

Nonlinear acoustics: Blackstock-Crighton equations with a periodic forcing term

Aday Celik

Fachbereich Mathematik

Technische Universität Darmstadt

Schlossgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

Email: celik@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Mads Kyed

Fachbereich Mathematik

Technische Universität Darmstadt

Schlossgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany

Email: kyed@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

March 8, 2024

The Blackstock-Crighton equations describe the motion of a viscous, heat-conducting, compressible fluid. They are used as models for acoustic wave propagation in a medium in which both nonlinear and dissipative effects are taken into account. In this article, a mathematical analysis of the Blackstock-Crighton equations with a time-periodic forcing term is carried out. For arbitrary time-periodic data (sufficiently restricted in size) it is shown that a time-periodic solution of the same period always exists. This implies that the dissipative effects are sufficient to avoid resonance within the Blackstock-Crighton models. The equations are considered in a three-dimensional bounded domain with both non-homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values. Existence of a solution is obtained via a fixed-point argument based on appropriate a priori estimates for the linearized equations.

MSC2010: Primary 35Q35, 76N10, 76D33, 35B10, 35B34.

Keywords: Blackstock-Crighton, periodic solutions, resonance.

1 Introduction

The motion of a viscous, heat-conducting fluid is governed by mass conservation, momentum conservation, energy conservation, and a thermodynamic equation of state. The compressible Navier-Stokes equations describe the conservation of mass and momentum when viscous effects are taken into account. The Kirchhoff-Fourier equations describe the conservation of energy when heat-conducting effects are taken into account. Using the equation of state of an ideal fluid, and assuming the flow is irrotational, BLACKSTOCK [1] eliminated all but one dependent variable from the Navier-Stokes and Kirchhoff-Fourier equations to obtain the following model for a viscous, heat-conducting fluid:

$$(a\Delta - \partial_t) (\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u) - \partial_t^2 \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{B}{2A} (\partial_t u)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) = f. \quad (\text{BCK})$$

Here, u denotes the potential of the fluids velocity field and f a forcing term. The constant a is the heat conductivity of the fluid, b the diffusivity of sound, c the speed of sound, and B/A is called the parameter of nonlinearity. The equation (BCK) is referred to as the Blackstock-Crighton-Kuznetsov equation and is used as a model for acoustic wave propagation in a medium in which both nonlinear and dissipative effects are taken into account. If local nonlinear effects are neglected, one is lead to the Blackstock-Crighton-Westervelt equation

$$(a\Delta - \partial_t) (\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u) - \partial_t^2 \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \left(1 + \frac{B}{2A} \right) (\partial_t u)^2 \right) = f. \quad (\text{BCW})$$

If also the dissipative effects are neglected, then the model reduces to the classical wave equation.

In the present article we investigate if the dissipative effects present in (BCK) and (BCW) are sufficient to avoid resonance effects. If one considers the classical (hyperbolic) wave equation, where dissipative effects are completely neglected, a time-periodic source term would inevitable lead to resonance, that is, an unbounded solution. Our investigation of (BCK) and (BCW) will show, by establishing existence of a time-periodic solution for arbitrary time-periodic data f (sufficiently restricted in size), that this is not the case for the Blackstock-Crighton equations (BCK) and (BCW). We may therefore conclude that the dissipative effects of viscosity and heat conduction in the Blackstock-Crighton framework constitute a sufficient energy absorption mechanism to avoid resonance.

The initial-value problems corresponding to the Blackstock-Crighton equations (BCK) and (BCW) have been investigated recently by BRUNNHUBER and KALTENBACHER [3], BRUNNHUBER [2] (in particular we refer to [2, Section 2] for a derivation of (BCK)), and BRUNNHUBER and MEYER [4]. To our knowledge, the investigation of time-periodic solutions to the Blackstock-Crighton equations in a setting of time-periodic data is new. The Kuznetsov equation, which is a simpler model for nonlinear acoustic wave propagation, was investigated in a time-periodic setting by the present authors in [5]. We shall employ the results from [5] in the following. In addition to the articles mentioned above, we would like to draw the readers attention to the recent work [10] of TANI, in which a

new model for nonlinear wave propagation similar to the Blackstock-Crighton model is derived and analyzed.

