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Abstract. Establishing whether an algebra is quasi-hereditary or not is, in general, a difficult problem. In this paper we introduce a sufficient criterion to determine whether a general finite dimensional algebra is quasi-hereditary by showing that the question can be reduced to showing that a closely associated monomial algebra is quasi-hereditary. For monomial algebras, we give an explicit, easily verifiable, necessary and sufficient criterion to determine whether it is quasi-hereditary.

1. Introduction

Quasi-hereditary algebras are ubiquitous in representation theory. For example, they appear as Schur algebras in the representation theory of the symmetric group, as $q$-Schur algebras in the context of finite groups of Lie type, and more generally hereditary algebras and algebras of global dimension two are quasi-hereditary. Quasi-hereditary algebras were first introduced in [23], followed by a detailed study in the context of highest weight categories and the representation theory of Lie algebras in [9]. There it is shown that every highest weight category with finite weight poset and all objects of finite lengths is the category of finite dimensional modules over a quasi-hereditary algebra. Furthermore, it is shown that a finite dimensional algebra is quasi-hereditary if and only if its module category is a highest weight category.

Highest weight categories play an important role in many areas of mathematics. For example, although only formally defined in [9], they connect representation theory with geometry in the work of Beilinson and Bernstein [4] and Brylinski and Kashiwara [6] linking perverse sheaves and highest weight representation theory in their proof of the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture. The work of Dlab and Ringel [10] provides a more algebraic ring theoretic approach to quasi-hereditary algebras connecting it in [11] to highest weight categories.

Every finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field is Morita equivalent to a quotient of a path algebra by an admissible ideal and every such quotient of a path algebra has an associated monomial algebra (see Section 2 for details). A monomial algebra, is a quotient of a path algebra by an ideal generated by paths (as opposed to linear combinations of paths). For example, in the case of a quotient of a free algebra, a monomial algebra is given by a quotient by an ideal generated by (non-commutative) monomials. The properties of the original algebra are closely linked to the properties of the associated monomial algebra, see for example recent work [8] [16].

While quasi-hereditary algebras are an important class of algebras, establishing whether an algebra is quasi-hereditary or not is, in general, a difficult problem. In this paper we...
give an explicit necessary and sufficient criterion to determine whether a monomial algebra is quasi-hereditary. Based on this result, we give a sufficient criterion to determine whether a general finite dimensional algebra is quasi-hereditary. Beginning with [10] in the early 1990s, a purely algebraic approach to the study of quasi-hereditary algebras has evolved as an active area of research with exciting new results appearing in recent years, for example, [7, 13, 19, 20, 21, 22].

The structure of the paper is as follows. We begin by briefly recalling the definition of quasi-hereditary algebras and the basic facts of non-commutative Gröbner basis theory including the notion of the associated monomial algebra in Section 2. In Section 3 we present an easily verifiable algorithm to determine whether or not a monomial algebra is quasi-hereditary. In Section 4, we prove that an algebra is quasi-hereditary if its associated monomial algebra is quasi-hereditary.

Acknowledgments: We thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

2. Notations and summary of known results

Throughout this paper we assume that $K$ is a field, $Q$ is a quiver, and that, unless otherwise stated, every $K$-algebra of the form $KQ/I$ is such that $I$ is an admissible ideal in $KQ$. For a $K$-algebra $\Lambda$, denote by $J(\Lambda)$ the Jacobson radical of $\Lambda$. Unless otherwise stated, all modules are finitely generated right $\Lambda$-modules.

2.1. Quasi-hereditary algebras. We begin by recalling some definitions and results on quasi-hereditary algebras.

A two-sided ideal $L$ in $\Lambda$ is called heredity if

1. $L^2 = L$
2. $LJ(\Lambda)L = 0$
3. $L$ is projective as a left or right $\Lambda$-module.

An algebra $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary if there exists a chain of two-sided ideals

$$0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \ldots \subset L_i \subset \ldots \subset L_n = \Lambda$$

such that $L_i/L_{i-1}$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda/L_{i-1}$, for all $i$. We call the sequence in (1) a heredity chain for $\Lambda$.

Recall that the trace ideal of a $\Lambda$-module $M$ in $\Lambda$ is the two-sided ideal generated by the images of homomorphisms of $M$ in $\Lambda$. By [3], a two-sided ideal $a$ in $\Lambda = KQ/I$ is such that $a^2 = a$ if and only if $a$ is the trace ideal of a projective $\Lambda$-module $P$ in $\Lambda$. There exists a set $S$ of distinct vertices in $Q_0$ such that we may assume that $P = \sum_{v \in S} v\Lambda$. See also Statement (6) in [10].

