

WHEN A TOTALLY BOUNDED GROUP TOPOLOGY IS THE BOHR TOPOLOGY OF A LCA GROUP

SALVADOR HERNÁNDEZ AND F. JAVIER TRIGOS-ARRIETA

ABSTRACT. We look at the Bohr topology of maximally almost periodic groups (MAP, for short). Among other results, we investigate when a totally bounded abelian group (G, w) is the Bohr reflection of a locally compact abelian group. Necessary and sufficient conditions are established in terms of the inner properties of w . As an application, an example of a MAP group (G, t) is given such that every closed, metrizable subgroup N of bG with $N \cap G = \{0\}$ preserves compactness but (G, t) does not strongly respects compactness. Thereby, we respond to Questions 4.1 and 4.3 in [6].

1. INTRODUCTION

For each topological group (G, t) there is associated a compact Hausdorff group bG and a continuous homomorphism b from (G, t) onto a dense subgroup of bG with the following universal property: for every continuous homomorphism h from (G, t) into a compact group K there is a continuous homomorphism h^+ from bG into K such that $h = h^+ \circ b$. The group bG is essentially unique; it is called the Bohr compactification of G (see Heyer [15] for a careful examination of bG and its properties). Here, we restrict our attention to those groups such that the homomorphism b above is one-to-one; these are exactly the *maximally almost periodic* (MAP) groups.

For such a topological group (G, t) we denote by (G, t^+) the underlying group of G equipped with its Bohr topology. Evidently, (G, t^+) is an example of a totally bounded group. The following notion plays an important rôle for the rest of this discussion: A MAP group (G, t) is said to *respect* a topological property \mathcal{P} if a subset A of G has \mathcal{P} as a subspace of (G, t) if and only if A has \mathcal{P} as a subspace of (G, t^+) (Trigos-Arrieta [20]).

The question of the disposition or placement of a LCA group (G, τ) within its Bohr compactification bG has been investigated by many workers. It is known for such G , for example, that G^+ is *sequentially closed* in bG in the sense that no sequence from bG can converge to a point of $bG \setminus G$ [19]. And Glicksberg [11] has shown that LCA groups respect compactness. This result concerning LCA groups is one of the pivotal results of the subject, often referred to as *Glicksberg's theorem*. Hughes [16] proved a generalization of Glicksberg's theorem to (not necessary Abelian) locally compact groups by considering the weak topology generated by the continuous irreducible unitary group representations. Several authors have achieved additional results which continue the lines of investigation suggested above (see [10]). Nevertheless many questions relating the topology of a MAP group with its Bohr topology are still open in general. In this paper, we continue with the investigation of the Bohr topology of maximally almost periodic groups groups accomplished in [6] and [8]. Among other results, we investigate when a totally bounded abelian group (G, w) is the Bohr reflection of a locally compact abelian group. Necessary and sufficient conditions are established in terms of the inner properties of w . As an application, an example of a MAP group (G, t) is given such that every closed, metrizable subgroup N of bG with $N \cap G = \{0\}$ preserves compactness but (G, t) does not strongly respects compactness (see Definition 5.3 below). Thereby, we respond to Questions 4.1 and 4.3 in [6].

Date: March 8, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 22B05; Secondary: 54H11.

Key words and phrases. abelian group, weak topologies, Weil completion, Bohr compactification, locally compact group, maximally almost periodic group, hemicompactness.

The first listed author acknowledges partially support by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, grant MTM2016-77143-P (AEI/FEDER, EU), and the Universitat Jaume I, grant P1171B2015-77.

2. PRELIMINARIES

If G is an Abelian group, the symbol w stands for a Hausdorff precompact group topology on G , and τ stands for a Hausdorff locally compact group topology on G . Then τ^+ stands for the Bohr topology on G , associated to τ . The topology τ^+ is a Hausdorff precompact group topology on G , weaker than τ . If (G, t) is a topological group and H is a subgroup of G , then (H, t) stands for the topological group H equipped with the inherited topology from (G, t) . $(H, t) < (G, t)$ means that (H, t) is a closed subgroup of (G, t) . If so, $(G/H, t)$ stands for the natural quotient group. Let $\mathcal{B} := \{(G, w) : \exists \tau[w = \tau^+]\}$. Members of the class \mathcal{B} will be called *Bohr groups*. If τ is the discrete topology, we write $\#$ instead of τ^+ . We identify the torus \mathbb{T} with the group $[0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the operation $+$ mod 1. If (G, t) is a topological group, the symbol $(G, t)^\wedge$ stands for the t -continuous homomorphisms from G to \mathbb{T} . $(G, t)^\wedge$ is a group, and it is called *the group of characters of (G, t)* . Notice that $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B} \implies (G, w)^\wedge = (G, \tau^+)^\wedge = (G, \tau)^\wedge$, for some locally compact topological group topology τ . By $((G, t)^\wedge, w)$ we mean the group $(G, t)^\wedge$ equipped with the finite-open topology. Similarly, by $((G, t)^\wedge, k)$ we mean the group $(G, t)^\wedge$ equipped with the compact-open topology. If H is a subgroup of G , *the annihilator of H in $(G, t)^\wedge$* , denoted by $\mathbb{A}((G, t)^\wedge, H)$ is the *subgroup of $(G, t)^\wedge$* consisting of those characters φ from G to \mathbb{T} , such that $\varphi[H] = \{0\}$. If X is a group of homomorphisms from G to \mathbb{T} , we say that X *separates the points of G* if whenever $g \in G$ and $g \neq 0_G$, the identity of G , then there is $\varphi \in X$ such that $\varphi(g) \neq 0$. $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$ stands for the group of all group homomorphisms from G to \mathbb{T} .

