## Noether Symmetry in f(T) Theory at the anisotropic universe

A. Aghamohammadi

Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran; email:a.aqamohamadi@gmail.com; a.aghamohamadi@iausdj.ac.ir

**Abstract**. As it is well known, symmetry plays a crucial role in the theoretical physics. On other hand, the Noether symmetry is a useful procedure to select models motivated at a fundamental level, and to discover the exact solution to the given lagrangian. In this work, Noether symmetry in f(T) theory on a spatially homogeneous and anisotropic Bianchi type I universe is considered. We discuss the Lagrangian formalism of f(T) theory in anisotropic universe. The point-like Lagrangian is clearly constructed. The explicit form of f(T) theory and the corresponding exact solution are found by requirement of Noether symmetry and Noether charge. A power-law f(T), the same as the FRW universe, can satisfy the required Noether symmetry in the anisotropic universe with power- law scale factor. It is regarded that positive expansion is satisfied by a constrain between parameters.

Keywords: Noether symmetry, anisotropic Bianchi type I universe, modified theories of gravity, f(T) gravity

## 1 Introduction

In this work, our aim is to study a Noether symmetry of scalar torsion gravity in anisotropic univers.

Recently, some astrophysical observations have shown that the Universe is undergoing an accelerated phase era. To justify this unexpected result, scientists have proposed some different models such as, scalar field models [1, 2, 3, 4] and modify theories of gravity [5, 6, 7, 8]. For the latter proposal, one can deal with telleparallel equivalent of general relativity [9, 10, 11, 12], in which the field equations are second order [13]. In addition, in this scenario the Levi-Civita connections are replaced by Weitzenböck connection where it has no curvature but only torsion [14].

A Bianchi type I (BI) universe, being the straightforward generalization of the flat FRW universe, is of interest because it is one of the simplest models of a non-isotropic universe exhibiting a homogeneity and spatial flatness. In this case, unlike the FRW universe which has the same scale factor for three spatial directions, a BI universe has a different scale factor for each direction. This fact introduces a non-isotropy to the system. The possible effects of anisotropy in the early universe have been investigated with BI models from different points of view [25, 26, 27, 28]. Some people [29, 30] have constructed cosmological models by using anisotropic fluid and BI universe. Recently, this model has been studied in the presence of binary mixture of the perfect fluid and the DE [31]. Further, there are some exact solutions for BI models in f (T) gravity [32]

A. Aghamohammadi et al.

The outline of this work is as follows. In the next section, a brief review of the general formulation of the field equations in a BI metric and f(T) garavity are discussed, Sec. 3 is concerned with Lagrangian formalism of f(T) theory in anisotropic universe. Sec. 4, is related to Noether symmetry in f(T) theory in anisotropic universe. We summarize our results in last section.

## 2 General Framework

The telleparallel theory of gravity is defined in the Weitzenböck's space-time, with torsion and zero local Riemann tensor, in which we are working in a non-Riemannian manifold. The dynamics of the metric were determined using the scalar torsion T. The fundamental quantity in teleparallel theory is the vierbein (tetrad) basis  $e^i_{\ \mu}$ . This basis is an orthogonal, coordinate free basis defined by the following equation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ij} e^i{}_{\mu} e^j{}_{\nu}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\eta_{ij} = diag[1, -1, -1, -1]$  and  $e_i^{\ \mu} e^i_{\ \nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}$  or  $e_i^{\ \mu} e^j_{\ \mu} = \delta^j_i$  and the matrix  $e^a_{\ \mu}$  are called tetrads that indicate the dynamic fields of the theory, where Latin i, j are indices running over 0, 1, 2, 3 for the tangent space of the manifold, and Greek  $\mu, \nu$  are the coordinate indices on the manifold, also running over 0, 1, 2, 3. In the framework of f(T) theory, Lagrangian density is extended from the torsion scalar T to a general function f(T), similar to what happened in f(R) theories. The action S of modified telleparallel gravity is given by [33, 34]