Therefore $\Lambda = KQ/I$ is quasi-hereditary if there exists a sequence of idempotents $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ in $\Lambda$ such that

$$0 \subset \Lambda e_1 \Lambda \subset \ldots \subset \Lambda e_i \Lambda \subset \Lambda e_i \Lambda \subset \ldots \subset \Lambda e_n \Lambda = \Lambda$$

and such that $\Lambda e_i \Lambda/\Lambda e_{i-1} \Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda/\Lambda e_{i-1} \Lambda$, for all $i$.

Note that by Statement (7) in [10], for $\Lambda e \Lambda$ where $e$ is an idempotent in $\Lambda$ such that $eJ(\Lambda)e = 0$, $\Lambda e \Lambda$ is projective as a left $\Lambda$-module if and only if the map $\Lambda e \otimes e \Lambda e \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda e \Lambda$ is bijective.
2.2. Non-commutative Gröbner basis theory. We now recall some non-commutative Gröbner basis theory for path algebras needed for our results. Note that in this subsection only, the ideals considered need not be admissible. For more details on non-commutative Gröbner basis theory see [12, Chapter 2] or the more detailed summary in Sections 2 and 3 in [16].

Denote by $B$ the set of finite (directed) paths in a quiver $Q$. We view the vertices of $Q$ as paths of length zero and so they are elements in $B$. Thus $B$ is a $K$-basis for $KQ$.

A nonzero element $x \in KQ$ is uniform if $vxw = x$ for vertices $v, w \in Q_0$. We set $v = o(x)$ and call it the origin vertex of $x$. Similarly, we set $w = e(x)$, and call it the end vertex of $x$. Since $1 = \sum_{v \in Q_0} v$, every nonzero element of $KQ$ is a sum of uniform elements; namely $x = \sum_{u,v \in Q_0} uvx$.

To define a Gröbner basis theory, we need the notion of an admissible order on $B$.

Definition 2.1. An admissible order $\succ$ on $B$ is a well-order on $B$ that preserves non-zero left and right multiplication and such that if $p = rqs$, for $p, q, r, s \in B$ then $p \succeq q$.

Recall that a well-order is a total order such that every non-empty subset has a minimal element.

An example of an admissible order is the left or right length-lexicographical order.

We now fix an admissible order $\succ$ on $B$. The order $\succ$ enables us to find the largest path occurring in an element in $KQ$. We also can find the largest paths that occur in elements of a subset of $KQ$.

Definition 2.2. If $x = \sum_{p \in B} \alpha_pp$, with $\alpha_p \in K$, almost all $\alpha_p = 0$, and $x \neq 0$ then define the tip of $x$ to be $\text{tip}(x) = p$ if $\alpha_p \neq 0$ and $p \succeq q$ for all $q$ with $\alpha_q \neq 0$.

If $X \subseteq KQ$ then $\text{tip}(X) = \{\text{tip}(x) | x \in X \setminus \{0\}\}$.

For $p, q \in B$, we say that $p$ is a subpath of $q$ and write $p|q$, if there exist $r, s \in B$ such that $q = rps$. For $A \subseteq KQ$, we denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the ideal generated by $A$.

Definition 2.3. Let $I$ be an ideal in $KQ$. A subset $G$ of uniform elements in $I$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$ (with respect to $\succ$) if $\langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle = \langle \text{tip}(G) \rangle$.

Equivalently, a subset $G$ of $I$ consisting of uniform elements, is a Gröbner basis for $I$ with respect to $\succ$ if, for every $x \in I$, $x \neq 0$, there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\text{tip}(g) | \text{tip}(x)$.

It can be shown that

Proposition 2.4. [16] Proposition 2.4] If $G$ is a Gröbner basis for an ideal $I$ of $KQ$, then $G$ is a generating set for $I$; that is, $\langle G \rangle = I$.

An ideal in $KQ$ is called monomial if it can be generated by monomials. Recall that the monomial elements in $KQ$ are the elements of $B$. If $\Lambda = KQ/I$ and $I$ is a monomial ideal, then we say that $\Lambda$ is a monomial algebra. We recall the following well-known facts about monomial ideals.

Proposition 2.5. [12] Proposition 2.4] Let $I$ be a monomial ideal in $KQ$. Then
(1) there is a unique minimal set $T$ of monomial generators for $I$ and $T$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$ for any admissible order on $B$.

(2) if $x = \sum_{p \in B} \alpha_p p$ then $x \in I$ if and only if $p \in I$ for all $p$ such that $\alpha_p \neq 0$.

