

Amazing examples of nonrational smooth spectral surfaces

Viktor Kulikov Alexander Zheglov

Dedicated to A. N. Parshin on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Abstract

In this paper we construct first examples of smooth projective surfaces of general type satisfying the following conditions: there are 1) an ample integral curve C with $C^2 = 1$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 1$; 2) a divisor D with $(D, C)_X = g(C) - 1$, $h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + C)) = 1$.

Such conditions arise from necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of non-trivial commutative subalgebras of rank one in \hat{D} , a completion of the algebra of partial differential operators in two variables, which can be thought of as a simple algebraic analogue of the algebra of analytic pseudodifferential operators on a manifold. We extract these conditions by elaborating the classification theorem of commutative subalgebras in \hat{D} due to the second author for the case of rank one subalgebras. Amazingly, the commutative subalgebras with such spectral surfaces do not admit isospectral deformations.

1 Introduction

The theory of commuting ordinary differential or difference operators appears in mathematical physics as an algebro-geometric tool in the theory of integrating non-linear soliton systems and the spectral theory of periodic finite-zone operators (see [13], [14], [22], [24]). An effective classification was offered in [22], [23] for differential case and in [24] for difference case (under certain natural restrictions). The theory of commuting partial differential operators or higher-dimensional difference operators is much more complicated and is not yet completed, though some elements of it appeared in the literature since the work [22]. Namely, a lot of papers were devoted to the explicit constructions of commuting matrix differential operators, see e.g. [12], [16], [37], [36], [32], [33], [44]. Non-trivial examples of commuting partial differential operators with *scalar* coefficients appeared in the deep theory of quantum Calogero-Moser systems and their deformations, see e.g. [40], [21], [20], [9], [10], [11], [3], [15], [8] and references therein. Other examples obtained with the help of ideas from differential algebra or theory of D -modules see e.g. in [43], [4].

Investigating the theory of commuting operators with scalar coefficients, the second author offered in [46] an analogue of the Krichever classification theorem for commutative subalgebras in a certain completion \hat{D} of the algebra of partial differential operators in two variables. This completion can be thought of as a simple algebraic analogue of the algebra of analytic pseudodifferential operators on a manifold. It contains many important

pseudodifferential operators that appear in diverse context in mathematical physics and analysis, among them are also difference operators, see section 2 (in particular, remark 2.1). In the approach of [46] the subalgebras in \hat{D} appears quite naturally, in particular as isospectral deformations of subalgebras in D . The similarity of theories (the theory of commuting ordinary differential operators and the theory in dimension two) leads to a natural conjecture that subalgebras of rank one permit an easier description in algebro-geometric terms (than a description of higher rank algebras). In particular, conjecturally there should be certain analogues of the explicit Krichever-Novikov formulas for rank one commuting ordinary differential or difference operators. This conjecture is justified by a number of explicit examples: first, by the examples arising in the theory of quantum Calogero-Moser systems (see the references above); second, by the examples obtained with the help of the new approach from works [46], [29], [5] (see [47] for the modern state of art). We start our paper with a statement extracting such easier description of rank one subalgebras from the general classification theorem in [46] (first giving an appropriate definition of rank one subalgebras). Refining this result, we come to a simple notion of *pre-spectral data of rank one* which encodes rank one subalgebras in \hat{D} . This data consists of a projective surface X , ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor C and a torsion free sheaf \mathcal{F} with a number of simple properties, see definition 3.1.

Unlike the theory in dimension one, there are strong restrictions on the geometry of algebro-geometric spectral data of commutative subalgebras from \hat{D} . In particular, in all examples from [46], [29], [5] the spectral surfaces are *singular*. First parameter that divides the set of all possible spectral surfaces in two classes is the dimension of the following cohomology group: $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C))$. To explain its meaning, recall that there is a big class of rank one algebras which were called "trivial" in [29]. They are the algebras containing the operator ∂_1 or ∂_2 , i.e. they consist of operators do not depending on x_1 or x_2 . The examples of such algebras naturally arise from examples of commuting ordinary differential operators just by adding one extra derivation. The pre-spectral data corresponding to such subalgebras are characterised by the property $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \geq 2$, see section 4 (cf. [29, Th.4.1]). In fact, the "trivial" algebras are not so trivial; investigation of their properties as well as of properties of their spectral data will appear in a separate paper. First examples of explicit non-"trivial" algebras, which are deformations of well known rational Calogero-Moser systems (with singular spectral surface), obtained with the help of our classification theory appeared in [5, §6].

In this paper we investigate the question whether there are pre-spectral data of rank one with a *smooth* spectral surface and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 1$. We construct first examples of such data with minimal possible genus of the divisor C ; the surfaces are of general type.

We leave for further investigations the following questions. 1) It would be interesting to find all possible smooth surfaces with such properties. We conjecture that for all such surfaces $q = p_g = 0$. 2) It would be also interesting to find explicit commuting operators corresponding to such surfaces. 3) Other questions see at the end of the paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section we give a review of the classification theory of commuting operators. At the end of section we prove a refined version of

the classification theorem for commutative algebras of rank one.

In the third section we introduce the notion of pre-spectral data and show that it can be extended to a spectral data, thus reducing the problem of finding examples of commutative subalgebras in the algebra \hat{D} to a purely algebro-geometric problem.

In the fourth section we investigate the question of existing *smooth spectral surfaces*. We recall the notion of "trivial" commutative subalgebras and show that smooth spectral surfaces with ample divisors of arithmetical genus less or equal to one lead to "trivial" commutative subalgebras. Then we show the existence of pre-spectral data with smooth spectral surfaces (of general type) and with smooth ample divisors of genus two. Amazingly the moduli space of spectral sheaves on such surfaces is finite, i.e. the corresponding commutative subalgebras do not have any isospectral deformations.

2 Survey on the algebro-geometric spectral data

In this section we elaborate the theory of commutative subalgebras from [46], [47, Ch. 3] for *rank one* subalgebras (we refer to [29, Sec. 2] for a review of this theory in generic case). Our aim is to prove a refined version of the classification theorem for commutative algebras of rank one, which is motivated by the following observation.

Starting with the algebra of partial differential operators (PDO for short) $D = k[[x_1, x_2]][\partial_1, \partial_2]$, where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, one can define a completion \hat{D} . In [46] it was shown that commutative k -subalgebras $B \subset \hat{D}$ satisfying certain mild conditions are classified in terms of certain geometric spectral data, and this classification is, in a sense, a natural generalisation of the Krichever classification of commuting ordinary differential operators. The classification of commuting ordinary operators is especially simple for subalgebras of rank one, because any rank one commutative subalgebra of ordinary differential operators is essentially determined (up to a linear change of variables) by purely geometric spectral data consisting of a projective curve, a regular point on the curve, and a coherent torsion free sheaf of rank one over the curve. We are going to explain in this section that the classification of commutative subalgebras in \hat{D} of *rank one* (for an appropriately defined notion of rank) possess the analogous property.

We denote by M the unique maximal ideal in the ring $k[[x_1, x_2]]$ and by ord_M the order function (or the discrete valuation defined by M) on this ring:

$$\text{ord}_M(a) = \sup\{n | a \in (x_1, x_2)^n\}.$$

Definition 2.1. Define the ring

$$\hat{D}_1 = \{a = \sum_{q \geq 0} a_q \partial_1^q | a_q \in k[[x_1, x_2]] \text{ and } \sup\{q - \text{ord}_M(a_q)\} < \infty\}.$$

Define the *completion* of D as $\hat{D} = \hat{D}_1[\partial_2]$.

We define also a kind of "pseudo-differential" ring $\hat{E}_+ = \hat{D}_1((\partial_2^{-1}))$.

Remark 2.1. This definition differs from definition in [46] (our \hat{D} is $\hat{D} \cap \Pi_1$ in the notation from [46]; \hat{E}_+ also differs correspondingly). Though this definition is not "symmetric" (there

is a symmetric version of completion in [5, Def. 5.1]), it is well adapted for the classification of commutative subalgebras. In particular, there is an analogue of the Schur theory [47, Ch. 3].

We'll call the elements from \hat{D} , \hat{E}_+ as operators. Operators from \hat{D} act linearly on the space of functions $k[[x_1, x_2]]$. For $P \in \hat{D}$ we'll denote this action by $P \diamond f$ or by $P(f)$. The algebra D of partial differential operators (PDOs) is a dense subalgebra in \hat{D} (with respect to an appropriate topology). It contains also k -linear endomorphisms of $k[[x_1, x_2]]$, Dirac's delta-functions, integral operators (see [5, Ex. 5.4, 5.5]) and difference operators (embedded e.g. through the embedding defined in [31]).

Following the exposition of [5, Sec. 5], we introduce an analogue of the order function on D :

Definition 2.2. For any element $P = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \geq 0} a_{\underline{k}} \underline{\partial}^{\underline{k}} \in \hat{D}$, where $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2)$, $\underline{\partial}^{\underline{k}} = \partial_1^{k_1} \partial_2^{k_2}$, we define its *order* to be

$$\mathbf{ord}(P) := \sup\{k_1 + k_2 - \mathbf{ord}_M(a_{\underline{k}})\} \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\} \quad (1)$$

(we set $\mathbf{ord}(0) = -\infty$). Thus, if $d = \mathbf{ord}(P)$, then we have:

$$\mathbf{ord}_M(a_{\underline{k}}) \geq k_1 + k_2 - d \quad \text{for any } a_{\underline{k}}.$$

Note that for a partial differential operator with constant highest symbol the order of P taken in the sense (1) coincides with the usual definition of the order of a differential operator. The order function \mathbf{ord} induces a filtration on \hat{D} and all its subalgebras, and we will denote by $gr(\cdot)$ the corresponding associated graded algebras.

Let $P \in \hat{D}$. Then we have uniquely determined $\alpha_{\underline{k}, \underline{i}} \in k$ such that

$$P = \sum_{k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2 \geq 0} \alpha_{\underline{k}, \underline{i}} \underline{x}^{\underline{i}} \underline{\partial}^{\underline{k}}. \quad (2)$$

For any $m \geq -d$ we put:

$$P_m := \sum_{(i_1 + i_2) - (k_1 + k_2) = m} \alpha_{\underline{k}, \underline{i}} \underline{x}^{\underline{i}} \underline{\partial}^{\underline{k}}$$

to be the m -th *homogeneous component* of P . Note that $\mathbf{ord}(P_m) = -m$ and we have a decomposition $P = \sum_{m=-d}^{\infty} P_m$.

Finally, $\sigma(P) := P_{-d}$ is the *symbol* of P . We say that $P \in \hat{D}$ is *homogeneous* if $P = \sigma(P)$.

Unlike the usual ring of PDOs, the ring \hat{D} contains zero divisors (see e.g. [5, Ex. 5.4]). By this reason the order function and symbols have a little bit weaker properties.

Lemma 2.1. *The following properties hold:*

- $\mathbf{ord}(P \cdot Q) \leq \mathbf{ord}(P) + \mathbf{ord}(Q)$, and the equality holds iff $\sigma(P) \cdot \sigma(Q) \neq 0$.

- $\sigma(P \cdot Q) = \sigma(P) \cdot \sigma(Q)$, provided $\sigma(P) \cdot \sigma(Q) \neq 0$.

The proof of this lemma for the ring \hat{D} is contained in the proof of [5, Th. 5.3].

The classification theorem from [46] deals with commutative subalgebras from \hat{D} satisfying certain mild special conditions (1-quasi-elliptic strongly admissible). To explain them, we need to recall the notions of order functions and of growth condition from [46].

Definition 2.3. We say that a non-zero operator $P \in \hat{D}$ has Γ -order $\text{ord}_\Gamma(P) = (k, l)$ if $P = \sum_{s=0}^l p_s \partial_2^s$, where $p_s \in \hat{D}_1$, $p_l \in k[[x_1, x_2]][\partial_1] = D_1$, and $\text{ord}(p_l) = k$ (here ord is the usual order in the ring of differential operators D_1). In this situation we say that the operator P is monic if the highest coefficient of p_l is 1.

The notion of Γ -order extends obviously to the ring \hat{E}_+ . There is also the order *function* ord_2 defined on \hat{E}_+ as $\text{ord}_2(P) = l$ if $P = \sum_{s=-\infty}^l p_s \partial_2^s$. The coefficient p_l is called *the highest term* and will be denoted by $HT_2(P)$ (as the term naturally associated with the function ord_2).

