

Morphisms on EMV -algebras and Their Applications

Anatolij Dvurečenskij^{1,2}, Omid Zahiri³

¹*Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, SK-814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia*

²*Depart. Algebra Geom., Palacký Univer., 17. listopadu 12, CZ-771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic*

³*University of Applied Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran*

dvurecen@mat.savba.sk zahiri@protonmail.com

Abstract

For a new class of algebras, called EMV -algebras, every idempotent element a determines an MV -algebra which is important for the structure of the EMV -algebra. Therefore, instead of standard homomorphisms of EMV -algebras, we introduce EMV -morphisms as a family of MV -homomorphisms from MV -algebras $[0, a]$ into other ones. EMV -morphisms enable us to study categories of EMV -algebras where objects are EMV -algebras and morphisms are special classes of EMV -morphisms. The category is closed under product. In addition, we define free EMV -algebras on a set X with respect to EMV -morphisms. If X is finite, then the free MV -algebra on X is a free EMV -algebra. For an infinite set X , the same is true introducing a so-called weakly free EMV -algebra.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 06C15, 06D35

Keywords: MV -algebra, EMV -algebra, EMV -homomorphism, EMV -morphism, standard EMV -morphism, free EMV -algebra, weakly free EMV -algebra, categories of EMV -algebras

Acknowledgement: AD is thankful for the support by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-16-0073 and by grants VEGA No. 2/0069/16 SAV and GAČR 15-15286S

1 Introduction

Boolean algebras are well-known structures studied over many decades. They describe an algebraic semantics for two-valued logic. In Thirties, there appeared Boolean rings, or equivalently, generalized Boolean algebras, which have almost Boolean features, but a top element is not assumed. For such structures, Stone, see e.g. [LuZa, Thm 6.6], developed a representation of Boolean rings by rings of subsets, and also some logical models with such an incomplete information were established, see [Sto1, Sto2].

Recently in [DvZa], a Łukasiewicz type algebraic structure with incomplete total information was developed, i.e. we found an algebraic semantics very similar to MV -algebras with incomplete information, which however in a local sense is complete: Conjunctions and disjunctions exist, negation only locally, i.e. negation of a in b exists only if $a \leq b$ but total negation of the event a is not assumed. For such ideas we have introduced in [DvZa] EMV -algebras which are locally close to MV -algebras, however, the top element is not assumed. The basic representation theorem says, [DvZa, Thm 5.21], that even in such a case, we can find an MV -algebra where the original algebra can be embedded as its maximal ideal, i.e. an incomplete information hidden in an EMV -algebra is sufficient to find a Łukasiewicz logical system where a top element exists and where all original statements are valid.

Of course, every MV -algebra is an EMV -algebra (EMV -algebras stand for extended MV -algebras), and EMV -algebras generalize Chang's MV -algebras, [Cha]. Nowadays MV -algebras have many important applications in different areas of mathematics and logic. Therefore, MV -algebras have many different generalizations, like BL -algebras, pseudo MV -algebras, [GeIo, Dvu2], GMV -algebras in the

realm of residuated lattices, [GaTs], etc. In the last period *MV*-algebras are studied also in frames of involutive semirings, see [DiRu]. The presented *EMV*-algebras are another kind of generalizations of *MV*-algebras inspired by Boolean rings.

In [DvZa1] we have formulated and proved a Loomis–Sikorski-type theorem for σ -complete *EMV*-algebras showing that every σ -complete *EMV*-algebra is a σ -homomorphic image of an *EMV*-tribe of fuzzy sets, where all *EMV*-operations are defined by points. To show this, we have introduced the hull-kernel topology of the set of maximal ideals of an *EMV*-algebra and the weak topology of state-morphisms which are *EMV*-homomorphisms from the *EMV*-algebra into the *MV*-algebra of the real interval $[0, 1]$, or equivalently, a variant of extremal probability measures.

In this paper we will propose a new definition for morphisms between *EMV*-algebras, called *EMV*-morphisms, which is more relevant to this structure. An *EMV*-morphism from M_1 to M_2 is a family of *MV*-homomorphisms with special properties, Section 3. We define similarity, “ \approx ”, and composition of morphisms, “ \circ ”, of two *EMV*-morphisms which are presented in Definition 3.7 and Proposition 3.10. We prove that “ \approx ” and “ \circ ” are compatible. In Section 4, we introduce three categories of *EMV*-algebras. We find some properties of *EMV*-morphisms and show that the category of *EMV*-algebras with this new morphisms is closed under products, Section 5. Finally in Section 6, we study free *EMV*-algebras on a set X with respect to our *EMV*-morphisms. We show that the free *MV*-algebra on a finite set X is also free in this class. For an infinite set X , we introduce a new object, called a weakly free *EMV*-algebra, which is very similar to the free object, and we show that the free *MV*-algebra on any set X is the weakly free *EMV*-algebra on X .

2 Preliminaries

We remind that an *MV-algebra* is an algebra $(M; \oplus, ', 0, 1)$ (henceforth write simply $M = (M; \oplus, ', 0, 1)$) of type $(2, 1, 0, 0)$, where $(M; \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative monoid with the neutral element 0 and for all $x, y \in M$, we have:

- (i) $x'' = x$;
- (ii) $x \oplus 1 = 1$;
- (iii) $x \oplus (x \oplus y')' = y \oplus (y \oplus x')'$.

It is well-known that every *MV*-algebra is a distributive lattice. For more info about *MV*-algebras, see [CDM].

Let $(M; \oplus, 0)$ be a commutative monoid. An element $a \in M$ is said to be *idempotent* if $a \oplus a = a$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}(M)$ the set of idempotents of M . Then (i) $0 \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, and $a, b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ entail $a \oplus b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. A non-empty subset S of idempotents of M is *full* if, given $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, there is $a \in S$ such that $b \leq a$. A commutative monoid $(M; \oplus, 0)$ endowed with a partial order \leq is *ordered* if $x \leq y$ implies $x \odot z \leq y \odot z$.

Definition 2.1. [DvZa] An algebra $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 0)$ is called an *extended MV-algebra*, an *EMV-algebra* in short, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (*EMV1*) $(M; \vee, \wedge, 0)$ is a distributive lattice with the least element 0;
- (*EMV2*) $(M; \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative ordered monoid with neutral element 0;
- (*EMV3*) for each $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, the element

$$\lambda_b(x) = \min\{z \in [0, b] \mid x \oplus z = b\}$$

exists in M for all $x \in [0, b]$, and the algebra $([0, b]; \oplus, \lambda_b, 0, b)$ is an *MV*-algebra;

- (*EMV4*) for each $x \in M$, there is $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $x \leq a$.

An *EMV*-algebra M is called *proper* if M is not an *MV*-algebra or, equivalently, M has not a top element.

We note that every *MV*-algebra can be viewed as an *EMV*-algebra, every Boolean ring (= generalized Boolean algebra) is also an example of *EMV*-algebras. The basic properties of *EMV*-algebras were

presented in [DvZa], where there was proved, in particular, that the class of *EMV*-algebras forms a variety.

Let $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be an *EMV*-algebra. Then for all $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, we have a well-known binary operation \odot_a on the *MV*-algebra $([0, a]; \oplus, \lambda_a, 0, a)$ given by $x \odot_a y = \lambda_a(\lambda_a(x) \oplus \lambda_a(y))$ for all $x, y \in [0, a]$. It can be extended to \odot defined on the whole M , see Lemma 2.6 below.

Let $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be an *EMV*-algebra. Its reduct $(M; \vee, \wedge, 0)$ is a distributive lattice with a bottom element 0. The lattice structure of M yields a partial order relation on M , denoted by \leq , that is $x \leq y$ iff $x \vee y = y$ iff $x \wedge y = x$. If a is a fixed idempotent element of M , $([0, a]; \oplus, \lambda_a, 0, a)$ is an *MV*-algebra. Recall that, in each *MV*-algebra $(A; \oplus, ', 0, 1)$ there is a partial order relation \preceq (induced by $\oplus, ', 1$) defined by $x \preceq y$ iff $x' \oplus y = 1$. So, the partial order on the *MV*-algebra $([0, a]; \oplus, \lambda_a, 0, a)$ is defined by $x \preceq y$ iff $\lambda_a(x) \oplus y = a$. In the sequel, we show that, for each $x, y \in [0, a]$, we have

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \preceq y.$$

First, we assume that $x \preceq y$. Then $\lambda_a(x) \oplus y = a$. Set $z := \lambda_a(x)$. Then $z \oplus y = a$ entails $\lambda_a(z) \leq y$ (by definition) and so $\lambda_a(\lambda_a(x)) \leq y$. Thus $x \leq y$. Note that $\lambda_a(x) = \min\{u \in [0, a] \mid x \oplus u = a\}$ where min is related to \leq .

Conversely, let $x \leq y$. We show that $x \preceq y$ or equivalently, $\lambda_a(x) \oplus y = a$. By definition of $\lambda_a(x)$, we have $x \oplus \lambda_a(x) = a$. Since $x \leq y$ and $([0, a]; \oplus, 0)$ is a partially ordered monoid (see *EMV2*), then $a = x \oplus \lambda_a(x) \leq y \oplus \lambda_a(x) \leq a$ and so $y \oplus \lambda_a(x) = a$, which implies that $x \preceq y$.

Finally, we can easily prove that, for each $z, w \in [0, a]$, the supremum and infimum of the set $\{z, w\}$ in $[0, a]$ coincide with ones of $\{w, z\}$ taken in M .

Moreover, it is possible to show that given $x, y \in M$, $x \leq y$ iff there is an element $z \in M$ such that $x \oplus z = y$. Indeed, there is an idempotent $a \in M$ such that $x, y \leq a$. Then in the *MV*-algebra $[0, a]$, we have $x \vee y = x \oplus (y \odot_a \lambda_a(x))$, that is, M is a naturally ordered monoid.

Definition 2.2. [DvZa] (i) Let $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be an *EMV*-algebra. A subset $A \subseteq M$ is called an *EMV-subalgebra* of M if

- (1) A is closed under \vee, \wedge, \oplus and 0;
- (2) for all $x \in A$, there is $b \in A \cap \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $x \leq b$;
- (3) for each $b \in \mathcal{I}(M) \cap A$ the set $[0, b]_A := [0, b] \cap A$ is a subalgebra of the *MV*-algebra $([0, b]; \oplus, \lambda_b, 0, b)$.

Clearly, the last condition is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\forall b \in A \cap \mathcal{I}(M), \quad \forall x \in [0, b]_A, \quad \min\{z \in [0, b]_A \mid x \oplus z = b\} = \min\{z \in [0, b] \mid x \oplus z = b\},$$

and this also means $\lambda_b(x)$ is defined in $[0, b]_A$ for each $b \in \mathcal{I}(M) \cap A$ and for each $x \in [a, b]_A$.

(ii) Let $(M_1; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ and $(M_2; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be *EMV*-algebras. A map $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called an *EMV-homomorphism* if f preserves the operations \vee, \wedge, \oplus and 0, and for each $b \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ and for each $x \in [0, b]$, $f(\lambda_b(x)) = \lambda_{f(b)}(f(x))$. An *EMV-homomorphism* $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called *strong* if $\{f(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}$ is a full subset of M_2 (that is, for each $b \in \mathcal{I}(M_2)$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ such that $b \leq f(a)$). Clearly, every identity Id_M on an *EMV*-algebra is a strong *EMV-homomorphism*.

Proposition 2.3. [DvZa, Prop 3.9] Let $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be an *EMV*-algebra, $a, b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $a \leq b$. Then for each $x \in [0, a]$, we have

- (i) $\lambda_a(x) = \lambda_b(x) \wedge a$;
- (ii) $\lambda_b(x) = \lambda_a(x) \oplus \lambda_b(a)$;
- (iii) $\lambda_b(a)$ is an idempotent, and $\lambda_a(a) = 0$.

Definition 2.4. [DvZa] Let $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be an *EMV*-algebra. An equivalence relation θ on M is called a *congruence relation* or simply a *congruence* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) θ is compatible with \vee, \wedge and \oplus ;
- (ii) for all $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, $\theta \cap ([0, b] \times [0, b])$ is a congruence relation on the *MV*-algebra $([0, b]; \oplus, \lambda_b, 0, b)$.

We denote by $\text{Con}(M)$ the set of all congruences on M .

Proposition 2.5. [DvZa, Prop 3.13] *An equivalence relation θ on an EMV -algebra $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ is a congruence if it is compatible with \vee , \wedge and \oplus , and for all $(x, y) \in \theta$, there exists $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $x, y \leq b$ and $(\lambda_b(x), \lambda_b(y)) \in \theta$.*

Let θ be a congruence relation on an EMV -algebra $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ and $M/\theta = \{[x] \mid x \in M\}$ (we usually use x/θ instead of $[x]$). Consider the induced operations \vee , \wedge and \oplus on M/θ defined by

$$[x] \vee [y] = [x \vee y], \quad [x] \wedge [y] = [x \wedge y], \quad [x] \oplus [y] = [x \oplus y], \quad \forall x, y \in M.$$

In addition, $[\lambda_b(x)] = \lambda_{[b]}([x])$ for each $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. Then $(M/\theta; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0/\theta)$ is an EMV -algebra, and the mapping $x \mapsto x/\theta$ is an EMV -homomorphism from M onto M/θ .

Lemma 2.6. [DvZa, Lem 5.1] *Let $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be an EMV -algebra. For all $x, y \in M$, we define*

$$x \odot y = \lambda_a(\lambda_a(x) \oplus \lambda_a(y)),$$

where $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ and $x, y \leq a$. Then $\odot : M \times M \rightarrow M$ is an order preserving, associative well-defined binary operation on M which does not depend on $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. In addition, if $x, y \in M$, $x \leq y$, then $y \odot \lambda_a(x) = y \odot \lambda_b(x)$ for all idempotents a, b of M with $x, y \leq a, b$.

For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any x of an EMV -algebra M , we can define $x^1 = x$, $x^n = x^{n-1} \odot x$, $n \geq 2$, and if M has a top element 1, we define also $x^0 = 1$.

We note that a non-void subset I of an EMV -algebra M is an *ideal* if (i) if $a \leq b \in I$, then $a \in I$, and (ii) $a, b \in I$ gives $a \oplus b \in I$.

We have already said that not every EMV -algebra M possesses a top element. Anyway, in such a case, it can be embedded into an MV -algebra as its maximal ideal as the following representation theorem says:

Theorem 2.7. [DvZa, Thm 5.21] [Basic Representation Theorem] *Every EMV -algebra M is either an MV -algebra or M can be embedded into an MV -algebra N as a maximal ideal of N .*

3 EMV -morphisms and EMV -algebras

We know that an EMV -algebra M is locally an MV -algebra, that is for each idempotent element $a \in M$, $[0, a]$ is an MV -algebra. So, it is natural to introduce a new definition for the concept of a morphism between EMV -algebras applying this property; we call it an EMV -morphism. If M_1 and M_2 are EMV -algebras, a new homomorphism needs not be necessary a map from M_1 to M_2 . It is a family of MV -homomorphisms $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ with special properties. This definition is a generalization of that one introduced in [DvZa], see Definition 2.2. The main purpose of the section is to introduce and study the basic properties of EMV -morphisms. We introduce an equivalence \approx between EMV -morphisms from an EMV -algebra M_1 into another one M_2 and a composition of two EMV -morphisms is established. Standard EMV -morphisms will play an important role.

