

Viscous Dark Energy In Bianchi Type V Space-Time

Hassan Amirhashchi

Department of Physics, Mahshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahshahr, Iran
E-mail: h.amirhashchi@mhriau.ac.ir

Abstract

We study the behavior of dark energy (DE) in the scope of anisotropic Bianchi type V (BV) space-time. First, we derive Friedmann-Like Equations, then, we compare the dark energy equation of state (EoS) parameter for viscous and non-viscous dark energy and make a correspondence between DE and quintessence and phantom descriptions of non-viscous and viscous dark energy and reconstruct the potential of these two scalar fields. The late time behavior of EoS parameter through a thermodynamical study has also been investigated. Finally, we investigate the conditions under which BV space-time can be mapped into the FRW and how the bulk viscose coefficient may affect dark energy EoS parameter of our ω BV Model with constraints from 28 Hubble parameter, $H(z)$, measurements at intermediate redshifts $0.07 \leq z \leq 2.3$.

Keywords: Bianchi Type V; Dark energy; Viscosity; Phantom; Observations
PACS Nos: 98.80.Es, 98.80.-k, 95.36.+x

1 Introduction

The fact that our universe, at the present time, is experiencing an accelerating phase of its evolution has been approved by many observations [1, 2, 3, 4]. This seems to be enigmatic, since it shows that: (1) there must be an unknown and unusual source of energy which behaves like anti-gravity i.e. it produces negative pressure in order to overcome the attractive force of gravity, (2) maybe, general theory of gravity should be modified. It is worth nothing, these two scenarios could be differentiated through the cosmic expansion history $H(z)$ and the growth rate of cosmic large scale structure $f_g(z)$ [5]. In case of dark energy, the thing which is more interesting is the amount (density) of this component. Recent observations of type Ia supernovae indicate that almost two-thirds of the total energy density exists in a dark energy component (reader is advised to see [3, 4, 6] for recent review). The study of dark energy is possible either through its equation of state parameter (EoS) $\omega^{de} = p^{de}/\rho^{de}$ (the value of EoS parameter for quintessence, Λ CDM and phantom scenarios is > -1 , $= -1$, and < -1 respectively) or through its microphysics that is characterized by the sound speed (c_s^2). Although, at the first view, cosmological constant Λ seems to be appropriate candidate for the dark energy, but it encounters fine-tuning problem. This is the reason why, different forms of dynamically changing DE with $\omega^{de} = p^{de}/\rho^{de} < -1/3$, such as quintessence, K-essence, tachyon, phantom, ghost condensate and quintom, etc have been proposed in the literature. Among these scalar fields, quintessence with EoS parameter varying as $-1 < \omega^{de} < -\frac{1}{3}$ and phantom with EoS parameter $\omega < -1$ are of more scientific interest (note that the case of $\omega^{de} \ll -1$ is ruled out by observations [7]). However, Since current observations show that dark energy EoS parameter could be less than -1 [8, 9], the quintessence is ruled out and since phantom field suffers from ultraviolet quantum instabilities [10] can not be an appropriate DE candidate describing region with $\omega^{de} < -1$. Nevertheless, there is another scenario in which the EoS parameter of DE could vary from quintessence to phantom without any problem associated with scalar fields mentioned above. In this scenario which is based on Eckart theorem [11] we consider the DE fluid to be viscous. The possibility of a viscosity dominated late epoch of the Universe with accelerated expansion was already mentioned by Padmanabhan and Chitre [12]. There have been valuable works done in this regard (for example see [13]–[17]). Recently, Velten et. al [18] have been investigated phantom dark energy as an effect of bulk viscosity. It is worth noting that Brevik and Gorbunova [19] show that fluid which lie in the quintessence region ($\omega^{de} > -1$) can reduce its thermodynamical pressure and cross the barrier $\omega^{de} = -1$, and behave like a phantom fluid ($\omega^{de} < -1$) with the inclusion of a sufficiently large bulk viscosity.

FRW cosmology is based on the cosmological principle which is not exactly in consistence with the recent observations [20, 21] as these observations identify tiny variations between the intensities of the microwaves coming from different directions in the sky (From mathematical point of view, this means that the space-time should be anisotropic i.e. metric components are different functions of time). On the other hand, from theoretical (philosophical) point of view the following question is reasonable: does the universe necessarily have the same symmetries on very large scales outside the particle horizon or at early times? Therefore, to be able to compare detailed observations, we may have to find ‘almost FRW’ models representing a universe that is FRW-like on large scales but allowing for generic inhomogeneities and anisotropies arising during structure formation on a small scale. For this purpose, “Bianchi Type Space-Times” which are anisotropic but homogeneous are the best. Goliath and Ellis [22] have shown that some Bianchi models isotropise due to inflation. For example flat and open FRW models are particular case of Bianchi type I, V respectively.

