

ON THE ACTION OF THE STEENROD ALGEBRA ON THE MODULAR INVARIANTS OF SPECIAL LINEAR GROUP

NGUYỄN SUM

ABSTRACT. We compute the action of the Steenrod algebra on generators of algebras of invariants of special linear group $SL_n = SL(n, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in the polynomial algebra with p an odd prime number.

1. INTRODUCTION

For an odd prime p , let SL_n denote the special linear subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z}/p)$, which acts naturally on the cohomology algebra $H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n)$. Here and in what follows, the cohomology is always taken with coefficients in the prime field \mathbb{Z}/p .

According to [3], $H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n) = E(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes P(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ with $\dim x_i = 1$, $y_i = \beta x_i$, where β is the Bockstein homomorphism, $E(\dots)$ and $P(\dots)$ are the exterior and polynomial algebras over \mathbb{Z}/p generated by the variables indicated. Let (e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n) , $k \geq 0$, be a sequence of non-negative integers. Following Mui [2], we define

$$[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] = [k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n](x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

by

$$[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1^{p^{e_{k+1}}} & \cdots & y_n^{p^{e_{k+1}}} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ y_1^{p^{e_n}} & \cdots & y_n^{p^{e_n}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

The precise meaning of the right hand side is given in [2]. For $k = 0$, we write

$$[0; e_1, \dots, e_n] = [e_1, \dots, e_n] = \det \left(y_i^{p^{e_j}} \right).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55S10; Secondary 55S05.

Key words and phrases. Invariant theory, Dickson-Mui invariants, Steenrod-Milnor operations.

We set

$$L_{n,s} = [0, \dots, \hat{s}, \dots, n], \quad 0 \leq s \leq n,$$

$$L_n = L_{n,n} = [0, \dots, n-1].$$

Each $[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n]$ is an invariant of SL_n and $[e_1, \dots, e_n]$ is divisible by L_n . Then Dickson invariants $Q_{n,s}$, $0 \leq s \leq n$, and Mui invariants M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} , $0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_k \leq n$ are defined by

$$Q_{n,s} = L_{n,s}/L_n,$$

$$M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} = [k; 0, \dots, \hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_k, \dots, n-1].$$

Note that $Q_{n,n} = 1$, $Q_{n,0} = L_n^{p-1}$, $M_{n,0, \dots, n-1} = [n; \emptyset] = x_1 \dots x_n$.

Mui proved in [2] that $H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n)^{SL_n}$ is the free module over the Dickson algebra $P(L_n, Q_{n,1}, \dots, Q_{n,n-1})$ generated by 1 and M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} with $0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_k \leq n$.

The Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)$ acts on $H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n)$ by well-known rules. Since this action commutes with the action of SL_n , it induces an action of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ on $H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n)^{SL_n}$.

Let τ_s and ξ_i be the Milnor elements of dimensions $2p^s - 1$ and $2p^i - 2$, respectively, in the dual algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)^*$ of $\mathcal{A}(p)$. Milnor showed in [5] that

$$\mathcal{A}(p)^* = E(\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots) \otimes P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots).$$

So, $\mathcal{A}(p)^*$ has a basis consisting of all monomials $\tau_S \xi^R = \tau_{s_1} \dots \tau_{s_t} \xi_1^{r_1} \dots \xi_m^{r_m}$ with $S = (s_1, \dots, s_t)$, $0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_t$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$. Let $St^{S,R} \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the dual of $\tau_S \xi^R$ with respect to this basis of $\mathcal{A}(p)^*$. Then $\mathcal{A}(p)$ has a new basis consisting of all operations $St^{S,R}$. In particular, for $S = \emptyset$, $R = (k)$, $St^{S,R}$ is nothing but the Steenrod operation P^k .

The action of P^k on Dickson and Mui invariants was explicitly computed by Hung and Minh [4]. The action of $St^{S,R}$ on the invariant $[n, \emptyset] = x_1 \dots x_n$ was computed by Mui [3].

In this paper, we compute the action of $St^{S,R}$ on $[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n]$ and prove a nice relation between the invariants $[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n + s]$, $0 \leq s \leq n$, and the Dickson invariants. Using these results, we explicitly compute the action of P^k on Mui invariants M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} which was first computed in Hung and Minh [4] by another method.