Definition 3.1. Let M_1 and M_2 be EMV -algebras. An EMV -morphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a family $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ of MV -homomorphisms $f_i : [0, a_i] \rightarrow [0, b_i]$ for each $i \in I$, where $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{b_i \mid i \in I\}$ are non-empty sets of idempotents of M_1 and M_2 , respectively, such that

- (i) $e(f) := \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a full subset of $\mathcal{I}(M_1)$;
- (ii) $\{b_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a full subset of M_2 ;
- (iii) if $i, j \in I$ and $f_i(a_i) \leq f_j(a_j)$, then $f_i(x) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$ for all $x \in [0, a_i \wedge a_j]$;
- (iv) for each $i, j \in I$, there exists $t \in I$ such that $a_i, a_j \leq a_t$ and $f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j) \leq f_t(a_t)$.

Then $b_i = f_i(a_i)$ for each $i \in I$. We use $\text{Im}(f)$ to denote $\bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i) := \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i([0, a_i])$. The set of all EMV -morphisms from M_1 to M_2 is denoted by $\text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$.

We note that if in $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ every f_i is an *EMV*-homomorphism from the *MV*-algebra $[0, a_i]$ into M_2 , then each f_i is also an *MV*-homomorphism from the *MV*-algebra $[0, a_i]$ into the *MV*-algebra $[0, b_i]$, where $b_i = f_i(a_i)$. Therefore, without misunderstanding, we can assume formally that each f_i is an *MV*-homomorphism from $[0, a_i]$ into $[0, f_i(a_i)]$.

The basic properties of *EMV*-morphisms are as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ with $\mathbf{e}(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ be an *EMV*-morphism.*

- (1) *If $f_i(a_i) \leq f_j(a_j)$, then for each $x \in [0, a_i \wedge a_j]$, $f_i(x) \leq f_j(x)$.*
- (2) *If $f_i(a_i) = f_j(a_j)$, then for each $x \in [0, a_i \wedge a_j]$, $f_i(x) = f_j(x)$.*
- (3) *For each a_i , there exists a_t with $a_i \leq a_t$ such that $f_i(a_i) \leq f_t(a_t)$ and for each $x \in [0, a_i]$, $f_i(x) \leq f_t(x)$.*
- (4) *If for a_i , there exists a_t with $a_i \leq a_t$ such that $f_i(a_i) = f_t(a_t)$, then for each $x \in [0, a_i]$, $f_i(x) = f_t(x)$.*

Proof. (1) Using (iii) of Definition 3.1, we have $f_i(x) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) \leq f_j(x)$, so that $f_i(x) \leq f_j(x)$.

(2) Let $f_i(a_i) = f_j(a_j)$. Due to (iii), we get $f_i(x) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_j(x) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_j(x)$.

(3) This follows from (1).

(4) It follows from (2). □

Example 3.3. If M_1 and M_2 are *EMV*-algebras with a top element, i.e. they are *MV*-algebras, situation with *EMV*-morphisms is as follows.

(1) If $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an *MV*-homomorphism, then $I = \{1\}$ is a full subset of M_1 , and the singleton $\{f_1\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism.

(2) If $g = \{g_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ with $\mathbf{e}(g) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism of *EMV*-algebras, then clearly, there is $1 = a_j \in \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that $g_j(a_j) = 1$ and so $g_j : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an *MV*-homomorphism. It follows that this definition of *EMV*-morphisms in the class of *MV*-algebras coincides with the definition of *MV*-homomorphisms.

(3) Let $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an *MV*-homomorphism. For each $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$, let $f_a = f|_{[0, a]}$, then $h = \{f_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an *EMV*-morphism.

(4) If S is a full subset of $\mathcal{I}(M_1)$, then $\{f_a \mid a \in S\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism.

(5) Let $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an *MV*-homomorphism. If $\{b_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a full subset of $\mathcal{I}(M_2)$ and $g_j : M_1 \rightarrow [0, b_j]$ is defined by $g_j(x) := f(x) \wedge b_j$, then $\{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an *EMV*-morphism (for more details, see the proof of Theorem 6.5).

Remark 3.4. Every strong *EMV*-homomorphism of *EMV*-algebras $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ can be viewed as an *EMV*-morphism. Indeed, $\mathcal{I}(M_1)$ is a full subset of M_1 and $\{f(a) \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}$ is a full subset of M_2 (by definition). For each $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$, set $f_a = f|_{[0, a]}$. Then it can be easily seen that $\{f_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism, which we denote simply by f . Moreover, if a_1, a_2 are idempotents of M_1 , then $f_{a_1}(x) = f_{a_2}(x)$ for each $x \in [0, a_1 \wedge a_2]$.

Conversely, let $f = \{f_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}$ be an *EMV*-morphism such that for all $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$, $f_{a_1}(x) = f_{a_2}(x)$ for each $x \in [0, a_1 \wedge a_2]$. Then there is a unique strong *EMV*-homomorphism f_0 such that $f_a = f_0|_{[0, a]}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$.

Indeed, let $x \in M$ be given. There are idempotents $a_1, a_2 \in M_1$. Since $f_{a_1}(x) = f_{a_2}(x)$ for each $x \in [0, a_1 \wedge a_2]$, we can define a mapping $f_0 : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ by $f_0(x) = f_a(x)$ whenever $x \leq a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$. Due to the hypothesis, f_0 is defined unambiguously. Now, given $x, y \in M_1$, there is $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ such that $x, y \leq a$. Hence, for all $z \in [0, a]$, we have $f_0(z) = f_a(z)$ which shows that f_0 preserves \oplus, \vee and \wedge . In addition, $f_0(\lambda_a(x)) = f_a(\lambda_a(x)) = \lambda_{f_a(a)}(f_a(x)) = \lambda_{f_0(a)}(f_0(x))$, so that f_0 is an *EMV*-homomorphism which is also strong. Moreover, $f_a = f_0|_{[0, a]}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$.

Example 3.5. Let $(M_i; \oplus, ', 0_i, 1_i)$ and $(N_i; \oplus, ', 0_i, 1_i)$ be *MV*-algebras for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{f_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of homomorphisms between *MV*-algebras. For each finite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, define $a_I = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by (1) $a_i = 1_i$, if $i \in I$ and (2) $a_i = 0$, if $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I$. Clearly, $\{a_I \mid I \in S\}$ is a full subset of the *EMV*-algebra $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i$, see [DvZa, Ex 3.2(6)], where S is the set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . In a similar way, we define a full subset $\{b_I \mid I \in S\}$ of the *EMV*-algebra $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$. For each $I \in S$, we define

$f_I : [0, a_I] \rightarrow [0, b_I]$, by $f_I((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = (c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $c_i = f_i(x_i)$ for all $x \in I$, and $c_i = 0_i$ otherwise. It can be easily seen that $f := \{f_I \mid I \in S\} : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i \rightarrow \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$ is an *EMV*-morphism.

Example 3.6. Let B be the set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Then $(B; \cup, \cap, \emptyset)$ is a generalized Boolean algebra. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define $A_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$. Clearly, $\{A_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a full subset of B . Consider the family $f := \{f_i : [\emptyset, A_i] \rightarrow [\emptyset, A_{i-1}] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $f_i(X) = X \setminus \{i\}$ for all $X \subseteq A_i$. Clearly, $\{f_i(A_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a full subset of B , too. It can be easily checked that f is an *EMV*-morphism.

As we have seen in Example 3.3, if $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an *MV*-homomorphism of two *MV*-algebras M_1, M_2 , then each restricted map $f_a := f|_{[0, a]} : [0, a] \rightarrow [0, f(a)]$ is an *MV*-homomorphism, too. Hence, for every full subset B of $\mathcal{I}(M_1)$, the set $\{f_a \mid a \in B\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism from M_1 to M_2 , so we can find many *EMV*-homomorphisms induced by f . Of course, all of them are considered as *EMV*-morphisms. Therefore, we propose an equivalence relation, called similarity and denoted by \approx , on the set $\text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$ of all *EMV*-morphisms from an *EMV*-algebra M_1 into an *EMV*-algebra M_2 .

Definition 3.7. Let M_1 and M_2 be *EMV*-algebras and let $f = \{f_i\}_{i \in I} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j\}_{j \in J} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be two *EMV*-morphisms such that $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$. We say that they are *similar* (denoted by $f \approx g$) if, for each $i \in I$, there exists $j \in J$ such that $a_i \leq b_j$ and

$$f_i(x) = g_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i), \quad \forall x \in [0, a_i].$$

In the next proposition we will establish some properties of similar *EMV*-morphisms.

Proposition 3.8. Let $f = \{f_i\}_{i \in I} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j\}_{j \in J} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be two similar *EMV*-morphisms such that $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$. Then for all $i \in I$ and $k \in J$, we have

- (i) if $f_i(a_i) \leq g_k(b_k)$, then for all $x \in [0, a_i \wedge b_k]$, $f_i(x) = g_k(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$;
- (ii) if $f_i(a_i) = g_k(b_k)$, then for all $x \in [0, a_i \wedge b_k]$, $f_i(x) = g_k(x)$;
- (iii) if $I' \subseteq I$ is such that for all $i \in I'$ there exists $k \in I'$ with $a_i \leq a_k$ and $f_i(a_i) \leq f_k(a_k)$, then $h := \{f_i \mid i \in I'\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism from M_1 to M_2 which is similar to f .

Proof. (i) Let $i \in I$ and $k \in J$ such that $f_i(a_i) \leq g_k(b_k)$. Since $f \approx g$, then there exists $j \in J$ such that $a_i \leq b_j$, $f_i(a_i) \leq g_j(b_j)$ and for all $x \in [0, a_i]$ we have $f_i(x) = g_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$. Put $t \in J$ such that $b_t \geq b_k, b_j$ and $g_t(b_t) \geq g_k(b_k), g_j(b_j)$. Then

$$(g_k(x) = g_t(x) \wedge g_k(b_k), \quad \forall x \in [0, b_k]) \quad \& \quad (g_j(x) = g_t(x) \wedge g_j(b_j), \quad \forall x \in [0, b_j]).$$

Hence, for all $x \in [0, a_i \wedge b_k]$ we have

$$g_k(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = g_t(x) \wedge g_k(b_k) \wedge f_i(a_i) = g_t(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = g_t(x) \wedge g_j(b_j) \wedge f_i(a_i) = g_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_i(x).$$

- (ii) Let $i \in I$ and $k \in J$ be such that $f_i(a_i) = g_k(b_k)$. Then by (i), for all $x \in [0, a_i \wedge b_k]$, we have

$$f_i(x) = g_k(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = g_k(x) \wedge g_k(b_k) = g_k(x).$$

(iii) Clearly, $\{a_i \mid i \in I'\}$ and $\{f_i(a_i) \mid i \in I'\}$ are full subsets of $\mathcal{I}(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{I}(M_2)$, respectively. Moreover, the other conditions of Definition 3.1 hold evidently. Thus $h := \{f_i \mid i \in I'\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an *EMV*-morphism. Now, we show that $f \approx h$. Let $i \in I$. Then by the assumption, there exists $j \in I'$ such that $a_i \leq a_j$ and $f_i(a_i) \leq f_j(a_j)$. Since f is an *EMV*-morphism, then for each $x \in [0, a_i]$ we have $f_i(x) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$. Therefore, $f \approx h$. \square

Proposition 3.9. The relation \approx is an equivalence relation on the set $\text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$ of all *EMV*-morphisms from an *EMV*-algebra M_1 into an *EMV*-algebra M_2 .

Proof. Let M_1 and M_2 be two EMV -algebras. Clearly, \approx is reflexive. Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be two EMV -morphisms such that $f \approx g$. Put $j \in J$. Since $e(f)$ is a full subset of M_1 , then there exists $i \in I$ such that $b_j \leq a_i$. Similarly, since $\{f_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a full subset of M_2 , then there is $z \in I$ such that $f_z(a_z) \geq g_j(b_j)$. So, we can find $w \in I$ with $a_i, a_z \leq a_w$ and $f_i(a_i), f_z(a_z) \leq f_w(a_w)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $a_i = a_w$. Then

$$b_j \leq a_i, \quad g_j(b_j) \leq f_i(a_i). \quad (3.1)$$

From $f \approx g$ it follows that there exists $s \in J$ such that $b_s \geq a_i$, $f_i(a_i) \leq g_s(b_s)$ and

$$\forall x \in [0, a_i], \quad f_i(x) = g_s(x) \wedge f_i(a_i). \quad (3.2)$$

Since $g_j(b_j) \leq g_s(b_s)$, then

$$\forall x \in [0, b_j \wedge b_s] = [0, b_j], \quad g_j(x) = g_s(x) \wedge g_j(b_j). \quad (3.3)$$

and so for each $x \in [0, b_j]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x) \wedge g_j(b_j) &= (g_s(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)) \wedge g_j(b_j), \quad \text{by (3.2)} \\ &= (g_s(x) \wedge g_j(b_j)) \wedge f_i(a_i) = g_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i), \quad \text{by (3.3)} \\ &= (g_j(x) \wedge g_j(b_j)) \wedge f_i(a_i), \quad \text{since } x \leq b_j \\ &= g_j(x) \wedge (g_j(b_j) \wedge f_i(a_i)) = g_j(x) \wedge g_j(b_j), \quad \text{by (3.1)} \\ &= g_j(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \approx is symmetric.

To prove that \approx is transitive, we let $h = \{h_i \mid i \in K\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an EMV -morphism with $e(h) = \{c_i \mid i \in K\}$ such that $g \approx h$. Put $i \in I$. Then

$$f \approx g \Rightarrow \exists j \in J : a_i \leq b_j, \quad f_i(a_i) \leq g_j(b_j) \quad \& \quad \forall x \in [0, a_i] \quad f_i(x) = g_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i). \quad (3.4)$$

$$g \approx h \Rightarrow \exists k \in K : b_j \leq c_k, \quad g_j(b_j) \leq h_k(c_k) \quad \& \quad \forall x \in [0, b_j] \quad g_j(x) = h_k(x) \wedge g_j(b_j). \quad (3.5)$$

and so for all $x \in [0, a_i]$ we have

$$f_i(x) = g_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = h_k(x) \wedge g_j(b_j) \wedge f_i(a_i) = h_k(x) \wedge f_i(a_i).$$

That is, $f \approx h$. Therefore, \approx is an equivalence relation. \square

Now we introduce a composition of two EMV -morphisms.