As mentioned above, one can deal with the study of DE through its EoS parameter as well as its microphysics, characterized by the sound speed (c_s^2) of perturbations to the dark energy density and pressure. In this case, as the sound speed drops below the speed of light (i.e. $c_s^2 < 1$), dark energy inhomogeneities increase, affects both CMB and matter power spectra [23]. It is worth noting that the study of a fluid model of dark energy requires considering both an equation of state parameter (EoS) and sound speed c_s^2 . Moreover, as shown in Ref [24] a scalar field is mathematically equivalent to a fluid with a time-dependent speed of sound. In this case, since at the present horizon scale the scalar field dark energy perturbations are not ignorable, dynamical dark energy is inhomogeneous. Hence, these perturbations also affect the predicted CMB anisotropy.

Motivated by the situation discussed above, in this paper we consider Bianchi type V (henceforth BV) to make a detailed study of viscous dark energy. This paper is organized as follows: the metric and the field equations are presented in Sect. 2. Sect. 3 deals with the exact solutions of the field equations to obtain ‘almost FRW’ base cosmology. The Section 4 deals with the study of viscous dark energy EoS parameter. In Section 5 we make correspondence between viscous DE and scalar fields. In section 6, through a thermodynamical study, we investigate the late-time behavior of our DE model. In section 7 we present constraints on a set of cosmological parameters of our model using 28 Hubble parameter, $H(z)$, measurements at redshifts range $0.07 \leq z \leq 2.3$, and conclude in Section 8.

2 The Metric and the Field Equations

The homogeneous and anisotropic Bianchi type-V In an orthogonal form is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A^2 dx^2 + e^{2\alpha x} [B^2 dy^2 + C^2 dz^2], \quad (1)$$

where the metric potentials A, B and C are functions of cosmic time t alone and α is a constant.

The Einstein’s field equations (in gravitational units $8\pi G = c = 1$) read as

$$R_j^i - \frac{1}{2} R g_j^i = T_j^{(m)i} + T_j^{(de)i}, \quad (2)$$

where $T_j^{(m)i}$ and $T_j^{(de)i}$ are the energy momentum tensors of Dark matter and viscous dark energy, respectively. These are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_j^{mi} &= \text{diag}[-\rho^m, p^m, p^m, p^m], \\ &= \text{diag}[-1, \omega^m, \omega^m, \omega^m] \rho^m, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_j^{dei} &= \text{diag}[-\rho^{de}, p^{de}, p^{de}, p^{de}], \\ &= \text{diag}[-1, \omega^{de}, \omega^{de}, \omega^{de}] \rho^{de}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where ρ^m and p^m are the energy density and pressure of the perfect fluid component while $\omega^m = p^m/\rho^m$ is its EoS parameter. Similarly, ρ^{de} and p^{de} are, respectively the energy density and pressure of the viscous DE component while $\omega^{de} = p^{de}/\rho^{de}$ is the corresponding EoS parameter. The 4-velocity vector $u^i = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ is assumed to satisfy $u^i u_i = -1$.

In a co-moving coordinate system ($u^i = \delta_0^i$), Einstein’s field equations (2) with (3) and (4) for B-V metric (1) subsequently lead to the following system of equations:

$$\frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} - \frac{\alpha^2}{A^2} = -\omega^m \rho^m - \omega^{de} \rho^{de}, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\dot{C}\dot{A}}{CA} - \frac{\alpha^2}{A^2} = -\omega^m \rho^m - \omega^{de} \rho^{de}, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} - \frac{\alpha^2}{A^2} = -\omega^m \rho^m - \omega^{de} \rho^{de}, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{C}}{AC} + \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} - \frac{3\alpha^2}{A^2} = \rho^m + \rho^{de}, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{2\dot{A}}{A} - \frac{\dot{B}}{B} - \frac{\dot{C}}{C} = 0. \quad (9)$$

The law of energy-conservation equation ($T_{;j}^{ij} = 0$) yields

$$\dot{\rho}^m + 3(1 + \omega^m) \rho^m H + \dot{\rho}^{de} + 3(1 + \omega^{de}) \rho^{de} H = 0. \quad (10)$$

The Raychaudhuri equation is found to be

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{1}{2}\xi\theta - \frac{1}{6}(\rho^m + 3p^m) - \frac{1}{6}(\rho^{de} + 3p^{de}) - \frac{2}{3}\sigma^2, \quad (11)$$

where σ^2 is the shear scalar which is given by

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ij}\sigma_{ij}; \quad \sigma_{ij} = u_{i;j} + \frac{1}{2}(u_{i;k}u^k_{i}u_j + u_{j;k}u^k_{j}u_i) + \frac{\theta}{3}(g_{ij} + u_i u_j), \quad (12)$$

and $\theta = 3H$ is the scalar expansion. Here H is referred to as Hubble's parameter.