- Theorem 2.1.** (1) $((G, \tau)^\wedge, k)$ is locally compact. τ discrete (compact, resp.) implies $((G, \tau)^\wedge, k)$ compact (discrete, resp.).
- (2) $\Omega : (G, \tau) \longrightarrow (((G, \tau)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, k), g \mapsto (\Omega(g) : ((G, \tau)^\wedge, k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}, \phi \mapsto \phi(g))$ is a topological isomorphism onto. Ω is called *the evaluation map (of (G, τ))*.
- (3) The group $(\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}), w)$ is compact.
- (4) If $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$, then (X, w) is precompact.
- (5) If $X = (G, w)^\wedge$ separates the points of G and t is the weakest topology on G that makes the elements of X continuous, then $(G, w) = (G, t)$.
- (6) If $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T})$ separates the points of G , then (X, w) is a dense subgroup of $(\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}), w)$.
- (7) $\omega : (G, w) \longrightarrow (((G, w)^\wedge, w)^\wedge, w), g \mapsto (\omega(g) : ((G, w)^\wedge, w) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}, \phi \mapsto \phi(g))$ is a topological isomorphism onto. ω is called *the evaluation map (of (G, w))*.
- (8) If τ is locally compact, then K is a compact subspace of $(G, \tau) \iff K$ is a compact subspace of (G, τ^+) .
- (9) If τ is locally compact and $(H, \tau) < (G, \tau)$, then the Bohr topology of (H, τ) as a locally compact topological group is the same as the topology that it inherits as a subgroup of (G, τ^+) .

Proof: 1 and 2 are the celebrated Pontryagin-van Kampen theorem. 3 follows from 1 taking τ discrete. 4 and 5 follow from Comfort and Ross [5]. 6 is [14] (26.16). 7 is Raczkowski and Trigos-Arrieta [18]. 8 is Glicksberg's Theorem [11]. 9 is done in [20]. ■

3. NECESSARY CONDITIONS.

Theorem 3.1. *If (G, w) is such that $((G, w)^\wedge, k)$ is locally compact, then $(\overline{G}, \tau) := (((G, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, k)$ satisfies that (G, w) is contained in (\overline{G}, τ^+) densely.*

Proof: The identity $I : ((G, w)^\wedge, k) \longrightarrow ((G, w)^\wedge, w)$, is clearly continuous and onto. Hence, the adjoint map, which is the containment, $\hat{I} : (((G, w)^\wedge, w)^\wedge, w) \longrightarrow (((G, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, w)$ is continuous. By Theorem 2.1.7, $((((G, w)^\wedge, w)^\wedge, w) = (G, w)$. By Theorem 2.1.1, the group (\overline{G}, τ) is locally compact. Hence, $(\overline{G}, \tau^+) = (((G, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, w)$. That the containment is dense, follows from Theorem 2.1.6. ■

Lemma 3.2. *If $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, then $((G, w)^\wedge, k)$ is locally compact.*

Proof: Suppose that τ is locally compact with $\tau^+ = w$. By Theorem 2.1.8, $((G, w)^\wedge, k) = ((G, \tau)^\wedge, k)$, which is locally compact by Theorem 2.1.1. ■

Theorem 3.3. *If $(H, w) < (G, w)$, $(H, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, and $(G/H, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof: By Lemma 3.2, both $((G/H, w)^\wedge, k)$ and $((H, w)^\wedge, k)$ are locally compact. Let $X := ((G, w)^\wedge, k)$. We have that $(G/H, w)^\wedge = \mathbb{A}(X, H)$ [14] (23.25 & 23.30) and $(H, w)^\wedge = X/\mathbb{A}(X, H)$ [14] (24.11 & 23.30).

By [14] (5.25), we have that X is locally compact, hence $(\overline{G}, \tau) := (X^\wedge, k)$ is locally compact as well. It follows that $G \subseteq (\overline{G}, \tau)$ densely, since both G and \overline{G} separate the points of X . We claim that H as a subgroup of (\overline{G}, τ) is closed. For, $(H, w) \in \mathcal{B} \implies \exists \tau_H$ locally compact such that $(H, \tau_H^+) = (H, w)$. The latter implies that $(H, \tau_H)^\wedge = (H, \tau_H^+)^\wedge = (H, w)^\wedge = X/\mathbb{A}(X, H)$. Therefore, $(H, \tau_H) = (X/\mathbb{A}(X, H))^\wedge < (\overline{G}, \tau)$ which proves the claim. Similarly, we claim that G/H is locally compact as a subgroup of $(\overline{G}, \tau)/H$. For, $(G/H, w) \in \mathcal{B} \implies \exists \tau_{G/H}$ locally compact such that $(G/H, \tau_{G/H}^+) = (G/H, w)$. The latter implies that $(G/H, \tau_{G/H})^\wedge = (G/H, \tau_{G/H}^+)^\wedge = (G/H, w)^\wedge = \mathbb{A}(X, H)$. Therefore, $(G/H, \tau_{G/H}) = \mathbb{A}(X, H)^\wedge = \overline{G}/H$; proving the second claim. Since H and G/H are locally compact as a subgroup and quotient of $G < (\overline{G}, \tau)$ respectively, it follows, by [14] (5.25), that G is locally compact as a subgroup of (\overline{G}, τ) . Because G is dense in \overline{G} , it follows that $G = \overline{G}$ [14] (5.11), hence, $(G, \tau^+) = (G, w)$, as required. ■

Theorem 3.4. *Assume all compact subsets of (G, w) are finite. Then TFAE:*

- (1) $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$
- (2) $w = \#$
- (3) $((G, w)^\wedge, w)$ is compact.
- (4) $((G, w)^\wedge, k)$ is compact.
- (5) $((G, w)^\wedge, w)$ is locally compact.
- (6) $((G, w)^\wedge, k)$ is locally compact.
- (7) Every homomorphism $f : (G, w) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is continuous.

