

On the finite-zone periodic PT-symmetric potentials

O. A. Veliev

Depart. of Math., Dogus University,
Acıbadem, 34722, Kadıköy, Istanbul, Turkey.
e-mail: oveliev@dogus.edu.tr

Abstract

In this paper we find explicit conditions on the periodic PT-symmetric complex-valued potential q for which the number of gaps in the real part of the spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrodinger operator $L(q)$ is finite.

Key Words: Schrodinger operator, PT-symmetric potential, finite-zone potentials.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34L05, 34L20.

1 Introduction

We study the PT-symmetric periodic locally integrable potential q for which the real part of the spectrum of the operator $L(q)$ generated in $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ by the expression

$$-y''(x) + q(x)y(x) \quad (1)$$

contains a half line $[R, \infty)$ for some number R . Without loss of generality, we assume that the period of q is 1 and the integral of q over $[0, 1]$ is zero. Thus

$$q \in L_1[0, 1], \int_0^1 q(x)dx = 0, q(x+1) = q(x), \overline{q(-x)} = q(x). \quad (2)$$

It is well-known that [8, 10] the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ of L is the union of the spectra $\sigma(L_t)$ of L_t for $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$ generated in $L_2[0, 1]$ by (1) and the boundary conditions

$$y(1) = e^{it}y(0), y'(1) = e^{it}y'(0).$$

The spectrum of L_t consists of the eigenvalues called as Bloch eigenvalues. The eigenvalues of L_0 and L_π are called periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues respectively. Both of them are called 2-periodic eigenvalues. If q is PT-symmetric, then the following implications hold

$$\lambda \in \sigma(L_t) \implies \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(L_t), \lambda \in \sigma(L) \implies \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(L). \quad (3)$$

The first and second implications were proved in [6] and [11] respectively. A basic mathematical question of PT-symmetric quantum mechanics concerns the reality of the spectrum of the considered Hamiltonian (see [2, 9 and references of them]). In the first papers [1, 3, 5] about the PT-symmetric periodic potential, the appearance and disappearance of real energy bands for some complex-valued PT-symmetric periodic potentials under perturbations have been reported. Shin [11] showed that the appearance and disappearance of such real energy bands imply the existence of nonreal band spectra. He involved some condition on the Hill discriminant to show the existence of the nonreal curves in the spectrum. Caliceti and Graffi [4] found explicit condition on the Fourier coefficients of the potential providing the nonreal spectra for small potentials.

In [13], we first considered the general spectral property of L and proved that the main part of $\sigma(L)$ is real and contains the large part of $[0, \infty)$. Using this we found necessary and sufficient condition on q for finiteness of the number of the nonreal arcs in $\sigma(L(q))$. Finally, we considered the connections between spectrality of L and the reality of $\sigma(L)$.

This paper can be considered as continuation of [13]. Here using some results of [13] we consider the potentials q for which the real part $\text{Re}(\sigma(L(q)))$ of $\sigma(L(q))$ contains a half line, which implies that the number of gaps in $\text{Re}(\sigma(L(q)))$ is finite. If q is real, such potentials are called finite-zone potentials (see for example [7] and its references). Thus we consider the finite-zone PT-symmetric periodic complex-valued potentials. We do not discuss the real finite-zone potentials, since the results and approaches of the self-adjoint case are not used here and they have no connections with the results of this paper.

However, to see the diversity of the results for the PT-symmetric finite-zone potentials and the real finite-zone potentials let us discuss $\sigma(L(q))$ in term of 2-periodic eigenvalues. If q is real, then it is well-known that [7] all Bloch eigenvalues are real and $\sigma(L(q))$ consists of the intervals

$$\Gamma_1 =: [\lambda_0(a), \lambda_1^-(a)], \Gamma_2 =: [\lambda_1^+(a), \lambda_2^-(a)], \Gamma_3 =: [\lambda_2^+(a), \lambda_3^-(a)], \Gamma_4 =: [\lambda_3^+(a), \lambda_4^-(a)], \dots,$$

where $\lambda_0(a) < \lambda_1^-(a) \leq \lambda_1^+(a) < \lambda_2^-(a) \leq \lambda_2^+(a) < \lambda_3^-(a) \leq \lambda_3^+(a) < \dots$ are 2-periodic eigenvalues. The bands Γ_n and $\Gamma_{n+1}, \dots$ of the spectrum $\sigma(L(q))$ are separated by the gaps $(\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+)$ if and only if $\lambda_n^- < \lambda_n^+$. The last inequality holds if and only if λ_n^- is a simple eigenvalue. Thus, it is clear that, the real potential is finite-zone if and only if all but a finite number of 2-periodic eigenvalues are double eigenvalues.

