arXiv:1710.05799v1 [math.DG] 16 Oct 2017

PAYNE-POLYA-WEINBERGER, HILE-PROTTER AND YANG'S INEQUALITIES FOR DIRICHLET LAPLACE EIGENVALUES ON INTEGER LATTICES

BOBO HUA, YONG LIN, AND YANHUI SU

Abstract. In this paper, we prove some analogues of Payne-Polya-Weinberger, Hile-Protter and Yang's inequalities for Dirichlet (discrete) Laplace eigenvalues on any subset in the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$. This partially answers a question posed by Chung and Oden [CO00].

1. Introduction

The eigenvalue problem of the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary condition, called Dirichlet Laplacian in short, on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has been extensively studied in the literature, see e.g. [CH53, Cha84, SY94]. We denote by

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots \uparrow \infty$$

the spectrum of Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω, counting the multiplicity of eigenvalues. In 1911, Weyl [Wey12] proved that

$$\lambda_k \sim \frac{4\pi^2}{(\omega_n \mathrm{vol}(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad k \to \infty,$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathrm{vol}(\Omega)$ is the volume of Ω. Furthermore, Pólya [Pol61] conjectured the eigenvalues λ_k would satisfy

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{4\pi^2}{(\omega_n \mathrm{vol}(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

Li and Yau [LY83] proved that

$$\lambda_k \geq \frac{n}{n+2} \frac{4\pi^2}{(\omega_n \mathrm{vol}(\Omega))^{\frac{2}{n}}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

For the gaps between consecutive eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian, it was first proved by Payne, Polya and Weinberger [PPW56] for a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and was then generalized to $\mathbb{R}^n$ by Thompson [Tho69] that for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{4}{nk} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i,$$

Date: February 25, 2022.

which is now called Payne-Polya-Weinberger inequality. Later, Hile and Protter [HP80] obtained the so-called Hile-Protter inequality that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i} \geq \frac{kn}{4}.$$

A sharp inequality was proved by Yang [Yan91, CY07] that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i), \tag{1.1}$$

which implies

$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq \left(1+\frac{4}{n}\right)\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i. \tag{1.2}$$

These inequalities, (1.1) and (1.2), are called Yang's first and second inequalities respectively, see [AB96, HS97, Ash, Ash02]. It is well-known, see e.g. [Ash], that Yang's first inequality implies Yang's second inequality; the latter yields Hile-Protter inequality; Hile-Protter inequality is stronger than Payne-Polya-Weinberger inequality. We say these inequalities are universal since they apply to all bounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Universal inequalities for eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacians in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and their generalizations to general manifolds have been studied by many authors, see e.g. [Li80, YY80, Leu91, Har93, HM94, HS97, CY05, CY06, Har07, CC08, SCY08, CY09, ESHd09, CZL12, CZY16]

The Dirichlet Laplacian on a finite subset of a graph has been investigated in the literature of discrete analysis, see e.g. [Dod84, Fri93, CG98, CY00, BHJ14] and many others. In this paper, we consider eigenvalue problems for Dirichlet Laplacians on integer lattices. First, we recall some basic notions of Laplace operators on discrete spaces, i.e. on graphs. Let (V,E) be a simple, undirected, locally finite graph with the set of vertices V and the set of edges E which has no isolated vertices. Two vertices x, y are called neighbors, denoted by $x \sim y$, if there is an edge connecting them. The degree of a vertex x, denoted by d_x is defined as the number of neighbors of x. The (discrete) Laplacian Δ on (V,E) is defined as

$$\Delta f(x) := \frac{1}{d_x}\sum_{y\in V: y\sim x} f(y)-f(x), \quad \forall f: V\to\mathbb{R}.$$

Let Ω be a finite subset of V. We define the vertex boundary of Ω as

$$\delta\Omega = \{y \in V\setminus\Omega : y\sim x \text{ for some } x\in\Omega\}.$$

We denote by $\ell^2(\Omega,d)$ the Hilbert space of all functions defined on Ω equipped with the inner product,

$$\langle f,g\rangle := \sum_{x\in\Omega} f(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}_x, \quad f,g:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}.$$

The Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition on Ω, denoted by Δ_Ω, is defined as, for any $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$

$$\Delta_\Omega f(x) := \Delta\widetilde{f}(x), \quad x\in\Omega,$$

where $\widetilde{f}$ is the null extension of f to V, i.e. $\widetilde{f}(x)=f(x),\ \forall x\in\Omega$ and $\widetilde{f}(x)=0,\ \forall x\in V\setminus\Omega$. We will simply write Δ for Δ_Ω if the subset Ω is clear in the context. One is ready to

check that Δ_Ω is a self-adjoint operator on $\ell^2(\Omega, d)$, see e.g. [BHJ14]. Moreover, λ is an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_\Omega$ if and only if there is a function $u : \Omega \cup \delta\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \delta\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

For a finite subset Ω, we write the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω, i.e. eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\Omega$, as

$$0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \le \lambda_N \le 2$$

where $N := \sharp\Omega$ denotes the number of vertices in Ω. Note that by the definition of the Laplacian all the eigenvalues are bounded above by 2.

The n-dimensional integer lattice graph, denoted by $\mathbb{Z}^n$, is of particular interest which serves as the discrete counterpart of $\mathbb{R}^n$, see Section 2 for the definition. Since $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is bipartite, the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian on any finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is symmetric with respect to 1, i.e.

$$\lambda_k = 2 - \lambda_{N+1-k}, \quad \forall 1 \le k \le N, \tag{1.4}$$

see [BHJ14, Lemma 3.4]. In particular, this implies that for any $k \le N$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \lambda_i) \ge 0,$$

see Proposition 2.5.

