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#### Abstract

For any compact Lie group $G$, we give a description of genuine $G$-spectra in terms of the naive equivariant spectra underlying their geometric fixedpoints. We use this to give an analogous description of cyclotomic spectra in terms of naive $\mathbb{T}$-spectra (where $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the circle group), generalizing Nikolaus-Scholze's recent work in the eventually-connective case. We also give an explicit formula for the homotopy invariants of the cyclotomic structure on a cyclotomic spectrum in these terms.
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## 0. Introduction

0.1. Overview. The field of algebraic K-theory was initiated by Grothendieck in order to formulate his relative Riemann-Roch theorem [SGA71, BS58], and it has since found deep connections in such diverse areas as number theory, geometric topology, and motives [FG05]. Of course, profound implications necessitate an inherent complexity, and indeed algebraic K-theory is notoriously difficult to compute. The vast majority of known computations of algebraic K-theory result from its infinitesimal behavior: it admits a cyclotomic trace map

$$
\mathrm{K} \longrightarrow \mathrm{TC}
$$

to topological cyclic homology due to Bökstedt-Hsiang-Madsen [BHM93], which is "locally constant" by a celebrated theorem of Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy [Goo86, McC97, Dun97, DM94, DGM13]. Indeed, the resulting techniques - collectively known as "trace methods" - have produced a plethora of spectacular computations of algebraic K-theory [HM97b, HM97a, HM03, HM04, AGH09, AGHL14, KR97, Rog03, BM].

However, despite the central and enduring importance of the cyclotomic trace, its geometric nature - and indeed, that of TC itself - has remained mysterious. This is largely due to the fact that TC is defined through genuine equivariant stable homotopy theory, which likewise remains mysterious from an algebro-geometric point of view. Specifically, TC is defined using the cyclotomic structure on topological Hochschild homology (THH), which is in turn defined using genuine $\mathbb{T}$-spectra (where $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the circle group), as will be recalled in $\S 0.2$.

The main output of this paper is a reidentification of the $\infty$-category of cyclotomic spectra in terms of naive $\mathbb{T}$-spectra (Theorem B), as well as a formula for the operation taking THH to TC in these terms (Theorem C); these both generalize recent work of Nikolaus-Scholze [NS] in the eventually-connective case (see Remark 0.11). Along the way, we also provide a naive reidentification of the $\infty$-category of genuine $G$-spectra for any compact Lie group $G$ (Theorem A), which is inspired by recent work of Glasman [Gla] and Mathew-Naumann-Noel [MNN17]. Our work relies heavily on the generalized Tate construction, whose functoriality in extremely broad generality we also establish (see Remark 0.12). ${ }^{1}$

This paper is part of a trilogy, whose overarching purpose is to provide a precise conceptual description of the cyclotomic trace at the level of derived algebraic geometry: this is explained in [AMGRb, §0]. In [AMGRa] we construct the THH of spectrally-enriched $\infty$-categories, and in [AMGRb] we endow this construction with its cyclotomic structure in the sense defined here and construct the cyclotomic trace in these terms. The results of the latter paper both arise from the linearization (in the sense of Goodwillie calculus) of more primitive structures for spaces obtained in

[^1]the former paper, namely an unstable cyclotomic structure on spaces-enriched THH and an unstable cyclotomic trace map to the resulting unstable version of TC.
0.2. Genuine cyclotomic spectra. In their influential paper [BHM93], Bökstedt-Hsiang-Madsen defined TC by observing that the THH spectrum (of an associative ring spectrum) carries certain additional structure maps - resulting from what is now called its cyclotomic structure - and taking a limit over those maps. Following work of Hesselholt-Madsen [HM97b], this construction was placed on firmer categorical footing by Blumberg-Mandell [BM15]: they defined a homotopy theory - precisely, a "spectrally-enriched model* category" - of (what we'll refer to as genuine) cyclotomic spectra, which we denote by $\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathcal{S p})$, and they showed that TC could be recovered as the (derived) hom-spectrum
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TC} \simeq \underline{\operatorname{hom}}_{\mathrm{Cyc}}{ }^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathcal{S p})(\operatorname{triv}(\mathbb{S}), \mathrm{THH}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

out of the sphere spectrum equipped with its trivial cyclotomic structure. In [BG], Barwick-Glasman refined this to a presentable stable $\infty$-category, which we continue to denote by $\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathcal{S p})$.

These approaches to cyclotomic spectra are based in genuine equivariant homotopy theory. Recall that for a compact Lie group $G$, the $\infty$-category

$$
S^{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{g} G}
$$

of genuine $G$-spectra is an enhancement of the $\infty$-category

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} G}:=\operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{B} G, \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p})
$$

of homotopy $G$-spectra. ${ }^{2,3}$ Roughly speaking, this is obtained by "remembering the genuine $H$ fixedpoints" for all closed subgroups $H \leq G$, instead of simply taking them to be the homotopy $H$-fixedpoints of the underlying homotopy $G$-spectrum. Thus, genuine $G$-spectra are essentially a stable analog of the $\infty$-category of genuine $G$-spaces, which keeps track of the difference e.g. between $\mathrm{E} G$ and a point. ${ }^{4}$ This enhancement is crucial for many applications - for instance, it is necessary for equivariant Poincaré duality - but the algebro-geometric significance of genuine $G$-spectra is poorly understood.

More generally, there is a notion of a family of subgroups of $G$, which permits a notion of $G$ spectra which are genuine only with respect to that family. In particular, we obtain the $\infty$-category

$$
S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} G}
$$

of proper-genuine $G$-spectra by taking our family to consist of all proper closed subgroups of $G$. Following [BG], we refer to the $\infty$-category

$$
S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<\mathbb{T}}}
$$

[^2]of proper-genuine $\mathbb{T}$-spectra as that of cyclonic spectra.
Now, the world of genuine $G$-spectra admits yet a third type of fixedpoints beyond genuine fixedpoints and homotopy fixedpoints, namely the geometric $H$-fixedpoints functor
$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{H}} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{gW}(H)},
$$
where we write
$$
\mathrm{W}(H):=\mathrm{W}_{G}(H):=\mathrm{N}_{G}(H) / H
$$
for the Weyl group of $H$ (the quotient by it of its normalizer in $G$ ). ${ }^{5}$ Allowing ourselves a slight abuse of notation, let us also write
$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}}: S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}}} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right) \simeq \mathbb{T}} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}
$$
for the composite endofunctor on cyclonic spectra. Then, an object of $\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathcal{S p})$ is given by a cyclonic spectrum $T \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{\ll} \mathbb{T}}$ equipped with a system of equivalences
$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}} T \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma_{r}^{\mathrm{g}}} T
$$
in $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$, which we refer to as genuine cyclotomic structure maps. These must be suitably compatible: for instance, for any pair $r, s \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$we must have a commutative square

of equivalences in $S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}$, where the canonical equivalence on the left arises from the fact that geometric fixedpoints functors compose. ${ }^{6}$
0.3. A naive approach to genuine equivariant spectra. By work of Guillou-May [GM], one can actually define genuine $G$-spectra in terms of their diagrams of genuine fixedpoints (at least when $G$ is a finite group) - see also Barwick's work [Bar17]. On the other hand, there has been much recent activity aimed towards alternative presentations of genuine $G$-spectra entirely in terms of the naive equivariant spectra extracted from their geometric fixedpoints [AK15, Gla, MNN17].

In this paper, we contribute a new perspective to this body of work. Namely, for an arbitrary compact Lie group $G$, we identify the $\infty$-category $S p^{\mathrm{g} G}$ as the right-lax limit of a certain left-lax diagram, which is comprised of the $\infty$-categories $S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hW}(H)}$ as $H \leq G$ varies over conjugacy classes of closed subgroups; the canonical functor from the right-lax limit is given by the composite

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{H}} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{gW}(H)} \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{hW}(H)},
$$

where $U$ denotes the forgetful functor. This diagram is indexed by the poset $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ of closed subgroups of $G$ ordered by subconjugacy. Precisely, the first main result of this paper reads as follows.

[^3]Theorem A (A naive description of genuine $G$-spectra (Corollary 2.72)). For any compact Lie group $G$, there exists a canonical left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{G}$-module

$$
\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \in \mathrm{LMod}_{\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{lax} . \mathrm{P}_{G}}
$$

whose value on an object $H \in \mathrm{P}_{G}$ is the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{hW}(H)}$ of homotopy $\mathrm{W}(H)$-spectra, as well as a canonical equivalence

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{G} \stackrel{\mathrm{l} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}\right)
$$

between the $\infty$-category of genuine $G$-spectra and its right-lax limit.
Remark 0.1. Theorem A follows by combining two general results regarding fractured stable $\infty$-categories, a notion we introduce here - a variation on the "stratified stable $\infty$-categories" of [Gla] (see Remark 2.33). To be more specific, consider a stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ and a poset P .

- In Theorem 2.40, we show that a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over $P$ determines a certain left-lax left P-module, of which $\mathcal{C}$ is the right-lax limit.
- In Theorem 2.65, we give general criteria for obtaining a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over $P$.

We verify that the criteria of Theorem 2.65 are satisfied when $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ and $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{G}$ in Proposition 2.69.

Remark 0.2. As a warmup for Theorem 2.40, in $\S 2.2$ we study the fracture decompositions guaranteed by Theorem A of the $\infty$-categories

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{gC}}, \quad \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{gC}_{p^{2}}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{C}_{p q}}
$$

for $p$ and $q$ distinct primes. This can be read largely independently of (in fact, as motivation for) $\S 1$.

Remark 0.3. Though the full generality of our definition is new, fractured stable $\infty$-categories have a rich history: they are a vast generalization of recollements, which determine fractures over the poset [1]. Perhaps the most prominent example of this phenomenon is the reidentification of sheaves on a scheme in terms of

- sheaves on a closed subcheme,
- sheaves on its open complement, and
- gluing data therebetween. ${ }^{7}$

In light of this, Theorem A paves the way for an algebro-geometric interpretation of genuine $G$ spectra, namely as the sheaves of spectra on some putative stack which admits a decomposition into the stacks $\{\operatorname{BW}(H)\}_{H \leq G}$.

[^4]0.4. A naive approach to cyclotomic spectra. Since cyclotomic spectra are defined in terms of cyclonic (i.e. proper-genuine $\mathbb{T}$-) spectra, we actually seek a slight variant of Theorem A which reidentifies these in naive terms. For this, observe that
$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathbb{T}} \cong\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}\right)^{\triangleright}
$$
where $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ denotes the poset of natural numbers under divisibility: the element $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ corresponds to the subgroup $C_{r} \leq \mathbb{T}$, while the cone point corresponds to the full subgroup $\mathbb{T} \leq \mathbb{T}$. As it turns out, passing from genuine $\mathbb{T}$-spectra to proper-genuine $\mathbb{T}$-spectra amounts to ignoring the cone point.

Corollary 0.4 (A naive description of cyclonic spectra (Corollary 2.75)). There exists a canonical left-lax left $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$-module

$$
\mathbf{S p}^{\mathbf{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}} \in \operatorname{LMod}_{\mathrm{I} . \text { lax. } \mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}},
$$

whose value on an object $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ is the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \simeq \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}}$ of homotopy $\mathbb{T}$-spectra, as well as a canonical equivalence

$$
S p^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}} \simeq \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \stackrel{\mathrm{l} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right)
$$

between the $\infty$-category of cyclonic spectra and its right-lax limit.

Of course, the left-lax left $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$-module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}$ is obtained simply by restricting the left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$-module $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{gT}}$ along the inclusion $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Remark 0.5. To a morphism

$$
i \longrightarrow i r
$$

in $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$, the left-lax left $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$-module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}$ assigns the generalized Tate construction, a functor

$$
S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{i}\right)} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau C_{r}}} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{i r}\right)} .
$$

By definition, this to be the composite

$$
(-)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}: S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{i}\right)} \xrightarrow{\beta} S^{\mathrm{g}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{i}\right)} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}}} S^{\mathrm{g}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{i r}\right)} \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{i r}\right)},
$$

where $\beta$ denotes the right adjoint of the forgetful functor $U$. However, we note here that this functor admits a characterization which makes no reference to genuine equivariant homotopy theory (see Remark 2.16).

Remark 0.6. The module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathbf{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}$ is only left-lax because generalized Tate constructions don’t strictly compose. Rather, a commutative triangle


6
in $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ is assigned to a lax-commutative triangle


Of course, these lax-commutative triangles are just the beginning of an infinite hierarchy of compatibility data that collectively witnesses the left-laxness of the module $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}$.

Now, observe that the commutative monoid $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$of natural numbers under multiplication acts on the poset $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ by dilation: the element $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$acts as the endomorphism


This endomorphism induces a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \stackrel{\text { l.lax }}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathbf{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right) \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \stackrel{\text { I.lax }}{\curvearrowright} r^{*} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathbf{g}^{<}<\mathbb{T}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on right-lax limits. The equivariance of our constructions furnishes compatible equivalences

$$
r^{*} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<\mathbb{T}}} \simeq \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}
$$

and thereafter it will follow that the functor (3) corresponds through Corollary 0.4 with the endofunctor

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}}} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right) \simeq \mathbb{T}} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}
$$

All in all, these considerations lead to the main result of this paper.
Theorem B (A naive description of cyclotomic spectra (Theorem 2.81)). There exists a canonical left-lax right $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$-module

$$
\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{Cyc}} \in \operatorname{RMod}_{\mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{Iax} \cdot \mathrm{BN}^{\times}}
$$

whose value on the unique object of $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$is the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}}$ of homotopy $\mathbb{T}$-spectra, as well as a canonical equivalence

$$
\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{~S} p) \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{Cyc}} \stackrel{\mathrm{l}}{ } \mathrm{Ilax}_{\curvearrowleft} \mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)
$$

between the $\infty$-category of genuine cyclotomic spectra and its right-lax limit.
Remark 0.7. It is ultimately the construction of the cyclotomic structure on THH of [AMGRb] which dictates that $S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{Cyc}}$ should be a left-lax right module over $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$.

Remark 0.8. In order to unwind the content of Theorem B, let us allow ourselves a slight abuse of notation by simply writing

$$
(-)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}: S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hT}} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau C_{r}}} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right) \simeq \mathbb{T}} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}}
$$

for the composite endofunctor, which is the value of the module $\mathbf{S p}{ }^{\mathrm{Cyc}}$ on the morphism $[1] \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{BN}^{\times}$. Then, Theorem B asserts that a genuine cyclotomic spectrum is specified by a homotopy $\mathbb{T}$-spectrum

$$
\begin{gathered}
T \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hT}} \\
7
\end{gathered}
$$

equipped with a cyclotomic structure map

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \xrightarrow{\sigma_{r}} T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{S} \mathbf{p}^{h \mathbb{T}}$ for each $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$, along with an infinite hierarchy of compatibility data. As a first example of such compatibility data, for any pair of elements $r, s \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$we must be given the data of a commutative square

in $\mathcal{S} p^{h \mathbb{T}}$, where the bottom map is essentially the natural transformation in the lax-commutative triangle (2). More generally, for each word $W=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$, we must be given the data of a commutative $n$-cube in $\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hT}}$, and these must be suitably compatible as the word $W$ varies. ${ }^{8}$ Given a genuine cyclotomic spectrum

$$
\tilde{T} \in \mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{Sp})
$$

the cyclotomic structure map (4) on its underlying homotopy $\mathbb{T}$-spectrum

$$
U \tilde{T} \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}}
$$

is given by the composite

$$
U \tilde{T} \underset{\sim}{\sim} U\left(\sigma_{r}^{\mathrm{g}}\right), ~ U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}} \tilde{T} \longrightarrow U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}} \beta U \tilde{T}=:(U \tilde{T})^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}
$$

Remark 0.9. The sorts of words in $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$that are relevant to us are parametrized by the subdivision category $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$: its objects are precisely the equivalence classes of words in $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$under the relation that any instances of the element $1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$may be freely inserted or omitted. In fact, this is not a coincidence: subdivision plays a key role in the definition of a right-lax limit of a left-lax action (i.e. it appears because these handednesses disagree), and it will reappear in our explicit formula for TC in Theorem C below.
0.5. The formula for TC. Justified by Theorem B, we write

$$
\operatorname{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p}):=\lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{lax}}\left(\mathbf{S p}^{\left.\mathrm{Cyc} \stackrel{\text { l.lax }}{\curvearrowleft} \mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)}\right.
$$

for the $\infty$-category of cyclotomic spectra. There is an adjunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S p} \underset{(-)^{\mathrm{hyc}}}{\underset{\text { triv }}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathrm{Sp}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose right adjoint we refer to informally and notationally as the homotopy invariants of the cyclotomic structure. As this right adjoint takes THH to TC, it is crucial for us to obtain an explicit description thereof: this justifies our algebro-geometric description of TC given in $[A M G R b, \S 0] .{ }^{9}$ Such an explicit description is the content of our final main theorem.

[^5]Theorem C (The explicit formula for TC (Theorem 3.29)). There is a canonical factorization

where the first functor takes a cyclotomic spectrum $T \in \operatorname{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})$ to the diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right) \xrightarrow{T^{h \mathrm{~T}}} \mathrm{Sp} \\
& \cup \quad \cup  \tag{7}\\
& \left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)=: W \longmapsto\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{W}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}}:=\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r_{1}} \cdots \tau \mathrm{C}_{r_{k}}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}}
\end{align*}
$$

of spectra.
Remark 0.10. We unwind the definitions of the category $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$and the diagram (7) in Remark 3.35, and we explain how Theorem C supports our algebro-geometric description of TC in [AMGRb, Remark 0.5].

### 0.6. Miscellaneous remarks.

Remark 0.11. This paper finds an important precedent in the recent work [NS] of NikolausScholze. Their main theorem provides a similar - but far simpler - naive reidentification of the full subcategory

$$
\mathrm{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})_{+} \subset \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})
$$

of cyclotomic spectra whose underlying spectra are eventually-connective (i.e. bounded below). Let us describe some of their various remarkable results as they relate to the present paper.
(1) First of all, they prove that the generalized Tate construction is an essentially $p$-primary phenomenon: as soon as the product $r_{1} \cdots r_{n}$ is divisible by more than one prime (for any $n \geq 1$ ), the functor

$$
(-)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r_{1}} \cdots \tau \mathrm{C}_{r_{n}}}
$$

is zero. It follows that the data of a cyclotomic structure on any $T \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hT}}$ may be specified one prime at a time, e.g. we only need specify the data of the commutative square (5) when $r=p^{i}$ and $s=p^{j}$ for some fixed prime number $p$.
(2) Next, they prove a generalization of the Segal conjecture, which (after (1)) can be read as saying that the left-lax right $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$-module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{Cyc}}$ of Theorem B restricts to a strict right $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$-module when considering only eventually-connective spectra. It then follows that the $p$-primary part of a cyclotomic structure on any $T \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}_{+}^{\mathrm{hT}}$ is already canonically determined by the single cyclotomic structure map

$$
T \xrightarrow{\sigma_{p}} T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{p}}
$$

[^6]for instance, this determines both the structure map
$$
T \xrightarrow{\sigma_{p^{2}}} T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}}
$$
and the commutative square (5) in the case where $r=s=p$ through the unique lift

(3) Finally, they prove that the homotopy fixedpoints of any $T \in \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})_{+}$can be recovered as the equalizer
$$
T^{\mathrm{hCyc}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{eq}\left(T^{\mathrm{hT}} \longrightarrow\left(\prod_{p \text { prime }}\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}}\right)\right)
$$
where in the factor corresponding to the prime $p$, one of the maps is $\left(\sigma_{p}\right)^{h \mathbb{T}}$ and the other is the canonical map
$$
T^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}} \simeq\left(T^{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{C}_{r}}\right)^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \longrightarrow\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}\right)^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \simeq\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}}
$$
(where the first equivalence is due to the fact that homotopy fixedpoints compose while the second equivalence passes through the identification $\left.\left(\mathbb{T} / C_{r}\right) \simeq \mathbb{T}\right)$. In fact, the parallel morphisms
$$
T^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{p}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}}
$$
are precisely the image under the functor (7) in Theorem C of certain parallel morphisms
$$
() \Longrightarrow(p)
$$
in $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right) .{ }^{11,12}$
We note that the methods employed in [NS] are quite different from those employed here. We also note that the framework of $[\mathrm{NS}]$ only applies to $\mathrm{THH}(A)$ for connective ring spectra $A$, since $\mathrm{THH}(A)$ may not be eventually-connective if $A$ is nonconnective.

Remark 0.12. As mentioned in $\S 0.1$, in this work we establish the functoriality of the generalization Tate construction (for finite groups) in extremely broad generality: simultaneously

- in parametrized families,
- as it receives a map from the homotopy fixedpoints,
- for extensions of groups, and

[^7]- interspersed with pullbacks (i.e. changing the base $\infty$-category that parametrizes the family).

We refer to this result (Theorem 3.3) as the proto Tate package: in addition to its use in the present paper, it is an essential input for the Tate package (constructed in [AMGRb]), which endows THH of an arbitrary spectrally-enriched $\infty$-category with its cyclotomic structure. We find this result to be of independent interest, and we expect that it may be useful yet elsewhere - for instance, in establishing higher-dimensional versions of TC.
0.7. Outline. This paper is organized as follows.

- We begin in $\S 1$ with an overview of the theory of lax actions and lax limits. Since these notions will be very important throughout this trilogy, we go into explicit detail and provide many worked-through examples. Our presentation of certain of these notions is new, but we show in $\S 1.5$ that they all agree with the corresponding notions coming from $(\infty, 2)$-category theory [GR17].
- Then, in $\S 2$ we study fractured stable $\infty$-categories, and we apply their general theory to deduce our naive descriptions of genuine $G$-spectra and of cyclotomic spectra (Theorems A and B).
- Finally, in $\S 3$ we obtain our explicit formula for TC (Theorem C).


### 0.8. Notation and conventions.

(1) We work within the context of $\infty$-categories, taking [Lur09] and [Lurb] as our standard references. We work model-independently (for instance, we make no reference to the simplices of a quasicategory), and we omit all technical uses of the word "essentially" (for instance, we shorten the term "essentially surjective" to "surjective"). We also make some light use of the theory of $(\infty, 2)$-categories, which is developed in the appendix of [GR17].
(2) We use the following decorations for our functors.

