

THE PARTIAL-ISOMETRIC CROSSED PRODUCTS BY SEMIGROUPS OF ENDOMORPHISMS ARE MORITA EQUIVALENT TO CROSSED PRODUCTS BY GROUPS

SAEID ZAHMATKESH

ABSTRACT. Let Γ^+ be the positive cone of a totally ordered abelian discrete group Γ , and α an action of Γ^+ by extendible endomorphisms of a C^* -algebra A . We prove that the partial-isometric crossed product $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is a full corner of a group crossed product $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$, where \mathcal{B} is a subalgebra of $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, A)$ generated by a collection of faithful copies of A , and the action β on \mathcal{B} is induced by shift on $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, A)$. We then use this realization to show that $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ has an essential ideal J , which is a full corner in an ideal $\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$ of $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Γ be a totally ordered abelian discrete group. For convenience, we use the additive notation $+$ for the group action. Therefore the identity element is denoted by 0, and $-x$ denotes the inverse of an element $x \in \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma^+ := \{x \in \Gamma : x \geq 0\}$ be the positive cone of Γ . Now suppose that (A, Γ^+, α) is a dynamical system consisting of a C^* -algebra A , an action $\alpha : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$ of Γ^+ by endomorphisms of A such that $\alpha_0 = \text{id}$. Note that since the C^* -algebra A may not be unital, we require that each endomorphism α_x extends to a strictly continuous endomorphism $\overline{\alpha}_x$ of the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$. This for an endomorphism α of A happens if and only if there exists an approximate identity (a_{λ}) in A and a projection $p \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\alpha(a_{\lambda})$ converges strictly to p in $\mathcal{M}(A)$. But we should note that the extendibility of α does not necessarily imply $\overline{\alpha}(1_{\mathcal{M}(A)}) = 1_{\mathcal{M}(A)}$. Authors in [10], following the idea of isometric crossed products (see [12, 2]), for the system (A, Γ^+, α) , defined a covariant representation such that the endomorphisms α_x are implemented by partial isometries. Then the associated partial-isometric crossed product $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ of the system is a C^* -algebra generated by a universal covariant representation such that there is a bijection between covariant representations of the system and nondegenerate representations of $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$. In particular, they analyzed the structure of the partial-isometric crossed product of the distinguished system $(B_{\Gamma^+}, \Gamma^+, \tau)$, where the action τ of Γ^+ on the subalgebra B_{Γ^+} of $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma^+)$ is given by the right translation. In the line of their work, the present work is actually a generalization of [3]. More precisely, it had previously been known that isometric crossed products are full corners in crossed products by groups (see [12, 1]). Then, the authors of [3] discussed such an analogous view for partial-isometric crossed products. So they showed that $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is a full corner in a subalgebra of adjointable operators on $\ell^2(\Gamma^+) \otimes A \simeq \ell^2(\Gamma^+, A)$, and when the action α is given by semigroup of automorphisms, $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is a full corner in

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55.

Key words and phrases. C^* -algebra, endomorphism, semigroup, partial isometry, crossed product.

the group crossed product $(B_\Gamma \otimes A) \rtimes \Gamma$. While this attempt successfully leads us to understand more about structure of partial-isometric crossed products, in particular when Γ^+ is $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z}^+$ (see [4, 9]), the following question has still been wondering us:

Are partial-isometric crossed products full corners in crossed products by groups in general (similar to isometric crossed products)?

So here in the present work we want to answer this question. Undoubtedly the importance of efforts toward answering such a question lies on the fact that by relating semigroup crossed products to group crossed products, we could enjoy a lot of information on semigroup crossed products by importing them from the well-established theory of classical crossed products by groups.