Chung and Oden [CO00, pp. 268] proposed a question whether one can generalize Payne-Polya-Weinberger inequality to the discrete setting, i.e. for eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian on subsets in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. In this paper, following the proof strategies in the continuous setting, we prove a discrete analogue of Payne-Polya-Weinberger inequality in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, which partially answers the above question.

Theorem 1.1 (Payne-Polya-Weinberger type inequality)**.** *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Then for any $1 \le k \le \sharp\Omega - 1$,*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \le \frac{4}{n} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \lambda_i)}. \tag{Payne-Polya-Weinberger}$$

As a corollary, by setting $k = 1$, we obtain the upper bound estimate for the first gap of Dirichlet eigenvalues of a subset in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, see Section 4 for the proof.

Corollary 1.2. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\sharp\Omega \ge 2$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Then*

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \le \frac{4\lambda_1}{n(1 - \lambda_1)},$$

where the right hand side is to be interpreted as infinity if $\lambda_1 = 1$. Moreover,

$$\lambda_2 \le 9\lambda_1.$$

We also obtain a discrete analogue of Hile-Protter inequality, following e.g. [Ash].

Theorem 1.3 (Hile-Protter type inequality)**.** *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Then for any $1 \le k \le \sharp\Omega - 1$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \ge \frac{n}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \lambda_i), \tag{Hile-Protter}$$

where the left hand side is to be interpreted as infinity if $\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k$.

Following the arguments in [Yan91, Ash, CY07], we are able to generalize Yang's first inequality to the discrete setting.

Theorem 1.4 (Yang type first inequality)**.** *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Then for any $1 \le k \le \sharp\Omega - 1$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 (1 - \lambda_i) \le \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \lambda_i. \tag{Yang-1}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, Yang type second inequality on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ follows from the first one, see [CZY16].

Theorem 1.5 (Yang type second inequality)**.** *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. If $\lambda_{k+1} \le 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ for some $1 \le k \le \sharp\Omega - 1$, then*

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \frac{(1 + \frac{4}{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \lambda_i)}. \tag{Yang-2}$$

Note that the additional condition $\lambda_{k+1} \le 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ is trivial for $n \le 4$.

Using the argument in [CY07], Yang type first inequality yields the following corollary, see Section 4 for the proof.

Corollary 1.6. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. If $\lambda_k < 1$ for some $1 \le k \le \sharp\Omega - 1$, then*

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \left(1 + \frac{4}{n(1 - \lambda_k)}\right) k^{\frac{2}{n(1-\lambda_k)}} \lambda_1.$$

In particular, if $\lambda_k \le 1 - \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, then

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \left(1 + \frac{4}{n\delta}\right) k^{\frac{2}{n\delta}} \lambda_1. \tag{1.5}$$

The estimate (1.5) is analogous to the estimate in [CY07] for bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) k^{\frac{2}{n}} \lambda_1.$$

Although the number of eigenvalues is finite for a fixed subset Ω, the estimate (1.5) is still interesting since it holds for any subset in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for which the first eigenvalue λ_1 could be arbitrarily small.

Similar to the continuous setting, we obtain the relations between these universal inequalities. Under the condition that $\lambda_{k+1} \le 1 + \frac{4}{n}$,

$$\text{(Yang-1)} \Longrightarrow \text{(Yang-2)} \Longrightarrow \text{(Hile-Protter)} \Longrightarrow \text{(Payne-Polya-Weinberger)}.$$

The first implication follows from the proof of Theorem 1.5. The second one follows from a convexity argument in [Ash], see Proposition 2.7. It is easy to see that the last implication holds, see the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The paper is organized as follows: In next section, we introduce some basic properties related to the analysis on graphs. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of main results, Theorem 1.1, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5. In the last section, some applications of universal inequalities are given.

2. Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple, undirected, locally finite graph. For the convenience, we introduce the following notion,

$$\mu : V \times V \to \{0, 1\},$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto \mu_{xy},$$

such that $\mu_{xy} = 1$ if and only if $x \sim y$. So that the degree of a vertex x is given by $\mathrm{d}_x := \sum_{y\in V} \mu_{xy}$. We write for simplicity

$$\sum_x f(x) := \sum_{x\in V} f(x)$$

if it is clear in the context.

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}^n := \{x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ the set of integer n-tuples $\mathbb{R}^n$. The n-dimensional integer lattice graph, still denoted by $\mathbb{Z}^n$, is the graph consisting of the set of vertices $V = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and the set of edges $E = \{\{x, y\} : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| = 1\}$. Note that, $\mathrm{d}_x = 2n$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Given $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in V$, we denote by $\nabla_{xy} f := f(y) - f(x)$ the difference of the function f on the vertices x and y. One can easily check that for any function $f, g : V \to \mathbb{R}$ and any $x, y \in V$,

$$f(x)g(x) + f(y)g(y) = \frac{1}{2}[(f(x) + f(y))(g(x) + g(y)) + \nabla_{xy} f \nabla_{xy} g]. \tag{2.1}$$

We introduce the following discrete analogue to the square of the gradient of a function.

Definition 2.1. The gradient form Γ, called the "carré du champ" operator, is defined by, for $f, g : V \to \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f, g)(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(fg) - f\Delta g - g\Delta f)(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mathrm{d}_x} \sum_y \mu_{xy} \nabla_{xy} f \nabla_{xy} g. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We write $\Gamma(f) := \Gamma(f, f)$ for simplicity.