3 Friedmann-Like Equations

Integrating (11) and engrossing the constant of integration in B or C , without any loss of generality, we obtain the following relation between the metric potentials

$$A^2 = BC. \quad (13)$$

Now, to solve Einstein's field equations (5) – (8), we use the following technique proposed by Kumar and Yadav [25]. Subtracting eq. (5) from eq. (6), eq. (6) from eq. (7), and eq. (5) from eq. (7) and taking second integral of each, we obtain the following three relations respectively:

$$\frac{A}{B} = d_1 \exp\left(k_1 \int \frac{dt}{a^3}\right), \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{A}{C} = d_2 \exp\left(k_2 \int \frac{dt}{a^3}\right), \quad (15)$$

and

$$\frac{B}{C} = d_3 \exp\left(k_3 \int \frac{dt}{a^3}\right), \quad (16)$$

where d_1, d_2, d_3, k_1, k_2 and k_3 are constants of integration. From (13)–(16), the metric functions A, B, C can be explicitly obtained as

$$A(t) = a, \quad (17)$$

$$B(t) = ma \exp\left(L \int \frac{dt}{a^3}\right), \quad (18)$$

$$C(t) = \frac{a}{m} \exp\left(-L \int \frac{dt}{a^3}\right), \quad (19)$$

where

$$m = \sqrt[3]{(d_2 d_3)}, \quad L = \frac{(k_2 + k_3)}{3}, \quad d_2 = d_1^{-1}, \quad k_2 = -k_1. \quad (20)$$

Hence, we can write the general form of Bianchi type V metric as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2 \left[dx^2 + e^{2\alpha x} \left(m^2 e^{2L \int a^{-3} dt} dy^2 + \frac{1}{m^2} e^{-2L \int a^{-3} dt} dz^2 \right) \right]. \quad (21)$$

Using eqs. (17)–(19) in eqs. (5)–(8), we obtain the analogue of the Friedmann equation as

$$2 \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{L^2}{a^6} - \frac{\alpha^2}{a^2} = -p^m - p^{de}, \quad (22)$$

$$3 \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 - \frac{L^2}{a^6} - \frac{3\alpha^2}{a^2} = \rho^m + \rho^{de}, \quad (23)$$

where $a = (ABC)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ is the average scale factor. One can easily re-write eqs. (22) and (23) in the following compact form

$$2 \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) + \frac{4L^2}{3a^6} = -\frac{1}{3}(\rho + 3p), \quad (24)$$

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 - \frac{L^2}{3a^6} - \frac{\alpha^2}{a^2} = \frac{1}{3}\rho, \quad (25)$$

where $p = p^m + p^{de}$ and $\rho = \rho^m + \rho^{de}$ are the total pressure and the total energy density respectively. It is worth to mention that α and L denote the deviation from isotropy e.g. $\alpha = L = 0$ indicate flat FRW Universe. It is also interesting to note that for sufficiently large a , almost at the present time, the Bianchi type V space-time behaves like a flat FRW Universe.

4 Dark Energy Equation of State

To derive the general form of the equation of state (EoS) for the viscous and non-viscous dark energy (DE) in Bianchi type V space-time, we assume that dark energy and dark matter with $\omega^m = 0$ do not interact with each other. Therefore, we can write the conservation equation (10) for the two dark fluids separately as

$$\dot{\rho}^{de} + 3H(1 + \omega^{de})\rho^{de} = 0, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\dot{\rho}^m + 3H\rho^m = 0. \quad (27)$$

Integrating eq.(27) leads to

$$\rho^m = \rho_0^m a^{-3}. \quad (28)$$

Using eqs. (28) in eqs. (24) and (25) we obtain the energy density and pressure of non-viscous dark fluid as

$$\rho^{de} = 3H^2 - L^2 a^{-6} - 3\alpha^2 a^{-2} - \rho_0^m a^{-3} \quad (29)$$

and

$$p^{de} = -2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - H^2 - L^2 a^{-6} + \alpha^2 a^{-2}, \quad (30)$$

respectively. Finally, we obtain the general form of the non-viscous dark energy EoS parameter (EoS) as

$$\omega^{de} = \frac{p^{de}}{\rho^{de}} = \frac{2q - 1 - L^2 a^{-6} H^{-2} + \alpha^2 a^{-2} H^{-2}}{3 - L^2 a^{-6} H^{-2} - 3\alpha^2 a^{-2} H^{-2} - 3\Omega_0^m a^{-3}}, \quad (31)$$

where $q = -\frac{\ddot{a}}{H^2}$ is the deceleration parameter and $\Omega_0^m = \frac{\rho_0^m}{3H^2}$ is the current value of the DM energy density.

To obtain the equation of state parameter (EoS) of viscous dark energy, we assume the following expression for the pressure of the viscous fluid [11]

$$p_{eff}^{de} = p^{de} + \Pi; \quad \omega_{eff}^{de} = p_{eff}^{de} / \rho^{de} \quad (32)$$

where $\Pi = -\xi(\rho^{de})u^i_{;i}$ is the viscous pressure and $u^i_{;i} = 3H$ is the covariant derivative of the 4-velocity vector u^i . Here ω_{eff}^{de} is referred to as the effective equation of state parameter of viscous dark energy. As noted in [26], since in an irreversible process the positive sign of the entropy changes, ξ should be a positive parameter. In general, $\xi(\rho^{de}) = \xi_0(\rho^{de})^\tau$, where $\xi_0 > 0$ and τ are constant parameters.