- An arrow $\longleftrightarrow$ denotes a monomorphism, i.e. the inclusion of a subcategory: a functor which is fully faithful on equivalences and induces inclusions of path components (i.e. monomorphisms) on all hom-spaces.
- An arrow $\xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }}$ denotes a fully faithful functor.
- An arrow $\longrightarrow$ denotes a surjection.
- An arrow $\downarrow$ denotes a functor considered as an object in the overcategory of its target (which will often be some sort of fibration).

More generally, we use the notation $\downarrow$ to denote a morphism in any $\infty$-category that we consider as defining an object in an overcategory.
(3) Given some datum in an $\infty$-category (such as an object or morphism), for clarity we may use the superscript $(-)^{\circ}$ to denote the corresponding datum in the opposite $\infty$-category.
(4) Given a functor $F$, we write $F^{*}$ for pullback along it, and $F_{!} \dashv F^{*} \dashv F_{*}$ for left and right Kan extensions along it.
(5) We write Cat for the $\infty$-category of $\infty$-categories, $\mathcal{S}$ for the $\infty$-category of spaces, and $\mathcal{S}$ p for the $\infty$-category of spectra. These are related by the various adjoint functors
(6) For a base $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}$, we define the commutative diagrams of monomorphisms among $\infty$-categories

as follows:

- objects in the upper rows are co/cartesian fibrations over $\mathcal{B}$,
- objects in the lower rows are locally co/cartesian fibrations over $\mathcal{B}$,
- morphisms in the left columns are functors over $\mathcal{B}$ which preserve co/cartesian morphisms, and
- morphisms in the right columns are arbitrary functors over $\mathcal{B}$.

We also write

for the composite equivalences, and refer to them as the cocartesian dual and cartesian dual functors (named for their respective sources).
(7) We make use of the theory of exponentiable fibrations of [AF] (see also [AFR, §5]), an $\infty$ categorical analog of the "Conduché fibrations" of [Gir64, Con72]: these are the objects $(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \in \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}$ satisfying the condition that there exists a right adjoint
to the pullback; by the adjoint functor theorem, these can be equivalently characterized as those objects for which the proposed left adjoint preserves colimits. We refer to this right adjoint as the relative functor $\infty$-category construction; it is analogous to the internal
hom of presheaves. Thus, for any target object $(\mathcal{F} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \in C^{\text {at }}{ }_{/ \mathcal{B}}$ and any test object $(\mathcal{K} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \in \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}$, a lift

is equivalent data to a functor

between pullbacks over $\mathcal{K}$. In particular, for

$$
\mathcal{F}:=\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}
$$

a projection from a product we simply write

$$
\operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{\text {rel }}(\mathcal{E}, \underline{\mathcal{G}}):=\operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})
$$

(thinking of $\mathcal{F}$ as the "constant presheaf" at $\mathcal{G}$ ). This special case - which in fact will be the only case that we ever use - participates in the composite adjunction

$$
\text { Cat }_{/ \mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow[\substack{-\times \mathcal{B}}]{\substack{-\ldots--1 \\ \text { Funnel }_{\mathcal{B}}(\varepsilon,(-))}} \text { Cat. }
$$

We write

$$
\mathrm{EFib}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}
$$

for the full subcategory on the exponentiable fibrations, and we note once and for all that cocartesian fibrations and cartesian fibrations are exponentiable.
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## 1. Actions and limits, strict and lax

In this section, for a group (or monoid) $G$, we provide definitions of strict, left-lax, and right-lax $G$-actions on $\infty$-categories, as well as strict, left-lax, and right-lax limits thereof. ${ }^{13}$ In fact, these notions only depend on the category $\mathrm{B} G$, and indeed it will be useful for us to give our definitions in terms of an arbitrary base $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}$; the above cases are obtained by taking $\mathcal{B}=B G$.

[^8]Warning 1.1. This choice has the slightly unfortunate consequence that for instance the terminology "B-modules" specializes to "BG-modules" instead of " $G$-modules". Thus, in this paper (but not in the papers [AMGRa] and [AMGRb]), to maintain uniformity we use the terminology "BG-modules", as well as its accompanying notation.

In $\S 1.1$, we introduce all of our notions of $\mathcal{B}$-modules and most of our notions of equivariant functors. Then, in $\S 1.2$ we define most of the sorts of limit that exist. Next, in $\S 1.3$ we introduce the remaining sorts of limit. This leads to our remaining notions of equivariant functors, which we introduce in $\S 1.4$. Finally, in $\S 1.5$ we assemble a pair of results regarding lax actions and lax limits which will be immensely useful throughout this trilogy.

Remark 1.2. We omit essentially all mention of lax colimits, as we will have no explicit need for them. On the other hand, they will certainly be present: for example, the left-lax colimit of a functor $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow$ Cat is nothing other than the total $\infty$-category $\mathcal{E}$ of the cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}$ that it classifies. See Remark 1.28 for a somewhat exotic variation on this idea.
1.1. Strict and lax actions. We begin this subsection with an omnibus definition, which the remainder of the subsection is dedicated to discussing.

Definition 1.3. In Figure 1, various $\infty$-categories of $\mathcal{B}$-modules depicted on the left side are defined as indicated on the right side. The objects in the $\infty$-categories in the upper left diagram are (various sorts of) left $\mathcal{B}$-modules, while the objects in the $\infty$-categories in the lower left diagram are (various sorts of) right $\mathcal{B}$-modules. In both diagrams on the left side, we refer

- to the objects
- in the middle rows as (strict) $\mathcal{B}$-modules,
- in the top rows as left-lax $\mathcal{B}$-modules, and
- in the bottom rows as right-lax right $\mathcal{B}$-modules,
and
- to the morphisms
- in the middle columns as (strictly) equivariant,
- in the left columns as left-lax equivariant, and
- in the right columns as right-lax equivariant.

So in our notation, laxness of the actions is indicated by a subscript (placed before ". $\mathcal{B}$ "), while laxness of the morphisms is indicated by a superscript.

Remark 1.4. We'll give definitions in $\S 1.4$ that extend the diagrams of Figure 1 to full $3 \times 3$ grids (see Observation 1.29).

Notation 1.5. In this paper, we denote e.g. a right-lax left $\mathcal{B}$-module

$$
\left(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text {op }}\right) \in \operatorname{loc} . \text { Cart }_{\mathcal{B} \text { op }}:=\operatorname{RMod}_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B} \text { op }}:=\operatorname{LMod}_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B}} \quad \text { by } \quad \mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text { r.lax }}{\curvearrowright} \mathcal{E}
$$

and analogously for all other sorts of $\mathcal{B}$-modules.

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c}$


Cat $_{\text {loc.cocart } / \mathcal{B} \text { op }} \longleftrightarrow$ loc.coCart ${ }_{\mathcal{B} \text { op }}$


Figure 1. The commutative diagrams of monomorphisms among $\infty$-categories on the left are defined to be those on the right.

Warning 1.6. In concordance with Warning 1.1, in the papers [AMGRa] and [AMGRb] we endow the action arrows $\curvearrowright$ and $\curvearrowleft$ with their more usual meaning: for instance, in those works we would write

$$
G \stackrel{\text { r.lax }}{\curvearrowright} \mathcal{E}_{0} \quad \text { instead of } \quad \mathrm{B} G \stackrel{\text { r.lax }}{\curvearrowright} \mathcal{E}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ denotes the fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ over the unique object of $B G^{\text {op }}$.
Example 1.7. Let us unwind the definitions of the $\infty$-categories

$$
\operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{B}}^{1 . \operatorname{lax}}, \quad \operatorname{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}^{r \cdot l a x}
$$

in the simplest nontrivial case, namely when $\mathcal{B}=[1]$.
(1) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[1]$ and $\mathcal{F} \downarrow[1]$ be cocartesian fibrations, the unstraightenings of functors

$$
[1] \xrightarrow{\left\langle\varepsilon_{10} \xrightarrow{E} \varepsilon_{11}\right\rangle} \text { Cat }
$$

and

$$
[1] \xrightarrow{\left\langle\mathcal{F}_{\mid 0} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{F}_{\mid 1}\right\rangle} \text { Cat }
$$

respectively. Then, let us consider a left-lax equivariant functor


Given a cocartesian morphism $e \rightarrow E(e)$ in $\mathcal{E}$ with $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid 0}$ and $E(e) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid 1}$, the functor $\varphi$ takes it to some not-necessarily-cocartesian morphism $\varphi(e) \rightarrow \varphi(E(e))$ in $\mathcal{F}$ with $\varphi(e) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mid 0}$ and $\varphi(E(e)) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mid 1}$. This admits a unique factorization

as a cocartesian morphism followed by a fiber morphism. This operation is functorial in $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid 0}$, which implies that our left-lax equivariant functor amounts to the data of a laxcommutative square


To say that the left-lax equivariant functor is actually strictly equivariant is equivalently to say that this square actually commutes, i.e. that the natural transformation is a natural equivalence.
(2) Dually, let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[1]$ and $\mathcal{F} \downarrow[1]$ be cartesian fibrations, the unstraightenings of functors

$$
[1]^{\text {op }} \xrightarrow[16]{\left\langle\varepsilon_{\mid 0^{\circ}} \stackrel{E}{\leftarrow}^{\left.\varepsilon_{11^{\circ}}\right\rangle}\right.} \text { Cat }
$$

and
respectively. Then, a right-lax equivariant functor

likewise amounts to the data of a lax-commutative square


To say that the right-lax equivariant functor is actually strictly equivariant is equivalently to say that this square actually commutes, i.e. that the natural transformation is a natural equivalence.

Example 1.8. Let us unwind the definitions of the $\infty$-categories

$$
\operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{B}}^{1 . \operatorname{lax}}, \quad \operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text {r.lax }}, \quad \operatorname{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text {r.lax }}, \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}}^{1 . \operatorname{lax}}
$$

in the simple but illustrative case that $\mathcal{B}=\mathrm{B} G$ for a group $G$. Choose any two objects

$$
\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Cat}_{(\mathrm{co}) \mathrm{cart} / \mathrm{B} G^{(\mathrm{op})}},
$$

with the two choices of whether or not to include the parenthesized bits made independently. These are classified by left or right $G$-actions on the fibers $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ over the basepoint of $\mathrm{B} G^{(\mathrm{op})}$ - right if the choices coincide, left if they do not - and morphisms between them are left-lax equivariant in the case of "cocart" and right-lax equivariant in the case of "cart". In all four cases, a morphism

is the data of a functor

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{0}} \mathcal{F}_{0}
$$

on underlying $\infty$-categories equipped with certain natural transformations indexed over all $g \in G$, as recorded in Figure 2. Moreover, these must be equipped with compatibility data with respect to the group structure: for example, in the case of $\operatorname{LMod}_{\mathrm{B} G}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{lax}}$, for all $g, h \in G$ the diagram

must commute, naturally in $e \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$.

| $\operatorname{LMod}_{\mathrm{B} G}^{1 \cdot \operatorname{lax}}$ | $g \cdot \varphi_{0}(-) \longrightarrow \varphi_{0}(g \cdot-)$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\operatorname{RMod}_{\mathrm{B} G}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}$ | $\varphi_{0}(-\cdot g) \longrightarrow \varphi_{0}(-) \cdot g$ |
| $\operatorname{LMod}_{\mathrm{B} G}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}$ | $\varphi_{0}(g \cdot-) \longrightarrow g \cdot \varphi_{0}(-)$ |
| $\operatorname{RMod}_{\mathrm{B} G}^{1 . \operatorname{lax}}$ | $\varphi_{0}(-\cdot g) \longrightarrow \varphi_{0}(-) \cdot g$ |

Figure 2. Given two $\infty$-categories equipped with (strict) left or right $G$-actions, defining a left- or right-lax equivariant functor between them amounts to defining a functor on underlying $\infty$-categories along with compatible lax structure maps indexed by $g \in G$, as indicated.

Example 1.9. Let us unwind the definitions of the $\infty$-categories

$$
\text { LMod }_{1 . l a x . \mathcal{B}}^{l . l a x} \quad \text { and } \quad \text { RModr.lax.B }
$$

in the simplest nontrivial case, namely when $\mathcal{B}=[2]$.
(1) (a) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[2]$ be a locally cocartesian fibration; let us write $\mathcal{E}_{\mid i}$ for its fibers (for $i \in[2]$ ) and $E_{i j}$ for its cocartesian monodromy functors (for $0 \leq i<j \leq 2$ ). An object $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid 0}$ determines a pair of composable cocartesian morphisms $e \rightarrow E_{01}(e) \rightarrow E_{12}\left(E_{01}(e)\right)$ with $E_{01}(e) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid 1}$ and $E_{12}\left(E_{01}(e)\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid 2}$. Their composite is a not-necessarily-cocartesian morphism, which admits a unique factorization

as a cocartesian morphism followed by a fiber morphism. This is functorial in $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid 0}$, which implies that our left-lax left [2]-module amounts to the data of a lax-commutative triangle


This should be thought as the unstraightening of a left-lax functor

$$
[2] \xrightarrow{\text { I.lax }} \text { Cat }
$$

of $(\infty, 2)$-categories.
(b) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[2]$ and $\mathcal{F} \downarrow[2]$ be locally cocartesian fibrations, and let us continue to use notation as in part (a) for both $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$. Then, a left-lax equivariant functor

amounts to the data of left-lax equivariant functors over the three nonidentity morphisms in [2] (as described in Example 1.7(1)), along with an equivalence between the composite 2-morphisms

and

(i.e. a 3-morphism filling in the triangular prism).
(2) (a) Dually, let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow$ [2] be a locally cartesian fibration; let us write $\mathcal{E}_{\mid i^{\circ}}$ for its fibers (for $i \in[2]$ ) and $E_{j^{\circ} i^{\circ}}$ for its cartesian monodromy functors (for $0 \leq i<j \leq 2$ ). Then, this right-lax right [2]-module amounts to the data of a lax-commutative triangle


This should be thought as the unstraightening of a right-lax functor

$$
[2]^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\text { r.lax }} \mathrm{Cat}
$$

of $(\infty, 2)$-categories.
(b) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[2]$ and $\mathcal{F} \downarrow[2]$ be locally cartesian fibrations, and let us continue to use notation as in part (a) for both $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$. Then, a right-lax equivariant functor

amounts to the data of right-lax equivariant functors over the three nonidentity morphisms in [2] (as described in Example 1.7(2)), along with an equivalence between the composite 2-morphisms

and

(i.e. a 3 -morphism filling in the triangular prism).

Example 1.10. Let us unwind the definitions of the $\infty$-categories

$$
\text { LMod}_{1 . l a x . \mathcal{B}}, \quad \operatorname{RMod}_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B}}, \quad \operatorname{LMod}_{\text {r.lax.B }}, \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{RMod}_{\text {I.lax. } \mathcal{B}}
$$

in the simple but illustrative case that $\mathcal{B}=\mathrm{B} G$ for a group $G$. Choose an object

$$
\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{loc} .(\mathrm{co}) \mathrm{cart} / \mathrm{B} G^{(\mathrm{op})}},
$$

with the two choices of whether or not to include the parenthesized bits made independently. Write $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ for the fiber over the basepoint of $\mathrm{B} G^{\text {(op })}$, the underlying $\infty$-category. Then, this is the data of an endofunctor $(g \cdot-)$ or $(-\cdot g)$ of $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ for each $g \in G$, along with compatible natural transformations, as recorded in Figure 3. Of course, these must also be compatible with iterated multiplication in $G$.
1.2. Strict and lax limits. We begin this subsection with an omnibus definition, which the remainder of the subsection is dedicated to discussing.

Definition 1.11. In Figure 4, we define various limit functors on various $\infty$-categories of $\mathcal{B}$ modules. We refer

| LMod.I.ax.BG | $(g h \cdot-) \longrightarrow g \cdot(h \cdot-)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| RModr.lax.BG | $(-\cdot g) \cdot h \longrightarrow(-\cdot g h)$ |
| LModr.lax.BG $^{\text {a }}$ | $g \cdot(h \cdot-) \longrightarrow(g h \cdot-)$ |
| RMod.l.ax.BG | $(-\cdot g h) \longrightarrow(-\cdot g) \cdot h$ |

Figure 3. Equipping an $\infty$-category with a left- or right-lax left or right $G$-action amounts to defining endofunctors indexed by $g \in G$, equipped with lax structure maps corresponding to multiplication in $G$, as indicated.

- to lim as the (strict) limit,
- to $\lim ^{1 . l a x}$ as the left-lax limit, and
- to lim $^{\text {r.lax }}$ as the right-lax limit.

Remark 1.12. The strict limit of a strict (left or right) $\mathcal{B}$-module as given in Definition 1.11 computes the limit of its unstraightening, considered as a functor to Cat. In particular, the strict limit of a left $\mathcal{B}$-module is canonically equivalent to the strict limit of its corresponding right $\mathcal{B}^{\circ p_{-}}$ module, and dually: all middle triangles in the diagrams of Figure 4 commute.

Example 1.13. Let us unwind the definitions of the functors in the diagrams

in the simple but illustrative case that $\mathcal{B}=\mathrm{B} G$ for a group $G$.
(1) Suppose that

$$
(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathrm{B} G) \in \operatorname{coCart}_{\mathrm{B} G}=: \mathrm{LMod}_{\mathrm{B} G}
$$

is classified by a left $G$-action on $\mathcal{E}_{0}$.
(a) An object of the strict limit is given by an object $e \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$ equipped with equivalences

$$
g \cdot e \xrightarrow{\sim} e
$$

for all $g \in G$ that are compatible with the group structure of $G$.
(b) An object of the left-lax limit is given by an object $e \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$ equipped with morphisms

$$
g \cdot e \longrightarrow e
$$

for all $g \in G$ that are compatible with the group structure of $G$.
(2) Suppose that

$$
(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathrm{B} G) \in \mathrm{Cart}_{\mathrm{B} G}=: \mathrm{RMod}_{\mathrm{B} G}
$$



Figure 4. The rightwards functors to Cat on the left are defined to be those on the right.
is classified by a right $G$-action on $\mathcal{E}_{0}$.
(a) An object of the strict limit is given by an object $e \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$ equipped with equivalences

$$
e \xrightarrow{\sim} e \cdot g
$$

for all $g \in G$ that are compatible with the group structure of $G$.
(b) An object of the left-lax limit is given by an object $e \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$ equipped with morphisms

$$
e \longrightarrow e \cdot g
$$

for all $g \in G$ that are compatible with the group structure of $G$.
Example 1.14. Let us unwind the definitions of the functors

$$
\text { LMod }{ }_{I . l \mathrm{lax} . \mathcal{B}}^{1 . \operatorname{lax}} \xrightarrow{\text { limm }} \text { Cat } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { RMod }_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B}}^{\text {r.lax }} \xrightarrow{\text { limr }{ }^{\text {r.lax }}} \text { Cat }
$$

in the simplest nontrivial case, namely when $\mathcal{B}=[2]$.
(1) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[2]$ be a locally cocartesian fibration, and let us employ the notation of Example $1.9(1)(\mathrm{a})$. Then, an object of the left-lax limit of this left-lax left [2]-module is given by the data of

- objects $e_{i} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid i}($ for $0 \leq i \leq 2)$,
- morphisms

$$
E_{i j}\left(e_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{i j}} e_{j}
$$

(for $0 \leq i<j \leq 2$ ), and

- a commutative square

in $\mathcal{E}_{\mid 2}$.
Note that the structure map $\varepsilon_{02}$ is canonically determined by the structure maps $\varepsilon_{01}$ and $\varepsilon_{12}$.
(2) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[2]$ be a locally cartesian fibration, and let us employ the notation of Example $1.9(2)(\mathrm{a})$. Then, an object of the right-lax limit of this right-lax right [2]-module is given by the data of
- objects $e_{i^{\circ}} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid i^{\circ}}($ for $0 \leq i \leq 2)$,
- morphisms

$$
e_{i^{\circ}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{j^{\circ} i^{\circ}}} E_{j^{\circ} i^{\circ}}\left(e_{j^{\circ}}\right)
$$

(for $0 \leq i<j \leq 2$ ), and

- a commutative square

in $\mathcal{E}_{\mid 0^{0}}$.
Note that the structure map $\varepsilon_{2 \circ 0}$ o is likewise canonically determined by the structure maps $\varepsilon_{2^{\circ} 1^{\circ}}$ and $\varepsilon_{1^{\circ} 0^{\circ}}$.


### 1.3. Left-lax limits of right-lax modules and right-lax limits of left-lax modules.

Definition 1.15. The subdivision functor is defined on the cosimplicial indexing category as the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\psi}{\Delta} \xrightarrow{\text { sd }}{ }_{\psi}^{\text {Cat }} \\
& {[n] \longmapsto \mathscr{P}_{\neq \varnothing}([n])}
\end{aligned}
$$

taking an object to the poset of its nonempty subsets, ordered by inclusion; we left Kan extend this definition as

to obtain an endofunctor on Cat, for which we use the same notation and terminology.
Observation 1.16. For any $[n] \in \boldsymbol{\Delta}$, there are evident functors

$$
\operatorname{sd}([n]) \xrightarrow{\max }[n] \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{sd}([n])^{\text {op }} \xrightarrow{\min }[n],
$$

which respectively take a nonempty subset of $[n]$ to its maximal or minimal element. These are respectively a locally cocartesian fibration and a locally cartesian fibration: in both cases the monodromy functors are given by union, as illustrated in Figure 5. By functoriality of left Kan extensions, these induce augmentations

$$
\text { sd } \xrightarrow{\text { max }} \text { id } \quad \text { and } \quad s d^{\rho p} \xrightarrow{\text { min }} \text { id }
$$

in Fun(Cat, Cat), which are respectively componentwise locally cocartesian fibrations and locally cartesian fibrations. ${ }^{14}$

Notation 1.17. Given two objects

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{1} \downarrow \mathcal{B}\right),\left(\varepsilon_{2} \downarrow \mathcal{B}\right) \in \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}},
$$

we write

$$
\operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{(\text {co }) \text { cart }}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \subset \operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)
$$

[^9]

Figure 5 . The functor $\operatorname{sd}([2]) \xrightarrow{\text { max }}[2]$ is a locally cocartesian fibration; the curved arrows denote cocartesian morphisms. Note that the functor $\operatorname{sd}([1]) \xrightarrow{\text { max }}[1]$ can also be seen here in three different ways, corresponding to the three nonidentity maps in [2].
for the full subcategory on those functors which take all (co)cartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ to (co)cartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{2}$. This evidently defines a Cat-enrichment of the subcategory

$$
\mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{(\mathrm{co}) \mathrm{cart}} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}
$$

on those morphisms that preserve all (co)cartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{B}$.
Definition 1.18. We define the functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LMod }_{\text {I.lax. } \mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\text { lim }^{\text {r.lax }}} \text { Cat } \quad:=\quad \text { loc. }_{\text {coCart }}^{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\text { Fun } / \mathcal{B} \text { cocart }(\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}),-)} \text { Cat, } \\
& \text { RMod }_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\text { lim }^{1.1 .1 a x}} \text { Cat }:=\quad \text { loc. } \text { Cart }_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\text { Fun }_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{\text {cocatt }}\left(\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B})^{\text {op }},-\right)} \text { Cat , } \\
& \text { LMod }_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\text { lim. }{ }^{\text {l.ax }}} \text { Cat } \quad:=\quad \text { loc. } \text { Cart }_{\mathcal{B} \text { op }} \xrightarrow{\text { Fun }_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{\text {cap }\left(s d\left(\mathcal{B}^{\text {op }}\right)^{\text {op }},-\right)}} \text { Cat , }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

We continue to refer

- to $\lim ^{\text {l.lax }}$ as the left-lax limit, and
- to lim ${ }^{\text {r.lax }}$ as the right-lax limit.