Both orders behave like the **ord**-function, namely

$$\text{ord}_\Gamma(P_1 \cdot P_2) = \text{ord}_\Gamma(P_1) + \text{ord}_\Gamma(P_2); \quad \text{ord}_2(P_1 \cdot P_2) \leq \text{ord}_2(P_1) + \text{ord}_2(P_2), \quad (3)$$

see [46, Lemma 2.8] or [47, S.3.3.1, L.14] for the proof of the first equality; the second inequality is obvious, moreover, we have $HT_2(P_1 \cdot P_2) = HT_2(P_1) \cdot HT_2(P_2)$ provided $HT_2(P_1) \cdot HT_2(P_2) \neq 0$.

Definition 2.4. We say that an operator $Q = \sum q_{ij} \partial_1^i \partial_2^j \in \hat{E}_+$ satisfies condition $A_1(m)$ if $\text{ord}_M(q_{ij}) \geq i + j - m$ for all (i, j) .

An operator $P \in \hat{D}$, $P = \sum p_{ij} \partial_1^i \partial_2^j$ with $\text{ord}_\Gamma(P) = (k, l)$ satisfies condition A_1 if it satisfies $A_1(k + l)$.

It is easy to see that the ring \hat{D} consists of operators satisfying conditions $A_1(m)$ for some m . The subset

$$\Pi = \{P \in \hat{E}_+ \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ s. that } P \text{ satisfies } A_1(m)\}. \quad (4)$$

is an associative subring with unity (see [46, Corol.2.2]). The order function **ord** extends obviously to Π and this extension has the same properties from lemma 2.1, as the same arguments show.

Definition 2.5. The ring $B \subset \hat{D}$ of commuting operators is called quasi elliptic if it contains two monic operators P, Q such that $\text{ord}_\Gamma(P) = (0, k)$ and $\text{ord}_\Gamma(Q) = (1, l)$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $k \geq 1$.

The ring B is called 1-quasi elliptic if P, Q satisfy the condition A_1 . In this case $\text{ord}(P) = k$, $\text{ord}(Q) = 1 + l$.

The main features of 1-quasi elliptic rings are that they are integral (see [46, Th. 3.2]) and are appropriate for the Schur theory [47, Ch. 3]. The Γ -order is defined on all elements of such rings (see [29, Lemma 2.3]), and thus the function $-\text{ord}_\Gamma$ is a discrete valuation of rank two (i.e. a valuation with values in the group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ with the anti-lexicographical order). Moreover these rings satisfy the following important property (cf. [28, Th. 2.1]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let B be a 1-quasi elliptic commutative subalgebra in \hat{D} . Then the natural map*

$$\Phi : gr(\hat{D}) \rightarrow gr(\hat{D})/x_1 gr(\hat{D}) + x_2 gr(\hat{D}) \simeq k[\xi_1, \xi_2]$$

induces an embedding of vector spaces on $gr(B)$. The B -module $F = \hat{D}/x_1 \hat{D} + x_2 \hat{D} \simeq k[\partial_1, \partial_2]$ (the spectral module) is torsion free.

In particular, the function $-\mathbf{ord}$ induces a discrete valuation of rank one on B and on its field of fractions $\text{Quot}(B)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{ord}(P) = k + l$, where $(k, l) = \text{ord}_\Gamma(\sigma(P))$, $P \in B$.

Proof. By [46, Lemmas 2.9, 2.10, 2.11] together with [46, Corol. 3.1] there exists an invertible operator $S \in \hat{E}_+$ satisfying the condition A_1 with $\text{ord}_2(S) = 0$ and with invertible $HT_2(S) \in \hat{D}_1$ such that $SBS^{-1} \subset \hat{E}_+$ is a subalgebra of operators with *constant* coefficients (in particular, $S \in \Pi$). More precisely, S has the form $S = fS_1S_2$, where $f \in k[[x_1, x_2]]$ is an invertible function, $S_1 \in \hat{D}_1$ is an invertible operator such that $[\partial_1, S_1] = 0$, and $S_2 = 1 + S_2^-$ with $S_2^- \in \hat{D}_1[[\partial_2^{-1}]]\partial_2^{-1}$.

Since S is invertible, for any non-zero element $Q \in B$ we have $\mathbf{ord}(SQS^{-1}) = \mathbf{ord}(Q)$. But the symbol of SQS^{-1} has constant coefficients, therefore, the image $\sigma'(Q)$ of $\sigma(Q)$ in $k[\partial_1, \partial_2]$ is not zero. Indeed, on the one hand side we have $HT_2(\sigma(S) \cdot \sigma(Q) \cdot \sigma(S)^{-1}) = HT_2(\sigma(S)) \cdot HT_2(\sigma(Q)) \cdot HT_2(\sigma(S)^{-1})$. On the other hand, $HT_2(\sigma(S) \cdot \sigma(Q) \cdot \sigma(S)^{-1}) = c_1 \partial_1^m$, $\sigma(S) = c_2 \cdot \sigma(S_1) \cdot \sigma(S_2)$ for some $c_i \in k^*$, and $HT_2(\sigma(S)) = c_2 \cdot \sigma(S_1)$. Thus, $HT_2(\sigma(Q)) = c_2^{-1} \cdot \sigma(S_1)^{-1} \cdot c_1 \partial_1^m \cdot c_2 \cdot \sigma(S_1) = c_1 \partial_1^m$, i.e. $\sigma'(Q) \neq 0$ in $k[\partial_1, \partial_2]$. Thus, $gr(B)$ is embedded in $k[\partial_1, \partial_2]$ and F is a torsion free B -module, as for any non-zero $f \in F$ $f \cdot S \neq 0$ and for any non-zero $b \in B$ $f \cdot b = fS^{-1}(SbS^{-1})S \neq 0 \pmod{(x_1, x_2)}$. \square

For some 1-quasi-elliptic rings it is possible to define a notion of rank.

Definition 2.6. For a 1-quasi-elliptic commutative ring $B \subset \hat{D}$ we define numbers \tilde{N}_B and N_B as

$$N_B = \text{GCD}\{\text{ord}_2(b) : b \in B, \text{ord}_\Gamma(b) = (0, \text{ord}_2(b)), \mathbf{ord}(b) = \text{ord}_2(b)\},$$

$$\tilde{N}_B = \text{GCD}\{\mathbf{ord}(b) \mid b \in B\}$$

We will say that the ring B is *strongly admissible* if $\tilde{N}_B = N_B$. In this case we define the rank of B as $\text{rk}(B) = N_B = \tilde{N}_B$.

This definition is equivalent to [46, Def. 3.5-3.8] due to [46, Th. 3.2]. One of motivations to define 1-quasi-elliptic strongly admissible rings was the following property of a sufficiently large class of commutative rings of PDEs ([46, Lemma 2.6, Prop. 2.4]): if B contains two operators P, Q such that the function $\sigma(P)^{\mathbf{ord} Q} / \sigma(Q)^{\mathbf{ord} P}$ is not a constant on \mathbb{P}^1 , then after almost all linear changes of variables the ring B becomes 1-quasi-elliptic strongly admissible.

According to [46, Th. 3.4], finitely generated commutative 1-quasi-elliptic strongly admissible rings of rank r are classified in terms of *geometric spectral data of rank r* (we'll give below a simplified precise definition of rank one data), which consists, in particular, of a

projective surface (usually very singular), an ample irreducible \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor, a regular point on the divisor and on the surface, and a torsion free sheaf with some cohomological properties.

These geometric objects can be easily described: for a given ring B define $\tilde{B} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n s^n$ to be the Rees algebra defined with respect to the filtration defined by **ord**. Then the *spectral surface* $X = \text{Proj } \tilde{B}$, the *divisor* $C \simeq \text{Proj}(gr(B))$ corresponds to the valuation $-\mathbf{ord}$, the *spectral sheaf* $\mathcal{F} = \text{Proj } \tilde{F}$, where $\tilde{F} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n s^n$ is the Rees module defined with respect to the filtration defined by **ord** and Proj means the sheaf associated with the graded module, and the *point* p is the center of a discrete valuation of rank two ν_B defined as $\nu_B(P) := (k, -\mathbf{ord})$, where $(k, l) = \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(\sigma(P))$ for $P \in B$ (see the proof of theorem 2.1 below).

The spectral sheaf plays the crucial role in this classification; it has the following general properties:

- it is quasi-coherent, but may be not coherent [28, Ex. 3.4];
- if it is coherent, its rank is greater or equal to the rank of the ring (=the rank of the data) [28, Rem. 3.3] (there are some sufficient conditions on the ring of PDO's that guarantees the coherence of the spectral sheaf, see [28, Prop. 3.3] combined with [28, Th. 2.1] or [47, Th.18] for a version with corrected inaccuracies);
- it is coherent of rank *equal* to the rank of the ring iff the self-intersection index of the ample divisor is equal to the rank of ring [28, Prop. 3.2].

In case when the B -module F from lemma 2.2 is finitely generated, it has the following natural interpretation, analogous to the case of rings of PDOs.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $B \subset \hat{D}$ be a finitely generated 1-quasi-elliptic commutative subalgebra such that the module F is finitely generated.*

For any character $B \xrightarrow{\chi} k$ (i.e. an algebra homomorphism), consider the vector space

$$\text{Sol}(B, \chi) := \left\{ f \in k[[x_1, x_2]] \mid P \diamond f = \chi(P)f \text{ for all } P \in B \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Then there exists a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces

$$F|_{\chi} := F \otimes_B (B/\text{Ker}(\chi)) \cong \text{Sol}(B, \chi)^*. \quad (6)$$

assigning to a class $\overline{\partial_1^{p_1} \partial_2^{p_2}} \in F|_{\chi}$ the linear functional $f \mapsto \frac{1}{p_1! p_2!} \frac{\partial^{p_1+p_2} f}{\partial x_1^{p_1} \partial x_2^{p_2}} \Big|_{(0,0)}$ on the vector space $\text{Sol}(B, \chi)$. In particular, $\dim_k(\text{Sol}(B, \chi)) < \infty$ for any χ .

The proof is verbally the same as in [5, Th. 4.5 item 2], cf. [28, Rem. 2.3].

Proposition 2.1 permits to define another version of rank of a commutative subalgebra $B \subset \hat{D}$: let's denote by $\mathbf{rk}(B)$ the rank of the module F . Having in mind the properties of the spectral sheaf mentioned above, we can now define what we'll mean as rank one commutative subalgebras in \hat{D} .

Definition 2.7. We will say that a commutative finitely generated subalgebra $B \subset \hat{D}$ is the *algebra of rank one* if it is 1-quasi-elliptic strongly admissible and $\mathbf{rk}(B) = 1$.

As we will see later in corollary 3.1, using the asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem, the last property is equivalent to the following:

$$\dim_k B_m \sim m^2/2,$$

where $B_m = \{P \in B, \mathbf{ord}(P) \leq m\}$, and \sim means that the function $(\dim_k B_m) - m^2/2$ is a linear in m polynomial.

Recall that commutative algebras were classified in [46] up to the following equivalence.

Definition 2.8. The commutative 1-quasi elliptic rings $B_1, B_2 \subset \hat{D}$ are said to be equivalent if there is an invertible operator $S \in \hat{D}_1$ of the form $S = f + S^-$, where $S^- \in \hat{D}_1 \partial_1$, $f \in k[[x_1, x_2]]^*$, such that $B_1 = S B_2 S^{-1}$.

In each equivalence class there exists a normalized ring, see [46, Lemma 2.10].

Definition 2.9. We say that a commutative 1-quasi-elliptic ring $B \subset \hat{D}$ is normalized if it contains a pair of operators P, Q with $\mathbf{ord}_\Gamma(P) = (0, k)$, $\mathbf{ord}_\Gamma(Q) = (1, l)$ of the form

$$P = \partial_2^k + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} p_s \partial_2^s \quad Q = \partial_1 \partial_2^l + \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} q_s \partial_2^s,$$

where $p_s, q_s \in \hat{D}_1$.