$$I = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x |e| f(T) + L_m \Big],\tag{2}$$

where for convenience, we use the units  $2k^2 = 16\pi G = 1$ ,  $|e| = det(e^i_{\mu}) = \sqrt{-g}$  and  $e^i_{\mu}$  forms the tangent vector of the manifold, which is used as a dynamical object in telleparallel gravity,  $L_M$  is the Lagrangian of matter. The components of the tensor torsion and the contorsion are defined respectively as

$$T^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu} \equiv e_l^{\ \rho} \left( \partial_{\mu} e^l_{\ \nu} - \partial_{\nu} e^l_{\ \mu} \right) , \qquad (3)$$

$$K^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \left( T^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho} - T^{\nu\mu}{}_{\rho} - T^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho} \right) \,. \tag{4}$$

It was defined a new tensor  $S_{\rho}^{\ \mu\nu}$  to obtain the scalar equivalent to the curvature scalar of general relativity i.e. Ricci scalar, that is as

$$S_{\rho}^{\ \mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( K^{\mu\nu}_{\ \rho} + \delta^{\mu}_{\rho} T^{\alpha\nu}_{\ \alpha} - \delta^{\nu}_{\rho} T^{\alpha\mu}_{\ \alpha} \right). \tag{5}$$

Hence, the torsion scalar is defined by the following contraction

$$T \equiv S_{\rho}^{\ \mu\nu} T^{\rho}_{\ \mu\nu}.\tag{6}$$

By using the components (Eq.4, Eq.5), the torsion scaler (Eq. 6) gives

$$T \equiv -6H^2 + 2\sigma^2. \tag{7}$$

Bianchi cosmologies are spatially homogeneous but not necessarily isotropic. Here, we will consider BI cosmology. The metric of this model is given by

$$ds^{2} = dt^{2} - A^{2}(t)dx^{2} - B^{2}(t)dy^{2} - C^{2}(t)dz^{2},$$
(8)

where the metric functions, A, B, C, are merely functions of time, t and related to scale factor by  $a = (ABC)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . In this work for convenience, we assume  $B = C = A^m$ , where m is a constant. It is defined the shear tensor as describes the rate of distortion of the matter flow, that in a comoving coordinate system, from the metric (Eq.8), the components of the average Hubble parameter and the shear tensor are given by [38]

$$H = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\dot{A}}{B} + \frac{\dot{B}}{B} + \frac{\dot{C}}{C} \right),$$
  

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\dot{A}}{A} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{\dot{B}}{B} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{\dot{C}}{C} \right)^{2} \right] - \frac{3}{2} H^{2}.$$
(9)

# 3 Lagrangian Formalism of f(T) Theory in Anisotropic Universe

In this section, we discuss the Lagrangian formalism of f(T) theory in anisotropic universe. In the study of Noether symmetry, It is clear that the point-like Lagrangian plays a crucial role. From the action f(T) (Eq.2), and following [36, 35, 37], to derive the cosmological equations in the Bianchi I metric, (BIm), one can define a canonical Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(A, \dot{A}, T, \dot{T})$ , whereas Q = a, T is the configuration space, and  $\mathcal{T}Q = [A, \dot{A}, T, \dot{T}]$  is the related tangent bundle on which L is defined. The factor A(t) and the torsion scalar T (t) are taken as independent dynamical variables. One can use the method of Lagrange multipliers to set T as a constraint of the dynamics (Eq. 6). Selecting the suitable Lagrange multiplier and integrating by parts, the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  becomes canonical [36, 35] theory which is given by

$$I = 2\pi^2 \int dt ABC \big[ f(T) - \lambda (T + 6H^2 - 2\sigma^2) - \frac{\rho_{m0}}{ABC} \big],$$
(10)

where  $\lambda$  is a Lagrange multiplier. The variation with respect to T of this action gives

$$\lambda = f_T. \tag{11}$$

So, the action (10) can be rewritten as

$$I = 2\pi^2 \int dt ABC \big[ f(T) - f_T (T + 6H^2 - 2\sigma^2) - \frac{\rho_{m0}}{ABC} \big],$$
(12)