Remark 1.19. The purpose of $\S 1.4$ is to extend the domains of the various lax limit functors of Definition 1.18 along surjective monomorphisms. We will indicate how these extensions agree with the various lax limits of Definition 1.11 in Observation 1.29.

As the following example shows, in contrast with Example 1.14, when the laxness of the action does not align with the laxness of the limit, it is no longer the case that the lax structure maps for two composable morphisms in the base determine the lax structure map for their composite.

Example 1.20. Let us unwind the definitions of the functors

$$
\text { LMod.l.lax. } \mathcal{B}^{\text {lim }{ }^{\text {r.lax }}} \text { Cat } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { RMod }_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\text { lim }{ }^{1 . \operatorname{lax}}} \text { Cat }
$$

in the simplest nontrivial case, namely when $\mathcal{B}=[2]$.
(1) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[2]$ be a locally cocartesian fibration, and let us employ the notation of Example $1.9(1)(\mathrm{a})$. Then, an object of the right-lax limit of this left-lax left [2]-module is given by the data of

- objects $e_{i} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid i}($ for $0 \leq i \leq 2)$,
- morphisms

$$
e_{j} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{i j}} E_{i j}\left(e_{i}\right)
$$

(for $0 \leq i<j \leq 2$ ), and

- a commutative square

in $\mathcal{E}_{\mid 2}$.
Note that the structure map $\varepsilon_{02}$ is not determined by the structure maps $\varepsilon_{01}$ and $\varepsilon_{12}$ (unless the morphism marked "can" - the component at $e_{0}$ of the left-lax structure map - is an equivalence).
(2) Let $\mathcal{E} \downarrow[2]$ be a locally cartesian fibration, and let us employ the notation of Example $1.9(2)(\mathrm{a})$. Then, an object of the left-lax limit of this right-lax right [2]-module is given by the data of
- objects $e_{i \circ} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid i^{\circ}}($ for $0 \leq i \leq 2)$,
- morphisms

$$
E_{j^{\circ} i^{\circ}}\left(e_{j^{\circ}}\right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{j^{\circ} i^{\circ}}} e_{i^{\circ}}
$$

(for $0 \leq i<j \leq 2$ ), and

- a commutative square

in $\mathcal{E}_{\mid 0^{\circ}}$.
Note that the structure map $\varepsilon_{2^{\circ} 0^{\circ}}$ is likewise not determined by the structure maps $\varepsilon_{2^{\circ} 1^{\circ}}$ and $\varepsilon_{1^{\circ} 0^{\circ}}$ (unless the morphism marked "can" - the component at $e_{0^{\circ}}$ of the right-lax structure map - is an equivalence).

Remark 1.21. It is because we are taking e.g. the right-lax limit of a left-lax module that we end up with the perhaps unfamiliar compatibility conditions of the commutative squares in Example 1.20. Comparing with Example 1.14, we see that the analogous compatibility condition for e.g. the left-lax limit of a left-lax module as a section of a locally cocartesian fibration is simply that the section preserves composition of morphisms - that is, that it is even a functor at all.

Observation 1.22. Consider the projection from the product

$$
\underline{\mathcal{G}}:=\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}
$$

as an object of $\operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \operatorname{LMod}_{1 . l a x . \mathcal{B}}$, the trivial left-lax left $\mathcal{B}$-module with value $\mathcal{G}$. Note that the localization of the category $\operatorname{sd}([n])$ with respect to the cocartesian morphisms for the locally cocartesian fibration

$$
\operatorname{sd}([n]) \xrightarrow{\max }[n]
$$

is $[n]^{\mathrm{op}}$; it follows that the localization of the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B})$ with respect to the cocartesian morphisms for the locally cocartesian fibration

$$
\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\max } \mathcal{B}
$$

is simply $\mathcal{B}^{\circ p}$. Hence, we find that

$$
\lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathcal{B} \curvearrowright \underline{\mathcal{G}}):=\operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{\text {cocart }}(\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}), \underline{\mathcal{G}}):=\operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{\text {cocart }}(\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{G}\right)
$$

Dually, considering

$$
(\underline{\mathcal{G}} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \in \operatorname{RMod}_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \operatorname{RMod}_{\text {r.lax. } \mathcal{B}}
$$

as the trivial right-lax right $\mathcal{B}$-module with value $\mathcal{G}$, we find that

$$
\lim ^{1 . \operatorname{lax}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}} \curvearrowleft \mathcal{B}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})
$$

Observation 1.23. Given a $\mathcal{B}$-module of any sort, there are canonical fully faithful inclusions from its strict limit to its various lax limits: these are corepresented by the epimorphisms (in fact localizations)

$$
\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\max } \mathcal{B} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\min } \mathcal{B},
$$

which respectively preserve cocartesian and cartesian morphisms over $\mathcal{B}$.
1.4. Left-lax equivariant functors of right-lax modules and right-lax equivariant functors of left-lax modules.

Observation 1.24. Directly from Definition 1.18, we see that there are commutative diagrams

and


$$
(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \longmapsto\left(([n] \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{B}) \longmapsto \mathrm{Fun}_{/[n]}^{\mathrm{cart}}\left(\operatorname{sd}([n])^{\mathrm{op}}, \varphi^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)\right)
$$

Remark 1.25. Another way of phrasing the fact that the structure maps $\varepsilon_{02}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}{ }^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ of Example 1.20 are not determined by the others is to say that the functors in the image of $\mathrm{Yo}^{\text {r.lax }}$ and Yo ${ }^{\text {I.lax }}$ typically do not satisfy the ( $\mathcal{B}$-parametrized) Segal condition.

Definition 1.26. We define the full images

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fun }\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}^{\text {op }}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \text {, Cat }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^10]Our terminology for these $\infty$-categories extends that given in Definition 1.3 in the evident way, so that for instance a morphism in RModr.lax. ${ }^{\text {r.B }}$. is called a right-lax equivariant functor of left-lax right $\mathcal{B}$-modules.

Observation 1.27. The functor

$$
\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}
$$

is the inclusion of a strongly generating subcategory: left Kan extension along it (into a cocomplete target) is fully faithful. In particular, the right Kan extension

$$
\text { Fun }\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \text { Cat }\right) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\left(\mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \text { Cat }\right)
$$

is fully faithful, and so we may equivalently state Definition 1.26 in terms of this larger functor $\infty$-category. ${ }^{16}$

Remark 1.28. Given a left-lax left $\mathcal{B}$-module

$$
(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \in \text { loc.coCart }_{\mathcal{B}}=: \text { LMod }_{\text {I.lax. } \mathcal{B}}
$$

let us consider its right-lax Yoneda functor

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\text {op }} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Yo}^{\mathrm{r} . \operatorname{lax}}(\mathcal{E})} \text { Cat . }
$$

Observe that any functor $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ determines a composite

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}^{\prime}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\text {op }} \xrightarrow{\text { Yor.lax }(\varepsilon)} \text { Cat ; }
$$

we may think of this as the restriction of $\mathrm{Yo}^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathcal{E})$, which allows us to study this object "locally". In particular, restricting along a functor

$$
[1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}
$$

determines a cartesian fibration over [1]: the cocartesian dual of the cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}$. As noted in Remark 1.25, the essential subtlety of the situation lies in the fact that the corresponding cartesian monodromy functors don't generally compose: restricting along a functor

$$
[2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}
$$

does not generally determine a cartesian fibration over [2]. Nevertheless, one might still consider the functor $\mathrm{Yo}^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathcal{E})$ as the "locally cocartesian dual" of the locally cocartesian fibration $\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}$ : the right-lax colimit of the left-lax functor

$$
\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text { I.lax }} \mathrm{Cat}
$$

that classifies it.

[^11]Observation 1.29. It follows immediately from Observation 1.33 that the diagrams of Figure 1 defined in Definition 1.3 extend to commutative diagrams

(with the dotted arrows being nontrivial to obtain), such that all relevant notions - strict limit, left-lax limit, right-lax limit, and underlying $\infty$-category - all remain unambiguous.
1.5. Lax equivariance and adjunctions. The primary output of this subsection is a pair of results - Lemmas 1.34 and 1.36 - which will be repeatedly useful throughout the trilogy to which this paper belongs. In contrast with the rest of this section, in this final subsection we only work out the specific things we'll need, without spelling out their variously dual assertions.

The material in this subsection is drawn from the appendix of [GR17]. We also use some of the notation introduced there (possibly with some minor cosmetic changes), the meaning of which should always be clear from context.

Notation 1.30. For an $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}$, we write

$$
\operatorname{I} \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}) \in 2 \mathrm{Cat}
$$

for its left-laxification: the $(\infty, 2)$-category corepresenting left-lax functors from $\mathcal{B}$. Precisely, this is the 1-opposite of the non-unital right-laxification [GR17, Chapter 11, §A.1.2] of its (1-)opposite, which can be unitalized by [GR17, Chapter 11, Lemma A.3.5]. It follows from [GR17, Chapter 11, Theorem-Construction 3.2.2] that left-lax functors out of $\mathcal{B}$ are equivalent to strict functors out of I.lax $(\mathcal{B})$. In particular, we have an equivalence

$$
\operatorname{LMod}_{\text {Ilax. } \mathcal{B}}:=\operatorname{loc} . \operatorname{coCart}_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{I} \cdot \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}), \text { Cat }) .
$$

Observation 1.31. It is immediate from the definition of a left-lax functor that the commutative triangle

is a left Kan extension diagram: for any $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B} \in C$ at, we have an identification

$$
\text { I. } \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{([n] \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \in \Delta_{/ \mathcal{B}}} \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}([n])
$$

of its left-laxification.

Remark 1.32. In fact, I.lax $([n])$ can be described quite explicitly: the $\infty$-category

$$
\operatorname{hom}_{I \cdot \operatorname{lax}([n])}(i, j)
$$

is just the poset of strictly increasing sequences

$$
i<k_{1}<\cdots<k_{l}<j
$$

in $[n]$ from $i$ to $j$ (ordered by inclusion), with composition given by concatenation. ${ }^{17}$
Observation 1.33. The notion of right-lax equivariant morphism between left-lax modules given in Definition 1.26 agrees with the one given in [GR17, Chapter 10, $\S 3]$, as we now explain.

We use the terminology of [GR17, Chapter 11]: a 1-co/cartesian fibration over an ( $\infty, 2$ )-category is a co/cartesian fibration whose fibers are all $(\infty, 1)$-categories (so that a 0 -co/cartesian fibration is a left/right fibration). With the evident corresponding notation, we have the composite equivalence

$$
\operatorname{LMod}_{\mid . \operatorname{lax} . \mathcal{B}}:=\operatorname{loc} \cdot \operatorname{coCart} \mathcal{B} \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{I} \cdot \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}), \operatorname{Cat}) \simeq \operatorname{Cart}_{\mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B})^{1 \mathrm{op}}},
$$

whereafter the surjective monomorphism
witnesses the passage from strictly equivariant functors to right-lax equivariant functors in the sense of [GR17, Chapter 11]. So it suffices to provide a fully faithful inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{Cat}_{1 \text { cart } / \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B})^{\text {1op }}} \stackrel{\text { f.f. }}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \text { Cat }\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the composite
is the right-lax Yoneda embedding. We take the functor (8) to be the restricted (Cat-enriched) Yoneda functor along the functor


The functor (10) is the fully faithful inclusion of a strongly generating subcategory, so that the functor (8) is indeed a fully faithful inclusion. To see that the resulting composite (9) is indeed the right-lax Yoneda embedding, it suffices to check this when $\mathcal{B}=[n]$, in which case this is readily verified: one can check directly that the locally cocartesian fibration $\operatorname{sd}([n]) \xrightarrow{\max }[n]$ is obtained through the composite operation of

- freely turning the identity functor on I.lax $([n])^{10 p}$ into a cartesian fibration,
- turning this into a cocartesian fibration over I.lax $([n])$, and then
- turning this into a locally cocartesian fibration over $[n]$.

[^12]Lemma 1.34. The datum of a morphism

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0} \longleftarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}
$$

in LModillax. ${ }_{\text {lax }}^{\text {lax }}$. whose restriction to each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is a right adjoint is equivalent to the datum of $a$ morphism

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}
$$

in LMod $_{1 . l a x . \operatorname{lax}}^{\text {r. }}$ whose restriction to each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is a left adjoint, with the inverse equivalences given fiberwise by passing to adjoints.

Proof. This follows from [GR17, Chapter 12, Corollary 3.1.7] by taking $\mathbb{S}_{1}=\mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}), \mathbb{S}_{2}=[1]$, and $\mathbb{T}=$ Cat .

Remark 1.35. One couldn't hope to improve Lemma 1.34 to obtain an adjunction after passing to some sort of limits, because the two functors back and forth have different sorts of lax equivariance.

Lemma 1.36. A morphism

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0} \longleftarrow \mathcal{E}_{1}
$$

in LMod.lax. $\mathcal{B}$ whose restriction to each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is a right adjoint admits a (necessarily unique) extension

to an adjunction in LMod ${ }_{1 . l a x . \mathcal{B}}^{\text {r.lax }}$.
Proof. Unwinding [GR17, Chapter 12, Theorem 1.2.4] in the case that

- we take $\mathbb{S}=[1]$ to be the walking arrow,
- we take the marking $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbb{S}$ to be maximal (i.e. $\mathbf{C}=\mathbb{S}$ ), and
- we take

$$
\mathbb{T}=\operatorname{Fun}^{\text {r.lax }}(\operatorname{I.lax}(\mathcal{B}), \text { Cat }) \simeq \operatorname{LMod}_{1 . \operatorname{lax} \cdot \mathcal{B}}^{\text {r.lax }}
$$

to be the $(\infty, 2)$-category whose objects are (strict) functors I.lax $(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow$ Cat and whose morphisms are right-lax natural transformations,
we see that to give this extension it is equivalent to specify, functorially for all pairs of objects $[i],[j] \in \boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and all maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
[i] \times[j] \longrightarrow[1] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
[j]^{\mathrm{op}} \circledast[i] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}(\mathrm{I} \cdot \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}), \text { Cat }) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

out of the Gray product, such that in the case of the equivalence

$$
[1] \times[0] \xrightarrow{\sim}[1]
$$

we recover (the postcomposition of) our original map

$$
[0]^{\text {op }} \circledast[1] \simeq[1] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(I \cdot \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}), \text { Cat }) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathrm{I} \cdot \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}), \text { Cat })
$$

For this, we postcompose the map (11) to obtain a composite functor

$$
[i] \times[j] \longrightarrow[1] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(\text { I.lax }(\mathcal{B}), \text { Cat })
$$

which is equivalent data by adjunction to a functor

$$
[i] \times[j] \times \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \text { Cat }
$$

which is in turn equivalent data to a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
[i] \times \operatorname{I} . \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B}) \times[j] \longrightarrow \text { Cat } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the cartesian product is symmetric. ${ }^{18}$ Now, the assumption that our original morphism in LMod $_{1 . l a x . \mathcal{B}}$ restricts to a right adjoint on each object $b \in \mathcal{B}$ implies that the functor (13) sends each morphism "along [j]" (i.e. each morphism in the source whose images in $[i]$ and I.lax $(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalences) to a right adjoint. By [GR17, Chapter 12, Corollary 3.1.7], the functor (13) therefore induces a functor

$$
[j]^{\mathrm{op}} \circledast([i] \times \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}(\mathcal{B})) \longrightarrow \text { Cat } .
$$

This precomposes to a functor

$$
[j]^{\mathrm{op}} \circledast[i] \circledast \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{lax}(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \text { Cat }
$$

which is in turn adjoint to our desired functor (12). By construction, this satisfies the stated compatibility condition.

## 2. A naive approach to genuine $G$-spectra

In this section, we prove Theorems A and B: our naive descriptions of genuine $G$-spectra and of cyclotomic spectra.

We prove these theorems using the formalism of fractured stable $\infty$-categories. This notion is introduced in $\S 2.3$, where we also prove (Theorem 2.40) that a fracture $\mathfrak{F}$ of a stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ over a poset P is equivalent data to a left-lax left P -module $\mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F})$, with the inverse equivalence being given by right-lax limits. In particular, we recover the underlying stable $\infty$-category as the right-lax limit

$$
\mathcal{C} \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}(\mathrm{P} \stackrel{1 . \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}))
$$

In $\S 2.4$, we establish a canonical fracture of the stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ of genuine $G$-spectra over the poset $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ of subgroups of $G$ ordered by subconjugacy. We achieve this by first proving a general result (Theorem 2.65) which gives sufficient conditions under which an assignment

$$
\mathrm{P} \ni p \longmapsto X_{p} \in \mathcal{C}
$$

of a compact object of $\mathcal{C}$ to each element of $P$ determines a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over $P$, and then showing (Proposition 2.69) that the assignment

$$
\mathrm{P}_{G} \ni H \longmapsto \Sigma_{G}^{\infty}(G / H)_{+} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{g} G}
$$

satisfies these conditions. Theorem A follows; we record this as Corollary 2.72. We also establish its analog for proper-genuine $G$-spectra (stated as Corollary 0.4 in the special case that $G=\mathbb{T}$ ) as Corollary 2.75.

[^13]In $\S 2.5$, we recall the definition of genuine cyclotomic spectra, and we prove Theorem B as Observation 2.82 through a straightforward analysis of the equivariance properties of Theorem A.

This section begins with $\S 2.1$, whose main purpose is to fix notation and recall some classical facts regarding genuine and naive equivariant spectra. However, we also include some discussion of the generalized Tate construction, and we establish a generalization of a classical fact regarding its exactness properties (Lemma 2.19), which will be essential in our construction of the cyclotomic structure on THH and of the cyclotomic trace in [AMGRb].

Before proceeding to the general formalism of fractured stable $\infty$-categories, as motivation we unpack a few sample applications of Theorem A in §2.2.
2.1. Preliminaries on equivariant spectra. In this subsection, we fix our notation concerning equivariant spectra and we study the generalized Tate construction. For further background on genuine equivariant spectra, we refer the reader to [LMSM86, May96, MM02]

Notation 2.1. In this subsection, we write $G$ for an arbitrary compact Lie group, we write $H \leq G$ for an arbitrary closed subgroup, and we write

$$
\mathrm{W}(H):=\mathrm{W}_{G}(H):=\mathrm{N}_{G}(H) / H
$$

for its Weyl group (the quotient by it of its normalizer in $G$ ).
Remark 2.2. By work of Guillou-May [GM], when $G$ is a finite group then everything presented in this subsection can be expressed in the language of spectral Mackey functors. This will be crucial for us in §3.1.

## Notation 2.3.

(1) We write

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h} G}:=\operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{B} G, \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p})
$$

for the $\infty$-category of homotopy $G$-spectra.
(2) We write

$$
\mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{g} G}
$$

for the $\infty$-category of genuine $G$-spectra, i.e. the stable $\infty$-category of spectral presheaves on the orbit $\infty$-category of $G$ with the representation spheres inverted under the smash product monoidal structure.
(3) We write

$$
S^{5} \mathrm{~g}^{<} G
$$

for the $\infty$-category of proper-genuine $G$-spectra, i.e. the reflective subcategory of $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ on those objects that are local with respect to the family of proper closed subgroups of $G$.

Notation 2.4. We write

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \underset{\beta_{G}}{\stackrel{U_{G}}{\longleftrightarrow}} \mathscr{S p}^{\mathrm{h} G}
$$

for the adjunction - a reflective localization - whose left adjoint is the forgetful functor and whose right adjoint is the "Borel-complete genuine $G$-spectrum" functor. The right adjoint factors through the subcategory $S p^{g^{<} G} \subset S p^{g G}$ of proper-genuine $G$-spectra, and we use the same notation for this
factorization. We omit the subscripts whenever the group $G$ is clear from the notation, simply writing $U \dashv \beta$ instead of $U_{G} \dashv \beta_{G}$.

Remark 2.5. The functor $\beta$ takes a homotopy $G$-spectrum $E \in \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h} G}$ to a genuine $G$-spectrum whose genuine $H$-fixedpoints are the homotopy $H$-fixedpoints $E^{\mathrm{h} H}$.

Observation 2.6. The square

commutes: it consists of right adjoints, and the corresponding square of left adjoints commutes.
Definition 2.7. The generalized Tate construction with respect to $H$ is the composite

$$
(-)^{\tau H}: S^{\mathrm{h} G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{\Phi^{H}} \mathbb{S p}^{\mathrm{gW}(H)} \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{hW}(H)},
$$

where $\Phi^{H}$ denotes the geometric $H$-fixedpoints functor. In a slight abuse of notation, we also write

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hT}} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau c_{r}}} \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hT}}
$$

for either long composite endofunctor in the commutative diagram


Remark 2.8. When $G=H=\mathrm{C}_{p}$ for a prime $p$, then the generalized Tate construction reduces to the ordinary Tate construction: the cofiber

$$
(-)_{\mathrm{hC}}^{p} \boldsymbol{} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Nm}}(-)^{\mathrm{hC}} p(-)^{\mathrm{tC}_{p}}
$$

of the norm map. ${ }^{19}$
Warning 2.9. In [AMGRb], for brevity we omit the word "generalized" from the terminology "generalized Tate construction".