The following lemma is useful.

Lemma 2.2. *Let u be a function on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ of finite support and $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ be standard coordinate functions. Then*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} |\nabla_{xy}(x_\alpha)|^2 |\nabla_{xy} u|^2 \mu_{xy} = \sum_x \Gamma(u)(x) \mathrm{d}_x, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{x}\Gamma(x_\alpha,u)^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x\le\frac{1}{2n}\sum_{x}\Gamma(u)(x)\mathrm{d}_x. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that for any $x,y\in V$ satisfying $x\sim y$,

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}|\nabla_{xy}(x_\alpha)|^2=1.$$

For the second assertion, we denote by $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n$ the set of natural orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{R}^n$ where e_α is the unit vector whose α-th coordinate is 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{x}\Gamma(x_\alpha,u)^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{x}\left(\frac{1}{2\mathrm{d}_x}(u(x+e_\alpha)-u(x)+u(x)-u(x-e_\alpha))\right)^2\mathrm{d}_x\\ &\le \frac{1}{4n}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\sum_{x}((u(x+e_\alpha)-u(x))^2+(u(x)-u(x-e_\alpha))^2)\\ &= \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{x}\Gamma(u)(x)\mathrm{d}_x.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

The following Green's formula is well-known, see e.g. [Gri09].

Proposition 2.3. *Let (V,E) be a graph, f be a function with finite support on V and g be any function on V. Then*

$$\sum_{x\in V}(\Delta f)(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}_x=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x,y\in V}\mu_{xy}\nabla_{xy}f\nabla_{xy}g=\sum_{x\in V}(\Delta g)(x)f(x)\mathrm{d}_x.$$

The following Chebyshev's inequality is also well-known.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be sequences of real numbers satisfying*

$$a_1\le a_2\le\cdots\le a_N,\quad b_1\le b_2\le\cdots\le b_N.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_ib_i\ge\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_i\right)\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}b_i\right).$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is bipartite, the spectrum of Dirichlet Laplace on any subset Ω is symmetric, see (1.4). This yields the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Then for any $k\le\sharp\Omega$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(1-\lambda_i)\ge 0.$$

The equality holds if and only if $k=\sharp\Omega$.

Proof. We define $\mathcal{K}_{1+} := \{i : 1 \le i \le k, \lambda_i > 1\}, \mathcal{K}_{1-} := \{i : 1 \le i \le k, \lambda_i < 1\}$, and $\mathcal{K}_1 := \{i : 1 \le i \le k, \lambda_i = 1\}$ respectively. By (1.4), we know that $\sharp\mathcal{K}_{1+} \le \sharp\mathcal{K}_{1-}$ and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}_{1+}} := \{N + 1 - i : i \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}\} \subset \mathcal{K}_{1-}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(1-\lambda_i) &= \left(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}_{1+}} + \sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}_{1-}} + \sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}_1}\right)(1-\lambda_i)\\ &= \left(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}_{1+}} + \sum_{i\in\widehat{\mathcal{K}_{1+}}} + \sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}_{1-}\setminus\widehat{\mathcal{K}_{1+}}}\right)(1-\lambda_i)\\ &= \sum_{i\in\mathcal{K}_{1-}\setminus\widehat{\mathcal{K}_{1+}}}(1-\lambda_i) \ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

The equality case is easy to verify in the above argument. □

The Rayleigh quotient characterization for the first eigenvalue of Dirichlet Laplacian on a finite subset $\Omega \subset V$ reads as

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}, f\not\equiv 0} \frac{\sum_{x\in V}\Gamma(\widetilde{f})(x)\mathrm{d}_x}{\sum_{x\in V}\widetilde{f}^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x},$$

where $\widetilde{f}$ is the null extension of f to V. We say that Ω is connected if the induced subgraph on Ω is connected.

Proposition 2.6. *Let Ω be a finite connected subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\sharp\Omega \ge 2$ and λ_1 be the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Then*

$$\lambda_1 \le 1 - \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Proof. By the assumption, there are two vertices v_1, v_2 in Ω such that $v_1 \sim v_2$. Set $H = \{v_1, v_2\}$. We consider the eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian on H, and denote by λ_1^H its first eigenvalue. By Rayleigh quotient characterization, one is ready to see that $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_1^H$. The proposition follows from $\lambda_1^H = 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$. □

By the convexity argument of [Ash], we have the following implication,

$$\text{(Yang-2)} \Longrightarrow \text{(Hile-Protter)}.$$

Proposition 2.7. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Suppose that* (Yang-2) *holds, then we have* (Hile-Protter).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1}$. Set $g(x) := \frac{x}{\lambda_{k+1}-x}$. It is easy to see that the function g is convex in $x \in (-\infty, \lambda_{k+1})$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i} = \frac{1}{k}\sum_i g(\lambda_i) \ge g\left(\frac{1}{k}\sum_i \lambda_i\right) = \frac{\frac{1}{k}\sum_i\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_i\lambda_i},$$

where we have used Jensen's inequality for convex function $g(\cdot)$. By plugging (Yang-2) into the above inequality and using

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_i \lambda_i^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i\right)^2,$$

we prove the proposition. □

3. Proof of main results

In this section, we prove the main results, Theorem 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4, following the arguments in [Ash, CY07].

Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_k be the k-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Let $k \leq \sharp\Omega - 1$. For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, set u_i be the normalized eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalue λ_i, i.e.

$$-\Delta u_i(x) = \lambda_i u_i(x), \quad \sum_{x\in\Omega} u_i(x)u_j(x)\mathrm{d}_x = \delta_{ij}$$

for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. For convenience, we extend the eigenvectors to the whole graph $\mathbb{Z}^n$, still denoted by $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k$, such that $u_i(y) = 0,\ \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \Omega$. It is easy to check that

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \Gamma(u_i)(x)\mathrm{d}_x = \lambda_i.$$

Let g be one of the coordinate functions, i.e. $g = x_\alpha$ for some $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. It is easy to check that

$$\Delta g = 0, \quad \Delta(g^2) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

We define for any $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\varphi_i = gu_i - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij}u_j,$$

where $a_{ij} = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^n} g(x)u_i(x)u_j(x)\mathrm{d}_x$. This yields

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_i(x)u_j(x)\mathrm{d}_x = 0, \quad \forall\ 1 \leq j \leq k. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Set

$$b_{ij} := \sum_x u_j(x)\Gamma(g, u_i)(x)\mathrm{d}_x.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1.

$$2b_{ij} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)a_{ij}, \quad \forall\ 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

Remark 3.2. This implies that b_{ij} is anti-symmetric, i.e. $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$.

Proof. By Green's formula, Proposition 2.3, and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_j a_{ij} &= \sum_x (-\Delta u_j(x)) u_i(x) g(x) \mathrm{d}_x = -\sum_x u_j(x) \Delta(u_i g)(x) \mathrm{d}_x \\ &= -\sum_x u_j(x) \left((\Delta u_i)(x) g(x) + (\Delta g)(x) u_i(x) + 2\Gamma(g, u_i)(x) \right) \mathrm{d}_x \\ &= \lambda_i a_{ij} - 2b_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\varphi_i &= \Delta(g u_i) - \sum_j a_{ij} \Delta u_j = (\Delta g) u_i + g \Delta u_i + 2\Gamma(g, u_i) + \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j u_j \\ &= -\lambda_i g u_i + 2\Gamma(g, u_i) + \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda_j u_j.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $-\varphi_i$ on both sides of the above equation and summing over $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with weights d_x, we get

$$\sum_x \Gamma(\varphi_i)(x) \mathrm{d}_x = \lambda_i \sum_x g(x) u_i(x) \varphi_i(x) \mathrm{d}_x + K_g(u_i),$$

where

$$K_g(u_i) := -2 \sum_x \Gamma(g, u_i)(x) \varphi_i(x) \mathrm{d}_x \tag{3.2}$$

and we have used Green's formula, Proposition 2.3, and (3.1). For the first term on the right hand side of the above equation, by using (3.1)

$$\lambda_i \sum_x g(x) u_i(x) \varphi_i(x) \mathrm{d}_x = \lambda_i \sum_x (g(x) u_i(x) - \sum_j a_{ij} u_j(x)) \varphi_i(x) \mathrm{d}_x = \lambda_i \sum_x \varphi_i^2(x) \mathrm{d}_x.$$

Hence

$$\sum_x \Gamma(\varphi_i)(x) \mathrm{d}_x = \lambda_i \sum_x \varphi_i^2(x) \mathrm{d}_x + K_g(u_i),$$

Moreover, by (3.1) and the Rayleigh quotient characterization of λ_{k+1},

$$\lambda_{k+1} \sum_x \varphi_i^2(x) \mathrm{d}_x \le \sum_x \Gamma(\varphi_i)(x) \mathrm{d}_x.$$

This yields that

$$0 \le (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) \sum_x \varphi_i^2(x) \mathrm{d}_x \le K_g(u_i). \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}K_g(u_i) &= -2 \sum_x \left(g(x) u_i(x) - \sum_j a_{ij} u_j(x) \right) \Gamma(g, u_i)(x) \mathrm{d}_x \\ &= -2 \sum_x g(x) u_i(x) \Gamma(g, u_i)(x) \mathrm{d}_x + 2 \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ij} \\ &=: -I + \sum_j (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) a_{ij}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here by the symmetrization of x, y, the elementary equality (2.1) and Green's formula, Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{x,y}\mu_{xy}g(x)u_i(x)\nabla_{xy}g\nabla_{xy}u_i = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x,y}\mu_{xy}(g(x)u_i(x)+g(y)u_i(y))\nabla_{xy}g\nabla_{xy}u_i \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{x,y}\mu_{xy}\nabla_{xy}(g^2)\nabla_{xy}(u_i^2)+I_g(u_i) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x}\Delta(g^2)(x)u_i^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x+I_g(u_i) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2n}+I_g(u_i), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_g(u_i) := \frac{1}{4}\sum_{x,y}\mu_{xy}|\nabla_{xy}g|^2|\nabla_{xy}u_i|^2.$$

Hence

$$K_g(u_i) = \frac{1}{2n} - I_g(u_i) + \sum_j(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)a_{ij}^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1}$. We claim that for any $1 \le i \le k$,

$$K_g(u_i) \le \frac{4}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i}\sum_x \Gamma(g,u_i)^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x. \tag{3.5}$$

To prove the claim, it suffices to assume $K_g(u_i) > 0$. By (3.2),

$$0 < K_g(u_i)^2 \le 4\left(\sum_x \Gamma(g,u_i)^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x\right)\left(\sum_x \varphi_i^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x\right),$$

which implies that $\sum_x \varphi_i^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x > 0$. Hence, combining this with (3.3), we have for any $1 \le i \le k$,

$$\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i \le \frac{K_g(u_i)}{\sum_x \varphi_i^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x} \le \frac{\sum_x \Gamma(g,u_i)^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x}{K_g(u_i)}.$$

By using (3.4),

$$\frac{1}{2n} - I_g(u_i) + \sum_j(\lambda_i-\lambda_j)a_{ij}^2 = K_g(u_i) \le \frac{4}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i}\sum_x \Gamma(g,u_i)^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x.$$

Summing over i from 1 to k and noting that a_{ij} is symmetric, we have

$$\frac{k}{2n} - \sum_i I_g(u_i) \le 4\sum_i \frac{\sum_x \Gamma(g,u_i)^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i}.$$

By choosing $g = x_\alpha$ for $1 \le \alpha \le n$ in the above inequality and summing over α, noting that (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \lambda_i \le \frac{2}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i}.$$

This proves the theorem.