and then the point-like Lagrangian reads (up to a constant factor  $2\pi^2$ ) gives

$$\mathcal{L}(A, \dot{A}, T, \dot{T}) = A^{1+2m} \left[ f(T) - f_T (T + 6H^2 - 2\sigma^2) \right] - \rho_{m0}, \tag{13}$$

where using from assume  $B = C, a^3 = A^{1+2m}$ . Writing (13) with respect (9) yield

$$\mathcal{L}(A, \dot{A}, T, \dot{T}) = A^{1+2m} \left[ f - f_T T + 2f_T (\frac{\dot{A}}{A})^2 c_0 \right] - \rho_{m0}, \tag{14}$$

where  $c_0 = 1/3(2m+1) - (1+2m)^2/3 - m^2)$ , and setting m = 1 reduce equation (Eq.14) to the same form of lagrangian equation in isotropic universe, i.e. *FRW* metric [37], as well, the equation lagrangian form in the *FRW* metric constrained  $c_0 \neq 0, -2/7$ . As it is explicit for a dynamical system, the Euler-Lagrange equation is written

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_i}\right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_i},\tag{15}$$

#### A. Aghamohammadi et al.

where  $q_i$  are A, T in this case. Substituting Eq. (14) into the Euler-Lagrange equation (Eq.15), we get the following equations with respect T, A respectively

$$A^{1+2m} f_{TT} \left[ -T + 2\left(\frac{A}{A}\right)^2 c_0 \right] = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$4f_{TT}\dot{T}\dot{A} + 4f_T\ddot{A}c_0 + 2f_T(\frac{A}{A})^2(2m-1)c_0 - (1+2m)(f-f_TT) = 0.$$
 (17)

From Eq. (16), it is easy to find that if  $f_{TT} \neq 0$ 

$$T = 2(\frac{\dot{A}}{A})^2 c_0 = -6H^2 + 2\sigma^2.$$
(18)

That, setting m = 1 reduce equation (18) to the same form of torsion scalar from FRW metric, [37]. In addition, the relation (7) is recovered. Mainly, this is the Euler constraint of the dynamics. Substituting Eq. (18) into Eq. (17), we get

$$8f_{TT}c_0^2\frac{\dot{A}}{A}\left[\frac{2\ddot{A}\dot{A}}{A^2} - \frac{2\dot{A}^3}{A^3}\right] + 4f_Tc_0\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + 2f_T(\frac{\dot{A}}{A})^2c_0(2m-1) - (1+2m)(f-2f_Tc_0(\frac{\dot{A}}{A})^2) = 0.$$
(19)

This is the modified Raychaudhuri equation, and by setting m = 1 in the  $c_0$  parameter, the above equation is reduced to the same equation in isotropic universe, i.e. FRW metric [37]. By the way, it is explicit, that the corresponding Hamiltonian to Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}} \dot{q}_{i} - \mathcal{L}.$$
(20)

Replacing (Eq.14) into (Eq.20), one can rewrite the above Lagrangian density as follows

$$\mathcal{H} = 2f_T A^{2m-1} c_0 \dot{A}^2 - A^{1+2m} (f - f_T T) + \rho_{m_0}.$$
(21)

Using the zero energy condition,  $\mathcal{H} = 0$ , [36, 35, 39], we get

$$-2f_T A^{2m-1} c_0 \dot{A}^2 + A^{1+2m} (f - f_T T) = \rho_{m_0}, \qquad (22)$$

where, it is clear again that by taking m = 1 in the  $c_0$  parameter, the above equation end up to one in the modified Friedmann equation, i.e. the f(T) gravity at FRW metric. As a result, we have found that the point-like Lagrangian obtained in (Eq.14) can yield all the correct equations of motion in anisotropic universe, that taken m = 1 in the  $c_0$  parameter recovered what is in isotropic universe, i.e. the f(T) gravity equation in FRW metric.