In this paper we prove that the PT-symmetric periodic complex-valued potential q is finite-zone if and only if all but a finite number of 2-periodic eigenvalues are either double eigenvalues (Case 1) or nonreal eigenvalues (Case 2). Thus for the PT-symmetric complex-valued periodic potentials there is additional case (Case 2) that happens for the large class of the complex potentials. This give us a possibility to construct a large class of PT-symmetric finite-zone potentials. In this paper we find the explicit conditions on PT-symmetric periodic complex-valued potential q for which the number of gaps in $\text{Re}(\sigma(L(q)))$ is finite.

2 Main Results

In this section we list the results of [12, 13], which will be used in this paper, as summaries and then prove the main results by using the summaries. The results of [12] about numerations and asymptotic behavior of the Bloch eigenvalues can be summarized as follows.

Summary 1 (a) *The eigenvalues of L_t can be numbered (counting multiplicity) by elements of $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\sigma(L_t) = \{\lambda_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, such that for each n the function λ_n is continuous on $[0, \pi]$ and $\lambda_n(-t) = \lambda_n(t)$. Thus $\sigma(L)$ is the union of Γ_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\Gamma_n = \{\lambda_n(t) : t \in [0, \pi]\}$ is a continuous curve called as a band of $\sigma(L)$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues respectively.*

(b) *For $h = 1/20\pi$, there exists N such that for $|n| \geq N$ the followings hold.*

If $t \in [h, \pi - h]$ then the eigenvalue $\lambda_n(t)$ is simple and

$$|\lambda_n(t) - (2\pi n + t)^2| \leq n^{-1/2}, \quad |\lambda_n(t) - \lambda_k(t)| > 2\pi^2 n, \quad \forall k \neq n. \quad (4)$$

If $t \in [0, h]$, then there exist 2 eigenvalues (counting multiplicity), denoted by $\lambda_n(t)$ and $\lambda_{-n}(t)$ such that

$$|\lambda_{\pm n}(t) - (2\pi n + t)^2| < n, \quad |\lambda_{\pm n}(t) - \lambda_k(t)| > 2\pi^2 n, \quad \forall k \neq \pm n. \quad (5)$$

If $t \in [\pi - h, \pi]$, then there exist 2 eigenvalues (counting multiplicity), denoted by $\lambda_n(t)$ and $\lambda_{-n-1}(t)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n(t) - (2\pi n + t)^2| &< n, \quad |\lambda_{-n-1}(t) - (2\pi n + t)^2| < n, \\ |\lambda_n(t) - \lambda_k(t)| &> 2\pi^2 n, \quad |\lambda_{-n-1}(t) - \lambda_k(t)| > 2\pi^2 n, \quad \forall k \neq n, -(n+1). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The first results of [13] about $\sigma(L(q))$ with potential (2) can be summarized as follows.

Summary 2 (a) For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the band Γ_n is a single open curve with the end points $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$. Two bands Γ_n and Γ_m may have at most one common point.

(b) If $\lambda_n(t_1)$ and $\lambda_n(t_2)$ are real numbers, where $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \pi$ then $\{\lambda_n(t) : t \in [t_1, t_2]\}$ is an interval of the real line and subset of $\text{Re}(\Gamma_n) := \Gamma_n \cap \mathbb{R}$.

(c) For $t \in [h, \pi - h]$ any eigenvalue $\lambda_n(t)$ and for $t \in [0, h] \cup (\pi - h, \pi]$ any double eigenvalue $\lambda_n(t)$ is a real number if $|n| \geq N$, where h and N are defined in Summary 1.