Proof: The hypothesis on the compact subsets of (G, w) implies that $((G, w)^\wedge, w) = ((G, w)^\wedge, k)$. Therefore $3 \iff 4$ and $5 \iff 6$. $2 \implies 1$ is obvious, Lemma 3.2 yields $1 \implies 6$. Because every group of characters equipped with the finite-open topology is precompact, $5 \implies 3$. Obviously, $2 \iff 7$. $3 \implies 7$ can be seen by using (3), (4) and (6) of Theorem 2.1. ■

By [14] (5.14), every locally compact Abelian group (G, τ) contains an open compactly generated subgroup (H, τ) . Notice then that $(G/H, \tau)$ is discrete. By properties of the Bohr topology, we have then that (G, τ^+) contains a compactly generated subgroup (H, τ^+) such that $(G/H, \tau^+) = (G/H, \#)$. This proves the sufficiency of the following:

Lemma 3.5. *$(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if there is a compactly generated subgroup (H, w) of (G, w) , such that $(H, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(G/H, w) = (G/H, \#)$.*

Proof: For the necessity, use Theorem 3.3. ■

Obviously:

Corollary 3.6. *If for every compactly generated subgroup (H, w) of (G, w) we have that $(H, w) \notin \mathcal{B}$ or $(G/H, w) \neq (G/H, \#)$, then $(G, w) \notin \mathcal{B}$.* ■

By [14] (9.8) a compactly generated locally compact Abelian group must have the form $\mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times K$ where m, n are non-negative integers and K is a compact Abelian group. Because of Theorem 2.1.8 and properties of the Bohr topology, a compactly generated precompact group $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if it has the form $(\mathbb{Z}^\#)^m \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^n \times K$ where m, n are non-negative integers and K is a compact Abelian group, and by duality properties, if $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, then $((G, w)^\wedge, k)$ has the form $\mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times D$, where m, n are non-negative integers and D is a discrete Abelian group.

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that (G, w) is compactly generated. Then $1 \iff 2 \implies 3$:*

- (1) $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (2) $(G, w) = (\mathbb{Z}^\#)^m \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^n \times K$, where m, n are non-negative integers and K is a compact Abelian group,
- (3) $((G, w)^\wedge, k) = \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times D$ where m, n are non-negative integers and D is a discrete Abelian group. ■

(3) does not imply (2) above: Setting $(G, w) := \mathbb{Q}^+$, one has $((G, w)^\wedge, k) = \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Assume that (G, w) is compactly generated and 0-dimensional. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if the subgroup B of (G, w) of all compact elements of (G, w) [14] (9.10) is compact, and $(G/B, w) = (G/B, \#)$.*

Proof: (\implies) Assume that $(G, w) = (G, \tau^+)$. By [14] (9.8) there are $m, n \in \omega$ and a compact group K such that $(G, \tau) = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^n \times K$. By hypothesis, $m = 0$ and K is 0-dimensional. It follows that $(G, w) = (G, \tau^+) = (\mathbb{Z}^\#)^n \times K$, $B = K$, and $(G/B, w) = (\mathbb{Z}^\#)^n$, as required. For (\impliedby), apply Theorem 3.3. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose the groups A, B and G satisfy $(G, w) = (A, w) \times (B, w)$. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if both $(A, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(B, w) \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof: By the main hypothesis, $((G, w)^\wedge, k) = ((A, w)^\wedge, k) \times ((B, w)^\wedge, k)$. If $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, say $(G, w) = (G, \tau^+)$, then, by Theorem 2.1.2, $(G, \tau) = (((G, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, k) = (((A, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, k) \times (((B, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, k)$. We write $(\bar{A}, \tau) := (((A, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, k)$, and $(\bar{B}, \tau) := (((B, w)^\wedge, k)^\wedge, k)$. Hence $(G, \tau) = (\bar{A}, \tau) \times (\bar{B}, \tau)$, and by Theorem 3.1, A is a dense subgroup of \bar{A} , and B is a dense subgroup of \bar{B} . By properties of the Bohr topology, $(A, w) \times (B, w) = (G, w) = (G, \tau^+) = (\bar{A}, \tau^+) \times (\bar{B}, \tau^+)$. This obviously implies that $(A, w) = (\bar{A}, \tau^+)$ and $(B, w) = (\bar{B}, \tau^+)$. The converse is obvious. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.10. *The topological group $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if there are groups A, B and C such that (a) $G = A \times B$, (b) $(A \times \{0\}, w) = (\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ for some $n \in \omega$, and (c) $(\{0\} \times C, w)$ is a compact subgroup of $(\{0\} \times B, w)$ such that $(B/C, w) = (B/C, \#)$.*