By [29, Rem. 2.11] two equivalent normalized rings differ by a special linear change of variables of the form

$$\partial_2 \mapsto \partial_2 + c \partial_1 + b, \quad \partial_1 \mapsto \partial_1 + d, \quad x_1 \mapsto x_1 - c x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto x_2 \quad (7)$$

with $c, b, d \in k$.¹

Now let's explain what should be the corresponding spectral data of rank one. In the case of spectral data with *coherent* spectral sheaf of *rank one* the definition from [46] can be simplified as follows (cf. [29, §2.1]). Let's introduce the following notation:

- $T = \operatorname{Spec} k[[u, t]] \supset T_1 = \operatorname{Spec} k[[u]]$ (defined by the equation $t = 0$),
- $O = \operatorname{Spec}(k) \in T_1$,
- $R = k[[u, t]]$, $\mathcal{M} = (u, t) \subset R$.

Definition 2.10. A *coherent geometric data of rank 1* is a triple (X, j, \mathcal{F}) , where X is an integral projective surface,

$$j : T \rightarrow X$$

is a dominant k -morphism and $\mathcal{F} \subset j_* \mathcal{O}_T$ is a coherent subsheaf of *rank one* subject to the following conditions:

¹Note that linear changes $\partial_1 \mapsto a \partial_1 + b \partial_2$ or $x_i \mapsto x_i + b$ with $k \ni b \neq 0$ are not allowed in the ring \hat{D} .

1. $j_*(T_1) = C \subset X$ is a curve² (automatically integral), and $p = j(O)$ is a point neither in the singular locus of C nor of X .
2. $T_1 \times_X \{p\} = \{O\}$, $T \times_X C = T_1$ (the fiber product is a subscheme of T and T_1 is an effective Cartier divisor on T).
3. There exists an effective, very ample Cartier divisor $C' \subset X$ with cycle $Z(C') = dC$ and for all $n \geq 0$ the induced map (by the embedding $\mathcal{F} \subset j_*\mathcal{O}_T$)

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC')) \rightarrow H^0(X, j_*\mathcal{O}_T(nC')) = H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(ndT_1)) = Rt^{-nd} \rightarrow Rt^{-nd}/\mathcal{M}^{nd+1}t^{-nd} \quad (8)$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.2. Immediately from definition it follows that the curve C does not belong to the singular locus of X . By [28, Th. 3.2] the surface X is Cohen-Macaulay along C . By [28, Corol. 3.1] the sheaf \mathcal{F} is Cohen-Macaulay along C , hence it is locally free at all smooth points on C belonging to the smooth locus of X .

By [46, Theorem 3.4] any coherent spectral data of rank one corresponds to a finitely generated commutative k -algebra of rank one in \hat{D} .

As it follows from [46, Th.4.1], each commutative subalgebra in \hat{D} can be extended to a Cohen-Macaulay subalgebra, i.e. we can assume additionally that X is a Cohen-Macaulay (CM) surface. The spectral sheaf \mathcal{F} is known to be Cohen-Macaulay if $B \subset D$ [29, Th. 3.1]. In general case it is not true, see example in [5, Rem. 6.2.1] (in terms of Schur pairs).

From the asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem it follows that $C^2 = 1$, see [29, Remark 2].

Definition 2.11. We call (X, C, p, \mathcal{F}) a *reduced geometric data of rank 1* if it consists of the following data:

1. X is an integral projective surface;
2. C is a reduced irreducible ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor on X and X is Cohen-Macaulay along C ;
3. $p \in C$ is a closed k -point, which is regular on C and on X ;
4. \mathcal{F} is a torsion free coherent sheaf of rank one on X , which is Cohen-Macaulay along C and subject to the following conditions.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p} \simeq k[[u, t]]$ be an isomorphism of local algebras chosen in such a way that t corresponds to a formal local equation of C at p . Let $\phi : \hat{\mathcal{F}}_p \simeq k[[u, t]]$ be a $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ -module isomorphism (trivialisation). By item 2 there is the minimal natural number d such that $C' = dC$ is a very ample Cartier divisor on X . Let $\gamma_n : H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC')) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(nC')_p$ be an embedding (which is an embedding, since $\mathcal{F}(nC')$ is a torsion free

²Notation: for a morphism of noetherian schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a closed subscheme $Z \subset X$, $f_*Z \subset Y$ is the closed subscheme defined by the ideal $\ker(\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{f^*} f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$

quasi-coherent sheaf on X). Let $\epsilon_n : \mathcal{F}(nC')_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p$ be the natural $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module isomorphism given by multiplication to an element $f^{nd} \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$, where $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a local equation of C at p . Let $\tau_n : k[[u, t]] \rightarrow k[[u, t]]/(u, t)^{nd+1}$ be the natural ring epimorphism. We demand that the maps

$$\tau_n \circ \phi \circ \epsilon_n \circ \gamma_n : H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC')) \rightarrow k[[u, t]]/(u, t)^{nd+1}$$

are isomorphisms for all $n \geq 0$. (These conditions on the map ϕ do not depend on the choice of the trivialisation, on the choice of the local algebras isomorphism with the given property, and on the choice of the appropriate element f .)

Remark 2.3. If we fix an isomorphism $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p} \simeq k[[u, t]]$ and a trivialisation ϕ in definition 2.11, then we obtain a geometric datum of rank one in the sense of [46, Def. 3.10]. This definition is equivalent to definition 2.10, see [29, §2.1.1].

Definition 2.12. Two reduced geometric data of rank 1 $(X_1, C_1, p_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$, $(X_2, C_2, p_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ are *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism of surfaces $\beta : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ of surfaces and an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \beta_* \mathcal{F}_1$ of sheaves on X_2 such that $\beta|_{C_1} : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is an isomorphism of curves and $\beta(p_1) = p_2$.

To formulate the main theorem of this section we need to introduce the following general form of a linear change of variables:

$$\partial_2 \mapsto a\partial_2 + c\partial_1 + b, \quad \partial_1 \mapsto e\partial_1 + d, \quad x_1 \mapsto e^{-1}x_1 - cx_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto a^{-1}x_2, \quad (9)$$

where $a, e \in k^*$, $b, c, d \in k$.

Theorem 2.1. *There is one-to-one correspondence between the set of commutative normalized finitely generated algebras of rank one up to linear changes of variables (9) in \hat{D} and the set of isomorphism classes of reduced geometric data of rank one.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem essentially follows from [46, Th. 3.4] combined with [28, Cor. 3.1, Prop. 3.2, Prop. 3.3] and [29, Rem. 2.11]. For convenience we'll give main steps of the proof here, since we'll need them in the next section.

1. In one direction the correspondence is as follows. Any linear change (9) is a composition of a linear change (of type) (7) and of a linear change $\partial_1 \mapsto e\partial_1$, $\partial_2 \mapsto a\partial_2$. As it was already mentioned above ([29, Rem. 2.11]), any commutative normalized finitely generated algebra of rank one B up to linear changes of variables (7) belongs to the same equivalence class. Then by [46, Th. 3.2] it uniquely corresponds to an equivalence class of a *Schur pair* (A, W) , a pair of subspaces in the ring $k[z_1^{-1}](z_2)$ such that A is an algebra isomorphic to B and W is a A module isomorphic to F^3 . The proof of [46, Th. 3.2] is constructive; the spaces A and W are obtained as follows: $A = S^{-1}BS$, $W = F \cdot S$, where S is a monic operator of special type satisfying the condition A_1 . It is defined by a pair of normalized operators from B (see [46, §2.3.4]) using the analogue of Schur's theorem in dimension one

³The Schur pairs in dimension one were introduced in [34] (see also [35] for a review), where the classification of commutative algebras of ODO's was rewritten in their terms.

(see [46, Lemma 2.11]). If one chooses another pair of normalized operators from B , then the resulting Schur pair will be equivalent to the first one. The linear change $\partial_1 \mapsto e\partial_1$, $\partial_2 \mapsto a\partial_2$ induces the isomorphism $z_1 \mapsto e^{-1}z_1$, $z_2 \mapsto a^{-1}z_2$ on the ring $k[z_1^{-1}](z_2)$ and on the corresponding Schur pair. We note also that the Schur pair (A, W) is strongly admissible in the sense of [46, Def.3.12], i.e. it fulfils conditions of [46, Th.3.3], since B is strongly admissible.

The Schur pairs from [46, Th. 3.2] one to one correspond to pairs of subspaces in the space $k[[u]]((t))$ via an isomorphism

$$\psi_1 : k[z_1^{-1}](z_2) \cap \Pi \simeq k[[u]]((t)) \quad z_2 \mapsto t, z_1^{-1} \mapsto ut^{-1}, \quad (10)$$

where $k[z_1^{-1}](z_2) \cap \Pi$ denotes the k -subspace generated by series satisfying the condition A_1 (see [46, Cor.3.3]). We will denote these pairs by the same letters (A, W) . Clearly, $A \cdot W \subset W$.

The space $k[[u]]((t))$ is a subspace of the two-dimensional local field $k((u))((t))$, on which the following discrete valuation of rank two $\nu : k((u))((t))^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is defined:

$$\nu(f) = (m, l) \quad \text{iff} \quad f = t^l u^m f_0, \text{ where } f_0 \in k[[u]]^* + tk((u))[[t]].$$

(Here $k[[u]]^*$ means the set of invertible elements in the ring $k[[u]]$.) We also define the discrete valuation of rank one

$$\nu_t(f) = l.$$

The valuation ν_t induces a filtration on A, W , and we denote by \tilde{A} , \tilde{W} the associated Rees algebra and Rees module correspondingly.

By [46, Th. 3.3] the Schur pair (A, W) uniquely corresponds to algebro-geometric datum consisting of the integral projective surface $X \simeq \text{Proj } \tilde{A} \simeq \tilde{B}$, the reduced irreducible ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor $C \simeq \text{Proj}(gr(A)) \simeq \text{Proj}(gr(B))$, the regular point $p \in C$ which is the center of the valuation ν on A (or, equivalently, the center of the valuation ν_B on B defined as $\nu_B(P) = (k - \mathbf{ord}(P))$, where $(k, l) = \mathbf{ord}_\Gamma(\sigma(P))$), the local k -algebra embedding $\pi : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p} \rightarrow k[[u, t]]$, the (quasi-coherent) spectral sheaf $\mathcal{F} \simeq \text{Proj}(\tilde{W}) \simeq \text{Proj } \tilde{F}$ together with an $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module embedding $\phi : \mathcal{F}_p \hookrightarrow k[[u, t]]$ such that the natural maps (defined in the same manner as in definition 2.11) $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC')) \rightarrow k[[u, t]]/(u, t)^{ndr+1}$, where $r = rk(B)$, are isomorphisms for all $n \geq 0$. Clearly, the isomorphism $z_1 \mapsto e^{-1}z_1$, $z_2 \mapsto a^{-1}z_2$ composed with ψ_1 preserves the valuation ν . Hence, the algebro-geometric datum corresponding to a Schur pair obtained after applying this isomorphism will have isomorphic surface, divisor, point and sheaf, but another embeddings π and ϕ (i.e. the "local coordinates" u, t will be changed). Note that the resulting datum will not be isomorphic, in general, to the original datum, but the isomorphisms from definition 2.11, item 4 will hold for both data. Thus, if we show that the spectral sheaf is coherent of rank one, then the *reduced geometric data* will be isomorphic.

Let's show that \mathcal{F} is coherent and $r = rk(B) = 1$. Recall that to each geometric data (X, C, p, π, ϕ_1) , where X, C, p, π are as above, and \mathcal{F}_1 is a torsion free sheaf endowed with a $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module embedding $(\mathcal{F}_1)_p \hookrightarrow k[[u, t]]$ (e.g. for rank one Cohen-Macaulay

sheaves, see [29, Rem. 2.5]), one can attach a pair of subspaces (analogue of the Schur pair)

$$W^1, A \subset k[[u]]((t)),$$

where A is a filtered subalgebra of $k[[u]]((t))$ and W^1 a filtered module over it, as follows (cf. [29, §2.2, 2.4]; this construction has its origin in [41], [42] and was later elaborated in [39], [38], [46]):

Let f^d be a local generator of the ideal $\mathcal{O}_X(-C')_p$, where $C' = dC$ is a very ample Cartier divisor. Then $\nu(\pi(f^d)) = (0, r^d)$ in the ring $k[[u, t]]$ and therefore $\pi(f^d)^{-1} \in k[[u]]((t))$. So, we have natural embeddings for any $n > 0$

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_1(nC')) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1(nC')_p \simeq f^{-nd}(\mathcal{F}_{1p}) \hookrightarrow k[[u]]((t)),$$

where the last embedding is the embedding $f^{-nd}\mathcal{F}_{1p} \xrightarrow{\phi} f^{-nd}k[[u, t]] \hookrightarrow k[[u]]((t))$. Hence we have the embedding

$$\chi_1 : H^0(X \setminus C, \mathcal{F}_1) \simeq \varinjlim_{n>0} H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_1(nC')) \hookrightarrow k[[u]]((t)).$$

We define $W^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi_1(H^0(X \setminus C, \mathcal{F}_1))$. Analogously the embedding $H^0(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow k[[u]]((t))$ is defined (and we'll denote it also by χ_1). We define $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi_1(H^0(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}))$.