Using eq. (31) in eq. (32), the EoS parameter of viscous dark energy is obtained as

$$\omega_{eff}^{de} = \omega^{de} + \frac{\Pi}{\rho^{de}} = \frac{2q - 1 - L^2 a^{-6} H^{-2} + \alpha^2 a^{-2} H^{-2}}{3 - L^2 a^{-6} H^{-2} - 3\alpha^2 a^{-2} H^{-2} - 3\Omega_0^m a^{-3}} - 3\xi_0 \frac{H^{1-2\eta}}{(3\Omega^{de})^\eta}, \quad (33)$$

where $\Omega^{de} = \frac{\rho^{de}}{3H^2}$ and $\eta = 1 - \tau$. Note that in eq. (33), τ (equivalently α) should be a positive number as a negative τ (or α) force the EoS parameter to stay in phantom region for ever which is not a consistent result (see discussion below).

Now we take a more precise look at eqs. (31) and (33). As we mentioned in previous section, BI-V behaves almost as flat FRW universe at present time i. e. for very high value of the scalar factor a . Hence, one may characterize the universe by $\alpha = L \sim 0$ and $a \sim 0$ at the current time. Therefore, we can obtain the present form of eqs. (31) and (33) approximately as

$$\omega^{de} \sim \frac{2q - 1}{3} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\omega_{eff}^{de} \sim \frac{2q - 1}{3} - \frac{213\xi_0}{(12501.68)^\alpha} \quad (35)$$

respectively.

According to the recent observations the deceleration parameter is restricted as $-1 \leq q < 0$. Applying this limit on eqs. (34) and (35) we obtain

$$-1 \leq \omega^{de} < -\frac{1}{3}; \quad -1 - \frac{213\xi_0}{(12501.68)^\eta} \leq \omega_{eff}^{de} < -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{213\xi_0}{(12501.68)^\eta}. \quad (36)$$

This equation clearly shows that the EoS parameter of no-viscous DE does not cross PDL whereas the viscous dark energy equation of state, ω_{eff}^{de} , cross PDL for appropriate values of α and ξ_0 . Consequently, non-viscous DE can only describe the

quintessence scenario of DE where $-1 < \omega < -\frac{1}{3}$ and hence it is not in consistent with the recent cosmological observations data indicating that ω^{de} is less than -1 today. However, since for a positive τ , $\xi(\rho^{de}) = \xi_0(\rho^{de})^\tau$ is a decreasing function of time ¹, as time is passing the viscosity dies out and ultimately ω_{eff}^{de} tends to the cosmological constant, $\omega^{de} = -1$, as expected. As noted by Carroll et.al [10], any phantom model with $\omega^{de} < -1$ should decay to $\omega^{de} = -1$ at late time. This behavior ensures that there is no future singularity (Big Rip); rather, the universe eventually settles into a de-Sitter phase. Therefore, regardless the phantom model driven from the scalar fields, our phantom model generated by the bulk viscosity does not suffer from the ultraviolet quantum instabilities as well as big rip problem.

5 Correspondence Between DE and Scalar Field

From our above discussion we conclude that assuming the cosmic fluid to be non-viscous or viscous, one may can generate quintessence and phantom like equation of state parameter in anisotropic Bianchi type V universe respectively. Therefore, as usual we assume that a scalar field is the source of dark energy. The energy density and pressure of the scalar field are given by

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \quad (37)$$

and

$$p_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi), \quad (38)$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. $\epsilon = 1$ is referred to as quintessence whereas $\epsilon = -1$ is referred to as phantom. Since the EoS parameter of scalar field is given by

$$\omega_\phi = \frac{\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)}{\epsilon\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)}, \quad (39)$$

one can easily find the scalar field and its potential as

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = \epsilon(1 + \omega_\phi)\rho_\phi, \quad (40)$$

and

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \omega_\phi)\rho_\phi. \quad (41)$$

Now by putting $\omega_\phi = \omega^{de}$ and $\omega_\phi = \omega_{eff}^{de}$ in eqs. (40) and (41), the scalar field $\dot{\phi}$ and the potential $V(\phi)$ for quintessence and phantom DE models are obtained as

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = 2\epsilon \left[H^2(1 + q) - L^2 a^{-6} - \alpha^2 a^{-2} - \frac{3}{2}H^2\Omega_0^m a^{-3} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma\zeta_0 \frac{H^{1-2\eta}}{(\Omega^{de})^{\eta-1}} \right], \quad (42)$$

and

$$V(\phi) = 2 \left[H^2(1 - q) - \alpha^2 a^{-2} - \frac{3}{2}H^2\Omega_0^m a^{-3} + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma\zeta_0 \frac{H^{1-2\eta}}{(\Omega^{de})^{\eta-1}} \right], \quad (43)$$

respectively, where $\zeta_0 = 3^{2-\eta}\xi_0$. Note that in above equations for non-viscous (quintessence) dark energy, $\Gamma = 0$ whereas for viscous (phantom) dark energy model, $\Gamma = 1$. As an example, we take $\eta = 0.5$ which leads to a power-law expansion for the scale factor [27]. In this case the late time behaviors of ϕ and $V(\phi)$, approximately, are given by

$$\phi \sim \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{for quintessence} \\ \sqrt{\zeta_0}t + \lambda & \text{for phantom} \end{cases}, \quad (44)$$

and

$$V(\phi) \sim \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for quintessence} \\ \zeta_0 & \text{for phantom} \end{cases}, \quad (45)$$

respectively where λ is an integration constant. Eqs.(44) and (45) clearly show that the scalar field and the potential of quintessence decrease more faster than those of phantom model. But ultimately when $a \rightarrow \infty, \zeta_0 \rightarrow 0$, for both quintessence and phantom scenario $\phi = \lambda$ and the potential asymptotically tends to vanish.

