|_{H_{-\mathbf{u}}}$ . See Figure 3 for a comparison of the notion of expansiveness and one-sided expansiveness.




Fig. 3: The figure on the left illustrates expansiveness – values of the configuration inside the stripe determine the whole configuration. On the right we see one-sided expansiveness in direction  $(1, 2)$  – values in the half-plane  $H_\ell$ , or equivalently in a wide enough stripe, determine the values in the half-plane  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus H_\ell$ .

*Example 2 (Ledrappier's subshift).* It is possible for a subshift to be one-sided expansive but non-expansive in the same direction. Consider a subshift  $X \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  consisting of configurations  $c$  which satisfy  $c_{ij} \equiv c_{i,j+1} + c_{i+1,j+1} \pmod{2}$ . Upper half-plane of a configuration determines the whole, since any single row determines the one below it. Therefore  $(-1, 0)$  is a one-sided expansive direction for  $X$ . However, no stripe in direction  $(-1, 0)$  determines a configuration from the subshift; for any row, there are always two possibilities for the row above it (they are complements of each other). Any horizontal stripe can be extended to the upper half-plane in infinitely many ways.  $\square$

We are primarily interested in non-expansive directions. In our setup, it is known that there are only finitely many of them, we omit the proof for space reasons. (See Appendix.)

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $c$  be a low complexity two-dimensional configuration. Then there are at most finitely many one-sided non-expansive directions for  $X_c$ .*  $\square$

For later use it will be practical to define non-expansiveness explicitly. Let  $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  be a subshift and  $S$  a stripe in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . We say that  $S$  is an *ambiguous stripe in direction  $\mathbf{u}$*  if there exist  $c, e \in X$  such that

$$c|_{S^\circ} = e|_{S^\circ}, \text{ but } c|_S \neq e|_S. \quad (1)$$

We say that  $c \in X$  *contains* an ambiguous stripe  $S$  if there exists  $e \in X$  satisfying (1). Informally, a stripe is ambiguous if its interior does not determine the inner boundary.

**Definition.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a direction and  $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  a subshift. Then  $\mathbf{u}$  is one-sided non-expansive direction if there exists an ambiguous stripe in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  of arbitrary width.*

We leave the proof that this is the converse of the earlier definition of one-sided expansiveness to the reader.

## 5 Balanced sets

Let  $c$  be a fixed symbolic configuration.

**Definition 1.** *Let  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  be a finite and convex set,  $\mathbf{u}$  a direction and  $E$  an edge or a vertex of  $B$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then  $B$  is  $\mathbf{u}$ -balanced if:*

- (i)  $P_c(B) \leq |B|$
- (ii)  $P_c(B) < P_c(B \setminus E) + |E|$
- (iii) *Intersection of  $B$  with all lines in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  is either empty or of size at least  $|E| - 1$ .*

The three conditions of the definition can be interpreted as follows. The first one simply states that  $B$  is a low complexity shape. The second condition limits the number of  $(B \setminus E)$ -patterns which do not extend uniquely to a  $B$ -pattern, there is strictly less than  $|E|$  of them. The third condition is implied if the length of the edge in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  is smaller or equal to the length of the edge in the opposite direction, as can be seen in the next proof.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $c$  be such that  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn$  holds for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  be a direction. Then there exists a  $\mathbf{u}$ -balanced or  $(-\mathbf{u})$ -balanced set. Moreover, if  $\mathbf{u}$  is horizontal or vertical, then there exists a  $\mathbf{u}$ -balanced set.*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be an  $m \times n$  rectangle, we have  $P_c(D) \leq |D|$ . Let us define a sequence of convex shapes  $D = D_0 \supset D_1 \supset \dots \supset D_k = \emptyset$  such that  $D_i \setminus D_{i+1}$  is the edge of  $D_i$  in direction  $(-1)^i \mathbf{u}$ . Informally, the sequence represents shaving off an edge (or a vertex) of the shape alternately in directions  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $-\mathbf{u}$ . See Figure 4 for an illustration.

Consider the expression  $P_c(D_i) - |D_i|$  as a function of  $i$ . For  $i = 0$  its value is non-positive and for  $i = k$  its value is 1. Let  $i \in [0, k-1]$  be smallest such that  $0 < P_c(D_{i+1}) - |D_{i+1}|$ , then we have

$$P_c(D_i) - |D_i| \leq 0 < P_c(D_{i+1}) - |D_{i+1}|.$$

Denote  $E = D_i \setminus D_{i+1}$ , it is an edge or a vertex of  $D_i$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  or  $-\mathbf{u}$ . Adding  $|D_i|$  to the inequality and rewriting gives  $P(D_i) \leq |D_i| < P(D_i \setminus E) + |E|$ .