We consider (BCK) and (BCW) with inhomogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. We shall work in a setting of time-periodic functions and therefore take the whole of \mathbb{R} as time-axis. We let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ denote a spatial domain. In the following, $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ will always denote a time-variable t and spatial variable x , respectively. The Blackstock-Crighton equation (in generalized form) with Dirichlet boundary condition then reads

$$\begin{cases} (a\Delta - \partial_t)(\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u) - \partial_t^2 (k(\partial_t u)^2 + s|\nabla u|^2) = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ (u, \Delta u) = (g, h) & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{BCD})$$

where we have used the same notation $k := \frac{1}{c^2}((1-s) + \frac{B}{2A})$ and $s \in \{0, 1\}$ as in [2]. The corresponding Neumann problem reads

$$\begin{cases} (a\Delta - \partial_t)(\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u) - \partial_t^2 (k(\partial_t u)^2 + s|\nabla u|^2) = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ (\partial_\nu u, \partial_\nu \Delta u) = (g, h) & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{BCN})$$

Here $f: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g, h: \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given, and $u: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the unknown.

We shall consider data that are time-periodic with the period $\mathcal{T} > 0$, that is, functions satisfying

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : \quad v(t + \mathcal{T}, \cdot) = v(t, \cdot).$$

As the main result in this article, we show for given \mathcal{T} -time-periodic data f, g and h in appropriate function spaces and sufficiently restricted in size that there exists a time-periodic solution u to (BCD) and (BCN). In the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions, the result can be stated as follows (the subscript *per* indicates that a function space consists of \mathcal{T} -time-periodic functions; see Section 2):

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with a C^4 -smooth boundary and $p \in (\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$. There is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $f \in L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))$, $g \in \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ and $h \in \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)} + \|h\|_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon$$

there is a solution

$$u \in W_{\text{per}}^{3,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{4,p}(\Omega))$$

to (BCD).

A solution to the Neumann problem (BCN) only exists when the data satisfies certain compatibility conditions. More precisely, we obtain:

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω and p be as in Theorem 1.1. There is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $f \in L^p_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))$, $g \in T^p_{\text{per}, N_1}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ and $h \in T^p_{\text{per}, N_2}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$\|f\|_{L^p_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{T^p_{\text{per}, N_1}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)} + \|h\|_{T^p_{\text{per}, N_2}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\Omega} f \, dx dt + ac^2 \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \int_{\partial\Omega} h \, dS dt = 0$$

there is a solution

$$u \in W^{3,p}_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,p}_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}; W^{4,p}(\Omega))$$

to (BCN).

Our proofs are based on a priori L^p -estimates for the corresponding linearizations

$$\begin{cases} (a\Delta - \partial_t)(\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u) = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ (u, \Delta u) = (g, h) & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (a\Delta - \partial_t)(\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u) = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ (\partial_\nu u, \partial_\nu \Delta u) = (g, h) & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

of (BCD) and (BCN), respectively, and an application of the contraction mapping principle. Our analysis relies on a decomposition of (1.1) and (1.2) into a coupled system consisting of the time-periodic heat equation and the time-periodic Kuznetsov equation. The time-periodic heat equation was investigated in [8], and the time-periodic Kuznetsov equation in [5]. In the following, we shall employ the results obtained in these two articles.

2 Function Spaces

In the following, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ shall always denote a three-dimensional domain with a C^4 -smooth boundary. The outer normal on $\partial\Omega$ is denoted by ν . Points in $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ are generally denoted by (t, x) , with t being referred to as time, and x as the spatial variable. A time period $\mathcal{T} > 0$ remains fixed.

Classical Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces are denoted by $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, respectively. We write $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_{k,p}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}$.

For a Lebesgue or Sobolev space $E(\Omega)$, we define the space of smooth \mathcal{T} -time-periodic vector-valued functions by

$$C^\infty_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega)) := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega)) \mid f(t + \mathcal{T}, x) = f(t, x)\}.$$

For $p \in (1, \infty)$, we let

$$\|f\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))} := \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \|f(t)\|_{E(\Omega)}^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|f\|_{W_{\text{per}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))} := \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^k \|\partial_t^\alpha f\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.2)$$

As one may verify, $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W_{\text{per}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))}$ define norms on $C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))$. We put

$$L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega)) := \overline{C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))}^{\|\cdot\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))}}. \quad (2.3)$$