The Summary 2(b) immediately implies that $\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ is a connected subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore the following statement is true.

Proposition 1 $\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is either empty set or a point or an interval.

Now using the notations and inequalities of Summary 1 we consider $\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ for $|n| \geq N$. For this introduce the following notations for $n \geq N$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \inf \{t \in [0, h] : \lambda_n(t) \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad b_n = \sup \{t \in [\pi - h, \pi] : \lambda_n(t) \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ b_{-n} &= \inf \{t \in [0, h] : \lambda_{-n}(t) \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad a_{-n} = \sup \{t \in [\pi - h, \pi] : \lambda_{-n}(t) \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ A_n &= \lambda_n(a_n), \quad B_n = \lambda_n(b_n). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since $\lambda_{\pm n}(h)$ and $\lambda_{\pm n}(\pi - h)$ are real number (see Summary 2(c)) and $\lambda_{\pm n}$ is continuous on $[0, \pi]$ (see Summary 1(a)), $\inf$ and $\sup$ in (7) exist.

Theorem 1 If (2) holds, then the numbers $\lambda_n(a_n)$ and $\lambda_n(b_n)$ for $|n| \geq N$ are the real numbers and

$$\lambda_n(a_n) < \lambda_n(b_n), \quad \text{Re}(\Gamma_n) = [A_n, B_n]. \quad (8)$$

Proof. The reality of $\lambda_n(a_n)$ and $\lambda_n(b_n)$ follows from the definitions of a_n and b_n and the continuity of λ_n . The inequality in (8) follows from (5) and (6). Therefore using Summary 2(b) and taking into account that Γ_n is a single open curve (see Summary 2(a)) we get

$$\{\lambda_n(t) : t \in [a_n, b_n]\} = [A_n, B_n] \subset \text{Re}(\Gamma_n). \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, it follows from the definition of a_n and b_n that

$$\{\lambda_n(t) : t \in [0, a_n)\} \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset, \quad \{\lambda_n(t) : t \in (b_n, \pi]\} \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset. \quad (10)$$

Thus the equality in (8) follows from (9) and (10) ■

Theorem 2 Suppose that q satisfies (2).

(a) The following inequalities hold

$$A_{-n} < B_{-n} \leq A_n < B_n \leq A_{-n-1} < B_{-n-1} \quad (11)$$

for $n \geq N$, where N is defined in Summary 1. Moreover, $\sigma(L(q)) \cap [A_{-N}, \infty)$ is the union of the intervals $[A_k, B_k]$ for $|k| \geq N$.

(b) The gaps in $\sigma(L(q)) \cap [A_{-N}, \infty)$ are (B_{-n}, A_n) and (B_n, A_{-n-1}) for $n \geq N$ if $B_{-n} < A_n$ and $B_n < A_{-n-1}$ respectively.

(c) $\sigma(L) \cap [A_{-N}, \infty) = [A_{-N}, \infty)$ if and only if $B_{-n} = A_n$ and $B_n = A_{-n-1}$ for $n \geq N$.

Proof. (a) It readily follows from inequalities (5) and (6) that $A_{-n} < B_n$ for $n \geq N$. Therefore if $B_{-n} > A_n$ then the intervals $[A_{-n}, B_{-n}]$ and $[A_n, B_n]$ have common subinterval that contradicts Summary 2(a). Thus $B_{-n} \leq A_n$ and by (8) we have $A_{-n} < B_{-n} \leq A_n < B_n$. In the same way, we prove the other part of (11). Now (8) and (11) imply that $(\sigma(L(q)) \cap [A_{-N}, \infty)) \supset [A_n, B_n]$ for $|n| \geq N$. On the other hand, it follows from (4)-(6) that $\lambda_n(t) \notin [B_{-N}, \infty)$ for $t \in [0, \pi]$ and $|n| < N$. Thus (a) is proved. The proofs of (b) and (c) follow from (a) ■

Now we consider in detail the real parts of the bands Γ_n of $\sigma(L(q))$ with potential (2) by using the results of Theorems 4 and 5 of [13] formulated in the suitable form as the following Summary.