By inspiration from [6], we define a subalgebra \mathcal{B} of the algebra $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ of norm bounded A -valued functions of Γ . Then the shift on $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ gives an action of Γ on \mathcal{B} by automorphisms. Let $\mathcal{B} \rtimes \Gamma$ be the associated group crossed product of \mathcal{B} by Γ . Next, we construct a partial-isometric covariant pair (π_A, W) of (A, Γ^+, α) in the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{B} \rtimes \Gamma$, and we show that the corresponding homomorphism $\pi_A \times W$ of the partial-isometric crossed product is an isomorphism of $A \rtimes_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ onto a full corner of $\mathcal{B} \rtimes \Gamma$. We then apply this realization to identify the ideal J of $A \rtimes_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ arising from the surjective homomorphism $\phi : A \rtimes_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+ \rightarrow A \rtimes_\alpha^{\text{iso}} \Gamma^+$ (see §2) as a full corner in an ideal $\mathcal{I} \rtimes \Gamma$ of $\mathcal{B} \rtimes \Gamma$. Furthermore, we show that the ideal J is essential, and therefore we generalize the statement of [3, Proposition 2.5] to any totally ordered abelian (discrete) group, not only subgroups of \mathbb{R} . Consequently we see that the main results of [3] follow from the present work.

We begin with a preliminary section containing a summary on the theory of partial-isometric and isometric crossed products. In section 3 we introduce a subalgebra \mathcal{B} of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ and its crossed product $\mathcal{B} \rtimes_\beta \Gamma$ by Γ , where the action β is given by the shift on $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)$. In section 4 a partial-isometric covariant pair of (A, Γ^+, α) in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B} \rtimes_\beta \Gamma)$ will be constructed, which gives us an isomorphism of $A \rtimes_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ onto a full corner of $\mathcal{B} \rtimes_\beta \Gamma$. From this realization, the kernel of the surjective homomorphism of the partial-isometric crossed onto the isometric crossed product will be identified as a full corner in an ideal $\mathcal{I} \rtimes_\beta \Gamma$ of $\mathcal{B} \rtimes_\beta \Gamma$. Moreover, we see that it is an essential ideal. In sections 5 and 6 we recover the main results of [3] from the present work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Morita equivalence and full corner. What follows is a very quick recall. For more, readers may refer to [11] or [8]. Two C^* -algebras A and B are called *Morita equivalent* if there is an A - B -imprimitivity bimodule X . If p is a projection in the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$ of A , then the C^* -subalgebra pAp of A is called a *corner* in A . We say a corner pAp is *full* if $\overline{ApA} := \overline{\text{span}\{apb : a, b \in A\}}$ is A . Any full corner of A is Morita equivalent to A via the imprimitivity bimodule Ap .

2.2. The partial-isometric crossed product. A *partial-isometric representation* of Γ^+ on a Hilbert space H is a map $V : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow B(H)$ such that $V_x := V(x)$ is a partial isometry, and $V_{x+y} = V_x V_y$ for all $x, y \in \Gamma^+$. Note that the product VW of two partial isometries V and W is a partial isometry precisely when V^*V commutes with WW^* [10, Proposition 2.1]. Thus a partial isometry V is called a *power partial isometry* if V^n is a partial isometry for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So a partial-isometric representation of

\mathbb{N} is determined by a single power partial isometry V_1 . If V is a partial-isometric representation of Γ^+ , then every $V_x V_x^*$ commutes with $V_t V_t^*$, and so does $V_x^* V_x$ with $V_t^* V_t$.

A *covariant partial-isometric representation* of (A, Γ^+, α) on a Hilbert space H is a pair (π, V) consisting of a nondegenerate representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ and a partial-isometric representation $V : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow B(H)$ of Γ^+ such that $\pi(\alpha_x(a)) = V_x \pi(a) V_x^*$ and $V_x^* V_x \pi(a) = \pi(a) V_x^* V_x$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in \Gamma^+$.

Recall that every system (A, Γ^+, α) admits a nontrivial covariant partial-isometric representation (see [10, Example 4.6]). Moreover, by [10, Lemma 4.2], every covariant pair (π, V) extends to a partial-isometric covariant representation $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ of $(M(A), \Gamma^+, \bar{\alpha})$, and the partial-isometric covariance is equivalent to $\pi(\alpha_x(a)) V_x = V_x \pi(a)$ and $V_x V_x^* = \bar{\pi}(\bar{\alpha}_x(1))$ for $a \in A$ and $x \in \Gamma^+$.