To state the main results, we introduce some notations. Let $J = (J_0, J_1, \dots, J_m)$ with $J_s \subset \{k+1, \dots, n\}$, $0 \leq s \leq m$, and $\coprod_{s=0}^m J_s = \{k+1, \dots, n\}$ (disjoint union). We define the sequence $R_J = (r_{J_1}, \dots, r_{J_m})$ and the function $\Phi_J : \{k+1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ by setting

$$r_{J_s} = \sum_{j \in J_s} p^{e_j}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq m,$$

$$\Phi_J(i) = s \quad \text{if } i \in J_s, \quad k+1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $e_i \neq e_j$ for $i \neq j$, $S = (s_1, \dots, s_t)$, $s_1 < \dots < s_t < m$. Under the above notation we have*

$$St^{S,R}[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{t(k-t)} [k-t, s_1, \dots, s_t, e_{k+1} + \Phi_J(k+1), \dots, e_n + \Phi_J(n)], & R = R_J, \text{ for some } J, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have also the following relation from which we can explicitly compute $St^{S,R}[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n]$ in terms of Dickson and Mù invariant.

Proposition 1.2. *For $0 \leq k \leq n$,*

$$[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n] = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n,s}^{e_n}.$$

Using Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 we explicitly compute the action of $St^{S,R}$ on Mù invariant M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} when S, R are special. Particularly, we prove

Theorem 1.3 (Hùng and Minh [4]). *For $s_0 = -1 < s_1 < \dots < s_k < s_{k+1} = n$,*

$$P^t M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} = \begin{cases} M_{n,t_1, \dots, t_k}, & t = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{p^{s_i} - p^{t_i}}{p-1}, \text{ with } s_{i-1} < t_i \leq s_i, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-i} M_{n,t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_i, \dots, t_{k+1}} Q_{n,t_i}, & t = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{p^{s_i} - p^{t_i}}{p-1}, \text{ with } s_{i-1} < t_i \leq s_i, \\ 0, & 1 \leq i \leq k+1, \quad t_{k+1} < s_{k+1} = n, \\ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Professor Huỳnh Mùi for his generous help and inspiring guidance.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

First we recall Mùi's results on the homomorphism $d_m^* P_m$ and the operations $St^{S,R}$.

Let \mathcal{A}_{p^m} be the alternating group on p^m letters. Suppose that X is a topological space, $W\mathcal{A}_{p^m}$ is a contractible \mathcal{A}_{p^m} -free space. Then we have the Steenrod power map

$$P_m : H^q(X) \longrightarrow H^{p^m q}(W\mathcal{A}_{p^m} \times_{\mathcal{A}_{p^m}} X^{p^m}),$$

which sends u to $1 \otimes u^{p^m}$ at the cochain level (see [6; Chap. VII]).

The inclusion $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n \subset \mathcal{A}_{p^m}$ together with the diagonal map $X \rightarrow X^{p^m}$ and the Künneth formula induces the homomorphism

$$d_m : H^*(W\mathcal{A}_{p^m} \times_{\mathcal{A}_{p^m}} X^{p^m}) \longrightarrow H^*(B(\mathbb{Z}/p)^n) \otimes H^*(X).$$

Set $\tilde{M}_{m,s} = M_{m,s} L_m^{h-1}$, $0 \leq s < m$, $\tilde{L}_m = L_m^h$, $h = (p-1)/2$. We have

Theorem 2.1 (Mùi [3; 1.3]). *Let $u \in H^q(X)$, $\mu(q) = (-1)^{hq(q-1)/2}(h!)^q$. Then*

$$d_m^* P_m(u) = \mu(q)^m \sum_{S,R} (-1)^{r(S,R)} \tilde{M}_{m,s_1} \dots \tilde{M}_{m,s_t} \tilde{L}_m^{r_0} Q_{m,1}^{r_1} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{r_{m-1}} \otimes St^{S,R} u.$$

Here the summation runs over all (S, R) with $S = (s_1, \dots, s_t)$, $0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_t < m$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$, $r_0 = q - t - 2(r_1 + \dots + r_m) \geq 0$, $r(S, R) = t + s_1 + \dots + s_t + r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + mr_m$.

