

ON A HILBERT SPACE OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

Il'dar Kh. Musin¹

Institute of Mathematics with Computer Centre of Ufa Scientific Centre of
Russian Academy of Sciences, Chernyshevsky str., 112, Ufa, 450077, Russia

Abstract: A weighted Hilbert space F_φ^2 of entire functions of n variables is considered in the paper. The weight function φ is a convex function on \mathbb{C}^n depending on modules of variables and growing at infinity faster than $a\|z\|$ for each $a > 0$. The problem of description of the strong dual of this space in terms of the Laplace transformation of functionals is studied in the article. Under some additional conditions on φ the space of the Laplace transforms of linear continuous functionals on F_φ^2 is described. The proof of the main result is based on new properties of the Young-Fenchel transformation and a result of R.A. Bashmakov, K.P. Isaev and R.S. Yulmukhametov on asymptotics of multidimensional Laplace transform.

Keywords: Hilbert space, Laplace transform, entire functions, convex functions, Young-Fenchel transform.

MSC: 32A15, 32A36

1 Introduction

1.1. On the Problem. Let $H(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the space of entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n , $d\mu_n$ be the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^n and for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (\mathbb{C}^n) $abs\, u := (|u_1|, \dots, |u_n|)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all convex functions g in \mathbb{R}^n such that:

- 1). $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|)$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- 2). the restriction g on $[0, \infty)^n$ is nondecreasing in each variable;
- 3). $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{\|x\|} = +\infty$ ($\|x\|$ is the Euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$).

To each $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we associate the Hilbert space

$$F_\varphi^2 = \{f \in H(\mathbb{C}^n) : \|f\|_\varphi = \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(abs\, z)} d\mu_n(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty\}$$

¹The research was supported by RFBR (15-01-01661) and Program of the Presidium of RAS (project „Complex Analysis and Functional Equations“).

with the scalar product

$$(f, g)_\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-2\varphi(\text{abs } z)} d\mu_n(z), \quad f, g \in F_\varphi^2.$$

If $\varphi(x) = \frac{\|x\|^2}{2}$ then F_φ^2 is the Fock space.

Obviously, for each function $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the function $f_\lambda(z) = e^{\langle \lambda, z \rangle}$ is in F_φ^2 . So for each linear continuous functional S on F_φ^2 the function

$$\hat{S}(\lambda) = S(e^{\langle \lambda, z \rangle}), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

is well defined in \mathbb{C}^n . \hat{S} is called the Laplace transform of the functional S . It is easy to see that \hat{S} is an entire function.

Denote the dual space for F_φ^2 by $(F_\varphi^2)^*$.

The aim of the work is to find the conditions for $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ allowing to describe the space $(\widehat{F_\varphi^2})^*$ of the Laplace transforms of the linear continuous functionals on F_φ^2 as $F_{\varphi^*}^2$.

If $\varphi(x) = \frac{\|x\|^2}{2}$ then $(\widehat{F_\varphi^2})^* = F_\varphi^2$. Indeed, in this case the problem of describing of the space $(F_\varphi^2)^*$ in terms of the Laplace transform of the functionals is easily solved thanks to the classical representation: for each $f \in F_\varphi^2$

$$f(\lambda) = \pi^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z) e^{\langle \lambda, \bar{z} \rangle - \|z\|^2} d\mu_n(z), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

In case of a radial function $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the above mentioned problem was solved by V.V. Napalkov and S.V. Popenov [5], [6].

1.2. Notations and definitions. For $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{C}^n)$ let $\langle u, v \rangle := u_1 v_1 + \dots + u_n v_n$, $\|u\|$ be the Euclidean norm of u .

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ let $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $\tilde{\alpha} := (\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, \alpha_n + 1)$, $z^\alpha := z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$, $D_z^\alpha := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_n^{\alpha_n}}$.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ let

$$c_\alpha(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |z_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |z_n|^{2\alpha_n} e^{-2\varphi(\text{abs } z)} d\mu_n(z).$$

For a function u with a domain containing the set $(0, \infty)^n$ define a function $u[e]$ on \mathbb{R}^n by the rule:

$$u[e](x) = u(e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n}), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of all continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the condition $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{\|x\|} = +\infty$.