Proposition 3.10. *Let M_1 , M_2 and M_3 be EMV -algebras and $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $h = \{h_j \mid j \in J\} : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ be EMV -morphisms with $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $e(h) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$. If $U = \{(i, j) \in I \times J \mid f_i(a_i) \leq b_j\}$. For each $(i, j) \in U$, we define $g_{i,j} : [0, a_i] \rightarrow [0, h_j \circ f_i(a_i)]$ by $g_{i,j} = h_j \circ f_i$. Then $g = \{g_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in U\}$ is an EMV -morphism, which is denoted $h \circ f$.*

Proof. Clearly, $e(g) = \{a_i \mid (i, j) \in U\}$ is a full subset of M_1 and $\{g_{i,j}(a_i) \mid (i, j) \in U\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M_3)$. Put $z \in M_3$. Then there is $j \in J$ such that $h_j(b_j) \geq z$. Similarly, there exist $i \in I$ and $t \in J$ such that $f_i(a_i) \geq b_t$, $f_i(a_i) \leq b_t$, and $h_t(b_t) \geq h_j(b_j)$. Thus $h_t(f_i(a_i)) \geq h_t(b_t) \geq h_j(b_j) \geq z$. That is, $\{g_{i,j}(a_i) \mid (i, j) \in U\}$ is a full subset of $\mathcal{I}(M_3)$. Now, let $(i, j), (s, t) \in U$ such that $(h_j \circ f_i)(a_i) \leq (h_t \circ f_s)(a_s)$. We claim that

$$\forall x \in [0, a_i \wedge a_s] \quad (h_j \circ f_i)(x) = (h_t \circ f_s)(x) \wedge (h_j \circ f_i)(a_i). \quad (3.6)$$

Let $z \in I$ such that $a_z \geq a_i, a_s$ and $f_z(a_z) \geq f_i(a_i), f_s(a_s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \exists k_1 \in J : b_{k_1} \geq f_z(a_z) &\Rightarrow \exists k_2 \in J : b_{k_2} \geq b_{k_1}, b_t \quad \& \quad h_{k_2}(b_{k_2}) \geq h_{k_1}(b_{k_1}), h_t(b_t) \\ &\Rightarrow \exists k \in J : b_k \geq b_j, b_t \quad \& \quad h_k(b_k) \geq h_j(b_j), h_t(b_t) \\ &\Rightarrow \left(h_j(x) = h_k(x) \wedge h_j(b_j), x \in [0, b_j] \right) \quad \& \quad \left(h_t(x) = h_k(x) \wedge h_t(b_t), x \in [0, b_t] \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each $x \in [0, a_i \wedge a_j]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_t(f_s(x)) \wedge h_j(f_i(a_i)) &= h_k(f_s(x)) \wedge h_t(b_t) \wedge h_k(f_i(a_i)) \wedge h_j(b_j) \\
&= h_k(f_s(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)) \wedge h_t(b_t) \wedge h_j(b_j) \\
&= h_k(f_z(x) \wedge f_s(a_s) \wedge f_i(a_i)) \wedge h_t(b_t) \wedge h_j(b_j) \\
&= h_k(f_i(x) \wedge f_s(a_s)) \wedge h_t(b_t) \wedge h_j(b_j) \\
&= h_k(f_i(x)) \wedge h_k(f_s(a_s)) \wedge h_t(b_t) \wedge h_j(b_j) \\
&= h_k(f_i(x)) \wedge h_t(f_s(a_s)) \wedge h_j(b_j), \text{ since } b_t \geq f_s(a_s) \\
&= h_j(f_i(x)) \wedge h_t(f_s(a_s)) \\
&= h_j(f_i(x)), \text{ since } h_j(f_i(x)) \leq h_j(f_i(a_i)) \leq h_t(f_s(a_s)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.6) holds. Finally, let (i, j) and (s, t) be arbitrary elements of U . Then by the assumption, we can find $z \in I$ such that $a_i, a_s \leq a_z$ and $f_i(a_i), f_s(a_s) \leq f_z(a_z)$. Put $k \in J$ with $b_k \geq f_z(a_z), b_j, b_t$ and $h_k(b_k) \geq h_j(b_j), h_t(b_t)$. Since $h_k(b_k) \geq h_j(b_j), h_t(b_t)$, then

$$h_j(f_i(a_i)) = h_k(f_i(a_i)) \wedge h_j(b_j) \quad \& \quad h_t(f_s(a_s)) = h_k(f_s(a_s)) \wedge h_t(b_t)$$

and so $h_k(f_z(a_z)) \geq h_k(f_i(a_i)) \geq h_j(f_i(a_i))$. In a similar way, $h_k(f_z(a_z)) \geq h_k(f_i(a_i)) \geq h_t(f_s(a_s))$. Hence, there exists $(z, k) \in U$ such that $a_z \geq a_i, a_s$ and $(h_k \circ f_z)(a_z) \geq (h_j \circ f_i)(a_i), (h_t \circ f_s)(a_s)$. Therefore, $h \circ f$ is an EMV-morphism. \square

The next proposition shows that the equivalence relation \approx is compatible with the composition \circ .

Proposition 3.11. *Let $f = \{f_i\}_{i \in I} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j\}_{j \in J} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be two EMV-morphisms, where $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$ such that $f \approx g$.*

- (i) *If $h = \{h_k \mid k \in K\} : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ is an EMV-morphism with $e(h) = \{c_i \mid i \in K\}$, then $h \circ f \approx h \circ g$.*
- (ii) *If $v = \{v_k \mid k \in K\} : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ is an EMV-morphism with $e(v) = \{d_i \mid i \in K\}$, then $f \circ v \approx g \circ v$.*
- (iii) *If $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$, $g_1 \approx g_2$, and $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}(M_2, M_3)$, $f_1 \approx f_2$, then $f_1 \circ g_1 \approx f_2 \circ g_2$.*

Proof. (i) Set $U = \{(i, k) \in I \times K \mid f_i(a_i) \leq c_k\}$ and $V = \{(j, k) \in J \times K \mid g_j(b_j) \leq c_k\}$. Then

$$h \circ f = \{h_k \circ f_i \mid (i, k) \in U\} \quad \& \quad h \circ g = \{h_k \circ g_j \mid (j, k) \in V\}.$$

We show that for each $(i, k) \in U$, there exists $(s, t) \in V$ such that $a_i \leq b_s$, $(h_k \circ f_i)(a_i) \leq (h_t \circ g_s)(b_s)$ and

$$\forall x \in [0, a_i] \quad (h_k \circ f_i)(x) = (h_t \circ g_s)(x) \wedge (h_k \circ f_i)(a_i).$$

Put $(i, k) \in U$. Then $f_i(a_i) \leq c_k$. Since $f \approx g$, given i there is $s \in J$ such that $a_i \leq b_s$, $f_i(a_i) \leq g_s(b_s)$ and

$$\forall x \in [0, a_i] \quad f_i(x) = g_s(x) \wedge f_i(a_i).$$

Let $t \in K$ such that $c_k, g_s(b_s) \leq c_t$ and $h_k(c_k) \leq h_t(c_t)$. Then

$$h_k(f_i(a_i)) \leq h_t(f_i(a_i)) \leq h_t(g_s(b_s)), \tag{3.7}$$

whence $h_k(z) = h_t(z) \wedge h_k(c_k)$ for all $z \in [0, c_k]$ and so for all $x \in [0, a_i]$, we have $h_k(f_i(x)) = h_t(f_i(x)) \wedge h_k(c_k) = h_t(g_s(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)) \wedge h_k(c_k) = h_t(g_s(x)) \wedge h_t(f_i(a_i)) \wedge h_k(c_k) = h_t(g_s(x)) \wedge h_k(f_i(a_i))$. That is, $h \circ f \approx h \circ g$.

(ii) Set $W := \{(k, i) \in K \times I \mid v_k(d_k) \leq a_i\}$ and $Z := \{(k, j) \in K \times J \mid v_k(d_k) \leq b_j\}$. Then $f \circ v = \{f_i \circ v_k \mid (k, i) \in W\}$, $g \circ v = \{g_j \circ v_k \mid (k, j) \in Z\}$, $e(f \circ v) = \{d_k \mid (k, i) \in W\}$ and $e(g \circ v) = \{d_k \mid (k, j) \in Z\}$. It suffices to show that for each $(k, i) \in W$, there exists $(s, j) \in Z$ such that $d_k \leq d_s$,

$(f_i \circ v_k)(d_k) \leq (g_j \circ v_s)(d_s)$ and for all $u \in [0, d_k]$, $(f_i \circ v_k)(u) = (g_j \circ v_s)(u) \wedge (f_i \circ v_k)(d_k)$. Let $(k, i) \in W$. Since $f \approx g$, there is $j \in J$ such that $a_i \leq b_j$ and for all $x \in [0, a_i]$, we have $f_i(x) = g_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$. Set $s = k$. Then for each $u \in [0, d_k]$, $v_k(u) \in [0, a_i]$ and $f_i(v_k(u)) = g_j(v_k(u)) \wedge f_i(a_i)$. Since $u \leq d_k \leq a_i$ and $v_k(d_k) \leq a_i$, then $f_i(v_k(u)) \leq f_i(v_k(d_k)) \leq f_i(a_i)$ and so

$$f_i(v_k(u)) = g_j(v_k(u)) \wedge f_i(a_i) \wedge f_i(v_k(d_k)) = g_j(v_k(u)) \wedge f_i(v_k(d_k)).$$

That is, $(f_i \circ v_k)(u) = (g_j \circ v_s)(u) \wedge (f_i \circ v_k)(d_k)$ for each $u \in [0, d_k]$. Therefore, $f \circ v \approx g \circ v$.

(iii) By (i) and (ii), we have $f_1 \circ g_1 \approx f_1 \circ g_2 \approx f_2 \circ g_2$. \square

In the following proposition we show that the operation \circ on the set of all *EMV*-morphisms is \approx -associative, i.e. $h \circ (g \circ f) \approx (h \circ g) \circ f$ whenever the corresponding compositions are defined.

Proposition 3.12. *The operation \circ is \approx -associative. If $Id_{M_1} : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$, $Id_{M_2} : M_2 \rightarrow M_2$, and $f \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$, then $f \circ Id_{M_1} \approx f$ and $Id_{M_2} \circ f \approx f$.*

Proof. (1) Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ and $h = \{h_k \mid k \in K\} : M_3 \rightarrow M_4$ be *EMV*-morphisms with $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$, $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$ and $e(h) = \{c_k \mid k \in K\}$. Then $g \circ f = \{g_j \circ f_i \mid (i, j) \in I \times J, f_i(a_i) \leq b_j\}$, $h \circ g = \{h_k \circ g_j \mid (j, k) \in J \times K, g_j(b_j) \leq c_k\}$, $e(g \circ f) = \{a_i \mid (i, j) \in I \times J, f_i(a_i) \leq b_j\}$ and $e(h \circ g) = \{b_j \mid (j, k) \in J \times K, g_j(b_j) \leq c_k\}$. Hence, $h \circ (g \circ f) = \{h_k \circ (g_j \circ f_i) \mid i \in I, j \in J, k \in K, (g_j(f_i(a_i))) \leq c_k, f_i(a_i) \leq b_j\}$ and $(h \circ g) \circ f = \{(h_k \circ g_j) \circ f_i \mid i \in I, j \in J, k \in K, f_i(a_i) \leq b_j, g_j(b_j) \leq c_k\}$. Assume that $f_i(a_i) \leq b_j$ and $g_j(b_j) \leq c_k$. Then clearly $g_j(f_i(a_i)) \leq c_k$ and, for each $x \in [0, a_i]$, we have $((h_k \circ g_j) \circ f_i)(x) = (h_k \circ (g_j \circ f_i))(x) = (h_k \circ (g_j \circ f_i))(x) \wedge ((h_k \circ g_j) \circ f_i)(a_i)$. So, by Definition 3.7, $h \circ (g \circ f) \approx (h \circ g) \circ f$.

(2) Now, consider the identity maps $Id_{M_1} : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $Id_{M_2} : M_2 \rightarrow M_2$. By Remark 3.4, they are *EMV*-morphisms. So that, $Id_{M_1} = \{Id_{[0, a]} \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}$ and $U = \{(a, i) \in \mathcal{I}(M_1) \times I \mid Id_{[0, a]}(a) \leq b_i\} = \{(a, i) \mid a \leq b_i\}$. For each $(a, i) \in U$, let us put $f_{a, i} = f_i \circ Id_{[0, a]} = f_i : [0, a] \rightarrow [0, f_i(a)]$. Then for the *EMV*-morphism $f \circ Id_{M_1} = \{f_{a, i} \mid (a, i) \in U\}$, we have that for each (a, i) , that is for a , there is b_i such that $a \leq b_i$. Whence, $f_i(x) = f_{a, i}(x) := f_i(x) \wedge f_{a, i}(a) = f_i(x)$ for each $x \in [0, a]$, that is $f \circ Id_{M_1} \approx f$.

Similarly, let $Id_{M_2} = \{Id_{[0, b]} \mid b \in \mathcal{I}(M_2)\}$. Then for $V = \{(i, b) \in I \times \mathcal{I}(M_2) \mid f_i(b_i) \leq b\}$, we have $Id_{M_2} \circ f = \{\tilde{f}_{i, b} \mid (i, b) \in V\}$, where $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_{i, b} := Id_{[0, b]} \circ f_i : [0, b_i] \rightarrow [0, f_i(b_i)]$. So that, $f_i(y) = \tilde{f}_{i, b}(y) = f_i(y) \wedge \tilde{f}_{i, b}(b_i) = f_i(y)$ for each $y \in [0, b_i]$, that is $Id_{M_2} \circ f \approx f$. \square

4 Categories of *EMV*-algebras

The notion of an *EMV*-morphisms and the relation \approx enable us to study three categories of *EMV*-algebras where the objects are *EMV*-algebras and morphisms are special classes of *EMV*-morphisms.

Remark 4.1. Let \mathcal{EMV} be the set of *EMV*-algebras. Given two *EMV*-algebras M_1, M_2 , on the set $\text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$ of *EMV*-morphisms from M_1 into M_2 , we define $\text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)/\approx := \{[f] \mid f \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)\}$ of quotient classes, where $[f] = \{g \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2) \mid g \approx f\}$. Since \approx is an equivalence, we can define a composition of quotient classes denoted also by \circ such that $[g] \circ [h] := [g \circ h]$ for all $[h] \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)/\approx$ and $[g] \in \text{Hom}(M_2, M_3)/\approx$. Then $[g] \circ [h] \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_3)/\approx$, and by Proposition 3.12, we have $([f] \circ [g]) \circ [h] = [f] \circ ([g] \circ [h])$ for each $[f] \in \text{Hom}(M_3, M_4)/\approx$. In addition, $[h] \circ [Id_{M_1}] = [h]$ and $[Id_{M_2}] \circ [h] = [h]$ for each $[h] \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)/\approx$.

Therefore, we can define the category \mathbf{EMV} whose objects are *EMV*-algebras and any morphism from an object M_1 to another object M_2 is the quotient class $[f] \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)/\approx$. As we have seen, the category \mathbf{EMV} is defined correctly.

Definition 4.2. An *EMV*-morphism $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ with $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ is called *standard* if, for each $x \in M_1$, the set $\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$ has a maximum element, that is, given $x \in M_1$, there is $a_j \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ with $j \in I$ and $a_j \geq x$ such that $f_j(x) = \max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$.

Clearly, if M_1 and M_2 are MV -algebras and $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an MV -homomorphism, then f is standard as an EMV -morphism.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ be standard EMV -morphisms such that $\mathbf{e}(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\mathbf{e}(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$. Then:*

- (i) *The EMV -morphism $g \circ f$ is standard.*
- (ii) *For each EMV -algebra M , the identity map $Id_M : M \rightarrow M$ is a standard EMV -morphism.*
- (iii) *If $h = \{h_i \mid i \in K\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an EMV -morphism such that $\mathbf{e}(h) = \{c_k \mid k \in K\}$ and $f \approx h$, then h is a standard EMV -morphism.*

Proof. (i) We know that $g \circ f = \{g_j \circ f_i \mid (i, j) \in U\}$, where $U = \{(i, j) \in I \times J \mid f_i(a_i) \leq b_j\}$. Let $x \in M_1$ and $i \in I$ be such that $f_i(a_i) \leq b_j$ and $x \leq a_i$. Then there exists $s \in I$ with $f_s(x) = \max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$. Suppose that $g_t(f_s(x)) = \max\{g_j(f_s(x)) \mid f_s(x) \leq b_j\}$. Put $k \in J$ such that $b_k \geq b_t, f_s(a_s)$ and $g_t(b_t) \leq g_k(b_k)$. Then $g_k(f_s(x)) \geq g_t(f_s(x))$ which implies that $g_k(f_s(x)) = g_t(f_s(x))$. We claim that $g_k(f_s(x)) = \max\{(g_j \circ f_i)(x) \mid (i, j) \in U, x \leq a_i\}$. Let $(i, j) \in U$ and $x \leq a_i$. Then $f_i(x) \leq f_s(x)$. Let $z \in J$ such that $b_z \geq b_j, b_k$ and $g_z(b_z) \geq g_j(b_j), g_k(b_k)$. By the assumption, $g_z(f_s(x)) = g_k(f_s(x))$ and $g_j(f_s(x)) = g_z(f_s(x)) \wedge g_j(b_j) \leq g_z(f_s(x)) = g_k(f_s(x))$. So, the claim is true, and $g \circ f$ is a standard EMV -morphism.