Let $I$ be an admissible ideal in $KQ$. Set $N = B \setminus \text{tip}(I)$. Note that it follows that we also have $N = B \setminus \langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle$: Clearly $B \setminus \langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle$ is a subset of $B \setminus \text{tip}(I)$. Now let $p$ be in $B \setminus \text{tip}(I)$. Suppose $p \in \langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle$. Then there are paths $s_i, u_i \in B$ and $t_i \in \text{tip}(I)$ such that $p = \text{tip}(\sum_i s_i t_i u_i)$. Hence $p = s_i t_i u_i$ for some $i$. There exists $x \in I$ such that $\text{tip}(x) = t_i$. Hence $s_i x u_i \in I$ and $\text{tip}(s_i x u_i) = \text{tip}(s_i t_i u_i) = p$. Thus $p \in \text{tip}(I)$, a contradiction. In the following let $\mathcal{T}$ be the minimal set of monomials that generates the monomial ideal $\langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle$. It follows from the above that we have $N = \{ p \in B \mid t$ is not a subpath of $p$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T} \}$.

The following result is of central importance.

**Lemma 2.6. (Fundamental Lemma)** [12] Paragraph after Definition 2.4] Let $I$ be an ideal in $KQ$. Then there is a $K$-vector space isomorphism

$$KQ \simeq I \oplus \text{Span}_K N.$$

It is an immediate consequence of the Fundamental Lemma that if $x \in KQ \setminus \{0\}$ then $x = i_x + n_x$ for a unique $i_x \in I$ and a unique $n_x \in \text{Span}_K N$.

Let $\pi : KQ \to KQ/I$ be the canonical surjection. Then the map $\sigma : KQ/I \to KQ$ given by $\sigma \pi(x) = n_x$ for $x \in KQ$ is a $K$-vector space splitting of $\pi$. We see that $\sigma$ is well-defined since if $x, y \in KQ$ are such that $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$, then $x - y \in I$. Hence $n_{x-y} = n_x - n_y = 0$ and we conclude that $n_x = n_y$. Thus, restricting to $\text{Span}_K(N)$, we have inverse $K$-isomorphisms $\pi : \text{Span}_K(N) \to KQ/I$ and $\sigma : KQ/I \to \text{Span}_K(N)$. Therefore, as vector spaces, we can identify $KQ/I$ with $\text{Span}_K N$. We note that for $x, y \in \text{Span}_K N$, the multiplication of $x$ and $y$ in $KQ/I$ equals $n_{x \cdot y}$ where $x \cdot y$ is the usual multiplication in $KQ$.

Summarising, we have the following useful characterisation of a basis of $KQ/I$.

**Proposition 2.7.** As $K$-vector spaces, $\text{Span}_K(N)$ is isomorphic to $KQ/I$ and hence $N$ can be identified with a $K$-basis of $KQ/I$.

**Definition 2.8.** We call $I_{\text{Mon}} = \langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle$ the ideal in $KQ$ generated by $\text{tip}(I)$ and define the associated monomial algebra of $\Lambda = KQ/I$ to be $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}} = KQ/I_{\text{Mon}}$.

Recall that $I_{\text{Mon}}$ is a monomial ideal, and that by Proposition 2.5, there is a unique minimal set $\mathcal{T}$ of paths that generate $I_{\text{Mon}}$. By the Fundamental Lemma there exist unique elements $g_t \in I$ and $n_t \in \text{Span}_K(N)$, such that $t = g_t + n_t$, for $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Since $t$ is uniform, $g_t$ and $n_t$ are uniform. Furthermore, since $n_t \in \text{Span}_K(N)$, we have that $\text{tip}(g_t) = t$. We now set $\mathcal{G} = \{ g_t \mid t \in \mathcal{T} \} \subset I$. Then $\text{tip}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{T}$ and hence $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$ (with respect to any admissible order) since $\langle \text{tip}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle = \langle I_{\text{Mon}} \rangle = \langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle$.

**Definition 2.9.** Let $I$ be an ideal in $KQ$. The set $\mathcal{G} = \{ g_t \mid t \in \mathcal{T} \} \subset I$ defined above is called the *reduced Gröbner basis* for $I$ (with respect to $\succ$).

The next result lists some facts about reduced Gröbner bases and the associated monomial algebras, which will be useful for the proofs later in the paper.
Proposition 2.10. Let $I$ be an ideal in $KQ$ and let $\Lambda = KQ/I$. Let $T$ be the unique minimal set of monomials generating $\langle \text{tip}(I) \rangle$ and let $G$ be the reduced Gröbner basis for $I$. Then the following hold.

(1) The reduced Gröbner basis for $I_{\text{Mon}}$ is $T$.
(2) $\dim_K(\Lambda) = \dim_K(\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}) = |N|$ where $|N|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $N$.

Keeping the notation above, we write elements of both $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}$ as $K$-linear combinations of elements in $N$. The difference is how these elements multiply in $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}$. Next assume that $I$ is an admissible ideal. Notice that $I$ admissible implies that $I_{\text{Mon}}$ is admissible. The converse is false in general. If $I$ is admissible, then the set of vertices and arrows are always in $N$ and both $T$ and $N$ are finite sets.