Summary 3 Let h and N be the numbers defined in Summary 1 and $n \geq N$. The eigenvalue $\lambda_n(0)$ is a nonreal number if and only if there exists $\varepsilon_n \in (0, h)$ such that

$$\{\lambda_n(t) : t \in [0, \varepsilon_n)\} \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset, \quad \lambda_n(\varepsilon_n) = \lambda_{-n}(\varepsilon_n) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (12)$$

The eigenvalue $\lambda_n(\pi)$ is a nonreal number if and only if there exists $\delta_n \in (\pi - h, \pi)$ such that

$$\{\lambda_n(t) : t \in (\delta_n, \pi]\} \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset, \quad \lambda_n(\delta_n) = \lambda_{-n-1}(\delta_n) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (13)$$

The following proposition is a consequence of (7) and Summary 3.

Proposition 2 Let N be the number defined in Summary 1 and $n \geq N$.

- (a) If $\lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi)$) is a real number, then $A_n = \lambda_n(0)$ ($B_n = \lambda_n(\pi)$).
- (b) If $\lambda_{-n}(\pi)$ ($\lambda_{-n}(0)$) is a real number, then $A_{-n} = \lambda_{-n}(\pi)$ ($B_{-n} = \lambda_{-n}(0)$).
- (c) If $\lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi)$) is a nonreal number, then $A_n = \lambda_n(\varepsilon_n)$ ($B_n = \lambda_n(\delta_n)$).
- (d) If $\lambda_{-n}(\pi)$ ($\lambda_{-n}(0)$) is a nonreal number, then $A_{-n} = \lambda_{-n}(\delta_n)$ ($B_{-n} = \lambda_{-n}(\varepsilon_n)$).

Definition 1 $\lambda_n(t)$ is called SR eigenvalue if it is simple eigenvalue and real number.

Theorem 3 Suppose that (2) holds and $n \geq N$, where N is defined in Summary 1.

- (a) If $\lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi)$) is a SR eigenvalue, then $\lambda_{-n}(0)$ ($\lambda_{-n-1}(\pi)$) is also SR eigenvalue, $\lambda_{-n}(0) < \lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi) < \lambda_{-n-1}(\pi)$) and $(\lambda_{-n}(0), \lambda_n(0))$ ($(\lambda_n(\pi), \lambda_{-n-1}(\pi))$) is the gap of $\sigma(L) \cap [a_{-N}, \infty)$ lying between $\text{Re}(\Gamma_{-n})$ and $\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ ($\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ and $\text{Re}(\Gamma_{-n-1})$).
- (b) If $\lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi)$) is not a SR eigenvalue then there is not a gap between $\text{Re}(\Gamma_{-n})$ and $\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ ($\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ and $\text{Re}(\Gamma_{-n-1})$).
- (c) The potential q is finite-zone if and only if the total number of the SR periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues is finite.

Proof. (a) Suppose that $\lambda_n(0)$ is a SR eigenvalue. By Summary 1(b) (see (5)) there exist 2 eigenvalues counting multiplicity lying in n -neighborhood of $(2\pi n)^2$ and these eigenvalues are denoted by $\lambda_{-n}(0)$ and $\lambda_n(0)$. Therefore $\lambda_{-n}(0)$ is also a simple eigenvalue. If $\lambda_{-n}(0)$ is a nonreal eigenvalue, then by (3), $\overline{\lambda_{-n}(0)}$ is also periodic eigenvalue lying in n -neighborhood of $(2\pi n)^2$. Then we obtain that there exist 3 eigenvalues lying in n -neighborhood of $(2\pi n)^2$ which contradicts Summary 1(b). Thus $\lambda_{-n}(0)$ is also SR eigenvalue. Therefore, by Proposition 2(a), we have $A_n = \lambda_n(0)$ and $B_{-n} = \lambda_{-n}(0)$ and $A_n \neq B_{-n}$. It with (11) and Theorem 2(b) implies that $B_{-n} < A_n$ and $(\lambda_{-n}(0), \lambda_n(0))$ is the gap in the spectrum. The proof for the case when $\lambda_n(\pi)$ is a SR eigenvalue are the same.

(b) If $\lambda_n(0)$ is not a SR eigenvalue then the following cases are possible.