¹Note that the energy density ρ is a decreasing function of time in an expanding universe.

6 Thermodynamical Picture of The Dark Energy Model

The continuity equations for the viscous dark energy could be written as

$$\dot{\rho}^{de} + 3H(\rho^{de} + p^{de}) = 9\xi H^2. \quad (46)$$

In a co-moving volume V , the total energy density is $U^{de} = \rho^{de}V$. Using this equation in eq. (52) we obtain the equation for production of entropy S^{de} in a co-moving volume due to dissipative effects in a fluid with temperature T as

$$T\dot{S}^{de} = \dot{U}^{de} + p^{de}\dot{V} = 9\xi V H^2, \quad (47)$$

or

$$\dot{S}^{de} = \left(\frac{T}{V}\right) 9\xi H^2. \quad (48)$$

In case when the density and pressure of the cosmic fluid are functions of the temperature only i.e. $\rho = \rho(T)$ and $p = p(T)$, the first law of thermodynamics is given by

$$TdS^{de} = dU^{de} + p^{de}dV = d[(\rho^{de} + p^{de})V] - Vdp^{de}, \quad (49)$$

or

$$S^{de} = \frac{V}{T}(\rho^{de} + p^{de}) = \frac{V}{T} (1 + \omega_{eff}^{de}) \rho^{de}. \quad (50)$$

Since $V = a^3$ and the temperature of the event horizon is $T \propto \frac{1}{a}$, using eq. (34), we can find the equation of dark energy entropy density as

$$S^{de} = c_\gamma \left(\frac{\Omega^{de}}{\Omega^\gamma}\right) (1 + \omega_{eff}^{de}), \quad (51)$$

where $\Omega^\gamma = \frac{\rho^\gamma}{3H^2}$, $\rho^\gamma \propto a^{-1}$ and c_γ is a constant. Inserting eq. (51) in eq. (48) we find

$$\left(\frac{\dot{S}}{S}\right)^{de} = \frac{3\xi}{(1 + \omega_{eff}^{de})\Omega^{de}}. \quad (52)$$

Now let us consider two limiting cases at $z \rightarrow -1$, i.e. $\omega_{eff}^{de} \rightarrow -1^\pm$. when $\omega_{eff}^{de} \rightarrow -1^+$, it means that the dark energy EoS parameter goes to cosmological constant from quintessence region and $\omega_{eff}^{de} \rightarrow -1^-$, means that the EoS parameter goes to the cosmological constant from phantom region. If we assume that $(1 + \omega_{eff}^{de})$ tends to zero faster than ξ , then we get Integrating eq. (52) we obtain

$$\lim_{\omega_{eff}^{de} \rightarrow -1^+} \left(\frac{\dot{S}}{S}\right)^{de} \sim +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad S^{de} \rightarrow \infty, \quad (53)$$

and

$$\lim_{\omega_{eff}^{de} \rightarrow -1^-} \left(\frac{\dot{S}}{S}\right)^{de} \sim -\infty \quad \text{or} \quad S^{de} \rightarrow 0. \quad (54)$$

According to the thermodynamics second law and based on eqs. (53) and (54), we conclude that ultimately the dark energy EoS parameter tends to the cosmological constant from quintessence not phantom. Therefore, although presence of viscosity in cosmic fluid causes EoS parameter to cross PDL but there should be a transition from phantom to quintessence at late time. It is worth mentioning that this result is completely independent on whether there is an interaction between dark components or not. Also since in vacuum dominated era the density and pressure are not functions of temperature, the above equations do not have any application in this case.

7 Observational constraints

From eqs. (25) and (28) one can easily find the Hubble parameter $H(z)$ as:

$$H(z)^2 = H_0^2 \left[\Omega_0^m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_0^r (1+z)^4 + \alpha (1+z)^2 + \beta (1+z)^6 + \Omega_0^{de} (1+z)^{3(1+\omega^{de})} \right], \quad (55)$$

where ω^{de} is assumed to be a constant parameter and $\beta = \frac{L^2}{3}$. Since according to [28] a constant value of ξ_0 push the EoS parameter to cross phantom divided line (PLD), for the case when cosmic fluid is viscous, the background expansion for the model reads as

$$E(z) = \left[\Omega_0^m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_0^r (1+z)^4 + \alpha (1+z)^2 + \beta (1+z)^6 + \Omega_0^{de} (1+z)^{3(1+\omega^{de}-\delta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (56)$$

Here the effective dark energy EoS parameter is $\omega_{eff}^{de} = \omega^{de} - \delta$ (here ξ_0 is replaced by δ).