If no confusion can arise, we write $\|\cdot\|_p$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))}$. We also introduce Sobolev spaces of vector-valued time-periodic functions:

$$W_{\text{per}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega)) := \overline{C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))}^{\|\cdot\|_{W_{\text{per}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Corresponding Sobolev-Slobodeckii spaces are defined in the usual way by real interpolation ($k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$):

$$W_{\text{per}}^{k+\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega)) := (W_{\text{per}}^{k+1,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega)), W_{\text{per}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega)))_{1-\alpha,p}.$$

Moreover, we let

$$\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) := W_{\text{per}}^{3,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{4,p}(\Omega)).$$

Embedding properties are collected in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with a C^4 -smooth boundary and $p \in (1, \infty)$. The embeddings*

$$\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \hookrightarrow W_{\text{per}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega)), \quad (2.5)$$

$$W_{\text{per}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; W^{4,p}(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega)) \quad (2.6)$$

and ($l \in \{1, 2, 3\}$)

$$\begin{aligned} L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)) \cap W^{4,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2))) \\ \hookrightarrow W^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{4-l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2))) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

are continuous.

Proof. The regularity of Ω suffices to ensure the existence of a continuous extension operator $E: \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ as in the case of classical Sobolev spaces. Consequently, it suffices to prove Lemma 2.1 in the whole-space case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$. For this purpose, it is convenient to replace the time-axis \mathbb{R} with the torus $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathcal{T}\mathbb{Z}$ in the

function spaces of \mathcal{T} -time-periodic functions. The torus \mathbb{T} canonically inherits a topology and differentiable structure from \mathbb{R} via the quotient mapping $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ in such a way that

$$C^\infty(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3)) = \{f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid f \circ \pi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))\}$$

for any generic Sobolev space $E(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, if \mathbb{T} is equipped with the normalized Haar measure, we may introduce the norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ on $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))$ by the same expressions as in (2.1)–(2.2). Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))$ on the torus are then defined as in (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. The quotient map π employed as a lifting operator acts as an isometric isomorphism between $C^\infty_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))$, and consequently also between the Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{T}; E(\mathbb{R}^3))$. We may therefore verify the embeddings in the setting of function spaces where the time-axis has been replaced with the torus. In this setting, we can employ the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}$ in time *and* space to characterize the Sobolev spaces

$$\begin{aligned} &W^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}^{-1} [(1 + |k|^2|\xi|^2) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}[f]] \in L^p(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}^p(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3) &= W^{3,p}(\mathbb{T}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}; W^{4,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}^{-1} [(1 + |k|^3 + |k||\xi|^4) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}[f]] \in L^p(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the space of Schwartz-Bruhat distributions on the locally compact abelian group $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, and $(k, \xi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ an element of the corresponding dual group. Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq C \left\| \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}^{-1} \left[\frac{1 + |k|^2|\xi|^2}{1 + |k|^3 + |k||\xi|^4} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3} [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}^{-1} [(1 + |k|^3 + |k||\xi|^4) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}[f]]] \right] \right\|_p. \quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

The multiplier

$$m : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad m(\eta, \xi) := \frac{1 + |\eta|^2|\xi|^2}{1 + |\eta|^3 + |\eta||\xi|^4}$$

satisfies the condition of the Marcinkiewicz's multiplier theorem ([9, Chapter IV, §6]). Indeed, by Young's inequality $|\eta|^2|\xi|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}|\eta|^3 + \frac{2}{3}|\eta||\xi|^4$, whence $\|m\|_\infty < \infty$. Similarly, one may verify that

$$\max_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}} \|\xi_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots \xi_n^{\varepsilon_n} \eta^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} \partial_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\varepsilon_n} \partial_\eta^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} m(\eta, \xi)\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Consequently, m is an $L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ multiplier. By de Leeuw's Transference Principle for Fourier multipliers on locally compact abelian groups (see for example [6, Theorem B.2.1]), it follows that the restriction $m|_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^3}$ is an $L^p(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ multiplier. From (2.8) we thus deduce

$$\|f\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C \left\| \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}^{-1}[(1 + |k|^3 + |k||\xi|^4) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3}[f]] \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}^p(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^3)},$$

and we conclude (2.5). The embeddings (2.6)–(2.7) can be established in a completely similar manner. \square