Case 1. $\lambda_n(0)$ is a double eigenvalue. Then by Summary 1(b) and Summary 2(c) $\lambda_n(0) = \lambda_{-n}(0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus by Propositions 2 we have $A_n = \lambda_n(0) = \lambda_{-n}(0) = B_{-n}$ which means that there is not a gap between $[A_{-n}, B_{-n}]$ and $[A_n, B_n]$.

Case 2. $\lambda_n(0)$ is a nonreal eigenvalue. Then using Proposition 2 and (12) we again obtain that $B_{-n} = A_n$ which again means that there is not a gap between $[A_{-n}, B_{-n}]$ and $[A_n, B_n]$. In the same way, instead of (12) using (13), we prove that if $\lambda_n(\pi)$ is not a SR eigenvalue then there is not a gap between $[A_n, B_n]$ and $[A_{-n-1}, B_{-n-1}]$.

(c) If the total number of the SR periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues is finite then there exists m such that $\lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi)$) for $|n| > m$ is not a SR eigenvalue and hence by (b) there is no gap between $\text{Re}(\Gamma_{-n})$ and $\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ ($\text{Re}(\Gamma_n)$ and $\text{Re}(\Gamma_{-n-1})$). Therefore, by Proposition 1, q is finite-zone potential. Now suppose that the total number of the SR periodic and antiperiodic eigenvalues is infinite. Then by (a) the number of gaps in the spectrum is also infinite ■

To construct the finite-zone potentials we use the following consequence of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1 *Suppose that (2) holds. If there exists $m > 0$ such that $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$ for $n > m$ are nonreal numbers then there exists R such that $[R, \infty) \subset \sigma(L(q))$ and the number of gaps in the real part $\text{Re}(\sigma(L(q)))$ of $\sigma(L(q))$ is finite.*

The proof follows from Theorem 3. Nevertheless, below we give the second and more simple proof by using the following: $F(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ if (2) holds (see [11]), where $F(\lambda) := \varphi'(1, \lambda) + \theta(1, \lambda)$ is the Hill discriminant, θ and φ are the solutions of

$$-y''(x) + q(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x)$$

satisfying the initial conditions $\theta(0, \lambda) = \varphi'(0, \lambda) = 1$, $\theta'(0, \lambda) = \varphi(0, \lambda) = 0$. More precisely, we prove the following, more general statement, which also yields Corollary 1.

Theorem 4 *Let q be locally integrable periodic potential such that*

$$\exists M : F(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda \in [M, \infty). \quad (14)$$

If there exists $m > 0$ such that $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$ for $n > m$ are nonreal numbers then there exists R such that $[R, \infty) \subset \sigma(L(q))$.

Proof. It is well-known that $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$ are the zeros of $F(\lambda) = 2$ and $F(\lambda) = -2$ respectively, the asymptotic formula

$$F(\lambda) = 2 \cos \sqrt{\lambda} + O(1/\sqrt{\lambda}) \quad (15)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ holds and $\lambda \in \sigma(L(q))$ if and only if $F(\lambda) \in [-2, 2]$ (see [7]). Thus it is enough to show that there exists a number R such that $F(\lambda) \in [-2, 2]$ for all $\lambda \geq R$. Suppose to the contrary that for any large positive number $R > M$ there exists $\lambda_1 \geq R$ such that $F(\lambda_1) \notin [-2, 2]$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $F(\lambda_1) > 2$. On the other hand, by (15), there exists $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$ such that $F(\lambda_2) < 2$. Since F is a continuous (see [7]) and real-valued function on $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ (see (14)) there exists $\lambda \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ such that $F(\lambda) = 2$, that is, $\lambda = \lambda_n(0)$ and hence $\lambda_n(0) \in \mathbb{R}$. It contradicts the condition of the theorem ■

Remark 1 *There exist a lot of asymptotic formulas for $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$. Using those formulas one can find the conditions on q such that $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$ are nonreal numbers which implies that q is a finite-zone potential. Suppose that we have the formulas*

$$\lambda_n(0) = a_n(1 + o(1)), \quad \lambda_n(\pi) = b_n(1 + o(1)).$$

If there exists $m > 0$ such that $\text{Im } a_n \neq 0$ and $\text{Im } b_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq m$, then q is a finite-zone potential. Besides, it is well-known the asymptotic formulas as

$$\lambda_n(0) - \lambda_{-n}(0) = c_n(1 + o(1)), \quad \lambda_n(\pi) - \lambda_{-n-1}(\pi) = d_n(1 + o(1)).$$