In what follows, to place observational constraints on parameters space $\mathbf{P} = \{\Omega^m, \Omega^{de}, \alpha, \beta, \delta, \omega_{eff}^{de}, H_0\}$, of our model we use the compilation of 28 Hubble parameter measurements in the redshift range $0.07 < z < 2.3$ as depicted in Table 1. The $28H(z)$ data points have been compiled by Farooq and Ratra [29] to find constraints on parameters of some dark energy models². Here following their methodology we constrain our model parameter space \mathbf{P} by minimizing the following chi-square

$$\chi_H^2(\mathbf{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{28} \frac{[H^{th}(z_i, \mathbf{P}) - H^{obs}(z_i)]^2}{\sigma_{H,i}^2}, \quad (57)$$

where \mathbf{P} denotes the set of our parameters, H^{th} is the theoretical value of $H(z)$ predicted by our DE model and $\sigma_{H,i}^2$




Figure 1: One-dimensional marginalized distribution, and two-dimensional contours with 68% CL and 95% CL for the model parameters.

²As this work completed, we noticed that new 38 Hubble parameter measurements in the redshift range $0.07 < z < 2.36$ have been compiled by Farooq et al [30]. Using the $38H(z)$ data points could make a small change of order of few percent in our derived parameters.

Table 1: Hubble parameter versus redshift data.

$H(z)$	σ_H	z	Reference
69	19.6	0.070	[35]
69	12	0.090	[31]
68.6	26.2	0.120	[35]
83	8	0.170	[31]
75	4	0.179	[33]
75	5	0.199	[33]
72.9	29.6	0.200	[35]
77	14	0.270	[31]
88.8	36.6	0.280	[35]
76.3	5.6	0.350	[37]
83	14	0.352	[33]
95	17	0.400	[31]
82.6	7.8	0.440	[36]
97	62	0.480	[32]
104	13	0.593	[33]
87.9	6.1	0.600	[36]
92	8	0.680	[34]
97.3	7	0.730	[36]
105	12	0.781	[33]
125	17	0.785	[33]
90	40	0.880	[32]
117	23	0.900	[31]
154	20	1.037	[33]
168	17	1.300	[31]
177	18	1.430	[31]
140	14	1.530	[31]
202	40	1.750	[31]
224	8	2.300	[34]

is the error at z_i . It is worth to mention that we explore the model parameter space \mathbf{P} of our DE model by using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method package CosmoMC [37] and the samples have been analyzed by the aid of Python package, GetDist [38].

Figure 1 depicts the contour plots for parameters of the Model. The results of our statistical analysis has been shown

Table 2: The best fit parameters with 1σ and 2σ confidence level.

Parameter	68% CL	95% CL	Best-Fit Value
β	0.086 ± 0.015	$0.086^{+0.032}_{-0.030}$	0.085
α	-0.0058 ± 0.0067	$-0.006^{+0.013}_{-0.013}$	-0.0057
Ω^{de}	0.721 ± 0.016	$0.721^{+0.029}_{-0.032}$	0.726
Ω^m	0.285 ± 0.016	$0.285^{+0.032}_{-0.029}$	0.283
δ	0.842 ± 0.038	$0.842^{+0.076}_{-0.073}$	0.844
H_0	69.2 ± 2.0	$69.2^{+4.0}_{-3.9}$	69.3
ω_{eff}^{de}	-1.079 ± 0.082	$-1.08^{+0.16}_{-0.16}$	-1.184

in table 2 with $\chi^2_{min} = 8.0$. Our results clearly show the sensitivity of ω_{eff}^{de} to the specific choice of the bulk viscosity coefficient (δ) which is restricted as $0.80 < \delta < 0.88$ at 1σ error and $0.74 < \delta < 0.93$ at 2σ error. In other word, in absence of viscosity our model only varies in quintessence region whereas for appropriate values of bulk viscosity coefficient the model cross PDL line. It is interesting to note that the parameter α , as could be expected, plays the role like curvature in FRW cosmology. In other hand, we see that parameter β is restricted as $0.062 < \beta < 0.15$ at 1σ error and $0.055 < \beta < 0.122$ at 2σ error. Therefore, both α and β do not have significant impact on Bianchi type V behavior at late lime (see figure 2 & Figure 3 for closer view). Hence, based on our results we can prove this theoretical property which claim that Bianchi type V behaves like flat FRW model at late time. The robustness of our fit can be viewed by looking at figure 4. A compression of our H_0 with those obtained by other researchers could be seen by looking at table 3. Results of this table

Table 3: The value of H_0 obtained from different researches.

Researchers	H_0	Reference
Ade et al (Planck 2015)	67.8 ± 0.9 (at 68%)	[39]
Chen & Ratra	68 ± 2.8 (at 68%)	[40]
Sievers et al	70 ± 2.4 (at 68%)	[41]
Gott et al	67 ± 3.5 (at 68%)	[42]
J. Dunkley et al (CMB)	69.7 ± 2.5 (at 68%)	[43]
Aubourg et al (BAO)	67.3 ± 1.1 (at 68%)	[44]
V.Lukovic et al	66.5 ± 1.8 (at 68%)	[45]
Chen et al	$68.4^{+2.9}_{-3.3}$ (at 68%)	[46]
Riess et al	73.24 ± 1.74 (at 68%)	[47]
Our model	69.2 ± 2.0 (at 68%), $69.2^{+4.0}_{-3.9}$ (at 95%)	Present work

clearly show that our H_0 is in high agreement with H_0 obtained from CMB [43] at $1\sigma(68\%)$ and the difference with other findings is not so considerable. The reduced chi squares χ^2_{red} (at 68% confident level) for ωCDM and ωBV models are 0.81 (with $\chi^2 = 18.63$) and 0.76 (with $\chi^2 = 15.96$) respectively which shows that although both models are acceptable but ωCDM model is fitted to the data better than ωBV model (please also see figure 4).