## 4 Noether Symmetry in f(T ) Theory at Anisotropic Universe

As mentioned, one can find the exact solution to the given lagrangian by using Noether symmetry theorem. So in this section, we would like to investigate Noether symmetry in f(T) theory in anisotropic universe. Following references [36, 35, 37], the generator of Noether symmetry is a killing vector

$$X = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + \dot{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} + \dot{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\beta}}, \qquad (23)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$ , both are the function of the generalized coordinate of A, T. Requirement of Noether symmetry is that Lie differentiation with respect X to be zero. Hence we get

$$L_X \mathcal{L} = \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \alpha} + \beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta} + \dot{\alpha} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} + \dot{\beta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\beta}} = 0.$$
(24)

Therefore, based on Noether symmetry theorem, there should be a motion constant, so-called Noether charge [36, 35].

$$Q_0 = \sum_i \alpha_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\alpha}_i} = \alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}} + \beta \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial T} = \alpha (4f_T A^{2m-1} c_0 \dot{A}) = const,$$
(25)

where setting  $m = 1, c_0 = -3$  in the above equation, recovered the same equation in [37] to isotropic universe. We know that  $L_X \mathcal{L} = 0$ , meaning  $\mathcal{L}$  is constant along the flow generated by X, i.e. (Eq.24[39]. Therefore, evaluating (Eq.24) is a second degree function from  $\dot{A}, \dot{T}$ , whose coefficients are functions of a and T only. Hence, they have to be zero separately. So, replacing (Eq.13) into (Eq.24) and using the relations  $\dot{\alpha} = \partial \alpha / \partial A \dot{A} + \partial \alpha / \partial T \dot{T}$  and  $\dot{\beta} = \partial \beta / \partial A \dot{A} + \partial \beta / \partial T \dot{T}$  yield

$$\alpha(1+2m)(f-f_TT) + 2\alpha(2m-1)f_T(\frac{A}{A})^2 c_0 - \beta A f_{TT}T + 2\beta f_{TT}\dot{A}^2 A^{-1}c_0 + 4\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial A}f_T\dot{A}^2 A^{-1}c_0 + 4\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial T}\dot{T}f_T\dot{A}A^{-1}c_0 = 0.$$
 (26)

As mentioned above, the coefficients  $\dot{A}^2$ ,  $\dot{T}\dot{A}$  should be zero, as a result, we get

$$4\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial T}f_T = 0, \qquad (27)$$

$$2(2m-1)f_T A^{-2} \alpha + 2\beta f_{TT} A^{-1} + 4\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A} f_T A^{-1} = 0,$$
(28)

$$\alpha(1+2m)(f - f_T T) - \beta A f_{TT} T = 0.$$
(29)

It is explicit that solutions of (Eqs. 28, 27,29) are given if the explicit form of  $\alpha, \beta$  are obtained, and if at least one of them is different from zero, then Noether symmetry exist[35]. From (Eq.27), it is clear that  $\alpha$  is independent of T, so it is merely a function of A. In addition, from (Eq.29), we get

$$\alpha(1+2m)(f-f_T T) = \beta A f_{TT} T.$$
(30)

By substituting (Eq.30) in to (Eq.28), we get

$$2(2m-1)f_T T A^{-2} \alpha + 2\alpha(1+2m)(f-f_T T)A^{-2} + 4f_T A^{-1}T \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A} = 0.$$
 (31)

By separation of variables, one can transform the above equation to two independent differential equations as follow

$$1 - \frac{A}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A} = \frac{(1+2m)f}{2f_T T}.$$
(32)

Since Right and left hand side are independent, hence, they must be equal to a same constant, that fore convenience, we set  $\frac{1+2m}{n}$ . As a result, (Eq.32) is separated into two ordinary

differential equations as

$$1 - \frac{A}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial A} = \frac{1 + 2m}{2n},\tag{33}$$

$$\frac{(1+2m)f}{2f_T T} = \frac{1+2m}{2n}.$$
(34)