We show that  $B = D_i$  is a balanced set by showing that (iii) of Definition 1 holds. Without loss of generality let the direction of  $E$  be  $\mathbf{u}$ . Then, by construction, the length of  $E$  is smaller or equal to the edge in direction  $-\mathbf{u}$ . In fact, if we consider the convex hull of  $B$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^2$ , any line in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  intersects it in a line segment longer or equal to  $d$ , the length of the edge. Any line segment of length at least  $d$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  intersects either none or at least  $|E| - 1$  integer points, and we are done.

If  $\mathbf{u}$  is either horizontal or vertical, instead of alternating the direction of shaved off edges, we can always shave off the edge in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . It will be always the shortest edge in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ , therefore verification of part (iii) goes through.  $\square$

Next we present Lemma 4 which connects non-expansiveness and balanced sets with periodicity, based on the method of Cyr and Kra. Periodicity in the proof first arises in a stripe from the use of Morse–Hedlund theorem. This part of the proof follows Lemma 2.24 from [CK15]. The periodicity is then extended to the whole configuration by the following lemma, which is a corollary of Lemma 39 from [KS16]. We omit the proof for space reasons. (See Appendix.)

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $c$  be a two-dimensional configuration and  $D$  a non-empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $P_c(D) \leq |D|$ . Let  $S$  be a stripe in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $D$  fits in  $S$ . If  $S^\circ$  is periodic with a period in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  then also  $c$  is periodic with a period in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ .*  $\square$






Fig. 4: Shaving off edges or vertices of a  $5 \times 5$  rectangle alternately in directions  $(2, 1)$  and  $(-2, -1)$ . Small numbers indicate the order in which the edges or vertices were removed.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $c$  be a configuration and  $B$  a  $\mathbf{u}$ -balanced set. Assume that  $c$  contains an ambiguous stripe for  $X_c$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $B$  fits in the stripe. Then  $c$  is periodic in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be the edge or vertex of  $B$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ , denote  $S$  the stripe and let  $\ell$  be the inner boundary of  $S$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . Without loss of generality assume  $B \subset S$ ,  $E \subset \ell$ , and that  $\mathbf{u}$  is not an integer multiple of a smaller vector. Let  $e \in X_c$  be such that Equation 1 holds.

Denote points in  $E$  consecutively by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  (see Figure 5). Define a sequence  $B = D_n \supset \dots \supset D_1 \supset D_0 = B \setminus E$  by setting  $D_{i-1} = D_i \setminus \{e_i\}$ . Consider the values  $P(D_i) - |D_i|$ . Since  $B$  is a balanced set, by (ii) we have  $P_c(D_n) - |D_n| < P_c(D_0) - |D_0|$ , let  $k \in [0, n-1]$  be such that

$$P_c(D_{k+1}) - |D_{k+1}| < P_c(D_k) - |D_k|.$$

Adding  $|D_{k+1}|$  to both sides yields  $P_c(D_{k+1}) < P_c(D_k) + 1$ . On the other hand,  $P_c(D_k) \leq P_c(D_{k+1})$  since  $D_k \subset D_{k+1}$ , and therefore we have  $P_c(D_k) = P_c(D_{k+1})$ . In other words, a  $D_k$ -pattern uniquely determines the value at position  $e_{k+1}$ .

We will show that  $\forall i: c|_{D_k+i\mathbf{u}} \neq e|_{D_k+i\mathbf{u}}$ . For the contrary, assume that there is  $j$  such that  $c|_{D_k+j\mathbf{u}} = e|_{D_k+j\mathbf{u}}$ . Using the property of  $D_k$ , we have  $c|_{e_{k+1}+j\mathbf{u}} = e|_{e_{k+1}+j\mathbf{u}}$ . Therefore  $c|_{D_k+(j+1)\mathbf{u}} = e|_{D_k+(j+1)\mathbf{u}}$  and we can proceed by induction to show  $c|_{D_k+j'\mathbf{u}} = e|_{D_k+j'\mathbf{u}}$  for all  $j' > j$ . Analogously, by constructing sets  $D_i$  by removing edge points from the other end, it can be shown that also  $c|_{D_k+j'\mathbf{u}} = e|_{D_k+j'\mathbf{u}}$  for all  $j' < j$ . We proved  $c|_S = e|_S$ , which is a contradiction with ambiguity of  $S$ .