□

In particular, Hile-Protter type inequality implies Payne-Polya-Weinberger type inequality.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This follows from (Hile-Protter) by using $\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i \ge \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k$, for any $1 \le i \le k$. □

Now we prove an analogue to Yang's first inequality on Dirichlet eigenvalues in $\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Multiplying $(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2$ on both sides of (3.4) and summing over i from 1 to k, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F &:= \sum_i (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 K_g(u_i) \\ &= \sum_i (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2n} - I_g(u_i)\right) + \sum_{i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 a_{ij}^2 \\ &= \sum_i (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2n} - I_g(u_i)\right) - 4\sum_{i,j} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i) b_{ij}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where we have used the anti-symmetry of b_{ij}. Multiplying $(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2$ on both sides of (3.3) and summing over i from 1 to k, we have

$$\sum_i (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^3 \sum_x \varphi_i^2(x) \mathrm{d}_x \le F. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.1), for any $d_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \le i, j \le k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^2 &= \left(-2\sum_i (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \sum_x \varphi_i(x)\Gamma(g, u_i)(x)\mathrm{d}_x\right)^2 \\ &\le 4\left(\sum_i \sum_x (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^3 \varphi_i^2(x)\mathrm{d}_x\right)\left(\sum_i \sum_x \left[(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(g, u_i)(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{ij}u_j(x)\right]^2 \mathrm{d}_x\right) \\ &\le 4F\sum_i \sum_x \left[(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)\Gamma(g, u_i)(x)^2 - 2\sum_j d_{ij}(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} u_j(x)\Gamma(g, u_i)(x)\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{ij}u_j(x)\right)^2\right] \mathrm{d}_x. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we use the orthogonality condition (3.1) to introduce an additional term involving d_{ij} and then apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the second line above. This yields that

$$F \le 4\sum_i \sum_x (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\Gamma(g,u_i)(x)^2 \mathrm{d}_x + 4\left[-2\sum_{i,j} d_{ij}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} b_{ij} + \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}^2\right].$$

By setting $d_{ij} = (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} b_{ij}$, we get

$$F \le 4\sum_i \sum_x (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\Gamma(g,u_i)(x)^2 \mathrm{d}_x - 4\sum_{i,j}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i) b_{ij}^2.$$

By (3.6),

$$\sum_i (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2 (\frac{1}{2n} - I_g(u_i)) \le 4\sum_i \sum_x (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\Gamma(g,u_i)(x)^2 \mathrm{d}_x.$$

By plugging $g = x_\alpha$, $1 \le \alpha \le n$, into the above inequality and summing over α, noting that (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\sum_i (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)^2 (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda_i}{2}) \le \frac{2}{n}\sum_i (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\lambda_i.$$

This proves the theorem.

□

Now we are ready to prove Yang type second inequality.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_1$, otherwise $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_{k+1}$ which implies (Yang-2) trivially. By Yang type first inequality, (Yang-1),

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_i (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\left[(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)(1-\lambda_i) - \frac{4}{n}\lambda_i\right] \le 0.$$

Set $a_i := \lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i$ and $b_i := (\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)(1-\lambda_i) - \frac{4}{n}\lambda_i$. The function

$$f(x) := (\lambda_{k+1}-x)(1-x) - \frac{4}{n}x$$

is non-increasing in $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{4}{n}+\lambda_{k+1}))$ which implies that b_i is non-increasing. Using Chebyshev's inequality, see Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\left(\lambda_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i\right)\left[\lambda_{k+1} - (1+\frac{4}{n}+\lambda_{k+1})\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i + \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2\right] \le 0.$$

Note that by $\lambda_{k+1} > \lambda_1$,

$$\lambda_{k+1} > \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \frac{(1+\frac{4}{n})\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2}{1-\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i},$$

which proves the theorem. □

4. Applications

In this section, we collect some applications of universal inequalities obtained before.

We prove the first gap estimate of Dirichlet Laplacian by universal inequalities.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. The first assertion follows from Theorem 1.1 by setting $k = 1$.

For the second assertion, without loss of generality, we may assume $\lambda_1 < 1$. Since the spectrum of Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω is the union of the spectra of Dirichlet Laplacian on its connected components. Suppose that Ω' is one of connected components of Ω whose first Dirichlet eigenvalue is λ_1. It is easy to see that $\sharp\Omega' \geq 2$. By Proposition 2.6,

$$\lambda_1 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Combining this with the first assertion, we prove the second one. □

By Yang type second inequality, Theorem 1.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. If $\lambda_{k+1} \leq 1 + \frac{4}{n}$ for some $k \leq \sharp\Omega$, then*

$$\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_i - \overline{\lambda})^2 \leq \frac{4}{n}\overline{\lambda},$$

where $\overline{\lambda} := \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i$.

