

# Existence of primitive 1-normal elements in finite fields

L. Reis<sup>a,1</sup>, D. Thomson<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa ON (Canada), K1S 5B6*

---

## Abstract

An element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is *normal* if  $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$  forms a basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; in this case,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a *normal basis* of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The notion of  $k$ -normal elements was introduced in Huczynska et al (2013). Using the same notation as before,  $\alpha$  is  $k$ -normal if  $\mathcal{B}$  spans a co-dimension  $k$  subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . It can be shown that 1-normal elements always exist in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , and Huczynska et al (2013) show that elements that are simultaneously primitive and 1-normal exist for  $q \geq 3$  and for large enough  $n$  when  $\gcd(n, q) = 1$  (we note that primitive 1-normals cannot exist when  $n = 2$ ). In this paper, we complete this theorem and show that primitive, 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  exist for all prime powers  $q$  and all integers  $n \geq 3$ , thus solving Problem 6.3 from Huczynska, et al (2013).

*Keywords:* finite fields, primitive elements, normal bases,  $k$ -normal elements

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $q$  be a power of a prime, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) finite field of  $q$  elements, denoted  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For all positive integers  $n$ , the finite extension field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  can be viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Finite extension fields display cyclicity in multiple forms; for example, their Galois groups are cyclic of order  $n$ , generated by the Frobenius automorphism  $\alpha_q(\alpha) = \alpha^q$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . The multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , denoted  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$  is a cyclic group of order  $q^n - 1$ .

An element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is *primitive* if it is a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$ . An element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is *normal* in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if its Galois orbit is a spanning set for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Specifically,  $\alpha$  is a normal element if  $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , whence we call  $\mathcal{B}$  a *normal basis* of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The existence of normal elements of finite extension fields  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  was established for all  $q$  and  $n$  by Hensel in 1888 and re-established by Ore in 1934 by studying properties of linearized polynomials. In this work, we draw on ideas extending from Ore.

---

\*Corresponding author

<sup>1</sup>Permanent address: Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, UFMG, Belo Horizonte MG (Brazil), 30123-970.

A natural question is to establish the existence of elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  which are simultaneously primitive and normal over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . This was proven asymptotically when  $q = p$  a prime by Davenport, and then asymptotically for all  $q$  by Carlitz. The *primitive normal basis theorem* was finally established for all  $q, n$  by Lenstra and Schoof in 1988 [8] using a combination of character sums, sieving results and a computer search. Using more complicated sieving techniques, Cohen and Huczynska established the primitive normal basis theorem for all  $q$  and  $n$  without the use of a computer in 2003.

Recently, Huczynska, et al [7] defined *k-normal elements* as generalizations of normal elements. In [7], they showed multiple equivalent definitions, we pick the most natural for this work.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , then  $\alpha$  is  $k$ -normal over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if its orbit under the cyclic (Frobenius) Galois action spans a co-dimension  $k$  subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; that is, if  $V = \text{Span}(\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-1}})$ , then  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V) = n - k$ .*

Under Definition 1.1, normal elements are 0-normal elements, and all elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  are  $k$ -normal for some  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Notice that it is important to specify over which field subfield an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is  $k$ -normal. If not otherwise specified, when we say  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is  $k$ -normal, we always assume it is  $k$ -normal over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

It can be shown (see Section 2) that there always exist 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In [7], using a similar methodology to Lenstra-Schoof, the authors partially establish a primitive 1-normal element theorem; that is, the existence of elements which simultaneously generate the multiplicative group of a finite fields and whose Galois orbit is a spanning set of a (Frobenius-invariant) hyperplane.

**Theorem 1.2** ([7], Theorem 5.10). *Let  $q = p^e$  be a prime power and  $n$  a positive integer not divisible by  $p$ . Assume that  $n \geq 6$  if  $q \geq 11$  and that  $n \geq 3$  if  $3 \leq q \leq 9$ . Then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

This paper deals with completing Theorem 1.2 by covering the following cases:

1. Including the cases  $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$  for  $q \geq 11$ .
2. Expanding Theorem 1.2 to include  $q = 2$ .
3. Removing the restriction where  $p \nmid n$ .

In Section 2 we present some of the necessary background for this paper. In Section 3, we establish a general character sum estimate for the number of elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  that are simultaneously primitive,  $g$ -free for some polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  (whose definition will be established later) and having trace  $\beta$  for any  $\beta$  laying in a subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Many technical estimates for this character sum are presented in the appendix. In Section 4 we complete Theorem 1.2 when  $p \nmid n$ ; our result is usually explicit, but in some problematic cases we can only do so asymptotically. In Section 5 we similarly prove the existence of primitive 1-normal elements when  $p$  divides  $n$ .

Our methods are largely analytic and rely on a number of estimations presented in Appendix A. In all cases, we find effective bounds for when our analytic methods fail and in the remaining cases we find primitive 1-normal elements by computer search. The pseudocode for the search is presented in Appendix B.

We now give a succinct statement of the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.3. (The Primitive 1-Normal Theorem)** *Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $n \geq 3$  be a positive integer. Then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Furthermore, when  $n = 2$  there is no primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

## 2. Background material

### 2.1. Finite fields as Frobenius-modules

We follow the description of finite fields as Frobenius modules, as in [8]. Recall that the Frobenius  $q$ -automorphism  $\sigma_q: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear map. For any  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i x^i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , define

$$f \circ \alpha = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i \sigma_q^i(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i \alpha^{q^i},$$

for any  $\alpha$  in the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , we observe that  $(x^n - 1) \circ \alpha = 0$ .

If  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$ , then define  $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^{q^i}$ . The polynomial  $F$  is the *linearized  $q$ -associate* of  $f$  and  $f$  is the *conventional  $q$ -associate* of  $F$ . We outline some of the nice properties of  $q$ -associate polynomials. For the remainder of this section, lower-case polynomials represent conventional  $q$ -associates of their linearized  $q$ -associate with corresponding capital letters.

**Proposition 2.1.** [9, Theorem 3.62]

1. *The following are equivalent:*
  - (a)  $H = F(G_1)$ , for some linearized polynomial  $G_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .
  - (b)  $H = FG_2$ , for some linearized polynomial  $G_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .
  - (c)  $h = fg$ , for some  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .
2. *Let  $h = f + g$ , then  $h \circ \alpha = f \circ \alpha + g \circ \alpha$ .*
3. *Let  $h = fg$ , then  $H = F(G)$ ; hence  $(fg) \circ \alpha = F \circ (g \circ \alpha) = F(G(\alpha))$ .*

Since  $(x^n - 1) \circ \alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , we define the *minimal polynomial* of  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\sigma_q$ , denoted  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$ , to be the monic polynomial of minimal degree for which  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha} \circ \alpha = 0$ . We note that this is well defined, since if  $m$  and  $m'$  are two such minimal polynomials, then  $(m - m') \circ \alpha = m \circ \alpha - m' \circ \alpha = 0$ , but  $m - m'$  has degree at most  $n - 1$ , contradicting the minimality of  $m$  and  $m'$  unless  $m = m'$ .

We obtain the following vital proposition immediately from Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.2.** *For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ ,  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x)$  divides  $x^n - 1$ .*

Suppose  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$  has degree  $n - k$  for  $0 < k < n$ , then  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$  is a non-trivial divisor of  $x^n - 1$ ; moreover, if  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$ , then  $0 = m_{\sigma_q, \alpha} \circ \alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \alpha^{q^i}$  is a vanishing non-trivial linear combination of the Galois conjugates of  $\alpha$ ; hence,  $\alpha$  is not a normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, by the minimality of  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$ ,  $\alpha$  is not annihilated by any non-trivial linear combination of  $\{\alpha, \alpha^q, \dots, \alpha^{q^{n-k-1}}\}$ , hence  $\alpha$  is  $k$ -normal in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We summarize this below.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is  $k$ -normal over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if and only if  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  under  $\sigma_q$ , has degree  $n - k$ .*

We observe that  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1})$  for any field. Moreover,  $(1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1}) \circ \alpha = \alpha + \alpha^q + \dots + \alpha^{q^{n-1}} = \text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q}$  is the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** 1. *We have  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  if and only if  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x) = (x - 1)$ .*

2. *Let  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $\alpha$  is not 0-normal.*

Finally, we observe that every monic factor of  $x^n - 1$  of degree  $n - k$  does indeed produce  $k$ -normal elements. In fact, the following theorem which also appears in [9, Corollary 3.71] can be shown by a counting argument.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f$  be a monic divisor of  $x^n - 1$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  for which  $f = m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$ .*

We now focus on 1-normal elements.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ , then there exists a 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* As noted previously,  $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)(1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1})$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

We use Proposition 2.3 to give an exact count of the number of  $k$ -normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . First, we require the polynomial analogue of the Euler totient function.

**Definition 2.7.** *Let  $f(x)$  be a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The Euler Phi Function for polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is given by*

$$\Phi_q(f) = \left| \left( \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{\langle f \rangle} \right)^* \right|,$$

where  $\langle f \rangle$  is the ideal generated by  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .

Finally, we present an interesting formula for the number of  $k$ -normal elements over finite fields:

**Corollary 2.8** ([7], Theorem 3.5). *The number  $N_k$  of  $k$ -normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is given by*

$$N_k = \sum_{\substack{h \mid x^n - 1 \\ \deg(h) = n - k}} \Phi_q(h), \quad (1)$$

where the divisors are monic and polynomial division is over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 2.2. Characters, characteristic functions and Gauss sums

For the background on characteristic functions for our elements of interest, we follow the treatment in [7], which is essentially the same as in [3] and elsewhere.

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* = \langle \alpha \rangle$  and for a fixed integer  $j$  with  $0 \leq d < n$ , define the map

$$\eta_d(\alpha^k) = e^{\frac{2\pi i dk}{q^n-1}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

The map  $\eta_d$  is a *multiplicative character* of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . Observe that  $\eta_d(\alpha^s)\eta_d(\alpha^t) = \eta_d(\alpha^{s+t})$ ; hence, each  $\eta_d$  is a homomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha^{q^n-1} = 1$ , we have  $\eta_d(\alpha^k)^{q^n-1} = 1$ ; hence,  $\eta_d$  maps to the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Finally, since  $\eta_d(\alpha^k) = \eta_1(\alpha^k)^d$  for all  $d$ ; and the set of multiplicative characters of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  form a cyclic group of order  $q^n - 1$  with identity element  $\eta_0$ .