Remark 2.10. A similar construction was studied by Greenlees-May in [GM95].
Observation 2.11. There is a reflective localization

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \stackrel{L_{\Phi} H}{\perp} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} / \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}_{<H}^{\mathrm{g} G}
$$

where the right side (which denotes the quotient in $\operatorname{Pr}^{L}$ ) might be more standardly identified as the localization

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G} / \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}_{<_{H}}^{\mathrm{g} G} \simeq \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}\left[\mathscr{F}<{ }_{H}^{-1}\right]
$$

[^14]away from the family $\mathscr{F}_{<H}$ of closed subgroups of $G$ that are properly subconjugate to $H .{ }^{20}$ The geometric $H$-fixedpoints functor can then be identified as the composite
$$
\Phi^{H}: S^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{L_{\Phi} H} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} / \mathcal{S p}_{<}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{(-)^{H}} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{gW}(H)},
$$
which is also a reflective localization.
Remark 2.12. When $H \unlhd G$ is a normal closed subgroup, Observation 2.11 first appears as [LMSM86, Corollary II.9.6], which moreover implies that in this case the composite functor
$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} / \mathcal{S p}_{<H}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{(-)^{H}} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g}(G / H)}
$$
is an equivalence.
Remark 2.13. Combining Observation 2.11 with Remark 2.5 and Observation 2.6 justifies the heuristic description of the generalized Tate construction as "quotienting the homotopy fixedpoints by norms from all proper subgroups". Explicitly, this quotient map
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-)^{\mathrm{h} H} \longrightarrow(-)^{\tau H} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is given by the composite

$$
U_{\mathrm{W}(H)}\left((-)^{H} \longrightarrow \Phi^{H}\right) \beta_{G}
$$

Observation 2.14. From Observation 2.11, we obtain a composite localization

$$
S^{\mathrm{g} G} \frac{\Phi^{H}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{gW}(H)} \frac{U}{\longleftrightarrow} \stackrel{\perp}{\longleftrightarrow} S^{\mathrm{hW}(H)}
$$

In particular, we obtain a fully faithful embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\mathrm{hW}(H)} \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{g} G} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.15. The embedding (15) is a nonstandard one, which will be essential to our work. As we will see in $\S 2.3$, a fracture of a stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ over a poset $P$ in particular determines an assignment

$$
\mathrm{P} \ni p \longmapsto \mathcal{C}_{p} \subset \mathcal{C}
$$

of a reflective subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ to each element of P . The canonical fracture of $\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ of Proposition 2.69 will assign this reflective subcategory to the element $H \in \mathrm{P}_{G}$.

Remark 2.16. The generalized Tate construction

$$
S^{\mathrm{h} G} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau G}} \mathbb{S p}
$$

admits a description making no reference to genuine equivariant homotopy theory: it is the lower composite in the left Kan extension diagram


[^15]where the quotient is taken in the $\infty$-category StCat of stable $\infty$-categories and exact functors. Of course, the natural transformation is nothing but the quotient map (14).

Remark 2.17. Despite Remark 2.16, in $\S 3.1$ it will be convenient to use the existing framework of genuine equivariant homotopy theory obtain the proto Tate package, which simultaneously packages a great multitude of functorialities enjoyed by the generalized Tate constructions (recall Remark 0.12).

Remark 2.18. By [MNN, Proposition 5.25], the functor ( -$)^{\tau C_{n}}$ vanishes on $H \mathbb{Z}$-module spectra as soon as $n$ is not prime. ${ }^{21,22}$ One consequence of this fact is that the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Mod}_{H \mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathcal{S p} \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ of genuine $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant $H \mathbb{Z}$-module spectra admits a much simpler description than the full $\infty$ category $\mathcal{S} p^{g \mathbb{T}}$ of genuine $\mathbb{T}$-spectra.

We end this subsection by recording the following crucial fact about the generalized Tate construction.

Lemma 2.19. The functor

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{S p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S p} \\
\Psi & ש  \tag{16}\\
E \longmapsto\left(E^{\otimes r}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}
\end{array}
$$

is exact (where $\mathrm{C}_{r}$ acts on $E^{\otimes r}$ by its action on the indexing set).
Proof. It is easy to see that the functor (16) preserves the zero object, so it suffices to show that it also preserves cofiber sequences. So, let

$$
E_{0} \longrightarrow E_{1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} E_{2}
$$

be a cofiber sequence of spectra. By the same argument as in the proof of [Lura, Proposition 2.2.3], we obtain a filtration of the object

$$
\operatorname{fib}\left(\varphi^{\otimes r}\right) \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hC} C_{r}}
$$

whose associated graded object decomposes as

$$
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(\bigoplus_{\substack{\{1, \ldots r\}=I \sqcup J \\|I|=i}}\left(E_{0}\right)^{\otimes I} \otimes\left(E_{1}\right)^{\otimes J}\right)
$$

where the $\mathrm{C}_{r}$-action on each parenthesized summand is through its action on the set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$. But for all $i<r$, the $i^{\text {th }}$ summand is induced up from a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{C}_{r}$. It then follows from Observations 2.6 and 2.11 and the fact that the generalized $C_{r}$-Tate construction is exact that we have an identification

$$
\operatorname{fib}\left(\left(\varphi^{\otimes r}\right)^{\tau C_{r}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{fib}\left(\varphi^{\otimes r}\right)^{\tau C_{r}} \simeq\left(\left(E_{0}\right)^{\otimes r}\right)^{\tau C_{r}}
$$

(which respects the evident maps to $\left(\left(E_{1}\right)^{\otimes r}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}$ ).

[^16]Remark 2.20. On the other hand, the functor (16) does not preserve filtered colimits. Rather, for any spectrum $E \in \mathcal{S p}$, there is a natural comparison morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \otimes\left(\mathbb{S}^{\otimes r}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}} \longrightarrow\left(E^{\otimes r}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an equivalence when $E \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is finite.
Remark 2.21. In the special case that $r=p$ is prime, Lemma 2.19 is a classical result going back to [JW83] and [BMMS86, Chapter II.3].
2.2. Examples of fracture decompositions of genuine $G$-spectra. In this subsection we give three sample applications of Theorem A, in order to motivate the abstract formalism that follows.

Notation 2.22. In this subsection, in the interest of uniformity, even in the case that $G$ is the trivial group we will often still include the forgetful functor

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{U} \mathrm{Sp}
$$

(now an equivalence) in our notation.
Example 2.23. We recall the situation (which is well known) in the case that $G=\mathrm{C}_{p}$ : a genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p}$-spectrum

$$
\tilde{E} \in S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{C}_{p}
$$

is completely specified by the data of

- its underlying homotopy $\mathrm{C}_{p}$-spectrum

$$
E_{0}:=U \tilde{E} \in S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{C}_{p}}
$$

- its geometric $\mathrm{C}_{p}$-fixedpoints spectrum

$$
E_{1}:=U \Phi^{C_{p}} \tilde{E} \in \mathcal{S} p
$$

and

- the gluing data of a comparison map

$$
U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}}(\tilde{E} \longrightarrow \beta U \tilde{E})=:\left(E_{1} \longrightarrow\left(E_{0}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{p}}\right)
$$

from $E_{1}$ to the $C_{p}$-Tate construction on $E_{0}$,
and conversely any such data collectively determine a genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p}$-spectrum. Meanwhile, the poset of subgroups of $\mathrm{C}_{p}$ is simply $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \cong[1]$, so that our left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p}}$-module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{gC}}{ }_{p}$ has no choice but to be strict: indeed, it simply classifies the $\mathrm{C}_{p}$-Tate construction

$$
S^{\mathrm{hC}}{ }_{p} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau C_{p}}} \mathrm{Sp}
$$

Then, the above assertion can be reformulated as an identification

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} C_{p}} \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{hC} C_{p}} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau C_{p}}} \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}\right)
$$

of the $\infty$-category of genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p}$-spectra as the right-lax limit of this left $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p}}$-module, precisely as guaranteed by Theorem A.

Example 2.24. The situation when $G=\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}$ is more subtle. We would like to specify a genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}$-spectrum

$$
\tilde{E} \in S p^{\mathrm{gC}_{p^{2}}}
$$

in terms of the naive equivariant spectra

$$
E_{0}:=U \tilde{E} \in S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}}, \quad E_{1}:=U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \tilde{E} \in S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hC}}, \quad \text { and } \quad E_{2}:=U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}} \tilde{E} \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p} ;
$$

taking our cue from Example 2.23, we seek gluing data that relate these naive equivariant spectra. Again, the unit maps of various adjunctions yield

- a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}}(\tilde{E} \longrightarrow \beta U \tilde{E})=:\left(E_{1} \longrightarrow\left(U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \beta\right) E_{0}\right)=:\left(E_{1} \longrightarrow\left(E_{0}\right)^{\tau \complement_{p}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $S p^{h C_{p}}$,

- a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}}(\tilde{E} \longrightarrow \beta U \tilde{E})=:\left(E_{2} \longrightarrow\left(U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}} \beta\right) E_{0}\right)=:\left(E_{2} \longrightarrow\left(E_{0}\right)^{\tau C_{p^{2}}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{S p}$, and

- a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}}\left(\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \tilde{E} \longrightarrow \beta U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \tilde{E}\right) \simeq\left(U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}^{2}} \tilde{E} \longrightarrow\left(U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \beta\right)\left(U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \tilde{E}\right)\right)=:\left(E_{2} \longrightarrow\left(E_{1}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{p}}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{S}$.
However, these maps are not unrelated: they must fit into a certain commutative square

in $\mathcal{S p}$ as a direct consequence of the commutativity of the diagram

in Fun $\left(\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{gC}_{p^{2}}}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$ and the canonical equivalence $\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}} \simeq \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}}$.

In this case, we have an identification $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}} \cong[2]$, and our left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}}$-module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{gC}}{ }_{p^{2}}$ classifies the lax-commutative diagram

whose natural transformation is precisely the bottom map in diagram (21). Then, Theorem A guarantees the reidentification

$$
S \mathrm{gC}_{p^{2}} \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}} \stackrel{I .1 \mathrm{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{gC}} \mathrm{p}^{2}\right)
$$

which reduces to the assertion that the above data - the naive equivariant spectra $E_{0}, E_{1}$, and $E_{2}$, the maps (18), (19), and (20), and the commutative square (21) - are indeed collectively equivalent to the datum of the genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p^{2}}$-spectrum $\tilde{E}$.

Example 2.25. Finally, let us consider the case that $G=\mathrm{C}_{p q}$, where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes. First of all, the poset of subgroups of $\mathrm{C}_{p q} \cong[1] \times[1]$ is the commutative square

extrapolating from Example 2.24 we see that the left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p q}}$-module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} \mathrm{C}_{p q}}$ classifies a laxcommutative diagram


However, all functors from the top left to the bottom right are zero. It follows that the equivalence

$$
S_{p^{g}} \mathrm{gC}_{p q} \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{lax}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{p q}} \stackrel{\mathrm{l} \cdot \mathrm{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{gC}} \mathrm{C}_{p q}\right)
$$

guaranteed by Theorem A reduces to the assertion that a genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p q}$-spectrum $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{gC}} \mathrm{C}_{p q}$ is completely specified by the data of

- the naive equivariant spectra

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
E_{00}:=U \tilde{E} \in S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hC}} \mathrm{C}_{p q} & E_{01}:=U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p}} \tilde{E} \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hC} C_{q}} \\
E_{10}:=U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{q}} \tilde{E} \in \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{hC}} \quad & E_{11}:=U \Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{p q}} \tilde{E} \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}
\end{array}
$$

and

- the gluing data of comparison maps

$$
\left(E_{01} \longrightarrow\left(E_{00}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{p}}\right)
$$

$$
\left(E_{11} \longrightarrow\left(E_{01}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{q}}\right)
$$

$$
\left(E_{10} \longrightarrow\left(E_{00}\right)^{\tau C_{q}}\right) \quad\left(E_{11} \longrightarrow\left(E_{10}\right)^{\tau C_{p}}\right)
$$

Remark 2.26. Example 2.25 makes manifest the equivalence

$$
\mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{gC}} \mathrm{C}_{p q} \simeq \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{gC} C_{p}} \otimes S p^{\mathrm{gC} C_{q}}
$$

(where the tensor product is taken in $\mathrm{Pr}^{L}$ ). In other words, a genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p q}$-spectrum is the data of a genuine $\mathrm{C}_{p}$-object in genuine $\mathrm{C}_{q}$-spectra (and vice versa).
2.3. Fractured stable $\infty$-categories. In this subsection, we introduce fractured stable $\infty$-categories over a poset P , and we prove that they are equivalently specified by stable left-lax left P -modules, with the inverse construction given by right-lax limits (Theorem 2.40).

Notation 2.27. In this subsection, we fix a stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$. We write Loce for its poset of reflective subcategories, ordered by inclusion. We also write $P$ for an arbitrary poset.

Definition 2.28. A nonempty full subposet $\mathrm{Q} \subset \mathrm{P}$ is called a convex subset of P if whenever there exist maps $x \rightarrow z \rightarrow y$ in P with $x, y \in \mathrm{Q}$, then also $z \in \mathrm{Q}$.

Notation 2.29. We write Convp for the poset of convex subsets of $P$, ordered by inclusion. To ease notation, for an element $p \in \mathrm{P}$ we simply write $p$ (rather than $\{p\}$ ) for the corresponding singleton element of Convp.

Construction 2.30. Suppose we are given a functor

$$
\operatorname{Conv}_{[1]} \longrightarrow \text { Loce }_{\mathcal{C}} ;
$$

write

for the diagram of reflective subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ which it selects, and for any $Q \in \operatorname{Conv}_{[1]}$ write

$$
\mathcal{C} \stackrel{L_{Q}}{\stackrel{\perp}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{C}_{Q}
$$

[^17]for the corresponding adjunction. Consider the full subcategories

of the product $\mathcal{C} \times[1]$, where on the right the fiber over $i \in[1]$ contains those objects lying in $\mathcal{C}_{i}$, and the factorization exists by functoriality (i.e. by the existence of the morphisms $i \rightarrow[1]$ in $\operatorname{Conv}_{[1]}$ ). Note that the two lower diagonal functors in diagram (23) define cocartesian fibrations over [1]: the one on the left is classified by the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}_{[1]}$, while the one on the right is classified by the composite
$$
\mathcal{C}_{0} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{L_{1}} \mathcal{C}_{1}
$$

Hence, the horizontal functor in diagram (23) defines a morphism in LMod ${ }_{[1]}^{1 / l a x}$. Evidently, its restriction to each object of [1] is a right adjoint: the left adjoint over the object $i \in[1]$ is given by the composite


Hence, by Lemma 1.34 these left adjoints canonically assemble into a morphism

$$
\mathcal{C}_{[1]} \times[1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1}
$$

in $\operatorname{LMod}_{[1]}^{\text {r.lax }}$, which in turn induces a composite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{[1]} \simeq \lim \left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{[1]} \times[1]\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{[1]} \times[1]\right)\right) \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the fully faithful inclusion is that of Observation 1.23).
Remark 2.31. An object of the target

$$
\lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)\right)
$$

of the functor (24) can be informally described as the data of a triple

Then, the functor (24) itself can be informally described by the association

$$
Z \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& L_{1} Z \\
L_{0} Z \in \mathcal{C}_{0}, L_{1} Z \in \mathcal{C}_{1}, & \downarrow \\
& L_{1} L_{0} Z
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the morphism (the third datum) is obtained by applying the functor $L_{1}$ to the unit $Z \rightarrow L_{0} Z$.

Definition 2.32. A fracture of a stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ over a poset P is a functor

that satisfies the following conditions.
(1) It preserves terminal objects, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_{P}=\mathcal{C}$.
(2) Choose any object $\mathrm{Q} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{\mathrm{P}}$ and any functor $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow[1]$; this induces a functor $\operatorname{Conv}_{[1]} \rightarrow$ Convp selecting the diagram

which postcomposes to a functor

$$
\text { Conv }_{[1]} \longrightarrow \text { Conv }_{P} \longrightarrow \text { Loce }_{\mathrm{e}}
$$

Then, the resulting functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q} \xrightarrow{(24)} \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{Q_{1}}\right)\right)
$$

is an equivalence.
(3) If a functor

$$
\mathrm{I}^{\triangleright} \longrightarrow \text { Convp }_{P}
$$

witnesses $Q \in$ Convp $_{p}$ as a filtered colimit, then the canonical functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q} \longrightarrow \lim _{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{Q}_{Q_{i}}
$$

(to the limit over the left adjoint localization functors) is an equivalence.
Remark 2.33. Condition (2) of Definition 2.32 implies the second condition in [Gla, Definition 3.4], but they are not equivalent. ${ }^{24}$

Observation 2.34. By passing to a reflective subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, it is always possible to assume that condition (1) of Definition 2.32 is satisfied. Indeed, a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over P in particular yields a fracture of $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ over $Q$ for all $Q \in$ Convp .

Loosely speaking, condition (2) of Definition 2.32 asserts that as the object $Q \in C_{\text {Convp }}$ grows (i.e. acquires more elements of P ), the corresponding reflective subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ must be obtained by "gluing together" smaller reflective subcategories, in a suitable sense. On the other hand, condition (3) of Definition 2.32 requires a certain regularity as the object $Q \in C^{\prime} n_{p}$ grows transfinitely. These observations suggests that $\mathcal{C}$ itself should be obtainable from the "one-point" localizations $\mathfrak{C}_{p}$ for $p \in \mathrm{P}$ through an iterated gluing process, or more generally as a cofiltered limit of such gluings if P is infinite. This is exactly the content of the main result of this subsection (Theorem 2.40), which is stated as an equivalence of $\infty$-categories, whose constituent data we describe now.

[^18]Notation 2.35. We write

$$
\mathrm{StCat}_{\text {frac } / \mathrm{P}}
$$

for the $\infty$-category of stable $\infty$-categories fractured over P and exact fracture-preserving functors between them.
somewhere, Observe that fractures of disjoint unions are directly seen to correspond to product decompositions.

Definition 2.36. A module (of any flavor, including lax) is called stable if its fibers are stable $\infty$-categories and its monodromy functors are exact.

Notation 2.37. We write
StLMod lilax.P
for the subcategory on the stable left-lax left P-modules and the fiberwise exact functors between them.

Construction 2.38. Given a fracture

$$
\text { Conv }_{\mathrm{p}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}} \text { Loce }_{\mathrm{C}},
$$

we construct a stable left-lax left P-module

$$
\mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}) \in \operatorname{LMod}_{\text {.lax.P }}
$$

as the full subcategory

on those pairs of the form

$$
\left(X \in \mathcal{C}_{p}, p\right) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

over a morphism $p \rightarrow q$ in P , the cocartesian monodromy functor is thus given by the composite

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{L_{q}} \mathcal{C}_{q}
$$

This evidently defines a functor

$$
\text { StCat }_{\text {frac } / P} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{P}}} \text { StLMod }_{\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{lax} . \mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{lax}}
$$

Construction 2.39. Given a stable left-lax left P-module

$$
\mathcal{E} \in \operatorname{StLMod}_{\text {I.lax.P }}^{\text {r.lax }}
$$

we construct a stable $\infty$-category fractured over P by the prescription

$$
Q \longmapsto \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(Q \stackrel{1 . \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathcal{E})
$$

taking an object $\mathrm{Q} \in$ Convp $_{p}$ to the right-lax limit of the pullback of $\mathcal{E}$ along the inclusion $\mathrm{Q} \hookrightarrow P$ (which is left implicit in the notation). Note that this does indeed define an object

$$
\lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}(\varepsilon) \in \text { StCat }_{\text {frac } / \mathrm{P}}:
$$

these right-lax limits are easily seen to be stable $\infty$-categories, right-lax limits are contravariantly functorial in the base $\infty$-category under restriction, and the requirement that an element $Q \in C^{C o n v}$ be a convex subset of $P$ (rather than an arbitrary subposet) guarantees that the resulting restriction

$$
\lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathrm{P} \stackrel{\mathrm{l} . \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathrm{Q} \stackrel{1 . \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathcal{E})
$$

admits a (diagramatically defined) fully faithful right adjoint. As right-lax limits are functorial for right-lax equivariant functors, it is easy to see that this construction defines a functor

$$
\text { StLModrer r.lax } \xrightarrow{\lim ^{r .1 a x}} \text { StCat }_{\text {frac } / P}
$$

Theorem 2.40. The functors

$$
\text { StCat }_{\text {frac } / P} \frac{\mathrm{M}}{\underset{\lim ^{r . \operatorname{lax}}}{\underset{~}{~}} \text { StLMod }_{\text {I.lax. P }}^{\text {r.lax }}}
$$

are canonically inverse equivalences of $\infty$-categories.
Proof. First of all, we observe that both side are evidently compatible with filtered colimits in the variable $P$ (i.e. they take filtered colimits of posets to cofiltered limits of $\infty$-categories) - the left side by condition (3) of Definition 2.32. Thus, it suffices to prove the claim in the case that P is finite.