Definition 2.1. A *partial-isometric crossed product* of (A, Γ^+, α) is a triple (B, i_A, i_{Γ^+}) consisting of a C^* -algebra B , a nondegenerate homomorphism $i_A : A \rightarrow B$, and a partial-isometric representation $i_{\Gamma^+} : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ such that:

- (i) the pair (i_A, i_{Γ^+}) is a covariant representation of (A, Γ^+, α) in B ;
- (ii) for every covariant partial-isometric representation (π, V) of (A, Γ^+, α) on a Hilbert space H , there exists a nondegenerate representation $\pi \times V : B \rightarrow B(H)$ such that $(\pi \times V) \circ i_A = \pi$ and $(\pi \times V) \circ i_{\Gamma^+} = V$; and
- (iii) B is generated by $i_A(A) \cup i_{\Gamma^+}(\Gamma^+)$, we actually have

$$B = \overline{\text{span}}\{i_{\Gamma^+}(x)^* i_A(a) i_{\Gamma^+}(y) : x, y \in \Gamma^+, a \in A\}.$$

By [10, Proposition 4.7], the partial-isometric crossed product of (A, Γ^+, α) always exists, and it is unique up to isomorphism. Thus we write the partial-isometric crossed product B as $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$.

We recall that by [10, Theorem 4.8], a covariant representation (π, V) of (A, Γ^+, α) on H induces a faithful representation $\pi \times V$ of $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ if and only if π is faithful on the range of $(1 - V_s^* V_s)$ for every $s > 0$.

2.3. The isometric crossed product. The isometric crossed product of a system (A, Γ^+, α) is defined similar to the partial-isometric crossed product of the system, such that the endomorphisms α_x are implemented by isometries instead of partial-isometries. We here recall the definition of the isometric crossed product briefly. Readers may refer to [1, 2, 12] for more details on the theory of isometric crossed products.

An *isometric representation* of Γ^+ on a Hilbert space H is a map $W : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow B(H)$ such that $W_x := W(x)$ is an isometry, and $W_{x+y} = W_x W_y$ for all $x, y \in \Gamma^+$.

A *covariant isometric representation* of (A, Γ^+, α) on a Hilbert space H is a pair (π, W) consisting of a nondegenerate representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ and an isometric representation of $W : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow B(H)$ such that $\pi(\alpha_x(a)) = W_x \pi(a) W_x^*$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in \Gamma^+$.

Then the *isometric crossed product* $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{iso}} \Gamma^+$ of (A, Γ^+, α) is generated by a universal covariant isometric representation (k_A, k_{Γ^+}) , such that there is a bijection $(\pi, W) \mapsto \pi \times W$ between covariant isometric representations of (A, Γ^+, α) and nondegenerate representations of $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$.

Now, as it is mentioned in [3, §2], we have a nondegenerate surjective homomorphism $\phi : (A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+, i_A, i_{\Gamma^+}) \rightarrow (A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{iso}} \Gamma^+, k_A, k_{\Gamma^+})$ induced by the pair (k_A, k_{Γ^+}) such that

$$\phi(i_{\Gamma^+}(x)^* i_A(a) i_{\Gamma^+}(y)) = k_{\Gamma^+}(x)^* k_A(a) k_{\Gamma^+}(y),$$

for all $a \in A$ and $x, y \in \Gamma^+$. Therefore we have the following short exact sequence:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \ker \phi \longrightarrow A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+ \xrightarrow{\phi} A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{iso}} \Gamma^+ \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\ker \phi$ is the ideal

$$J := \overline{\text{span}}\{i_{\Gamma^+}(x)^* i_A(a) (1 - i_{\Gamma^+}(t)^* i_{\Gamma^+}(t)) i_{\Gamma^+}(y) : a \in A, x, y, t \in \Gamma^+\}$$

in $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$. Then in [3, §4], when $\Gamma^+ = \mathbb{N}$, the ideal J is identified as a full corner of the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A))$ of compact operators on the Hilbert A -module $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A)$. Moreover, when the action α in the system (A, Γ^+, α) is given by semigroups of automorphisms, the ideal J is a full corner of a classical crossed product by Γ (see [3, §5]). But the identification of the ideal J in general with familiar terms remained unavailable. So here, first, we show that the partial-isometric crossed product $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is a full corner of a classical crossed product by Γ , from which we see that the ideal J is also a full corner of a group crossed product. Consequently the identification of the ideal J in [3] will be recovered here.