Proof. By Yang type second inequality, (Yang-2), and the fact $\overline{\lambda} \leq \lambda_{k+1}$,

$$\overline{\lambda} \leq \frac{(1+\frac{4}{n})\overline{\lambda} - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i^2}{1-\overline{\lambda}}.$$

The corollary follows.

□

In [CY07], Cheng and Yang studied the bound of λ_{k+1}/λ_1 for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and proved that

$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4}{n}\right) k^{\frac{2}{n}}\lambda_1.$$

Closely following their argument, we obtain its discrete analogue, see Corollary 1.6.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{k+1}$ be any positive numbers and $B > 0$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4B}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i). \tag{4.1}$$

Define

$$\Lambda_k = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i, \quad T_k = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\lambda_i^2, \quad F_k = \left(1 + \frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k^2 - T_k.$$

Then we have

$$F_{k+1} \leq C(n,k,B)\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\frac{4B}{n}} F_k,$$

where

$$C(n,k,B)=1-\frac{B}{3n}\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{\frac{4B}{n}}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^3}<1.$$

Proof. Equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$\left(\lambda_{k+1}-\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k\right)^2\le\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)^2\Lambda_k^2-\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)T_k. \tag{4.2}$$

Set $p_{k+1}=\Lambda_{k+1}-\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\frac{1}{1+k}\right)\Lambda_k$, the equation (4.2) becomes

$$(k+1)^2p_{k+1}^2\le\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)^2\Lambda_k^2-\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)T_k.$$

By the definition of F_k, we have

$$0\le-(k+1)^2p_{k+1}^2-\frac{2B}{n}\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k^2+\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)F_k. \tag{4.3}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{k+1} &= \left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_{k+1}^2-\frac{k}{k+1}\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k^2-\frac{1}{k+1}\lambda_{k+1}^2+\frac{k}{k+1}F_k \\
&= \left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left[p_{k+1}+\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\frac{1}{k+1}\right)\Lambda_k\right]^2-\frac{k}{k+1}\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k^2 \\
&\quad -(k+1)\left[p_{k+1}+\frac{1}{k+1}\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k\right]^2+\frac{k}{k+1}F_k \\
&= -\left(k-\frac{2B}{n}\right)p_{k+1}^2+\frac{4B}{n}\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\frac{1}{1+k}p_{k+1}\Lambda_k \\
&\quad +\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left[\frac{4B^2}{n^2(1+k)^2}+\frac{2B}{n}\frac{1}{1+k}\right]\Lambda_k^2+\frac{k}{1+k}F_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Multiplying (4.3) by $\left[\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{2B}{n}\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^2}+\frac{\beta\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^3}\right)\right]$ and then adding it to (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{k+1} &\le \left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{2B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^2}+\frac{2B\beta}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^3}\right)F_k \\
&\quad -(2k+1+\frac{2B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\beta}{k+1})p_{k+1}^2+\frac{4B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)}p_{k+1}\Lambda_k-\frac{4\beta B^2}{n^2}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)^2}{(k+1)^3}\Lambda_k^2 \\
&\le \left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{2B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^2}+\frac{2B\beta}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^3}\right)F_k \\
&\quad -\frac{4\beta B^2}{n^2}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)^2}{(k+1)^3}\Lambda_k^2+\frac{4B^2}{n^2}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)^2}{(k+1)^2(2k+1)}\Lambda_k^2 \\
&\quad -(2k+1)\left(p_{k+1}-\frac{2B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)(2k+1)}\Lambda_k\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\beta = \frac{k+1}{2k+1}$, we have

$$F_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4B}{n}\frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{2B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{2B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^2(2k+1)}\right) F_k. \tag{4.5}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\frac{4B}{n}} &= \left(1-\frac{1}{k+1}\right)^{-\frac{4B}{n}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{4B}{n}\frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{4B}{n}\frac{\left(\frac{4B}{n}+1\right)}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{1}{6}\frac{4B}{n}\frac{\left(\frac{4B}{n}+1\right)\left(\frac{4B}{n}+2\right)}{(k+1)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24}\frac{4B}{n}\frac{\left(\frac{4B}{n}+1\right)\left(\frac{4B}{n}+2\right)\left(\frac{4B}{n}+3\right)}{(k+1)^4} + \cdots \\ &\geq 1 + \frac{4B}{n}\frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{4B}{n}\frac{\left(\frac{4B}{n}+1\right)}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{4B}{n}\frac{\left(\frac{4B}{n}+1\right)\left(\frac{2B}{n}+1\right)}{(k+1)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\frac{4B}{n}\frac{\left(\frac{4B}{n}+1\right)\left(\frac{2B}{n}+1\right)}{(k+1)^4},\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}F_{k+1} &\leq \left[\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\frac{4B}{n}} - \frac{k-1}{3(2k+1)}\frac{2B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^3} - \frac{B}{n}\frac{\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^4}\right] F_k \\ &\leq C(n,k,B)\left(\frac{k+1}{k}\right)^{\frac{4}{n}} F_k,\end{aligned}$$

where $C(n,k,B) = 1 - \frac{B}{3n}\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{\frac{4B}{n}} \frac{\left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)}{(k+1)^3} < 1$. □

Now we are ready to prove Corollary 1.6.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Set $B = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_k}$. It suffices to show that

$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq \left(1 + \frac{4B}{n}\right) k^{\frac{2B}{n}} \lambda_1.$$