The filtration on W^1, A is the filtration induced by the filtration ν_t , namely

$$A_n = A \cap t^{-nr}k[[u]][[t]], \quad W_n^1 = W^1 \cap t^{-nr}k[[u]][[t]]$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $B \subset \hat{D}$ be a commutative normalized finitely generated algebra of rank one, and let F be its spectral module. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \text{Proj } \tilde{F}$, where $\tilde{F} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i \cdot s^i$, is coherent of rank one and $\text{rk}(B) = 1$.*

Proof. Denote by W the subspace corresponding to F . Let F be generated by the elements f_1, \dots, f_m as B -module. Denote by $f_{1,s_1}, \dots, f_{m,s_m}$ the corresponding homogeneous elements in \tilde{B} , where $s_i = \mathbf{ord}(f_i)$. Consider the graded \tilde{B} -submodule \tilde{F}' of the module \tilde{F} generated by the elements $s, f_{1,s_1}, \dots, f_{m,s_m}$. Note that $H^0(X \setminus C, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \tilde{F}_{(s)} \simeq F$. Thus, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}' = \text{Proj } \tilde{F}'$ is a coherent torsion free sheaf of rank one (since $\mathbf{rk} B = 1$). Consider the Cohen-Macaulaysation sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1 = CM(\mathcal{F}')$ (see e.g. [28, Rem.5.2]). It is also a coherent torsion free sheaf of rank one which contain \mathcal{F}' as a subsheaf. In particular, there is the extension of the $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module embedding $\phi|_{\mathcal{F}'} : (\mathcal{F}')_p \hookrightarrow k[[u, t]]$ (induced by the embedding $\phi : (\mathcal{F})_p \hookrightarrow k[[u, t]]$) onto the module $(\mathcal{F}_1)_p$. Denote by W^1 the subspace corresponding to \mathcal{F}_1 with respect to this embedding. Then $W^1 \supset W$ and $W_{nd}^1 \supset W_{nd}$ for all $n \geq 0$. By [29, Rem. 2.6, 2.7] combined with [29, Lem. 2.1] we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_1(nC')) \simeq W_{nd}^1$ for all $n \geq 0$. In particular, it follows that the graded \tilde{A} -module $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} W_i$ is a submodule of the finitely generated \tilde{A} -module $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} W_i^1$. Thus, it is finitely generated and \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf of rank one.

At last, $\text{rk}(B) = 1$ by [28, Rem. 3.3]. □

The surface X is Cohen-Macaulay along C by [28, Th. 3.2]. The sheaf \mathcal{F} is Cohen-Macaulay along C by [28, Corol. 3.1]. Thus, any given normalized finitely generated algebra of rank one up to linear change (9) determines a reduced geometric data of rank one up to an isomorphism.

2. In the other direction the correspondence is more simple. If we choose some trivialization $\phi : \hat{\mathcal{F}}_p \simeq \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p} \simeq k[[u, t]]$, where t corresponds to a formal local equation of C at p , we obtain an algebro-geometric datum from [46, Th. 3.3] (we note that not every trivialisation gives a datum in the sense of [46], the condition on t is important). By this theorem, it corresponds to a Schur pair of rank one, which corresponds to a normalized finitely generated algebra of rank one by a generalized Sato theorem [46, Th. 3.1]: $B := SAS^{-1}$, where S is the Sato operator from the theorem uniquely determined by the space W of the Schur pair.

Another trivialisation (satisfying the condition on t) differs from the chosen one by an automorphism of $k[[u, t]]$ preserving the valuation ν . Each such a trivialisation is a composition of an automorphism h of the form

$$h(u) = u \mod (u^2) + (t), \quad h(t) = t \mod (ut) + (t^2),$$

and an automorphism of the form $u \mapsto c_1 u$, $t \mapsto c_2 t$, $c_1, c_2 \in k^*$. Applying the automorphism of the first form we obtain isomorphic algebro-geometric datum (cf. [29, Def. 2.4, Rem. 2.4]), which leads to the equivalent normalized finitely generated algebra of rank one, which differs from the first one by a linear change (7).

Going back through the equivalences described above in step 1 it is easy to see that the change of local coordinates at p of the form $u \mapsto c_1 u$, $t \mapsto c_2 t$ will lead to the Schur pair obtained by applying the same isomorphism $u \mapsto c_1 u$, $t \mapsto c_2 t$ to the original Schur pair. Then the resulting algebra will be the algebra obtained by applying the isomorphism of the form (9).

□

3 Pre-spectral data and pre-Schur pairs

In this section we give a refinement of constructions from previous section. In particular, we show that in some cases conditions from definition 2.11 can be reformulated in simple algebro-geometric terms.

Definition 3.1. We call (X, C, \mathcal{F}) *pre-spectral data of rank one* if it consists of the following data

1. X is a reduced irreducible projective algebraic surface over k .
2. C is a reduced irreducible Weil divisor not contained in the singular locus of X , which is also an ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor.
3. X is Cohen-Macaulay along C .

4. \mathcal{F} is a coherent torsion free sheaf of rank one on X , which is Cohen-Macaulay along C , and such that

$$h^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC')) = \frac{(nd+1)(nd+2)}{2}$$

for $n \geq 0$, and $h^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC')) = 0$ for $n < 0$, where $C' = dC$ is an ample Cartier divisor on X .

Remark 3.1. First let's note that, taking an appropriate trivialisation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p \simeq k[[u, t]]$ of the spectral sheaf from definition 2.11, the subspaces of the Schur pair will belong to $K \subset k[[u]]((t))$, where K is the field of rational functions on X embedded via an isomorphism from definition 2.11, item 4. Namely, $W, A \subset \varinjlim_{n \geq 0} f^{-n} \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \subset K$, and this embedding does not depend on the choice of the local generator f . Analogous subspaces can be defined for any point $Q \in C$ regular on C and X , if we choose a trivialisation $\mathcal{F}_Q \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X,Q}$. As it was noticed in [29, §2.4] (see also the proof of theorem 2.1), a construction of subspaces W, A with similar properties can be defined for any coherent torsion free sheaf of rank one which is locally free at a dense open subset of C . In particular, such subspaces are defined also for pre-spectral data of rank one.

A pair of subspaces associated with a pre-spectral data and a regular on X and C point Q , as it was described above, will be called a *pre-Schur pair* (A_Q, W_Q) associated with the pre-spectral data of rank one.

Let (X, C, \mathcal{F}) be pre-spectral data of rank one. For any point Q of the curve C , which is regular on C and on X , in [29, §2.4] there were defined torsion free sheaves $\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{B}_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $\mathcal{F}_i = \text{Proj}(\widetilde{W}_Q(i))$, $\mathcal{B}_i = \text{Proj}(\widetilde{A}_Q(i))$ with the properties: $\mathcal{B}_i \subset \mathcal{B}_{i+1}$, $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$, $\mathcal{F}_0 \simeq \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}_{id} \simeq \mathcal{F}(iC')$, $\mathcal{B}_{id} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(iC')$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{B}_i|_C \simeq \mathcal{B}_i/\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$, $(\mathcal{F}_i)|_C \simeq \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ ⁴. By [29, Lemma 2.1, Remark 2.7] $(A_Q)_{nd} \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nC'))$ and $(W_Q)_{nd} \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC'))$; in particular, $(A_Q)_0 \simeq (W_Q)_0 \simeq k$. Since the subspaces $(W_Q)_i$ are defined with the help of the discrete valuation ν_C , the sheaves $\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{F}_i$ defined by different points are canonically isomorphic.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, C, \mathcal{F}) be a pre-spectral data of rank one. Then C is a Cartier divisor.*

Proof. Step 1. First let's prove that the sheaves $\mathcal{B}_i|_C$ are locally free. Choose an isomorphism $\pi : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,Q} \simeq k[[u, t]]$ as in definition 2.11, item 4). In [28, Sec. 3.5] a construction of the generalized Schur pair was given. This construction associates to a datum (X, C, \mathcal{O}_X, Q) a subspace \mathbb{A} in the field $k((u))((t))$ with the following properties (see also [29, Sec. 2.6]): \mathbb{A} is a k -subalgebra isomorphic to $\Gamma(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}_X)$; for $0 \leq i < d$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the k -subspaces

$$\mathbb{A}(nd+i) = \frac{\mathbb{A} \cap t^n k((u))[[t]]}{\mathbb{A} \cap t^{n+1} k((u))[[t]]}$$

are the images of the quintets $(C, Q, \mathcal{B}_i(nC')|_C, u, \phi)$ under the Krichever map in the k -subspace $\frac{t^n k((u))[[t]]}{t^{n+1} k((u))[[t]]} \simeq k((u))$, where ϕ are some trivialisations of the sheaves $\mathcal{B}_i(nC')|_C$

⁴we mean here the pull-backs of the factor-sheaves on C

at Q on C . In particular, as $\mathcal{B}_{nd}|_C$ are invertible sheaves, the subspaces $\mathbb{A}(nd)$ are Fredholm, i.e.

$$\dim_k \mathbb{A}(nd) \cap k[[u]] < \infty, \quad \dim_k \frac{k((u))}{\mathbb{A}(nd) + k[[u]]} < \infty,$$

and $\mathbb{A}(0) \simeq \Gamma(C \setminus Q, \mathcal{O}_C)$. Since for any $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ $\mathbb{A}(k) \cdot \mathbb{A}(l) \subseteq \mathbb{A}(k+l)$, it follows that for any $0 \leq i < d$ the subspaces $\mathbb{A}(nd+i)$ are either zero for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ or Fredholm, i.e. $\mathcal{B}_i(nC')|_C$ are torsion free of rank one. By the asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nC')) \sim d^2 n^2 / 2$, where from it follows that $\mathbb{A}(nd+i)$ can not be zero simultaneously. Choosing an appropriate t , we can change the subspaces $\mathbb{A}(n)$. Thus, we can fix t such that the subspaces $\mathbb{A}(n)$ will belong to $\text{Quot}(\mathbb{A}(0)) \subset k((u))$, and the subspaces $\mathbb{A}(\pm d)$ will consist of rational functions on C which are regular at all singular points of C (as $\mathcal{B}_0(C')|_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(D)$, $D \in C_{\text{reg}}$, cf. [19, ex.1.9, Ch. IV]).

Since for any invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} and torsion free sheaf \mathcal{G} of rank one on C the natural map $\mathcal{G}(C \setminus Q) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C(C \setminus Q)} \mathcal{L}(C \setminus Q) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{L})(C \setminus Q)$ is an isomorphism, we get $\mathbb{A}(nd) = \mathbb{A}(d)^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\mathbb{A}(nd)$ consist of rational functions on C , which are regular on all singular points of C . Since for any $0 < i < d$ $\mathbb{A}(nd+i)^d \subseteq \mathbb{A}((nd+i)d)$, the subspaces $\mathbb{A}(nd+i)$ consist of rational functions regular on all singular points of C . Thus, the sheaves $\mathcal{B}_i(nC')|_C$ are locally free for all $i, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Step 2. Let's prove that for any two very ample divisors D_1, D_2 of degrees $\geq 3g+1$ on C , where $g = p_a(C)$ is the arithmetical genus of C , the natural map $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_1)) \otimes_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_2)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_1 + D_2))$ is an isomorphism.

This assertion can be easily seen e.g. with the help of the Krichever map.