Let  $p$  be the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and denote by  $\chi_1$  the mapping  $\chi_1: \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\chi_1(\alpha) = e^{\frac{2\pi i \text{Tr}_{q/p}(\alpha)}{p}} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q.$$

The character  $\chi_1$  is the *canonical additive character* of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, the mapping  $\chi_\beta(\alpha) = \chi_1(\beta\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$  is an additive character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and all additive characters of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  arise as  $\chi_\beta$  for some  $\beta$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  be an extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with canonical additive character  $\mu_1$ . Then  $\mu_1$  and  $\chi_1$  are related by transitivity of the trace function, namely

$$\mu_1(\alpha) = \chi_1(\text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha)), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}.$$

We call  $\mu_1$  the lift of  $\chi_1$  from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , and will make use of this fact later.

### 2.2.1. On freeness

The notion of *freeness* follows from the Vinogradov formulas, as follows.

**Definition 2.9.** 1. Let  $d$  divide  $q^n - 1$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$  is *d-free* if  $\alpha = \beta^m$  for any divisor  $m$  of  $d$  implies  $d = 1$ .

2. Let  $m$  be a divisor of  $x^n - 1$ , then  $\alpha$  is *m-free* if  $\alpha = h \circ \beta$  for any divisor  $h$  of  $m$  implies  $h = 1$ .

We use a re-characterization of free elements that can be found in [7, Propositions 5.2, 5.3], for example.

**Proposition 2.10.** 1.  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$  is *d-free* if and only if  $\gcd(d, \frac{q^n-1}{\text{ord}(\alpha)}) = 1$ , where  $\text{ord}(\alpha)$  is the multiplicative order of  $\alpha$ .

2.  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is *m-free* if and only if  $\gcd(m, \frac{x^n-1}{m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x)}) = 1$ .

Intuitively, we can interpret an element  $\alpha$  as  $\beta$ -free (in either multiplicative or additive contexts) if its minimal annihilator in a sense contains all prime factors of  $\beta$ . We can use Proposition 2.10 to show the utility of these characteristic functions.

- Corollary 2.11.** 1. Let  $d = q^n - 1$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is  $d$ -free if and only if  $\alpha$  is primitive.
2. Let  $m = x^n - 1$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is  $m$ -free if and only if  $\alpha$  is normal.
3. Let  $\gcd(p, n) = 1$ , let  $m = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - \zeta}$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  be  $m$ -free, then  $\alpha$  is either 1-normal or 0-normal.

*Proof.* The first two assertions are obvious from Proposition 2.10, so we prove only the final assertion. The condition  $\gcd(p, n) = 1$  gives that  $x^n - 1$  has no repeated roots. Let  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , then  $\gcd(m, \frac{x^n - 1}{m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}}) = 1$  implies that either  $\frac{x^n - 1}{m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}} = 1$  or  $x - \zeta$ ; hence  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha} = x^n - 1$  or  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha} = m$ , respectively.  $\square$

Let  $t$  be a positive divisor of  $q^n - 1$ , let  $\theta(t) = \frac{\varphi t}{t}$  and for  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$  let

$$\omega_t(w) = \theta(t) \underbrace{\sum_{d|t} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{(d)} \eta_{(d)}(w)}_{\int_{d|t} \eta_{(d)}},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function,  $\varphi$  is the Euler totient function,  $\chi_{(d)}$  is a typical multiplicative character of order  $d$  and the inner sum runs over all multiplicative characters of order  $d$ .

Similarly, let  $T$  be a monic divisor of  $x^n - 1$ , let  $\Theta(T) = \frac{\Phi(T)}{q^{\deg(T)}}$  and for  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  let

$$\Omega_T(w) = \Theta(T) \underbrace{\sum_{D|T} \frac{\mathcal{M}(D)}{\Phi(D)} \sum_{(D)} \chi_{(D)}(w)}_{\int_{D|T} \chi_{(D)}},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\Phi$  are the polynomial analogues of  $\mu$  and  $\varphi$  and  $\chi_{(D)}$  is an additive character for which  $D \circ \chi_{(D)} = \chi_0$  and  $D$  is minimal (in terms of degree) with this property. Alternatively, we may write  $\chi_{(D)} = \chi_{\delta_D}$ , for some  $\delta_D \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  and  $\chi_{\delta_D}(w) := \chi(\delta_D \cdot w)$ . In particular,  $D \circ \chi_{(D)} = \chi_0$  and  $D$  is minimal with this property if and only if the minimal polynomial of  $\delta_D$  with respect to  $\sigma_q$  is  $D(x)$ . For instance  $\chi_{x-1}$  runs through  $\chi_c$  for  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Also, for  $D = 1$ ,  $\chi_{(D)}(x) = \chi_0(x)$  is the trivial additive character.

**Theorem 2.12.** [7, Section 5.2]

1. Let  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$  and let  $t$  be a positive divisor of  $q^n - 1$ , then

$$\omega_t(w) = \theta(t) \int_{d|t} \eta_{(d)}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \text{ is } t\text{-free,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. Let  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  and let  $T$  be a monic divisor of  $x^n - 1$ , then

$$\Omega_T(w) = \Theta(T) \int_{D|T} \chi_{(D)}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \text{ is } T\text{-free,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 2.12, if  $t = q^n - 1$  and  $T = x^n - 1$ , then  $\omega_t \Omega_T$  is the characteristic function for elements  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  that are simultaneously primitive and normal over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If instead  $\gcd(p, n) = 1$  and  $T = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ , then by Corollary 2.11,  $\omega_t \Omega_T$  is a characteristic function for elements that are either primitive and normal, or primitive with minimal polynomial  $m_{\sigma_q, w}(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ ; in the second case, these are a subset of the primitive 1-normal elements. From Corollary 2.4, however, we know that if  $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \circ w = \text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(w) = 0$ , then  $w$  is not 0-normal; hence, to prove existence of primitive 1-normals it is enough to prove the existence of  $q^n - 1$ -free,  $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ -free, trace-0 elements. To apply character sum arguments, we require a further character sum for elements with prescribed trace.

### 2.2.2. Elements with prescribed trace

In [7], the authors require only a characteristic function for trace-0 elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , but in this work we will need a more general characteristic function for elements whose subfield trace function takes any prescribed value.

It is well known that  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  contains a subfield of order  $m$  if and only if  $m$  divides  $n$ . Let

$$T_{m,\beta}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w) = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We require a character sum for  $T_{m,\beta}$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be the canonical additive character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with lifts  $\lambda_m$  and  $\chi$  to  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , respectively; that is  $\lambda_m(w) = \lambda(\text{Tr}_{q^m/q}(w))$  and  $\chi(w) = \lambda(\text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(w)) = \lambda(\text{Tr}_{q^m/q}(\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w))) = \lambda_m(\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w))$ , by transitivity of trace.

Observe that  $T_{m,\beta}$  can be written

$$T_{m,\beta}(w) = \frac{1}{q^m} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \lambda_m(d(\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w) - \beta)) = \frac{1}{q^m} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \lambda_m(d \text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w - \alpha)),$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is any element such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(\alpha) = \beta$ , since  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w) = \beta$  implies  $\lambda_m(0) = 1$  is counted  $q^m$  times, otherwise  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w - \alpha) = \beta'$  for some  $\beta' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*$  and  $\sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \lambda_m(d\beta') = 0$ . Hence

$$T_{m,\beta}(w) = \frac{1}{q^m} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \chi_d(w - \alpha) = \frac{1}{q^m} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \chi_d(w) \chi_d(\alpha)^{-1}.$$

### 2.2.3. Gauss sums

For any multiplicative character  $\eta$  and additive character  $\chi$ , denote by  $G(\eta, \chi)$  the *Gauss sum*

$$G(\eta, \chi) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} \eta(w) \chi(w).$$

Certainly, if  $\chi = \chi_1$  and  $\eta = \eta_0$ , then  $G(\eta, \chi) = q - 1$ . However, it is well known that  $G(\eta, \chi)$  is usually much smaller.

**Theorem 2.13.** [9, Theorem 5.11] *Let  $G(\eta, \chi) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*} \eta(w)\chi(w)$ . Then,*

$$G(\eta, \chi) = \begin{cases} q^n - 1 & \text{for } \eta = \eta_0 \text{ and } \chi = \chi_0, \\ -1 & \text{for } \eta = \eta_0 \text{ and } \chi \neq \chi_0, \\ 0 & \text{for } \eta \neq \eta_0 \text{ and } \chi = \chi_0. \end{cases}$$

*If both  $\eta \neq \eta_0$  and  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ , then  $|G(\eta, \chi)| = q^{n/2}$ .*

Throughout this paper we will always take Gauss sums  $\sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \eta(w)\chi(w)$  including the element 0 and, for this reason, we extend the multiplicative characters to 0: we set  $\eta_0(0) = 1$  and  $\eta(0) = 0$  for any nontrivial multiplicative character  $\eta$ . Therefore, our Gauss sums take the following values:

$$G(\eta, \chi) := \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \eta(w)\chi(w) = \begin{cases} q^n & \text{for } \eta = \eta_0 \text{ and } \chi = \chi_0, \\ 0 & \text{for } \eta = \eta_0 \text{ and } \chi \neq \chi_0, \\ 0 & \text{for } \eta \neq \eta_0 \text{ and } \chi = \chi_0. \end{cases}$$

As before, if both  $\eta \neq \eta_0$  and  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ , then  $|G(\eta, \chi)| = q^{n/2}$ .

### 3. Existence of primitive, free elements with prescribed trace

In this section, we generalize the character sum argument for proving the existence of primitive 1-normal elements from [7] by providing a character sum expression for primitive 1-normal elements with prescribed trace in a subfield. We use the expressions from Section 2.2, along with the estimations found in Appendix A to prove our main results.