3. Quasi-hereditary monomial algebras

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a monomial algebra to be quasi-hereditary. We also describe the structure of an algebra of the form $\Lambda/\Lambda e\Lambda$.

We fix the following notation for the remainder of this paper: $K$ will denote a field, $Q$ a quiver, $B$ the set of paths in $KQ$, $\succ$ an admissible order on $B$, $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ a set of distinct vertices in $Q$, and $e = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_i$. We fix an admissible ideal $I$ in $KQ$, let $\Lambda = KQ/I$, and $J(\Lambda)$ be the Jacobson radical of $\Lambda$.

Definition 3.1. We say that a vertex $v$ is properly internal to a path $p \in B$, if there exist $p_1, p_2 \in B$ both of length greater than or equal to 1 and $p = p_1vp_2$.

If $T$ is a set of paths, then a vertex $v$ is not properly internal to $T$ if, for each $t \in T$, $v$ can only occur in $t$ as either the origin or end vertex of $t$.

The next result shows the importance of this definition.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be a finite dimensional monomial algebra and let $T$ be a minimal set of generators of paths of $I$. For $v \in Q_0$, the ideal $\Lambda v \Lambda$ is heredity if and only if $v$ is not properly internal to $T$.

Proof. First assume that $v$ is not properly internal to any path in $T$. We begin by showing that $vJ(\Lambda)v = 0$. Suppose that $vJ(\Lambda)v \neq 0$. Then there exists a path $p$ in $J(\Lambda)$ such that $vpv \notin I$. Since $\Lambda$ is finite dimensional, $(vpv)^n \in I$ for sufficiently large $n$. Since $T$ is a Gröbner basis for $I$, there is some $t \in T$ such that $t$ is a subpath of $(vpv)^n$. But $t$ is not a subpath of $p$ and hence $v$ must be internal to $t$ which is a contradiction. Now consider the multiplication map $\mu : \Lambda v \otimes_{v\Lambda v} v\Lambda \to \Lambda v\Lambda$. It suffices to show that this map is bijective [10]. The map is clearly onto. Since $vJ(\Lambda)v = 0$, we have that $n \otimes n'$, for $n \in \mathcal{N}v$ and $n' \in v\mathcal{N}$ form a basis of $\Lambda v \otimes_{v\Lambda v} v\Lambda$. Suppose that $\sum_{n_i \in \mathcal{N}v, n'_i \in \mathcal{N}} n_i v n'_i \in I$. Then there exists $t \in T$ such that $t$ is a subpath of $n_i v n'_i$ for some $i$. But $t$ is not a subpath of $n_i$ or $n'_i$ therefore $v$ is internal to $t$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\mu$ is bijective and $\Lambda v \Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda$.

Now assume $v$ is internal to some $t \in T$. If $vJ(\Lambda)v \neq 0$ then $\Lambda v \Lambda$ is not a heredity ideal. Now assume that $vJ(\Lambda)v = 0$. Since $v$ is internal to $t$, $t = t_1 vt_2$ with the length of $t_1$ and $t_2$ being at least 1 and $t_1$ and $t_2$ are not in $I$. But $t_1 v \otimes vt_2$ is nonzero (since $t_1 v \in \mathcal{N}v$ and $vt_2 \in v\mathcal{N}$) and maps to $\mu(t_1 v \otimes vt_2) = 0$ since $t \in I$. Therefore $\mu$ is not injective. Hence $\Lambda v \Lambda$ is not a heredity ideal in $\Lambda$. \hfill $\square$

Note that the first part of the proof of Proposition 3.2 gives a criterion for $\Lambda v \Lambda$ to be a heredity ideal for not only monomial algebras but for any $\Lambda = KQ/I$. 

Corollary 3.3. Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$, $G$ be the reduced Gröbner basis for $I$ and let $T = \text{tip}(G)$. Suppose $v$ is vertex in $Q$. Then $\Lambda v\Lambda$ is a hereditary ideal in $\Lambda$ if $v$ is not properly internal to any path in $T$.

For $e = v_1 + \cdots + v_r$, we define $Q_\varepsilon$ to be the subquiver of $Q$ obtained by removing the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ and all arrows entering or leaving any of the $v_i$’s. Let $B_\varepsilon$ be the set of paths in $KQ_\varepsilon$. Note that the admissible order $\succ$ restricts to an admissible order on $B_\varepsilon$. We leave the proof of the next result to the reader.

**Proposition 3.4.** As $K$-vector spaces,

$$KQ = KQ_\varepsilon \oplus KQeKQ.$$ 

□

Based on the fundamental Lemma, we fix the following notation: if $x \in KQ$, we write $x = x_\varepsilon + x_e$ for the unique elements $x_\varepsilon \in KQ_\varepsilon$ and $x_e \in KQeKQ$, and if $X \subseteq KQ$, then define $X_\varepsilon = \{x_\varepsilon \mid x \in X\}$.