It is readily obtained the solutions of these two above equation as follow

$$f = \mu T^n, \tag{35}$$

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 A^{\frac{2n-1-2m}{2n}},\tag{36}$$

where, again the above equation is reduced into the same equation in isotropic universe by setting m = 1, i.e. [37]; hence it is the desired one and  $\mu, \alpha_0$  are integral constants. Substituting (Eqs.35, 36) into (Eq.30) we get

$$\beta = -\frac{\alpha_0 \mu (1+2m)}{n} A^{-\frac{1+2m}{2n}} T.$$
(37)

Up till now, we obtained the non-zero solution of f(T),  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ . Therefore, Noether symmetry exists in anisotropic universe on Bianchi type I. Now, we try to obtain a solution of scale factor for this f(T) function. Hence substituting, the (Eqs.35,36,37) into (Eq.25) yields

$$\dot{A}A^{c_2} = \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{38}$$

where,  $c_1 = (Q_0/4\mu n c_0 \alpha)^{1/n-1}, c_2 = \frac{4m^2 + 2m - 4mn - 1}{4m(n-1)}$ . It is readily obtained the solution of (38) as follow

$$A = (1+c_2)^{\frac{1}{1+c_2}} \left[ \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t - c_3 \right]^{\frac{1}{1+c_2}},$$
(39)

where  $c_3$  is integral constant. From requirement a(t=0) = 0, it is easy to see that the constant  $c_3$  is zero. As a result,  $A \sim t^{\frac{1}{1+c_2}}$ . Therefore, as mentioned, relation between scale factor a and component metric A in anisotropic Bianchi type I with assuming,  $B = C = A^m$  is  $a^3 = (ABC) = A^{1+2m}$ , hence

$$a \sim t^{\frac{1+2m}{3(1+c_2)}}.$$
(40)

Note that, requirement of positive expansion,  $\ddot{a} > 0$  requiring a constrained between the n and m, parameter as following

$$n-1 < \frac{3m}{4+2m}.\tag{41}$$

It is clear, that one can readily obtained physical quantity corresponding to the exact solution a and f(T) namely,  $H, \dot{H}, \sigma^2$ , and equation of state  $\omega$ , that in this work, it is not our scope.

## 5 Discussion

As it is well known, symmetry plays a crucial role in the theoretical physics. On other hand, the Noether symmetry is a useful procedure to select models motivated at a fundamental level, and discover the exact solution to the given lagrangian. In this work, Noether symmetry in f(T) theory on A spatially homogeneous and anisotropic Bianchi type I universe have considered. We have addressed the Lagrangian formalism of f(T) theory in anisotropic universe, and a Lagrangian form was obtained. The point-like Lagrangian was clearly constructed. The explicit form of f(T) theory and the corresponding exact solution were found by requirement of Noether symmetry and Noether charge. A power-law f(T), have obtained in the anisotropic universe with power- law scale factor, that can satisfy the requirement of the Noether symmetry. It was regarded that positive expansion is satisfied. Our main conclusions can be summarized as follows

- A exact solution have been obtained to f(T), a(t), that is reduced to those value in *FRW* metric with selecting the *m* parameter, equal one, i.e. m = 1 and  $c_0 = -3$
- Requirement of positive acceleration have obtained a constrained between m and n parameter, i.e.  $n 1 < \frac{3m}{4+2m}$
- To regain the equation lagrangian form in the FRW metric, it was required  $c_0 \neq 0, -2/7$ .
- It was obtained a energy density matter form by the zero energy condition,  $\mathcal{H} = 0$ ,
- We have obtained exact solution of scale factor a by Noether charge condition.
- At last, we have seen that the resulting f(T) theory from Noether symmetry can be study in anisotropic universe that may be, plays an important role at early universe.