We highlight the following notation, which will become ubiquitous throughout the rest of this document.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let*

$$W(t) = \begin{cases} \text{the number of squarefree divisors of } t & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \text{the number of monic divisors of } t & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \text{ is monic.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

*Moreover, let  $w(t)$  be the number of distinct prime divisors (or monic irreducible divisors) of  $t$  so that  $W(t) = 2^{w(t)}$ . Finally, for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $d(t)$  be the number of divisors of  $t$  so that  $d(t) \geq W(t)$ .*

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $q$  be a power of a prime  $p$  and let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \supsetneq \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ , where either  $m = 1$  or  $m$  is a power of  $p$ . Also, let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial not divisible by  $x - 1$  such that  $f(x)$  divides  $x^n - 1$ . Let  $N$  be the number of elements  $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  such that  $w$  is primitive,  $f$ -free over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(w) = \beta$ , where  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ . If  $\alpha$  is any element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^m}(\alpha) = \beta$  and  $a_c = \chi_c(\alpha)^{-1}$ , then the following holds*



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N}{\theta(q^n - 1)\Theta(f)} &= \frac{1}{q^m} \left( q^n + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} a_c \int_{\substack{d|q^n-1 \\ d \neq 1}} \int_{\substack{D|f \\ D \neq 1}} G(\eta_d, \chi_{\delta_D+c}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^*} a_c \int_{\substack{d|q^n-1 \\ d \neq 1}} G(\eta_d, \chi_c) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In particular, we have the following inequality:

$$\frac{N}{\theta(q^n - 1)\Theta(f)} > q^{n-m} - q^{n/2}W(q^n - 1)W(f). \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Combining the characteristic functions for primitivity,  $f$ -freeness and prescribed trace we obtain the following:

$$N = \frac{\theta(q^n - 1)\Theta(f)}{q^m} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \atop d|q^n-1 \ D|f} \int \int \chi_c(\alpha)^{-1} \chi_c(w) \chi_{\delta_D}(w) \eta_d(w),$$

hence

$$\frac{N}{\theta(q^n - 1)\Theta(f)} = \frac{1}{q^m} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} a_c \int_{\substack{d|q^n-1 \\ d \neq 1}} \int_{\substack{D|f \\ D \neq 1}} G(\eta_d, \chi_{\delta_D+c}).$$

Now observe that, for each  $D$  dividing  $f(x)$ ,  $D$  is not divisible by  $x-1$ . Since  $m = 1$  or  $m$  is a power of  $p$ ,  $\delta_D \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  if and only if  $D$  divides  $x^m - 1 = (x-1)^m$ . In particular,  $\delta_D \notin \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  unless  $D = 1$  and thus  $\delta_D = 0$ . Therefore  $\delta_D + c \neq 0$  unless  $D = 1$  and  $c = 0$ . Our Gauss sums then take the following values:

$$G(\eta_d, \chi_{\delta_D+c}) = \begin{cases} q^n & \text{if } (D, d, c) = (1, 1, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } d = 1 \text{ and } (D, c) \neq (1, 0) \text{ or if } d \neq 1 \text{ and } (D, c) \neq (1, 0). \end{cases}$$

Otherwise,  $|G(\eta_d, \chi_{\delta_D+c})| = q^{n/2}$ .

Using the identities above we obtain Equation (3). We know that, in the remaining Gauss sums in Equation (3), we have  $|G(\eta, \chi)| = q^{n/2}$  and clearly  $|a_c| = 1$ . Applying these estimates to Equation (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N}{\theta(q^n - 1)\Theta(f)} &\geq q^{n-m} - \frac{q^{n/2}}{q^m} (q^m(W(q^n - 1) - 1)(W(f) - 1) \\ &\quad + (q^m - 1)(W(q^n - 1) - 1)) \\ &> q^{n-m} - q^{n/2}W(q^n - 1)W(f). \end{aligned}$$

□

In this paper, we focus on the case of primitive 1-normal elements. For the particular case of 1-normal elements, if  $n \geq 2$ , the number of 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is at least equal to  $\Phi_q(T)$ , where  $T = \frac{x^n-1}{x-1}$ .

#### 4. A completion of Theorem 1.2 for the case $\gcd(n, p) = 1$

From [7, Corollary 5.8], we can easily deduce the following:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is a positive integer not divisible by  $p$  and  $T(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{x-1}$ . If the pair  $(q, n)$  satisfies*

$$W(T) \cdot W(q^n - 1) < q^{n/2-1}, \quad (5)$$

*then there exist 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

Inequality (5) is an essential step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 and it was first studied in [4]. Under the condition that  $n \geq 6$  for  $q \geq 11$  and  $n \geq 3$  for  $3 \leq q \leq 9$ , this inequality is not true only for a finite number of pairs  $(q, n)$ . Namely, we have the following.

**Theorem 4.2.** [4, Theorem 4.5] *Let  $q$  and  $n$  be coprime, and assume that  $n \geq 6$  if  $q \geq 11$ , and that  $n \geq 3$  if  $3 \leq q \leq 6$ . The set of 34 pairs  $(q, n)$  that do not satisfy Inequality (5) is*

$$(4, 15), (13, 12), (7, 12), (11, 10), (4, 9), (9, 8), (5, 8), (3, 8), (8, 7), (121, 6), (61, 6), \\ (49, 6), (43, 6), (37, 6), (31, 6), (29, 6), (25, 6), (19, 6), (13, 6), (11, 6), (7, 6), (5, 6), \\ (9, 5), (4, 5), (3, 5), (9, 4), (7, 4), (5, 4), (3, 4), (8, 3), (7, 3), (5, 3), (4, 3).$$

##### 4.1. The case $q = 2$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose that  $n \neq 15$  is odd,  $q = 2$  and  $T(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{x-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ . For  $n > 9$ , Inequality (5) holds.*

*Proof.* Notice that  $\frac{n+9}{5} + \frac{n}{7} + 2 < \frac{n}{2} - 1$  for  $n \geq 31$ . From Proposition A.1 and Lemma A.3, it follows that Inequality (5) holds for odd  $n \geq 31$ . The remaining cases can be verified directly.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  is odd. Then there exist a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .*

*Proof.* According to Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3, this statement is true for  $n > 9$  if  $n \neq 15$ . For the remaining cases  $n = 3, 5, 7, 9$  and 15 we use the following argument. Let  $P$  be the number of primitive elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and  $N_1$  the number of 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ; if  $P + N_1 > 2^n$ , there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Notice that  $P = \varphi(2^n - 1)$  and, according to Lemma 2.8,  $N_1 \geq \Phi_2\left(\frac{x^n-1}{x-1}\right)$ . By a direct calculation we see that

$$\varphi(2^n - 1) + \Phi_2\left(\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}\right) > 2^n,$$

for  $n = 3, 5, 7, 9$  and 15. This completes the proof.  $\square$

4.2. The case  $n \leq 5$ .

Here we give direct proofs of existence or non-existence of primitive 1-normal elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for small degrees  $n \leq 5$ . We also obtain some asymptotic existence results. First we show that primitive 1-normal elements cannot exist when  $n = 2$ , which also appears in [7, Section 6]. We present the short proof here for completeness.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Then there does not exist a primitive  $(n-1)$ -normal element in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is  $(n-1)$ -normal over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then  $\alpha^q = k\alpha$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Hence, the order of  $\alpha$  divides  $(q-1)^2$ , which is impossible for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *There do not exist primitive 1-normals in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

Now we present a large family of degrees  $n$  for which there must exist primitive 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We observe that this result also appears in [1], and we leave the short proof here for completeness.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $q$  be primitive modulo a prime  $n > 2$ , then there exists a primitive 1-normal element in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $q$  is primitive modulo  $n$  and  $n$  is prime,  $x^n - 1 = (x-1)(x^{n-1} + \dots + x + 1)$  is the complete factorization of  $x^n - 1$  into irreducibles. The only possibilities for  $k$ -normality are  $k = 0, 1, n-1, n$ . Since  $(n-1)$ -normal elements are not primitive, we have all primitive trace-0 elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  are primitive 1-normal. The existence of such elements is guaranteed by [6]; see also Lemma 5.1, below.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** 1. *There exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*  
 2. *If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*  
 3. *If  $q \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ , then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^5}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 3$ . By Lemma 4.5, there do not exist primitive 2-normal elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ . Hence all primitive, trace-zero elements are 1-normal. If  $q \neq 4$ , the existence of primitive and trace-zero elements is guaranteed by [6]. For the case  $q = 4$  we can directly find a primitive 1-normal element in  $\mathbb{F}_{4^3}$ .

Let  $n = 4$ , then  $x^n - 1 = (x-1)(x+1)(x^2+1)$  in any field. If  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $x^2+1$  is irreducible; hence 2-normal elements must be annihilated by  $x^2-1$  or by  $x^2+1$ . In the first case,  $\alpha$  lies in the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , hence cannot be primitive. In the second case,  $(x^2+1) \circ \alpha = \alpha^{q^2} + \alpha = 0$  implies  $\alpha^{q^2-1} = -1$ , and the order of  $\alpha$  divides  $2(q^2-1) < q^4-1$ . By Lemma 4.5, there do not exist primitive 3-normal elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ . Therefore all primitive, trace-zero elements are 1-normal and, again, the existence of such elements is guaranteed by [6].

Let  $n = 5$ . If  $q \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ , then  $q$  is primitive  $\pmod{5}$ , and existence is given by Lemma 4.7.  $\square$

**Remark 4.9.** We further comment on a statement in [7, Section 6], where the authors state without proof that if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then there do not exist primitive  $(n-2)$ -normal elements. We show here that this is not true. Let  $f(x) = x^5 - x - 2$ , a primitive polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ , and let  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . It is straightforward to check that  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x) = (x-1)^2$ , hence  $\alpha$  is 3-normal.

The remaining cases after applying the direct methods of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7 are:

1.  $n = 4, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,
2.  $n = 5, q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ .

For these remaining cases, we proceed as follows: we try to obtain reasonable large values of  $q$  for which Inequality (5) holds. For the remaining cases, we verify directly the same inequality. Some exceptions arise and then we directly verify the existence of a primitive 1-normal element by computer search. We start with the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $q$  be a power of a prime  $p$ . Then for  $n = 4$  and  $q > 5.24 \cdot 10^7$  odd or  $n = 5$  and  $q \geq 2^{17}$ , Inequality (5) holds for the pair  $(q, n)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $T = \frac{x^n-1}{x-1}$ . We first consider the case  $n = 4$ . In particular,  $W(T) = 8$  and  $q^4 - 1$  is divisible by 16 and then  $8 \cdot W(q^4 - 1) \leq d(q^4 - 1)$ ; that is,

$$W(T)W(q^4 - 1) \leq d(q^4 - 1). \quad (6)$$

But, according to Lemma A.9,

$$d(q^4 - 1) \leq q^{\frac{4.264}{\log(\log(q^4 - 1))}} < q = q^{4/2-1},$$

since  $\log(\log(q^4 - 1)) > 4.264$  for  $q > 5.24 \cdot 10^7$ . This shows that the pair  $(q, n)$  satisfies Inequality (5).

For  $n = 5$  and  $q > 2^{17}$ , note that  $W(T) \leq 16$ , hence

$$W(T)W(q^5 - 1) \leq 16 \cdot W(q^5 - 1).$$

According to Lemma A.7, we have the bound

$$16 \cdot W(q^5 - 1) < q^{1.5} = q^{5/2-1}, \quad (7)$$

and then  $(q, n)$  satisfies Inequality (5).  $\square$

For the case  $n = 4, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $q \leq 5.24 \cdot 10^7$  we verify directly that Inequality (5) holds for all but 138 values of  $q$ , the greatest being  $q = 21,013$ . Using a *Sage* program [11], we explicitly find such primitive 1-normal elements for these values of  $q$ .

For  $n = 5$  and  $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$ , we directly verify that  $16 \cdot W(q^5 - 1) \leq q^{1.5}$  for all  $71 < q < 2^{17}$ . For  $q \leq 71$ , this inequality does not hold for most  $q$ . For  $2 \leq q \leq 71$ , we compute  $W(T)$  (which is 4 or 16 according to  $q$  of the form  $5k-1$  or  $5k+1$ , respectively) and verify that Inequality (5) holds with the exception of

the cases  $q = 4, 9, 11, 16, 31, 61, 71$ . For these cases, using a *Sage* program [11], we explicitly find primitive 1-normal elements.

The pseudocode for the explicit search for a primitive 1-normal element can be found in Appendix B.

Combining Proposition 4.10 and these remarks, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Suppose  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$  and  $n = 3, 4, 5$  with  $\gcd(p, n) = 1$ . Then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ .*

## 5. Existence results for primitive 1-normality when $p$ divides $n$

In this section, we prove the existence of primitive 1-normal elements using a variety of methods. In Section 5.1, we use an existence result about primitive elements with prescribed trace in order to prove the existence of primitive 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  when  $n = p^2s$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In Section 5.2, we use the estimations found in Appendix A to prove the existence of primitive 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $n = ps$  with  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ .

### 5.1. The case $n = p^2s$

In this section we prove the existence of primitive 1-normal elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  whenever  $p^2$  divides  $n$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We begin with a crucial lemma from [6].

**Lemma 5.1.** [6] *If  $n \geq 3$  and  $(q, n) \neq (4, 3)$ , then for every  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , there exists a primitive element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha) = a$ . Moreover, if  $n = 2$  or  $(q, n) = (4, 3)$ , then, for every nonzero  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , there exists a primitive element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha) = a$ .*

We now give a general result which characterizes 1-normal elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  based on their corresponding 1-normal projections into the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{ps}}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has characteristic  $p$  and let  $n = p^2s$  for any  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $x - \zeta$  be a divisor of  $x^n - 1$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  has minimal polynomial  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha} = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - \zeta}$  if and only if  $\beta = \text{Tr}_{q^n/q^{ps}}(\alpha)$  has minimal polynomial  $m_{\sigma_q, \beta}(x) = \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - \zeta}$ .*

*Proof.* We observe that if  $x - \zeta$  is a divisor of  $x^n - 1$ , then  $x - \zeta$  is a factor of  $x^s - 1$  and  $x^{ps} - 1$  as well. Suppose  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - \zeta} = \frac{x^n - 1}{x^{ps} - 1} \cdot \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - \zeta}$ . Then  $0 = m_{\sigma_q, \alpha} \circ \alpha = \frac{x^n - 1}{x^{ps} - 1} \circ \beta$ ; hence,  $m_{\sigma_q, \beta}$  divides  $\frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - \zeta}$ . Moreover,  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x)$  clearly divides  $m_{\sigma_q, \beta}(x) \frac{x^n - 1}{x^{ps} - 1}$ , so  $\frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - \zeta}$  divides  $m_{\sigma_q, \beta}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $m_{\sigma_q, \beta}(x) = \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - \zeta}$ . Then  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}(x)$  divides

$$\frac{x^n - 1}{x^{ps} - 1} \cdot \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - \zeta} = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - \zeta}.$$

Suppose that  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$  strictly divides  $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - \zeta}$ , then there exists some irreducible  $f(x)$  dividing  $x^n - 1$  such that  $m_{\sigma_q, \alpha}$  divides  $\frac{x^n - 1}{f(x)(x - \zeta)}$ . However,  $x^n - 1 = (x^s - 1)^{p^2}$ ,

hence  $f$  irreducible and  $x - \zeta$  a repeated root of  $x^n - 1$  implies that  $f(x)$  divides  $x^s - 1$  and  $f(x)(x - \zeta)$  divides  $x^{ps} - 1 = (x^s - 1)^p$ . Thus,

$$0 = \frac{x^n - 1}{f(x)(x - \zeta)} \circ \alpha = \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{f(x)(x - \zeta)} \cdot \frac{x^n - 1}{x^{ps} - 1} \circ \alpha = \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{f(x)(x - \zeta)} \circ \beta,$$

contradicting  $m_{\sigma_q, \beta}(x) = \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - \zeta}$ .  $\square$

In Lemma 5.2 we are, of course, primarily concerned with the case  $\zeta = 1$ , since  $x - 1$  is a divisor of  $x^n - 1$  over any field. We now combine Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 to yield the main result of this section.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Suppose that  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has characteristic  $p$  and that  $n$  is a positive integer divisible by  $p^2$ . Then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = p^2s = p \cdot ps$ . Let  $\beta$  be an element with minimal polynomial  $\frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - 1} \neq 1$ . In particular, such a  $\beta$  is nonzero. Since  $p = n/ps \geq 2$ , by Lemma 5.1, there is a primitive element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  with  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^{ps}}(\alpha) = \beta$ . Thus, from Lemma 5.2, such an  $\alpha$  is primitive 1-normal.  $\square$

5.2. *The case  $n = ps$  with  $\gcd(s, p) = 1$*

Let  $n = ps$  with  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ . Then  $x^n - 1 = (x^s - 1)^p$ . Moreover, observe that  $\left(\frac{x^s - 1}{x - 1}\right)^p \circ \alpha = \frac{x^n - 1}{x^p - 1} = \text{Tr}_{q^n/q^p}(\alpha)$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $T(x) = \frac{x^s - 1}{x - 1}$ ; then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  has minimal polynomial  $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$  if and only if it is  $T$ -free and  $\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^p}(\alpha)$  has minimal polynomial  $\frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha$  is  $T$ -free as in the hypothesis and  $\beta = \text{Tr}_{q^n/q^p}(\alpha)$  has minimal polynomial  $m_{\sigma, \beta}(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1}$ . Then,

$$\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \circ \alpha = \frac{x^{ps} - 1}{x - 1} \circ \alpha = \text{Tr}_{q^n/q}(\alpha) = \text{Tr}_{q^p/q}(\text{Tr}_{q^n/q^p}(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}_{q^p/q}(\beta) = 0,$$

since  $\beta$  is annihilated by  $\frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is  $T$ -free,  $\gcd(T, \frac{x^n - 1}{m_{\sigma, \alpha}(x)}) = 1$ ; that is,  $m_{\sigma, \alpha}(x) = (x - 1)^d \frac{x^n - 1}{x^p - 1}$  for some  $0 \leq d \leq p$ . The minimality of  $\beta$  gives that  $d = p - 1$ ; hence  $m_{\sigma, \alpha}(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ .

The reverse assertion is straightforward from the minimality of  $\frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}$ .  $\square$

The above proposition allows us to count a certain subset of 1-normal elements. We can use similar methods as Lenstra-Schoof to show existence. We start with a version of Lemma 4.1 in the case when  $p$  divides  $n$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Suppose that  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$  and  $n = ps$ , where  $s \geq 2$  is a positive integer not divisible by  $p$ . Set  $T(x) = \frac{x^s - 1}{x - 1}$ . If the pair  $(q, s)$  satisfies*

$$W(T) \cdot W(q^{ps} - 1) \leq q^{p(\frac{s}{2} - 1)}, \quad (8)$$

*then there exist 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Combining Propositions 3.2 and 5.4 with  $n = ps$ ,  $m = p$  and  $f(x) = T(x) = \frac{x^s-1}{x-1}$ , if there is no primitive 1-normal element in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , then

$$0 = \frac{N}{\theta(q^n - 1)\Theta(T)} > q^{p(s-1)} - q^{\frac{ps}{2}} W(q^{ps} - 1)W(T),$$

and hence

$$q^{p(\frac{s}{2}-1)} < W(q^{ps} - 1)W(T).$$

This is a contradiction with our assumptions.  $\square$

We note that this criterion does not include the case  $s = 1$  and, for  $s = 2$ , is worthless. Using different combinatorial arguments we will see how to obtain the desired result for  $s = 1, 2$ . First, we deal with the cases  $s \geq 3$ .