Proof: (\implies) Assume that $(G, w) = (G, \tau^+)$. By [14] (24.30) there are $n \in \omega$, a locally compact group G_0 and a compact group K such that $(G, \tau) = \mathbb{R}^n \times G_0$, and $(G_0/K, \tau)$ is discrete. Let A, B and C be the underlying groups of \mathbb{R}^n, G_0 , and K , respectively. By properties of the Bohr topology, we have that (a), (b) and (c) hold. For (\impliedby), apply Theorem 3.3 to see that $(\{0\} \times B, w) \in \mathcal{B}$. That $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ follows after an application of Lemma 3.9. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.11. *Consider the topological group (G, w) . Suppose that F is its connected component. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if both $(F, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, and $(G/F, w) \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof: (\implies) Assume that $(G, w) = (G, \tau^+)$. By [14] (24.30) there are $n \in \omega$, a locally compact group G_0 and a compact group K such that $(G, \tau) = \mathbb{R}^n \times G_0$, and $(G_0/K, \tau)$ is discrete. Let C be the connected component of G_0 . Clearly, $(C, \tau^+) < (G_0, \tau^+) = (G_0, w)$, with $(C, \tau^+) = (C, w)$ connected. Since $(G_0/C, \tau)$ is 0-dimensional, it follows, by properties of the Bohr topology [12], that $(G_0/C, \tau^+)$ is 0-dimensional. If $F := (\mathbb{R}^+)^n \times C$, it follows that $(F, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ is the connected component of $(G, w) = (G, \tau^+)$. Of course $(G/F, w) = (G/F, \tau^+) \in \mathcal{B}$. For (\impliedby), apply Theorem 3.3. \blacksquare

Corollary 3.12. *The following are equivalent, concerning a topological group (G, w) .*

- (1) $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$.
- (2) *There are groups A, B and C such that (a) $G = A \times B$, (b) $(A \times \{0\}, w) = (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ for some $n \in \omega$, and (c) $(\{0\} \times C, w)$ is a compact subgroup of $(\{0\} \times B, w)$ such that $(B/C, w) = (B/C, \#)$.*
- (3) *If F is the connected component of $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ it follows that $(F, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, and $(G/F, w) \in \mathcal{B}$.*
- (4) *There is a compactly generated subgroup (H, w) of (G, w) , such that $(H, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(G/H, w) = (G/H, \#)$.*

Corollary 3.13. *If (G, w) is a metrizable totally bounded group that is in \mathcal{B} , then (G, w) is compact.*

4. SOME TOPOLOGIES CANONICALLY ASSOCIATED TO TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

Following the terminology in [6], for a (Hausdorff) space $X = (X, t)$ we denote by kX , or (X, kt) , the set X with the topology kt defined as follows: A subset U of X is kt -open if and only if $U \cap K$ is (relatively) t -open in K for every t -compact subset K of X . Then kX is a k -space (that is, $kX = kkX$), kt is the smallest k -space topology on X containing t , it is the unique k -space topology for (X, t) such that $kt \supseteq t$, and the kt -compact sets are exactly the t -compact sets. In like manner, we say that a map f defined on X is k -continuous when f is continuous on each compact subset of X .

Given a topological abelian group (G, t) with dual $X := \widehat{(G, t)}$, for any subset A of G , we define $A^0 := \{\chi \in X : |\chi(g)| \leq 1/4 \forall g \in A\}$. Assuming that we are considering the *dual pair* (G, X) , for any subset L of X , we define $L^0 := \{g \in G : |\chi(g)| \leq 1/4 \forall \chi \in L\}$. This set operator behaves in many aspects like the polar operator in vector spaces. For instance, it is easily checked that $A^{000} = A^0$ for any $A \subset G$. Given an arbitrary subset A in G , we define the *quasi convex hull* of A , denoted $co(A)$, as the set A^{00} .

A set A is said to be *quasi convex* when it coincides with its quasi convex hull. These definitions also apply to subsets L of G . The topological group (G, t) is said to be *locally quasi convex* when there is a neighborhood base of the identity consisting of quasi convex sets.

Let (G, t) be a MAP topological group. In the sequel we are going to look at the following natural group topologies canonically attached to (G, t) .

- Definition 4.1.** (1) **The Bohr topology.** Denoted by t^+ , it is the weak topology generated by the continuous homomorphisms from G into \mathbb{T} . It easily seen that the canonical map $b: (G, t) \mapsto (G, t^+)$ is an epireflective functor from the category of topological groups into the subcategory of totally bounded groups.
- (2) **The locally quasi convex topology.** Denoted by $q[t]$, it is the finest locally quasi convex topology that is contained in t . Again, it easily seen that the canonical map $q: (G, t) \mapsto (G, q[t])$ is an epireflective functor from the category of topological groups into the subcategory of locally quasi convex groups.
- (3) **The g -sequential topology.** Denoted by $s_g[t]$, it is the finest group topology coarser than the sequential modification of t , i.e., the largest topology on G with the same t -convergent sequences. When $t = s_g[t]$, it is said that (G, t) is a *g -sequential group*. In this case, the canonical map $g: (G, t) \mapsto (G, s_g[t])$ defines a coreflective functor from the category of topological groups into the subcategory of g -groups.
- (4) **The k_g -topology.** Denoted by $k_g[t]$, it was originally defined by Noble [17] as the supreme of all group topologies on G that lie between t and the k -topology attached to t . When $t = k_g[t]$, it is said that (G, t) is a *k_g -group*. Also in this case, the canonical map $k_g: (G, t) \mapsto (G, k_g[t])$ is a coreflective functor from the category of topological groups into the subcategory of k_g -groups.

First, we explore the relationship among these topologies. The topologies (3) and (4) have very similar properties and we will only present the proofs for the g -sequential topology since basically the same proofs work for the k_g -topology.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (G, w) be a totally bounded group. Then (G, w) is the Bohr reflection of a g -sequential group if and only if w is the finest among all totally bounded topologies on G that share the same collection of convergent sequences. If either condition holds, then $(G, s_g[w])$ has the same dual as (G, w) .*

Proof: Assume that $w = \tau^+$ for some g -sequential topology τ on G . Let ρ be another totally bounded topology on G such that w and ρ have the same family of convergent sequences. Then the identity mapping $1_G: (G, s_g[w]) \rightarrow (G, \rho)$ is sequentially continuous and, therefore, continuous as well. Now, since the map g defines a coreflective functor and (G, τ) is a g -sequential group, from $\tau \geq w$, it follows that $\tau \geq s_g[w]$, hence $1_G: (G, \tau) \rightarrow (G, \rho)$ is continuous. Being ρ totally bounded, this implies that $1_G: (G, \tau^+) \rightarrow (G, \rho)$ is continuous. Since $\tau^+ = w$, it follows that $w \geq \rho$.