By Yang type first inequality, (Yang-1), we have (4.1). By Theorem 4.2, we have

$$F_k \leq C(n,k-1,B)\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{\frac{4B}{n}} F_{k-1} \leq k^{\frac{4B}{n}} F_1 = \frac{2B}{n} k^{\frac{4B}{n}} \lambda_1^2.$$

By (4.3) and noting that $(k+1)p_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+1} - \left(1+\frac{2B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k$, we have

$$\frac{\frac{2B}{n}}{1+\frac{4B}{n}}\lambda_{k+1}^2 + \frac{1+\frac{2B}{n}}{1+\frac{4B}{n}}\left(\lambda_{k+1} - \left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)\Lambda_k\right)^2 \leq \left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right) F_k.$$

Hence, we have

$$\lambda_{k+1}^2 \leq \frac{n}{2B}\left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)^2 F_k \leq \left(1+\frac{4B}{n}\right)^2 k^{\frac{4B}{n}} \lambda_1^2.$$

□

In the following, we adopt the idea in [Ash] to prove different versions of Yang type second inequality, Hile-Protter type inequality and Payne-Polya-Weinberger type inequality.

For any sequence $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of nonnegative real numbers, we define

$$\mu_i = \frac{1-\lambda_i+\frac{2}{n}}{\sum_{j=1}^k(1-\lambda_j+\frac{2}{n})},\ \forall 1\le i\le k. \tag{4.7}$$

If

$$\lambda_i \le 1+\frac{2}{n},\ \text{for any } 1\le i\le k,$$

then $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a probability measure supported on k points $\{i\}_{i=1}^k$. We prove another analogue to Yang's second inequality in the discrete setting following the argument of [Ash].

Theorem 4.3. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_k be the k-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Then*

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le \frac{1}{\sum_i(1-\lambda_i)}\times$$
$$\left[\sum_i \lambda_i(1-\lambda_i+\frac{2}{n})+\left(\left(\sum_i\lambda_i(1-\lambda_i+\frac{2}{n})\right)^2-\sum_i(1-\lambda_i)\sum_i\lambda_i^2(1-\lambda_i+\frac{4}{n})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]. \tag{4.8}$$

In particular, if $\lambda_k \le 1+\frac{2}{n}$, then

$$\lambda_{k+1} \le A\sum_i \lambda_i\mu_i,$$

where

$$A := \left(1+\frac{2k}{n\sum_i(1-\lambda_i)}\right)\left(1+\sqrt{1-\left(1+\frac{2k}{n\sum_i(1-\lambda_i)}\right)^{-1}}\right), \tag{4.9}$$

and μ_i is defined in (4.7).

Remark 4.4. If $\lambda_k \le 1-\delta$ for some $\delta>0$, then

$$A \le C(n,\delta) := (1+\frac{2}{n\delta})\left(1+\sqrt{1-(1+\frac{2}{n\delta})^{-1}}\right).$$

Proof. For the first assertion, by Yang type first inequality, (Yang-1),

$$f(\lambda_{k+1}) := \sum_i(1-\lambda_i)\lambda_{k+1}^2-\lambda_{k+1}\left[\sum_i\lambda_i(2+\frac{4}{n}-2\lambda_i)\right]+\sum_i\lambda_i^2(1+\frac{4}{n}-\lambda_i)\le 0.$$

As a quadratic inequality in λ_{k+1}, one obtains that λ_{k+1} is less than or equal to the larger root of $f(x)$, which yields (4.8).

For the second assertion, we estimate the last term in the bracket $[\cdot]$ in (4.8) as follows,

$$\sum_i\lambda_i^2(1-\lambda_i+\frac{4}{n}) \ge \sum_i\lambda_i^2(1-\lambda_i+\frac{2}{n}),$$

and obtain

(4.10)
$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq \frac{\frac{2k}{n} + \sum_i (1-\lambda_i)}{\sum_i (1-\lambda_i)} \left[\sum_i \lambda_i \mu_i + \left((\sum_i \lambda_i \mu_i)^2 - \frac{\sum_i (1-\lambda_i)}{\frac{2k}{n} + \sum_i (1-\lambda_i)} \sum_i \lambda_i^2 \mu_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$

For $\lambda_k \leq 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a probability measure, which implies that

$$\sum_i \lambda_i^2 \mu_i \geq (\sum_i \lambda_i \mu_i)^2.$$

Plugging it into (4.10), we prove the second assertion.

□

This result yields other versions of Hile-Protter inequality and Payne-Polya-Weinberger inequality. We omit the proofs here since they are similar to those in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.5. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Suppose that $\lambda_k \leq 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_i} \mu_i \geq \frac{1}{A-1},$$

where A is defined in (4.9) and μ_i is defined in (4.7).

Theorem 4.6. *Let Ω be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian on Ω. Suppose that $\lambda_k \leq 1 + \frac{2}{n}$, then*

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq (A-1) \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mu_i,$$

where A is defined in (4.9) and μ_i is defined in (4.7).

Acknowledgements. B. H. is supported by NSFC, grant no. 11401106. Y. L. is supported by NSFC, grant no. 11671401. Y. S. is supported by NSF of Fujian Province through Grants 2017J01556, 2016J01013.