First, note that any divisor of degree $n > 2g+1$ is equivalent to $D_P^n := (n-g-1)Q + P_1 + \dots + P_{g+1}$ (here Q is the point from the previous step) with pairwise distinct points P_i , and any two such divisors are equivalent to the divisors $D_P^n = (n-g-1)Q + P_1 + \dots + P_{g+1}$, $D_Q^m := (m-g-1)Q + Q_1 + \dots + Q_{g+1}$ with pairwise distinct points P_i, Q_j .

We can choose a basis in the space $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n))$ that contain functions $f_0 = 1$ and f_1, \dots, f_{g+1} having poles at one point P_i and being regular at other P_j , $j \neq i$, for any $i = 1, \dots, g+1$ (and can choose analogous basis in $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_Q^m))$ with functions h_i). Indeed, $\dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n)) = n+1-g$ and $\dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C((n-g-1)Q)) = n-2g > 0$, i.e. there is a $(g+1)$ -dimensional vector subspace of functions, which are not regular at the points of the set $\{P_1, \dots, P_{g+1}\}$.

Now let $n, m > 3g+1$. Let W be the image of the quintet $(C, Q, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n), u, \phi)$ under the Krichever map, where the trivialisation ϕ at Q is determined by the effective Cartier divisor D_P^n . Let W' be the image of the analogous quintet $(C, Q, \mathcal{O}_C(D_Q^m), u, \phi')$. Then (cf. [29, Sec. 2.6.1]) $W_0 := k[[u]] \cap W \simeq H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n))$, $W'_0 := k[[u]] \cap W' \simeq H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_Q^m))$. Now choose bases in the spaces $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^{2g+1})), H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_Q^{2g+1}))$ as in the previous paragraph and note that the images of the elements f_0, \dots, f_{g+1} , h_0, \dots, h_{g+1} in the spaces W_0, W'_0 have valuations $\geq (n-2g-1)$, $\geq (m-2g-1)$ correspondingly (it follows from the construction of the Krichever map). From the Riemann-Roch theorem it follows then that the spaces W, W' contain elements with any valuations $\leq (n-2g-1)$, $\leq (m-2g-1)$ correspondingly (and valuations of all elements $\leq n$, $\leq m$ correspondingly). Let's denote

by \tilde{f}_i some elements from W_0 with $\nu_Q(\tilde{f}_i) = i$, $i = 0, \dots, (n - 2g - 1)$, and by \tilde{h}_i the analogous elements from W'_0 .

Let W'' be the image of the quintet $(C, Q, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n + D_Q^m), u, \phi'')$ in $k((u))$. Note that the elements $f_0 g_0$, $g_0 f_i$, $f_0 g_i$, $i = 1, \dots, g + 1$ form a basis in the space $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(gQ + P_1 + \dots + P_{g+1} + Q_1 + \dots + Q_{g+1}))$, and their images in W'' have valuations $\geq (n + m - 3g - 2)$. Again by the Riemann-Roch theorem it follows that W'' contains elements with any valuations $\leq (n + m - 3g - 2)$. Now note that all these observations imply that

$$\dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n + D_Q^m)) - \dim_k \langle H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(gQ + P_1 + \dots + P_{g+1} + Q_1 + \dots + Q_{g+1})), \tilde{f}_0 \cdot H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_Q^m)), \dots, \tilde{f}_i \cdot H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_Q^m)) \rangle \leq n - 2g - 3 - i \quad (11)$$

for any $i = 0, \dots, (n - 2g - 1)$. Thus, $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n + D_Q^m)) = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_P^n)) \cdot H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D_Q^m))$.

Step 3. Now we can prove that C is a Cartier divisor. The idea of the proof is the same as in [29, Th. 4.1], and the arguments will be very similar to the arguments from the proofs of [29, Th. 4.1], [46, Lem. 3.3] or [28, Th. 2.1].

Recall that $X \simeq \text{Proj } \tilde{A}$, $\tilde{A} := \tilde{A}_Q$, and the divisor C is defined by the homogeneous ideal $I = (s)$. Moreover, the graded k -algebra $\tilde{A}^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_{kd}$ is finitely generated by elements from $\tilde{A}_1^{(d)}$ as a k -algebra ($C' = dC$ is a Cartier divisor). It is easy to see that for any integer n there exists $m > n$ such that the graded k -algebra $\tilde{A}^{(md)}$ is finitely generated by elements from $\tilde{A}_1^{(md)}$. So, we can fix n such that all sheaves $\mathcal{B}_i|_C$, $i \geq nd$ are very ample and have degrees greater than $3g + 1$.

Let's show (recall) that for any graded algebra $\tilde{A}^{(m)}$, finitely generated by $\tilde{A}_1^{(m)}$, the divisor mC is an effective Cartier divisor. We consider the subscheme C' in X which is defined by the homogeneous ideal $I^m = (s^m)$ of the ring \tilde{A} . The topological space of the subscheme C' coincides with the topological space of the subscheme C (as it can be seen on an affine covering of X). The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}$ coincides with the valuation ring of the discrete valuation corresponding to C on $\text{Quot}(A)$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,C} = \tilde{A}_{(I)} = \{as^n/b s^n \mid n \geq 0, a \in A_n, b \in A_n \setminus A_{n-1}\}.$$

The ideal I induces the maximal ideal in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,C}$, and the ideal I^m induces the m -th power of the maximal ideal. Therefore, if we will prove that the ideal I^m defines an effective Cartier divisor on X , then the cycle map on this divisor is equal to mC (see [28, Appendix A]). By [17, prop. 2.4.7] we have $X = \text{Proj } \tilde{A} \simeq \text{Proj } \tilde{A}^{(m)}$. Under this isomorphism the subscheme C' is defined by the homogeneous ideal $I^m \cap \tilde{A}^{(m)}$ in the ring $\tilde{A}^{(m)}$. This ideal is generated by the element $s^m \in \tilde{A}_1^{(m)}$. The open affine subsets $D_+(x_i) = \text{Spec } \tilde{A}_{(x_i)}^{(m)}$ with $x_i \in \tilde{A}_1^{(m)}$ define a covering of $\text{Proj } \tilde{A}^{(m)}$. In every ring $\tilde{A}_{(x_i)}^{(m)}$ the ideal $(I^m \cap \tilde{A}^{(m)})_{(x_i)}$ is generated by the element s^m/x_i . Therefore the homogeneous ideal $I^m \cap \tilde{A}^{(m)}$ defines an effective Cartier divisor.

Let $m > n$ be any integer such that $\tilde{A}^{(md)}$ is finitely generated by elements from $\tilde{A}_1^{(md)}$. It suffices to show that $\tilde{A}^{(md+1)}$ is also finitely generated by elements from $\tilde{A}_1^{(md+1)}$. For, in this case mdC and $(md+1)C$ are Cartier divisors, whence C is a Cartier divisor. The

proof is by induction, using Step 2. By the properties of sheaves \mathcal{B}_i mentioned before this theorem, we have $H^0(C, \mathcal{B}_{md+1}|_C) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{B}_{md+1})/H^0(X, \mathcal{B}_{md})$, since m is big enough. By the local flatness criterium (cf. [19, Lem. 10.3A]) the sheaf \mathcal{B}_{md+1} is locally free at Q , hence by [29, Lem. 2.1, Cor. 2.7] $H^0(C, \mathcal{B}_{md+1}|_C) \simeq A_{md+1}$ and thus

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{B}_{md+1}|_C) \simeq A_{md+1}/A_{md} \simeq \mathbb{A}(md+1) \cap k[[u]].$$

Now $\tilde{A}_2^{(md+1)}$ is generated by $\tilde{A}_1^{(md+1)}$, since $\tilde{A}_2^{(md)} \subset \tilde{A}_2^{(md+1)}$ is generated by $\tilde{A}_1^{(md)} \subset \tilde{A}_1^{(md+1)}$ and $(\mathbb{A}(md+1) \cap k[[u]]) \cdot (\mathbb{A}(md+j) \cap k[[u]]) = (\mathbb{A}(2md+j) \cap k[[u]])$ for $j = 0, 1$ by Step 2. By the same reason and induction $\tilde{A}_k^{(md+1)}$ is generated by $\tilde{A}_{k-1}^{(md+1)}$ and $(\mathbb{A}(md+1) \cap k[[u]])$. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let $B \subset \hat{D}$ be a 1-quasi-elliptic strongly admissible commutative finitely generated subalgebra.*

Then the following properties are equivalent:

1. $\mathbf{rk}(B) = 1$;
2. $\dim_k B_m \sim m^2/2$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathbf{rk}(B) = 1$. Let (A, W) and (X, C, p, \mathcal{F}) be the Schur pair and the reduced geometric data corresponding to B . By theorem 2.1 the rank of (A, W) is one (cf. [46, Th.3.2, 3.3]). By theorem 3.1 C is a Cartier divisor, and by [46, Lem. 3.6] we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nC)) \simeq A_n \simeq B_n$. Since $C^2 = 1$, we have by the asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem that $\dim_k B_m \sim m^2/2$.

Conversely, assume $\dim_k B_m \sim m^2/2$. Then, obviously, $\mathbf{rk}(B) = 1$. Let $(X, C, p, \mathcal{F}, \pi, \phi)$ be the geometric datum of rank 1 constructed by [46, Th.3.3] and (A, W) be the corresponding Schur pair. Then by definition of geometric datum and again by [46, Lem. 3.6] we have $h^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC')) = (nd+1)(nd+2)/2$, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nC')) = \dim_k B_{nd} \sim (nd)^2/2$. Then by the asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem we have $C^2 = 1$. By [28, Prop.3.2] the sheaf \mathcal{F} is coherent and $\mathbf{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = 1$. Thus, $\mathbf{rk}(B) = 1$. \square

Definition 3.2. Let K be a finitely generated field of transcendence degree two over k , and let ν_C be a discrete valuation on K . A pair (A, W) of subspaces in K , endowed with a decreasing valuation filtration, and satisfying the following conditions:

1. A is a finitely generated k -algebra with $\text{Quot}(A) = K$;
2. W is a finitely generated A -module, where the module structure is induced by multiplication in K ;
3. $A_0 = k$, $W_n = 0$ for $n < 0$ and for $n \geq 0$

$$\dim_k W_n = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

will be called a *pre-Schur pair of rank one*.

Later (see corollary 3.2) we will show that a pre-Schur pair (A_Q, W_Q) associated with the pre-spectral data is a pre-Schur pair.

A pre-spectral data of rank one can be completed to a coherent geometric data of rank one. To prove this statement, we need to recall several facts and constructions from [29].

The following property was discussed in [29, §2.6.1].

Property 1. *If \mathcal{G} is a torsion free sheaf on the curve C with $h^0(C, \mathcal{G}) = l$, then there exists a dense open subset $U \subset C$ such that for every point $Q \in U$ the function*

$$f_Q(m) = h^0(C, \mathcal{G}(-mQ))$$

is strictly monotonic for $0 \leq m \leq l$. In particular, $H^0(C, \mathcal{G}(-lQ)) = 0$.

This property easily follows from the following observation: for any fixed section $a \in H^0(C, \mathcal{G})$ there is a dense open subset $U \subset C$ such that for any $Q \in U$ the image of a in $\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$ with respect to any trivialisation is invertible.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (X, C, \mathcal{F}) be a pre-spectral data of rank one.*

Then this data can be extended to a coherent geometric data of rank one, in particular, to a reduced geometric data of rank one.

Proof. We need to show that there exists a smooth point $p \in C$ such that the conditions of definition 2.11, item 4 are satisfied (see remark 2.3).

Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}((i-1)C)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(iC)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F}(iC)|_C),$$

the conditions of definition 2.11, item 4 can be reformulated as follows: the function

$$\tilde{f}_p(m) = \dim_k \left(\frac{H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(iC))}{H^0(X, \mathcal{F}((i-1)C))} \cap H^0(C, (\mathcal{F}(iC)|_C)(-mp)) \right)$$

is strictly monotonic for $0 \leq m \leq i$ (the intersection is taken in $H^0(C, \mathcal{F}(iC)|_C)$).