We list some of the basic results that relate these definitions and leave the proofs to the reader.

**Proposition 3.5.**

1. If $x \in KQ$, then $(x_\varepsilon)_e = x_\varepsilon$ and $(x_e)_e = x_e$.
2. If $I$ is an ideal in $KQ$, then $I_\varepsilon$ is an ideal in $KQ_\varepsilon$.
3. $(KQ)_\varepsilon = K(Q_\varepsilon)$.
4. If $X \subseteq KQ$, then $X_\varepsilon = \{x \in KQ_\varepsilon \mid \exists x' \in KQeKQ \text{ such that } x + x' \in X\}$

□

Let $\iota: KQ_\varepsilon \to KQ$ be the inclusion map and $\pi: KQ \to \Lambda$ and $\rho: \Lambda \to \Lambda/\Lambda e\Lambda$ be the canonical surjections. Because of its usefulness, we include a proof of the following well known result.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be finite dimensional and for $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in Q_0$, set $e = v_1 + \cdots + v_r$. Let

$$\varphi: KQ_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\iota} KQ \xrightarrow{\pi} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\rho} \Lambda/\Lambda e\Lambda.$$ 

Then $\varphi$ is surjective and $\ker(\varphi) = I_\varepsilon$.

**Proof.** We begin by showing $\varphi$ is surjective. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda/\Lambda e\Lambda$ and $\lambda = \hat{\lambda}$ such that $\rho(\lambda) = \hat{\lambda}$. Let $r \in KQ$ map to $\lambda$ under the canonical surjection $\pi$. Then $r = r_\varepsilon + r_e$ and $\varphi(r_\varepsilon) = \rho\pi(r_\varepsilon) = \rho(\pi(r_e)) = 0$ since $\rho(\pi(r)) = \hat{\lambda}$ and we conclude that $\varphi$ is surjective.

Now we prove that $\ker(\varphi) = I_\varepsilon$. We start by showing that $I_\varepsilon \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$. For that let $x \in I$. We have that $x = x_\varepsilon + x_e \in I$ and hence $x_\varepsilon \in KQ_\varepsilon$ such that there exists $x' \in KQeKQ$ with $x_\varepsilon + x' \in I$. By Proposition 3.5, $x_\varepsilon \in I_\varepsilon$. On the other hand, let $x \in \ker(\varphi)$. Then $\pi(\iota(x)) \in \Lambda e\Lambda$ and therefore $\pi(\iota(x)) = \sum y_i e\pi(z_i)$ for some $y_i, z_i \in KQ$. Set $-x' = \sum y_i e z_i$. Then $x + x' \in I$. Hence $x \in I_\varepsilon$.

□

As an immediate consequence we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.7.** There is natural isomorphism of algebras

$$\Lambda/\Lambda e\Lambda \simeq KQ_\varepsilon/I_\varepsilon.$$ 

□
Lemma 3.9. Let $e$ be an idempotent in $\Lambda = KQ/I$, $I$ admissible. Then $\Lambda e\Lambda = \Lambda \sum_i v_i\Lambda$ for some $v_i \in Q_0$.

We can now give the following necessary and sufficient conditions for a monomial algebra to be quasi-hereditary.

Theorem 3.10. Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ be a finite dimensional monomial algebra, where $I$ admissible and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the minimal set of generators of paths of $I$. Then $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary if and only if we can order all the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ in $Q_0$ such that for each $i$, the vertex $v_i$ is not properly internal to $\mathcal{T}_{v_1 \cdots \dot{v}_i \cdots v_n}$.

Proof. First assume that we can order all the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ in $Q_0$ such that for each $i$, the vertex $v_i$ is not properly internal to $\mathcal{T}_{v_1 \cdots \dot{v}_i \cdots v_n}$. It suffices to show that $\Lambda e_i \Lambda / \Lambda e_{i-1} \Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda / \Lambda e_{i-1} \Lambda$ for all $i$. Since $v_1$ is not properly internal in $\mathcal{T}$, by Corollary 3.3 we have that $\Lambda v_1 \Lambda$ is heredity in $\Lambda$. It follows from Corollary 3.7 that $\Lambda / \Lambda v_1 \Lambda \simeq KQ_{\delta_1} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_1} \rangle$ since $\langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_1} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$. We proceed by induction on $i$. Assume that we have shown the result for $i$. That is $\Lambda e_i \Lambda / \Lambda e_{i-1} \Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda / \Lambda e_{i-1} \Lambda$.