Proposition 2.2 (Mùi [2, 3]).

i) $d_m^* P_m$ is a natural homomorphism preserving cup product up to a sign. Precisely,

$$d_m^* P_m(uv) = (-1)^{mhr} d_m^* P_m u d_m^* P_m v,$$

with $q = \dim u$, $r = \dim v$.

$$\text{ii) } d_m^* P_m y_i = \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^{m+s} Q_{m,s} \otimes y_i^{p^s}.$$

$$\text{iii) } d_m^* P_m(x_1 \dots x_n) = \mu(n)^m \sum_{0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_t < m} (-1)^{t(n-t)+r(S,0)} \tilde{M}_{m,s_1} \dots \tilde{M}_{m,s_t} \tilde{L}_m^{n-t} \otimes [n-t, s_1, \dots, s_t].$$

Here x_i and y_i are defined as in the introduction.

Lemma 2.3. *If $e_i \neq e_j$ for $i \neq j$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} d_m^* P_m[e_1, \dots, e_n] &= \sum_{J=(J_0, \dots, J_m)} (-1)^{mn+r(\emptyset, R_J)} \tilde{L}_m^{2rJ_0} Q_{m,1}^{rJ_1} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{rJ_{m-1}} \\ &\quad \otimes [e_1 + \Phi_J(1), \dots, e_n + \Phi_J(n)], \end{aligned}$$

where R_J and Φ_J are defined as in Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Let Σ_n be the symmetric group on n letters. Then

$$[e_1, \dots, e_n] = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \text{sign } \sigma \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{p^{\sigma(i)}}.$$

From Proposition 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_m^* P_m \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{p^{\sigma(i)}} \right) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (d_m^* P_m y_i)^{p^{\sigma(i)}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^{m+s} Q_{m,s}^{p^{\sigma(i)}} \otimes y_i^{p^{\sigma(i)+s}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding this product and using the definitions of Φ_J , R_J and the assumption of the lemma, we get

$$d_m^* P_m \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{p^{\sigma(i)}} \right) = \sum_J (-1)^{mn+r(\emptyset, R_J)} Q_{m,0}^{rJ_0} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{rJ_{m-1}} \otimes \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{p^{\sigma(i)+\Phi_J(\sigma(i))}}.$$

Hence, from the above equalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_m^* P_m[e_1, \dots, e_n] &= \sum_J (-1)^{mn+r(\emptyset, R_J)} Q_{m,0}^{rJ_0} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{rJ_{m-1}} \otimes \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \text{sign } \sigma \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{p^{\sigma(i)+\Phi_J(\sigma(i))}} \\ &= \sum_J (-1)^{mn+r(\emptyset, R_J)} Q_{m,0}^{rJ_0} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{rJ_{m-1}} \otimes [e_1 + \Phi_J(1), \dots, e_n + \Phi_J(n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q_{m,0} = \tilde{L}_m^2$, the lemma is proved. \square

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let I be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and I' is its complement in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Writing $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ and $I' = (i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n)$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ and $i_{k+1} < \dots < i_n$. We set $x_I = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}$, $[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n]_I =$

$[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n](y_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, y_{i_n})$ and $\sigma_I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ i_1 & \dots & i_n \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma_n$. In [2; I.4.2], Mùì showed that

$$[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n] = \sum_I \text{sign } \sigma_I x_I [e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n]_I.$$

From Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$d_m^* P_m(x_I) = \mu(k)^m \sum_{0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_t < m} (-1)^{t(k-t)+r(S,0)} \tilde{M}_{m,s_1} \dots \tilde{M}_{m,s_t} \tilde{L}_m^{k-t} \otimes [k-t, s_1, \dots, s_t]_I,$$

where $[k-t, s_1, \dots, s_t]_I = [k-t, s_1, \dots, s_t](x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}, y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k})$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_m^* P_m[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n]_I &= \sum_{J=(J_0, \dots, J_m)} (-1)^{m(m-k)+r(\emptyset, R_J)} \tilde{L}_m^{2r_{J_0}} Q_{m,1}^{r_{j_1}} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{r_{j_{m-1}}} \otimes \\ &\quad [e_{k+1} + \Phi_J(k+1), \dots, e_n + \Phi_J(n)]_I. \end{aligned}$$