Before we proceed to the next section, for Lemma 3.3, we need to recall that the dynamical system (A, Γ^+, α) , in which the action α of Γ^+ is given by (extendible) injective endomorphisms of A , gives a direct system $(A_s, \varphi_s^t)_{s, t \in \Gamma}$ such that $A_s = A$ for every $s \in \Gamma$, and $\varphi_s^t : A_s \rightarrow A_t$ is given by α_{t-s} for all $s < t \in \Gamma$. Let A_{∞} be the direct limit of the direct system. If $\alpha^s : A_s \rightarrow A_{\infty}$ is the canonical homomorphism (embedding) of A_s into A_{∞} for every $s \in \Gamma$, then $\cup_{s \in \Gamma} \alpha^s(A_s)$ is a dense subalgebra of A_{∞} . But note that since $\alpha^s(a) = \alpha^0(\alpha_{-s}(a))$ for every $s < 0$ in Γ , it follows that $A_{\infty} = \overline{\cup_{s \in \Gamma} \alpha^s(A_s)} = \overline{\cup_{s \in \Gamma^+} \alpha^s(A_s)}$.

3. THE C^* -ALGEBRA \mathcal{B} AND ITS CROSSED PRODUCT BY Γ

Let (A, Γ^+, α) be a dynamical system in which Γ^+ is the positive cone of a totally ordered abelian group Γ , and α is an action of Γ^+ by extendible endomorphisms of a C^* -algebra A . Let $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, A)$ be the C^* -algebra of all norm bounded A -valued functions of Γ . For every $x \in \Gamma$, define a map $\mu_x : A \rightarrow \ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, A)$ by

$$(\mu_x(a))(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{y-x}(a) & \text{if } y \geq x \\ 0 & \text{if } y < x. \end{cases}$$

One can see that each map μ_x is an injective $*$ -homomorphism (isometry). Then define \mathcal{B} to be the C^* -subalgebra of $\ell^{\infty}(\Gamma, A)$ generated by $\{\mu_x(a) : x \in \Gamma, a \in A\}$. Since by some simple calculations, we have $\mu_x(a) \mu_y(b) = \mu_z(\alpha_{z-x}(a) \alpha_{z-y}(b))$, where $z = \max\{x, y\}$, and $\mu_x(a)^* = \mu_x(a^*)$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{B} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\mu_x(a) : x \in \Gamma, a \in A\}.$$

Moreover note that the elements of \mathcal{B} satisfy the following property:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $y, z \in \Gamma$ such that if $x < y$, then $\|\xi(x)\| < \varepsilon$, and if $x \geq z$, then $\|\xi(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi(z))\| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite sum $\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| \xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right\| < \epsilon/2.$$

Take $y = \min\{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$. Then for all $x \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\left\| \left(\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right)(x) \right\| \leq \left\| \xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right\| < \epsilon/2 < \epsilon,$$

and therefore $\left\| \left(\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right)(x) \right\| < \epsilon$. So, as

$$\left\| \left(\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right)(x) \right\| = \left\| \xi(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(x) \right\|,$$

it follows that

$$\left\| \xi(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(x) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Now, if $x < y$, then $(\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(x) = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, because $x < y_i$. Thus we obtain $\|\xi(x)\| < \epsilon$ for every $x < y$.

Next, take $z = \max\{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$, and for convenience, let $\xi_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)$. Since $\|\xi - \xi_n\| < \epsilon/2$ by (3.1), for every $x \geq z$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi(z))\| &= \|(\xi - \xi_n + \xi_n)(x) - \alpha_{x-z}((\xi - \xi_n + \xi_n)(z))\| \\ &= \|(\xi - \xi_n)(x) + \xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}((\xi - \xi_n)(z) + \xi_n(z))\| \\ &= \|(\xi - \xi_n)(x) + \xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}((\xi - \xi_n)(z)) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\| \\ &\leq \|(\xi - \xi_n)(x)\| + \|\alpha_{x-z}((\xi - \xi_n)(z))\| + \|\xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\| \\ &\leq \|\xi - \xi_n\| + \|(\xi - \xi_n)(z)\| + \|\xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\| \\ &\leq \|\xi - \xi_n\| + \|\xi - \xi_n\| + \|\xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\| \\ &< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 + \|\xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\| = \epsilon + \|\xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|\xi(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi(z))\| < \epsilon + \|\xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\|.$$