*5.2.1. Cases  $n = ps$  with  $3 \leq s \leq 5$ .*

**Proposition 5.6.** *Suppose that  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$ . For  $n = ps$ , where either  $s = 3$  and  $p \geq 29$  or  $s = 4, 5$  and  $p \geq 11$ , the pair  $(q, s)$  satisfies Inequality (8).*

*Proof.* Notice that  $T(x) = \frac{x^s-1}{x-1}$  has degree  $s-1$ , hence  $W(T) \leq 2^{s-1} = \frac{q^{s \log_q 2}}{2}$ . According to Proposition A.8, for  $p \geq 11$  we have

$$W\left(\frac{q^{ps} - 1}{q^s - 1}\right) < q^{\frac{s(p-1)}{2 + \log_2 p}}.$$

We have the trivial bound  $W(q^s - 1) \leq d(q^s - 1) \leq 2q^{\frac{s}{2}}$  and then

$$W(q^{ps} - 1)W(T) < q^{\frac{s(p-1)}{2 + \log_2 p} + \frac{s}{2} + s \log_q 2} \leq q^{\frac{s(p-1)}{2 + \log_2 p} + \frac{s}{2} + s \log_p 2},$$

since  $q \geq p$ . Therefore, if

$$p\left(\frac{s}{2} - 1\right) \geq \frac{s(p-1)}{2 + \log_2 p} + \frac{s}{2} + s \cdot \frac{\log 2}{\log p},$$

then  $(q, s)$  satisfies Inequality (8). An easy calculation shows that the latter holds if either  $s = 3$  and  $p \geq 29$  or  $s = 4, 5$  and  $p \geq 11$ .  $\square$

When  $s = 3, 4, 5$  and for small values of  $p$ , our methods for studying inequality (8) are too coarse. We now use a different generic bound for  $W(q^n - 1)$  that is good for large  $q$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $q$  be a power of a prime  $p$ ,  $n = ps$ , where  $s = 3, 4, 5$  and  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ . Additionally, suppose that  $p \leq 23$  if  $s = 3$  and  $p \leq 7$  if  $s = 4, 5$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,s}$  such that for any  $q = p^t$  with  $t > C_{p,s}$ , the pair  $(q, s)$  satisfies Inequality (8). Moreover, given  $p$  and  $s$ , their corresponding explicit constant  $C_{p,s}$  which is an upper bound for which Inequality (8) may not hold is given in the following table.*

$p$	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23
$s = 3$	162	–	28	16	8	6	4	4	3
$s = 4$	–	8	3	2	–	–	–	–	–
$s = 5$	10	4	–	1	–	–	–	–	–

*Proof.* From Lemma A.9, we obtain  $d(q^{ps} - 1) < q^{\frac{1.066ps}{\log \log(q^{ps} - 1)}}$  and clearly we have  $W(q^{ps} - 1) \leq d(q^{ps} - 1)$ . Recall that  $W(T) \leq 2^{s-1} \leq \frac{q^{s \log_q 2}}{2}$  and then

$$W(T)W(q^{ps} - 1) < q^{\frac{1.066ps}{\log \log(q^{ps} - 1)} + s \log_q 2}.$$

Hence, if

$$s \log_q 2 + \frac{1.066ps}{\log \log(q^{ps} - 1)} < p \left( \frac{s}{2} - 1 \right),$$

Inequality (8) holds. But, writing  $q = p^t$ , the last inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{\log 2}{pt \log p} + \frac{1.066}{\log \log(p^{pts} - 1)} < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{s}. \quad (9)$$

It is not hard to see that the left side of Inequality (9) is a decreasing function in  $t$ . In particular, for fixed  $p$  and  $s$ , if  $t_0 = C_{p,s}$  is the greatest positive integer value of  $t$  such that the Inequality (9) is false, then for any  $q = p^t$  with  $t > C_{p,s}$ , the pair  $(q, s)$  satisfies Inequality (8). By a direct calculation, we find the integers  $C_{p,s}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.8.** For the values of  $(q = p^t, s)$  which are not covered by Inequality (9), we use Cunningham tables [2] to obtain the factorization of  $q^{ps} - 1$  and find the following genuine exceptions to Inequality (8).

	$p = 2$	$p = 3$	$p = 5$
$s = 3$	$t = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12$		$t = 1, 2$
$s = 4$		$t = 1, 2$	
$s = 5$	$t = 1, 2, 3$	$t = 1$	

For these remaining cases, we find a primitive 1-normal element by direct search; see Appendix B.

**Corollary 5.9.** Suppose that  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$ , let  $n = ps$  with  $s = 3, 4, 5$  and  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ , then there exists a primitive 1-normal element in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

5.2.2. Cases  $n = ps, s \geq 6$ .

**Proposition 5.10.** Suppose that  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$  and  $n = ps$ , where  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$  and  $s \geq 6$ . With the exception of the case  $(q, s) = (2, 15)$ , the pair  $(q, s)$  satisfies Inequality (8).

*Proof.* Suppose, by contradiction, that  $(q, s)$  does not satisfy Inequality (8). Hence

$$W(T) \cdot W(q^{ps} - 1) > q^{p(\frac{s}{2} - 1)}. \quad (10)$$

We divide the proof in cases depending on the value of  $q$ .



*Case 1.:  $q$  odd.* Since  $2 + \log_2 p > 3$  for any odd prime  $p$ , from items 1 and 2 of Proposition A.8,

$$W\left(\frac{q^{ps} - 1}{q^s - 1}\right) \leq q^{\frac{(p-1)s}{3}},$$

for any  $s \geq 6$  such that  $\gcd(s, p) = 1$ . Excluding the pairs in Theorem 4.2, for  $s \geq 6$  and  $q \geq 3$

$$W(T)W(q^s - 1) < q^{\frac{s}{2}-1}.$$

Since  $W(q^{ps} - 1) \leq W(q^s - 1)W\left(\frac{q^{ps} - 1}{q^s - 1}\right)$ , we conclude that

$$W(T)W(q^{ps} - 1) < q^{\frac{(p-1)s}{3} + \frac{s}{2} - 1}.$$

Therefore, from Inequality (10) we obtain

$$p\left(\frac{s}{2} - 1\right) < \frac{s(p-1)}{3} + \frac{s}{2} - 1,$$

hence  $s < 6$ , a contradiction with  $s \geq 6$ .

For the 19 pairs in Theorem 4.2 satisfying  $q \geq 3$  odd and  $s \geq 6$ , we directly verify Inequality (8) either by direct computation or using the bound

$$W(q^{ps} - 1) < q^{\frac{ps}{\log(\log(q^{ps} - 1))}}.$$

*Case 2.  $q$  even,  $q \geq 8$ .* Since  $s$  is odd, we have  $s \geq 7$ . First, suppose that either  $s \geq 11$  or  $q \geq 32$ . Therefore, according to Lemma A.10, we have

$$W(q^s + 1) < q^{0.352(s+0.05)}.$$

Under the assumption  $s \geq 11$  or  $q \geq 32$  even, from Theorem 4.2, we know that

$$W(T)W(q^s - 1) < q^{\frac{s}{2}-1}.$$

Since  $W(q^{2s} - 1) = W(q^s - 1)W(q^s + 1)$ , combining these inequalities and Inequality (10) we obtain

$$\frac{s}{2} - 1 + 0.352(s + 0.05) > s - 2,$$

and then  $s < 7$ , a contradiction.

For the remaining cases  $(q, n) = (8, 7), (8, 9), (16, 7)$  and  $(16, 9)$  we verify directly that Inequality (8) is satisfied.

*Case 3.  $q = 4$ .* First, suppose that  $s \geq 15$  is odd. Hence  $2^s + 1 \leq 2^{s+0.05}$  and  $\frac{1.1}{\log \log(2^s + 1)} < 0.428$ . Therefore, from Lemma A.9 we have

$$W(2^s + 1) \leq d(2^s + 1) < (2^s + 1)^{\frac{1.1}{\log \log(2^s + 1)}} < 2^{0.428(s+0.05)} = 4^{0.214(s+0.05)}.$$

According to Lemmas A.2, A.3 and A.10, for  $s \geq 19$  odd we have  $W(T) \leq 4^{\frac{s+9}{6}}$ ,  $W(2^s - 1) < 4^{\frac{s}{14}+1}$  and  $W(4^s + 1) < 4^{\frac{s}{4.05}}$ , respectively.

Since  $W(2^{2s} - 1) = W(2^s + 1)W(2^s - 1)$ , we combine all the previous inequalities and obtain

$$W(T)W(4^{2s} - 1) < 4^{0.6991s + 2.5107},$$

for  $s \geq 19$ . Therefore, from Inequality (10) we obtain

$$0.6991s + 2.5107 > s - 2$$

hence  $s \leq 14$ , a contradiction with  $s \geq 15$ . For the remaining cases  $s = 7, 9, 11, 13$  we verify directly that Inequality (8) is satisfied.

*Case 4.*  $q = 2$ . First, suppose that  $s \geq 24$ . Hence  $2^s + 1 < 2^{s+1}$  and  $\frac{1.1}{\log \log(2^s + 1)} < 0.392$  and then, according to Lemma A.9

$$W(2^s + 1) \leq d(2^s + 1) < (2^s + 1)^{\frac{1.1}{\log \log(2^s + 1)}} < 2^{0.392(s+1)}.$$

Also, from Lemma A.3 we have  $W(2^s - 1) < 2^{\frac{s}{2} + 2}$  and, from Lemma A.1, we have  $W(T) \leq 2^{\frac{s+9}{5}}$ . Combining these inequalities with Inequality (10) we obtain:

$$\frac{12s + 133}{35} + 0.392(s + 1) > s - 2,$$

hence  $s < 24$ , a contradiction. For the remaining cases  $7 \leq s \leq 23$  odd we verify directly that, with the exception of the case  $s = 15$ , Inequality (8) is satisfied.  $\square$

For the exceptional case  $q = 2$  and  $s = 15$ , we directly find a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_{q^{30}}$  using the program in Appendix B. In particular, from Corollaries 5.9 and 5.10, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 5.11.** *Suppose that  $n = ps$ , where  $s \geq 3$  and  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ . Then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ .*

### 5.3. Cases $n = p$ and $n = 2p$

Here we study the existence of primitive 1-normals in the case  $n = p$  or  $n = 2p$ , where  $p$  is odd; we combine estimations that we have used in the previous cases with some combinatorial arguments. We summarize the results in the following.