It follows from (3), (5) and (6) that if $\lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi)$) is a nonreal number, then $\lambda_n(0) - \lambda_{-n}(0) = \pm 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi) - \lambda_{-n-1}(\pi) = \pm 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_n(\pi)$). Moreover, $\lambda_n(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi)$) is a nonreal number if and only if $\lambda_n(0) - \lambda_{-n}(0)$ ($\lambda_n(\pi) - \lambda_{-n-1}(\pi)$) is nonreal. Thus, if there exists $m > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Im} c_n \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} d_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq m$, then q is a finite-zone potential. Using these asymptotic formulas one can construct a large class of the finite-zone potential. Below we construct some of them.

Now we find the conditions on the Fourier coefficients

$$q_n = \int_0^1 q(x) e^{-i2\pi n x} dx, \quad f_n = \int_0^1 f(x) \cos 2\pi n x dx, \quad g_n = \int_0^1 g(x) \sin 2\pi n x dx \quad (16)$$

for which q is finite-zone potential, where $f = \operatorname{Re} q$ and $g = \operatorname{Im} q$. It is clear that if q is PT-symmetric, then f and g are even and odd functions respectively and hence

$$q_n = f_n + g_n, \quad q_{-n} = f_n - g_n. \quad (17)$$

Let Q_n and S_n be the Fourier coefficients

$$Q_n = \int_0^1 Q(x) e^{-i2\pi n x} dx, \quad S_n = \int_0^1 S(x) e^{-i2\pi n x} dx,$$

of Q and S defined by

$$Q(x) = \int_0^x q(t) dt, \quad S(x) = Q^2(x) \quad (18)$$

and

$$P_n = q_n q_{-n} - q_n (S_{-n} - 2Q_0 Q_{-n}) - q_{-n} (S_n - 2Q_0 Q_n). \quad (19)$$

Using (34) and (35) of [13] one can formulate Theorem 8 of [13] as follows.

Summary 4 Suppose that q satisfies (2) and $q \in W_1^s[0, 1]$ for some $s \geq 0$ and there exists positive constants m and α such that for $n > m$ the inequality

$$|P_n| > \alpha n^{-2s-2}$$

holds. Then $\lambda_n(0)$ and $\lambda_n(\pi)$ are the real numbers if and only if $P_{2n} \geq 0$ and $P_{2n+1} \geq 0$ respectively.

Therefore from Corollary 1 we obtain the following.

Theorem 5 Suppose that q satisfies (2) and $q \in W_1^s[0, 1]$ for some $s \geq 0$. If there exist positive constants m and α such that for $n > m$ the inequality

$$P_n < -\alpha n^{-2s-2} \quad (20)$$

holds, then q is a finite-zone potential.

Now we prove the other and more applicable theorem for the finite-zone potentials.

Theorem 6 Suppose that (2) holds and $q \in W_1^s[0, 1]$ for some $s \geq 0$. If there exist $\delta > 1$, $\beta > 0$ and $m > 0$ such that

$$|g_n| > \beta n^{-s-1}, \quad |g_n| > \delta |f_n| \quad (21)$$

for all $n > m$, then q is a finite-zone potential, where f_n and g_n are the Fourier coefficients of $\operatorname{Re} q$ and $\operatorname{Im} q$ defined in (16).