Now, the composite $\mathbf{M} \circ \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}$ is obviously naturally equivalent to the identity, by construction. So it remains to show that the composite $\lim ^{\text {r.lax }} \circ \mathbf{M}$ is as well. We begin by constructing a natural comparison morphism. Suppose that the functor

$$
\text { Convp }_{\mathrm{p}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}} \text { Loce }_{\mathrm{e}}
$$

defines a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over $P$. Let us consider the defining inclusion

$$
\mathcal{C} \times \mathrm{P} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F})
$$

as a morphism in LMod li.lax. P . By construction, over each object $p \in \mathrm{P}$ this is a right adjoint, and so their left adjoints assemble into a canonical morphism

$$
\mathcal{C} \times \mathrm{P} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F})
$$

in LMod ${ }_{\text {I.lax. }}^{\text {r.lax }}$. by Lemma 1.34. This allows us to construct the composite

$$
\mathcal{C} \simeq \lim (P \curvearrowright(\mathcal{C} \times P)) \longleftrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(P \curvearrowright(\mathcal{C} \times P)) \longrightarrow \lim ^{r \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(P^{\mathrm{l} \cdot \operatorname{lax}} \mathbf{A}(\mathfrak{F})\right)=:\left(\lim ^{r \cdot \operatorname{lax}}(\mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}))\right)_{P}
$$

for any $Q \in$ Conv $_{P}$, in light of the factorization

an analogous construction yields a functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q} \longrightarrow\left(\lim ^{r \cdot \operatorname{lax}}(\mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}))\right)_{Q}
$$

Taken together, these functors assemble into a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow \lim _{45}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}(\mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F})) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathrm{StCat}_{\text {frac } / \mathrm{P}}$, which it remains to show is an equivalence. We will show this by induction on the number of elements of $P$. The case where $P=p t$ is trivial, while the case where $P=[1]$ is immediate: a fracture

$$
\operatorname{Conv}_{[1]} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}} \text { Loce }_{\mathfrak{C}}
$$

is precisely the data of a diagram

of reflective subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$ such that the functor

$$
\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left([1] \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1}\right) \simeq \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}([1] \stackrel{1 . \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}))
$$

is an equivalence.
So suppose that P is a finite poset. By our inductive assumption, the morphism (25) is an equivalence at all non-maximal elements of Conv $_{P}$, so it only remains to check that it is an equivalence at the maximal element $P \in$ Convp $_{p}$. For this, let us choose a maximal element $\infty \in P$; this induces a functor $P \rightarrow[1]$ whose fiber over $0 \in[1]$ is $P^{\prime}:=P \backslash\{\infty\}$ and whose fiber over $1 \in[1]$ is $\{\infty\}$. Through this choice, the morphism (25) restricts to a morphism in $\mathrm{StCat}_{\text {frac/[1] }}$ which is an equivalence at both singletons of $\operatorname{Conv}_{[1]}$ - at the object $0 \in \operatorname{Conv}_{[1]}$ it is given by the morphism

$$
\mathcal{C}_{P^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \stackrel{. . \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F})\right)
$$

which is an equivalence by the inductive assumption, while at the object $1 \in \operatorname{Conv}_{[1]}$ it is given by the morphism

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(\{\infty\} \stackrel{\text { I.lax }}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}))
$$

which is an equivalence by inspection - and for which it remains to show that the morphism

$$
\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathrm{P} \stackrel{\text { I.lax }}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}))
$$

at the remaining object $[1] \in \operatorname{Conv}_{[1]}$ is an equivalence. With the case of $P=[1]$ in hand, we see that this morphism is equivalently given by a morphism in StLModr.lax.[1] ${ }^{r .1 a x}$ which is an equivalence on fibers, and for which it remains to show that the induced natural transformation

is a natural equivalence. Observe that by our inductive assumption, every object of $\mathcal{C}_{P^{\prime}}$ is a finite limit of objects of the reflective subcategories $\mathcal{C}_{p} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}^{\prime}}$ for $p \in \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$. Since both vertical functors in the diagram (26) are exact, it therefore suffices to show that the natural transformation therein restricts to a natural equivalence on these subcategories. Unwinding the definitions, we see that on any such subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{p} \subset \mathcal{C}_{P^{\prime}}$ both composites are canonically equivalent to the composite

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{L_{\infty}} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}
$$

(and the natural transformation of diagram (26) witnesses their resulting equivalence with each other), which proves the claim.

Remark 2.41. A fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over a disjoint union of posets is equivalent data to to a product decomposition of $\mathcal{C}$ and a fracture of the factors of $\mathcal{C}$ over the poset summands: this follows from Theorem 2.40, perhaps more readily than from Definition 2.32.
2.4. The canonical fracture of genuine $G$-spectra. In this section, we prove a general result (Theorem 2.65) which provides sufficient conditions to obtain a fracture of a stable $\infty$-category over a poset. We then apply this to show that the stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ of genuine $G$-spectra is canonically fractured over the poset $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ of closed subgroups of $G$ ordered by subconjugacy (Proposition 2.69). Using this, we deduce naive reidentifications of $\mathcal{S} p^{g G}$ and $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} G}$ (and thereafter Theorem A and Corollary 0.4) as Corollaries 2.72 and 2.75 , respectively.

Notation 2.42. Throughout this subsection, we fix a presentable stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$.
Definition 2.43. A full stable subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is called admissible if its inclusion is colimitpreserving with colimit-preserving right adjoint.

Example 2.44. Given a set of compact objects in $\mathcal{C}$, the full stable subcategory that they generate under colimits is admissible.

Observation 2.45. By presentability, to say that $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is admissible is precisely to say that its inclusion fits into a diagram

of adjunctions.
Observation 2.46. A full stable subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}$ which is closed under colimits is admissible if and only if its right-orthogonal subcategory

$$
\left\{Z \in \mathcal{C}: \underline{\operatorname{hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z) \simeq 0 \text { for all } X \in \mathcal{C}_{0}\right\} \subset \mathcal{C}
$$

is also closed under colimits.
Observation 2.47. Let $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be an admissible subcategory, and write

$$
\mathcal{C}_{0} \xrightarrow{i_{L}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C}_{0}=: \mathcal{C}_{1}
$$

for the presentable quotient (the cofiber in $\operatorname{Pr}^{L}$ ). By the admissibility of $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}$, this extends to a diagram

of adjunctions among presentable stable $\infty$-categories, in which all inclusions are fully faithful and all three composites are exact in the sense that we have the equalities

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{L}\right)=\operatorname{im}\left(i_{L}\right), \quad \operatorname{ker}(y)=\operatorname{im}(\nu), \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{R}\right)=\operatorname{im}\left(i_{R}\right)
$$

among full subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.48. Diagram (27) defines a recollement of the stable $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$.
Observation 2.49. It is classical that the recollement (27) of $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over [1] , as in Construction 2.30: the functor

$$
\text { Conv }_{[1]} \longrightarrow \text { Loce }_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

selects the diagram

(see e.g. [Lurb, §A.8]). This fact is also straightforward to verify. On the one hand, the functor

$$
\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{(24)} \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)\right)
$$

described informally by the prescription

$$
X \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& \\
y X \in \mathcal{C}_{0}, p_{L} X \in \mathcal{C}_{1}, & p_{L} X \\
p_{L} i_{R} y X
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the functor

$$
\lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}
$$

described informally by the prescription

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
\\
X_{0} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}, X_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{1}, & { }^{X_{1}} \\
p_{L} i_{R} X_{0}
\end{array}\right) \longmapsto \lim \left(\begin{array}{c}
\nu X_{1} \\
\\
\downarrow \\
i_{R} X_{0} \longrightarrow \nu p_{L} i_{R} X_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are easily checked to define inverse equivalences, so that we have indeed defined a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over $[1] .{ }^{25}$ On the other hand, a fracture of $\mathcal{C}$ over [1] defines the data of a recollement diagrammatically.

Notation 2.50. We assume that for each element $p \in \mathrm{P}$ we are given an admissible subcategory $\mathcal{K}_{p} \subset \mathcal{C}$. Then, for any $\mathrm{Q} \in$ Convp $_{\mathrm{P}}$, we write $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Q}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ for the full stable subcategory generated under colimits by the subcategories $\left\{\mathcal{K}_{q}\right\}_{q \in Q}$. Manifestly, morphisms in Convp then determine inclusions of subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$.

Observation 2.51. By Observation 2.46, the subcategory $\mathcal{K}_{Q} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is admissible, and more generally for a morphism $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ in Conv $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}}$ the subcategory $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ is admissible. ${ }^{26}$

[^19]Notation 2.52. For any $Q \in$ Convp $_{P}$, we write

$$
\leq_{Q} \in \text { Conv }_{P}
$$

for the downwards closure of $Q$ in $P$ (the subposet of all objects of $P$ admitting a map to some $q \in \mathrm{Q}$ ), and we write

$$
<_{\mathrm{Q}}:=\left({ }^{\leq} \mathrm{Q}\right) \backslash \mathrm{Q} \in \text { Conv }_{\mathrm{P}}
$$

for its subset of elements not contained in Q.
Observation 2.53. By construction, for any $Q \in \operatorname{Conv}_{P}$ both $\leq Q$ and $<Q$ are downwards closed.
Notation 2.54. For any $Q \in$ Convp $_{P}$, we write

$$
\mathcal{K}_{<_{Q}} \xrightarrow{i_{L}} \mathcal{K}_{\leq Q} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{K}_{\leq Q} / \mathcal{K}_{<Q}=: \mathcal{C}_{Q}
$$

for the presentable quotient, which extends to a recollement of $\mathcal{K}_{\leq Q}$ by Observation 2.51. We then write

$$
\rho: \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}} \stackrel{\nu}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}} \stackrel{i_{R}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}
$$

for the composite of fully faithful right adjoints, and we write

$$
\mathcal{C} \underset{\rho}{\stackrel{\lambda}{\perp}} \mathcal{C}_{Q}
$$

for its left adjoint.
Warning 2.55. For a downwards closed convex subset $\leq Q \in$ Convp of $P$, the two inclusions

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\leq Q} \stackrel{\rho}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{C} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{\leq Q} \stackrel{i_{L}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{C}
$$

are distinct.
Notation 2.56. For any $Q_{0}, Q_{1} \in$ Convp $_{p}$, we write

$$
\Psi_{Q_{0}, Q_{1}}: \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{C}_{Q_{1}}
$$

for the composite.
Remark 2.57. In the case that our data define a stratification, and hence a stable left-lax left P-module through Theorem 2.40, the cocartesian monodromy functor thereof over a morphism $p_{0} \rightarrow p_{1}$ in P will be given by

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p_{0}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{p_{0}, p_{1}}} \mathcal{C}_{p_{1}}
$$

Lemma 2.58. Let $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathrm{Conv}_{\mathrm{P}}$ be equipped with a functor $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow[1]$. Then there exists a factorization

which is left adjoint to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}_{0}}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}}$ and whose image coincides with that of the upper composite in diagram (28).

Proof. Consider the functor

$$
\Psi_{Q, Q_{0}}: \mathcal{C}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q} \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q_{0}} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}} .
$$

By assumption, we have that $\left(<\mathrm{Q}_{0}\right) \subset(<\mathrm{Q})$, and therefore we have the composite inclusion

$$
y\left(\nu\left(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right)\right) \longleftrightarrow \nu\left(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}}\right) \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}_{0}}
$$

of full subcategories. Hence, the composite

$$
\iota_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}, \mathrm{Q}}: \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}_{0}} \xrightarrow{i_{L}} \mathrm{C}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}}
$$

is left adjoint to $\Psi_{Q, Q_{0}}$. Moreover, since the composite

$$
\mathcal{K}_{<\mathrm{Q}_{0}} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{<\mathrm{Q}_{0}} \xrightarrow{i_{L}} \mathcal{K}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}}
$$

vanishes we obtain the desired factorization, and since the composite

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}_{0}} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}}
$$

is surjective we obtain the asserted equality of images.
Definition 2.59. We say that a poset P satisfies the $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{c}$ if it satisfies the descending chain condition, namely that every decreasing sequence stabilizes, or equivalently that every subset has a minimal element.

Observation 2.60. If a poset satisfies the dcc, then so does any subposet.
Lemma 2.61. Suppose that P satisfies the dcc. Then, for any $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathrm{Conv}_{\mathrm{P}}$, the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\left(\Psi_{Q, q}\right)} \prod_{q \in \mathrm{Q}} \mathcal{C}_{q}
$$

is conservative.
Proof. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ with $\left(\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, q}\right)(X) \simeq 0$. Observe that the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\leq Q} \xrightarrow{\left(\Psi_{\leq Q, \leq_{q}}\right)} \prod_{q \in \mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{C}_{\leq q}
$$

is clearly conservative, and hence so is the composite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q} \xrightarrow{\left(\Psi_{\leq Q}, \leq_{q}\right)} \prod_{q \in Q} \mathcal{C}_{\leq_{q}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

So it suffices to show that for every $q \in \mathrm{Q}$, the image $\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, \leq q}(X) \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq_{q}}$ under the composite (29) followed by the projection is zero.

Suppose otherwise. Using the dcc for Q , we can choose a minimal element $q \in \mathrm{Q}$ witnessing the failure of our claim, i.e. which is minimal among elements $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, \leq q}(X) \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq q}$ is nonzero. As by assumption $X$ is taken to zero under the composite

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\leq_{q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{q}
$$

it follows that $\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, \leq q}(X) \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq_{q}}$ lies in the image of

$$
\mathcal{C}_{<_{q}} \xrightarrow{i_{L}} \mathcal{C}_{\leq_{q}} .
$$

Now, observe that $\mathcal{C}_{<_{q}}$ is generated by $\mathcal{K}_{(<\mathrm{Q}) \cap\left(<_{q)}\right.}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Q} \cap(<q)}$. For any $Y \in \mathcal{K}_{<\mathrm{Q}}$ and hence in particular for any $Y \in \mathcal{K}_{(<\mathrm{Q}) \cap(<q)}$, we have

$$
\underline{\operatorname{hom}}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(Y, \Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, \leq q}(X)\right) \simeq 0
$$

by definition of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}}$. On the other hand, by the assumed minimality of $q \in \mathrm{Q}$, it must be that for any $Z \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Q} \cap(<q)}$, we have

$$
\underline{\operatorname{hom}}_{\mathbb{e}}\left(Z, \Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, \leq q}(X)\right) \simeq 0
$$

as well. Thus $\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}, \leq_{q}}(X) \simeq 0$, which is a contradiction.
Definition 2.62. We say that an assignment of an admissible subcategory $\mathcal{K}_{p} \subset \mathcal{C}$ to each element $p \in \mathrm{P}$ defines a fracturing of $\mathcal{C}$ over P if for any pair of elements $p_{0}, p_{1} \in \mathrm{P}$ with hom $\left(p_{1}, p_{0}\right) \simeq \varnothing$, the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{p_{1}, p_{0}}} \mathcal{C}_{p_{0}}
$$

is zero.
Remark 2.63. Heuristically, the idea of Definition 2.62 is as follows. Of course, we would like our resulting assignment $Q \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{Q}$ to define a fracture $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ over $P$. Recall from Theorem 2.40 that this determines a reidentification of $\mathcal{C}$ as the right-lax limit of a left-lax left P -module $\mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F})$, whose value on $p \in \mathrm{P}$ is the subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{p}$. Now, if there is no map from $p_{1}$ to $p_{0}$ in P , we cannot possibly expect to recover "gluing data" running from $\mathcal{C}_{p_{1}}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{p_{0}}$ inside of $\lim ^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathbf{M}(\mathfrak{F}))$. So, Definition 2.62 amounts to the requirement that such gluing data is unnecessary (because it is necessarily trivial).

Lemma 2.64. Suppose that P satisfies the dcc, and suppose we are given any fracturing of $\mathcal{C}$ over P . Then, for any $\mathrm{Q} \in$ Conv $_{\mathrm{P}}$ and any functor $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow[1]$, the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{Q_{1}, Q_{0}}} \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}}
$$

is zero.
Proof. Suppose otherwise. Using the dcc for $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$, we see that there must exist some minimal element $q_{1} \in \mathrm{Q}_{1}$ with the property that the composite

$$
\mathcal{K}_{q_{1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{Q_{1}, Q_{0}}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}}
$$

is nonzero, since as $q_{1} \in \mathrm{Q}_{1}$ varies the $\mathcal{K}_{q_{1}}$ generate $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}$. Hence, the functor $\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \mathrm{Q}_{0}}$ factors through the quotient

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} / \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1} \cap\left(<q_{1}\right)} \simeq \mathcal{K}_{\leq Q_{1}} / \mathcal{K}_{\left(<\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right) \cap\left(<q_{1}\right)}
$$

In particular, we obtain a factorization

so that the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{q_{1}, Q_{0}}} \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}}
$$

must be nonzero, which implies that the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{q_{1},\left(\leq Q_{0}\right)}} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q_{0}}
$$

must also be nonzero.
Now, note that for any $q \in \mathrm{Q}_{0}$, we have a factorization


Hence, using the dcc for $\leq \mathrm{Q}_{0}$, we can choose a minimal element $q_{0} \in\left(\leq \mathrm{Q}_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{q_{1},\left(\leq q_{0}\right)}} \mathcal{C}_{\leq q_{0}}
$$

is nonzero.
By the definition of a fracturing, it must be that the composite

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{q_{1},\left(\leq q_{0}\right)}} \mathcal{C}_{\leq q_{0}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{q_{0}}
$$

is zero. Therefore, the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{q_{1},\left(<q_{0}\right)}} \mathcal{C}_{<q_{0}}
$$

is nonzero. In particular, there exists some $q \in\left({ }^{<} q_{0}\right)$ such that the functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{q_{1}} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{q_{1},\left(\leq_{q)}\right.}} \mathcal{C}_{\leq_{q}}
$$

is nonzero. But this contradicts the minimality of $q_{0} \in\left(\leq \mathrm{Q}_{0}\right)$, proving the claim.
Theorem 2.65. Suppose that P satisfies the dcc. Then a fracturing of the presentable stable $\infty$ category $\mathcal{C}$ over P such that the subcategories $\left\{\mathcal{K}_{p}\right\}_{p \in \mathrm{P}}$ generate $\mathcal{C}$ defines a fracture

of $\mathcal{C}$ over P .
The proof of Theorem 2.65 will require the following general result.
Lemma 2.66. Suppose we are given two diagrams $C_{\bullet}, D_{\bullet} \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{J}, \operatorname{Pr}^{L}\right)$ whose transitions maps preserve all limits, and suppose we are given a natural transformation

$$
C_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{F_{\bullet}} D_{\bullet}
$$

in $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{J}, \operatorname{Pr}^{L}\right)$ such that each component $F_{i}$ is a limit-preserving reflective localization with right adjoint $G_{i}$. Then the induced functor

$$
F: \lim _{\mathfrak{J}}\left(C_{\bullet}\right) \xrightarrow{\lim _{\mathcal{J}}(F)} \lim _{\mathfrak{J}}\left(D_{\bullet}\right)
$$

is also a reflective localization.
Proof. We claim that the right adjoint inclusion is the functor

$$
\lim _{\mathfrak{J}}\left(C_{\bullet}\right) \underset{52}{\stackrel{G}{\leftrightarrows}} \lim _{\mathcal{J}}\left(D_{\bullet}\right)
$$

given by taking a compatible system $Y_{\bullet}:=\left(Y_{i} \in D_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ to the compatible system whose value at $i \in \mathcal{J}$ is given by the formula

$$
\lim _{(j \downarrow i) \in \mathcal{J}_{/ i}} C_{j \downarrow i}\left(G_{j}\left(Y_{j}\right)\right) .{ }^{27}
$$

First, to check that $F \circ G$ is the identity functor it suffices to check at $D_{i}$, and for this we compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{i}\left(\lim _{(j \downarrow i) \in \mathcal{J} / i} C_{j \downarrow i}\left(G_{j}\left(Y_{j}\right)\right)\right) & \simeq \lim _{(j \downarrow i) \in \mathcal{J} / i} F_{i}\left(C_{j \downarrow i}\left(G_{j}\left(Y_{j}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \lim _{(j \downarrow i) \in \mathcal{J} / i} D_{j \downarrow i}\left(F_{j}\left(G_{j}\left(Y_{j}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \lim _{(j \downarrow i) \in \mathcal{J}_{/ i}} D_{j \downarrow i}\left(Y_{j}\right) \\
& \simeq Y_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, to check that $G$ is indeed a right adjoint to $F$, for any compatible systems $X_{\bullet}:=\left(X_{i} \in C_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ and $Y_{\bullet}:=\left(Y_{i} \in D_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ we compute that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{hom}_{\lim _{\mathcal{J}}\left(C_{\bullet}\right)}\left(X_{\bullet}, G\left(Y_{\bullet}\right)\right) & \simeq \lim _{(j \rightarrow i) \in \operatorname{Ar}(\mathcal{J})} \operatorname{hom}_{C_{i}}\left(X_{i}, C_{j \downarrow i} G_{j}\left(Y_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \lim _{i \in \mathcal{J}} \operatorname{hom}_{C_{i}}\left(X_{i}, G_{i}\left(Y_{i}\right)\right)  \tag{30}\\
& \simeq \lim _{i \in \mathcal{J} \operatorname{hom}_{D_{i}}}\left(F_{i}\left(X_{i}\right), Y_{i}\right) \\
& \simeq \operatorname{hom}_{\lim _{\mathfrak{J}}\left(D_{\bullet}\right)}\left(F\left(X_{\bullet}\right), Y_{\bullet}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the equivalence (30) uses the initiality of the full subcategory on the equivalences inside of $\operatorname{Ar}(\mathcal{J})$.

Proof of Theorem 2.65. We first must check that this assignment indeed defines a functor Conv $\rightarrow$ Loce of posets. For this, given any morphism $Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q$ in Convp, we must show an inclusion $\rho\left(\mathcal{C}_{Q^{\prime}}\right) \subset \rho\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$ of full subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$. This is clear if both $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ are downwards closed, because by definition $\mathcal{K}_{Q^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{K}_{Q}$ and the inclusions $\rho$ of $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Q^{\prime}}$ are respectively the right adjoints of the left adjoint projections

$$
\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{C}_{Q} \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{C}_{Q^{\prime}}
$$

from $\mathcal{C}$. In the general case, we have that the inclusion $\rho$ of $\mathcal{C}_{Q^{\prime}}$ is given by the composite

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{i_{R}} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q} \xrightarrow{i_{R}} \mathcal{C}
$$

So it suffices to show that the image of $\mathcal{C}_{Q^{\prime}}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\leq Q}$ lies inside the image of $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$, i.e. that the composite

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{i_{R}} \mathcal{C}_{\leq \mathrm{Q}} \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{C}_{<\mathrm{Q}}
$$

is zero. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.64 applied to the element $\tilde{Q}:=(<\mathrm{Q}) \sqcup \mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \in$ Convp $_{\mathrm{P}}$ equipped with the functor $\tilde{Q} \rightarrow[1]$ sending $<\mathrm{Q}$ to 0 and $\mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$ to 1 .