### References

- [1] Brans. C., Dicke. R. H., 1996, Phys. Rev 124, 925–935
- [2] Justin. Khoury., Amanda. Weltman., 2004, Annalen der Physik 69, 044026.
- [3] David F. Mota., John D. Barrow., 2004, Physics Letters B 581, 141-146.
- [4] Saaidi. Kh., Mohammadi. A., Sheikhahmadi. H., 2011, Phys. Rev. D 83, 104019.
- [5] David. Wands., 1994, Classical and Quantum Gravity 11 269
- [6] Nojiri. Shin'ichi., Odintsov. Sergei D., 2006, Phys. Rev. D 74, 086005.
- [7] Guarnizo. Alejandro., Castaeda. Leonardo., et al., 2010, General Relativity and Gravitation 42, 2713-2728.
- [8] Saaidi. KH., Aghamohammadi. A., et al., 2012, International Journal of Modern Physics D 21, 1250057.
- [9] Chao-Qiang Geng., Chung-Chi Lee., et al., 2011, Physics Letters B 704, 384 387.
- [10] Linder. Eric V., 2010, Phys. Rev. D 81 127301.
- [11] Bamba. Kazuharu., Geng. Chao-Qiang., et al., 2011, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics 2011, 021.
- [12] Aghamohammadi. A., 2014, Astrophysics and Space Science 352, 1–5.
- [13] Bengochea, Gabriel R., 2009, Ferraro, Rafael., Phys. Rev. D 79, 124019 5.

- [14] Ferraro. Rafael., Fiorini. Franco., 2007, Phys. Rev. D 75, 084031.
- [15] Saridakis.E.N.,2008, Phys. Lett. B 660, 138.
- [16] Zhang.J., Zhang. X., et al., 2007, Eur. Phys. J. C 52, 693.
- [17] Cai. R.G., 2007, Phys. Lett. B 657, 228.
- [18] Wei. H., Cai.R.G., 2009, Eur. Phys. J. C 59, 99.
- [19] Wei.H., Cai. R.G., 2008, Phys. Lett. B 660, 113.
- [20] Wei. H., Cai.R.G., 2008, Phys. Lett. B 663, 1.
- [21] Wu. J.-P., Ma. D.-Z., et al., 2008, Phys. Lett. B 663, 152.
- [22] Neupane. I.P., 2009, Phys. Lett. B 673, 111.
- [23] Zhang. J., Zhang. X., Liu. H., 2008, Eur. Phys. J. C 54, 303.
- [24] Li.Y.H., Ma. J.Z., Cui.J.L., et al, 2011, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 54, 1367.
- [25] E. Komatsu., et al., 2009, Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 180, 330.
- [26] Bertschinger. E., 1994, Physica D 77, 354.
- [27] Brevik. I., Pettersen.S.V., 1997, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3322.
- [28] Khalatnikov.I.M., kamenshchik. A.Yu., 2003, Phys. Lett. B 553, 119.
- [29] Rodrigues, D.C., 2008, Phys. Rev. D 77, 023534.
- [30] Koivisto, T., Mota, D.F., 2008b, Astrophys. J 679, 1.
- [31] Yadav, A.K., Saha, B., 2012, Astrophys. Space Sci 337, 759
- [32] Sharif, M., Rani, S., 2011, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 26, 1657.
- [33] Ferraro, R., Fiorini, F., 2007, Phys. Rev. D 75, 084031. arXiv:gr-qc/ 0610067.
- [34] Bengochea, G.R., Ferraro, R., 2009, Phys. Rev. D 79, 124019. arXiv: 0812.1205
- [35] Vakili.B.,2008, Phys. Lett. B 664, 16 [arXiv:0804.3449].
- [36] Capozziello.S., De Felice. A., 2008 JCAP 0808, 016 [arXiv:0804.2163]; Capozziello.S., Lambiase,G.,2000, Gen. Rel. Grav. 32, 673 [gr-qc/9912083].
- [37] Wei. H., Guo. X.J., Wang, L.F., 2012, Phys. Lett. B , 707, 298304.
- [38] Fayaz. V., Hossienkhani. H., et al., 2014, Astrophys. Space Sci. 353, 301.
- [39] de Ritis.R., et al., 1990, Phys. Rev. D 42, 1091.