Figure 2: Two-dimensional contours with 68% CL and 95% CL for α vs ω_{eff}^{de} .




Figure 3: Two-dimensional contours with 68% CL and 95% CL for β vs ω_{eff}^{de} .

For completeness of our study, here we derive the deceleration-acceleration redshift z_t (this is the redshift at which the expansion phase changes from decelerating to accelerating). In general, the deceleration parameter is given by

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{H^2} \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) = \frac{(1+z)}{H(z)} \frac{dH(z)}{dz} - 1. \quad (58)$$

It is clear that the transition redshift is implicitly defined by the condition $q(z_t) = \ddot{a}(z_t) = 0$. In case where $L = \alpha = 0$ (ωCDM model), from (24) one can easily find (also see [30])

$$z_t = \left(\frac{\Omega_0^m}{(\Omega_0^m - 1)(1 + 3\omega^{de})} \right)^{\frac{1}{3\omega^{de}}} - 1. \quad (59)$$

Also, from (24), in case where $(\alpha \neq 0, L \neq 0)$ we get

$$\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) = -2\beta(1+z)^6 - \frac{1}{6} \left[\Omega_0^m(1+z)^3 + (1 - \Omega_0^m)(1 + 3\omega^{de})(1+z)^{3(1+\omega^{de})} \right]. \quad (60)$$

The transition redshift z_t for our ωBV model could be found by solving the following equation

$$2\beta(1+z_t)^3 + \frac{1}{6} \left[\Omega_0^m + (1 - \Omega_0^m)(1 + \omega^{de})(1+z_t)^{3\omega^{de}} \right] = 0. \quad (61)$$

It is interesting to note that in both cases the transition redshift is independent to the parameter α i.e. the curvature of the Model. Using the best-fit parameters given in table 2 the transition redshift for ω CDM and ω BV models are obtained as $z_t = 0.652 \pm 0.105$ and $z_t = 0.741 \pm 0.075$ respectively. This result shows that our ω BV model enters the accelerating phase at earlier time with respect to the ω CDM model (see fig 5). Our results are in good agreement with what obtained in refs [29, 30]. Figure 5 indicates the variation of deceleration parameter, q versus redshift z for both ω CDM and ω BV models.




Figure 4: The Hubble rate of our model (ω CDM represent the case when $L = \alpha = 0$) versus the redshift z . The points with bars indicate the experimental data summarized in Table 1.




Figure 5: variation of deceleration parameter q versus redshift z for both ω CDM and ω BV models. The expansion phase of ω BV model changes at earlier time with respect to ω CDM model.

Concluding Remarks

In this study, we have investigated the behavior of dark energy in the framework of anisotropic Bianchi type V space-time. In general, we attempted to find the possibility of dark energy EoS parameter to cross PDL line, the correspondence between Dark energy and scalar fields (phantom as well as quintessence) and the observational constraints on the Model parameters. The main results of this study can be summarized as bellow.

- Since recent observations show small departures from isotropy [20, 21] we are motivated to take BV as it could lead to more realistic results. We derived exact mathematical Friedmann-Like Equations of BV space-time. Based on using some observational data sets, we found that the metric parameter α is a negative integer very close to zero which imply that this parameter plays a role like curvature in BV cosmology. Also our observational constraint on other metric parameter β shows the importance of this parameter and its responsibility for inherent anisotropy of BV. Both of these parameter are found to be very small which prove that the FRW metric is a special case of BV space-time (i.e. open with $K = -1$). Hence, the study of DE in the scope of anisotropic BV metric is much reasonable than FRW metric.
- We found that although bulk viscosity coefficient δ is small (see figure 1) but to cross PDL i.e. transition from quintessence to phantom region, the dark sector of the cosmic fluid must be viscous. It is worth noting that, in general, bulk viscosity is a decreasing function of time (see section 4) and hence the viscosity dies out as time is passing and the EoS parameter of DE tends to -1 as it is necessary condition for any DE model to avoid Big Rip. In section 7 only for simplicity, without loss of any generality, we assumed the bulk viscosity to be a constant such as δ .
- Based on weather cosmic fluid is viscous or not one can generate phantom or quintessence scenarios in BV space-time. Therefore, as usual we assumed that a scalar field is the source of dark energy and derived the scalar field ϕ and the potential $V(\phi)$ for quintessence and phantom DE Models. It must be noted that since the energy density of phantom field is unbounded from below, all phantom generally ruled out by ultraviolet quantum instabilities [10] but the viscous DE model proposed here is safe under this problem (see discussion above).
- We show that, ultimately, the dark energy EoS parameter tends to the cosmological constant from quintessence region not phantom. Therefore, although presence of viscosity in cosmic fluid causes EoS parameter to cross PDL but there should be a transition from phantom to quintessence at late time. It is interesting to note that this result is completely independent on whether there is an interaction between dark components or not.