Set $q = \dim[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] = k + 2(p^{e_{k+1}} + \dots + p^{e_n})$. An easy computation shows that $\mu(q) = (-1)^{n-k} \mu(k)$ and $r(S, 0) + r(\emptyset, R) = r(S, R)$. Hence from Proposition 2.2 and the above equalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_m^* P_m[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] &= \mu(q)^m \sum_{S, J} (-1)^{t(t-k)+r(S, R_J)} M_{m,s_1} \dots \tilde{M}_{m,s_t} \tilde{L}_m^{k-t+2r_{J_0}} Q_{m,1}^{r_{j_1}} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{r_{j_{m-1}}} \otimes \\ &\quad \sum_I \text{sign } \sigma_I [k-t, s_1, \dots, s_t]_I [e_{k+1} + \Phi_J(k+1), \dots, e_n + \Phi_J(n)]_I. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the Laplace development we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_m^* P_m[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] &= \mu(q)^m \sum_{S, J} (-1)^{t(t-k)+r(S, R_J)} M_{m,s_1} \dots \tilde{M}_{m,s_t} \tilde{L}_m^{k-t+2r_{J_0}} Q_{m,1}^{r_{j_1}} \dots Q_{m,m-1}^{r_{j_{m-1}}} \otimes \\ &\quad [k-t, s_1, \dots, s_t, e_{k+1} + \Phi_J(k+1), \dots, e_n + \Phi_J(n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 now follows this equality and Theorem 2.1. \square

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2

First we prove the stated relation for $k = 0$,

$$[e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n] = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n,s}^{e_n}. \quad (3.1)$$

We will prove (3.1) and the following relation together by induction on n ,

$$[e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1] = \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n-1, s}^{p^{e_n}} + [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] V_n^{p^{e_n}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Here, $V_n = L_n/L_{n-1}$.

We denote (3.1) and (3.2) when $n = m$ by 3.1(m) and 3.2(m), respectively.

When $n = 2$ the proof is straightforward. Suppose that $n > 2$ and that 3.1($n-1$) and 3.2($n-1$) are true.

By Laplace development and 3.2($n-1$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+t} [e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_t, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1] y_n^{p^{e_t}} + [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] y_n^{p^{e_n+n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+t} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_t, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n-1, s}^{p^{e_n}} \right) y_n^{p^{e_t}} \\ & \quad + [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] y_n^{p^{e_n+n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+t} [e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_t, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] y_n^{p^{e_t}} \right) Q_{n-1, s}^{p^{e_n}} \\ & \quad + [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] y_n^{p^{e_n+n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n-1, s}^{p^{e_n}} \\ & \quad + [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} Q_{n-1, s}^{p^{e_n}} y_n^{p^{e_n+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_n = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} Q_{n-1, s} y_n^{p^s}$ (see [1], [2]), 3.2(n) is proved.

Now we prove 3.1(n). From 3.2(n) and the relation $Q_{n, s} = Q_{n-1, s-1}^p + Q_{n-1, s} V_n^{p-1}$ (see [1], [2]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n] \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n-1, s-1}^{p^{e_n+1}} \\ & \quad + [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] V_n^{p^{e_n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n,s}^{p^{e_n}} \\
&\quad - [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n - 1] V_n^{(p-1)p^{e_n}} \\
&+ \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{n+s} [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n-1,s}^{p^{e_n}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}] V_n^{p^{e_n}} \right) V_n^{(p-1)p^{e_n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this equality and 3.2(n) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + n] &= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n + s] Q_{n,s}^{p^{e_n}} \\
&\quad - (-1)^n [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n] Q_{n-1,0}^{p^{e_n}} V_n^{(p-1)p^{e_n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Q_{n,0} = Q_{n-1,0} V_n^{p-1}$, the proof of 3.1(n) is completed.

For $0 < k < n$, Proposition 1.2 follows from (3.1) and [2; I.4.7] which asserts that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[k; e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] = \\
&(-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \sum_{0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_k} (-1)^{s_1 + \dots + s_k} M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} [s_1, \dots, s_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n] / L_n.
\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is completely proved.