(ii) It is evident.

(iii) If $x \in M_1$, then there exists $t \in I$ such that $x \leq a_t$ and $f_t(x) = \max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$. Since $f \approx h$, there exists $k \in K$ such that $a_t \leq c_k, f_t(a_t) \leq h_k(c_k)$ and $f_t(x) = h_k(x) \wedge f_t(a_t)$ for all $x \in [0, a_t]$. That is, $f_t(x) \leq h_k(x)$. Moreover, if c_j is an arbitrary element of $\mathbf{e}(h)$ such that $x \leq c_j$, then there is $s \in K$ such that $c_j, c_k \leq c_s$ and $h_j(c_j), h_k(c_k) \leq h_s(c_s)$, so $h_j(x), h_k(x) \leq h_s(x)$. On the other hand, there exists $i \in I$ such that $c_s \leq a_i$ and $h_s(x) = f_i(x) \wedge h_s(c_s)$, which implies that $h_s(x) \leq f_i(x) \leq f_t(x)$ (since $x \leq a_i$). Thus, $h_j(x) \leq f_t(x)$ (since $h_j(x) \leq h_s(x)$). Summing up the above results, we get that $f_t(x) = h_k(x)$ and $f_t(x) = h_s(x) = \max\{h_i(x) \mid i \in K\}$ and so, h is a standard EMV -morphism. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a standard EMV -morphism with $\mathbf{e}(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$.*

- (i) *For each $x \in M_1$, $\max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\} = \max\{f_i(x) \mid a \leq a_i\}$, where $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ and $a \geq x$.*
- (ii) *The map $F_f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ defined by $F_f(x) = \max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$, $x \in M_1$, is a strong EMV -homomorphism in the sense of Definition 2.2.*

Proof. (i) Clearly, $\max\{f_i(x) \mid a \leq a_i\} \leq \max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$. Let $a \leq a_j$ and $f_k(x)$ be the greatest element of $\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$. Put $t \in I$ such that $a_j, a_k \leq a_t$ and $f_j(a_j), f_k(a_k) \leq f_t(a_t)$. Then $f_t(x) = f_k(x)$ and $f_t(x) \in \{f_i(x) \mid a \leq a_i\}$. It follows that $\max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\} = \max\{f_i(x) \mid a_j \leq a_i\}$.

(ii) Clearly, $F_f(0) = 0$. Let $x, y \in M_1$. If $F_f(x \oplus y) = f_k(x \oplus y)$ for $a_k \geq x \oplus y$, then $F_f(x \oplus y) = f_k(x) \oplus f_k(y) \leq F_f(x) \oplus F_f(y)$. On the other hand, if $F_f(x) = f_i(x)$ and $F_f(y) = f_j(y)$, then there is $s \in I$ such that $a_s \geq a_i, a_j$ and $f_s(a_s) \geq f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j)$, so that $f_s(x) = f_i(x)$ and $f_s(y) = f_j(y)$. Thus $F_f(x) \oplus F_f(y) = f_s(x) \oplus f_s(y) = f_s(x \oplus y) \leq F_f(x \oplus y)$ (since $x \oplus y \leq a_s \oplus a_s = a_s$). That is, $F_f(x \oplus y) = F_f(x) \oplus F_f(y)$. In a similar way, we can show that $F_f(x \vee y) = F_f(x) \vee F_f(y)$ and $F_f(x \wedge y) = F_f(x) \wedge F_f(y)$. It suffices to show that for each $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$, $F_f|_{[0, a]} : [0, a] \rightarrow [0, F_f(a)]$ is an MV -homomorphism. Put $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ and $x \in [0, a]$. Let $F_f(a) = f_j(a)$ and $F_f(x) = f_i(x)$ for $x \leq a_i$ and $a \leq a_j$.

(1) If $k \in I$ such that $a_j, a_i \leq a_k$ and $f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j) \leq f_k(a_k)$, then $F_f(x) = f_k(x)$ and $F_f(a) = f_k(a)$ and so by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{F_f(a)}(F_f(x)) &= \lambda_{f_k(a)}(f_k(x)) = \lambda_{f_k(a_k)}(f_k(x)) \wedge f_k(a) = f_k(\lambda_{a_k}(x)) \wedge f_k(a) \\ &= f_k(\lambda_{a_k}(x) \wedge a) = f_k(\lambda_a(x)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $F_f(\lambda_a(x)) = f_t(\lambda_a(x))$ for some $t \in I$ with $\lambda_a(x) \leq a_t$. Put $z \in I$ such that $a_t, a_k \leq a_z$ and $f_k(a_k), f_t(a_t) \leq f_z(a_z)$. Then $F_f(\lambda_a(x)) = f_z(\lambda_a(x))$ and $\lambda_{F_f(a)}(F_f(x)) = f_z(\lambda_a(x))$ (by (1)). So, $\lambda_{F_f(a)}(F_f(x)) = F_f(\lambda_a(x))$. Hence, F_f is an EMV -homomorphism in the sense of Definition 2.2. Since $\{f_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a full subset of M_2 , then clearly, $\{F_f(a_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a full subset of M_2 , which implies that F_f is a strong EMV -morphism. \square

Remark 4.5. Given two EMV -algebras M_1 and M_2 , let $\text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)$ be the set of all standard EMV -morphisms from M_1 into M_2 . We denote by $\text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)/\approx$ the set of quotient classes $[f]_s$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)$, under the equivalence \approx restricted to $\text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)$, that is,

$$\text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)/\approx := \{[f]_s \mid f \in \text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)\},$$

where $[f]_s := \{g \in \text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2) \mid g \approx f\}$. Due to Proposition 4.3, we can define unambiguously a composition, denoted also as \circ , of two classes via $[f]_s \circ [g]_s := [f \circ g]_s$ for $[f]_s \in \text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)/\approx$ and $[g]_s \in \text{Hom}_s(M, M_1)/\approx$. Then \circ is associative and due to Proposition 4.3(ii), we have $[h]_s \circ [Id_{M_1}]_s = [h]_s$ and $[Id_{M_2}]_s \circ [h]_s = [h]_s$ for each $[h]_s \in \text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)/\approx$.

Therefore, similarly as in Corollary 4.1, we can define a new category \mathbb{EMV}_s whose objects are EMV -algebras and a morphism from an object M_1 into another object M_2 is $[f]_s \in \text{Hom}_s(M_1, M_2)/\approx$. Now, it is easy to verify that \mathbb{EMV}_s is indeed a category.

Remark 4.6. Let $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ be strong EMV -homomorphisms. Then the composition $g \circ f : M_1 \rightarrow M_3$ defined by $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$, $x \in M_1$, is a strong EMV -homomorphism from M_1 into M_3 . Therefore, we can define a third category \mathbb{EMV}_{sh} whose objects are EMV -algebras and a morphism from an object M_1 into another object M_2 is any strong EMV -homomorphism from M_1 into M_2 . Since every Id_M is also a strong EMV -homomorphism, we see that \mathbb{EMV}_{sh} is indeed a category.

Theorem 4.7. *The categories \mathbb{EMV}_{sh} and \mathbb{EMV}_s are equivalent.*

Proof. First we note that if $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ are two similar standard EMV -morphisms, then $F_f = F_g$, where the strong EMV -homomorphisms F_f and F_g are defined by Lemma 4.4. Indeed, let $x \in M_1$. Then there exists $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ such that $F_f(x) = f_i(x)$ and $F_g(x) = g_j(x)$. Since $f \approx g$, then there is $k \in J$ such that $a_i \leq b_k$ and $f_i(x) = g_k(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$. Also, by Proposition 3.9, there exists $t \in I$ such that $b_j \leq a_t$ and $g_j(x) = f_t(x) \wedge g_j(b_j)$, so $f_i(x) \leq g_k(x) \leq g_j(x) \leq f_t(x) \leq f_i(x)$ (since $f_i(x) = \max\{f_s(x) \mid x \leq a_s\}$). That is, $F_f(x) = F_g(x)$ for each $x \in M$.

Consider a mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{EMV}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{EMV}_{sh}$ defined by $\mathcal{F}(M) = M$ for all EMV -algebras M , and $\mathcal{F}([f]_s) : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, $[f]_s \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)_s/\approx$, sending x to $F_f(x) = \max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}([f]_s) = F_f$. By the previous paragraph, $\mathcal{F}([f]_s)$ is correctly defined and in view of Proposition 4.3, \mathcal{F} preserves both \circ and the identity maps. Therefore, \mathcal{F} is a well-defined functor.

Let $\mathcal{H} : \mathbb{EMV}_{sh} \rightarrow \mathbb{EMV}_s$ be defined by $\mathcal{H}(M) = M$ for each EMV -algebra M and $\mathcal{H}(f) : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be the class of standard EMV -morphisms containing the EMV -morphism induced by the strong EMV -homomorphism f (see Remark 3.4), that is $\mathcal{H}(f) = [f]_s$. Then \mathcal{H} is also a functor.

Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a standard EMV -morphism with $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$. We claim that $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{F}([f]_s) = [f]_s$. Set $F_f = \mathcal{F}([f]_s)$. It suffices to show that $\{F_{f,a} \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}$ is similar to f , where $F_{f,a} = F_f|_{[0,a]}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$. Put $a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$. If x is an arbitrary element of $[0, a]$, then there exists $i \in I$ such that $x \leq a_i$ and $F_{f,a}(x) = f_i(x) = \max\{f_s(x) \mid x \leq a_s\}$. It follows that $F_{f,a}(x) = F_f(x) = f_i(x) = f_i(x) \wedge F_{f,a}(a)$ (note that $F_{f,a}(a) \geq F_f(x) = F_{f,a}(x)$). Thus $f \approx \{F_{f,a} \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}$.

On the other hand, if $g : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a strong EMV -homomorphism, then $\mathcal{H}(g) = [g]_s = [\{g_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)\}]_s$ (see Remark 3.4) and so $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{H}(g)(x) = \max\{g_a(x) \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M_1), x \leq a\} = \max\{g(x) \mid x \leq a\} = g(x)$ for all $x \in M_1$. Therefore, \mathbb{EMV}_s and \mathbb{EMV}_{sh} are equivalent categories. \square

The following proposition will be used to show that \mathbb{EMV}_s is a subcategory of the category \mathbb{EMV} .

Proposition 4.8. *Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} \in \text{Hom}(M_1, M_2)$ be a standard EMV -morphism and $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} \in [f]$, where $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$. Then g is a standard EMV -morphism.*

Proof. Given $x \in M_1$, let $f_k(x) = \max\{f_i(x) \mid x \leq a_i\}$, where $x \leq a_k$. Let b_j be an arbitrary element of $e(g)$ such that $x \leq b_j$. Since $f \approx g$, then there exists $i \in I$ such that $b_j \leq a_i$ and $g_j(x) = f_i(x) \wedge g_j(b_j)$. From $f_i(x) \leq f_k(x)$ it follows that

$$\text{if } j \in J \text{ such that } x \leq b_j, \text{ then } g_j(x) \leq f_k(x).$$

On the other hand, there is $t \in J$ such that $a_k \leq b_t$ and $f_k(x) = g_t(x) \wedge f_k(a_k)$, which implies that $f_k(x) \leq g_t(x) \leq f_k(x)$. That is, $g_t(x) = \max\{g_j(x) \mid x \leq b_j\}$. Therefore, g is standard. \square

Corollary 4.9. *The category \mathbb{EMV}_s is a subcategory of the category \mathbb{EMV} .*

Proof. Let $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a standard EMV -morphism. Due to Proposition 4.8, we have $[f] = [f]_s$ which gives the result. \square

5 Product of EMV -algebras in the Category \mathbb{EMV}

We show that the product of a family of EMV -algebras with respect to EMV -morphisms can be defined also in the category \mathbb{EMV} of EMV -algebras.

Proposition 5.1. *Let M_1 and M_2 be EMV -algebras and $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an EMV -morphism with $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$. Consider a full subset $K \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M_1)$. For each $b \in K$, let us define $g_{i,b} : [0, b] \rightarrow [0, f_i(b)]$ by $g_{i,b} := f_i|_{[0,b]}$, where $i \in I$ and $b \leq a_i$. Then $g = \{g_{i,b} \mid i \in I, b \leq a_i\}$ is an EMV -morphism and $f \approx g$.*

Proof. First we show that $g : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is an EMV -morphism.

(1) Let $i \in I$. Then there is $b \in K$ such that $a_i \leq b$. Since $e(f)$ is a full subset of M_1 , we can find $j \in I$ such that $b \leq a_j$. Put $t \in I$ satisfying $a_i, a_j \leq a_t$ and $f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j) \leq f_t(a_t)$. Then we have

$$(\forall x \in [0, a_i] \ f_i(x) = f_t(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)) \quad \& \quad (\forall x \in [0, a_j] \ f_j(x) = f_t(x) \wedge f_j(a_j)).$$

It follows that $f_t(b) \geq f_t(a_i) \geq f_i(a_i)$ and $f_t(b) \in e(g)$. So, $\{g_{i,b}(b) \mid i \in I, b \in K, b \leq a_i\}$ is a full subset of M_2 . Clearly, $K \subseteq e(g)$. Thus $e(g)$ is a full subset of M_1 .

(2) Let $i, j \in I$ and $b, b' \in K$ such that $b \leq a_i$ and $b' \leq a_j$ and $g_{i,b}(b) \leq g_{j,b'}(b')$. Then $f_i(b) \leq f_j(b')$. Put $t \in I$ such that $a_i, a_j \leq a_t$, $f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j) \leq f_t(a_t)$. Hence,

$$(\forall x \in [0, a_i] \ f_i(x) = f_t(x) \wedge g_i(a_i)) \quad \& \quad (\forall x \in [0, a_j] \ f_j(x) = f_t(x) \wedge f_j(a_j))$$

and so, for all $x \in [0, b]$,

$$f_j(x) = f_t(x) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_t(x) \wedge f_j(a_j) \wedge f_j(b) = f_t(x) \wedge f_j(b).$$

We claim that for each $x \in [0, b \wedge b']$, $g_{j,b'}(x) \wedge g_{i,b}(b) = g_{i,b}(x)$. Let $x \in [0, b \wedge b']$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) &= f_t(x) \wedge f_j(a_j) \wedge f_i(a_i) \\ &= f_t(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) \wedge f_i(b) \wedge f_j(a_j), \text{ since } f_t(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_i(x) \leq f_i(b) \\ &= f_t(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) \wedge f_i(b) \wedge f_j(a_j) \wedge f_j(b'), \text{ since } f_t(x) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_j(x) \text{ and } f_j(x) \leq f_j(b') \\ &= f_t(x) \wedge f_i(b) \wedge f_j(b'), \text{ since } f_i(b) \leq f_i(a_i) \text{ and } f_j(b') \leq f_j(a_j) \\ &= f_t(x) \wedge f_i(b), \text{ by the assumption} \\ &= f_t(x) \wedge f_i(b) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_i(x) \wedge f_i(b) = f_i(x), \end{aligned}$$

whence $g_{j,b'}(x) \wedge g_{i,b}(b) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(b) = f_j(x) \wedge (f_i(a_i) \wedge f_i(b)) = f_i(x) \wedge f_i(b) = f_i(x) = g_{i,b}(x)$.