By the same observation above that explains property 1, for any fixed $i \geq 0$ there is a dense open subset $U_i \subset C$ such that for any $p \in U_i$ the function $\tilde{f}_p(m)$ is strictly monotonic for $0 \leq m \leq i$. Therefore, since the ground field k is uncountable, there exists a point $p \in \cap_{i \geq 0} U_i$ regular in C and X such that these properties hold simultaneously. Taking some formal local parameters u, t at such p (as in 2.11, item 4), and choosing any trivialisation of \mathcal{F} at P we obtain a coherent geometric data of rank one. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let (A_Q, W_Q) be the pre-Schur pair associated with the pre-spectral data (X, C, \mathcal{F}) of rank one. Then (A_Q, W_Q) is a pre-Schur pair of rank one.*

Proof. Since C is a Cartier divisor, then $(W_Q)_n = (A_Q)_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. For, for $i < 0$ $(A_Q)_0 \supseteq (A_Q)_i$, $k \not\subseteq (A_Q)_i$ as the valuation of any constant is zero, and $(W_P)_i \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(iC)) = 0$ by definition. So, we need to check only that for $n \geq 0$ $\dim_k (W_Q)_n = (n+1)(n+2)/2$. As we have already noticed, $(W_Q)_i \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(iC))$. If (X, C, p, \mathcal{F}) is a reduced geometric data of rank one, an extension of (X, C, \mathcal{F}) , then there are isomorphisms

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC)) \simeq k[[u, t]]/(u, t)^{n+1}$. As $(W_p)_i$ are defined with the help of the discrete valuation $\nu_C = \nu_t|_K$, we get $(W_p)_i \simeq k[[u, t]]/(u, t)^{i+1}$. Thus, $\dim_k H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(nC)) = (n+1)(n+2)/2$ and we are done. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let (A, W) be a pre-Schur pair of rank one.*

Then this pair defines a pre-spectral data of rank one, where $X = \text{Proj } \tilde{A}$, $\tilde{A} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n s^n$, $C = \text{Proj}(gr(\tilde{A}))$, $\mathcal{F} = \text{Proj } \tilde{W}$, $\tilde{W} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n s^n$.

Proof. First let's show that \tilde{A} is finitely generated. Let A be generated by elements t_1, \dots, t_m as k -algebra. Denote by $t_{1,s_1}, \dots, t_{m,s_m}$ the corresponding homogeneous elements in \tilde{A} , where for each i we denote by s_i the minimal number such that $t_i \in A_{s_i}$. Consider the finitely generated k -subalgebra $\tilde{A}_1 = k[s, t_{1,s_1}, \dots, t_{m,s_m}] \subset \tilde{A}$. By [46, Lemma 3.3], item 1) (see also the proof of [28, Theorem 2.1]), it defines an irreducible projective surface $X = \text{Proj}(\tilde{A})$, with an irreducible ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor $C = \text{Proj}(gr(\tilde{A}))$ corresponding to the valuation ν_C , which does not belong to the singular locus of X . Besides, $H^0(X \setminus C, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq (\tilde{A}_1)_{(s)} \simeq A$. If $d \geq 1$ is a minimal integer such that the k -algebra $\tilde{A}_1^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{A}_1)_{ld}$ is finitely generated by elements from $(\tilde{A}_1)_1^{(d)}$ as a k -algebra (such d exists by [6, Ch.III, §1.3, prop.3]), then dC is a very ample Cartier divisor, and by [29, Lemma 2.1, Remark 2.7] (applied to an arbitrary smooth on X and C point Q) $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nC')) \simeq A_{nd}$ (cf. [46, Lem.3.6]). Thus, the ring $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{nd}$ is finitely generated, hence the ring \tilde{A} is finitely generated and $X \simeq \text{Proj } \tilde{A}$.

Now just the same arguments as in the proof of [28, Th. 3.2] (namely, the arguments starting from the 4-th sentence of the proof) can be applied to show that X is Cohen-Macaulay along C .

The arguments analogous to the proof of [46, Lemma 3.8] or to the proof of lemma 2.3 can be applied to show that the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \text{Proj } \tilde{W}$ is coherent. Namely, we can consider a finitely generated graded \tilde{A} -module \tilde{W}_1 , which contains all generators of W over A . Then $\mathcal{F}' = \text{Proj } \tilde{W}_1$ is a coherent torsion free sheaf of rank one, since $(\tilde{W}_1)_{(s)} = W \subset K$. By [28, Corol. 3.1] this sheaf is Cohen-Macaulay along C (we refer to Corol. 3.1 since its proof is valid also in our situation). Then by [29, Lemma 2.1, Remark 2.7] $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}'(nC')) \simeq W_{nd}$ for all $n \geq 0$, thus $\mathcal{F}' \simeq \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{nd}) \simeq \mathcal{F}$ by [17, Prop. 2.4.7]. \square

4 Examples

The results of previous section show that in order to find examples of rank one commutative subalgebras in \hat{D} , it is enough to find examples of pre-spectral data. Conjecturally, the operators of such algebras could be expressed explicitly, in analogy with one-dimensional situation of ordinary differential operators or difference operators, see [22], [23], [24].

It is easy to see from the results of previous section that "trivial" algebras (see Introduction) correspond to spectral data with the property $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \geq 2$ (cf. [29, Th.4.1]). Indeed, as we have recall in the course of proof of theorem 2.1, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \simeq A_2 \simeq B_2$.

Thus the spectral surfaces of such algebras endow a pencil of curves (some examples, with singular spectral surfaces, see in [29, §4]).

In this section we investigate the question whether there are pre-spectral data with a smooth spectral surface and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 1$. We construct first examples of such data with minimal possible genus of the divisor C .

Proposition 4.1. *Let (X, C, \mathcal{F}) be a pre-spectral data of rank one with a smooth surface X and such that the arithmetical genus $p_a(C) \leq 1$. Then $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \geq 2$.*

Proof. If $p_a(C) = 0$, then $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 3$, see e.g. the end of the proof of [29, Th.4.1].

If $p_a(C) = 1$ and C is singular, then C is rational. Then the Albanese morphism $f : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)$ must have trivial image, since the ample and rational curve C can not be mapped to a rational curve of $\text{Alb}(X)$. This implies the vanishing of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(C)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \quad (12)$$

yields $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 2$.

If $p_a(C) = 1$ and C is smooth, then we can apply the arguments from [1, Th.2.5.19]. Namely, since $p_a(C) = 1$ and $C^2 = 1$, we must have $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(C)) = 0$, hence the truncated exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(1)}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where $C(1)$ means the first infinitesimal neighbourhood of C in X , yields the isomorphism of algebraic groups $\underline{\text{Pic}}^0(C(1)) \simeq \underline{\text{Pic}}^0(C)$, because the linear algebraic group $\underline{H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(-C))}$ (regarded as a product of $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(C))$ copies of the additive group \mathbb{G}_a) is trivial.

Now we have two possibilities. If the canonical restriction map $\alpha : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$ is surjective, then the map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C(1))$ is surjective and then we are in the situation of theorem 2.5.19 from [1], and C must be isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , a contradiction. If α is not surjective, it induces a trivial map of algebraic groups $\underline{\text{Pic}}^0(X) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Pic}}^0(C)$. Then $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and again by (12) $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 2$. \square

The following definition is motivated by the fact that Cohen-Macaulay sheaves form an open subset in the moduli space of rank one torsion free sheaves with fixed Hilbert polynomial (see [18, Th. 12.2.1]).

Definition 4.1. Let X, C be a surface and divisor satisfying conditions from definition 3.1. We will say that a rank one torsion free sheaf \mathcal{F} is *excellent* if $H^1(C, \mathcal{F}|_C) = 0$ and

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}(nC')) = \frac{(nd+1)(nd+2)}{2}.$$

If X is smooth, then C is a Cartier divisor, hence $d = 1$. Then by property 1 there is a point Q such that the conditions from [29, Prop. 2.3] hold. Then $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and \mathcal{F} is Cohen-Macaulay, hence it is locally free.

Proposition 4.2. *Let X, C be a smooth surface and divisor satisfying conditions from definition 3.1, let \mathcal{F} be a torsion free sheaf of rank one. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. \mathcal{F} is an excellent sheaf;
2. $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(-C)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$; $h^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = 1$ and

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}((n-1)C)) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Proof. If \mathcal{F} is an excellent sheaf, then, obviously,

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}((n-1)C)) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Now from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_C \rightarrow 0 \quad (13)$$

and from the Riemann-Roch theorem it follows $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(-C)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. At last, as we have already seen above, by [29, Prop. 2.3] we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^2(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = 1$.

If \mathcal{F} satisfies the conditions of item 2), then, clearly,

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}(nC)) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2},$$

and again from the sequence (13) it follows immediately that $H^1(C, \mathcal{F}|_C) = 0$, i.e. \mathcal{F} is excellent. \square

Theorem 4.1. *There is an eight-dimensional family of pairwise non-isomorphic Godeaux surfaces X such that on each X from this family there are 1200 different divisors D_j and four curves C_i satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) C_i is smooth, the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(C_i)$ is ample, and $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C_i)) = 1$;
- (ii) $C_i^2 = 1$, $g(C_i) = 2$, where $g(C_i)$ is the genus of C_i ;;
- (iii) $(D_j, C_i)_X = g(C_i) - 1$;
- (iv) $\chi(D_j) = \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_j)) = 0$.

Moreover, among the divisors D_j there are at least 1080 different divisors such that $\dim H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_j)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, and among them at least 840 divisors such that $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_j + C_i)) = 1$. The triples $(X, C_i, D_j + C_i)$, where X is any surface from this family, and D_j is any representative of the last class of divisors, are pre-spectral data of rank one.

Proof. Denote by

$$N = \{ \begin{array}{llll} \bar{n}_1 = (5, 0, 0, 0), & \bar{n}_2 = (3, 0, 1, 1), & \bar{n}_3 = (2, 1, 2, 0), & \bar{n}_4 = (2, 2, 0, 1), \\ \bar{n}_5 = (1, 3, 1, 0), & \bar{n}_6 = (1, 1, 0, 3), & \bar{n}_7 = (1, 0, 2, 2), & \bar{n}_8 = (0, 5, 0, 0), \\ \bar{n}_9 = (0, 0, 5, 0), & \bar{n}_{10} = (0, 0, 0, 5), & \bar{n}_{11} = (0, 2, 1, 2), & \bar{n}_{12} = (0, 1, 3, 1) \end{array} \}$$

the set of non-negative integer solutions of the equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 n_i = 5; \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 in_i \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \quad (14)$$

and consider the family $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^{11}$ of quintics $Q_{\bar{a}} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ given by equation

$$\sum_{\bar{n}_i \in N} a_i \bar{z}^{\bar{n}_i} = 0,$$

where $\bar{z} = (z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4) \in \mathbb{P}^3$, $\bar{z}^{\bar{n}_i} = z_1^{n_1} z_2^{n_2} z_3^{n_3} z_4^{n_4}$ for $\bar{n}_i = (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$ and $\bar{a} = (a_1 : \dots : a_{12}) \in \mathbb{P}^{11}$.

Denote by \overline{G} the subgroup of $PGL(4, \mathbb{C})$ generated by elements $g_j \in PGL(4, \mathbb{C})$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, acting on \mathbb{P}^3 as follows: $g_j(z_j) = \varepsilon z_j$ and $g_j(z_k) = z_k$ for $k \neq j$, where $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/5}$. It is easy to see that the cyclic subgroup $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_5$ of the group \overline{G} acting on the projective space \mathbb{P}^3 as follows:

$$(z_1 : z_2 : z_3 : z_4) \mapsto (\varepsilon z_1 : \varepsilon^2 z_2 : \varepsilon^3 z_3 : \varepsilon^4 z_4)$$

leaves invariant each $Q_{\bar{a}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Let \mathcal{Q} be the subfamily of the family $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ consisting of smooth quintics such that G acts on each $Q_{\bar{a}} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ freely. Then the factor spaces $X_{\bar{a}} = Q_{\bar{a}}/G$, $Q_{\bar{a}} \subset \mathcal{Q}$, are smooth surfaces of general type (the Godeaux surfaces; see, for example, [2]) which have the following invariants: $p_g = q = 0$, $K_{X_{\bar{a}}}^2 = 1$, and $Tor(X_{\bar{a}}) := Tor(Pic(X_{\bar{a}})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_5$.