We have that all  $(B \setminus E)$ -patterns  $c|_{(B \setminus E)+i\mathbf{u}}$  have at least two possible extensions into a  $B$ -pattern. Part (ii) of Definition 1 implies that there are at most  $|E| - 1$  such patterns. Let  $T$  be a thinner stripe in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  defined by  $T = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (B \setminus E) + i\mathbf{u}$ . Using part (iii) of Definition 1, values of  $c$  on every line  $\lambda \subset T$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  contain at most  $|E| - 1$  distinct subsegments of length at least  $|E| - 1$ . By Morse–Hedlund theorem, the values on the line repeat periodically. Therefore  $c|_T$  is periodic in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ .

$B$  fits in the stripe  $T \cup \ell$  and its interior  $T$  is periodic in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . By Lemma 3 also  $c$  is periodic in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ .  $\square$




Fig. 5: Illustration of the proof of Lemma 4.

## 6 Main result

**Theorem (Theorem 1).** *Let  $c$  be a two-dimensional configuration satisfying  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $c$  is a sum of two periodic configurations then it is periodic.*

*Proof.* For contradiction assume  $c$  is non-periodic and denote  $c_1, c_2$  periodic configurations such that  $c = c_1 + c_2$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$  be their respective vectors of periodicity. If they are linearly dependent,  $c$  is periodic and we are done. Otherwise, define a parallelogram

$$D = \{ a\mathbf{u}_1 + b\mathbf{u}_2 \mid a, b \in [0, 1) \} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

We can choose  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$  large enough so that an  $m \times n$  rectangle fits in. We can also assume that  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in H_{\mathbf{u}_1}$ . Denote  $D_j = D + j\mathbf{u}_2$  and define a sequence of stripes  $S_j = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} D_j + i\mathbf{u}_1$ . The setup is illustrated in Figure 6.

Assume that there are  $j \neq j'$  such that  $c|_{D_j} = c|_{D_{j'}}$ . We claim that then  $c|_{S_j} = c|_{S_{j'}}$ . Note that since  $c = c_1 + c_2$ , for  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  we have

$$(c_{(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{u}_1)+j\mathbf{u}_2} - c_{(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{u}_1)+j'\mathbf{u}_2}) - (c_{\mathbf{v}+j\mathbf{u}_2} - c_{\mathbf{v}+j'\mathbf{u}_2}) = 0.$$

In particular, if  $c_{\mathbf{v}+j\mathbf{u}_2} = c_{\mathbf{v}+j'\mathbf{u}_2}$ , then also  $c_{(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{u}_1)+j\mathbf{u}_2} = c_{(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{u}_1)+j'\mathbf{u}_2}$ . Since  $c_{\mathbf{v}+j\mathbf{u}_2} = c_{\mathbf{v}+j'\mathbf{u}_2}$  holds for  $\mathbf{v} \in D$ , it also holds for  $\mathbf{v} \in D + \mathbf{u}_1$ , and by induction  $c|_{S_j} = c|_{S_{j'}}$ .

Since  $c$  is finitary there are only finitely many possible  $D$ -patterns, let  $N$  be an upper bound on their number. There are also finitely many stripe patterns  $c|_{S_j}$  since the pattern in  $S_j$  is determined by the pattern in  $D_j$ . Because  $c$  is not periodic, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c|_{S_k} \neq c|_{S_{k-N}}$ .

By Lemma 2, there is either a  $\mathbf{u}_1$ -balanced or  $(-\mathbf{u}_1)$ -balanced set  $B$ , without loss of generality assume the former. Since  $c$  is non-periodic, by Lemma 4 there

is no ambiguous stripe in  $c$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}_1$  in which  $B$  fits.  $B$  fits in any stripe  $S_j$ , therefore values in any stripe  $S_j$  determine the values in the whole half-plane on the side of the inner boundary of  $S_j$ .

By pigeonhole principle, there are  $j < j' \in [0, N]$  such that  $c|_{S_{k+j}} = c|_{S_{k+j'}}$ . The two stripes extend uniquely to the half-planes on the side of their inner boundary. Therefore the half-plane  $H = \bigcup_{i \leq j'} S_i$  has period  $(j' - j)\mathbf{u}_2$ . Since  $j' - j$  divides  $N!$  and  $S_k, S_{k-N!} \subset H$ , we have a contradiction with  $c|_{S_k} \neq c|_{S_{k-N!}}$ .  $\square$




Fig. 6: Proof of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1.** *If a non-periodic configuration  $c$  is a sum of two periodic ones, then  $P_c(m, n) \geq mn + 1$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*  $\square$