The Young-Fenchel conjugate of a function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is the function $u^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ defined by

$$u^*(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\langle x, y \rangle - u(y)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If E is a convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n , h is a convex function on E , $\tilde{E} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : h^*(y) < \infty\}$, $p > 0$, then $D_y^h(p) := \{x \in E : h(x) + h^*(y) - \langle x, y \rangle \leq p\}$, $y \in \tilde{E}$.

Denote the n -dimensional volume of a set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $V(D)$.

1.3. Main result.

Theorem. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for some $K > 0$*

$$\frac{1}{K} \leq V(D_\alpha^{\varphi[e]}(1/2))V(D_\alpha^{\varphi^*[e]}(1/2)) \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \leq K, \quad \forall \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

Then the mapping $\mathcal{L} : S \in (F_\varphi^2)^ \rightarrow \hat{S}$ establishes an isomorphism between the spaces $(F_\varphi^2)^*$ and $F_{\varphi^*}^2$.*

The proof of the Theorem in Subsection 3.2 is based on new properties of the Young-Fenchel transform (Subsection 2.1) and one result on the asymptotics of the multidimensional Laplace integral in [9] (Subsection 2.2).

2 Auxiliary results

2.1. On some properties of the Young-Fenchel transform. It is easy to verify that the following statement holds.

Proposition 1. *Let $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $(u[e])^*(x) > -\infty$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(u[e])^*(x) = +\infty$ for $x \notin [0, \infty)^n$, $(u[e])^*(x) < +\infty$ for $x \in [0, \infty)^n$.*

We only note that the last statement of Proposition 1 is implied, for instance, by the fact that for each $M > 0$ there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$(u[e])^*(x) \leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n: x_j \neq 0} (x_j \ln \frac{x_j}{M} - x_j) + A, \quad x \in [0, \infty)^n.$$

Proposition 2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow \infty, \\ x \in [0, \infty)^n}} \frac{(u[e])^*(x)}{\|x\|} = +\infty.$$

Proof. For any $x \in [0, \infty)^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have that

$$(u[e])^*(x) \geq \langle x, t \rangle - (u[e])(t).$$

Using this inequality we obtain that for each $M > 0$

$$(u[e])^*(x) \geq M\|x\| - u[e]\left(\frac{Mx}{\|x\|}\right), \quad x \in [0, \infty)^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

From this the assertion follows. \square

The next three statements were proved in [1] (Lemma 6, Proposition 3, Proposition 4).

Proposition 3. *Let $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n: \\ x_j \neq 0}} (x_j \ln x_j - x_j), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n \setminus \{0\};$$

$$(u[e])^*(0) + (u^*[e])^*(0) \leq 0.$$

Proposition 4. *Let $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a convex function. Then*

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \ln x_j - x_j), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)^n.$$

Proposition 5. *Let $u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n: \\ x_j \neq 0}} (x_j \ln x_j - x_j), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n \setminus \{0\};$$

$$(u[e])^*(0) + (u^*[e])^*(0) = 0.$$

Propositions 4 and 5 can be strengthened using the results by D. Azagra [2], [3]. He proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open convex set. For each convex function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a real analytic convex function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$f(x) - \varepsilon \leq g(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in U.$$

Thus, the following corollary holds [3].

Corollary A. *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open convex set. For each convex function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an infinitely differentiable convex function $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) - \varepsilon \leq g(x) \leq f(x)$, $x \in U$.*

Using Proposition 4 and Corollary A we easily confirm the following statement.

Proposition 6. *Let $u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a convex function. Then*

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \ln x_j - x_j), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)^n.$$

Moreover, the following proposition is true.