Additional, we make use of the following embedding properties, which have already been established in [7]:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with a C^2 -smooth boundary and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that $\alpha \in [0, 2]$ and $p_0, r_0 \in [q, \infty]$ satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} r_0 \leq \frac{2q}{2 - \alpha q} & \text{if } \alpha q < 2, \\ r_0 < \infty & \text{if } \alpha q = 2, \\ r_0 \leq \infty & \text{if } \alpha q > 2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_0 \leq \frac{nq}{n - (2 - \alpha)q} & \text{if } (2 - \alpha)q < n, \\ p_0 < \infty & \text{if } (2 - \alpha)q = n, \\ p_0 \leq \infty & \text{if } (2 - \alpha)q > n, \end{cases}$$

and that $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $p_1, r_1 \in [q, \infty]$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} r_1 \leq \frac{2q}{2 - \beta q} & \text{if } \beta q < 2, \\ r_1 < \infty & \text{if } \beta q = 2, \\ r_1 \leq \infty & \text{if } \beta q > 2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} p_1 \leq \frac{nq}{n - (1 - \beta)q} & \text{if } (1 - \beta)q < n, \\ p_1 < \infty & \text{if } (1 - \beta)q = n, \\ p_1 \leq \infty & \text{if } (1 - \beta)q > n. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{L_{\text{per}}^{r_0}(\mathbb{R}; L^{p_0}(\Omega))} + \|\nabla u\|_{L_{\text{per}}^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}; L^{p_1}(\Omega))} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega))},$$

with $C_1 = C_1(\mathcal{T}, \Omega, r_0, p_0, r_1, p_1)$.

Proof. See [7, Theorem 4.1]. \square

Three types of trace operators are employed in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_0 : C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega}) &\rightarrow C_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega), & \text{Tr}_0(u) &:= u|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega}, \\ \text{Tr}_D : C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega}) &\rightarrow C_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)^2, & \text{Tr}_D(u) &:= (u|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega}, \Delta u|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega}), \\ \text{Tr}_N : C_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega}) &\rightarrow C_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)^2, & \text{Tr}_N(u) &:= (\partial_\nu u|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu \Delta u|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

In order to characterize appropriate trace spaces, we introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) &:= W_{\text{per}}^{3 - \frac{1}{2p}, p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\partial\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{4 - \frac{1}{p}, p}(\partial\Omega)), \\ \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) &:= W_{\text{per}}^{2 - \frac{1}{2p}, p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\partial\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2 - \frac{1}{p}, p}(\partial\Omega)), \\ \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, N_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) &:= W_{\text{per}}^{\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}, p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\partial\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{3 - \frac{1}{p}, p}(\partial\Omega)), \\ \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, N_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) &:= W_{\text{per}}^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}, p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\partial\Omega)) \cap W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{1 - \frac{1}{p}, p}(\partial\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

These spaces can be identified as trace spaces in the following sense:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with a C^4 -smooth boundary. The trace operators extend to bounded operators:*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_0 : \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_0 : W_{\mathrm{per}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega)) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_0 : W_{\mathrm{per}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap L_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; W^{4,p}(\Omega)) \\ \rightarrow W_{\mathrm{per}}^{2-\frac{1}{2p},p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\partial\Omega)) \cap L_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; W^{4-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_D : \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \times \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_N : \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{per}, N_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \times \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{per}, N_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega). \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, the operators above possess a continuous right-inverse. By \mathbb{R}_0 we denote a continuous right-inverse to Tr_0 .

Proof. It suffices to verify the assertions in the half space case $\Omega := \mathbb{R}_+^3$. The general case of a bounded domain Ω with a C^4 -smooth boundary then follows via localization. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^3) &= L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)) \cap W^{4,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2))) \\ &\quad \cap W^{3,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2))) \cap W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2))) \\ &\quad \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{3,p}(\mathbb{R}^2))) \\ &= L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)) \cap W^{4,p}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2))), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the embeddings (2.7). It follows from [11, Theorem 1.8.3] that Tr_0 extends to continuous operator

$$\mathrm{Tr}_0 : \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^3) \rightarrow (W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)), \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2))_{1-1/4p, p}.$$