Now, condition (1) of Definition 2.32 is satisfied by assumption.
Let us turn to condition (2) of Definition 2.32. For this, suppose that we have some $Q \in$ Convp equipped with a functor $\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow[1]$. We will show that $\mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}}$ embeds into $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ as an admissible subcategory via the left adjoint $\iota_{Q_{0}, Q}$ of the projection given by Lemma 2.58 , such that $\mathcal{C}_{Q} / \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}} \simeq$ $\mathcal{C}_{Q_{1}}$.

[^20]For this, consider the projection functor

$$
\Psi_{Q, Q_{0}}: \mathcal{C}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q} \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{C}_{\leq Q_{0}} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}} .
$$

By Lemma 2.58, this admits a left adjoint $\iota_{\mathrm{Q}_{0}, \mathrm{Q}}$ whose image agrees with that of the composite

$$
\mathcal{K}_{Q_{0}} \xrightarrow{i_{L}} \mathcal{K}_{\leq Q} \xrightarrow{p_{L}} \mathcal{C}_{Q} .
$$

By what we have just seen, the right adjoint of $\Psi_{Q, Q_{0}}$ is fully faithful. Hence, the functor $\iota_{Q_{0}, Q}$ is fully faithful as well, so that it witnesses $\mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}}$ as an admissible subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$.

Now, by Lemma 2.64 the composite functor

$$
\Psi_{Q_{1}, Q_{0}}: \mathcal{C}_{Q_{1}} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Q} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{Q, Q_{0}}} \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}}
$$

is zero, so that $\mathcal{C}_{Q_{1}} \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{Q, Q_{0}}\right)$. To show that in fact $\mathcal{C}_{Q}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Psi_{Q, Q_{0}}\right)$, it therefore suffices to show that the composite functor $\mathcal{C}_{Q_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Q} / \mathcal{C}_{Q_{0}}$ is surjective. But this follows from the facts that $\operatorname{im}\left(\iota_{Q_{0}, Q}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}_{Q}$ equals the image of $\mathcal{K}_{Q_{0}}$ and that the functor $\mathcal{K}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Q}$ is surjective. Finally, because $\left(<Q_{1}\right) \subset(<Q) \cup Q_{0}$, then we have a factorization

from which our desired surjectivity follows.
Finally, we turn to condition (3) of Definition 2.32. For this, suppose that a functor $\mathcal{J}^{\triangleright} \rightarrow$ Conv $_{P}$ witnesses $\mathrm{Q}=\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{J}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\bullet}\right)$ as a filtered colimit. We must show that the canonical functor

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q} \longrightarrow \lim _{\mathcal{J}}\left(\mathcal{C}_{Q_{\bullet}}\right)
$$

is an equivalence.
For this, for each $i \in \mathcal{J}$ let $\mathrm{Q}_{i}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{Q}_{i}$ be the maximal subset of $\mathrm{Q}_{i}$ which is downwards closed in Q (i.e. the union of all of its downwards closed subsets). Since $Q$ satisfies the dcc, we also have that $Q=\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{J}}\left(Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)$. Now, consider the functors

$$
\mathcal{C}_{Q} \xrightarrow{F_{1}} \lim _{\mathcal{J}}\left(\mathcal{C}_{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{F_{2}} \lim _{\mathcal{J}}\left(\mathcal{C}_{Q_{\bullet}^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.66, we see that both $F_{2}$ and $F_{2} \circ F_{1}$ are localizations. We claim that they are both also conservative, and hence both equivalences, so that $F_{1}$ is also an equivalence. That $F_{2} \circ F_{1}$ is conservative follows from Lemma 2.61. So it only remains to see that $F_{2}$ is conservative.

For this, using the notation from the proof of Lemma 2.66 let us suppose that $Y_{\bullet}:=\left(Y_{i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ is a compatible system such that $F_{2}\left(Y_{\bullet}\right) \simeq 0$. We must show that $Y_{i} \simeq 0$ for each $i \in \mathcal{J}$. By Lemma 2.61, it suffices to show that $\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}_{i}, q}\left(Y_{i}\right) \simeq 0$ for any $q \in \mathrm{Q}_{i}$. So, choose some $j \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $\left(\leq_{q}\right) \subset \mathrm{Q}_{i}^{\prime}$ (in the notation of the previous paragraph). Then we have a factorization

since by assumption we have an equivalence $\Psi_{\mathrm{Q}_{j}, \mathrm{Q}_{i}}\left(Y_{j}\right) \simeq Y_{i}$ and $\left(F_{2}\right)_{j}\left(Y_{j}\right) \simeq 0$, it follows that also $\Psi_{Q_{i}, q}\left(Y_{i}\right) \simeq 0$.

We now apply Theorem 2.65 to obtain the canonical stratification of the $\infty$-category $\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ of genuine $G$-spectra.

Notation 2.67. We fix a compact Lie group $G$, we write $\mathscr{O}_{G}$ for its orbit $\infty$-category, and we write $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ for its poset of closed subgroups ordered by subconjugacy. We write

$$
\mathcal{S}_{*}^{\mathrm{g} G}:=\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathscr{O}_{G}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{*}\right)
$$

for the $\infty$-category of pointed genuine $G$-spaces, and we write

$$
\mathcal{S}_{*}^{\mathrm{g} G} \underset{\Omega_{G}^{\infty}}{\stackrel{\Sigma_{G}^{\infty}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}
$$

for the adjunction.
Notation 2.68. We write $\mathcal{K}_{H} \subset S p^{\mathrm{g} G}$ for the full stable subcategory generated by $\Sigma_{G}^{\infty}(G / H)_{+}$ under colimits.

Proposition 2.69. The assignment to each element $H \in \mathrm{P}_{G}$ of the stable subcategory $\mathcal{K}_{H} \subset \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ defines a canonical fracture

$$
\operatorname{Conv}_{\mathrm{P}_{G}} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}_{G}} \operatorname{Loc}_{\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{g} G}}
$$

of $S p^{\mathrm{g} G}$ over $\mathrm{P}_{G}$.
Proof. We apply Theorem 2.65. Observe first that the poset $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ satisfies the dcc by the compactness of the Lie group $G$. Moreover, because the objects $\left\{\Sigma_{G}^{\infty}(G / H)_{+}\right\}_{H \in \mathrm{P}_{G}}$ form a set of compact generators for $S p^{g G}$, then the subcategories $\mathcal{K}_{H} \subset \mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{g} G}$ are admissible (as a special case of Example 2.44) and collectively generate $\mathcal{S} p^{g G}$. So it remains to check that this assignment indeed defines a fracturing of $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ over $\mathrm{P}_{G}$.

For this, suppose we are given closed subgroups $H \leq G$ and $K \leq G$ such that $H$ is not subconjugate to $K$, and consider any $X \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}_{H}^{\mathrm{g} G} \subset \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$. It suffices to show that $X^{I} \simeq 0$ for any $I$ subconjugate to $K$; replacing $K$ by $I$ (since $H$ cannot be subconjugate to $I$ ), it suffices to show just that $X^{K} \simeq 0$.

Let us write

$$
\mathscr{O}_{G, \leq H} \stackrel{\eta}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathscr{O}_{G}
$$

for the inclusion of the full subcategory on those $G$-orbits admitting a map to $G / H$ (i.e. those $G / I$ with $I$ subconjugate to $H$ ). Writing

$$
\mathcal{S}_{*}^{\mathrm{g} G}, \leq_{H}:=\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathscr{O}_{G, \leq H}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S}_{*}\right)
$$

observe the factorization


Upon taking right adjoints of the two horizontal functors, we obtain another diagram

which commutes because the canonical natural transformation comparing its two composites is an equivalence (because it is an equivalence on geometric fixedpoints for all subgroups subconjugate to $H)$.

Now, let us identify $X \in \mathcal{S} p_{H}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ with its image $X:=\nu(X) \in \mathcal{K}_{\leq_{H}}$. Then, it remains to show that the object $i_{R}(X) \in \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ has $i_{R}(X)^{K} \simeq 0$. By adjunction, we have that

$$
i_{R}(X)^{K} \simeq \underline{\operatorname{hom}}_{\text {Sp }^{\mathrm{g} G}}\left(\Sigma_{G}^{\infty}(G / K)_{+}, i_{R}(X)\right) \simeq \underline{\operatorname{hom}}_{\mathcal{K}_{\leq_{H}}}\left(y\left(\Sigma_{G}^{\infty}(G / K)_{+}\right), X\right) .
$$

To show that this hom-spectrum is zero for all $X \in \mathcal{K}_{\leq H}$, it suffices to show that its underlying space is contractible for all $X \in \mathcal{K}_{\leq_{H}}$, or equivalently that the hom-space

$$
\operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{*}^{\mathrm{g}}, \leq{ }_{H}}\left(\eta^{*}(G / K)_{+}, \Omega_{G, \leq H}^{\infty}(X)\right)
$$

is contractible (using the evident notation). This follows from the facts that $\Omega_{G, \leq H}^{\infty}(X)$ is supported on the object $(G / H)^{\circ} \in \mathscr{O}_{G, \leq H}^{\mathrm{op}}$ by assumption and that $\left((G / K)_{+}\right)^{H} \simeq$ pt since $H$ is not subconjugate to $K$.

Notation 2.70. We write

$$
\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \in \mathrm{StLMod}_{1 . \operatorname{lax} . \mathrm{P}_{G}}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}
$$

for the stable left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{G}$-module corresponding via Theorem 2.40 to the fracture

$$
\mathrm{P}_{G} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{F}_{G}} S^{\mathrm{g} G}
$$

of $\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ over $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ obtained in Proposition 2.69.
Observation 2.71. The value of the left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{G}$-module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ on an object $H \in \mathrm{P}_{G}$ is the $\infty$-category

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{hW}(H)}
$$

of homotopy $\mathrm{W}(H)$-equivariant spectra, embedded in $\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$ as in Observation 2.14. When $G$ is abelian, its monodromy functor over a morphism $H_{0} \rightarrow H_{1}$ in $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ is precisely the generalized Tate construction

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}\left(G / H_{0}\right)} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right)}} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{h}\left(G / H_{1}\right)} .
$$

(A more elaborate formula holds in the general nonabelian case.)
Corollary 2.72. There is a canonical equivalence

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{G} \stackrel{\mathrm{l} \cdot \mathrm{lax}}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}\right)
$$

between the $\infty$-category of genuine $G$-spectra and the right-lax limit of the stable left-lax left $\mathrm{P}_{G^{-}}$module $\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \in \mathrm{StLMod}_{1 . \mathrm{lax} . \mathrm{P}_{G}}^{\text {r.lax }}$.

Proof. This follows by combining Theorem 2.40 with Proposition 2.69.

We also record a variant of Corollary 2.72 for proper-genuine $G$-spectra.
Notation 2.73. We write

$$
\mathrm{P}_{<_{G}} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{\mathrm{P}_{G}}
$$

for the convex subset of $\mathrm{P}_{G}$ containing all but the maximal element $G \in \mathrm{P}_{G}$, and we write

$$
\mathbf{S p}^{\mathbf{g}^{<} G} \in \mathrm{StLMod}_{1 . \operatorname{lax} . \mathrm{P}_{<_{G}}}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{lax}}
$$

for the pulllback of

$$
\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \in \mathrm{StLMod}_{1 . l a x \cdot \mathrm{P}_{G}}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}
$$

along the inclusion.
Observation 2.74. In the special case that $G=\mathbb{T}$, we have a canonical identification

$$
\mathrm{P}<\mathbb{T} \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{div}}
$$

with the poset of natural numbers ordered by divisibility: the element $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ corresponds to the proper subgroup $C_{r} \leq \mathbb{T}$.

Corollary 2.75. The equivalence of Corollary 2.72 restricts to an equivalence

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} G} \simeq \lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathrm{P}<G \stackrel{\mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{l}^{2} \mathrm{lax}} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} G}\right)
$$

between the $\infty$-category of proper-genuine $G$-spectra and the right-lax limit of the stable left-lax left


Proof. By Observation 2.34 (and Theorem 2.40), this restriction gives $\mathcal{S p}_{\mathrm{P}_{<_{G}}^{\mathrm{g} G}}$; since the element $\mathrm{P}<_{G} \in \operatorname{Conv}_{\mathrm{P}_{G}}$ is downwards closed, this is just the full subcategory generated by $\left\{\Sigma_{G}^{\infty}(G / H)_{+}\right\}_{H<G}$, which is indeed $S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}<G}$.
2.5. A naive approach to cyclotomic spectra. In this subsection, we apply the results of the previous subsection to prove Theorem B (a naive description of cyclotomic spectra).

Definition 2.76. The commutative monoid $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$acts on the $\infty$-category $S p^{g^{<} \mathbb{T}}$ by taking the element $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$to the composite endofunctor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow[\Phi^{\mathrm{C}_{r}}]{ } S^{\mathrm{g}^{<}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right) \simeq \mathbb{T}} \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<\mathbb{T}}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define its limit to be the $\infty$-category

$$
\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{Sp})
$$

of genuine cyclotomic spectra.
Remark 2.77. Barwick-Glasman prove in [BG] that Definition 2.76 recovers the underlying $\infty$ category of the "model* category" of cyclotomic spectra defined by Blumberg-Mandell in [BM15].

Construction 2.78. We organize the action of Definition 2.76 as a right action, which we denote by

$$
\mathcal{S p}_{\Phi \mathbb{N}^{\times}}^{\mathrm{g}<\mathbb{T}} \in \mathrm{RMod}_{\mathrm{BN} \times} \simeq \operatorname{coCart}_{(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\text {op }}} .28
$$

[^21]Considering this as a cocartesian fibration over $\left(B \mathbb{N}^{\times}\right)^{o p}$, we extract the full subcategory on the image of the inclusion

$$
S p^{h \mathbb{T}} \stackrel{\beta}{\longleftrightarrow} S p^{g^{<} \mathbb{T}}
$$

into the fiber over $\mathrm{pt} \in\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}$. This defines a locally cocartesian fibration over $\left.(\mathrm{BN})^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}$, whose cocartesian monodromy over the morphism $[1] \xrightarrow{r^{\circ}}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\text {op }}$ is precisely the endofunctor

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau C_{r}}} \mathcal{S} \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{hT}}
$$

(recall the convention established in Definition 2.7); we denote the resulting object by

$$
\mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{Cyc}}:=\mathcal{S p}_{\tau \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}} \in \mathrm{RMod}_{\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{lax} \cdot \mathrm{BN} \times} \simeq \text { loc.coCart }_{(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\mathrm{op}}} .{ }^{29}
$$

Definition 2.79. We define the $\infty$-category of cyclotomic spectra to be the right-lax limit

$$
\operatorname{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p}):=\lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathcal{S p}_{\tau \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{hT} \times} \stackrel{\mathrm{l} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}{\curvearrowleft} \mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right) .
$$

Construction 2.80. Let us consider the defining inclusion

 right adjoint, and so by Lemma 1.34 the fiberwise left adjoint

$$
S^{\mathrm{g}^{8}<\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{U} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}}
$$

assembles into a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} p_{\Phi \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{g}^{<}<\mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} p_{\tau \mathbb{N}^{\times}}^{\mathrm{hT}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathcal{S p}):=\lim \left(\mathcal{S p}_{\Phi \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{N}}}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathcal{S p}_{\Phi \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right) \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathcal{S p}_{\tau \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}}\right)=: \operatorname{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p}) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the fully faithful inclusion is that of Observation 1.23).
Theorem 2.81. The functor (33) defines an equivalence

$$
\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{~S} p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})
$$

of $\infty$-categories.
In order to prove Theorem 2.81, we will use the following.
Observation 2.82. The dilation action of the commutative monoid $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$on the poset $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ can be presented via the cartesian fibration


[^22]whose source is then its right-lax homotopy quotient. We would like to obtain the strict homotopy quotient of this action, for which we must freely invert the cartesian morphisms in this cartesian fibration. But these are precisely the morphisms that are taken to equivalences under the functor
$$
\operatorname{Ar}\left(\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \xrightarrow{t}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}
$$
which admits a fully faithful right adjoint and so is precisely the desired localization. In other words, we have obtained an identification
$$
\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}\right)_{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{N}^{\times}} \simeq\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}
$$
of the strict quotient of the $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$-action on $\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ as the category $\left(\mathrm{B} \mathbb{N}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}$.
Proof of Theorem 2.81. Let us pull back the morphism (32) in LMod. ${ }_{1 . \operatorname{lax} .(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\text {r. }} \text { op }}$ along the homotopy quotient
$$
\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \xrightarrow{\pi}\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}\right)_{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{N} \times} \simeq\left(\mathrm{B} \mathbb{N}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}
$$
of Observation 2.82 to obtain a morphism
$$
\pi^{*} \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}_{\Phi \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow \pi^{*} S \mathrm{p}_{\tau \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}}
$$
in LMod ${ }_{I . l a x . \operatorname{Ndiv}}^{\text {r.lax }}$. By virtue of this construction, we can view the composite (33) as the homotopy quotient by $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$of an $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$-equivariant composite
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \curvearrowright \pi^{*} S p_{\Phi \mathbb{N}^{x}}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \curvearrowright \pi^{*} \mathcal{S} p_{\Phi \mathbb{N}^{x}}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right) \longrightarrow \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \stackrel{1 . l a x}{\curvearrowright} \pi^{*} \mathcal{S p}_{\tau_{\mathbb{N}} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Now, observe that evaluation at the initial object $1 \in \mathbb{N}^{\text {div }}$ defines an equivalence

$$
\lim \left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \curvearrowright \pi^{*} S \mathrm{p}_{\Phi \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{ev}_{1}} S \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<\mathbb{T}}}
$$

On the other hand, unwinding the definitions, we find an equivalence

$$
\pi^{*} S \mathrm{p}_{\tau \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}} \simeq \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<\mathbb{T}}}
$$

and moreover that under these identifications the $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$-equivariant composite (34) is precisely the canonical equivalence

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim ^{\text {r.lax }}\left(\mathbb{N}^{\text {div }} \stackrel{\text { l.lax }}{\curvearrowright} \mathbf{S p}^{\mathrm{g}^{<} \mathbb{T}}\right)
$$

of Corollary 2.75. This proves the claim.

## 3. The formula for TC

In this section, we derive the explicit formula for TC of Theorem C - in other words, an explicit formula for the right adjoint in a certain adjunction

relating spectra and cyclotomic spectra. Here, the left adjoint is the trivial cyclotomic spectrum functor, which we obtain in $\S 3.3$.

This requires auxiliary input. First of all, the construction of the functor triv makes crucial use of the $\infty$-category Cych ${ }^{\text {h }}(\mathcal{S p})$ of cyclotomic spectra with Frobenius lifts, which we examine in $\S 3.2$; these are cyclotomic spectra whose cyclotomic structure maps are equipped with compatible factorizations


In turn, the construction of this $\infty$-category along with its forgetful functor

$$
\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathfrak{S p}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathfrak{S p})
$$

relies on a sophisticated understanding of the generalized Tate construction; this is provided by the proto Tate package, which is the subject of $\S 3.1$.

Finally, we prove Theorem C (as Theorem 3.29) in §3.5, using the formalism of partial adjunctions that we introduce in §3.4.
3.1. The proto Tate package. In this subsection, we establish the proto Tate package (Theorem 3.3); this encapsulates the simultaneous and interwoven functorialities of the generalized Tate construction, as indicated in Remark 0.12. ${ }^{30}$

Notation 3.1. In this subsection, we use the letter

$$
G
$$

interchangeably to refer either to a specific (though never actually specified) finite group or to the set of all finite groups at once.