We wish to show that $\Lambda e_{i+1} \Lambda / \Lambda e_i \Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda / \Lambda e_i \Lambda$. By Corollary 3.7 we have that for all $i$,

$$\Lambda / \Lambda e_i \Lambda \simeq KQ_{\delta_i} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_i} \rangle$$

Consider the following exact commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Lambda e_i \Lambda \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Lambda e_{i+1} \Lambda & \rightarrow & KQ_{\delta_i} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_i} \rangle \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
\Lambda e_{i+1} \Lambda / \Lambda e_i \Lambda & \rightarrow & KQ_{\delta_{i+1}} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_{i+1}} \rangle \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0 \\
\end{array}
$$

By the diagram above, showing that $\Lambda e_{i+1} \Lambda / \Lambda e_i \Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda / \Lambda e_i \Lambda$ is equivalent to showing that $\ker(f)$ is a heredity ideal in $KQ_{\delta_i} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_i} \rangle$. The reader may check that

$$KQ_{\delta_{i+1}} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_{i+1}} \rangle$$

is isomorphic to $KQ_{\delta_i} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_i} \rangle(KQ_{\delta_i} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_i} \rangle)v_{i+1}KQ_{\delta_i} / \langle \mathcal{T}_{\delta_i} \rangle)$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra. Hence, $v_{i+1}$ is not properly internal to $T_{\hat{e}_i}$, and so by Corollary 3.3, ker$(f)$ is a heredity ideal in $KQ_{\hat{e}_i}/\langle T_{\hat{e}_i} \rangle$. We conclude that $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary.

Suppose now that $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary and let

$$0 \subset \Lambda e_1 \Lambda \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda e_n \Lambda = \Lambda$$

be a heredity chain for $\Lambda$. We proceed by induction on $n$. We begin by showing that if $e_1 = v_1 + \cdots + v_m$ then each $v_i$ is not properly internal to $T$. Without loss of generality we do this for $v_1$. We have that $v_1 J(\Lambda) v_1 = 0$ since $e J(\Lambda) e = 0$. Consider the following diagram

$$\Lambda v_1 \otimes_{v_1 \Lambda v_1} v_1 \Lambda \xrightarrow{f} \Lambda v_1 \Lambda$$

where $g$ is a bijection. The map $f$ is clearly onto and $h$ is injective. That implies that $f$ injective and hence bijective. Hence $\Lambda v_1 \Lambda$ is hereditary in $\Lambda = KQ/\langle T \rangle$ and by Proposition 3.2, $v_1$ is not properly internal to $T$. If $n = 1$ this proves the result. Assume that the result holds for $i = n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis $\Lambda/\Lambda e_i \Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary with heredity chain

$$0 \subset \Lambda e_{i+1} \Lambda/\Lambda e_i \Lambda \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda e_n \Lambda/\Lambda e_i \Lambda = \Lambda/\Lambda e_i \Lambda.$$ 

By Corollary 3.7 together with Remark 3.8, $\Lambda/\Lambda e_i \Lambda = KQ_{v_1 + \cdots + v_i}/\langle T_{v_1 + \cdots + v_i} \rangle$. Since $\Lambda/\Lambda e_i \Lambda$ has a heredity chain of length $n - i - 1$ and $T_{v_1 + \cdots + v_i} \subset T$, we see by induction that the result holds.

□

4. QUASI-HEREDITARY ALGEBRAS

In this Section we show that if an algebra $\Lambda$ is such that $\Lambda_{Mon}$ is quasi-hereditary then $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary. More precisely, we show

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $\Lambda = KQ/I$ with $I$ admissible. If $\Lambda_{Mon}$ is quasi-hereditary then $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary.

Before proving Theorem 4.1 we begin with some preliminary results.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $G$ be the reduced Gröbner basis for an ideal $I$ in $KQ$ and $T = \text{tip}(G)$. Assume that $v_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, is not properly internal to $T$ and set $e = v_1 + \cdots + v_r$. Then for any $g \in G$, either $g = g_e \in KQ e KQ$, or $\text{tip}(g) = \text{tip}(g_e)$.

**Proof.** Let $g \in G$ and let $t = \text{tip}(g) \in T$. Suppose that $t \in KQ e KQ$. There is some $i$ such that $v_i$ occurs in $t$. Since $v_i$ is not properly internal to $t$, $v_i$ is either the origin vertex or end vertex of $t$. Since $G$ is the reduced Gröbner basis for $I$, $g$ is uniform and has $v_i$ as the origin or end vertex. Therefore no summand of $g$ is in $KQ_{\hat{e}}$ and $g = g_e$. Hence, $g \in KQ e KQ$ and we are done. □

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $G$ be the reduced Gröbner basis for an ideal $I$ in $KQ$ and let $T = \text{tip}(G)$. Assume that $v_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, is not properly internal to $T$ and set $e = v_1 + \cdots + v_r$. Then $G_{\hat{e}}$ is a Gröbner basis for $I_{\hat{e}}$ in $KQ_{\hat{e}}$. 