**Proposition 5.12.** 1. *For  $n = p$ , if there is no primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then*

$$\frac{q^{p-2}}{\theta(q^p - 1)} \geq q^{p-1} - q^{p/2}W(q^p - 1), \quad (11)$$

*which is violated except for  $q = p = 5$ .*

2. *For  $n = 2p$ , if there is no primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then*

$$\frac{q^{2p-1}}{(q-1) \cdot \theta(q^{2p} - 1)} \geq q^{2p-1} - 2q^pW(q^{2p} - 1), \quad (12)$$

*which is violated except for  $q = p = 3$ .*

*Proof.* We split the proof into cases

*Case  $n = p$ .* For the case  $p = n = 3$ , we have already shown the existence of primitive 1-normals in degree 3 extensions in Corollary 4.8. Suppose now that  $p \geq 5$ .

For any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^p}$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{q^p/q}(\beta) = 0$ ,  $m_{\sigma,\beta}(x) = (x-1)^d$  for some  $0 \leq d \leq p-1$ . Moreover, an element  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^p}$  is 1-normal over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if and only if  $m_{\sigma,\beta}(x) = (x-1)^{p-1}$ . Hence, if there is no primitive 1-normal element, any primitive element  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^p}$  of trace zero satisfies  $(x-1)^{p-2} \circ \beta = 0$ . Therefore, if  $N$  denotes the number of primitive elements of trace zero in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we would have  $N \leq q^{p-2}$ . But, according to Equation (4) of Proposition 3.2 with  $n = p, m = 1$  and  $f(x) = 1$ , we have Inequality (11):

$$\frac{q^{p-2}}{\theta(q^p-1)} \geq \frac{N}{\theta(q^p-1)} \geq q^{p-1} - q^{p/2}W(q^p-1).$$

Since  $q$  is a power of  $p$ ,  $\frac{q^p-1}{q-1}$  and  $q-1$  are coprime, hence  $W(q^p-1) = W\left(\frac{q^p-1}{q-1}\right)W(q-1)$ . We have the trivial bound  $W(q-1) \leq d(q-1) < 2q^{1/2}$  and, according to Proposition A.8, for  $p \geq 5$  (with the exception of  $q = 5$ ) the following holds

$$W\left(\frac{q^p-1}{q-1}\right) \leq q^{\frac{p-1}{2+\log_2 p}} \leq q^{\frac{p-1}{2+\log_2 5}} < q^{\frac{p-1}{4.3}},$$

hence  $W(q^p-1) \leq 2 \cdot q^{\frac{2p+2.3}{8.6}}$ . Therefore, from Inequalities (11) and (A.1) we have the following:

$$3.6 \log q \cdot q^{p-2} \geq \frac{N}{\theta(q^p-1)} > q^{p-1} - q^{p/2}W(q^p-1) \geq q^{p-1} - 2q^{\frac{6.3p+2.3}{8.6}},$$

hence

$$3.6 \log q > q - 2q^{\frac{19.5-2.3p}{8.6}}. \quad (13)$$

If  $p = 5$ , we get

$$q - 2q^{\frac{4}{4.3}} < 3.6 \log q,$$

which is true only if  $q = 5^t$  with  $t \leq 6$ .

If  $p = 7$ , we get

$$q - 2q^{\frac{1.7}{4.3}} < 3.6 \log q,$$

which is true only if  $q = 7$ .

If  $p \geq 11$ , we have  $q - 2q^{\frac{19.5-2.3p}{8.6}} > q-2$  and from Inequality (13) we conclude that

$$q - 2 < 3.6 \log q,$$

which is true only for  $q < 11$ , a contradiction with  $q \geq p \geq 11$ .

For the remaining cases  $q = 5^t, t = 1, \dots, 6$  and  $p = q = 7$  we go back to Inequality (11); by a direct verification we see that, with the exception of the case  $q = 5$  and  $t = 1$ , Inequality (11) does not hold.

*Case:  $n = 2p$ .* Notice that any element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}$  which is  $(x+1)$ -free over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and has trace zero satisfies  $m_{\sigma,\alpha}(x) = (x-1)^d(x+1)^p$  for some  $0 \leq d \leq p-1$ . Also, notice an  $(x+1)$ -free element is 1-normal if and only if  $m_{\sigma,\alpha}(x) = (x-1)^{p-1}(x+1)^p$ . Hence, if there is no primitive 1-normal element, any primitive element  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2p}}$  of trace-0 and  $(x+1)$ -free satisfies  $(x+1)^p(x-1)^{p-2} \circ \beta = 0$ . Therefore, if  $N$  denotes the number of primitive elements of trace zero and  $(x+1)$ -free in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , we have  $N \leq q^{2p-2}$ . But, according to Equation (4) of Proposition 3.2 with  $n = 2p, m = 1$  and  $f(x) = x+1$ , we have Inequality (12):

$$\frac{q^{2p-1}}{(q-1) \cdot \theta(q^{2p}-1)} \geq \frac{N}{\theta(q^{2p}-1)\Theta(x+1)} \geq q^{2p-1} - 2q^p W(q^{2p}-1).$$

Since  $q$  is a power of  $p$ ,  $\frac{q^{2p}-1}{q^2-1}$  and  $q^2-1$  are coprime, hence  $W(q^{2p}-1) = W\left(\frac{q^{2p}-1}{q^2-1}\right) W(q^2-1)$ . Also, since  $q$  is odd,  $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  and we have the trivial bound

$$W(q^2-1) \leq 2W\left(\frac{q^2-1}{8}\right) \leq 2 \cdot d\left(\frac{q^2-1}{8}\right) \leq \sqrt{2}q.$$

We first consider the case  $p = 3$ : according to Proposition A.8, for  $q \geq 3^5$ , the following holds

$$W\left(\frac{q^6-1}{q^2-1}\right) < q^{0.92},$$

hence  $W(q^6-1) < \sqrt{2}q^{1.92}$ . According to Inequality (A.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{\theta(q^6-1)} < 3.6 \log q + 1.25$$

Therefore, from Inequality (12), we obtain

$$(3.6 \log q + 1.25) \frac{q^5}{q-1} > q^5 - 2\sqrt{2}q^{4.92},$$

hence

$$(3.6 \log q + 1.25) \frac{q}{q-1} > q - 2\sqrt{2}q^{0.92},$$

and this is only true for  $q = 3^t$  with  $t \leq 11$ .

For  $p \geq 5$ , according to Proposition A.8, the following holds

$$W\left(\frac{q^{2p}-1}{q^2-1}\right) \leq q^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2+\log_2 p}} \leq q^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2+\log_2 5}} < q^{\frac{2(p-1)}{4.3}},$$

hence  $W(q^{2p}-1) < \sqrt{2}q^{\frac{2p+2.3}{4.3}}$ .

According to Inequality (A.1) with  $p \geq 5$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\theta(q^{2p}-1)} < 3.6 \log q + 1.25.$$

Therefore, from Inequality (12) we obtain

$$(3.6 \log q + 1.25) \frac{q^{2p-1}}{q-1} \geq q^{2p-1} - 2\sqrt{2}q^{\frac{6.3p+2.3}{4.3}},$$

hence

$$(3.6 \log q + 1.25) \frac{q}{q-1} \geq q - 2\sqrt{2}q^{\frac{10.9-2.3p}{4.3}} \geq q - 2\sqrt{2}q^{\frac{-0.6}{4.3}}.$$

A simple verification shows that this is only true for  $q \leq 13$ .

For the remaining cases  $q = 3^t$  for  $t \leq 11$  and  $q = 5, 7, 11, 13$  we go back to Inequality (12); by a direct verification we see that, with the exception of the case  $q = 3$  and  $t = 1$ , Inequality (12) does not hold.  $\square$

Proposition 5.12 shows that there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  when  $n = p$  or  $n = 2p$ ,  $p$  odd, and excludes the cases

1.  $p = q = 5$ ,  $n = p$ ;
2.  $q = p = 3$ ,  $n = 2p$ .

For these two cases, we directly find a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by the program in Appendix B.

**Corollary 5.13.** *Suppose that  $q$  is a power of an odd prime  $p$ . For  $n = p$  or  $n = 2p$ , there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

We further recall from Lemma 4.5 that there cannot exist a primitive 1-normal for  $n = p = 2$ , and the case  $n = 2p = 4 = p^2$  is covered by Proposition 5.3.

## 6. Conclusion

We recall the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.3. (The Primitive 1-Normal Theorem)** *Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $n \geq 3$  be a positive integer. Then there exists a primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Furthermore, when  $n = 2$  there is no primitive 1-normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

Theorem 1.3 provides a complete answer to [7, Problem 6.2]. The methods here follow along a similar path to [7], but rely on a variety of technical reductions and further estimations provided in Appendix A. When the estimations are not powerful enough to give a complete solution, we turn to direct calculations and computer searches using the *Sage* computer algebra system [11]; see Appendix B.

When  $n - 2 \geq k \geq 1$ ,  $k$ -normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  may not exist. Determining the values of  $k$  for which  $k$ -normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  do exist requires knowledge of the irreducible factorization of  $x^n - 1$ . On one extreme, when  $n$  is prime and  $q$  is primitive modulo  $n$ , then  $k = 0, 1, n - 1, n$  are the only

values for which there exist  $k$ -normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and in this case primitive  $k$ -normals exist if and only if  $k = 0, 1$ . On the other extreme, if  $n$  is a power of the characteristic  $p = \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , it can be shown (for example, using primary decompositions) that  $k$ -normal elements exist for all  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , and it is unknown whether primitive  $k$ -normals exist for  $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ . Problem 6.3 of [7] asks for a complete solution for which  $q, k, n$  there exists primitive  $k$ -normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

We remark that  $k$ -normality is an additive analogy to multiplicative order of a finite field element. A similar analysis as the in this paper could be performed for when an element is simultaneously  $k$ -normal (say, for  $k = 0, 1$ ) and has “high-order”; for example, if its order is  $(q^n - 1)/p_1$ , where  $p_1$  is the largest prime dividing  $q^n - 1$ . This is Problem 6.4 of [7]. Of course, it is possible (for Mersenne primes) that  $2^n - 1$  is itself prime, hence yields no prime factors other than itself.