One may verify that $\mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2))$ form a quasilinearizable interpolation couple; see [11, Definition 1.8.4]. Indeed, an admissible operator in the sense of [11, Definition 1.8.4] is given by $V_1(\mu) := \mu^{-1}(\mu^{-1} - \partial_t^2 + \Delta^2)^{-1}$, where invertibility of $(\mu^{-1} - \partial_t^2 + \Delta^2) : \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2))$ can be established by an analysis of the multiplier $(\eta, \xi) \rightarrow (\mu^{-1} + \eta^2 + |\xi|^4)^{-1}$ and an application of de Leeuw's Transference Principle as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Consequently, one obtains even better properties of the trace operator, namely that it possesses a continuous right inverse; see [11, Theorem 1.8.5]. Moreover, we can utilize the property stated in [11, Theorem 1.12.1] concerning interpolation of intersections of spaces that form quasilinearizable interpolation couples to conclude

$$(W_{\mathrm{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)), \mathbb{X}_{\mathrm{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2))_{1-1/4p, p} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

which verifies (2.9). The assertions (2.10)–(2.13) follow in a similar way. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain with a C^4 -smooth boundary. The embedding*

$$W_{\text{per}}^{2-\frac{1}{2p},p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\partial\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; W^{4-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)) \hookrightarrow T_{\text{per},D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \quad (2.14)$$

is continuous.

Proof. Denote the embedding (2.6) by ι . Recalling (2.10) and (2.11), we find that $\text{Tr}_0 \circ \iota \circ R_0$ yields the embedding (2.14). \square

For functions f defined on time-space domains, we let

$$\mathcal{P}f(t, x) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} f(s, x) ds, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\perp}f(t, x) := f(t, x) - \mathcal{P}f(t, x)$$

whenever the integral is well defined. Since $\mathcal{P}f$ is independent on time t , we shall implicitly treat $\mathcal{P}f$ as a function in the spatial variable x only. Observe that \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}_{\perp} are complementary projections on the space $C_{\text{per}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))$. We shall employ the projections to decompose the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces introduced above. Since $\mathcal{P}f$ is time independent, we refer to $\mathcal{P}f$ as the *steady-state* part of f . We refer to $\mathcal{P}_{\perp}f$ as the *purely oscillatory* part of f . By continuity, \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}_{\perp} extend to bounded operators on $L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))$ and $W_{\text{per}}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}; E(\Omega))$.

3 Linear Problem

The linear equations (1.1) and (1.2) can be decomposed into a time-periodic Kuznetsov equation coupled with a time-periodic heat equation. Based on this observation, we obtain the following linear theory:

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with a C^4 -smooth boundary. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} A_D: \mathcal{P}_{\perp} \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) &\rightarrow \\ &\mathcal{P}_{\perp} L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \times \mathcal{P}_{\perp} T_{\text{per},D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \times \mathcal{P}_{\perp} T_{\text{per},D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega), \\ A_D(u) &:= ((a\Delta - \partial_t)(\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u), \text{Tr}_D u) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_N: \mathcal{P}_{\perp} \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) &\rightarrow \\ &\mathcal{P}_{\perp} L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \times \mathcal{P}_{\perp} T_{\text{per},N_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \times \mathcal{P}_{\perp} T_{\text{per},N_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega), \\ A_N(u) &:= ((a\Delta - \partial_t)(\partial_t^2 u - c^2 \Delta u - b\partial_t \Delta u), \text{Tr}_N u), \end{aligned}$$

are homeomorphisms.

Proof. On the strength of the embedding (2.5), we observe that Δ is a bounded operator

$$\Delta : \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\perp W_{\text{per}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{P}_\perp W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega)).$$

Together with the continuity of the trace operators established in Lemma 2.3, this implies that the operators A_D and A_N are well-defined as bounded operators in the given setting. We start by showing that the operators are surjective. We concentrate on A_D , as the operator A_N can be treated in a completely similar manner. To this end, let

$$(f, g, h) \in \mathcal{P}_\perp L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \times \mathcal{P}_\perp T_{\text{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \times \mathcal{P}_\perp T_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega).$$

Consider the coupled equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v - c^2 \Delta v - b \partial_t \Delta v = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ v = ah - \partial_t g & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} a \Delta u - \partial_t u = v & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We recognize (3.1) as the time-periodic Kuznetsov equation, which was studied in [5], and (3.2) as the time-periodic heat equation, which was studied in [8]. Recalling the embedding (2.14), we see that ∂_t is bounded as an operator

$$\partial_t : \mathcal{P}_\perp T_{\text{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\perp T_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega), \quad (3.3)$$

whence $ah - \partial_t g \in \mathcal{P}_\perp T_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$. Consequently, we obtain directly from [5, Theorem 3.1] existence of a unique solution

$$v \in \mathcal{P}_\perp W_{\text{per}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{P}_\perp W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega))$$

to (3.1). We now turn to (3.2). From [8, Theorem 2.1] and a standard regularity and lifting argument, based on the mapping property of the trace operator Tr_0 established in Lemma 2.3, we obtain a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$ to (3.2). Recalling the embedding (2.6), we see that ∂_t is bounded as an operator

$$\partial_t : \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\perp W_{\text{per}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{P}_\perp W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega)). \quad (3.4)$$