References

[AB96] M.S. Ashbaugh and R.D. Benguria. Bounds for ratios of the first, second, and third membrane eigenvalues. In *Nonlinear problems in applied mathematics*, pages 30–42. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1996. 2

[Ash] M.S. Ashbaugh. Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues. In *Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh, 1998)*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 273. 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 16

[Ash02] M.S. Ashbaugh. The universal eigenvalue bounds of Payne-Pólya-Weinberger, Hile-Protter, and H. C. Yang. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 112(1):3–30, 2002. Spectral and inverse spectral theory (Goa, 2000). 2

[BHJ14] F. Bauer, B. Hua, and J. Jost. The dual Cheeger constant and spectra of infinite graphs. *Adv. Math.*, 251:147–194, 2014. 2, 3

[CC08] D. Chen and Q.-M. Cheng. Extrinsic estimates for eigenvalues of the Laplace operator. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 60(2):325–339, 2008. 2

[CG98] T. Coulhon and A. Grigor'yan. Random walks on graphs with regular volume growth. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 8(4):656–701, 1998. 2

[CH53] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. *Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. I.* Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1953. 1

[Cha84] I. Chavel. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, volume 115 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984. Including a chapter by Burton Randol, With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk. 1

[CO00] F. R. K. Chung and Kevin Oden. Weighted graph Laplacians and isoperimetric inequalities. *Pacific J. Math.*, 192(2):257–273, 2000. 1, 3

[CY00] F.R.K. Chung and S.-T. Yau. A Harnack inequality for Dirichlet eigenvalues. *J. Graph Theory*, 34(4):247–257, 2000. 2

[CY05] Q.-M. Cheng and H.C. Yang. Estimates on eigenvalues of Laplacian. *Math. Ann.*, 331(2):445–460, 2005. 2

[CY06] Q.-M. Cheng and H.C. Yang. Inequalities for eigenvalues of Laplacian on domains and compact complex hypersurfaces in complex projective spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 58(2):545–561, 2006. 2

[CY07] Q.-M. Cheng and H.C. Yang. Bounds on eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian. *Math. Ann.*, 337(1):159–175, 2007. 2, 4, 8, 13

[CY09] Q.-M. Cheng and H.C. Yang. Estimates for eigenvalues on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Equations*, 247(8):2270–2281, 2009. 2

[CZL12] D. Chen, T. Zheng, and M. Lu. Eigenvalue estimates on domains in complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 255(1):41–54, 2012. 2

[CZY16] D. Chen, T. Zheng, and H.C. Yang. Estimates of the gaps between consecutive eigenvalues of Laplacian. *Pacific J. Math.*, 282(2):293–311, 2016. 2, 4

[Dod84] J. Dodziuk. Difference equations, isoperimetric inequality and transience of certain random walks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 284(2):787–794, 1984. 2

[ESHd09] A. El Soufi, E.M. Harrell, II, and I. Saïd. Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of Laplace and Schrödinger operators on submanifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(5):2337–2350, 2009. 2

[Fri93] J. Friedman. Some geometric aspects of graphs and their eigenfunctions. *Duke Math. J.*, 69(3):487–525, 1993. 2

[Gri09] A. Grigor'yan. *Analysis on Graphs, https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/∼grigor/aglect.pdf*. 2009. 6

[Har93] E.M. Harrell, II. Some geometric bounds on eigenvalue gaps. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 18(1-2):179–198, 1993. 2

[Har07] E.M. Harrell, II. Commutators, eigenvalue gaps, and mean curvature in the theory of Schrödinger operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(1-3):401–413, 2007. 2

[HM94] E.M. Harrell, II and P.L. Michel. Commutator bounds for eigenvalues, with applications to spectral geometry. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 19(11-12):2037–2055, 1994. 2

[HP80] G.N. Hile and M.H. Protter. Inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 29(4):523–538, 1980. 2

[HS97] E.M. Harrell, II and J. Stubbe. On trace identities and universal eigenvalue estimates for some partial differential operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(5):1797–1809, 1997. 2

[Leu91] P.F. Leung. On the consecutive eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a compact minimal submanifold in a sphere. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 50(3):409–416, 1991. 2

[Li80] P. Li. Eigenvalue estimates on homogeneous manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55(3):347–363, 1980. 2

[LY83] P. Li and S.T. Yau. On the Schrödinger equation and the eigenvalue problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 88(3):309–318, 1983. 1

[Pol61] G. Polya. On the eigenvalues of vibrating membranes. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 11(3):419–433, 1961. 1

[PPW56] L.E. Payne, G. Polya, and H.F. Weinberger. On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues. *J. Math. Phys.*, 35:289–298, 1956. 1

[SCY08] H. Sun, Q.-M. Cheng, and H.C. Yang. Lower order eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian. *Manuscripta Math.*, 125(2):139–156, 2008. 2

[SY94] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau. *Lectures on differential geometry*. Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, I. International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994. Lecture notes prepared by W.Y. Ding, K.C. Chang [G.Q. Zhang], J.Q. Zhong and Y.C. Xu, Translated from the Chinese by Ding and S.Y. Cheng, Preface translated from the Chinese by K. Tso. 1

[Tho69] C.J. Thompson. On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues in N-dimensions. *Studies in Appl. Math.*, 48:281–283, 1969. 1

[Wey12] H. Weyl. Das asymptotische verteilungsgesetz der eigenwerte linearer partieller differentialgleichungen (mit einer anwendung auf die theorie der hohlraumstrahlung). *Math. Ann.*, 71(4):441–479, 1912. 1

[Yan91] H.C. Yang. An estimate of the difference between consecutive eigenvalues. *Preprintn IC/91/60 of ICTP, Trieste*, 1991. 2, 4

[YY80] P.C. Yang and S.-T. Yau. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 7(1):55–63, 1980. 2

E-mail address: bobohua@fudan.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, LMNS, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

E-mail address: linyong01@ruc.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Information School, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China

E-mail address: suyh@fzu.edu.cn

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350116, China