Proof. Assume that \( v_i \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), is not properly internal to \( T \). We need to show that \( \langle \text{tip}(G_{\bar{e}}) \rangle = \langle \text{tip}(I_{\bar{e}}) \rangle \). For this it is enough to show that for any \( x \neq 0 \in I_{\bar{e}} \) there is \( g \in G \) and paths \( p \) and \( q \) in \( B_{\bar{e}} \) such that \( \text{tip}(x) = p \text{tip}(g)q \). By Lemma 4.2 it then follows that \( \text{tip}(g) = \text{tip}(g_{\bar{e}}) \), showing that \( G_{\bar{e}} \) is a Gröbner basis for \( I_{\bar{e}} \).

So let \( x \neq 0 \in I_{\bar{e}} \) and consider the set \( Y = \{ y \in KQeKQ \mid x + y \in I \} \). By Proposition 3.5 (5) the set \( Y \) is not empty. Let \( y^* \in Y \) be such that \( \text{tip}(y) \supset \text{tip}(y^*) \) for all \( y \in Y \). We claim that \( \text{tip}(x + y^*) = \text{tip}(x) \).

Suppose not; that is, suppose that \( \text{tip}(x + y^*) = \text{tip}(y^*) \in KQeKQ \). Then since \( x + y^* \in I \), there is some \( g \in G \) and paths \( p', q' \in B \) such that

\[
p' \text{tip}(g)q' = \text{tip}(x + y^*) = \text{tip}(y^*) = KQeKQ.
\]

If either \( p' \) or \( q' \) is in \( KQeKQ \), then \( p'gq' \in KQeKQ \cap I \). But then \( y^* - p'gq' \in Y \) and \( \text{tip}(y^*) \supset \text{tip}(y^* - p'gq') \), a contradiction. Thus, \( p' \) and \( q' \) are in \( B_{\bar{e}} \) and we must have \( \text{tip}(g) \in KQeKQ \). By Lemma 4.2 we have that \( g \in KQeKQ \). But we again have \( p'gq' \in KQeKQ \cap I \) and \( y^* - p'gq' \in Y \) and \( \text{tip}(y^*) \supset \text{tip}(y^* - p'gq') \), a contradiction. This proves the claim that \( \text{tip}(x + y^*) = \text{tip}(x) \).

Since \( x + y^* \in I \), there is some \( g \in G \) and paths \( p, q \in B \) such that \( p \text{tip}(g)q = \text{tip}(x + y^*) = \text{tip}(x) \). Since \( x \in KQ_{\bar{e}} \), we have that \( \text{tip}(g) = \text{tip}(g_{\bar{e}}) \) and hence \( g \in G_{\bar{e}} \). This finishes the proof that \( G_{\bar{e}} \) is a Gröbner basis for \( I_{\bar{e}} \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.4.** Let \( G \) be the reduced Gröbner basis of \( I \) and let \( T = \text{tip}(G) \). Assume that \( v_i \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), is not properly internal to \( T \) and set \( e = v_1 \cdots v_r \). Then

\[
(\Lambda/\Lambda e \Lambda)_{\text{Mon}} \simeq \Lambda_{\text{Mon}}/\Lambda_{\text{Mon}} e \Lambda_{\text{Mon}}.
\]

**Proof.** We have that \( I_{\text{Mon}} = \langle T \rangle \) and \( T = T_{\bar{e}} \cup T_e \) where \( T_e = T \cap KQeKQ \). Furthermore, by Corollary 3.7 we have \( \Lambda_{\text{Mon}}/\Lambda_{\text{Mon}} e \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} \simeq KQ_{\bar{e}}/\langle T \rangle_{\bar{e}} \) and \( \Lambda/\Lambda e \Lambda \simeq KQ_{\bar{e}}/I_{\bar{e}} \). Now by Lemma 4.3 the set \( G_{\bar{e}} \) is a Gröbner basis of \( I_{\bar{e}} \) and hence \( \text{tip}(G_{\bar{e}}) = T_{\bar{e}} \) is a Gröbner basis of \( (I_{\bar{e}})_{\text{Mon}} \) and the result follows. \( \square \)

Since it is a crucial point in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we recall the following well-known result.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( \Lambda = KQ/I \) and suppose that

\[
(\ast) \quad (0) \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \cdots \subset L_{k-1} \subset L_k = \Lambda
\]

is a chain of ideals in \( \Lambda \). Then \((\ast)\) is a heredity chain for \( \Lambda \) if and only if \( L_1 \) is a heredity ideal in \( \Lambda \) and

\[
(0) \subset L_2/L_1 \subset L_3/L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_{k-1}/L_1 \subset L_k/L_1 = \Lambda/L_1
\]

is a heredity chain for \( \Lambda/L_1 \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Assume \( \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} \) is quasi-hereditary and that

\[
0 \subset \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} e_1 \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} \subset \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} e_2 \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_{\text{Mon}}
\]