Proof. It readily follows from (17) and (21) that

$$|q_n| > \varepsilon n^{-s-1}, \quad |q_{-n}| > \varepsilon n^{-s-1}$$

and hence

$$-q_n q_{-n} = (g_n^2 - f_n^2) > \gamma n^{-2s-2}, \quad \frac{|q_{\pm n}|}{|q_n q_{-n}|} = O(n^{s+1}) \quad (22)$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Using (2) and taking into account that $q^{(s)}$ is integrable on $[0, 1]$ one can readily verify that the functions Q and S defined in (18) are 1 periodic functions, $Q^{(s+1)}$ and $S^{(s+1)}$ are integrable on $[0, 1]$. Therefore

$$S_{\pm n} = o(n^{-s-1}), \quad Q_{\pm n} = o(n^{-s-1}). \quad (23)$$

Now using (22) and (23) in (19) we obtain

$$P_n = (f_n^2 - g_n^2) (1 + o(1)).$$

Therefore if (21) holds then (20) holds too. Hence the proof follows from Theorem 5 ■

Theorem 6 shows that one can construct the large and easily checkable classes of the finite-zone PT-symmetric periodic potentials, by constructing the potentials q so that the Fourier coefficients of $g = \text{Im } q$ is greater (by absolute value) than the Fourier coefficient of $f = \text{Re } q$. One of them are given in the next theorem.

Theorem 7 Suppose that (2) holds, $g \in W_1^{s+1}(a, a+1) \cap W_1^s[0, 1]$ for some $s \geq 0$ and $a \in [0, 1]$ and $g^{(s)}$ has a jump discontinuity at a with size of the jump $c := g^{(s)}(a+0) - g^{(s)}(a-0)$, while either $f \in W_1^{s+1}[0, 1]$ or $f \in W_1^{s+1}(b, b+1) \cap W_1^s[0, 1]$ for some $b \in [0, 1]$ and $f^{(s)}$ has a jump discontinuity at b with size of the jump $d := f^{(s)}(b+0) - f^{(s)}(b-0)$. If $|d| < |c|$ then q is a finite-zone potential.

Proof. The Fourier coefficient g_n defined in (16) can be calculated by

$$g_n = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{a+\varepsilon}^{a+1-\varepsilon} g(x) \sin 2\pi n x dx.$$

Applying $s+1$ times the integration by parts formula we get

$$|g_n| = |c| (2\pi n)^{-s-1} + o(n^{-s-1}).$$

In the same way we obtain that either $f_n = o(n^{-s-1})$ or

$$|f_n| = |d| (2\pi n)^{-s-1} + o(n^{-s-1}).$$

Therefore if $|d| < |c|$, then (21) holds and hence the proof follows from Theorem 6 ■

References

- [1] Z. Ahmed , Energy band structure due to a complex, periodic, PT -invariant potential *Phys. Lett. A* **286** (2001) 231–235.
- [2] F. Bagarello, *Non-Selfadjoint Operators in Quantum Physics: Mathematical Aspects*, eds. F. Bagarello, J.-P. Gazeau, F. H. Szafraniec and M. Znojil (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2015).

- [3] C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne and P. N. Meisinger, Complex periodic potentials with real band spectra, *Phys. Lett. A* **252** (1999) 272–276.
- [4] E. Caliceti and S. Graffi, Reality and non-reality of the spectrum of PT -symmetric operators: Operator-theoretic criteria, *Pramana J. of Phys.* **73** (2009) 241–249.
- [5] J. M. Cervero and A. Rodriguez , The band spectrum of periodic potentials with PT -symmetry *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004) 10167–10177.
- [6] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides and Z. H. Musslimani, PT-Symmetric Periodic Optical Potentials, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **50** (2011) 1019–1041.
- [7] V. A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville Operators and Applications* (Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1986).
- [8] D. C. McGarvey, Differential operators with periodic coefficients in $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **11** (1965) 564–596.
- [9] A. Mostafazadeh, Psevdo-hermitian representation of quantum mechanics, *Int. J. of Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **11** (2010) 1191–1306.
- [10] F. S. Rofe-Beketov, The spectrum of nonselfadjoint differential operators with periodic coefficients, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **4** (1963) 1563–1566.
- [11] K. C. Shin, On the shape of spectra for non-self-adjoint periodic Schrödinger operators, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004) 8287–8291.
- [12] O. A. Veliev, On the spectral singularities and spectrality of the Hill’s Operator, *Operat. Matrices* **10** (2016) 57–71.
- [13] O. A. Veliev, On the spectral properties of the Schrodinger operator with a periodic PT-symmetric potential, *Int. J. of Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **14** (2017) 1750065.