4. SOME APPLICATIONS

In this section, using Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, we prove Theorem 1.3 and explicitly compute the action of $St^{S,R}$ on Mũ invariant M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} when S, R are special. First we prove Theorem 1.3.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Recall that $P^t = St^{\emptyset, (t)}$. From Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^t M_{n,s_1, \dots, s_k} \\
&= \begin{cases} [k; 0, \dots, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{k+1}, \dots, n], & t = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{p^{s_i} - p^{t_i}}{p-1}, \text{ with} \\ 0, & s_{i-1} < t_i \leq s_i, 1 \leq i \leq k+1, \\ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If $t_{k+1} = s_{k+1} = n$, then $[k; 0, \dots, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{k+1}, \dots, n] = M_{n, t_1, \dots, t_k}$. Suppose $t_{k+1} < n$. By Proposition 1.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [k; 0, \dots, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{k+1}, \dots, n] \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [k; 0, \dots, \hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_{k+1}, \dots, n-1, s] Q_{n,s} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1-i} M_{n, t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_i, \dots, t_{k+1}} Q_{n, t_i} \end{aligned}$$

Hence Theorem 1.3 follows. \square

Notation 4.2. Denote by $S' : s_{k+1} < \dots < s_{n-1}$ the ordered complement of a sequence $S : 1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_k < n$ in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Set $\Delta_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ with 1 at the i -th place ($1 \leq i \leq n$), $\Delta_0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $R = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$. Here, the length of Δ_i is n .

The following was proved in Mùì [3; 5.3] for $R = \Delta_0$.

Proposition 4.3. *Set $s_0 = 0$. Under the above notations, we have*

$$St^{S', R} M_{n, 1, \dots, n-1} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(k-1)(n-1-k)+s_t-t} M_{s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k}, & R = \Delta_{s_t}, \\ \sum_{t=0}^k (-1)^{k(n-k)-t} M_{s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k} Q_{n, s_t}, & R = \Delta_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that $M_{n, 1, \dots, n-1} = [n-1; 0]$. From Theorem 1.1 we obtain

$$St^{S', R} M_{n, 1, \dots, n-1} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k(n-1-k)} [k; 1, \dots, \hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_k, \dots, n-1, i], \\ \quad R = \Delta_i, \text{ with } i = s_t, 0 \leq t \leq k, \text{ or } i = n, \\ 0, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$[k; 1, \dots, \hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_k, \dots, n-1, s_t] = (-1)^{n-1-k+s_t-t} M_{s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k}.$$

According to Proposition 1.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [k; 1, \dots, \hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_k, \dots, n-1, n] \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+s-1} [k; 1, \dots, \hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_k, \dots, n-1, s] Q_{n,s} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^k (-1)^{k-t} M_{s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k} Q_{n, s_t}. \end{aligned}$$

From this the proposition follows. \square

By the same argument as given in the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 4.3 we obtain the following results.

Proposition 4.4. *Let Δ_i be as in 4.2 and $s_0 = 0$. Then*

$$St^{\emptyset, \Delta_i} M_{n, s_1, \dots, s_k} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{s_t - t} M_{s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k}, & s_1 > 0, i = s_t, \\ \sum_{t=0}^k (-1)^{n-t-1} M_{s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k} Q_{n, s_t}, & s_1 > 0, i = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following proposition was proved by Hưng and Minh [4] for $s = 0$.

Proposition 4.5. *For $0 \leq s \leq n$,*

$$St^{(s), (0)} M_{n, s_1, \dots, s_k} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+s-t} M_{s_0, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k}, & s = s_t, \\ \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{n+k+t+1} M_{s_1, \dots, \hat{s}_t, \dots, s_k} Q_{n, s_t}, & s = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

1. L. E. Dickson, *A fundamental system of invariants of the general modular linear group with a solution of the form problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1911), 75-98 MR1500882
2. H. Mui, *Modular invariant theory and the cohomology algebras of symmetric groups*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA Math. 22 (1975), 319-369 MR0422451
3. H. Mui, *Cohomology operations derived from modular invariants*, Math. Z. 193 (1986), 151-163 MR852916
4. N.H.V. Hưng and P.A. Minh, *The action of the mod p Steenrod operations on the modular invariants of linear groups*, Vietnam J. Math. 23 (1995), 39-56 MR1367491
5. J. Milnor, *Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. 67 (1958), 150-171 MR0099653
6. N.E. Steenrod and D.B.A. Epstein, *Cohomology operations*, Ann. of Math. No. 50, Princeton University Press, 1962 MR0145525

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUY NHƠN UNIVERSITY, 170 AN DƯƠNG VƯƠNG, QUY NHƠN, BÌNH ĐỊNH, VIET NAM

E-mail address: nguyensum@qnu.edu.vn