(3) Let $b, b' \in K$ and $i, j \in I$ such that $b \leq a_i$ and $b' \leq a_j$. Then there is $b'' \in K$ with $b, b' \leq b''$. Put $t \in I$ such that $b'' \leq a_t$.

$$\exists s \in I : a_i, a_j \leq a_s, \ f_i(a_i) \leq f_s(a_s), \ f_j(a_j) \leq f_s(a_s) \quad (5.1)$$

similarly, there is $w \in I$ such that $a_t, a_s \leq a_w$ and $f_t(a_t), f_s(a_s) \leq f_w(a_w)$. Clearly, $f_i(b) \leq f_w(b) \leq f_w(b'')$ and $f_j(b') \leq f_w(b') \leq f_w(b'')$.

From (1)–(3) it follows that g is an EMV -morphism. Clearly, $f \approx g$. Indeed, for each $b \in K$, there is $i \in I$ such that $b \leq a_i$ for all $x \in [0, b]$ we have $g_{i,b}(x) = f_i(x)$. That is, $f \approx g$. \square

Consider the assumptions of Proposition 5.1. In the next theorem, we show that if, for each $b \in K$, we put a special function g_b to be equal to $f_j|_{[0,b]}$ from $\{f_i|_{[0,b]} \mid i \in I, b \leq a_i\}$, then $\{g_b \mid b \in K\}$ is again an *EMV*-morphism which is similar to f . For each $i \in I$, there exists $b \in K$ such that $a_i \leq b$. Also, there is $j \in I$ such that $a_i, b \leq a_j$ and $f_i(a_i) \leq f_j(a_j)$. Since f is an *EMV*-morphism, then $f_i(x) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$ for all $x \in [0, a_i]$, and so $f_j(b) \geq f_j(a_i) \geq f_i(a_i)$. Set $g_b := f_j|_{[0,b]}$. Then $g_b(b) \geq f_i(a_i)$. We can easily check that $\{g_b(b) \mid b \in K\}$ has the following property:

$$\forall t \in I \exists b \in K : a_t \leq b \text{ \& } f_t(a_t) \leq g_b(b). \quad (5.2)$$

Whence, $\{g_b(b) \mid b \in K\}$ is a full subset of M_2 .

Proposition 5.2. *Let K be a full subset of idempotents of M_1 and $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an *EMV*-morphism with $\mathbf{e}(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$. If, for each $b \in K$, $g_b := f_i|_{[0,b]}$, where $i \in I$ and $b \leq a_i$ such that $\{g_b(b) \mid b \in K\}$ satisfies the condition (5.2), then $g = \{g_b \mid b \in K\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism and $g \approx f$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{e}(f)$ is a full subset of M_1 , then $\mathbf{e}(g) = K$.

(1) Since $\{f_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a full subset of M_2 , then by (5.2), $\{g_b(b) \mid b \in K\}$ is a full subset of M_2 , too.

(2) If $b, b' \in K$, then there exist $i, j \in I$ such that $b \leq a_i, b' \leq a_j, g_b(b) = f_i(b)$ and $g_{b'}(b') = f_j(b')$. Put $t \in I$ such that $a_i, a_j \leq a_t$ and $f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j) \leq f_t(a_t)$. By (5.2), there exists $b'' \in K$ with $f_t(a_t) \leq g_{b''}(b'')$. It follows that $g_b(b), g_{b'}(b') \leq g_{b''}(b'')$.

(3) Let $b, b' \in K$ such that $g_b(b) \leq g_{b'}(b')$. Then there are $i, j \in I$ such that $b \leq a_i, b' \leq a_j, g_b = f_i|_{[0,b]} = g_{i,b}$ and $g_{b'} = f_j|_{[0,b']} = g_{j,b'}$. By Proposition 5.1, for all $x \in [0, b \wedge b']$, we have $g_b(x) = g_{b'}(x) \wedge g_b(b)$. From (1)–(3) it follows that $\{g_b \mid b \in K\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism. Now, we show that $f \approx g$. Let $b \in K$. Then there is $i \in I$ such that $b \leq a_i$ and $g_b = f_i|_{[0,b]}$ and so

$$g_b(b) \leq f_i(b) \leq f_i(a_i) \quad \& \quad \forall x \in [0, b], \quad g_b(x) = f_i(x) \wedge g_b(b).$$

Therefore, $g = \{g_b \mid b \in K\} \approx f$. □

Remark 5.3. (i) In the last proposition, the definition of $g = \{g_b \mid b \in K\}$ does not depend on the choice of f_i for given b . Indeed, if $h = \{h_b \mid b \in K\}$ is defined by $h_b = f_j(b)$, where $b \leq a_j$ and $\{h_b(b) \mid b \in K\}$ satisfies in (5.2), then by Proposition 5.2, $h \approx f \approx g$. So we can feel free for choosing a suitable f_i related to b . The only thing we must care is (5.2).

(ii) If we consider $K = \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ in Proposition 5.2, then we have $\mathbf{e}(g) = \mathbf{e}(h) = \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ and $g \approx h \approx f$. Thus without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbf{e}(f) = \mathcal{I}(M_1)$.

An *EMV*-morphism $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ with $\mathbf{e}(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ is called an *EMV*- \approx -isomorphism if there exists an *EMV*-morphism $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ such that $g \circ f \approx Id_{M_1}$ and $f \circ g \approx Id_{M_2}$, and we say that M_1 and M_2 are \approx -isomorphic.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M \rightarrow M$ be an *EMV*-morphism such that $\mathbf{e}(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$. Then $f \approx Id_M$ if and only if, for all $i \in I$, we have*

- (i) $f_i(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in [0, a_i]$;
- (ii) $f_i(x) = x$ for all $x \leq f_i(a_i)$.

Proof. Let $f \approx Id_M$ and i be an arbitrary element of I . Then there is $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $a_i \leq b$ and $f_i(x) = Id_M(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$ for all $x \in [0, a_i]$. Clearly, for all $x \in [0, a_i]$, we have $f_i(x) \leq x$, specially, $f_i(a_i) \in [0, a_i]$. Also, for each $x \leq f_i(a_i)$, $f_i(x) = x \wedge f_i(a_i) = x$. Conversely, let (i) and (ii) hold. Put $i \in I$. Then by (i), $f_i(a_i) \leq a_i$. Since $\mathbf{e}(f)$ is a full subset of M , then there exists $j \in I$ such that $a_i \leq f_j(a_j)$ and so by definition, for all $x \in [0, a_i]$, we have $f_i(x) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i)$. Since $a \leq f_j(a_j)$, by (ii), $f_j(x) = x$ for all $x \in [0, a_i]$, and thus

$$f_i(x) = f_j(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = x \wedge f_i(a_i) = Id_M(x) \wedge f_i(a_i), \quad \forall x \in [0, a_i].$$

Therefore, $f \approx Id_M$. □

Corollary 5.5. Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be an EMV - \approx -isomorphism with $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$, and let $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ with $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$ be an EMV -morphism such that $f \circ g \approx Id_{M_2}$ and $g \circ f \approx Id_{M_1}$. Then $f \circ g = \{f_i \circ g_j \mid (i, j) \in U\}$ and $g \circ f = \{g_j \circ f_i \mid (j, i) \in V\}$, where $U = \{(i, j) \in I \times J \mid f_i(a_i) \leq b_j\}$ and $V = \{(s, t) \in J \times I \mid g_s(b_s) \leq a_t\}$. Consider the EMV -morphisms $h = \{h_{i,j} \mid (i, j) \in U\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $k = \{k_{s,t} \mid (s, t) \in V\} : M_2 \rightarrow M_2$ defined by $h_{i,j}(x) = g_j(f_i(x))$ if $(i, j) \in U$ and $x \leq g_j(f_i(a_i))$, and $k_{s,t}(x) = f_t(g_s(x))$ if $(s, t) \in V$ and $x \leq f_s(g_t(b_t))$. By Proposition 5.4, for all $(i, j) \in U$ and $(s, t) \in V$, we get

$$h_{i,j}(y) = f_j \circ g_i(y) = y, \quad \forall y \leq f_j(g_i(b_i)), \quad k_{s,t}(x) = g_t \circ f_s(x) = x, \quad \forall x \leq g_t(f_s(a_s)).$$

Therefore, $h \approx Id_{M_1}$ and $k \approx Id_{M_2}$.

Example 5.6. In Example 3.5, if $\{f_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a family of MV -isomorphisms, then we can easily see that $f = \{f_i \mid i \in S\}$ is an EMV - \approx -isomorphism.

Definition 5.7. Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be two EMV -morphisms such that $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$. Let $x, y \in M_1$. We say that $f(x) = g(y)$ if, for each $i \in I$ and each $j \in J$ such that $x \leq a_i$, $y \leq b_j$ and $f_i(a_i) \leq g_j(b_j)$, then $f_i(x) = g_j(y) \wedge f_i(a_i)$.

Consider the assumptions in Definition 5.7. Then $f(x) = g(y)$ if and only if, for each $i \in I$ and each $j \in J$ such that $x \leq a_i$, $y \leq b_j$ and $f_i(a_i) \geq g_j(b_j)$, we have $g_j(y) = f_i(x) \wedge g_j(b_j)$. Indeed, let $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ such that $x \leq a_i$, $y \leq b_j$ and $g_j(b_j) \leq f_i(a_i)$. Then we can find $k \in J$ such that $b_j \leq b_k$ and $f_i(a_i) \leq g_k(b_k)$. Since $f(x) = g(y)$, then by definition, $f_i(x) = g_k(y) \wedge f_i(a_i)$ and

$$f_i(x) \wedge g_j(b_j) = g_k(y) \wedge f_i(a_i) \wedge g_j(b_j) = g_k(x) \wedge g_j(b_j) = g_j(y).$$

The proof of the converse is similar.

In the next proposition, we find a relation between \approx and the concept introduced in Definition 5.7.

Proposition 5.8. Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $g = \{g_j \mid j \in J\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be two EMV -morphisms such that $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $e(g) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$.

- (i) $f \approx g$ if and only if $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in M_1$.
- (ii) $f(x) = f(y)$ if and only if, for each $i \in I$ with $x, y \leq a_i$, we have $f_i(x) = f_i(y)$.

Proof. (i) The proof is straightforward.

(ii) Suppose that $i \in I$ such that $x, y \leq a_i$. Then $f_i(x) = f_i(y) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_i(y)$. Conversely, let $x \leq a_i$ and $y \leq a_j$ such that $f_i(a_i) \leq f_j(a_j)$ for some $i, j \in I$. Then there exists $k \in I$ such that $a_i, a_j \leq a_k$ and $f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j) \leq f_k(a_k)$. By the assumption, $f_k(x) = f_k(y)$, so that $f_j(y) \wedge f_i(a_i) = (f_k(y) \wedge f_j(a_j)) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_k(y) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_k(x) \wedge f_i(a_i) = f_i(x)$. That is, $f(y) = f(x)$. \square

Proposition 5.9. Let $f = \{f_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ with $e(f) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ be an EMV -morphism. Then

$$\ker(f) := \{(x, y) \in M_1 \times M_1 \mid f_i(x) = f_i(y), \quad \forall a_i \geq x, y\}$$

is a congruence relation on M_1 .

Proof. Clearly, $\ker(f)$ is reflexive and symmetric. Let $(x, y), (y, z) \in \ker(f)$. Put $i \in I$ such that $x, y, z \leq a_i$. Then $f_i(x) = f_i(y) = f_i(z)$. For each $j \in I$ if $x, z \leq a_j$, then there exists $s \in I$ such that $a_j, a_i \leq a_s$ and $f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j) \leq f_s(a_s)$. It follows that

$$f_j(x) = f_s(x) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_s(y) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_s(z) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_j(z).$$

Therefore, $\ker(f)$ is transitive. Now, let $(x, y) \in \ker(f)$ and $x, y \leq a_i$ for $i \in I$. Given u , if $w \in I$ such that $x \vee u, y \vee u \leq a_w$, then we have $f_w(x) = f_w(y)$ and so $f_w(x \vee u) = f_w(x) \vee f_w(u) = f_w(y) \vee f_w(u) =$

$f_w(x \vee u)$ (since f_w is an EMV -homomorphism) consequently, $(x \vee u, y \vee u) \in \ker(f)$. In a similar way, $(x \oplus u, y \oplus u) \in \ker(f)$. Also, for each $k \in I$ with $x \wedge u, y \wedge u \leq a_k$, there is $z \in I$ such that $a_z \geq a_k, a_i, u$ and $f_z(a_z) \geq f_i(a_i), f_k(a_k)$, whence $f_z(x) = f_z(y)$ and $f_k(c) = f_z(c) \wedge f_k(a_k)$ for all $c \in [0, a_k]$. Thus, from

$$f_k(x \wedge u) = f_z(x \wedge u) \wedge f_k(a_k) = f_z(x) \wedge f_z(u) \wedge f_k(a_k) = f_z(y) \wedge f_z(u) \wedge f_k(a_k) = f_z(y \wedge u) \wedge f_k(a_k) = f_k(y \wedge u),$$

we conclude that $(x \wedge u, y \wedge u) \in \ker(f)$. By Proposition 2.5, it remains to show that for each $(x, y) \in \ker(f)$, there is $b \in \mathcal{I}(M_1)$ such that $x, y \leq b$ and $(\lambda_b(x), \lambda_b(y)) \in \ker(f)$. Let $(x, y) \in \ker(f)$. Then there exists $i \in I$ such that $x, y \leq a_i$ and so $f_i(x) = f_i(y)$. Set $b := a_i$. For all $j \in I$ with $\lambda_b(x), \lambda_b(y) \leq a_j$, there is $k \in I$ such that $a_k \geq b, a_j$ and $f_k(a_k) \geq f_i(a_i), f_j(a_j)$. It follows that

$$f_j(c) = f_k(c) \wedge f_j(a_j), \quad \forall c \in [0, a_j]. \quad (5.3)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(\lambda_b(x)) &= f_k(\lambda_b(x)) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_k(\lambda_{a_k}(x) \wedge b) \wedge f_j(a_j), \text{ by Proposition 2.3} \\ &= \lambda_{f_k(a_k)}(f_k(x)) \wedge f_k(b) \wedge f_j(a_j), \text{ since } f_k : [0, a_k] \rightarrow [0, f_k(a_k)] \text{ is an } EMV\text{-homomorphism} \\ &= \lambda_{f_k(a_k)}(f_k(y)) \wedge f_k(b) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_k(\lambda_{a_k}(y) \wedge b) \wedge f_j(a_j) \\ &= f_k(\lambda_b(y)) \wedge f_j(a_j) = f_j(\lambda_b(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\ker(f)$ is a congruence relation on M_1 . \square

Corollary 5.10. *If θ is a congruence relation on an EMV -algebra $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$, then the natural map $\pi_\theta = \{\pi_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} : M \rightarrow M/\theta$, where $\pi_a(x) = x/\theta$ for all $x \in [0, a]$ and for all $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, is an EMV -morphism.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \square

If \mathcal{EMV} is the set of EMV -algebras, \mathcal{EMV} is a variety with respect to EMV -homomorphisms, see [DvZa, Thm 3.11]. In below we will study \mathcal{EMV} with respect to EMV -morphisms and therefore, it will be not more a variety in such a point of view.