Proposition 7. *Let $u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a convex function. Then*

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n: \\ x_j \neq 0}} (x_j \ln x_j - x_j), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, \infty)^n \setminus \{0\};$$

$$(u[e])^*(0) + (u^*[e])^*(0) = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 6 our statement is true for $x \in (0, \infty)^n$. Now let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to the boundary of $[0, \infty)^n$ and $x \neq 0$. For the sake of simplicity we consider the case when the first k ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$) coordinates of x are positive and all other are equal to zero. For all $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have that

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k x_j (\xi_j + \mu_j) - (u(e^{\xi_1}, \dots, e^{\xi_n}) + u^*(e^{\mu_1}, \dots, e^{\mu_n})).$$

From this inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^k x_j (\xi_j + \mu_j) - \\ &\quad - (u(e^{\xi_1}, \dots, e^{\xi_k}, 0, \dots, 0) + u^*(e^{\mu_1}, \dots, e^{\mu_k}, 0, \dots, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Define a function u_k on \mathbb{R}^k by the rule: $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow u(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, 0, \dots, 0)$. Note that for each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k, \check{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$u^*(\check{t}) = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} (\langle \check{t}, v \rangle - u(v)) \leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k t_j v_j - u(v_1, \dots, v_k, 0, \dots, 0) \right) = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^k} (\langle t, v \rangle - u_k(v)) = u_k^*(t).$$

Using this and the above inequality we have that for $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and all $\tilde{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k), \tilde{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) \geq \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi} \rangle - u_k[e](\tilde{\xi}) + \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{\mu} \rangle - u_k^*[e](\tilde{\mu}).$$

Hence,

$$(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) \geq (u_k[e])^*(\tilde{x}) + (u_k^*[e])^*(\tilde{x}).$$

Since by the Proposition 6

$$(u_k[e])^*(\tilde{x}) + (u_k^*[e])^*(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^k (x_j \ln x_j - x_j),$$

then $(u[e])^*(x) + (u^*[e])^*(x) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k (x_j \ln x_j - x_j)$. From this and Proposition 3 the first statement of the Proposition follows.

If $x = 0$ then $(u[e])^*(0) = -\inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} u[e](\xi) = -u(0)$, $(u^*[e])^*(0) = -\inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} u^*[e](\xi) = -u^*(0) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} u(\xi) = u(0)$. Therefore, $(u[e])^*(0) + (u^*[e])^*(0) = 0$. \square

2.2. Asymptotics of multidimensional Laplace integral. In [9] there was established the following theorem.

Theorem B. *Let E be a convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n , h be a convex function on E , $\tilde{E} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : h^*(y) < \infty\}$ and the interior of \tilde{E} is not empty. Let*

$$D^h = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : h(x) + h^*(y) - \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1\},$$

$$D_y^h = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x, y) \in D\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then

$$e^{-1} V(D_y^h) e^{h^*(y)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\langle x, y \rangle - h(x)} dx \leq (1 + n!) V(D_y^h) e^{h^*(y)}, \quad y \in \tilde{E}.$$

Here it is assumed that $h(x) = +\infty$ for $x \notin E$.

3 Description of the dual space

3.1. Auxiliary lemmas. In the proof of the Theorem the following four lemmas will be useful.

Lemma 1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the system $\{\exp\langle\lambda, z\rangle\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n}$ is complete in F_φ^2 .*

Proof. Let S be a linear continuous functional on the space F_φ^2 such that $S(e^{\langle\lambda, z\rangle}) = 0$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Since for each multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ we have that $(D_\lambda^\alpha \hat{S})(\lambda) = S(z^\alpha e^{\langle z, \lambda \rangle})$, then from this equality we get that $S(z^\alpha) = 0$. Since the function $\varphi(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|)$ is convex on \mathbb{C}^n then from the result by B.A. Taylor on the weight approximation of entire functions by polynomials [4, Theorem 2] it follows that the polynomials are dense in F_φ^2 . Hence, S is the zero functional. By the known corollary of Khan-Banach theorem we obtain that the system $\{\exp\langle\lambda, z\rangle\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n}$ is complete in F_φ^2 . \square

Note that the system $\{z^\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \geq 0}$ is orthogonal in F_φ^2 . Besides that it is complete in F_φ^2 . Therefore, the system $\{z^\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \geq 0}$ is a basis in F_φ^2 .