Recognizing restrictions such as noted above, determining the existence of elements of various  $k$ -normality and orders may provide interesting analytic work, but these questions are somewhat less natural as they do not apply universally for all finite fields.

### Acknowledgments

This work was conducted during a scholarship of the first author, supported by the Program CAPES-PDSE (process - 88881.134747/2016-01), at Carleton University.

### References

- [1] M. Alizadeh, *Some notes on the  $k$ -normal elements and  $k$ -normal polynomials over finite fields*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications, **16** (2017), 11 pages.
- [2] J. Brillhart et al, *Factorizations of  $b^n$ ,  $b = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12$  up to High Powers*, Contemporary Mathematics vol. 22, American Mathematical Society (Electronic), 2002.
- [3] S.D. Cohen, S. Huczynska. *The primitive normal basis theorem - without a computer*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 67 (2003) 41-56.
- [4] S. D. Cohen, D. Hachenberger, *Primitive normal bases with prescribed trace*, Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing 9 (1999) 383403.
- [5] S. D. Cohen. *Pairs of primitive elements in fields of even order*, Finite Fields Appl. 28 (2014) 22-42.
- [6] S. D. Cohen, *Primitive elements and polynomials with arbitrary trace*. Discrete Mathematics, **83** (1990), 1-7.

- [7] S. Huczynska, G.L. Mullen, D. Panario, and D. Thomson, *Existence and properties of  $k$ -normal elements over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. 24 (2013) 170-183.
- [8] H.W. Lenstra, R. Schoof, *Primitive normal bases for finite fields*, Mathematics of Computation 48 (1987) 217-231.
- [9] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields: Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 20, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [10] J.L. Nicolas, G. Robin, *Majorations explicites pour le nombre de diviseurs de  $N$* , Canad. Math. Bull. 26 (1983) 485-492.
- [11] SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System, The Sage Developers, 2017, <http://www.sagemath.org>.

#### A. Appendix: Estimations for $W(q^n - 1)$ and $W\left(\frac{x^n-1}{x-1}\right)$

In this Appendix, we present a number of general estimations which we will use to bound the quantities  $W(q^n - 1)$  and  $W(f)$  appearing in Equation (4). When no number theoretic argument can be effective to bound the number  $W(q^n - 1)$ , we just use the bound  $W(q^n - 1) \leq d(q^n - 1)$ , where  $d(m)$  denotes the number of divisors of  $m$ ; frequently we use the bound  $d(m) < 2\sqrt{m}$  and, as we will see, we have a better estimation for  $m$  large.

We start with some estimations in even characteristic.

**Lemma A.1.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  is odd and  $T(x) := \frac{x^n-1}{x-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ . Then  $W(T) \leq 2^{\frac{n+9}{5}}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $2 \leq i \leq 4$ , let  $s_i$  be the number of irreducible factors of degree  $i$  dividing  $T(x)$ . Since  $n$  is odd,  $T(x)$  has no linear factor. By a direct verification we see that the number of irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of degrees 2, 3 and 4 are 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Hence  $s_2 \leq 1$ ,  $s_3 \leq 2$  and  $s_4 \leq 3$ . In particular, the number of irreducible factors of  $T(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is at most

$$\frac{n-1-2s_2-3s_3-4s_4}{5} + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 = \frac{n-1+3s_2+2s_3+s_4}{5}.$$

Since  $\frac{n-1+3s_2+2s_3+s_4}{5} \leq \frac{n-1+3+4+3}{5} = \frac{n+9}{5}$ , we conclude the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma A.2.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 3$  is odd and  $T(x) := \frac{x^n-1}{x-1} \in \mathbb{F}_4[x]$ . Then  $W(T) \leq 2^{\frac{n+9}{3}}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $s_i$  be the number of irreducible factors of degree  $i$  dividing  $T(x)$ . Since  $n$  is odd,  $T(x)$  has no repeated irreducible factors and it is not divisible by  $x-1$ . Clearly  $T(x)$  is not divisible by  $x$ . By a direct verification we see that the number of irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  of degrees 1 (different

from  $x, x-1$ ) and 2 are 2 and 6, respectively. Hence  $s_1 \leq 2, s_2 \leq 6$ . In particular, the number of irreducible factors of  $T(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_4$  is at most

$$\frac{n-1-s_1-2s_2}{3} + s_1 + s_2 = \frac{n-1+2s_1+s_2}{3}.$$

Since  $\frac{n-1+2s_1+s_2}{3} \leq \frac{n-1+4+6}{3} = \frac{n+9}{3}$ , we conclude the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma A.3.** [5, Lemma 7.5] *Suppose that  $n$  is an odd number. Then*

$$W(2^n - 1) < 2^{\frac{n}{7}+2}.$$

We now introduce some results in arbitrary characteristic.

**Lemma A.4.** *Suppose that  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$ , where  $p \geq 5$  or  $p = 3$  and  $q \geq 27$ . For  $s \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\theta(q^{ps} - 1)^{-1} = \frac{q^{ps} - 1}{\varphi(q^{ps} - 1)} < 3.6 \log q + 1.8 \log s. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

*Proof.* It is well known that

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \leq e^\gamma \log \log n + \frac{3}{\log \log n},$$

for all  $n \geq 3$ , where  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant and  $1.7 < e^\gamma < 1.8$ . Also, since  $e^x \geq 1 + x$  for any  $x \geq 0$ , we have  $\log \log q \leq \log q - 1$ . Hence  $\log \log(q^{ps} - 1) < \log s + \log p + \log \log q \leq \log s + 2 \log q - 1$ .

By the hypothesis,  $\log \log(q^{ps} - 1) \geq \min\{\log \log(5^5 - 1), \log \log(27^3 - 1)\} > 2$ , hence  $\frac{3}{\log \log(q^{ps} - 1)} \leq 1.5$  and so we get the following:

$$\theta(q^{ps} - 1)^{-1} \leq 1.8(\log s + 2 \log q - 1) + 1.5 < 3.6 \log q + 1.8 \log s. \quad \square$$

**Lemma A.5.** *Let  $q$  be a power of an odd prime  $p$  and  $s$  a positive integer such that  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ . Then any prime divisor  $r$  of  $\frac{q^{ps}-1}{q^s-1}$  satisfies  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2p}$ .*

*Proof.* First, notice that

$$\gcd\left(\frac{q^{ps}-1}{q^s-1}, q^s-1\right) = \gcd(p, q^s-1) = 1.$$

Let  $r$  be any prime divisor of  $\frac{q^{ps}-1}{q^s-1}$ . In particular,  $r$  divides  $q^{ps} - 1$  and does not divide  $q^s - 1$ . Hence, if  $l = \text{ord}_r q$  we know that  $l$  divides  $ps$  but does not divide  $s$  and then it follows that  $l$  is divisible by  $p$ . We know that  $l$  divides  $\varphi(r) = r - 1$  and then  $p$  divides  $r - 1$ . Therefore  $r - 1 = dp$  for some positive integer  $d$ . But, since  $p \geq 3$  is odd, we have  $r > 2$  and then  $r$  is an odd prime number. Thus  $d$  is even and then  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2p}$ .  $\square$

In a similar proof of the previous Lemma, we also obtain the following.



**Lemma A.6.** *Let  $q$  be a power of a prime  $p$ . Then any prime  $r$  dividing  $\frac{q^5-1}{q-1}$  such that  $r$  does not divide  $q-1$ , satisfies  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$ .*

Lemmas A.5 and A.6 show that the primes dividing the numbers  $\frac{q^a-1}{q-1}$  (for specific values of  $a$ ) are not too small. In particular, we can obtain effective bounds for expressions of the form  $W\left(\frac{q^a-1}{q-1}\right)$ . We exemplify this in the following two results.

**Lemma A.7.** *Let  $q$  be a power of a prime such that  $q \geq 2^{17}$ . Then*

$$16 \cdot W(q^5 - 1) < q^{1.5}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $q_0$  be the greatest divisor of  $\frac{q^5-1}{q-1}$  that is relatively prime to  $q-1$ . Clearly  $W(q^5-1) \leq W(q_0)W(q-1)$  and  $q_0 \leq \frac{q^5-1}{q-1} = q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 1 < 1.01q^4$  for  $q \geq 2^{17}$ .

Let  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_d$  be the list of distinct primes dividing  $q_0$ . From Lemma A.6 we know that  $r_i \geq 10i + 1$ , hence

$$q_0 \geq r_1 \cdots r_d \geq 10^d \cdot d! + 1 > 10^d \cdot d!.$$

We first suppose that  $d \geq 13$ . It follows from induction that, for  $d \geq 13$ , the inequality  $d! \geq 1.01 \cdot 2^{2.5d}$  holds and we get

$$q^4 > \frac{q_0}{1.01} > \frac{10^d \cdot d!}{1.01} \geq (10 \cdot 2^{2.5})^d > 2^{5.82d},$$

hence  $W(q_0) = 2^d < q^{\frac{4}{5.82}} < q^{0.69}$ . We have the trivial bound  $W(q-1) \leq 2\sqrt{q}$  and then

$$16 \cdot W(q^5 - 1) \leq 16 \cdot W(q_0)W(q-1) < 32 \cdot q^{1.19} < q^{1.5},$$

since  $q \geq 2^{17}$ . For  $d \leq 12$ , we have  $W(q_0) \leq 2^{12}$  and, since  $W(q-1) \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ , we get

$$16 \cdot W(q^5 - 1) \leq 16 \cdot W(q_0)W(q-1) \leq 2^{17} \cdot \sqrt{q} \leq q^{1.5},$$

since  $q \geq 2^{17}$ . □

We use some similar ideas from the proof of the previous Lemma and obtain general effective bounds for quantities  $W\left(\frac{q^a-1}{q-1}\right)$ .