Conversely, suppose that w is the finest totally bounded topology on G with the same family of convergent sequences. First, we will see that $(G, s_g[w])$ has the same dual as (G, w) . Trivially, every w -continuous character is automatically $s_g[w]$ -continuous. We claim that if χ were a $s_g[w]$ -continuous character that is not w -continuous, then $w \vee t_\chi$, the supreme topology generated by w and the initial topology generated by χ , would be a totally bounded topology with the same convergent sequences as w .

Indeed, let (x_i) be a sequence in G w -converging to some point $x_0 \in G$. Since every w -convergent sequence is $s_g[w]$ -convergent, it follows that (x_i) $s_g[w]$ -converges to x_0 . As a consequence $(\chi(x_i))$ converges to $\chi(x_0)$, and therefore, (x_i) converges to x_0 in $w \vee t_\chi$. Thus $w = w \vee t_\chi$, which means that χ is w -continuous by Theorem 2.1.5. We have therefore verified that w is the Bohr topology associated to $s_g[w]$. ■

Lemma 4.3. *Let (G, w) be a totally bounded group. Then (G, w) is the Bohr reflection of a k_g -group if and only if w is the finest among all totally bounded topologies on G that share the same collection of compact subsets. If either condition holds, then $(G, k_g[w])$ has the same dual as (G, w) .*

Corollary 4.4. *A countable totally bounded group (G, w) is in \mathcal{B} if and only if every character is continuous. Therefore, the groups $(G, s_g[w])$ and $(G, k_g[w])$ are discrete.*

Proof: (\implies) follows from Corollary 3.12, while (\impliedby) follows from Theorem 2.1.5 and Corollary 3.12.2. That $s_g[w]$ and $k_g[w]$ are the discrete topology follows from Theorem 3.4. ■

Corollary 4.5. *Let (G, w) be a totally bounded group that is the Bohr reflection of a g -sequential (resp. k_g) group (G, τ) . Then $w = s_g[w]^+$ (resp. $w = k_g[w]^+$).*

Proof: It suffices to notice that $(G, s_g[w])$ ($(G, k_g[w])$, resp.) has the same dual as (G, w) . ■

Lemma 4.6. *Let (G, t) be a topological group and let $G' := (G, t)^\wedge$ denote its dual group. Then TFAE:*

- (1) *Every sequentially continuous character on (G, t) is continuous;*
- (2) $(G, s_g[t])^\wedge = G'$;
- (3) $(G, s_g[t]^+) = (G, t^+)$;
- (4) *There exists a g -sequential topology τ on G such that $t \subseteq \tau$ and $t^+ = \tau^+$.*

Lemma 4.7. *Let (G, t) be a topological group and let $G' := (G, t)^\wedge$ denote its dual group. Then TFAE:*

- (1) *Every k -continuous character on (G, t) is continuous;*
- (2) $(G, k_g[t])^\wedge = G'$;
- (3) $(G, k_g[t]^+) = (G, t^+)$;
- (4) *There exists a k_g -topology τ on G such that $t \subseteq \tau$ and $t^+ = \tau^+$.*

Corollary 4.8. *The group (G, t) respects convergent sequences if and only if $t \subseteq s_g[t^+]$.*

Corollary 4.9. *The group (G, t) respects compact subsets if and only if $t \subseteq k_g[t^+]$.*

In order to characterize Bohr groups we need two basic notions. The first one is well known and the later was introduced in [13].

A family \mathcal{N} of subsets of a topological space X is a *network at $x \in X$* if for every neighborhood U of x there exists an $M \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in M \subseteq U$. If \mathcal{N} is a network at each point in X , we say that \mathcal{N} is a *network for X* .

For any topological group (G, t) , we say that $W \subset G$ is a *k -neighborhood* of 0 if for any t -compact subset $K \subset G$ containing 0, there exists a neighborhood U of 0 such that $U \cap K \subset W \cap K$. It is not true in general that if $x \in G$ and U is a k -neighborhood of x in (G, t) then U is a neighborhood of x in $(G, k_g[t])$. However, when U is a quasi convex set the answer is positive (see Proposition 1 in [13]).

A topological space is said to be *hemicompact* if in the family of all the compact subspaces of X ordered by \subseteq there is a countable cofinite subfamily. The concept was introduced by Arens in [1]. Hemicompact spaces are of course σ -compact but \mathbb{Q} shows that the containment is proper. We now look at groups that are hemicompact.

Theorem 4.10. *Let (G, w) be a hemicompact, totally bounded group whose cardinality is not Ulam-measurable. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if the following properties hold:*

- (1) *Every sequentially continuous character on (G, w) is continuous.*
- (2) *There exists a compact subgroup K of G such that G/K has a countable network at 0 consisting of k -neighborhoods of 0.*

Proof: First, we notice that a wide use of duality techniques are essential for the proof. Assume that $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ and let τ be a locally compact topology on G such that $\tau^+ = w$. Then (G, τ) satisfies the two assertions above. Indeed, that (G, τ) satisfies (1) is due to results of Varopoulos [21] and Reid [19]. On the other hand, the celebrated Kakutani-Kodaira Theorem [3] (3.7) and Theorem 2.1.8 imply that G contains a compact subgroup K such that $(G/K, \tau/K)$ is metric. Therefore $(G/K, \tau/K)$ will be hemicompact and metric. Now, every LCA group is a locally quasi convex k_g -group. Therefore, there exists a countable neighborhood base at the neutral element $\mathcal{N} = \{U_n\}$ consisting of k -neighborhoods, quasi convex sets. Then \mathcal{N} is a countable network for G/K , which proves (2).