Denote by \mathcal{Q}_0 the subfamily of \mathcal{Q} consisting of the quintics $Q_{\bar{a}}$ such that the coordinate planes $\{z_i = 0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, meet transversally $Q_{\bar{a}}$, and let $A \subset \mathbb{P}^{11}$ (resp., $A_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{11}$) be the image of \mathcal{Q} (resp., \mathcal{Q}_0) under the projection of $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^{11}$ to the second factor \mathbb{P}^{11} . Note that A and A_0 are non-empty Zariski open subsets of \mathbb{P}^{11} , since Fermat quintic (denote it by Q_F) is a member of the family \mathcal{Q}_0 . Fermat quintic Q_F is given by $\sum_{j=1}^4 z_j^5 = 0$. The group \overline{G} leaves invariant the surface Q_F . In [25], it was shown that the factor-space $Q_F/\overline{G} = \mathbb{P}^2$ and the factorization map $\zeta : Q_F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is branched in four lines L_1, \dots, L_4 in general position with multiplicity five. Let $X_F = Q_F/G$ be the corresponding Godaux surface and $\varphi : Q_F \rightarrow X_F$ be the factor map. The map ζ factorizes through φ , i.e., there is a map $\psi : X_F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $\zeta = \psi \circ \varphi$. The map ψ is a Galois covering with Galois group $H = \overline{G}/G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_5^2$. It is branched in lines L_1, \dots, L_4 with multiplicity five and $\psi^*(L_j) = 5C_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, 4$ and it is given by the epimorphism $\psi^*(\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (\cup L_j))) \rightarrow H$. Note that it was shown in [25] that the Godaux surface X_F is the normalization of the surface in \mathbb{P}^4 given by

$$\begin{aligned} z_4^5 &= z_1 z_2^2 (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)^2, \\ z_5^5 &= z_2 z_3 (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 4.1. *For any $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \in A$, the surfaces $X_{\bar{a}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{a}_2}$ are isomorphic if and only if there is*

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 \end{pmatrix} \in PGL(4, \mathbb{C})$$

such that $\Lambda(Q_{\bar{a}_1}) = Q_{\bar{a}_2}$.

Proof. The surfaces $X_{\bar{a}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{a}_2}$ are isomorphic if and only if their universal coverings $Q_{\bar{a}_1}$ and $Q_{\bar{a}_2}$ are isomorphic as G -manifolds. An isomorphism between quintics $Q_{\bar{a}_1}$ and $Q_{\bar{a}_2}$ can be given by linear transformation Λ of \mathbb{P}^3 , since the canonical class of a smooth quintic is its hyperplane section. Therefore, if Λ preserves the structure of G -manifolds on $Q_{\bar{a}_1}$ and $Q_{\bar{a}_2}$, it must commute with projective transformation

$$\Lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Claim 4.2. *Let $X = X_{\bar{a}_0}$, $\bar{a}_0 \in A_0$, be one of the Godeaux surfaces. There are four curves $C_j \subset X$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$, numerically equivalent to K_X and satisfying condition (i) from Theorem 4.1.*

For each point $p \in X$ there are not three curves from the set $\{C_1, \dots, C_4\}$ passing through p .

Proof. We have $X = Q/G$, where $Q = Q_{\bar{a}_0}$. Denote by $\varphi : Q \rightarrow X$ the factor map defined by the action of G .

Let α_1 be a generator of the group $Tor(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_5$. By Serre's duality, for $j = 1, \dots, 4$ we have $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + j\alpha_1)) = 0$. Therefore, it follows from Riemann-Roch Theorem that $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + j\alpha_1)) > 0$.

Let $C_j \in |K_X + j\alpha_1|$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$. The curves $\varphi^{-1}(C_j)$ belong to the canonical class K_Q of Q . Therefore $\varphi^{-1}(C_j)$ are hyperplane sections of Q invariant under the action of $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_5$. But, it is easy to see that there are only four planes, namely, $\{z_i = 0\}$, invariant under the action of \mathbb{Z}_5 on \mathbb{P}^3 . Therefore the curves C_j , $j = 1, \dots, 4$, are the images of smooth curves (the intersections of planes $\{z_i = 0\}$ with Q), since $\bar{a}_0 \in A_0$. Hence, $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + j\alpha_1)) = 1$ and the curves C_j are smooth of genus $g(C_j) = 2$.

The quintic Q is smooth and its imbedding in \mathbb{P}^3 is given by its canonical class. Therefore there are not (-2) -curves lying in Q . It follows from this that X can not have (-2) -curves, since φ is unramified covering and (-2) -curves are simply connected. Therefore the divisors C_j are ample, since they are numerically equivalent to the canonical class of X .

For each point $p \in X$ there are not three curves from the set $\{C_1, \dots, C_4\}$ passing through p , since the curves C_j are the images of coordinate plane sections of Q under

the map φ .

□

Claim 4.3. *The morphism $i_{j*} : \text{Tor}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C_j)$, induced by imbedding $i_j : C_j \hookrightarrow X$, is a monomorphism.*

Proof. Denote by $p_{j,l} = C_j \cap C_l$. Then

$$p_{j,j+2} - p_{j,j+1} = i_{j*}(C_{j+2}) - i_{j*}(C_{j+1}) = i_{j*}(K_X + (j+2)\alpha_1) - i_{j*}(K_X + (j+1)\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$$

(here $j+l$ in the indexes of $p_{j,j+l}$ and C_{j+l} are considered modulo 5). By Claim 4.2, the divisor $p_{j,j+2} - p_{j,j+1} \neq 0$ in $\text{Pic}(C_j)$, since $g(C_j) = 2$. □

Claim 4.4. *There are 1200 different elements $E_j \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $(E_j^2)_X = -2$ and $(E_j, K_X)_X = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\chi(X) = 1 - q + p_g = 1$ and $K_X^2 = 1$, then, by Noether's formula, the topological Euler characteristic $e(X) = 11$ and, consequently, $\dim H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = 9$. We have $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$, since $p_g = 0$ and therefore $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Pick $P = \text{Pic}(X)/\text{Tor}(X)$. By Hodge index theorem, P is an unimodular odd lattice of signature $\sigma = (1, 8)$. It follows from equalities $K_X^2 = 1$ and $(K_X, D)_X \equiv (D^2) \pmod{2}$ for each $D \in \text{Pic}(X)$ that $P = \mathbb{Z}K_X \oplus K_X^\perp$, where K_X^\perp is an even negatively defined unimodular lattice of rank 8. Therefore K_X^\perp is isomorphic to the lattice $-E_8$ (see [45] or [7]) and hence there exist 240 elements $e_l \in P$, $1 \leq l \leq 240$, such that $(e_l^2) = -2$ and $(K_X, e_l) = 0$. The preimages E_j , $1 \leq j \leq 1200$, in $\text{Pic}(X)$ of the elements e_l are the desired elements. □

Denote by \mathcal{D} the set of divisors $D_j = K_X + E_j$, where E_j are divisors from Claim 4.4. The set \mathcal{D} can be divided into disjoint union $\mathcal{D} = \bigsqcup_{l=1}^{120} \mathcal{D}_l$ of 120 subsets, where if $D_j = K_X + E_j \in \mathcal{D}_l$, then $K_X + E_j + \alpha \in \mathcal{D}_l$ and $K_X - E_j + \alpha \in \mathcal{D}_l$ for all $\alpha \in \text{Tor}(X)$. We say that $D_j \in \mathcal{D}_l$ is a *bad* element of \mathcal{D}_l if $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_j)) \geq 1$.

Claim 4.5. *The curves C_i from Claim 4.2 and the divisors $D_j \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfy conditions (ii) and (iv) from Theorem 4.1. Moreover, each set \mathcal{D}_l can contain at most one bad element, that is, among the divisors $D_j \in \mathcal{D}$ there are at least 1080 different divisors such that $\dim H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_j)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.*

Proof. We have $(D_j^2)_X = -1$ for $1 \leq j \leq 1200$ and $(D_j, K_X)_X = (D_j, C_i)_X = 1 = g(C_i) - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and by Riemann-Roch Theorem, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(D_j)) = 0$.

Note that $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E_j)) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq 1200$, since the canonical class of X is ample. Therefore, by Serre's duality, $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_j)) = 0$. Also, note that if $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E_j)) \geq 1$, then $M \in |K_X + E_j|$ is an irreducible reduced curve, since, first, $(M, K_X)_X = 1$ and, second, K_X is an ample divisor and therefore $(M, K_X)_X > 0$ for each curve $M \subset X$. Therefore to complete the proof of Claim 4.5, it is sufficient to show that if $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E_j)) \geq 1$, then $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - E_j + \alpha)) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \text{Tor}(X)$ and $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E_j + \alpha)) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \text{Tor}(X)$, $\alpha \neq 0$.

Assume that $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E_j)) \geq 1$ and $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E_j + \alpha)) \geq 1$ for some E_j and $\alpha \in \text{Tor}(X)$, $\alpha \neq 0$, and let $M_1 \in |K_X + E_j|$ and $M_2 \in |K_X + E_j + \alpha|$ be two curves in X . Then M_1 and M_2 are two different irreducible curves such that $(M_1, M_2)_X = (M_1^2)_X = -1$. Contradiction.

Assume that $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E_j)) \geq 1$ and $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - E_j + \alpha)) \geq 1$ for some E_j , and let $M_1 \in |K_X + E_j|$ and $M_2 \in |K_X - E_j + \alpha|$ be two curves in X . Then M_1 and M_2 are two different irreducible curves such that $(M_1, M_2)_X = 3$. Consider the curve $\tilde{D} = \varphi^{-1}(M_1 \cup M_2) \subset Q$, where Q is a smooth quintic in \mathbb{P}^3 and $\varphi : Q \rightarrow X$ is the universal covering. Then $\tilde{D} \in |2K_Q|$, since $M_1 \cup M_2 \in |2K_X + \alpha|$ and therefore $\tilde{D} = Q \cap S$, where S is a quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 invariant under the action of G . Note that if S is a cone then $\text{Sing} S \notin Q \cap S$, since $\text{Sing} S$ is a single point and the action of G on Q is free from fixed points. Note also that S is not the union of two planes P_1 and P_2 , since otherwise this planes must be invariant under the action of G and hence $P_i \cap Q = \varphi^{-1}(C_{j(i)})$, where $C_{j(i)}$ is a curve numerically equivalent to K_X .

Denote $\tilde{D}_i = \varphi^{-1}(M_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2)_Q = 5(M_1, M_2)_X = 15. \quad (15)$$

Let us show that $(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2)_Q = (\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2)_S$. For this, consider any point $p \in \tilde{D}_1 \cap \tilde{D}_2$. The surfaces Q and S have a common tangent plane P at p , since $Q \cap S$ is singular at p . Let us choose non-homogeneous coordinates (x, y, z) in $\mathbb{A}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $p = (0, 0, 0)$ and $z = 0$ is an equation of P , and consider an irreducible component J of the germ (\tilde{D}_1, p) (resp., \tilde{D}_2) of \tilde{D}_1 (resp., \tilde{D}_2) at p . The germ J can be given parametrically by $x = h_1(t)$, $y = h_2(t)$, and $z = h_3(t)$, where $h_i(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$. The functions x and y are local coordinates at p in both Q and S , since $\{z = 0\}$ is the tangent plane to Q and S at p . Therefore J is given in Q and in S by the same parametrisation $x = h_1(t)$, $y_1 = h_2(t)$ and hence the intersection number $(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2)_p$ of the curves \tilde{D}_1 and \tilde{D}_2 at p is the same in the cases if we consider the curves \tilde{D}_1 and \tilde{D}_2 as the curves lying in Q or lying in S .

Let $S \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a smooth quadric. The group $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ is generated by L_1 and L_2 , $(L_1^2)_S = (L_2^2)_S = 0$, and $(L_1, L_2)_S = 1$, where L_1 and L_2 are fibres of two projections of S to \mathbb{P}^1 . We have $\tilde{D} \in |5L_1 + 5L_2|$. Let $\tilde{D}_1 \in |mL_1 + nL_2|$, $m, n \geq 0$. Then $\tilde{D}_2 \in |(5-m)L_1 + (5-n)L_2|$, $m, n \leq 5$. Therefore $(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2)_S = 5(m+n) - 2mn$ and, by (15), we have

$$5(m+n) - 2mn = 15.$$

Therefore mn is divisible by 5 and hence $(m, n) \in \{(0, 3), (3, 0), (5, 2), (2, 5)\}$, and, without loss of generality, we can assume that $(m, n) = (0, 3)$, that is, the curve \tilde{D}_1 is equal to the union $L_{2,1} \cup L_{2,2} \cup L_{2,3}$, where $L_{2,j} \in |L_2|$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are three pairwise different curves, since \tilde{D}_1 is a reduced curve. But, the curve \tilde{D}_1 is invariant under the action of G . Therefore this case is impossible, since the disjoint union of these three curves can not be the orbit of curves under the action of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_5$, since the factor-space of this orbit is the irreducible curve M_1 .