**Proposition A.8.** *Let  $q$  be a power of an odd prime  $p$  and  $s$  be a positive integer such that  $\gcd(p, s) = 1$ .*

1. *If  $p \geq 5$ , with the exception of the case  $(p, q, s) = (5, 5, 1)$ , the following holds*

$$W\left(\frac{q^{ps} - 1}{q^s - 1}\right) \leq q^{\frac{(p-1)s}{2 + \log_2 p}}.$$

2. For  $s \geq 6$  and  $\gcd(s, 3) = 1$ ,

$$W\left(\frac{3^{3s} - 1}{3^s - 1}\right) \leq 3^{\frac{2s}{3}}.$$

3. For  $p = 3$  and  $q \geq 3^5$ ,

$$W\left(\frac{q^6 - 1}{q^2 - 1}\right) \leq q^{0.92}.$$

*Proof.* We analyze the items in the statement by cases.

*Case 1.*  $q \geq 5$ . Let  $r_1 < \dots < r_d$  be the list of primes dividing  $\frac{q^{ps}-1}{q^s-1}$ . It follows from Lemma A.5 that  $r_i \geq 2ip + 1 > 2ip$ . Hence,

$$\frac{q^{ps} - 1}{q^s - 1} \geq r_1 \dots r_d > d! 2^d p^d. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We divide in two cases.

*Case 1a.*  $d \leq 3$ . In this case,  $W\left(\frac{q^{ps}-1}{q^s-1}\right) \leq 8$ .

If  $p = 5$  we have

$$q^{\frac{(5-1)s}{2+\log_2 5}} > q^{0.92s} > 8$$

with the exception of the case  $q = 5, s = 1$ .

If  $p \geq 7$ , note that  $f(x) = \frac{x-1}{2+\log_2 x}$  is an increasing function for  $x \geq 2$ . Since  $f(7) > 1.24$  we have

$$q^{\frac{(p-1)s}{2+\log_2 p}} > q^{1.24s} > 8$$

for all  $q$  a power of a prime  $p \geq 7$ .

*Case 1b.*  $d \geq 4$ . In this case, it follows by induction that  $d! \geq \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^d$ . From Inequality (A.2) we obtain the following:

$$\frac{q^{ps} - 1}{q^s - 1} > \frac{3}{2} (4p)^d = \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{d(2+\log_2 p)}.$$

To finish the proof, just notice that  $W\left(\frac{q^{ps}-1}{q^s-1}\right) = 2^d$  and

$$\frac{q^{ps} - 1}{q^s - 1} = q^{(p-1)s} + \frac{q^{(p-1)s} - 1}{q^s - 1} \leq q^{(p-1)s} + 2q^{(p-2)s} \leq \frac{3}{2} q^{(p-1)s},$$

for  $q \geq 5$ .

*Case 2.*  $s \geq 6$  and  $\gcd(s, 3) = 1$ . Suppose that  $W\left(\frac{3^{3s}-1}{3^s-1}\right) = 2^d$ . Similarly as before, we obtain

$$\frac{3^{3s}-1}{3^s-1} \geq r_1 \dots r_d > 6^d \cdot d!. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

If  $d \leq 6$ , we have  $W\left(\frac{3^{3s}-1}{3^s-1}\right) \leq 64 < 81 \leq 3^{\frac{2s}{3}}$  for  $s \geq 6$ . For  $d \geq 7$ , it follows by induction that  $d! > \left(\frac{10}{3}\right)^d$  and  $20^d > 2 \cdot 2^{3d}$ . Hence, from Inequality (A.3) we obtain

$$2 \cdot 2^{3d} < 20^d = 6^d \cdot \left(\frac{10}{3}\right)^d < 6^d \cdot d! < \frac{3^{3s}-1}{3^s-1}.$$

To finish the proof, just notice that  $\frac{3^{3s}-1}{3^s-1} < 2 \cdot 3^{2s}$ .

*Case 3.*  $p = 3$  and  $q \geq 3^5$ . Suppose that  $W\left(\frac{q^6-1}{q^2-1}\right) = 2^d$ . If  $d \leq 7$ , we have  $W\left(\frac{q^6-1}{q^2-1}\right) \leq 128 < 243^{0.92} \leq q^{0.92}$ . For  $d \geq 8$ , it follows by induction that  $d! > \frac{100}{81}(3.5)^d$ . Also, notice that

$$\frac{q^6-1}{q^2-1} = q^4 + q^2 + 1 < q^4(1 + q^{-2})^2 < \frac{100}{81}q^4,$$

since  $q > 9$ . Similarly to before, we obtain

$$6^d \cdot d! < \frac{q^6-1}{q^2-1}.$$

By a simple calculation we conclude that, for  $d \geq 8$  we have

$$\frac{100}{81}21^d \leq \frac{100}{81}q^4,$$

hence  $2^d \leq q^{\frac{4}{\log_2 21}} < q^{0.92}$  and we are done.  $\square$

We finally present a general (but not sharp) bound for the number  $d(m)$ , which is fair for sufficiently large  $m$ .

**Lemma A.9.** *If  $d(m)$  denotes the number of divisors of  $m$ , then for all  $m \geq 3$ ,*

$$d(m) \leq m^{\frac{1.5379 \log 2}{\log \log m}} < m^{\frac{1.066}{\log \log m}} < m^{\frac{1.1}{\log \log m}}.$$

*Proof.* This inequality is a direct consequence of the result in [10].  $\square$

Combining Lemma A.9 and some previous ideas, we find general bounds for the numbers  $W(q^s + 1)$  in the case that  $q$  is even.

**Lemma A.10.** 1. *Suppose that  $q \geq 8$  is a power of two and  $s \geq 7$  is odd. If either  $s \geq 11$  or  $q \geq 32$  we have*

$$W(q^s + 1) < q^{0.352(s+0.05)}.$$

2. For  $s \geq 17$  odd,

$$W(4^s + 1) < 4^{\frac{s}{4.05}}.$$

*Proof.* 1. Clearly  $W(q^s + 1) < d(q^s + 1)$  and, according to Lemma A.9, we have  $d(q^s + 1) < (q^s + 1)^{\frac{1.1}{\log \log(q^s + 1)}}$ . Note that, since  $q \geq 8$  and  $s \geq 7$ , it follows that  $q^{0.05} > 1.1 > 1 + \frac{1}{q^s}$  and then  $q^s + 1 < q^{s+0.05}$ . Also, if either  $s \geq 11$  or  $q \geq 32$ , under our conditions we have

$$q^s + 1 \geq \min\{8^{11} + 1, 32^7 + 1\} = 2^{33} + 1.$$

To finish the proof, just notice that  $\frac{1.1}{\log \log(2^{33} + 1)} < 0.352$ .

2. Let  $r$  be any prime divisor of  $4^s + 1$ . Hence  $2^{2s} \equiv -1 \pmod{r}$  and, in particular,  $-1$  is a quadratic residue  $\pmod{r}$  and then  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $p_1 < \dots < p_d$  be the prime divisors of  $4^s + 1$ . In particular, we have proved that  $p_i \geq 4i + 1$ . Hence

$$4^s + 1 \geq p_1 \dots p_d \geq 4^d \cdot d! + 1.$$

Notice that if  $d \leq 8$ , then  $W(4^s + 1) \leq 256 = 4^4 < 4^{\frac{s}{4.05}}$  since  $s \geq 17$ . For  $d \geq 9$ , it follows by induction that  $d! > 2^{2.05d}$  and then

$$4^s \geq 4^d \cdot d! > 2^{4.05 \cdot d}.$$

To finish the proof, note that  $W(4^s + 1) = 2^d < 4^{\frac{s}{4.05}}$ . □

## B. Appendix: Pseudocode for search for primitive 1-normals

Algorithm 1 presents a search routine for primitive 1-normal elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . It relies on the original characterization of  $k$ -normal elements from [7].

**Theorem B.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  and let  $g_\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{q^i} x^{n-1-i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ . Then  $\gcd(x^n - 1, g_\alpha(x))$  has degree  $k$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a  $k$ -normal element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

Algorithm 1 proceeds as follows. Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \cong \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(f)$  with  $f$  a primitive polynomial, and let  $g$  be a root of  $f$ . Hence,  $\langle g \rangle = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*$  and  $g^i$  is primitive if and only if  $\gcd(i, q^n - 1) = 1$ . For each primitive element, check its  $k$ -normality using Theorem B.1. If  $k = 1$ , then the resulting element is primitive 1-normal and is returned. The algorithm returns “Fail” if no primitive 1-normal is found after  $q^n - 2$  iterations; that is, if all of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is traversed.

We implemented Algorithm 1 with the Sage computer algebra system [11].

---

**Algorithm 1** Pseudocode for primitive 1-normal element search algorithm

---

**Input:** positive integers  $q, n$

**Returns:** primitive 1-normal element:  $elt \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ ; otherwise “Fail”

$\text{mult\_order} \leftarrow q^n - 1$

$g \leftarrow \text{generator}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^*)$

$\text{cyclo} \leftarrow x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$

**function**  $\text{check\_k\_normal}(v)$

▷ See Theorem B.1

$g_v(x) \leftarrow vx^{n-1} + v^qx^{n-2} + \dots + v^{q^{n-1}}$

$k \leftarrow \deg(\gcd(g_v, \text{cyclo}))$

**return**  $k$

**end function**

$i \leftarrow 1$

**while** True **do**

**if**  $i$  **is**  $\text{mult\_order}$  **then**

▷ No primitive 1-normals found in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$

**return** “Fail”

**end if**

**if**  $\gcd(i, \text{mult\_order}) \neq 1$  **then**

▷ Only check primitive elements

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

**continue**

**end if**

$\text{elt} \leftarrow g^i$

$k \leftarrow \text{check\_k\_normal}(\text{elt})$

**if**  $k$  **is** 1 **then**

**return**  $\text{elt}$

**end if**

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

**end while**

---