Since the operators ∂_t and Tr_0 commute on spaces of smooth functions, it follows from (3.3), (3.4) and the mapping property of the trace operator Tr_0 asserted in Lemma 2.3 that they commute in the setting

$$\partial_t \circ \text{Tr}_0 = \text{Tr}_0 \circ \partial_t : \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\perp T_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega).$$

We thus deduce from (3.2) and the boundary condition in (3.1) that

$$\text{Tr}_D u = (g, \text{Tr}_0(\Delta u)) = \left(g, \text{Tr}_0 \left(\frac{1}{a} [\partial_t u + v] \right) \right) = \left(g, \frac{1}{a} \partial_t g + \frac{1}{a} v \right) = (g, h).$$

It follows that $A_D(u) = (f, g, h)$, and we conclude that A_D is surjective. To show that A_D is injective, consider $u \in \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$ with $A_D(u) = (0, 0, 0)$. Unique solvability of the time-periodic Kuznetsov equation [5, Theorem 3.1] implies $a\Delta u - \partial_t u = 0$. In turn, unique solvability of the time-periodic heat equation [8, Theorem 2.1] implies $u = 0$. Consequently, A_D is injective. By the open mapping theorem, A_D is a homeomorphism. \square

Next, we recall some standard results for the bi-Laplacian Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -ac^2\Delta^2 u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (u, \Delta u) = (g, h) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

and the corresponding Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -ac^2\Delta^2 u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (\partial_\nu u, \partial_\nu \Delta u) = (g, h) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω and p be as in Theorem 3.1. For any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $g \in W^{4-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)$ and $h \in W^{2-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in W^{4,p}(\Omega)$ to (3.5) satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{4,p} \leq C_2 \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_{4-\frac{1}{p},p} + \|h\|_{2-\frac{1}{p},p} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

with $C_2 = C_2(p, \Omega) > 0$.

Proof. Considering the coupled equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = -ac^2 h & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} -ac^2\Delta u = v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

one easily obtains the assertion of the lemma from standard theory for the Laplace equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let Ω and p be as in Theorem 3.1. For any $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $g \in W^{3-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)$ and $h \in W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, dx + ac^2 \int_{\partial\Omega} h \, dS = 0$$

there exists a unique solution $u \in W^{4,p}(\Omega)$ to (3.6) satisfying

$$\|u\|_{4,p} \leq C_3 \left(\|f\|_p + \|g\|_{3-\frac{1}{p},p} + \|h\|_{1-\frac{1}{p},p} \right),$$

with $C_3 = C_3(p, \Omega) > 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, the assertion follows by decomposing (3.6) into two Laplace equations with Neumann boundary conditions. \square

4 Proof of Main Theorems

We shall now prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. For this purpose, we employ a fixed-point argument based on the estimates established for the linearized systems (1.1) and (1.2) in the previous section.

The nonlinear terms in (BCD) and (BCN) can be estimated as follows:

Lemma 4.1. *Let Ω and p be as in Theorem 1.1. Then*

$$\|\partial_t v \partial_t^3 u\|_p + \|\partial_t^2 v \partial_t^2 u\|_p + \|\partial_t \nabla v \cdot \partial_t \nabla u\|_p + \|\nabla v \cdot \partial_t^2 \nabla u\|_p \leq C_4 \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p} \|u\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}$$

holds for any $u, v \in \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$.

Proof. For any function $w \in \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$ we clearly have

$$\|\partial_t w, \partial_t^2 w, \nabla w\|_{W_{\text{per}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; W^{2,p}(\Omega))} \leq \|w\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)}.$$