Conversely, suppose that (1) and (2) hold. By Theorem 3.3, in order to prove that $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$, it will suffice to verify that $(G/K, w/K) \in \mathcal{B}$. In other words, there is no loss of generality in assuming that (G, w) has a countable network at 0_G consisting of k -neighborhoods of 0.

Let us denote by $G' := ((G, w)^\wedge, k)$, the dual topological group of (G, w) . Because (G, w) is hemicompact, it follows that G' is metric and, by (1), it follows that G' is complete metric. On the other hand, by [13, Lemma 5], we have that if F is a k -neighborhood of 0_G , then F^0 is precompact in G' . Furthermore, being F^0 closed in G' , which is complete, it follows that F^0 is in fact a compact subset of

G' . Since (G, w) has a countable network $\{W_n\}$ at 0_G consisting of quasi convex subsets, it follows that $G' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n^0$. Therefore G' is σ -compact. Furthermore, since G' is complete metric and σ -compact, by the Baire's category theorem, it follows that G' is locally compact. In particular, G' is hemicompact [1].

If $\widehat{G'}$ denote the dual group of G' , then obviously $(\widehat{G'}, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))$ will be a locally compact abelian group, metrizable in addition since G' is hemicompact. We have the following commuting diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G, k(\widehat{G'}, G')) & \longrightarrow & (G, w) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\widehat{G'}, k(\widehat{G'}, G')) & \longrightarrow & (\widehat{G'}, w(\widehat{G'}, G')) \end{array}$$

where the evaluation maps given by the vertical arrows are topological embeddings, and the identity maps given by the horizontal arrows are continuous. By 2.1.8, the weak topology $w(\widehat{G'}, G')$ and the locally compact topology $k(\widehat{G'}, G')$ have the same collection of compact subsets on $\widehat{G'}$, which implies that $(G, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))$ is itself hemicompact (since (G, w) is hemicompact), and metrizable, as subgroup of $(\widehat{G'}, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))$. It follows then, by [1] again, that $(G, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))$ is a locally compact subgroup of $(\widehat{G'}, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))$. Therefore, we have proved that $(G, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))$ is locally compact and metric. By Lemma 4.7, its dual group is G' . By Theorem 2.1.1 it follows that $G = \widehat{G'}$ and since $(G, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))^\wedge = G' = (G, w)^\wedge$ we have that $(G, k(\widehat{G'}, G'))^+ = (G, w)$, hence $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$. This completes the proof. ■

In case G has Ulam-measurable cardinality, Theorem 4.10 does not hold because there are compact groups that are not g -sequential (see [4]). In this case, we must replace sequential continuity by k -continuity.

Theorem 4.11. *Let (G, w) be a hemicompact, totally bounded group. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if the following properties hold:*

- (1) *Every k -continuous character on (G, w) is continuous.*
- (2) *There exists a compact subgroup K of G such that G/K has a countable network at 0 consisting of k -neighborhoods of 0.*

Example 4.12. The hemicompactness condition on Theorems 4.10 and 4.11 cannot be relaxed. If $G = \mathbb{Q}^+$, then G satisfies (1) and (2) but $G \notin \mathcal{B}$ since it is not hemicompact [1].

In connection with this notion, the question of characterizing those totally bounded abelian groups (G, w) such that (G, kw) is locally compact and $(kw)^+ = w$ is proposed in [6], and studied further by Galindo [8]. Next, we show how this question is related to the subject matter of this paper.

Lemma 4.13. *Let (G, w) be a totally bounded group. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if (G, kw) is locally compact and $(kw)^+ = w$.*

Proof: Sufficiency is obvious. In order to prove necessity, assume that $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$. That is, there is a locally compact topology τ on G such that $\tau \supseteq w$ and $\tau^+ = w$. Since the topology of every locally compact group is both g -sequential and k -space (see [21]) and $\tau \supseteq w$, it follows that $\tau \supseteq s_g[w] \cup kw$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1.8, the groups (G, w) and (G, τ) have the same collection of compact subsets, which implies that $\tau \subseteq s_g[w] \cap kw$. In other words, we have that $\tau = s_g[w] = kw$. ■

In light of the previous lemma, the next theorem provides an answer to Question 4.3 in [6].

Theorem 4.14. *Let (G, w) be totally bounded group whose cardinality is not Ulam-measurable. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if the following properties hold:*

- (1) *Every sequentially continuous character on (G, w) is continuous.*
- (2) *There is a hemicompact subgroup (H, w) of (G, w) such that $(G/H, w) = (G/H, \#)$*
- (3) *There exists a compact subgroup K of H such that H/K has a countable network at 0 consisting of k -neighborhoods of 0.*

Again, when the group G has Ulam-measurable cardinality, we have the following variant of Theorem 4.14.

Theorem 4.15. *Let (G, w) be totally bounded group. Then $(G, w) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if the following properties hold:*

- (1) Every k -continuous character on (G, w) is continuous.
- (2) There is a hemicompact subgroup (H, w) of (G, w) such that $(G/H, w) = (G/H, \#)$
- (3) There exists a compact subgroup K of H such that H/K has a countable network at 0 consisting of k -neighborhoods of 0.