Lemma 2. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$c_\alpha(\varphi) \geq \frac{\pi^n}{\tilde{\alpha}_1 \cdots \tilde{\alpha}_n} e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

In particular, for each $M > 0$ there exists a constant $C_M > 0$ such that $c_\alpha(\varphi) \geq C_M M^{|\alpha|}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and each positive numbers R_1, \dots, R_n we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha(\varphi) &= (2\pi)^n \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty r_1^{2\alpha_1+1} \cdots r_n^{2\alpha_n+1} e^{-2\varphi(r_1, \dots, r_n)} dr_1 \cdots dr_n \geq \\ &\geq (2\pi)^n \int_0^{R_1} \cdots \int_0^{R_n} r_1^{2\alpha_1+1} \cdots r_n^{2\alpha_n+1} e^{-2\varphi(R_1, \dots, R_n)} dr_1 \cdots dr_n = \\ &= (2\pi)^n \frac{R_1^{2\alpha_1+2}}{2\alpha_1+2} \cdots \frac{R_n^{2\alpha_n+2}}{2\alpha_n+2} e^{-2\varphi(R_1, \dots, R_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$c_\alpha(\varphi) \geq \frac{\pi^n}{\tilde{\alpha}_1 \cdots \tilde{\alpha}_n} e^{\langle 2\tilde{\alpha}, t \rangle - 2\varphi[e](t)}.$$

Hence,

$$c_\alpha(\varphi) \geq \frac{\pi^n}{\tilde{\alpha}_1 \cdots \tilde{\alpha}_n} e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})}.$$

Now using Proposition 2 we get the second statement of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3. Assume that an entire in \mathbb{C}^n function $f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ is in F_φ^2 .

Then $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |a_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi) < \infty$ and $\|f\|_\varphi^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |a_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi)$.

Vice versa, let the sequence $(a_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \geq 0}$ of complex numbers a_α be such that the series $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |a_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi)$ converges. Then $f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha \in H(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Moreover, $f \in F_\varphi^2$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ be an entire function in \mathbb{C}^n from F_φ^2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_\varphi^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 e^{-2\varphi(\text{abs } z)} d\lambda(z) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha \sum_{|\beta| \geq 0} \bar{a}_\beta \bar{z}^\beta e^{-2\varphi(\text{abs } z)} d\mu_n(z) = \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |a_\alpha|^2 \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |z_1|^{2\alpha_1} \dots |z_n|^{2\alpha_n} e^{-2\varphi(\text{abs } z)} d\mu_n(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |a_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Vice versa, the convergence of the series $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |a_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi)$ and Lemma 2 implies that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|a_\alpha| \leq c_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{|\alpha|}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. This means that $f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ is an entire function

on \mathbb{C}^n . It is easy to see that $f \in F_\varphi^2$. \square

Lemma 4. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\frac{(2\pi)^n}{e} V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2)) e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})} \leq c_\alpha(\varphi) \leq (2\pi)^n (1+n!) V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2)) e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha(\varphi) &= (2\pi)^n \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty r_1^{2\alpha_1+1} \dots r_n^{2\alpha_n+1} e^{-2\varphi(r_1, \dots, r_n)} dr_1 \dots dr_n = \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int_{-\infty}^\infty \dots \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{(2\alpha_1+2)t_1 + \dots + (2\alpha_n+2)t_n - 2\varphi[e](t_1, \dots, t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$c_\alpha(\varphi) = (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(2\tilde{\alpha}, t) - 2\varphi[e](t)} dt.$$

By Theorem B we have that

$$(2\pi)^n e^{-1} V(D_{2\tilde{\alpha}}^{2\varphi[e]}) e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})} \leq c_\alpha(\varphi) \leq (2\pi)^n (1+n!) V(D_{2\tilde{\alpha}}^{2\varphi[e]}) e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})}$$

Since $D_{2\tilde{\alpha}}^{2\varphi[e]} = D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ then from the last inequality our assertion follows. \square

3.2. Proof of the Theorem. Let us show that the mapping \mathcal{L} acts from $(F_\varphi^2)^*$ into $F_{\varphi^*}^2$. Let $S \in (F_\varphi^2)^*$. There exists a function $g_S \in F_\varphi^2$ such that $S(f) = (f, g_S)_\varphi$, that is,

$$S(f) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z) \overline{g_S(z)} e^{-2\varphi(\text{abs } z)} d\mu_n(z), \quad f \in F_\varphi^2.$$