Thus, for $p \in (\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$ Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = \frac{4}{5} : \quad & \|\partial_t v\|_\infty + \|\partial_t^2 v\|_\infty \leq c_0 \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}, \\ \alpha = 0 : \quad & \|\nabla v\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\Omega))} \leq c_1 \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $p \in (\frac{5}{2}, \frac{15}{4})$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta = 1 : \quad & \|\partial_t^2 \nabla u\|_{L_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))} \leq c_2 \|u\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}, \\ \beta = \frac{1}{5} : \quad & \|\partial_t \nabla v\|_{L_{\text{per}}^{\frac{10p}{10-p}}(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{15p}{15-4p}}(\Omega))} \leq c_3 \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}, \\ \beta = \frac{3}{5} : \quad & \|\partial_t \nabla u\|_{L_{\text{per}}^{10}(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{15}{4}}(\Omega))} \leq c_4 \|u\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Utilizing Hölder's inequality, we can therefore deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla v \cdot \partial_t^2 \nabla u\|_p + \|\partial_t v \partial_t^3 u\|_p + \|\partial_t^2 v \partial_t^2 u\|_p + \|\partial_t \nabla v \cdot \partial_t \nabla u\|_p \\ & \leq \|\nabla v\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\Omega))} \|\partial_t^2 \nabla u\|_{L_{\text{per}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t v\|_\infty \|\partial_t^3 u\|_p \\ & \quad + \|\partial_t^2 v\|_\infty \|\partial_t^2 u\|_p + \|\partial_t \nabla v\|_{L_{\text{per}}^{\frac{10p}{10-p}}(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{15p}{15-4p}}(\Omega))} \|\partial_t \nabla u\|_{L_{\text{per}}^{10}(\mathbb{R}; L^{\frac{15}{4}}(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C_4 \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p} \|u\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}, \end{aligned}$$

with $C_4 = 2c_0 + c_1 c_2 + c_3 c_4$. The estimates remain true for $p \in [\frac{15}{4}, \infty)$, in which case even stronger embeddings in (4.1) follow from Lemma 2.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Consider functions $f \in L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))$, $g \in \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_1}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ and $h \in \mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_2}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ with $\|f\|_p + \|g\|_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_1}^p} + \|h\|_{\mathbb{T}_{\text{per}, D_2}^p} \leq \varepsilon$, where ε is to be specified later. Put $f_s := \mathcal{P}f$, $g_s := \mathcal{P}g$, $h_s := \mathcal{P}h$ and $f_p := \mathcal{P}_\perp f$, $g_p := \mathcal{P}_\perp g$, $h_p := \mathcal{P}_\perp h$. We shall establish existence of a solution u to (BCD) on the form $u = u_s + u_p$, where

$u_s \in W^{4,p}(\Omega)$ is a solution to the elliptic problem (3.5) with f_s and (g_s, h_s) as right-hand side, and $u_p \in \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$ is a solution to the purely oscillatory problem

$$\begin{cases} (a\Delta - \partial_t)(\partial_t^2 u_p - c^2 \Delta u_p - b\partial_t \Delta u_p) \\ -2s \nabla u_s \cdot \nabla \partial_t^2 u_p - \partial_t^2 (k(\partial_t u_p)^2 + s|\nabla u_p|^2) = f_p & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ (u_p, \Delta u_p) = (g_p, h_p) & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 3.2 yields a solution $u_s \in W^{4,p}(\Omega)$ to (3.5) satisfying (3.7). Sobolev's embedding theorem implies

$$\|\nabla u_s\|_\infty \leq c_0 \|\nabla u_s\|_{3,p} \leq c_0 \|u_s\|_{4,p} \leq c_1 \varepsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

The solution to (4.2) shall be obtained as a fixed point of the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}: \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega), \\ \mathcal{N}(u_p) &:= \text{A}_D^{-1} \left(\partial_t^2 (k(\partial_t u_p)^2 + s|\nabla u_p|^2) + 2s \nabla u_s \cdot \partial_t^2 \nabla u_p + f_p, g_p, h_p \right) \end{aligned}$$