We finish the exposition by reproving the result of Cyr and Kra from [CK15]. To do that, we need additional theory from [KS16]. Multiplication of a two-dimensional configuration  $c$  by a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$  is well defined. If  $fc = 0$ , we call  $f$  an *annihilator* of  $c$ . The following two lemmas we state without a proof, they are direct corollaries of Corollary 24 and Lemma 32 of [KS16], respectively.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $c$  be a low complexity two-dimensional integral configuration. Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and polynomials  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$  with the following properties:*

*Every annihilator of  $c$  is divisible by  $\phi_1 \cdots \phi_k$ . Furthermore,  $c$  can be written as a sum of  $k$ , but no fewer periodic configurations. If  $g$  is a product of  $0 \leq \ell < k$  of the polynomials  $\phi_i$ , then  $gc$  can be written as a sum of  $k - \ell$ , but no fewer periodic configurations.*  $\square$

Any polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$  can be written as  $f = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_{\mathbf{v}} X^{\mathbf{v}}$ . The *support* of  $f$ , denoted  $\text{supp}(f)$ , is defined as the finite set of vectors  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $a_{\mathbf{v}} \neq 0$ . We say that  $f$  *fits in* a subset  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  if its support fits in  $D$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $c$  be a finitary configuration. Then the symbols of  $\mathcal{A}$  can be changed to suitable integers such that if  $P_c(D) \leq |D|$  for some  $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then there exists an annihilator  $f$  which fits in  $-D$ .*  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $c$  be a configuration such that  $P_c(m, n) \leq mn/2$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $c$  is periodic.*

*Proof.* Assume that the symbols of  $\mathcal{A}$  have been renamed as in Lemma 6, then there exists  $f$  an annihilator of  $c$  which fits in an  $m \times n$  rectangle. By Lemma 5, we can write  $f = \phi_1 \cdots \phi_k h$ . If  $k \leq 2$  then  $c$  is periodic by Theorem 1. Assume  $k \geq 3$ , we will show that it leads to a contradiction.

Let  $g = \phi_3 \cdots \phi_k$ ,  $c' = gc$  and let  $m_g, n_g \in \mathbb{N}$  be smallest such that  $g$  fits in an  $(m_g + 1) \times (n_g + 1)$  rectangle, see Figure 7. Note that an  $(m - m_g) \times (n - n_g)$  block in  $c'$  is determined by multiplication by  $g$  from an  $m \times n$  block in  $c$ . Therefore  $P_c(m, n) \geq P_{c'}(m - m_g, n - n_g)$ .

By Lemma 5,  $c'$  is a sum of two but no fewer periodic configurations. Thus it is not periodic, and by Theorem 1,

$$P_c(m, n) \geq P_{c'}(m - m_g, n - n_g) > (m - m_g)(n - n_g).$$

Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be an arbitrary vertex of the convex hull of  $-\text{supp}(g)$ . Consider all translations of  $-\text{supp}(g)$  which are a subset of the rectangle  $\llbracket m \rrbracket \times \llbracket n \rrbracket$ , denote  $R$  the locus of  $\mathbf{v}$  under these translations. There are  $(m - m_g)(n - n_g)$  such translations, therefore the size of  $R$  is the same number.

Now let us define a shape  $U = \llbracket m \rrbracket \times \llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus R$ . It is a shape such that no polynomial multiple of  $g$  fits in  $-U$ . In particular no annihilator of  $c$  fits in  $-U$ , and thus by Lemma 6,

$$P_c(m, n) \geq P_c(U) > |U|.$$

Since either  $(m - m_g)(n - n_g) = |R| \geq mn/2$  or  $|U| \geq mn/2$ , we have  $P_c(m, n) > mn/2$ , a contradiction.  $\square$




Fig. 7: Proof of Theorem 3. The quadrilateral depicts the convex hull of  $-\text{supp}(g)$  for a polynomial  $g$ , positioned in the bottom left corner of an  $m \times n$  block. The white points form the set  $R$  and the shaded points form the set  $U$ . We have  $|U| \geq mn/2$  or  $|R| \geq mn/2$ .