is a heredity chain for \( \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} \). By Theorem 3.10 we can assume that \( e_i = e_i - 1 + v \) for some vertex \( v \in Q_0 \) and in particular, \( e_1 = v_1 \). We show that

\[
0 \subset \Lambda e_1 \Lambda \subset \Lambda e_2 \Lambda \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda
\]

is a heredity chain for \( \Lambda \). We proceed by induction on the number of vertices in \( Q \). If \( Q \) has one vertex, the result is vacuously true. Assume the result is true for quivers with \( k - 1 \) vertices and that \( Q \) has \( k \) vertices and \( \Lambda_{\text{Mon}} = KQ/(I_{\text{Mon}}) \) is quasi-hereditary.
Since $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}v_1\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}$, $v_1$ is not properly internal to $\mathcal{T} = \text{tip}(\mathcal{G})$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis for $I$. By Corollary 3.3 the ideal $\Lambda v_1\Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda$.

We have that $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}$ quasi-hereditary implies $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}/\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}v_1\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}$ quasi-hereditary and by Proposition 4.4, we have that $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary.

By Proposition 4.4, we have that $\Lambda$ has strictly fewer vertices than $\Lambda_{\text{Mon}}$ by induction on the number of vertices.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the quiver $Q_{\mathcal{G}}/\mathcal{J}$. First we show that the monomial algebra $\Lambda = KQ/\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is quasi-hereditary.

Since $\Lambda/\Lambda v_1\Lambda$ has strictly fewer vertices than $\Lambda$, by induction on the number of vertices $\Lambda/\Lambda v_1\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary with heredity chain

$$\Lambda e_2\Lambda/\Lambda v_1\Lambda \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda/\Lambda v_1\Lambda.$$

Applying Lemma 4.5 again, we conclude that $\Lambda$ is quasi-hereditary.

The following example illustrates how the above results can be applied.

Example 4.6. Let $Q$ be the quiver

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\circ & \circ & \circ \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
\circ & \circ & \circ \\
3 & 5 & 6
\end{array}
$$

with $\mathcal{T} = \{ab, be, de, eh, hc\}$. First we show that the monomial algebra $\Lambda = KQ/\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle$ is quasi-hereditary.

The vertices $v_1, v_2, v_4$ and $v_6$ are properly internal to $\mathcal{T}$ and $v_3$ and $v_5$ are not properly internal to any path in $\mathcal{T}$. We choose as first vertex in our vertex ordering $v_3$. Hence, $\Lambda v_3\Lambda$ is a heredity ideal in $\Lambda$. By our earlier results $\Lambda/\Lambda v_3\Lambda$ is isomorphic to $KQ_{\hat{v}_3}/\mathcal{T}_{\hat{v}_3}$ where $Q_{\hat{v}_3}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\circ & \circ & \circ \\
1 & 2 & 5 \\
\circ & \circ & \circ \\
3 & 4 & 6
\end{array}
$$

and $\mathcal{T}_{\hat{v}_3} = \{ab, be, eh\}$. Now, for example, vertex $v_1$ is not properly internal to $\mathcal{T}_{\hat{v}_3}$. Thus $(\Lambda/\Lambda v_3\Lambda)v_1(\Lambda/\Lambda v_3\Lambda)$ is a heredity ideal in $(\Lambda/\Lambda v_3\Lambda)$. Continuing one obtains a heredity chain

$$0 \subset \Lambda v_3\Lambda \subset \Lambda v_3 + v_1\Lambda \subset \Lambda v_3 + v_1 + v_2\Lambda \subset \Lambda v_3 + v_1 + v_2 + v_4\Lambda \subset \Lambda v_3 + v_1 + v_2 + v_4 + v_5\Lambda \subset \Lambda v_3 + v_1 + v_2 + v_4 + v_5 + v_6\Lambda = \Lambda$$

It follows from Theorem 4.1 that if $\Gamma = KQ/\mathcal{J}$ with $\mathcal{J}$ admissible and such that $\Gamma_{\text{Mon}} = \Lambda$ then $\Gamma$ is quasi-hereditary. Using the results in [16], the reduced Gröbner basis is of the form

$$\{t - \sum_{v \sim n_i, t|n_i} X_i n_i \mid t \in \mathcal{T}, X_i \in K\}.$$
Thus in our case we see that $\Gamma$ necessarily is of the form $\Gamma = KQ/\langle g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_5 \rangle$ with $g_1 = ab - Xcd, g_2 = be, g_3 = de - Yfg, g_4 = eh, g_5 = hc$, and $X, Y \in K$ are arbitrary. In particular, in this case there are only four isomorphism classes of algebras, namely, if we denote by $\Gamma_{X,Y}$ the algebra with parameters $X$ and $Y$, then representatives of the isomorphism classes can be given by $X$ equal 0 or 1 and $Y$ equal 0 or 1.
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