Let \approx be the equivalence given by Definition 3.7. We say that an EMV -morphism $g : M \rightarrow N$ which satisfies some conditions is \approx -unique if $h : M \rightarrow N$ is another EMV -morphisms which satisfies the same conditions, then g and h are similar, i.e. $g \approx h$.

In the following result, first we show that in the class \mathcal{EMV} there is a weak form of the categorical product of a family of EMV -algebras, called the \approx -product: Let $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of EMV -algebras. We say that an EMV -algebra $\approx \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ together with a family of EMV -morphisms $\{\pi_i \mid i \in I\}$, where $\pi_i : \approx \prod_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow M_i$ is an EMV -morphism for each $i \in I$, is a \approx -product of $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$, if M is another EMV -algebra together with a family $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ of EMV -morphisms $f_i : M \rightarrow M_i$ for each $i \in I$, then there is an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $g : M \rightarrow \approx \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ such that $\pi_i \circ g \approx f_i$ for each $i \in I$. Using this, finally we show that the category \mathbb{EMV} admits a product in the pure categorical sense.

Theorem 5.11. *The category \mathbb{EMV} is closed under product.*

Proof. We start with the class \mathcal{EMV} of EMV -algebras. Let $\{(M_i; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of EMV -algebras. Consider the EMV -algebra $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$, which is the direct product of EMV -algebras. In what follows, we show that that this $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ together with projections is the \approx -product of $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$ in the class \mathcal{EMV} .

By Remark 3.4, for each $i \in I$, the map $\pi_i : \prod_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow M_i$ is an EMV -morphism. Let $(M; \vee, \wedge, \oplus, 0)$ be an EMV -algebra and $f_i : M \rightarrow M_i$ be an EMV -morphism for each $i \in I$. According to Remark 5.3, we can suppose that $f_i = \{f_{i,a} \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$ and $e(f_i) = \mathcal{I}(M)$ for all $i \in I$. Define $g = \{g_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M)\} : M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i$, where $g_a : [0, a] \rightarrow [0, (f_{i,a}(x))_{i \in I}]$ sending x to $(f_{i,a}(x))_{i \in I}$ for all $x \in [0, a]$. Clearly, g

is an *EMV*-morphism. On the other hand, $\pi_i : \prod_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow M_i$ is a strong *EMV*-homomorphism, which follows from Remark 3.4, and the mapping $\pi = \{\pi_{i, (a_i)_{i \in I}} \mid a_i \in \mathcal{I}(M_i) \forall i \in I\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism, where $\pi_{i, (a_i)_{i \in I}} : [0, (a_i)_{i \in I}] \rightarrow [0, a_i]$ is the i^{th} natural projection map. We claim that $\pi_i \circ g \approx f_i$ for all $i \in I$. Choose $i \in I$ and set

$$U := \{(a, (b_i)_{i \in I}) \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M), (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{I}(\prod_{i \in I} M_i), g_a(a) \leq (b_i)_{i \in I}\}.$$

Then we have

$$U = \{(a, (b_i)_{i \in I}) \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M), (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{I}(\prod_{i \in I} M_i), (f_{i,a}(a) \leq b_i, \forall i \in I)\}. \quad (5.4)$$

By Proposition 3.10, we know that

$$\pi_i \circ g = \{\pi_{i, (b_i)_{i \in I}} \circ g_a \mid (a, (b_i)_{i \in I}) \in U\} \quad \& \quad \text{Dom}(\pi_{i, (b_i)_{i \in I}} \circ g_a) = [0, a].$$

We will show that

$$\forall c \in \mathcal{I}(M) \exists (a, (b_i)_{i \in I}) \in U : c \leq a \quad \& \quad f_{i,c} = (\pi_{i, (b_i)_{i \in I}} \circ g_a) \wedge f_{i,c}(c). \quad (5.5)$$

Take $c \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. For each $i \in I$, we set $b_i = f_{i,c}(c)$ and $a = c$. Then $(a, (b_i)_{i \in I}) \in U$ and $c \leq a$. For all $x \in [0, c]$,

$$(\pi_{i, (b_i)_{i \in I}} \circ g_a)(x) \wedge f_{i,c}(c) = f_{i,a}(x) \wedge f_{i,c}(x) = f_{i,c}(x).$$

That is, $\pi_i \circ g \approx f_i$. Now, we show that g is \approx -unique. Let $\{c_t \mid t \in K\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M)$, $h_t : [0, c_t] \rightarrow [0, h_t(c_t)]$ be an *MV*-homomorphism for all $t \in K$, and let $h = \{h_t \mid t \in K\} : M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ be an *EMV*-morphism such that $\pi_i \circ h \approx f_i$ for all $i \in I$. We claim that $h \approx g$. It is enough to show that, for all $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, there exists $t \in K$ such that $a \leq c_t$ and

$$\forall x \in [0, a] \quad (f_{i,a}(x))_{i \in I} = g_a(x) = h_t(x) \wedge g_a(a) = h_t(x) \wedge (f_{i,a}(a))_{i \in I}.$$

Put $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ and let

$$V = \{(t, (b_i)_{i \in I}) \mid t \in K, (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{I}(\prod_{i \in I} M_i), h_t(c_t) \leq (b_i)_{i \in I}\}. \quad (5.6)$$

Since h is an *EMV*-morphism, there are $t_1, t_2 \in K$ such that $a \leq c_{t_1}$ and $g_a(a) \leq h_{t_2}(c_{t_2})$. Put $t \in K$ such that $c_t \geq c_{t_1}, c_{t_2}$ and $h_t(c_t) \geq h_{t_1}(c_{t_1}), h_{t_2}(c_{t_2})$, which implies that $h_t(c_t) \geq g_a(a)$ and $c_t \geq a$. Clearly, $h_t(c_t) \in \mathcal{I}(\prod_{i \in I} M_i)$ (since $a \in [0, c_t]$ is an idempotent and $h_t : [0, c_t] \rightarrow [0, h_t(c_t)]$ is an *MV*-homomorphism). By definition of V , we get that $(c_t, h_t(c_t)) \in V$. Since $h_t(c_t) \geq g_a(a) = (f_{i,a}(a))_{i \in I}$, by Proposition 3.8(i), from $f_i \approx \pi_i \circ h$ for all $i \in I$, it follows that

$$f_{i,a}(x) = (\pi_{i, h_t(c_t)} \circ h_t)(x) \wedge f_{i,a}(a), \quad \forall x \in [0, a], \quad \forall i \in I.$$

Hence, $(f_{i,a}(x))_{i \in I} = h_t(x) \wedge (f_{i,a}(a))_{i \in I}$ for all $x \in [0, a]$. Therefore, $g_a(x) = h_t(x) \wedge g_a(a)$ for all $x \in [0, a]$. That is, $g \approx h$, see Proposition 5.8. Whence, $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ together with $\{\pi_i \mid i \in I\}$ is the \approx -product of the family $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$ of *EMV*-algebras.

Now, consider the category \mathbb{EMV} . If for all $i \in I$, $[f_i] : M \rightarrow M_i$ is a morphism in the category \mathbb{EMV} , then by the above results there exists a \approx -unique *EMV*-morphism $g : M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ such that $\pi_i \circ g = f_i$, for all $i \in I$ and so $[\pi_i] \circ [g] = [f_i]$ for all $i \in I$. In addition, if $[h] =: M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is another morphism of \mathbb{EMV} such that $[\pi_i] \circ [h] = [f_i]$ for all $i \in I$, then $\pi_i \circ h \approx f_i$ for all $i \in I$. Thus by the above results we have $h \approx g$, that is $[h] = [g]$. Therefore, \mathbb{EMV} is closed under products. \square

6 Free EMV -algebras and Weakly Free EMV -algebras

It is well known that free objects can be defined in a concrete category. In particular, in the variety \mathcal{EMV} of EMV -algebras with EMV -homomorphisms, free EMV -algebras exist for each set X . However, comparing of such free EMV -algebras with free MV -algebras is complicated. Therefore, we can say more using EMV -morphisms for definition of free objects. This is possible because, according to the results which were obtained in the previous sections, \mathcal{EMV} is very similar to a concrete category. It enables us to introduce an object which has many similarities with the free objects. In the section, we show that EMV -morphisms enable us to study also free EMV -algebras on a set X . We show that if X is a finite set, then the free MV -algebra on X is also a free EMV -algebra. To show how it is with infinite X , we introduce a so-called weakly free EMV -algebra. Then the free MV -algebra on X is a weakly free EMV -algebra on X .

Definition 6.1. Let X be a set and $\tau : X \rightarrow A$ be a map from X to an EMV -algebra A . We say that an EMV -algebra A is a *free EMV -algebra* on X if, for each EMV -algebra M and for each map f from X into M , there is an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\varphi = \{\varphi_i \mid i \in I\} : A \rightarrow M$ with $\mathbf{e}(\varphi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(A)$ such that $\varphi \circ \tau = f$, which means that, for each $x \in X$ and each $i \in I$, $f(x) = \varphi_i(\tau(x))$. As usual, we denote the free EMV -algebra A on X by $A = F(X)$, and the set X is the set of “generators” of $F(X)$, see Lemma 6.4.

The basic properties of the free EMV -algebras are as follows.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $F(X)$ be a free EMV -algebra on a set X and with a mapping τ .*

- (1) *Let M be an EMV -algebra and $f : X \rightarrow M$. If $\phi = \{\phi_i \mid i \in I\}$ with $\mathbf{e} = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ is an EMV -morphism from $F(X)$ into M , then $\tau(x) \leq a_i$ for each $x \in X$ and each $i \in I$.*
- (2) *The mapping τ is injective.*
- (3) *If $F'(X)$ is another free EMV -algebra on X , then $F(X)$ and $F'(X)$ are \approx -isomorphic.*
- (4) *If $|X| = |X'|$, then $F(X)$ and $F(X')$ are \approx -isomorphic.*

Proof. (1) Since every $\phi_i : [0, a_i] \rightarrow [0, \phi_i(a_i)]$ is an MV -homomorphism such that $\phi_i(\tau(x)) = f(x)$, we see that $\tau(x) \leq a_i$.

(2) Assume that there are $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $\tau(x_1) = \tau(x_2)$. Let M be an EMV -algebra with at least two elements and let $f : X \rightarrow M$ be a mapping such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$. Then there is an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\phi = \{\phi_i \mid i \in I\}$ with $\mathbf{e} = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that $\phi \circ \tau = f$, that is $\phi_i(\tau(x)) = f(x)$, $x \in X$, $i \in I$. By (1), every $\tau(x) \leq a_i$, so we have $f(x_1) = \phi_i(\tau(x_1)) = \phi_i(\tau(x_2)) = f(x_2)$ which contradicts $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

(3) Let $\tau : X \rightarrow F(X)$ and $\tau' : X \rightarrow F'(X)$ be given. Then there are two \approx -unique EMV -morphisms $\phi : F(X) \rightarrow F'(X)$ and $\psi : F'(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ such that $\phi \circ \tau = \tau'$ and $\psi \circ \tau' = \tau$. Then $\phi \circ \psi \circ \tau = \tau'$ and $\psi \circ \phi \circ \tau = \tau$ which gives $\phi \circ \psi \approx Id_{F'(X)}$ and $\psi \circ \phi \approx Id_{F(X)}$, in other words $F(X)$ and $F'(X)$ are \approx -isomorphic.

(4) Let $\tau : X \rightarrow F(X)$ and $\tau' : X' \rightarrow F(X')$ be injective mappings determining $F(X)$ and $F(X')$, respectively. Since $|X| = |X'|$, there is a bijective mapping $\beta : X \rightarrow X'$. There are an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\phi = \{\phi_i \mid i \in I\} : F(X) \rightarrow F(X')$ with $\mathbf{e}(\phi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\psi = \{\psi_j \mid j \in J\} : F(X') \rightarrow F(X)$ with $\mathbf{e}(\psi) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$ such that $\tau' \circ \beta = \phi \circ \tau$ and $\tau \circ \beta^{-1} = \psi \circ \tau'$. Then $(\psi \circ \phi) \circ \tau = \psi \circ \tau' \circ \beta = \tau \circ \beta^{-1} \circ \beta = \tau$ which yields $\psi \circ \phi \approx Id_{F(X)}$. In the same way we prove $\phi \circ \psi \approx Id_{F(X')}$. Therefore, $F(X)$ and $F(X')$ are \approx -isomorphic. \square

Consider the class \mathcal{EMV} of EMV -algebras. To show a relationship between $F(X)$ and X , we introduce a stronger type of EMV -subalgebras, full subalgebras, which will entail that between $\tau(X)$ and $F(X)$, there is no other full subalgebra as $F(X)$, so we can speak that X is a generator of $F(X)$.

Definition 6.3. A non-empty subset X of an EMV -algebra M is said to be a *full subalgebra* of M if X is closed under \oplus, \vee, \wedge and 0 and satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $X \cap \mathcal{I}(M)$ is a full subset of M ;
- (ii) for each $a \in X \cap \mathcal{I}(M)$, $([0, a] \cap X; \oplus, \lambda_a, 0, a)$ is an MV -subalgebra of $([0, a]; \oplus, \lambda_a, 0, a)$.

Clearly, any full subalgebra of an EMV -algebra M is an EMV -subalgebra of M . The converse is not true, in general. Indeed, the set $\{0\}$ is an EMV -subalgebra of any EMV -algebra M , but it is not a full subalgebra of M whenever $M \neq \{0\}$. Moreover, if X is a full subalgebra of an EMV -algebra M , then the inclusion map $i : X \rightarrow M$ is an EMV -morphism. Moreover, the image of any EMV -morphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a full subalgebra of M_2 .

Lemma 6.4. *Let $F(X)$ be a free EMV -algebra on a set X with an embedding τ . If A is a full subalgebra of $F(X)$ containing $\tau(X)$, then A is equal to $F(X)$.*

Proof. Consider the diagram given by Figure 1.

Let $\tau : X \rightarrow F(X)$ and $\tau' : X \rightarrow A$ be such mappings that $\tau(x) = \tau'(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Let $\mu : A \rightarrow F(X)$ be the natural embedding, i.e. $\mu(y) = y$ for each $y \in A$. Since A is a full subalgebra of $F(X)$, the inclusion map $\mu : A \rightarrow F(X)$ can be viewed as an EMV -morphism $\mu = \{\mu_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(A)\}$, where $\mu_a = \mu|_{[0, a]}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{I}(A)$. The definition of $F(X)$ entails that there is an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\phi = \{\phi_i \mid i \in I\} : F(X) \rightarrow A$ with $\mathbf{e}(\phi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that $\phi \circ \tau = \tau'$.