At that, $\|S\| = \|g_S\|_\varphi$. If $g_S(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} b_\alpha z^\alpha$ then $\hat{S}(\lambda) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{c_\alpha(\varphi) \overline{b_\alpha}}{\alpha!} \lambda^\alpha$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Hence,

$$\|\hat{S}\|_{\varphi^*}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \left(\frac{c_\alpha(\varphi) |b_\alpha|}{\alpha!} \right)^2 c_\alpha(\varphi^*). \quad (1)$$

By Lemma 3

$$c_\alpha(\varphi) \leq (2\pi)^n (1+n!) V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2)) e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})},$$

$$c_\alpha(\varphi^*) \leq (2\pi)^n (1+n!) V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi^*[e]}(1/2)) e^{2(\varphi^*[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha(\varphi) c_\alpha(\varphi^*) &\leq \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{2n} (1+n!)^2 V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2)) V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi^*[e]}(1/2)) e^{2((\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}) + (\varphi^*[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}))} \end{aligned}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. According to Proposition 6 for each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ we have that

$$(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}) + (\varphi^*[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}) = \sum_{j=1}^n ((\alpha_j + 1) \ln(\alpha_j + 1) - (\alpha_j + 1)).$$

Since by the Stirling's formula [10, P. 792] for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have that

$$(m+1) \ln(m+1) - (m+1) = \ln \Gamma(m+1) - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \ln(m+1) - \frac{\theta}{12(m+1)},$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$ depends on m , then

$$(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}) + (\varphi^*[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}) =$$

$$= -n \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + \sum_{j=1}^n (\ln \Gamma(\alpha_j + 1) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\alpha_j + 1) - \frac{\theta_j}{12(\alpha_j + 1)}),$$

where $\theta_j \in (0, 1)$ depends on α_j . Then

$$\frac{e^{2((\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}) + (\varphi^*[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}))}}{\alpha!^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (\alpha_j + 1) e^{-\frac{\theta_j}{6(\alpha_j + 1)}}. \quad (2)$$

Thus,

$$\frac{c_\alpha(\varphi)c_\alpha(\varphi^*)}{\alpha!^2} \leq (2\pi)^n (1 + n!)^2 V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2)) V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi^*[e]}(1/2)) \prod_{j=1}^n \tilde{\alpha}_j.$$

Using the condition on φ we get that

$$\frac{c_\alpha(\varphi)c_\alpha(\varphi^*)}{\alpha!^2} \leq (2\pi)^n (1 + n!)^2 K$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. From this and (1) we obtain that

$$\|\hat{S}\|_{\varphi^*}^2 \leq M_1 \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} c_\alpha(\varphi) |b_\alpha|^2 = M_1 \|g_S\|_\varphi^2 = M_1 \|S\|^2,$$

where $M_1 = (2\pi)^n (1 + n!)^2 K$. Hence, $\hat{S} \in F_{\varphi^*}^2$. Moreover, the latter estimate implies that the linear mapping \mathcal{L} acts continuously from $(F_\varphi^2)^*$ into $F_{\varphi^*}^2$.

Note that the mapping \mathcal{L} is injective since by Lemma 1 the system $\{\exp\langle \lambda, z \rangle\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n}$ is complete in F_φ^2 .

Let us show that the mapping \mathcal{L} acts from $(F_\varphi^2)^*$ onto $F_{\varphi^*}^2$. Let $G \in F_{\varphi^*}^2$. Using the representation of an entire function G by the Taylor series $G(\lambda) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} d_\alpha \lambda^\alpha$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have $\|G\|_{\varphi^*}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |d_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi^*)$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$

define the numbers $g_\alpha = \frac{\overline{d_\alpha} \alpha!}{c_\alpha(\varphi)}$ and consider the convergence of the series $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |g_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi)$. We have that

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |g_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \left| \frac{\overline{d_\alpha} \alpha!}{c_\alpha(\varphi)} \right|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} \frac{\alpha!^2}{c_\alpha(\varphi) c_\alpha(\varphi^*)} |d_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi^*).$$

By Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha(\varphi) &\geq e^{-1} V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2)) e^{2(\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})}, \\ c_\alpha(\varphi^*) &\geq e^{-1} V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi^*[e]}(1/2)) e^{2(\varphi^*[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})} \end{aligned}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Therefore,

$$c_\alpha(\varphi)c_\alpha(\varphi^*) \geq e^{-2}V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2))V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi^*[e]}(1/2))e^{2((\varphi[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha})+(\varphi^*[e])^*(\tilde{\alpha}))}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. From this and the equality (2) we have that

$$\frac{\alpha!^2}{c_\alpha(\varphi)c_\alpha(\varphi^*)} \leq \frac{e^2(2e\pi)^n}{V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi[e]}(1/2))V(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\varphi^*[e]}(1/2)) \prod_{j=1}^n (\alpha_j + 1)}$$

for each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Using the condition on φ we obtain that

$$\frac{\alpha!^2}{c_\alpha(\varphi)c_\alpha(\varphi^*)} \leq Ke^2(2e\pi)^n, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

Therefore, for the considered series we have that

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |g_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi) \leq Ke^2(2e\pi)^n \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |d_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi^*) = Ke^2(2e\pi)^n \|G\|_{\varphi^*}^2. \quad (3)$$

Thus, the series $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} |g_\alpha|^2 c_\alpha(\varphi)$ converges. But then by Lemma 3 the function

$$g(\lambda) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} g_\alpha \lambda^\alpha, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

is entire and by (3) g belongs to F_φ^2 and

$$\|g\|_\varphi^2 \leq Ke^2(2e\pi)^n \|G\|_{\varphi^*}^2. \quad (4)$$

Define a functional S on F_φ^2 by the formula

$$S(f) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-2\varphi(absz)} d\mu_n(z), \quad f \in F_\varphi^2.$$

Obviously, S is a linear continuous functional on F_φ^2 and $\hat{S} = G$. Since $\|S\| = \|g\|_\varphi$ then estimate (4) shows that the inverse mapping \mathcal{L}^{-1} is continuous. Thus, \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism between the spaces $(F_\varphi^2)^*$ and $F_{\varphi^*}^2$. \square

References

- [1] Il'dar Kh. Musin, *On a space of entire functions rapidly decreasing on R^n and its Fourier transform*, Concrete Operators, **2**:1 (2015), 120-138
- [2] Daniel Azagra, *Global and fine approximation of convex functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **107**:4 (2013), 799-824
- [3] D. Azagra, *Global approximation of convex functions*, arXiv:1112.1042v7.
- [4] B.A. Taylor, *On weighted polynomial approximation of entire functions*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **36**:2 (1971), 523-539
- [5] V.V. Napalkov, S.V. Popenov, *On the Laplace transform of functionals in the Bergman weight space of entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk., **352**:5 (1997), 595-597; [Dokl. Math., **55**:1 (1997), 110-112]
- [6] S.V. Popenov, *On Laplace transform of functionals in some weighted Bergman spaces in \mathbb{C}^n* , Proc. Int. Conf. „Complex analysis, differential equations, numerical methods and applications“, Ufa, **2** (1996), 125-132. (in Russian)
- [7] R.S. Yulmukhametov, *Asymptotics of multidimensional Laplace integral*, „Studies in approximation theory“, Ufa, 132-137 (1989). (in Russian)
- [8] V.V. Napalkov, R.A. Bashmakov, R.S. Yulmukhametov, *Asymptotic behavior of Laplace integrals and geometric characteristics of convex functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk., **413**:1 (2007), 20-22; [Dokl. Math., **75**:2 (2007), 190-192]
- [9] R.A. Bashmakov, K.P. Isaev, R.S. Yulmukhametov, *On geometric characteristics of convex function and Laplace integrals*, Ufimskij Matem. Zhurn., **2**:1 (2010), 3-16. (in Russian)
- [10] G.M. Fikhtengolts, *Course of differential and integral calculus*, V. II, Nauka, Moscow (1970). (in Russian)

Musin I. Kh.

Institute of Mathematics, Ufa Scientific Center, RAS,

Chernyshevsky str. 112,

450008, Ufa, Russia

E-mail: musin_ildar@mail.ru