Let S be a cone and $\tau : \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow S$ the resolution of the singular point of S , $\tau^{-1}(\text{Sing}(S)) = R$. The group $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by R and L , $(R^2)_{\mathbb{F}_2} = -2$, $(R, L)_{\mathbb{F}_2} = 1$, $(L^2)_{\mathbb{F}_2} = 0$, where L is a fibre of the projection of \mathbb{F}_2 to R . We have $\tilde{D} \in |5R + 10L|$. Let $\tilde{D}_1 \in |mR + nL|$, $m, n \geq 0$. Then $\tilde{D}_2 \in |(5-m)R + (10-n)L|$, $m \leq 5$ and $n \leq 10$ and, by (15), we have

$$(\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2)_{\mathbb{F}_2} = -2m(5-m) + n(5-m) + m(10-n) = m^2 + 5n - 2mn = m(m-2n) + 5n$$

and hence

$$m(m-2n) + 5n = 15, \quad 0 \leq m \leq 5, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 10. \quad (16)$$

It is easy to check (if to note that $m(m-2n)$ is divisible by 5) that if (m, n) is an integer solution of (16), then $(m, n) \in \{(0, 3), (5, 2)\}$, that is, either $\tilde{D}_1 \in |3L|$ if $(m, n) = (0, 3)$, or $\tilde{D}_2 \in |8L|$ if $(m, n) = (5, 2)$. As in the case when S is smooth, it is easy to see that the case, when S is a cone, is also impossible. \square

Claim 4.6. *Let $D = K_X + E \in \mathcal{D}_l$ be such that D is not a bad element of \mathcal{D}_l and let $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D + \alpha)) = 2$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Tor}(X)$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{C_j}(E) = \mathcal{O}_{C_j}$, where $C_j \in |K_X + \alpha|$.*

Proof. In the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + C_j + E)) \xrightarrow{i_*} \\ H^0(C_j, \mathcal{O}_{C_j}(K_{C_j} + E)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E)) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

i_* is an isomorphism, since $K_X + E$ is not a bad element. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_{C_j}(K_{C_j} + E) = \mathcal{O}_{C_j}(K_{C_j})$ (and hence $\mathcal{O}_{C_j}(E) = \mathcal{O}_{C_j}$), since $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D + \alpha)) = 2$ and on the curve C_j of genus $g(C_j) = 2$ there is only one divisor M of degree two, namely K_{C_j} , for which $\dim H^0(C_j, \mathcal{O}_{C_j}(M)) = 2$. \square

Claim 4.7. *Let $D = K_X + E \in \mathcal{D}_l$ and $D_1 = K_X + E + \beta \in \mathcal{D}_l$, $\beta \in \text{Tor}(X)$, $\beta \neq 0$, be such that neither D , nor D_1 is a bad element of \mathcal{D}_l . Assume that*

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D + \alpha)) = 2$$

for some $\alpha \in \text{Tor}(X)$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Then $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D_1 + \alpha)) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $C_j \in |K_X + \alpha|$. By Claim 4.6, $\mathcal{O}_{C_j}(E) = \mathcal{O}_{C_j}(E + \beta) = \mathcal{O}_{C_j}$ if

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D_1 + \alpha)) \geq 2.$$

But, then $\mathcal{O}_{C_j}(\beta) = \mathcal{O}_{C_j}$ and we obtain the contradiction with Claim 4.3. \square

Now, to complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, it suffices to apply Claims 4.5 and 4.7. \square

Let $(X_1, C_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(X_2, C_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ be two pre-spectral data with smooth surfaces X_1 and X_2 . We say that two pre-spectral data $(X_1, C_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(X_2, C_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ are *strongly deformation equivalent* if there are a smooth complex threefold \mathcal{X} , an effective divisor \mathcal{C} and a sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{X} , and a proper holomorphic surjection $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$

such that X_1 and X_2 are fibres of p and $(X_t = p^{-1}(t), C_t = (X_t, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{|X_t})$ are pre-spectral data for each $t \in \Delta$. Extend the strong deformation equivalence to an equivalence relation on the set of pre-spectral data with smooth surfaces. Similar to, so called, " $DIF = Def$ "-problems in algebraic geometry (see, for example, [30], [26], [27]), we have a " $DIF = Def$ "-problem for pre-spectral data if we fix a diffeomorphic type of a surface X . In particular, there is the following

Question 1. *How many deformation equivalence classes of pre-spectral data with smooth Godaux surfaces from Theorem 4.1 do exist?*

References

- [1] Badescu L., *Projective geometry and formal geometry*, Monografie Matematyczne. Instytut Matematyczny PAN (New Series) 65. Basel: Birkhauser (ISBN 3-7643-7123-4/hbk). xiv, 209 p.
- [2] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, A. Van de Ven: *Compact complex surfaces*. Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [3] Yu. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 2, 279–337.
- [4] Berest Yu., Kasman A., *D-modules and Darboux transformations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **43**, No.3, 279-294 (1998).
- [5] Burban I., Zheglov A., *Cohen-macaulay modules over the algebra of planar quasi-invariants and Calogero-Moser systems*, arXiv:1703.01762., P. 1-50.
- [6] Bourbaki N., *Algebre Commutative*, Elements de Math. 27,28,30,31, Hermann, Paris, 1961-1965.
- [7] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*. Hermann, Paris (1968).
- [8] Chalykh O., *Algebro-geometric Schrödinger operators in many dimensions*, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A, Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **366**, No. 1867, 947-971 (2008).
- [9] O. Chalykh, A. Veselov, *Commutative rings of partial differential operators and Lie algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1990), no. 3, 597–611.
- [10] O. Chalykh, K. Styrkas, A. Veselov, *Algebraic integrability for the Schrödinger equation, and groups generated by reflections*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **94** (1993), no. 2, 182–197.
- [11] O. Chalykh, M. Feigin, A. Veselov, *New integrable generalizations of Calogero–Moser quantum problem*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998), no. 2, 695–703.
- [12] B.A. Dubrovin, *Matrix finite-gap operators* (Russian), Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 23, 33–78, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1983.

- [13] B. A. Dubrovin, V. B. Matveev, S. P. Novikov, *Non-linear equations of Korteweg-de Vries Type, finite-zone operators, and abelian varieties*, Russian Mathematical Surveys(1976),31(1):59
- [14] B.A. Dubrovin, I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov, *Integrable systems. I.*, in Dynamical systems. IV. Symplectic geometry and its applications. Transl. from the Russian by G. Wasserman, Encycl. Math. Sci. 4, 173-280 (1990); translation from Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya 4, 179-248 (1985).
- [15] M. Feigin, D. Johnston, *A class of Baker–Akhiezer arrangements*, Comm. Math. Phys. **328** (2014), no. 3, 1117–1157.
- [16] P. G. Grinevich, *Vector rank of commuting matrix differential operators. Proof of S. P. Novikov’s criterion.* (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 50 (1986), no. 3, 458–478, 638.
- [17] Grothendieck A., Dieudonné J.A., *Éléments de géométrie algébrique II*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 8 (1961).
- [18] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **28** (1966).
- [19] Hartshorne R., *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, New York-Berlin-Heilderberg 1977.
- [20] G. Heckman, *A remark on the Dunkl differential–difference operators*, Harmonic analysis on reductive groups 181–191, Progr. Math. **101**, Birkhäuser 1991.
- [21] G. Heckman, E. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions I*, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), no. 3, 329–352.
- [22] Krichever I.M., *Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations*, Russ. Math. Surveys **32** (1977)
- [23] Krichever I. M., *Commutative rings of ordinary linear differential operators*, Functional Anal. Appl. 12:3 (1978), 175-185.
- [24] Krichever I. M., Novikov S. P., *Two-dimensionalized Toda lattice, commuting difference operators, and holomorphic bundles*, Russian Mathematical Surveys(2003),58(3):473.
- [25] Vik. S. Kulikov, *Old and new examples of surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$* , Izv. Math., 68:5 (2004), 965-1008.
- [26] Vik.S. Kulikov, V.M. Kharlamov, *On real structures on rigid surfaces*, Izv. Math., 66:1 (2002), 133 – 150.
- [27] Vik.S. Kulikov, V.M. Kharlamov, *Surfaces with $DIF \neq DEF$ real structures*, Izv. Math., 70:4 (2006), 769 – 807.

- [28] Kurke H., Osipov D., Zheglov A., *Commuting differential operators and higher-dimensional algebraic varieties*, Selecta Mathematica, New Series, 20(4):1159-1195, 2014.
- [29] H. Kurke, A. Zheglov, *Geometric properties of commutative subalgebras of partial differential operators*, Sbornik Mathematics, 2015, Vol. 206, no. 5., P. 676-717.
- [30] M. Manetti, *On the moduli space of diffeomorphic algebraic surfaces*, Invent. Math. 2001, V. 143. P. 29 – 76.
- [31] Mauleshova G.S., Mironov A.E., *One-point commuting difference operators of rank 1*, Dokl. Math., 93:1 (2016), 62-64; arXiv:1507.00527
- [32] Mironov A.E., *Commutative rings of differential operators corresponding to multidimensional algebraic varieties*, Siberian Math. J., **43** (2002) 888-898
- [33] K. Cho, A. E. Mironov, A. Nakayashiki, *Baker – Akhiezer Modules on the Intersections of Shifted Theta Divisors*, Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 47:2, (2011), 353-367
- [34] Mulase M., *Category of vector bundles on algebraic curves and infinite dimensional Grassmannians*, Int. J. Math., 1 (1990), 293-342.
- [35] Mulase M., *Algebraic theory of the KP equations*, Perspectives in Mathematical Physics, R.Penner and S.Yau, Editors, (1994), 151-218
- [36] Nakayashiki A., *Commuting partial differential operators and vector bundles over Abelian varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **116**, (1994), 65-100.
- [37] A. Nakayashiki, *Structure of Baker – Akhiezer Modules of Principally Polarized Abelian varieties*, *Commuting Partial Differential Operators and Associated Integrable Systems*, Duke Math. J., 62:2, (1991), 315-358
- [38] Osipov D.V., *The Krichever correspondence for algebraic varieties* (Russian), Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 65, 5 (2001), 91-128; English translation in Izv. Math. 65, 5 (2001), 941-975.
- [39] Zheglov A. B., Osipov D. V., *On some questions related to the Krichever correspondence*, Mathematical Notes, 2007, Vol. 81, no. 4., P. 467-476.
- [40] Olshanetsky M., Perelomov A., *Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras*, Phys. Rep. 94 (1983), 313-404.
- [41] Parshin A. N., *Krichever correspondence for algebraic surfaces*, Funct. Analysis and Its Applications, 2001, 35:1, 74-76
- [42] Parshin A. N., *Integrable systems and local fields*, Commun. Algebra, 29 (2001), no. 9, 4157-4181.

- [43] Previato E., *Multivariable Burchnall-Chaundy theory*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, in "30 years of finite-gap integration" compiled by V. B. Kuznetsov and E. K. Sklyanin, (2008) 366, 1155-1177.
- [44] Rothstein M., *Dynamics of the Krichever construction in several variables*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **572** (2004) 111-138
- [45] J.-P. Serre: *Cours de arithmétique*. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris (1970).
- [46] A. Zheglov, *On rings of commuting differential operators*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **25** (2014), 775-814.
- [47] A. Zheglov, *Torsion free sheaves on varieties and integrable systems*, habilitation Thesis (in russian), Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Science, <http://www.mi.ras.ru/dis/ref16/zheglov/dis.pdf>

Vik. S. Kulikov, Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Gubkina 8, Moscow, Russia
 e-mail kulikov@mi.ras.ru

A. Zheglov, Lomonosov Moscow State University, faculty of mechanics and mathematics, department of differential geometry and applications, Leninskie gory, GSP, Moscow, 119899, Russia
 e-mail azheglov@mech.math.msu.su