On the other hand, there is an \approx -unique EMV -homomorphism $\psi = \{\psi_j \mid j \in J\} : F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ with $\mathbf{e}(\psi) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$ such that $\psi \circ \tau = \tau$. Since $\tau = \mu \circ \tau' = \mu \circ \phi \circ \tau$, we have $\mu \circ \phi \approx \psi \approx Id_{F(X)}$. Set $U := \{(i, a) \in I \times \mathcal{I}(A) \mid \phi_i(a_i) \leq a\}$. By definition, $\mu \circ \phi = \{\mu_a \circ \phi_i \mid (i, a) \in U\}$. Let v be an arbitrary element of $F(X)$. Then there exists $i \in I$ such that $v \leq a_i$ and $v \leq \phi_i(a_i)$. Since $\mu \circ \phi \approx Id_{F(X)}$, by Proposition 5.4, for each $a \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ with $\phi_i(a_i) \leq a$, we have $\mu_a \circ \phi_i(v) = v$. That is, $v = \phi_i(v) \in A$. Therefore, $A = F(X)$.




Figure 1:

□

Therefore, we can say that X is a generator of $F(X)$.

In the next theorem we show that the free EMV -algebra and the free MV -algebra on a finite set X coincide.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an arbitrary finite set and $F(X)$ be the free MV -algebra on X . Then $F(X)$ is the free EMV -algebra on X .*

Proof. Let M be an EMV -algebra and $f : X \rightarrow M$ be a map. Consider the diagram given by Figure 2.




Figure 2:

Set $J = \{a \in \mathcal{I}(M) \mid f(x) \leq a, \forall x \in X\}$. For all $a \in J$, the mapping f maps X into the interval $[0, a]$. Since $([0, a]; \oplus, \lambda_a, 0, a)$ is an MV -algebra, there is a unique MV -homomorphism $\phi_a : F(X) \rightarrow M$ such that $(\phi_a \circ \tau)(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Now, we claim that $\phi = \{\phi_a \mid a \in J\}$ is an EMV -morphism.

(i) Let $0 = \min(F(X))$ and $1 = \max(F(X))$. Clearly, $\{1\}$ is a full subset of $F(X)$. For each $a \in J$, $\phi_a(1) = a$. Clearly, $\{\phi_a(1) \mid a \in J\}$ is a full subset of M .

(ii) Let $a, b \in J$ such that $a \leq b$. Then $\phi_b \wedge a : F(X) \rightarrow [0, a]$ defined by $(\phi_b \wedge a)(z) = (\phi_b(z) \wedge a)$, $z \in F(X)$, is an MV -homomorphism. Indeed, for each $z, w \in F(X)$, by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$(\phi_b \wedge a)(z') = \phi_b(z') \wedge a = \lambda_b(\phi_b(z)) \wedge a = \lambda_a(\phi_b(z)),$$

where $z' \in F(X)$ is the negation of the element $z \in F(X)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_b(z) \wedge a) \oplus (\phi_b(w) \wedge a) &= ((\phi_b(z) \wedge a) \oplus \phi_b(w)) \wedge ((\phi_b(z) \wedge a) \oplus a) \\ &= (\phi_b(z) \oplus \phi_b(w)) \wedge (a \oplus \phi_b(w)) \wedge a \\ &= (\phi_b(z) \oplus \phi_b(w)) \wedge a. \end{aligned}$$

From $(\phi_b \wedge a)(\tau(x)) = \phi_b(\tau(x)) \wedge a = f(x) \wedge a = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, it follows that $\phi_b \wedge a = \phi_a$.

(iii) Let $a, b \in J$. Then there is $c \in J$ such that $a, b \leq c$. Clearly, $\phi_a(1), \phi_b(1) \leq \phi_c(1)$.

(iv) Since $\mathbf{e}(\phi) = \{1\}$, for each $x \in X$ we have $\tau(x) \in \text{Dom}(\phi_a)$ for all $a \in J$. Let $a \in J$. By definition of ϕ_a , for each $x \in X$, (1) $f(x) \leq a$, (2) $\phi_a(\tau(x)) = f(x)$. It follows that $\phi_a \circ \tau = f$ for all $a \in J$.

(i)–(iv) imply that ϕ is an EMV -morphism and the diagram given by Figure 2 commutes. Now, let $h = \{h_i \mid i \in K\} : F(X) \rightarrow M$ be an EMV -morphism such that $\mathbf{e}(h) = \{a_i \mid i \in K\}$, $h_i : [0, a_i] \rightarrow [0, h_i(a_i)]$, and $(h_i \circ \tau)(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $i \in K$. Since $\mathbf{e}(h)$ is a full subset of $F(X)$, there exists $i \in K$ such that $1 \leq a_i$ and so $1 = a_i$. Set $K' = \{i \in K \mid a_i = 1\}$.

(v) It is easy to see that $h' := \{h_i \mid i \in K'\} : F(X) \rightarrow M$ is an EMV -morphism.

(vi) Let $i \in K$ and $j \in K'$. Since h is an EMV -morphism, there is $t \in K$ such that $a_i, a_j \leq a_t$ and $h_i(a_i), h_j(a_j) \leq h_t(a_t)$. Hence, $t \in K'$ and $h_i(x) = h_t(x) \wedge h_i(a_i)$ for all $x \in [0, a_i]$, which entails $h \approx h'$. Thus, by Proposition 5.2, without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbf{e}(h) = \{1\}$. Now, we show that $h \approx \phi$. Put $i \in K$. By definition, there exists $b \in J$ such that $h_i(1) = h_i(a_i) \leq b$. Since $h \circ \tau = f$, then $h_i \circ \tau(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $i \in I$. Moreover, the diagram given by Figure 3 commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\tau} & F(X) \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow h_i & \\ [0, h_i(1)] & & \end{array}$$

Figure 3:

On the other hand, given $i \in K$, there is $b \in J$ such that $\phi_b : F(X) \rightarrow [0, b]$ is an MV -homomorphism with $\phi_b \circ \tau = f$ and $h_i(1) \leq b$. We can easily prove that the map $\phi_b \wedge h_i(1) : F(X) \rightarrow [0, h_i(1)]$ sending $z \in F(X)$ to $\phi_b(z) \wedge h_i(1)$ is another MV -homomorphism commuting the last diagram. Since $F(X)$ is the free MV -algebra on X , then we have $h_i = \phi_b \wedge h_i(1)$, that is $h_i(z) = \phi_b(z) \wedge h_i(1)$ for all $z \in F(X)$. Thus, $h \approx \phi$. Therefore, $F(X)$ is the free EMV -algebra on X . \square

We note that according to [CDM, Thm 9.1.5], the free MV -algebra over n generators is given by McNaughton functions $[0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$, i.e. continuous functions $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that are piece-wise linear with integer coefficients.

Let M_1 and M_2 be EMV -algebras, X be a set and $\tau : X \rightarrow M_1$ and $f : X \rightarrow M_2$ be two maps. Consider an EMV -morphism $\varphi = \{\varphi_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$. We know that $\varphi \circ \tau$ is not defined in a usual

way (since φ is not a map). Also, we need a new definition for equality between $\varphi \circ \tau$ and f . So, in Theorem 6.5, we used the following definition:

$$\varphi \circ \tau = f \Leftrightarrow (1) \text{ } Im(\tau) \subseteq Dom(\varphi_i), \forall i \in I, \quad (2) \text{ } \varphi_i \circ \tau(x) = f(x), \forall i \in I \forall x \in X.$$

We think that this definition is too strong. We can introduce a new version based on properties of the components of φ as follows:

In Proposition 3.8, we have established some properties of *EMV*-morphisms. It was shown that there exists a tight connection between the components of an *EMV*-morphism $\varphi = \{\varphi_i \mid i \in I\} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$. So, we can use it to change the conditions under which $\varphi \circ \tau$ is “similar” to f . That is, for each $x \in X$ and each $i \in I$ such that $\tau(x) \leq a_i$, we have $f(x) \wedge \varphi_i(a_i) = \varphi_i(\tau(x))$. (Indeed, for each $i \in I$, if $f(x) \leq \varphi_i(a_i)$, then $f(x) = \varphi_i(\tau(x))$). In this case we write $\varphi \circ \tau \sim f$. Clearly, if $\varphi \circ \tau = f$, then $\varphi \circ \tau \sim f$.

Now, we use \sim to introduce a new concept called a weakly free *EMV*-algebra in the class of *EMV*-algebras when in Definition 6.1 of the free *EMV*-algebra we change $f = \varphi \circ \tau$ by $f \sim \varphi \circ \tau$:

Definition 6.6. Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be a set and $\tau : X \rightarrow A$ be a map from X to an *EMV*-algebra A . We say that an *EMV*-algebra A is the *weakly free EMV-algebra* on X if, for each *EMV*-algebra M and for each map f from X into M , there is an \approx -unique *EMV*-morphism $\varphi = \{\varphi_i \mid i \in I\} : A \rightarrow M$ with $e(\varphi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(A)$ such that $\varphi \circ \tau \sim f$, which means that, for each $x \in X$ and each $i \in I$ such that $\tau(x) \leq a_i$, we have $f(x) \wedge \varphi_i(a_i) = \varphi_i(\tau(x))$. The weakly free *EMV*-algebra on X is denoted by $F_w(X)$.

Lemma 6.7. Let $F_w(X)$ be a weakly free *EMV*-algebra on X with a mapping τ . Then τ is an injective mapping.

Proof. Suppose the converse, i.e. there are two different points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $\tau(x_1) = \tau(x_2)$. Let M be an *EMV*-algebra having at least two elements and let $f : X \rightarrow M$ be a mapping such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$. There is an *EMV*-morphism $\phi = \{\phi_i \mid i \in I\} : F_w(X) \rightarrow M$ with $e(\phi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that if $x \in X$ with $\tau(x) \leq a_i$, then $f(x) \wedge \phi_i(a_i) = \phi_i(\tau(x))$.

Since $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ is full in $F_w(X)$, there is $i \in I$ such that $\tau(x_1) = \tau(x_2) \leq a_i$. Similarly, since $\{\phi_i(a_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is full in M , there is $j \in I$ such that $f(x_1), f(x_2) \leq \phi_j(a_j)$. Finally, by (iv) of Definition 3.1, there is $k \in I$ such that $a_i, a_j \leq a_k$ and $\phi_i(a_i), \phi_j(a_j) \leq \phi_k(a_k)$. Hence, $f(x_1) = f(x_1) \wedge \phi_k(a_k) = \phi_k(\tau(x_1)) = \phi_k(\tau(x_2)) = f(x_2) \wedge \phi_k(a_k) = f(x_2)$ which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 6.8. Let $F(X)$ be a free *MV*-algebra on a set $X \neq \emptyset$. Then $F(X)$ is a weakly free *EMV*-algebra on X .

Proof. Let M be an *EMV*-algebra, $f : X \rightarrow M$ be any mapping, $\tau : X \rightarrow F(X)$ be an embedding, and see the diagram given by Figure 2. By the basic representation theorem of *EMV*-algebras, M is an *MV*-algebra or there exists an *MV*-algebra N such that M is a maximal ideal of N . If M is an *MV*-algebra, the proof is evident from [CDM].

If M is not an *MV*-algebra, there is an *MV*-homomorphism $\phi : F(X) \rightarrow N$ such that $\phi \circ \tau(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Clearly, $\{1\}$, the greatest element of $F(X)$, is a full subset of $F(X)$. For each $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, define $\phi_a : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, a]$ by $\phi_a(z) = \phi(z) \wedge a$ for all $z \in F(X)$. Since M is an ideal of N and $a \in M$, then $[0, a] \subseteq M$. It can be easily seen that ϕ_a is an *MV*-homomorphism, for all $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, hence, $\beta = \{\phi_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$ is an *EMV*-morphism from $F(X)$ to M . Let $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ (clearly, $\tau(x) \in Dom(\phi_a)$). Then by definition, $\phi_a(\tau(x)) = \phi(\tau(x)) \wedge a = f(x) \wedge a$. That is, $\beta \circ \tau \sim f$.

Now, we show that β is \approx -unique. Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_j \mid j \in I\} : F(X) \rightarrow M$ be an *EMV*-morphism such that $e(\gamma) = \{c_j \mid j \in I\}$ and $\gamma \circ \tau \sim f$. Clearly, $1 \in e(\gamma)$ (since $e(\gamma)$ is a full subset of $F(X)$). Let $K = \{j \in I \mid c_j = 1\}$ and $h := \{\gamma_j \mid j \in K\}$. Then by Proposition 3.8(iii), $h : F(X) \rightarrow M$ is an *EMV*-morphism which is similar to γ . We claim that $h \approx \beta$. That is, for each $j \in K$, there is $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $\gamma_j(c_j) = \gamma_j(1) \leq \phi_a(1) = a$ and for each $x \in [0, 1] = F(X)$, we have $\gamma_j(x) = \phi_a(x) \wedge \gamma_j(1)$ (we note that $Dom(\gamma_j) = Dom(\phi_a) = F(X)$). Put $j \in K$. Consider the map $f_j : X \rightarrow M$ defined

by $f_j(x) = f(x) \wedge \gamma_j(1)$. Set $a = \gamma_j(1)$. Then $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. Since $\gamma \circ \tau \sim f$, then for each $x \in X$, $\gamma_j(\tau(x)) = f(x) \wedge \gamma_j(1) = f(x) \wedge a = \phi_a(\tau(x))$ and so we can say that the following diagram for MV -algebras given by Figure 4 is “ \sim -commutative”, which implies that $\phi_a = \gamma_j$ ($F(X)$ is the free MV -algebra




Figure 4:

on X). That is, for each $x \in F(X)$, $\gamma_j(x) = \gamma_j(x) \wedge \gamma_j(1) = \phi_a(x) \wedge \gamma_j(1) = \phi_a(x) \wedge a$. It follows that $h \approx \beta$ and so $\gamma \approx h \approx \beta$. Therefore, $F(X)$ is a weakly free EMV -algebra on X . \square

We note the elements of the free MV -algebra on an infinite set X are McNaughton functions $[0, 1]^\kappa \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $\kappa = |X|$, see [CDM].