## References

- AS03. J.P. Allouche and J. Shallit. *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- BL97. Mike Boyle and Douglas Lind. Expansive subdynamics. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 349(1):55–102, 1997.
- Cas99. Julien Cassaigne. Double sequences with complexity  $mn+1$ . *Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics*, 4(3):153–170, 1999.
- CK15. Van Cyr and Bryna Kra. Nonexpansive  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -subdynamics and Nivat’s conjecture. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(9):6487–6537, 2015.
- CK16. Van Cyr and Bryna Kra. Complexity of short rectangles and periodicity. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 52, Part A:146 – 173, 2016.
- DL99. David Damanik and Daniel Lenz. Uniform spectral properties of one-dimensional quasicrystals, i. absence of eigenvalues. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 207(3):687–696, 1999.
- EKM03. Chiara Epifanio, Michel Koskas, and Filippo Mignosi. On a conjecture on bidimensional words. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 1-3(299), 2003.
- KS15. Jarkko Kari and Michal Szabados. An algebraic geometric approach to Nivat’s conjecture. In *Automata, Languages, and Programming - 42nd International Colloquium, ICALP 2015, Kyoto, Japan, July 6-10, 2015, Proceedings, Part II*, pages 273–285, 2015.
- KS16. Jarkko Kari and Michal Szabados. An algebraic geometric approach to Nivat’s conjecture. [arXiv:1605.05929](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.05929), 2016.
- Kür03. P. Kůrka. *Topological and Symbolic Dynamics*. Collection SMF. Société mathématique de France, 2003.
- Lot02. M. Lothaire. *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics an. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- MH38. Marston Morse and Gustav A. Hedlund. Symbolic dynamics. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 60(4):pp. 815–866, 1938.
- Niv97. M. Nivat. Invited talk at ICALP, Bologna, 1997.
- QZ04. Anthony Quas and Luca Q. Zamboni. Periodicity and local complexity. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 319(1-3):229–240, 2004.
- ST00. J. W. Sander and Robert Tijdeman. The complexity of functions on lattices. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 246(1-2):195–225, 2000.
- ST02. J. W. Sander and Robert Tijdeman. The rectangle complexity of functions on two-dimensional lattices. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 270(1-2):857–863, 2002.

## A Appendix

Proofs in the appendix use definitions from section 6.

### A.1 Proof of Lemma 1

The lemma also follows from existence of generating sets introduced by Cyr and Kra [CK15]. Here we show a proof using polynomials:

*Proof.* By Lemma 5 of [KS16], there exists a non-trivial annihilator of the configuration. Let  $F$  denote convex hull of its support. It has finitely many edges. We claim that only directions of the edges can be one-sided non-expansive for  $X_c$ .

Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a direction such that  $F$  has a vertex  $\mathbf{v}$  in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ . Let  $\ell$  be the line in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  which is the closest to  $H_{\mathbf{u}}$  but lies outside of  $H_{\mathbf{u}}$ . Then  $F$  can be translated such that  $F \setminus \{\mathbf{v}\}$  lies in  $H_{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in \ell$ . Linear combination given by the annihilator determines the value of  $c_{\mathbf{v}}$  from values in  $H_{\mathbf{u}}$ , and by translation in the whole line  $\ell$ . Moving to the next and next line in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ , all the values of  $c$  are determined. We proved that  $\mathbf{u}$  is a one-sided expansive direction for  $X_c$ .  $\square$

### A.2 Proof of Lemma 3

The proof is by reduction to Lemma 39 of [KS16]:

**Lemma (Lemma 39).** *Let  $c$  be a counterexample candidate and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  a non-zero vector. Let  $S$  be an infinite stripe in the direction of  $\mathbf{v}$  of maximal width such that  $\phi$  does not fit in. Then  $c$  restricted to the stripe  $S$  is non-periodic in the direction of  $\mathbf{v}$ .*

We assume the reader is comfortable with notions used in its statement. Let us however briefly describe some of them. A two-dimensional configuration is a *counterexample candidate* if it is normalized non-periodic finitary integral configuration which has a non-trivial annihilator. Without going into further details, *normalized* configurations have the property from Lemma 6 and any configuration can be made normalized by changing the symbols in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The polynomial  $\phi$  is the largest polynomial (w.r.t. polynomial division) which divides every annihilator, it is product of polynomials  $\phi_i$  from the statement of Lemma 5.

*Proof (of Lemma 3).* Without loss of generality assume that  $c$  is normalized and for the contrary assume that it is non-periodic, then  $c$  is a counterexample candidate. By Lemma 6 there is an annihilator which fits in  $-D$  and therefore also in  $S$ . Then also  $\phi$  fits in  $S$ . Let  $T \subset S^\circ$  be a stripe in direction  $\mathbf{u}$  of maximal width such that  $\phi$  does not fit in. Since  $c|_T$  is periodic in direction  $\mathbf{u}$ , by Lemma 39 also  $c$  is.  $\square$