We now establish the independence of the three conditions in Theorems 4.14 and 4.15.

Example 4.16. Set $G = (\mathbb{Z}, w)$, where w is a totally bounded topology on \mathbb{Z} such that $w \subsetneq w(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T})$ but contains no infinite compact subsets (see [6]). Then G satisfies (2,3) but fails to satisfy assertion (1). For, (1) does not hold since the only convergent sequences in G are eventually constant; (2) G is obviously hemicompact; and (3) $\{\{0\}\}$ is obviously a countable network at $\{0\}$ consisting of k -neighborhoods.

Example 4.17. Let X be a compact metric space, $H_1 = A(X)^+$, where $A(X)$ denotes the free Abelian group generated by X , and let $H_2 = \mathbb{R}^+$. Set $G = H_1 \times H_2$. Then G satisfies (1,2) but fails to satisfy (3). For, a sequentially continuous character is obviously continuous on X , and thus it will be continuous by the properties of free Abelian groups. By [2] (7.4.4) and (7.1.13) $A(X)$ is hemicompact, and by [9] (4.20) H_1 is hemicompact as well; since H_2 is hemicompact by Theorem 2.1.8, a simple verification shows that G is also hemicompact. Notice also that if H_1 satisfied (3), then $\overline{A(X)}$ would be first countable, hence locally compact, which is absurd [1]. Thus, G does not satisfy (3).

Example 4.18. If $G = \mathbb{Q}^+$, then G satisfies (1) and (3) but fails (2) [1].

5. RESPECTING COMPACTNESS

Let us recall that a group is *von Neumann complete* if every closed precompact subset is complete.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a MAP (von Neumann) complete group that respects compactness. If (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in G^+ , then it converges in G .*

Proof: If (x_n) is precompact in G , then $\overline{(x_n)}^G$ is a compact subset in G homeomorphic to $\overline{(x_n)}^{bG}$. As a consequence (x_n) must be convergent in G . Therefore, we may assume that (x_n) is not precompact. Furthermore, taking a convenient subsequence if it were necessary, we may assume that (x_n) is uniformly discrete. That is, there is a neighborhood of the identity, say U , such that $x_n x_m^{-1} \notin U$ for all n, m in \mathbb{N} . Now, since (x_n) is Bohr Cauchy, it follows that $(x_n x_{n+1}^{-1})$ Bohr converges to the neutral element. Since G respects compactness, we have that $(x_n x_{n+1}^{-1})$ converges to the neutral element in G , which is a contradiction, completing the proof. ■

As a consequence of the previous lemma, we obtain:

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a MAP (von Neumann) complete group that respects compactness, and let N be a closed, metrizable subgroup of bG . Set $H^+ = G^+ \cap N$. Then H , the inverse image of H^+ in G , is a compact metrizable group isomorphic to H^+ .*

Definition 5.3. We say that a MAP group G *strongly respects compactness* if whenever N is a closed metrizable subgroup of the Bohr compactification bG of G and $A \subseteq G$, then $A + (N \cap G)$ is compact in G whenever $\phi(A)$ is compact, where ϕ is the obvious map $G \rightarrow bG \rightarrow bG/N$. If N is a closed subgroup of bG such that for any $A \subseteq G$, $A + (N \cap G)$ is compact in G whenever $\phi(A)$ is compact in bG/N , then we say that N *preserves compactness*.

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a MAP Abelian Polish group (more generally, a MAP abelian metrizable von Neumann complete group) that respects compactness. Then G strongly respects compactness.*

Proof: Let N be closed, metrizable subgroup of bG and assume that $A \subseteq G$ with $\phi(A)$ compact in bG/N . We have to prove that $A + (N \cap G)$ is compact in G . Now, since $A + (N \cap G)$ closed in G^+ , it is also closed in G , which is complete. Therefore, it will suffice to show that $A + (N \cap G)$ is precompact in G . Assume otherwise. Then $A + (N \cap G)$ must contain an infinite uniformly sequence $(x_n) = (a_n + y_n)$, where $(a_n) \subseteq A$ and $(y_n) \subseteq N \cap G$. Now, $A + N$ is a compact metrizable subspace of bG (in order to see this, use the first part of the proof of Lemma 2.6 in [6]). Therefore, (x_n) must contain a Cauchy subsequence, say (x_{n_m}) . By Lemma 5.1, this subsequence converges to a point $p \in G$, which contradicts our assumption about (x_n) being uniformly discrete. Thus $A + (N \cap G)$ is precompact in G , which completes the proof. ■

The following is Question 4.1 in [6]:

Question 5.5. *Let G be a MAP group and suppose that every closed, metrizable subgroup N of bG such that $N \cap G = \{0\}$ preserves compactness. Does it follow that G strongly respects compactness?*

We will need the following:

Definition 5.6. A Hausdorff topological space X is a k_ω -space if there exists an ascending sequence of compact subsets $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X$ such that $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} K_n$ and $U \subseteq X$ is open if and only if $U \cap K_n$ is open in K_n for each $n < \omega$.

The following result answers Question 5.5 in the negative.

Example 5.7. Take $G_n := \mathbb{T}$ and $H_n := \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ for all $n < \omega$, and set $G := \bigoplus_{n < \omega} G_n$, and $H := \bigoplus_{n < \omega} H_n$, with both groups equipped with the box topology. From here on, we identify the groups G_n , H_n , $\bigoplus_{n < N} G_n$ and $\bigoplus_{n < N} H_n$ with their isomorphic subgroups in G and H respectively. We have the following facts:

- (1) G is the countable direct limit of compact groups and, therefore it is a MAP, k_ω -group having H as a dense subgroup.
- (2) G strongly respects compactness.
- (3) For every compact subset K of G there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subseteq \bigoplus_{n < n_0} G_n$.
- (4) $bG = bH$.
- (5) If N is a compact metrizable subgroup of bG such that $N \cap H = \{0\}$, then $N \cap G = \{0\}$.
- (6) If N is a compact metrizable subgroup of bG such that $N \cap H = \{0\}$, then N respects compactness in H .
- (7) H does not strongly respects compactness.