with A_D as in Theorem 3.1. For this purpose, let $\rho > 0$ and consider some $u_p \in \mathcal{P}_\perp \mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega) \cap B_\rho$. Since A_D is a homeomorphism, we conclude from Lemma 4.1 and (4.3) the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{N}(u_p)\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p} &\leq \|\text{A}_D^{-1}\| \left(\|\partial_t u_p \partial_t^3 u_p\|_p + \|\partial_t^2 u_p \partial_t^2 u_p\|_p + \|\partial_t \nabla u_p \cdot \partial_t \nabla u_p\|_p + \|f_p\|_p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla u_p \cdot \partial_t^2 \nabla u_p\|_p + \|\nabla u_s \cdot \nabla \partial_t^2 u_p\|_p + \|g_p\|_{\text{T}_{\text{per},D_1}^p} + \|h_p\|_{\text{T}_{\text{per},D_2}^p} \right) \\ &\leq c_2 (\|u_p\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}^2 + \|\nabla u_s\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla \partial_t^2 u_p\|_{L_{\text{per}}^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\Omega))} + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq c_3 (\rho^2 + \varepsilon \rho + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\rho := \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and ε sufficiently small, we have $c_3 (\rho^2 + \varepsilon \rho + \varepsilon) \leq \rho$, i.e., \mathcal{N} becomes a self-mapping on B_ρ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{N}(u_p) - \mathcal{N}(v_p)\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p} &\leq c_4 \|\text{A}_D^{-1}\| \left(\|\partial_t u_p \partial_t^3 u_p - \partial_t v_p \partial_t^3 v_p\|_p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla \partial_t u_p \cdot \partial_t^2 \nabla u_p - \nabla \partial_t v_p \cdot \partial_t^2 \nabla v_p\|_p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|(\partial_t^2 u_p)^2 - (\partial_t^2 v_p)^2\|_p + \|\nabla u_s \cdot \nabla \partial_t^2 u_p - \nabla u_s \cdot \nabla \partial_t^2 v_p\|_p \right) \\ &\leq c_5 \left(\|\partial_t u_p \partial_t^3 (u_p - v_p)\|_p + \|\partial_t^3 v_p \partial_t (u_p - v_p)\|_p + \|\nabla \partial_t u_p \cdot \nabla \partial_t (u_p - v_p)\|_p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla \partial_t v_p \cdot \nabla \partial_t (u_p - v_p)\|_p + \|\nabla u_p \cdot \nabla \partial_t^2 (u_p - v_p)\|_p + \|\nabla \partial_t^2 v_p \cdot \nabla (u_p - v_p)\|_p \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\partial_t^2 u_p \partial_t^2 (u_p - v_p)\|_p + \|\partial_t^2 v_p \partial_t^2 (u_p - v_p)\|_p + \|\nabla u_s \cdot \nabla \partial_t^2 (u_p - v_p)\|_p \right) \\ &\leq c_6 \left(8\rho \|u_p - v_p\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p} + \varepsilon \|u_p - v_p\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p} \right) = c_6 (8\rho + \rho^2) \|u_p - v_p\|_{\mathbb{X}_{\text{per}}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if ε is sufficiently small \mathcal{N} also becomes a contracting self-mapping. By the contraction mapping principle, existence of a fixed point for \mathcal{N} follows. This concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

References

- [1] D.T. Blackstock. Approximate equations governing finite-amplitude sound in thermoviscous fluids. GD/E report GD-1463-52, General Dynamics Coporation, 1963. [2](#)
- [2] Rainer Brunnhuber. Well-posedness and exponential decay of solutions for the Blackstock-Crighton-Kuznetsov equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 433(2):1037–1054, 2016. [doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.07.046](#). [2](#), [3](#)
- [3] Rainer Brunnhuber and Barbara Kaltenbacher. Well-posedness and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Blackstock-Crighton-Westervelt equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 34(11):4515–4535, 2014. [doi:10.3934/dcds.2014.34.4515](#). [2](#)
- [4] Rainer Brunnhuber and Stefan Meyer. Optimal regularity and exponential stability for the Blackstock-Crighton equation in L_p -spaces with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 16(4):945–981, 2016. [doi:doi.org/10.1007/s00028-016-0326-6](#). [2](#)
- [5] Aday Celik and Mads Kyed. Nonlinear Wave Equation with Damping: Periodic Forcing and Non-Resonant Solutions to the Kuznetsov Equation. *Z. Angew. Math. Mech*, 1-19, 2017. [doi:10.1002/zamm.201600280](#). [2](#), [4](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [6] R. E. Edwards and G. I. Gaudry. *Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 90*. [7](#)
- [7] Giovanni P. Galdi and Mads Kyed. Time-period flow of a viscous liquid past a body. *To appear in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.09829>. [7](#)
- [8] Mads Kyed and Jonas Sauer. A method for obtaining time-periodic L^p estimates. *J. Differ. Equations*, 262(1):633–652, 2017. [doi:10.1016/j.jde.2016.09.037](#). [4](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [9] Elias M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970. [6](#)
- [10] Atusi Tani. Mathematical analysis in nonlinear acoustics. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1907(1):020003, 2017. [doi:10.1063/1.5012614](#). [2](#)
- [11] H. Triebel. *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978. [8](#)