Notation 3.2. We write $\operatorname{Span}($ Cat $)$ for the $\infty$-category whose objects are $\infty$-categories, whose morphisms are spans of $\infty$-categories, and whose composition is given by pullback. ${ }^{31}$ Then, we write

$$
G \text { Span } \longleftrightarrow \text { Span (Cat) }
$$

for the surjective monomorphism from the subcategory whose morphisms are those spans

whose forward morphism is a Kan fibration (i.e. a left and right fibration) with fiber a connected $\pi$-finite 1-type, i.e. a space of the form $\mathrm{B} G$ for some finite group $G$. ${ }^{32,33}$

[^23]Theorem 3.3 (The proto Tate package).
(1) There exists a canonical left GSpan-module

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}} \\
\downarrow \\
G \text { Span }
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{LMod}_{G S_{\text {pan }}}:=\operatorname{coCart}_{G S \text { pan }}
$$

whose fiber over an object $\mathcal{B} \in G$ Span is the $\infty$-category

$$
\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, S p)
$$

and whose cocartesian monodromy over a morphism (35) is the composite

$$
\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{01}, \mathcal{S p}\right) \xrightarrow{(-)^{\mathrm{h} G}} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{S p}\right)
$$

of pullback followed by fiberwise homotopy fixedpoints.
(2) There exists a canonical left-lax left GSpan-module

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathscr{F}_{\tau} \\
\downarrow \\
G S_{p a n}
\end{array}\right) \in \text { LMod }_{\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{lax} . G S \text { pan }}:=\text { loc.coCart }_{G S \text { pan }}
$$

whose fiber over an object $\mathcal{B} \in G$ Span is the $\infty$-category

$$
\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S} p)
$$

and whose cocartesian monodromy over a morphism (35) is the composite

$$
\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{01}, \mathcal{S p}\right) \xrightarrow{(-)^{\tau G}} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{S p}\right)
$$

of pullback followed by the fiberwise Tate construction, and whose left-lax structure maps encode its lax functoriality for extensions among finite groups.
(3) There exists a right-lax equivariant functor

$$
\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~h} \rightarrow \tau} \mathscr{F}_{\tau}
$$

of left-lax left GSpan-modules - that is, a morphism in LModil.lax. ${ }_{\text {respan }}^{\text {r.lax }}$ - which is an equivalence on fibers and which over a morphism (35) is given fiberwise by the natural transformation

$$
(-)^{\mathrm{h} G} \longrightarrow(-)^{\tau G}
$$

in $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h} G}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$.
Remark 3.4. In fact, we do not need the full generality of the proto Tate package in this paper: we only use its restriction to the subcategory of $G$ Span on the forwards maps, i.e. the subcategory of Cat on the BG-Kan fibrations (see Constructions 3.12 and 3.14). However, we use its full strength in [AMGRb] to construct the Tate package, which induces the cyclotomic structure on THH. ${ }^{34}$

[^24]The proof of Theorem 3.3 will require some preliminaries.
Observation 3.5. The constructions of the $\infty$-categories of homotopy and genuine $G$-spectra can be made "coordinate-free", in the following sense. Given a space $\mathcal{B}$ which admits an equivalence $\mathcal{B} \simeq B G$, we can form the category

$$
\mathcal{B F i n}:=\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \operatorname{Fin})
$$

of "finite $\mathcal{B}$-sets", i.e. functors from $\mathcal{B}$ to the category of finite sets (or equivalently, the category of Kan fibrations over $\mathcal{B}$ whose fibers lie in Fin $\subset \mathcal{S}$, a full subcategory of $\mathcal{S}_{/ \mathcal{B}}$ ). Note that the Yoneda embedding defines a fully faithful inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\text { f.f. }} \mathcal{B F i n} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This admits an enhancement to the Burnside $\infty$-category (in fact ( 2,1 )-category)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B F i n}{ }^{\mathrm{op}} \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Burn}(\mathcal{B F i n}):=\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{B F i n}) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same objects, whose morphisms are given by spans and whose composition is given by pullback. By work of Barwick [Bar17] (based on work of Guillou-May [GM]), we can then define the $\infty$-category of "genuine $\mathcal{B}$-spectra" as

$$
\mathcal{S} p^{g \mathcal{B}}:=\operatorname{Fun}^{\oplus}(\operatorname{Burn}(\mathcal{B F i n}), \mathcal{S p}),
$$

the $\infty$-category of spectral Mackey functors for $\mathcal{B}$ Fin (i.e. additive functors from its Burnside $\infty$ category to the $\infty$-category of spectra). Restriction along the composite of the functors (36) and (37) induces the forgetful functor

$$
S p^{\mathrm{gB}} \xrightarrow{U} \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S p})
$$

a "coordinate-free" version of the forgetful functor

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G} \xrightarrow{U} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h} G}:=\operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{B} G, \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p})
$$

from genuine $G$-spectra to homotopy $G$-spectra.
Notation 3.6. Let

$$
(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \in \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathcal{B}}
$$

be a $B G$-Kan fibration. Informally speaking, we use the notation

$$
\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}
$$

to denote the family of copies of $\mathrm{B} G$ determined by this functor; more precisely, we write

$$
\mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{h} \varepsilon \mid \mathcal{B}}:=\operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{rel}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S} p)
$$

for the $\infty$-category of "homotopy $\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}$-spectra", and we write

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{B}}
$$

for the $\infty$-category of "genuine $\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}$-spectra", obtained by applying the construction of Observation 3.5 fiberwise (or equivalently, to the image of the straightening $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow C a t$, which by assumption lands in the full subgroupoid on the object $B G \in \mathcal{S} \subset C$ Cat). If $\mathcal{B} \simeq$ pt then we may omit it from the notation; in other words, if there exists an equivalence $\mathcal{E} \simeq B G$ then (in a slight abuse of notation) we may simply write

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \varepsilon}:=\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h} \varepsilon \mid \mathrm{pt}} \quad \begin{array}{r}
\text { and } \\
62
\end{array} \quad \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} \varepsilon}:=\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{g} \varepsilon \mid \mathrm{pt}}
$$

Observation 3.7. The $\infty$-categories of Notation 3.6 participate in an adjunction

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} \varepsilon \mid \mathcal{B}} \underset{\beta}{\stackrel{U}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{h} \varepsilon \mid \mathcal{B}}
$$

in Cat ${ }_{/ \mathcal{B}}$, which on fibers over each object $b \in \mathcal{B}$ recovers the adjunction

$$
\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} \varepsilon_{\mid b}} \underset{\beta}{\stackrel{U}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{h} \varepsilon_{\mid b}} .
$$

Note that we can identify the global sections of the $\mathcal{B}$-parametrized $\infty$-category of homotopy $\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}$ spectra as

$$
\Gamma\left(\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}}\right):=\operatorname{Fun}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} \mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S} p)
$$

and that we simply have

$$
\mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{hB} \mid \mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{g} \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{B}} \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S} p)
$$

(since in this case the group is trivial). On the other hand, an object of the $\infty$-category of global sections

$$
\Gamma\left(S p^{g \mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}}\right)
$$

of the $\mathcal{B}$-parametrized $\infty$-category of genuine $\mathcal{E} \mid \mathcal{B}$-spectra assigns a "genuine $\mathcal{E}_{\mid b}$-spectrum" naturally to each object $b \in \mathcal{B}$.

Observation 3.8. Let

$$
K \Longleftrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q
$$

be a short exact sequence of finite groups, and suppose we are given a functor

over $\mathcal{B}$ which is given in each fiber by a functor

$$
\mathrm{B} G \longrightarrow \mathrm{~B} Q
$$

corresponding to the quotient homomorphism. Then, the $\mathcal{B}$-parametrized $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{g} \mathcal{E}_{0} \mid \mathcal{B}} \downarrow \mathcal{B}$ has fibers all equivalent to $S p^{g G}$; these admit genuine $K$-fixedpoints functors, which assemble into a functor

over $\mathcal{B}$.
Proof of Theorem 3.3. We work in the straightened context: we define functors

$$
\text { I.lax }(G S p a n) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}}} \text { Cat } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { I.lax }(G \text { Span }) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}_{\tau}} \text { Cat }
$$

(the former of which factors through the localization I.lax $(G S p a n) \rightarrow G$ Span), as well as a right-lax natural transformation between them. ${ }^{35}$ By Observation 1.31, it suffices to work at the level of

[^25][ $n$ ]-points of $G$ Span. Each functor $[n] \rightarrow G$ Span will determine a certain commutative diagram of $\infty$-categories, and the corresponding composite functors
$$
\text { I.lax }([n]) \longrightarrow \text { I. } \operatorname{lax}(G \text { Span }) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}}} \text { Cat } \quad \text { and } \quad \text { I.lax }([n]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}(G S p a n) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}_{\tau}} \text { Cat }
$$
as well as the right-lax natural transformation between them will all be defined in terms of this commutative diagram. We describe the situation for $n \leq 2$ : the general case is no different, but the combinatorics are more cumbersome.

We begin by describing these commutative diagrams.
(0) A [0]-point of $G$ Span is the data of an $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}_{0}$. This is associated to the (tautologically commutative) diagram

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\infty$-categories.
(1) A [1]-point of $G$ Span in the data of a span (35). This is associated to the (tautologically commutative) diagram

of $\infty$-categories. Note that the composite of the latter two functors is the (fiberwise) homotopy fixedpoints functor

$$
(-)^{\mathrm{h} G}: \Gamma\left(\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{h} \mathcal{B}_{01} \mid \mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{G}} \Gamma\left(S^{\mathrm{gB}_{01} \mid \mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \xrightarrow{(-)^{G}} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{S} p\right) .
$$

(2) A [2]-point of GSpan is the data of a diagram

of $\infty$-categories with fibrational properties as indicated and in which the square is a pullback; the composite forwards map $\mathcal{B}_{02} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{12} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2}$ is then a $B G$-Kan fibration, for some short exact sequence

$$
K \hookrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q
$$

of finite groups (incarnated as a fiber sequence

$$
\mathrm{B} K \longrightarrow \underset{64}{\mathrm{~B} G \longrightarrow \mathrm{~B} Q}
$$

of groupoids). This is associated to the diagram of $\infty$-categories depicted in Figure 6, which commutes since the diagram

commutes, where both downwards functors are given by right Kan extension: these right Kan extensions are both along BK-Kan fibrations, so are given by fiberwise homotopy $K$-fixedpoints.

We now construct the various asserted data, cycling through those associated to the three asserted objects in turn: the left $G$ Span-module $\mathscr{F}_{h}$, the left-lax left $G$ Span-module $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$, and the right-lax $G$ Span-equivariant functor from the former to the latter. The various simplicial structure maps that relate these data will be evident from their constructions.
$(0)_{h}$ The functor $\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{\mathrm{h}}$ takes the [0]-point of $G$ Span corresponding to the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ to the functor

$$
[0] \longrightarrow \text { Cat }
$$

selecting the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, S \mathrm{P}\right)$, i.e. the unique $\infty$-category in the diagram (38).
$(0)_{\tau}$ The functor $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ takes the $[0]$-point of $G S$ pan corresponding to the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ to the functor

$$
[0] \simeq \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}([0]) \longrightarrow \text { Cat }
$$

selecting the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$, i.e. the unique $\infty$-category in the diagram (38).
$(0)_{h \rightarrow \tau}$ The right-lax natural transformation

$$
\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~h} \rightarrow \tau} \mathscr{F}_{\tau}
$$

takes the $[0]$-point of $G$ Span corresponding to the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}_{0}$ to the morphism in the $\infty$-category

$$
\text { Fun }^{\text {r.lax }}(I . \operatorname{lax}([0]), \text { Cat }) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^{\text {r.lax }}([0], \text { Cat }) \simeq \mathrm{Cat}_{\text {cart } /[0]^{\text {po }}} \simeq \text { Cat }
$$

given by the identity functor on the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$.
$(1)_{\mathrm{h}}$ The functor $\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}}$ takes the [1]-point of $G$ Span corresponding to the span (35) to the functor

$$
[1] \longrightarrow \text { Cat }
$$

selecting composite functor (39). However, we will describe this in another way. Namely, the diagram (39) classifies a cocartesian fibration over its indexing diagram (namely the category $[3])$, and each object of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$ determines a unique cocartesian section thereof, whose


Figure 6. The commutative diagram of $\infty$-categories corresponding to the [2]-point (40) of GSpan.
value at the object $3 \in[3]$ selects its target in $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$. We depict a typical such a cocartesian section by the diagram

in which the squiggly arrows denote cocartesian morphisms. Throughout the proof, we will use the convention that squiggly arrows denote cocartesian morphisms. We will also continue to use the convention that we simply write $Y$ for the pullback of $X$ (and similarly for $Z$ below), rather than introducing more notation.
$(1)_{\tau}$ Given the [1]-point of $G$ Span corresponding to the span (35), observe that each object $X \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$ determines a unique diagram

in the cocartesian fibration over [3] classified by the diagram (39) in which the straight vertical arrow, a morphism in

$$
\Gamma\left(S^{g p^{g} \mathcal{B}_{01} \mid \mathcal{B}_{1}}\right)
$$

is given in each fiber (a copy of $\mathcal{S} p^{\mathrm{g} G}$ ) by the indicated localization (namely that of Observation 2.11, whose genuine fixedpoints compute geometric fixedpoints (leaving the fully faithful inclusion implicit)). Throughout the proof, we will use the convention that straight arrows interrupted by labels denote morphisms which are required to be given fiberwise by the indicated localization. Then, using the notation in diagram (42), we declare that the composite functor

$$
[1] \simeq \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}([1]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}(G \operatorname{Span}) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}_{\tau}} \text { Cat }
$$

selects the functor

(1) $)_{\mathrm{h} \rightarrow \tau}$ Given the [1]-point of GSpan corresponding to the span (35), observe that each object $X \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$ determines a unique commutative diagram in the cocartesian fibration
over [3] classified by diagram (39) of the form

(Since the square commutes, the lower straight vertical morphism must be given fiberwise by the canonical one of Observation 2.11.) Then, we declare that the right-lax natural transformation

$$
\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~h} \rightarrow \tau} \mathscr{F}_{\tau}
$$

takes this [1]-point of $G$ Span to the morphism in the $\infty$-category

$$
\text { Fun }^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathrm{I} \cdot \operatorname{lax}([1]), \text { Cat }) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^{\text {r.lax }}([1], \text { Cat }) \simeq \text { Cat }_{\text {cart } /[1]^{\text {op }}}
$$

corresponding to the lax-commutative square

in Cat determined by the (natural) lower straight vertical morphism in diagram (43).
$(2)_{\mathrm{h}}$ Given the [2]-point of $G$ Span corresponding to the diagram (40), observe that each object $X \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$ determines a unique commutative diagram

in the cocartesian fibration classified by diagram (41). Then, we declare that the composite functor

$$
[2] \longrightarrow G \operatorname{Span} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}_{h}} \mathrm{Cat}
$$

selects the diagram

which commutes via the data of the (natural) lower horizontal equivalence in diagram (44).
$(2)_{\tau}$ Given the [2]-point of $G$ Span corresponding to the diagram (40), observe that each object $X \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, S p\right)$ determines a unique commutative diagram

in the cocartesian fibration classified by diagram (41). Then, we declare that the composite functor

$$
\text { I.lax }([2]) \longrightarrow \text { I. } \operatorname{lax}(G \text { Span }) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{F}_{\tau}} \text { Cat }
$$

selects the diagram

which lax-commutes via the data of the (natural) bottom horizontal morphism in diagram (45).
$(2)_{\mathrm{h} \rightarrow \tau}$ Given the [2]-point of GSpan corresponding to the diagram (40), observe that each object $X \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}, \mathcal{S p}\right)$ determines a unique commutative diagram

in the cocartesian fibration classified by diagram (41). Then, we declare that the right-lax natural transformation

$$
\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{h}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~h} \rightarrow \tau} \mathscr{F}_{\tau}
$$

takes this [2]-point of $G$ Span to the morphism in the $\infty$-category

$$
\text { Fun }^{\text {r.lax }}(\mathrm{I} . \operatorname{lax}([2]), \text { Cat })
$$

corresponding to the diagram

which lax-commutes via the data described previously along with the (natural) bottom right commutative square in diagram (46) (filling in the 3-cell).

Remark 3.9. The proof of the proto Tate package carries through without change after replacing $\mathcal{S p}$ by any stable $\infty$-category. However, our construction of the Tate package in [AMGRb] uses crucially that $\mathcal{S p}$ is the stabilization of a cartesian symmetric monoidal $\infty$-category: the Tate package arises from the diagonal package for $\mathcal{S}$. Thus, while there exists a proto Tate package for $H \mathbb{Z}$-module spectra, it does not induce a Tate package over $H \mathbb{Z}$, concordant with the folklore result that TC cannot be defined in the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear setting.

Notation 3.10. Consider the right action of the commutative monoid $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$on the circle group $\mathbb{T}$, wherein the element $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$acts by the homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{T} \stackrel{r}{\longleftarrow} \mathbb{T} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with kernel $\mathrm{C}_{r} \leq \mathbb{T}$. We write

$$
\mathbb{W}:=\mathbb{T} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^{\times}
$$

for the resulting semidirect product monoid. So by definition, we have a pullback square

in which the functor on the right is a right fibration, with cartesian monodromy functor over the morphism [1] $\xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{BN}^{\times}$given by the functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BT} \stackrel{\mathrm{~B} r}{\longleftarrow} \mathrm{BT} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by applying B to the homomorphism (47).
Remark 3.11. The $\infty$-category $B \mathbb{W}$ arises most natively through manifold theory, as described in [AMGRa]. Namely, can be described as the $\infty$-category whose objects are framed circles and whose morphisms are opposite to framed covering maps. (The 1-fold covers determine the maximal subgroupoid $B T \subset B \mathbb{W}$.)

Construction 3.12. We take the cartesian dual of the cartesian fibration

$$
\left(\mathrm{BW} \downarrow \mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right) \in \mathrm{Cart}_{\mathrm{BN} \times} .
$$

The resulting cocartesian fibration

$$
\left(\mathrm{BW}^{\mathrm{cart}} \downarrow\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \in \operatorname{coCart}_{(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\mathrm{op}}}
$$

is classified by a functor

$$
\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \text { Cat }
$$

which sends the unique object of $\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\text {op }}$ to the space BT and sends the morphism $[1] \xrightarrow{r^{\circ}}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\text {op }}$ to the endomorphism (48). This is a $B G$-Kan fibration, so determines a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow G \text { Span } \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

(landing in the subcategory on those morphisms whose backwards functors are equivalences).

Observation 3.13. It follows from the definitions that the pullback of the left-lax left GSpanmodule

$$
\mathscr{F}_{\tau} \in \mathrm{LMod}_{\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{lax} . G S_{p a n}}
$$

of the proto Tate package (Theorem 3.3) along the functor (49) is precisely the left-lax right $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$module

$$
S_{p_{\mathcal{N} \times} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}} \in \operatorname{LMod}_{\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{lax} .(\mathrm{BN} \times)_{\text {op }}} \simeq \mathrm{RMod}_{\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{Iax} \cdot \mathrm{BN} \times}
$$

Construction 3.14. Pulling back the entire proto Tate package (Theorem 3.3) along the functor (49) yields a (strict) right $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$-module, which we denote by

$$
S_{p_{h \mathbb{N} \times}}^{\mathrm{hT}} \in \operatorname{LMod}_{(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\text {op }}} \simeq \operatorname{RMod}_{\mathrm{BN} \times},
$$

as well as a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} p_{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}} \longrightarrow S \mathrm{p}_{\tau \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$


Remark 3.15. We will reidentify the object $S p_{h \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}} \in \operatorname{LMod}_{(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\text {op }}}$ in simpler terms in Corollary 3.23.
3.2. Cyclotomic spectra with Frobenius lifts. Recall that a cyclotomic spectrum $T \in \operatorname{Cyc}(\mathbb{S p})$ has a cyclotomic structure map

$$
T \xrightarrow{\sigma_{r}} T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r}}
$$

for each $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$; this may be thought of as a Tate-valued Frobenius map [NS]. On the other hand, it is also possible for a cyclotomic spectrum to have an honest Frobenius endomorphism: a Frobenius lift of the cyclotomic structure map $\sigma_{r}$ is a (suitably equivariant) lift


Requiring that these lifts be compatible for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$, we arrive at the following notion.
Definition 3.16. The $\infty$-category of cyclotomic spectra with Frobenius lifts is

$$
\operatorname{Cyc}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{S p}):=\lim ^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left(\mathcal{S p}_{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \times}^{\mathrm{h}} \curvearrowleft \mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)
$$

Notation 3.17. In order to emphasize the contrast with $\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{S p})$, we may write

$$
\operatorname{Cyc}^{\tau}(S p):=\operatorname{Cyc}(S p)
$$

for the $\infty$-category of cyclotomic spectra.
Observation 3.18. Applying the right-lax limit functor

$$
\text { RMod }_{1 . l a x . \text { BN }}^{\text {r.lax }} \times \xrightarrow{\lim ^{r . a x}} \text { Cat }
$$

to the morphism (50) defines a functor

$$
\mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{S p}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cyc}^{\tau}(\mathcal{S p})=: \operatorname{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})
$$

which forgets the Frobenius lifts.

Remark 3.19. For our purposes here, the main example of a cyclotomic spectrum with Frobenius lifts is a trivial cyclotomic spectrum; indeed, we will construct the "trivial cyclotomic spectrum" functor in $\S 3.3$ as a composite

$$
\mathcal{S p} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{Sp}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})
$$

However, there is another interesting source of cyclotomic spectra with Frobenius lifts, namely the suspension spectrum of an unstable cyclotomic space, i.e. a functor $\mathrm{BW} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} .{ }^{36}$ In turn, the main example of an unstable cyclotomic space is the factorization homology

$$
\mathrm{THH}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{C}):=\int_{S^{1}} \mathcal{C}
$$

of a spatially-enriched (i.e. unenriched) $\infty$-category. ${ }^{37}$ This relationship is a key ingredient in our construction in [AMGRb] of the cyclotomic trace.

We now provide a general result which specializes to identify the cocartesian dual

$$
\left(\left(S_{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N} \times} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}}\right) \stackrel{\text { cocart }}{V} \downarrow \mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right) \in \operatorname{Cart}_{\mathrm{BN} \times} \cdot \cdot^{38}
$$

This identification will allow us to easily construct the functor

$$
\text { Sptriv }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{Cyc}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{S p})
$$

taking a spectrum to the corresponding trivial cyclotomic spectrum with Frobenius lifts in §3.3.
Notation 3.20. We fix a cocartesian fibration

$$
(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathrm{B} \mathbb{W}) \in \operatorname{coCart}_{\mathrm{BW}},
$$

and we write

for the pullback (or equivalently, the fiber over the unique point in $B \mathbb{N}^{\times}$). ${ }^{39}$
Construction 3.21. The space of degree- $r$ maps in $B \mathbb{W}$ - that is, maps that project to the map $[1] \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{BN}^{\times}$- forms a copy of $\mathrm{BC}_{r}$. Hence, for an object $e \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$, cocartesian pushforward over all these maps simultaneously defines a functor

$$
\pi_{r}^{*}(e): \mathrm{BC}_{r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{0}
$$

[^26]Assembling this construction over all $e \in \mathcal{E}_{0}$, we obtain a functor

$$
\pi_{r}^{*}: \mathcal{E}_{0} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathrm{BC}_{r}, \mathcal{E}_{0}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.22. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an $\infty$-category admitting homotopy $\mathrm{C}_{r}$-fixedpoints for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}$. Then, the functor

is a cartesian fibration, with cartesian monodromy functor over the morphism $[1] \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{~B} \mathbb{N}^{\times}$given by the formula


Proof. The functor (51) restricts to a cartesian fibration over the morphism $[1] \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{BN} \times$ with cartesian monodromy given by the leftwards composite

$$
\Gamma_{\{0\}}(51) \longleftarrow \Gamma_{[1]}(51) \underset{\leftarrow--\perp--}{\perp} \Gamma_{\{1\}}(51)
$$

i.e. the leftwards composite

$$
\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid\{0\}}, \mathcal{V}\right) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}, \mathcal{V}\right) \xrightarrow[\not \ldots \perp \ldots]{ } \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid\{1\}}, \mathcal{V}\right)
$$

in which the right adjoint is given by right Kan extension. Given an object $F \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid\{1\}}, \mathcal{V}\right)$, the value of its image on an object $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid\{0\}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}$ is therefore given by the limit of the composite

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mid\{1\}} \underset{\varepsilon_{\mid[1]}}{\times}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}\right)_{e /} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mid\{1\}} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{V} .
$$

Let us write

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mid\{1\}} \underset{\mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}}{\times}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}\right)_{e / \text { ocarat } / \mathrm{BW}} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mid\{1\}} \underset{\mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}}{\times}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}\right)_{e /}
$$

for the inclusion of the subcategory on those morphisms with source $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}$ and target lying in $\mathcal{E}_{\mid\{1\}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mid[1]}$ that are cocartesian over BWW. Note that the source of this inclusion is equivalent to $\mathrm{BC}_{r}$, incarnated as the space of morphisms in BW lying over $[1] \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{BN}^{\times}$with source the image of $e$ (since each such morphism admits a unique cocartesian lift to $\mathcal{E}$ with source $e$ ). Then, the asserted identification of the cartesian monodromy for (51) follows from the fact that this inclusion is initial, since it admits a right adjoint given by the factorization system on $\mathcal{E}$ coming from its cocartesian fibration to BW . Moreover, the fact that it is a cartesian (and not just locally cartesian) fibration follows from the observation that these cartesian monodromies compose.