Proof: (1) is clear. (2) is proved in [7], where it is established that every locally k_ω -group strongly respects compactness. (3) is clear since G is equipped with the countable box topology. (4) follows from the density of H in G . In order to prove (5), reasoning by contradiction, assume that $N \cap G \neq \{0\}$. Since G strongly respects compactness, it follows that $N \cap G$ is a compact subgroup of G and, by (3), there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G \cap N \subseteq \bigoplus_{n < n_0} G_n$. Now, every proper closed subgroup of \mathbb{T} is finite and contained in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . Thus, if π_n denotes the n th-projection of $G \cap N$ onto G_n , we have that either $\pi_n(G \cap N) = \mathbb{T}$ or $\pi_n(G \cap N) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. If $\text{Ker } \pi_1$ were trivial, then π_1 is 1-to-1 and, therefore, $\pi_1(G \cap N)$ contains a finite subgroup F that is isomorphic to its inverse image $\pi_1^{-1}(F) \subseteq H$. Thus, we may assume that $\text{Ker } \pi_1$ is nontrivial. Then we replace $G \cap N$ by $\text{Ker } \pi_1$. Applying induction, it follows that $H \cap N \neq \{0\}$. (6) Let N be a compact metrizable subgroup of bH such that $H \cap N = \{0\}$ and let $A \subseteq H$ such that $A + N$ is compact in bH . By (2) and (4) we have that $A + (G \cap N)$ is compact in G and, by (5), $G \cap N = \{0\}$. Thus $A = A + (G \cap N)$ is compact in G . Since $A \subseteq H$, we obtain that A is compact in H . In other words, the group N respects compactness in H if $H \cap N = \{0\}$. (7) Take $N = G_1$ and $A = H_1$. If $\phi: bH \rightarrow bH/N$ denotes the canonical quotient map, we have that $\phi(A) = \{0\}$ is trivially compact in bH/N . On the other hand, $A + (N \cap H) = H_1$, which is not compact in H . Therefore H does not strongly respects compactness. ■

REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *A topology for spaces of transformations*, Ann. Math. **47** (1946), 480–495.
2. A. Arhangel'skii and M. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, vol. 1, 2008, Atlantic Press, Amsterdam-Paris.
3. W. W. Comfort, *Topological groups*, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers, B. V., Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 1143–1263.
4. W. W. Comfort and D. Remus, *Compact groups of Ulam-measurable cardinality: partial converses to theorems of Arhangel'skii and Varopoulos*, Math. Japon. **39** (1994), no. 2, 203–210.
5. W. W. Comfort and K. A. Ross, *Topologies induced by groups of characters*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **55** (1964), no. 3, 283–291.
6. W. W. Comfort, F. J. Trigos-Arrieta, and T.-S. Wu, *The Bohr compactification, modulo a metrizable subgroup*, Fund. Math. **143** (1993), 119–136.

7. M. V. Ferrer, S. Hernández, and L. Tárrega, *Interpolation sets in spaces of continuous metric-valued functions*, J. Math. Analysis and Applications **466** (2018), no. 2, 1426–1442.
8. J. Galindo, *Totally bounded group topologies that are Bohr topologies of LCA groups*, Topology Proceedings **28**(2) (2004), 467–478.
9. J. Galindo and S. Hernández, *The concept of boundedness and the Bohr compactification of a MAP Abelian group*, Fund. Math. **159** (1999), 195–218.
10. J. Galindo, S. Hernández, and Ta-Sun Wu, *Recent results and open questions relating Chu duality and Bohr compactifications of locally compact groups*, Open problems in topology. II. Edited by: Elliott Pearl. Amsterdam: Elsevier (2007).
11. I. Glicksberg, *Uniform boundedness for groups*, Canadian J. Math. **14** (1962), 269–276.
12. S. Hernández, *The Dimension of an LCA group in its Bohr Topology*, Topology and its Applications **86** (1998), 63–67.
13. S. Hernández and F. J. Trigos-Arrieta, *Group duality with the topology of precompact convergence*, J. Math. Analysis and Applications **303** (2005), 274–287.
14. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis: Volume I, Structure of Topological Groups, Integration Theory, Group Representations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer New York, 1963.
15. H. Heyer, *Dualität lokalkompakter Gruppen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
16. R. Hughes, *Compactness in locally compact groups*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **79** (1973), 122–123.
17. N. Noble, *k-groups and duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (1970), 551–561.
18. S. U. Raczkowski and F. J. Trigos-Arrieta, *Duality of Totally Bounded Groups*, Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana **7** (2001), 1–12.
19. G. A. Reid, *On sequential convergence in groups*, Math. Zeitschrift **102** (1967), 225–235.
20. Francisco Javier Trigos-Arrieta, *Pseudocompactness on groups*, Ph.D Thesis, Wesleyan University (1991).
21. N. Th. Varopoulos, *A theorem on the continuity of homomorphisms of locally compact groups*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **60** (1964), 449–463.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSITAT JAUME I, 8029-AP, CASTELLÓN, SPAIN
E-mail address: `hernande@mat.uji.es`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, BAKERSFIELD, BAKERSFIELD, CA 93311
E-mail address: `jtrigos@csu.edu`