- Our obtained H_0 is in high agreement with its value obtained from CMB at 68% confident level. The difference from other values, as can be seen from table 3, is not so considerable. It is worth to mention that applying new $38H(z)$ data points compiled in ref [30] can make a small change in our results by a few percent.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the research fund from the Mahshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University under the project entitled “Study of The Interaction Between Dark Energy and Dark Matter in Bianchi Type V Universe”. The author is also grateful to the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions which significantly improved the quality of the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. G. Riess *et al*, *Astron. J.* **116** 1009 (1998).
- [2] S. Perlmutter *et al*, *Astrophys. J.* **517** 565 (1999).
- [3] T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rep.* **380** 235 (2003).
- [4] E. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15** 1753 (2006).
- [5] C. P. Ma, R. R. Caldwell, P. Bode and L. Wang, *ApJ* **521** L1 (1999).
- [6] LI. Miao, LI. Xiao-Dong, WANG. Shuang and WANG. Yi, *Commun. Theor. Phys* **56** 525 (2011).
- [7] A. G. Riess, *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **607** 665 (2004).
- [8] G. Hinshaw *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **180** 225 (2009).
- [9] L. Perivolaropoulos, *AIP Conf. Proc* **848** 698 (2006).
- [10] S. M. Carroll, M. Hoffman and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** 023509 (2003).
- [11] C. Eckart, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** 919 (1940).
- [12] T. Padmanabhan and S. M. Chitre, *Phys. Lett. A* **120**, 433 (1987).
- [13] W. Zimdahl, D.J. Schwarz, A.B. Balakin, and D. Pavon, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 063501 (2001).
- [14] M. Cataldo, N. Cruz and S. Lepe, *Phys. Lett. B* **619**, 2 (2005).
- [15] H. Amirhashchi, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **351** 641 (2014).
- [16] H. Amirhashchi, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **344** 439 (2013).
- [17] H. Amirhashchi and A. Pradhan *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **349** 59 (2014).
- [18] H. Velten, J. Wang and X. Meng, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 123504 (2013).
- [19] I. Brevik, O. Gorbunova, *Gen. Rel. Grav* **37**, 2039 (2005).
- [20] G. Hinshaw *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **148** 135 (2003).
- [21] G. Hinshaw *et al*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **170** 288 (2007).
- [22] M. Goliath, G. F. R. Ellis, *Phys. Rev D* **60** 023502 (1999).
- [23] R. dePutter, D. Huterer, and E. V.Linder, *Phys. Rev D* **81** 103513 (2010).
- [24] B. Ratra, *Phys. Rev D* **43** 3802 (1991).
- [25] S. Kumar and A. K. Yadav, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26** 647 (2011).
- [26] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, Fluid Mechanics, 2nd., Pergamon Press, Oxford, sect. 49 (1987)
- [27] D. J. Barrow, *Phys. Lett. B* **180** 335 (1987).

- [28] C. -H, Chuang and Y. Wang, *MNRAS* **435** 255 (2013).
- [29] O. Farooq and B. Ratra, *Astrophys. J* **766**, L7 (2013).
- [30] O. Farooq, F. R. Madiyar, S. Crandall and B. Ratra, *Astrophys. J* **835** (2017), arXiv:1607.03537v2.
- [31] J. Simon, L. Verde and R. Jimenez, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** 123001 (2005).
- [32] D. Stern *et al*, *JCAP* **008** 1002 (2010).
- [33] M. Moresco *et al*, *JCAP* **006** 1208 (2012).
- [34] N. G. Busca *et al*, *A & A* **552** A96 (2013).
- [35] C. Zhang *et al*, *Res. Astron. Astrophys* **14** 1221 (2014).
- [36] C. Blake *et al*, *MNRAS* **425** 405 (2012).
- [37] A. Lewis and S. Bridle, *Phys. Rev D* **66** 103511 (2002).
- [38] <https://github.com/cmbant/getdist>
- [39] P. A. R. Adel *et al*, *A & A* **594** A13 (2016).
- [40] G. Chen and B. Ratra, *PASP* **123** 1127 (2011).
- [41] J. L. Sievers *et al*, *JCAP* **1310** 060 (2013).
- [42] J. R. Gott, M. S. Vogeley, S. Podariu and B. Ratra, *Astrophys. J* **549** 1 (2001).
- [43] J. Dunkley *et al*, *Astrophys. J* **739** 52 (2011).
- [44] E. Aubourg *et al*, *Phys. Rev D* **92** 123516 (2015).
- [45] V. V. Lukovic, R. D'Agostino and N. Vittorio, *A & A* **595** A109 (2016).
- [46] G. Chen, S. Kumar and B. Ratra, *Astrophys. J* **835** 86 (2017).
- [47] A. G. Riess *et al*, *Astrophys. J* **826** 56 (2016).