Corollary 3.23. The cocartesian dual of the cocartesian fibration

$$
\left(S_{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{h}} \times}^{\mathrm{hT}} \downarrow\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \in \operatorname{coCart}_{(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\mathrm{op}}}
$$

is the cartesian fibration

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { Fun }_{/ \mathrm{BN}}^{\mathrm{rel}} \times(\mathrm{BW}, \underline{S p}) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathrm{BN}^{\times}
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Cart}_{\mathrm{BN} \times} \times
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.22 in the case that $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{BW}$ and $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{S} p$.
3.3. Trivial cyclotomic spectra. In this subsection, we construction the trivial cyclotomic spectrum functor

$$
\mathcal{S p} \xrightarrow{\text { triv }} \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p}) ;
$$

this is given as Definition 3.25.
Construction 3.24. Consider the morphism

in $\mathrm{EFib}_{\mathrm{BN} \times}$. Applying the functor

$$
\left(\mathrm{EFib}_{\mathrm{BN} \times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fun}_{/ \mathrm{BN} \times}^{\text {rel }}(-, \underline{\mathrm{sp}})} \mathrm{Cat}_{/ \mathrm{BN} \times}
$$

to the morphism (52) yields a morphism

in Cat/BNX. In fact, this is a morphism in $\operatorname{RMod}_{\mathrm{BN}^{\times} \times}^{r \cdot \operatorname{lax}}:=$ Cat $_{\text {cart } / \mathrm{BN} \times}$, since both maps to $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$are cartesian fibrations - the former manisfestly and the latter by Lemma 3.22. Over the unique object of $\mathrm{BN}{ }^{\times}$, this is the functor

$$
\mathcal{S p} \xrightarrow{\text { const }} \operatorname{Fun}(B \mathbb{T}, S p)
$$

a left adjoint. Thus, by (the 2-opposite of) Lemma 1.34, this provides a canonical morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\mathcal{S} p} \longleftarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{/ \mathrm{BN} \times}^{\mathrm{rel} \times}(\mathrm{BW}, \underline{\mathcal{S p}}) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\operatorname{RMod}_{\mathrm{BN} \times}^{1 . l a x}$ which over the unique object of $\mathrm{BN}^{\times}$is the right adjoint

$$
\mathcal{S p} \stackrel{(-)^{h \mathbb{T}}}{\longleftarrow} \operatorname{Fun}(\mathrm{BT}, \mathcal{S} p)
$$

But in fact, the morphism (53) is strictly equivariant, i.e. it lies in the subcategory $\operatorname{RMod}_{\mathrm{BN}^{\times}}:=$ Cart $_{\mathrm{BN} \times}$, because homotopy fixedpoints compose in the sense that

$$
\left((-)^{\mathrm{hC}}\right)^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{r}\right)} \simeq(-)^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}} .
$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.23, taking the cartesian dual of the morphism (53) (considered in Cart BN $^{\times}$) yields a morphism

in $\operatorname{LMod}_{\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\text {op }}}:=\operatorname{coCart}_{\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\text {op }}}$. This is again a fiberwise right adjoint, and so by Lemma 1.36 its fiberwise left adjoint assembles into a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\mathcal{S p}} \longrightarrow S \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{N}} \times}^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}^{2}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\operatorname{LMod}_{\left(\operatorname{BN}^{\times}\right)}^{\text {r.lap }}$.

Definition 3.25. We define the trivial cyclotomic spectrum with Frobenius lifts functor to be the composite

where the equivalence follows from Observation 1.22. Thereafter, we define the trivial cyclotomic spectrum functor to be the composite

$$
\text { triv }: S p \xrightarrow{\text { trivh }^{h}} \mathrm{Cyc}^{h}(S p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cyc}(S p)
$$

3.4. Partial adjunctions. In this subsection, we introduce a formalism which will be useful in our proof of Theorem C in $\S 3.5$.

Definition 3.26. A (left-)partial adjunction is the data of two $\infty$-categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ equipped with full subcategories $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{0} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and a lax-commutative square

such that for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}$, the induced composite map

$$
\operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(L c, d) \xrightarrow{R} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(R L c, R d) \xrightarrow{\eta_{c}^{*}} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, R d)
$$

is an equivalence. We refer to $L$ as the partial left adjoint of the partial adjunction and to $R$ as the right adjoint of the partial adjunction.

Observation 3.27. Partial adjunctions compose: if in the diagram

the two squares are partial adjunctions, then so is the outer rectangle.

Observation 3.28. Given a partial adjunction (56), a factorization

of the restriction $R_{\mid \mathcal{D}_{0}}$ induces an adjunction


### 3.5. The formula for TC.

Theorem 3.29. There exists a canonical functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Cyc}(\mathrm{Sp}) \xrightarrow{(-)^{\mathrm{hT}}} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathrm{sd}\left(\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right), S \mathrm{sp}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

taking a cyclotomic spectrum $T \in \operatorname{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p})$ to the diagram

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{sd}\left(\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \xrightarrow[T^{\mathrm{h} \mathbb{T}}]{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{Sp} \\
\Psi  \tag{58}\\
\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)=: W \longmapsto\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{W}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}}:=\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{r_{1}} \cdots \tau \mathrm{C}_{r_{k}}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, postcomposing with the limit gives a canonical factorization

of the right adjoint to the trivial cyclotomic spectrum functor

$$
\mathcal{S p} \xrightarrow{\text { triv }} \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathcal{S p}) \text {. }
$$

Notation 3.30. For a base $\infty$-category $\mathcal{B}$, we simply write

$$
Y:=Y o^{\text {r.lax }}
$$

for the right-lax Yoneda embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { loc.coCart }{ }_{\mathcal{B}} \mathrm{Y} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \text {, Cat }\right) \\
& \text { U } \\
& (\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \longmapsto\left(([n] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{B}) \longmapsto \mathrm{Fun}_{/[n]}^{\text {cocart }}\left(\operatorname{sd}([n]), \varphi^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

of Observation 1.24, so that $\lim ^{\text {r.lax }}:=\lim \circ \mathrm{Y}$.
Notation 3.31. Complementing Notation 3.30, we introduce the notation
loc.coCart $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right.$, Cat)
$\cup \quad \cup$

$$
(\mathcal{E} \downarrow \mathcal{B}) \longmapsto\left(([n] \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{B}) \longmapsto \mathrm{Fun}_{/[n]}\left(\operatorname{sd}([n]), \varphi^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)\right)
$$

Observation 3.32. Directly from the definitions, there is a natural transformation

whose components are pointwise fully faithful embeddings.

Observation 3.33. Consider the projection from the product

$$
\underline{\mathcal{G}}:=\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}
$$

as an object of $\operatorname{LMod}_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \operatorname{LMod}_{\text {.lax. } \mathcal{B}}$. Then, the limit of the presheaf

$$
\mathrm{Z}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}) \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\left(\Delta_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \text { Cat }\right)
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim (\mathrm{Z}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})) & :=\lim _{\left([n]^{\varphi} \mathcal{B}\right) \in\left(\Delta_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\text {op }} \operatorname{Fun} /[n]}\left(\operatorname{sd}([n]), \varphi^{*} \underline{\mathcal{G}}\right) \\
& \simeq \lim _{\left([n]{ }^{\varphi} \mathcal{B}\right) \in\left(\Delta_{/ \mathcal{B}}\right)^{\text {op }} \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{sd}([n]), \mathcal{G})} \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Fun}(\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{G}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.29. Our proof takes places within the context of the string of composable partial adjunctions of Figure 7. It will be immediate that the upper composite is given by the functor

$$
\mathcal{S p} \xrightarrow{\text { triv }} \mathrm{Cyc}(\mathrm{Sp}) .
$$

Moreover, we take the functor (57) to be the composite

it will follow from unwinding the definitions that this does indeed act as asserted in formula (58). The result will then follow immediately from Observations 3.27 and 3.28. Thus, it remains to describe the three partial adjunctions of Figure 7. We declare immediately that all downwards functors besides the leftmost one arise from Observation 3.32; as fully faithful embeddings are stable under limits in $\operatorname{Ar}$ (Cat), all downwards functors are indeed fully faithful embeddings, as required by Definition 3.26. We also note that the identifications of the two limits in the second column with the indicated functor $\infty$-categories respectively follow from Observations 1.22 and 3.33.

Let us first address the partial adjunction on the left in Figure 7. Observe that we have an evident identification

of the indicated composite. Then, we obtain the desired partial adjunction the adjunction



Figure 7. The string of partial adjunctions in the proof of Theorem 3.29.
it follows immediately from the resulting diagram

in which the natural transformation is the unit and the upper square commutes. ${ }^{40}$
Let us next address the partial adjunction in the middle in Figure 7. Its upper functor is the right-lax limit of the morphism (55) in LMod ${ }_{(\mathrm{BN} \times)^{\text {r.lax }} \text {. }}$. By construction, this morphism is the left
 $\operatorname{LMod}_{\left(\operatorname{BN}^{x}\right)}^{\mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{lap}}$. From the resulting diagram

we extract the diagram

in which the natural transformation is the unit and the lower square commutes, from which the desired partial adjunction immediately follows.

Let us finally address the partial adjunction on the right in Figure 7. Its upper functor is the right-lax limit of the morphism (50) in LMod. ${ }_{1 . l a x .(B N \times)}^{\text {r.lax }}$. As this is an equivalence on underlying

[^27]in Fun $\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{/(\operatorname{BN} \times)^{\text {op }}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Cat}\right)$. However, the right adjoint does not exist at this level.
$\infty$-categories, it is not hard to see that it canonically induces the remaining data of the partial adjunction: indeed, this all arises diagramatically.

Observation 3.34. The proof of Theorem 3.29 directly furnishes a natural transformation


Specifically, this is obtained from the diagram in Figure 7 by applying the lower composite

$$
\mathcal{S p} \longleftarrow \lim (Z(\underline{(\mathcal{S p}})) \longleftarrow \lim \left(Z\left(\mathcal{S} p_{h \mathbb{N} \times}^{\mathrm{h}}\right)\right)
$$

to the unit of the partial adjunction on the right.
Remark 3.35. Let us describe the category $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$and the diagram (58) in detail. First of all, an object of $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$is unambiguously specified by a possibly empty word

$$
W:=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)
$$

in $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$not containing any 1's. Then, the morphisms are generated by the operations of

- adding a letter at the beginning, e.g. $(2,3) \rightarrow(5,2,3)$,
- adding a letter at the end, e.g. $(2,3) \rightarrow(2,3,5)$, and
- factoring a letter, e.g. $(5,6,4) \rightarrow(5,3,2,4)$;
by convention, the operations of adding the same letter before and after the empty word ( ) are distinct. Thus, a small portion of this category is depicted by the diagram in Figure 8, in which for


Figure 8. A small portion of the category $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$.
instance the composite

$$
() \sim(1) \longrightarrow \underset{81}{(2,1)} \sim(2) \longrightarrow(2,3)
$$

is identified with the composite

$$
() \sim(1) \longrightarrow(1,3) \sim(3) \longrightarrow(2,3)
$$

From here, the corresponding structure maps of diagram (58) are respectively given by

- the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(T^{\tau C_{2}}\right)^{\tau C_{3}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}} \xrightarrow{\left(\left(\left(\sigma_{5}\right)^{\tau C_{2}}\right)^{\tau C_{3}}\right)^{h \mathbb{T}}}\left(\left(\left(T^{\tau C_{5}}\right)^{\tau C_{2}}\right)^{\tau C_{3}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

determined by the cyclotomic structure map $\sigma_{5}$,

- the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{2}}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{3}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}} \simeq\left(\left(\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{2}}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{3}}\right)^{\mathrm{hC}}\right)^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)} \longrightarrow\left(\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{2} \tau \mathrm{C}_{3}}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{5}}\right)^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)} \simeq\left(\left(T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{2} \tau \mathrm{C}_{3}}\right)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{5}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

determined by the natural transformation

$$
(-)^{\mathrm{hC}}{ }_{5} \longrightarrow(-)^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{5}}
$$

coming from the definition of the Tate construction, in which

- the first equivalence comes from the fact that homotopy fixedpoints compose, while
- the second equivalence is simply the identification $\left(\mathbb{T} / C_{5}\right) \simeq \mathbb{T}$,
and
- the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(T^{\tau C_{5}}\right)^{\tau C_{6}}\right)^{\tau C_{4}} \xrightarrow{\left(\chi_{3,2}\right)^{\tau C_{4}}}\left(\left(\left(T^{\tau C_{5}}\right)^{\tau C_{3}}\right)^{\tau C_{2}}\right)^{\tau C_{4}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

determined by the component of the natural transformation $\chi_{3,2}$ at the object $T^{\tau \mathrm{C}_{5}}$.
In particular, in the diagram (58), the object $T^{\mathrm{hT}}$ itself - the value at the empty word ( ) $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$ - maps out to every other object $\left(T^{\tau C_{W}}\right)^{\mathrm{hT}}$ in a multitude of different ways, according to the various possible sequences of prepending, appending, and factoring elements of $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$that take ( ) to $W$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The generalization Tate construction is a variation on the usual Tate construction, but where one quotients by norms from all proper subgroups rather than just from the trivial subgroup (see Remarks 2.8 and 2.13).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ In addition to nicely paralleling the notation $\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$, the notation $\mathcal{S} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h} G}$ is consistent: this is the homotopy fixedpoints of the trivial $G$-action on the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S}$ p.
    ${ }^{3}$ The term "naive $G$-spectra" is often used in the literature to refer to the stabilization of the $\infty$-category of genuine $G$-spaces (i.e. spectral presheaves on the orbit category of $G$ ). Thus, from here onwards we use the unambiguous term "homotopy $G$-spectra" whenever we wish to make precise statements, though we will still at times refer colloquially e.g. to "naive equivariant spectra". (Homotopy $G$-spectra are also sometimes called "very naive $G$-spectra", "doubly naive $G$-spectra", or "spectra with $G$-action").
    ${ }^{4}$ Actually, this analogy is slightly imperfect: for various reasons, in defining genuine $G$-spectra (but not genuine $G$ spaces) one also forces certain "representation spheres" to be invertible under the smash product monoidal structure.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ More invariantly, one can also define $\mathrm{W}(H)$ as the compact Lie group of $G$-equivariant automorphisms of $G / H$.
    ${ }^{6}$ This is actually not quite the compatibility condition given in [BM15, Definitions 4.7 and 4.8], which appears to be a typo (comparing e.g. with the discussion of $[\mathrm{BM} 15, \S 6]$ ).

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ On the other hand, stable homotopy theorists will also be familiar with the chromatic fracture squares that govern the reassembly of the stable homotopy category from its various chromatic localizations.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ The case where $n=3$ is illustrated in [AMGRb, Remark 0.2].
    ${ }^{9}$ The expression (1) for TC as a hom-spectrum does not suffice for our purposes: we require a precise description of how TC is built using the data described in Remark 0.8.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ It would be slightly more correct to write $\operatorname{sd}\left(\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right){ }^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$ instead of $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$, but these two categories are canonically equivalent (due to the commutativity of the monoid $\mathbb{N}^{\times}$) and so to simplify our notation we elide the distinction here.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ From here, it is not hard to see that our formula for the functor $(-)^{\mathrm{hCyc}}$ given in Theorem C reduces to theirs in the eventually-connective case.
    ${ }^{12}$ On the other hand, we view the additional complexity present in our formula (as a limit over $\operatorname{sd}\left(\mathrm{BN}^{\times}\right)$) as a feature: it is necessary in order to capture the full algebro-geometric significance of TC described in [AMGRb, §0] (see in particular [AMGRb, Remark 0.5]).

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ Perhaps surprisingly, these various limits are actually well-defined in all cases.

[^9]:    ${ }^{14}$ It is not hard to see that the colimit defining $\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B})$, when translated to Segal spaces, can actually be computed in simplicial spaces; thereafter, it is straightforward to check e.g. that the functor $\operatorname{sd}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\text { max }} \mathcal{B}$ is indeed a locally cocartesian fibration.

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ That $\mathrm{Yo}^{\text {r.lax }}$ and $\mathrm{Yo}^{\text {l.lax }}$ are indeed monomorphisms follows immediately from Observation 1.33.

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ In particular, this explains the "Yo" notation: it's a sort of restricted Yoneda functor.

[^12]:    ${ }^{17}$ This can be presented as the simplicially-enriched category $\mathfrak{C}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ (where $\mathfrak{C}$ denotes the left adjoint of the homotopy-coherent nerve functor to simplicial sets), but thought of as enriched in $\infty$-categories (via quasicategories) rather than in spaces (via Kan complexes, after fibrant replacement).

[^13]:    ${ }^{18}$ This is where we use that our original morphism was in the subcategory LMod.lax. $\mathcal{B}$. $\subset$ LMod. ${ }_{\text {r.lax }}^{\text {r. }}$. : by contrast, the Gray product is not symmetric.

[^14]:    ${ }^{19}$ This will be consistent with the slogan of Remark 2.13 , as $\mathrm{C}_{p}$ has no proper subgroups.

[^15]:    ${ }^{20}$ Our notation here is concordant with that of $\S 2.4$, where we construct the canonical fracture of $\mathcal{S p}^{\mathrm{g} G}$.

[^16]:    ${ }^{21}$ This is closely related to the Tate orbit and fixedpoints lemmas of [NS].
    ${ }^{22}$ In turn, Mathew-Naumann-Noel credit this result independently to Carlson [Car00] and Balmer [Bal16].

[^17]:    ${ }^{23}$ These data are organized so as to reflect their positions within the diagram (22).

[^18]:    ${ }^{24}$ The simplest example of this discrepancy occurs when $\mathrm{P}=[1]$ and the functor Conv $_{[1]} \rightarrow$ Loce $_{\mathcal{C}}$ is constant at the maximal reflective subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, namely $\mathcal{C}$ itself: this defines a stratification, but not a fracture.

[^19]:    ${ }^{25}$ The composite endofunctor of $\lim ^{r \cdot \operatorname{lax}}\left([1] \curvearrowright\left(\mathcal{C}_{0} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)\right)$ is immediately seen to be an equivalence. To see that the composite endofunctor of $\mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence, one must check that for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the commutative square
    
    is a pullback square; it suffices to check that the fibers of the horizontal functors are equivalent, and this follows from the equality $\operatorname{ker}(y)=\operatorname{im}(\nu)$.
    ${ }^{26}$ In fact, this is true more generally for any subsets of the underlying set of $P$ : its poset structure plays no role here.

[^20]:    ${ }^{27}$ The condition that the transition maps of $C \bullet$ and $D \bullet$ preserve limits is implicitly used here to guarantee that this formula indeed defines a functor $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}^{L}$.

[^21]:    ${ }^{28}$ This choice of handedness is motivated by our construction of the cyclotomic structure on THH in [AMGRb].

[^22]:    ${ }^{29}$ The first of these two is only included to make contact with the discussion of $\S 0.4$; the latter will be more relevant for everything that follows.

[^23]:    ${ }^{30}$ We reserve the name "Tate package" for the resulting object that induces the cyclotomic structure on THH (see [AMGRb]).
    ${ }^{31}$ This can be easily constructed e.g. as a complete Segal space, using the fact that Cat admits finite limits.
    ${ }^{32}$ To be precise (in the face of the potential ambiguity presented by Notation 3.1), we clarify that for simplicity and because it is the only case we need to consider, we will assume that all the fibers are abstractly equivalent, even if $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{gpd}}$ is disconnected.
    ${ }^{33}$ Such morphisms are clearly closed under composition in Span(Cat), since connected $\pi$-finite 1-types are closed under fiber sequences (namely, they loop down to extension sequences of groups).

[^24]:    ${ }^{34}$ The proof of the full proto Tate package is not much harder than that of its "forwards maps only" version the backwards maps are easy to manage, as they simply correspond to pullbacks - which is why we simply prove the entire proto Tate package here.

[^25]:    ${ }^{35}$ This is in essence only a cosmetic simplification, which reduces us to describing our various fibrational structures only on cocartesian morphisms over $G$ Span: it is a straightforward exercise to translate the constructions we give here into the fibrational language of $\S 1$.

[^26]:    ${ }^{36}$ This follows immediately from Corollary 3.23.
    ${ }^{37}$ As factorization homology is by definition a colimit, we have an identification

    $$
    \Sigma_{+}^{\infty}\left(\int_{S^{1}} \mathcal{e}\right) \simeq \int_{S^{1}}\left(\mathfrak{B} \Sigma_{+}^{\infty}\right)(\mathcal{C})
    $$

    where (as in [AMGRb, $\S 6]$ ) we write $\left(\mathfrak{B} \Sigma_{+}^{\infty}\right)(\mathcal{C})$ to denote the $\mathcal{S}$-enriched $\infty$-category obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by taking homwise suspension spectra. This provides another (essentially equivalent) source of cyclotomic spectra with Frobenius lifts.
    ${ }^{38} \mathrm{As}$ is explained in [AMGRb], this general result plays a crucial role in the consideration of enriched factorization homology and its functoriality.
    ${ }^{39}$ Here we'll just be interested in the case that $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} B W$, but the proof of the general result (Lemma 3.22) is no more difficult.

[^27]:    ${ }^{40}$ It is also possible to obtain this partial left adjoint as the limit of a morphism

    $$
    \operatorname{const}(\mathcal{S p}) \longrightarrow \underline{S p}
    $$