Theorem 6.9. *If M_1 and M_2 are weakly free EMV -algebras on a set X , then they are \approx -isomorphic.*

Proof. Let X be a set and M_1 and M_2 be weakly free EMV -algebras on X with the maps $\tau_1 : X \rightarrow M_1$ and $\tau_2 : X \rightarrow M_2$. Then there exist two \approx -unique EMV -morphisms $\varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and $\psi : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ such that $\varphi \circ \tau_1 \sim \tau_2$ and $\psi \circ \tau_2 \sim \tau_1$. Let $\mathbf{e}(\varphi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\mathbf{e}(\psi) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$. Consider the EMV -morphisms $\psi \circ \varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$ and $\varphi \circ \psi : M_2 \rightarrow M_2$. We show that $\psi \circ \varphi \approx Id_{M_1}$ and $\varphi \circ \psi \approx Id_{M_2}$ (see Proposition 5.4 and Corollary 5.5) which implies that M_1 and M_2 are \approx -isomorphic EMV -algebras. Set $U = \{(i, j) \in I \times J \mid \varphi(a_i) \leq b_j\}$. We know that $\psi \circ \varphi = \{\psi_j \circ \varphi_i \mid (i, j) \in U\}$ and $\mathbf{e}(\psi \circ \varphi) = \{a_i \mid (i, j) \in U\}$. For simplicity, set $\beta = \psi \circ \varphi$. First, we must show that for each $x \in X$ and each $(i, j) \in U$ such that $\tau_1(x) \leq a_i$, then $\psi_j \circ \varphi_i(\tau_1(x)) = \tau_1(x) \wedge (\psi_j \circ \varphi_i(a_i))$. Put $x \in X$ and $i \in I$ such that $\tau_1(x) \leq a_i$. From $\varphi \circ \tau_1 \sim \tau_2$ it follows that $\varphi_i(\tau_1(x)) = \tau_2(x) \wedge \varphi_i(a_i)$ and so $\psi_j(\varphi_i(\tau_1(x))) = \psi_j(\tau_2(x) \wedge \varphi_i(a_i))$. Since ψ is an EMV -morphism, there exists $k \in J$ such that $b_k \geq b_j, \tau_2(x)$ and $\psi_k(b_k) \geq \psi_j(b_j)$, which imply that $\psi_j(\varphi_i(\tau_1(x))) = \psi_j(\tau_2(x) \wedge \varphi_i(a_i)) = \psi_k(\tau_2(x) \wedge \varphi_i(a_i)) \wedge \psi_j(b_j) = \psi_k(\tau_2(x)) \wedge \psi_k(\varphi_i(a_i)) \wedge \psi_j(b_j)$. Since $\varphi_i(a_i) \leq b_j$, then $\psi_k(\varphi_i(a_i)) \wedge \psi_j(b_j) = \psi_j(\varphi_i(a_i))$. Also, $\psi_k(b_k) \geq \psi_k(b_j) \geq \psi_j(b_j) \geq \psi_j(\varphi_i(a_i))$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j \circ \varphi_i(\tau_1(x)) &= \psi_k(\tau_2(x)) \wedge \psi_j(\varphi_i(a_i)) = \tau_1(x) \wedge \psi_k(b_k) \wedge \psi_j(\varphi_i(a_i)), \text{ since } \psi \circ \tau_2 \sim \tau_1 \\ &= \tau_1(x) \wedge \psi_j(\varphi_i(a_i)) = \tau_1(x) \wedge (\psi_j \circ \varphi_i(a_i)). \end{aligned}$$

That is, the diagram given by Figure 5 is “ \sim -commutative”. Clearly, $Id_{M_1} \circ \tau_1 \sim \tau_1$. Thus




Figure 5:

$Id_{M_1} \approx \psi \circ \varphi$ (since M_1 is a weakly free EMV -algebra on X). In a similar way, we can show that $Id_{M_2} \approx \varphi \circ \psi$. Therefore, M_1 and M_2 are \approx -isomorphic EMV -algebras. \square

Lemma 6.10. *If $|X| = |X'|$, then $F_w(X)$ and $F_w(X')$ are \approx -isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\tau : X \rightarrow F_w(X)$ and $\tau' : X' \rightarrow F_w(X')$ be injective mappings determining $F_w(X)$ and $F_w(X')$, respectively. Since $|X| = |X'|$, there is a bijective mapping $\beta : X \rightarrow X'$. There are an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\phi = \{\phi_i \mid i \in I\} : F_w(X) \rightarrow F_w(X')$ with $\mathbf{e}(\phi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ and an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\psi = \{\psi_j \mid j \in J\} : F_w(X') \rightarrow F_w(X)$ with $\mathbf{e}(\psi) = \{b_j \mid j \in J\}$ such that $\tau' \circ \beta \sim \phi \circ \tau$ and $\tau \circ \beta^{-1} \sim \psi \circ \tau'$. We claim to prove that $\psi \circ \phi \approx Id_{F_w(X)}$. To show that, we establish that $\psi \circ \phi \circ \tau \sim \tau$. Put $U = \{(i, j) \in I \times J \mid \phi_i(a_i) \leq b_j\}$. Then $\psi \circ \phi = \{\psi_j \circ \phi_i \mid (i, j) \in U\}$ and $\mathbf{e}(\psi \circ \phi) = \{a_i \mid (i, j) \in U\}$.

Due to $\tau' \circ \beta \sim \phi \circ \tau$ and $\tau \circ \beta^{-1} \sim \psi \circ \tau'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_i(\tau(x)) &= \tau'(\beta(x)) \wedge \phi_i(a_i), \quad \text{if } x \in X, \tau(x) \leq a_i, \\ \psi_j(\tau'(x')) &= \tau(\beta^{-1}(x')) \wedge \psi_j(b_j), \quad \text{if } x' \in X', \tau'(x') \leq b_j.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\tau'(\beta(x)) \wedge \phi_i(a_i) = \phi_i(\tau(x))$ if $x \in X$ and $\tau(x) \leq a_i$, and $\tau(\beta^{-1}(x')) \wedge \psi_j(b_j) = \psi_j(\tau'(x'))$ if $x' \in X'$ and $\tau'(x') \leq b_j$. Take $(i, j) \in U$ and $\tau(x) \leq a_i$ for $x \in X$. Whence

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_j(\phi_i(\tau(x))) &= \psi_j(\tau'(\beta(x))) \wedge (\psi_j \circ \phi_i(a_i)) \\ &= \tau(\beta^{-1}(\beta(x))) \wedge \psi_j(b_j) \wedge (\psi_j \circ \phi_i(a_i)) \\ &= \tau(x) \wedge (\psi_j \circ \phi_i(a_i)).\end{aligned}$$

Then $(\psi \circ \phi) \circ \tau \sim \tau$ which yields $\psi \circ \phi \approx Id_{F_w(X)}$.

In the same way we prove $\phi \circ \psi \approx Id_{F_w(X')}$. Therefore, $F_w(X)$ and $F_w(X')$ are \approx -isomorphic. \square

Lemma 6.11. *Let $F_w(X)$ be a weakly free EMV -algebra on a set X with an embedding τ . If A is a full subalgebra of $F_w(X)$ containing $\tau(X)$, then A is equal to $F_w(X)$.*

Proof. We follow ideas and notations from the proof of Lemma 6.4.

Let $\tau : X \rightarrow F_w(X)$ and $\tau' : X \rightarrow A$ be such mappings that $\tau(x) = \tau'(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Let $\mu : A \rightarrow F_w(X)$ be the natural embedding, i.e. $\mu(y) = y$ for each $y \in A$. Since A is a full subalgebra of $F_w(X)$, the inclusion map $\mu : A \rightarrow F_w(X)$ can be viewed as an EMV -morphism $\mu = \{\mu_a \mid a \in \mathcal{I}(A)\}$, where $\mu_a = \mu|_{[0, a]}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{I}(A)$. The definition of $F_w(X)$ entails that there is an \approx -unique EMV -morphism $\phi = \{\phi_i \mid i \in I\} : F_w(X) \rightarrow A$ with $\mathbf{e}(\phi) = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ such that $\phi \circ \tau \sim \tau'$. That is, if $x \in X$ and $\tau(x) \leq a_i$, then

$$\tau'(x) \wedge \phi_i(a_i) = \phi_i(\tau(x)).$$

Set $U := \{(i, a) \in I \times \mathcal{I}(A) \mid \phi_i(a_i) \leq a\}$. By definition, $\mu \circ \phi = \{\mu_a \circ \phi_i \mid (i, a) \in U\}$ with $\mathbf{e}(\mu \circ \phi) = \{a_i \mid (i, a) \in U\}$.

We assert $(\mu \circ \phi) \circ \tau \sim \tau$. Check, for $\tau(x) \leq a_i \leq a$:

$$\tau(x) \wedge (\mu_a \circ \phi_i)(a_i) = \tau(x) \wedge \phi_i(a_i) = \tau'(x) \wedge \phi_i(a_i) = \phi_i(\tau(x)) = (\mu_a \circ \phi_i) \circ \tau(x).$$

Then clearly $\mu \circ \phi \approx Id_{F_w(X)}$.

Let v be an arbitrary element of $F_w(X)$. Then there exists $i \in I$ such that $v \leq a_i$ and $v \leq \phi_i(a_i)$. Since $\mu \circ \phi \approx Id_{F_w(X)}$, by Proposition 5.4, for each $a \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ with $\phi_i(a_i) \leq a$, we have $\mu_a \circ \phi_i(v) = v$. That is, $v = \phi_i(v) \in A$. Therefore, $A = F_w(X)$. \square

Corollary 6.12. *If X is a finite set, then the free EMV -algebra on X and the weakly free EMV -algebra on X are \approx -isomorphic.*

Proof. Let X be a finite non-empty set. By Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.8, if $F(X)$ is a free MV -algebra on X , then it is both a free EMV -algebra and a weakly free EMV -algebra. Since every free MV -algebra is a weakly free EMV -algebra, Theorem 6.9 gives the result. \square

7 Conclusion

Recently the authors introduced in [DvZa] a new class of algebras called *EMV*-algebras. This structure has a bottom element but not necessarily a top element. They have features close to *MV*-algebras because for every idempotent a , the interval $[0, a]$ forms an *MV*-algebra. The family \mathcal{EMV} of *EMV*-algebras is a variety with respect to *EMV*-homomorphisms. However, to study some important objects like free *EMV*-algebras, instead of an *EMV*-homomorphism from M_1 into M_2 , we need an *EMV*-morphism $M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ which is a family of *MV*-morphisms defined on intervals $[0, a]$, see Definition 3.1. In the paper, we studied their basic properties of *EMV*-morphisms as e.g. a composition of two *EMV*-morphisms and an equivalence, \approx , called similarity, between *EMV*-morphisms from M_1 into M_2 . We note that the composition is not associative, it is only \approx -associative, that is associative up to \approx , Proposition 3.12. *EMV*-morphisms were applied to introduce three categories of *EMV*-algebras. We have showed that the category with objects *EMV*-algebras and morphisms connected with classes of standard *EMV*-morphisms is equivalent to the category where morphisms are classes corresponding to strong *EMV*-homomorphisms, Theorem 4.7. We have studied free *EMV*-algebras on a set X applying *EMV*-morphisms. We have established that if X is a finite non-empty set, then the free *MV*-algebra on X is also a free *EMV*-algebra on X , see Theorem 6.5. To show an analogous result for infinite X , we have introduced the so-called weakly free *EMV*-algebra on X . In Theorem 6.8, we have proved that every free *MV*-algebra on X is also a weakly free *EMV*-algebra on X .

The suggested *EMV*-morphisms seem to be useful to establish many important properties of the class of *EMV*-algebras also in future.

8 New

Proposition 8.1. *Let $(M; +, 0)$ be a commutative monoid with neutral element 0 satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) (M, \leq) is a poset with the least element 0;
- (ii) for each $x, y \in M$, there is $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $x, y \leq a$;
- (iii) for each $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, the element $\lambda_b(x) = \min\{z \in [0, b] \mid x \oplus z = b\}$ exists in M for all $x \in [0, b]$, and the algebra $([0, b]; +, \lambda_b, 0, b)$ is an *MV*-algebra;
- (iv) for all $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, and for all $x, y \in [0, b]$, we have $x \leq y$ if and only if $\lambda_b(x) + y = b$.

Then M is an *EMV*-algebra.

Proof. First we show that $(M, +, 0)$ is a commutative ordered monoid with respect to \leq . Let $x, y, z \in M$ such that $x \leq y$. By (ii), there exists $b \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $x, y, z \leq b$. Since $([0, b]; +, \lambda_b, 0, b)$, by (iv), $x + z \leq y + z$ and so $(M, +, 0)$ is a commutative ordered monoid with respect to \leq . Suppose that $x \sqcup y$ be the least upper bound of x and y in $([0, b]; +, \lambda_b, 0, b)$. We claim that $x \vee_b y$ is the least upper bound of x and y in (M, \leq) . Let $u \in M$ be an upper bound of $\{x, y\}$. Then by (ii), there is $a \in \mathcal{I}(M)$ such that $b, u \leq a$. Let $b \wedge_a u$ be the greatest lower bound of $\{b, u\}$ in the *MV*-algebra $([0, a]; +, \lambda_a, 0, a)$. Clearly, $b \wedge_a u \in [0, b]$ and is an upper bound for $\{x, y\}$ (note that the by (iv), the partially order relation on the *MV*-algebras $[0, b]$ and $[0, a]$ are coincide). It follows that $x \vee_b y \leq b \wedge_a u \leq u$ and so $x \vee_b y$ is the least upper bound of x and y in (M, \leq) . In a similar way, $\{x, y\}$ has the greatest lower bound in (M, \leq) and so (M, \leq) is a lattice with the least element 0. Since each *MV*-algebra $([0, b]; +, \lambda_b, 0, b)$ is a distributive lattice, we can easily obtain that (M, \leq) is a distributive lattice, too. Summing up the above results, M is an *EMV*-algebra. \square

References

- [AnFe] M. Anderson, T. Feil, Lattice-Ordered Groups: An Introduction, *Springer Science and Business Media*, USA, 1988.

- [Bly] T.S. Blyth, Lattice and Ordered Algebraic Structures, *Springer-Verlag*, London, 2005.
- [BuSa] S. Burris, H.P. Sankappanavar, A Course in Universal Algebra, *Springer-Verlag*, New York, 1981.
- [Cha] C.C. Chang, Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **88** (1958), 467–490.
- [CDM] R. Cignoli, I.M.L. D’Ottaviano and D. Mundici, Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning, *Springer Science and Business Media*, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [CoDa] P. Conrad, M.R. Darnel, Generalized Boolean algebras in lattice-ordered groups, *Order* **14** (1998), 295–319.
- [DiLe] A. Di Nola, A. Lettieri, Equational characterization of all varieties of MV-algebras, *Journal of Algebra* **221** (1999), 463–474.
- [DiRu] A. Di Nola, C. Russo, The semiring-theoretic approach to MV-algebras: A survey, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **281** (2015), 134–154.
- [Dvu2] A. Dvurečenskij, Pseudo MV-algebras are intervals in ℓ -groups, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **72** (2002), 427–445.
- [DvPu] A. Dvurečenskij, S. Pulmannová, New Trends in Quantum Structures, *Kluwer Academic Publ.*, Dordrecht, *Ister Science*, Bratislava, 2000.
- [DvZa] A. Dvurečenskij, O. Zahiri, On *EMV*-algebras, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.00571>
- [DvZa1] A. Dvurečenskij, O. Zahiri, The Loomis–Sikorski Theorem for *EMV*-algebras, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, to appear, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1707.00270>
- [DvZa2] A. Dvurečenskij, O. Zahiri, States on *EMV*-algebras, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.06091>
- [GaTs] N. Galatos, C. Tsinakis, Generalized MV-algebras, *Journal of Algebra* **283** (2005), 254–291.
- [GeIo] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, Pseudo *MV*-algebras, *Multiple-Valued Logics* **6** (2001), 193–215.
- [GlHo] A.M.W. Glass, W. Holland, Lattice-Ordered Groups: Advances and Techniques, **48**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [Kom] Y. Komori, Super Łukasiewicz propositional logics, *Nagoya Mathematical Journal* **84** (1981), 119–133.
- [LuZa] W.A.J. Luxemburg, A.C. Zaanen, Riesz Spaces, Vol 1, *North-Holland Publishers Co.*, Amsterdam, London 1971.
- [MaL] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the Working Mathematician, *Springer-Verlag*, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1971.
- [Mun1] D. Mundici, Interpretation of AF C^* -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **65** (1986), 15–63.
- [Mun2] D. Mundici, Averaging the truth-value in Łukasiewicz logic, *Studia Logica*, **55** (1995), 113–127.
- [Mun3] D. Mundici, Advanced Łukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras, *Springer*, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York, 2011.
- [Rac] J. Rachůnek, A non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* **52** (2002), 255–273.
- [ShLu] Y. Shang, R. Lu, Semirings and pseudo MV algebras, *Soft Computing* **11** (2007), 847–853.
- [Sto1] M.H. Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **41** (1937), 375–481.
- [Sto2] M.H. Stone, Topological representation of distributive lattices and Brouwerian logics, *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky a fyziky* **67** (1938), 1–25.