But since $x \geq z$, we actually have $\|\xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z))\| = 0$ on the right hand side of the inequality. This is because

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi_n(z)) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(x) - \alpha_{x-z}\left(\sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(z)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) - \alpha_{x-z}\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) - \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-z}(\alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) - \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So it follows that $\|\xi(x) - \alpha_{x-z}(\xi(z))\| < \epsilon$ for every $x \geq z$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Each homomorphism $\mu_x : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is extendible, meaning that it extends to a strictly continuous homomorphism $\overline{\mu}_x : \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ of multiplier algebras.*

Proof. For proof, note that the extendibility of each μ_x essentially follows from the extendibility of each endomorphism α_x . So, let $\{a_i\}$ be an approximate identity in A . We show that there is a projection $p_x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\mu_x(a_i) \rightarrow p_x$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ strictly. To do this, it is enough to see that $\mu_x(a_i)\mu_y(a) \rightarrow p_x\mu_y(a)$ and $\mu_y(a)\mu_x(a_i) \rightarrow \mu_y(a)p_x$ in the norm of \mathcal{B} for every $a \in A$ and $y \in \Gamma$. Take the algebra

$\ell^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}(A))$ which contains $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ as an essential ideal. Then similar to \mathcal{B} , let $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}(A))$ spanned by $\{\gamma_r(m) : r \in \Gamma, m \in \mathcal{M}(A)\}$, where each $\gamma_r : \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}(A))$ is a map defined by

$$(\gamma_r(m))(s) = \begin{cases} \overline{\alpha}_{s-r}(m) & \text{if } s \geq r \\ 0 & \text{if } s < r. \end{cases}$$

So, γ_r is an isometry for every $r \in \Gamma$, such that $\gamma_r|_A = \mu_r$. Now since \mathcal{B} sits in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ as an essential ideal, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ is embedded in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ as a C^* -subalgebra. Let $p_x = \gamma_x(1)$ for every $x \in \Gamma$, which is a projection in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$. If $x \leq y$, then $\mu_x(a_i)\mu_y(a) = \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a_i)a)$ and $\mu_y(a)\mu_x(a_i) = \mu_y(a\alpha_{y-x}(a_i))$, which converge to $\mu_y(\overline{\alpha}_{y-x}(1)a)$ and $\mu_y(a\overline{\alpha}_{y-x}(1))$ in the norm of \mathcal{B} respectively. This is because α_{y-x} is extendible and μ_y is an isometry. But $\mu_y(\overline{\alpha}_{y-x}(1)a) = p_x\mu_y(a)$ and $\mu_y(a\overline{\alpha}_{y-x}(1)) = \mu_y(a)p_x$, and therefore $\mu_x(a_i)\mu_y(a) \rightarrow p_x\mu_y(a)$ and $\mu_y(a)\mu_x(a_i) \rightarrow \mu_y(a)p_x$ when $x \leq y$. A similar discussion shows that these also hold when $x > y$. Thus each μ_x is extendible. \square

Note that it then follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\overline{\mu_x} = \gamma_x$ for every $x \in \Gamma$. Now let \mathcal{I} be the C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{B} generated by $\{\mu_x(a) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a)) : x < y \in \Gamma, a \in A\}$. We actually have

$$\mathcal{I} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\mu_x(a) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a)) : x < y \in \Gamma, a \in A\}.$$

Moreover, by some computations on spanning elements, one can see that \mathcal{I} is in fact an ideal of \mathcal{B} . We claim that \mathcal{I} is an essential ideal. This is because if $\xi\mathcal{I} = 0$ for some $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$, then for each $x \in \Gamma$,

$$\xi[\mu_x(\xi(x)^*) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(\xi(x)^*))] = 0,$$

where $y \in \Gamma$ with $y > x$. So we must have $\xi(x)\xi(x)^* = 0$ in A for each $x \in \Gamma$, which implies that $\xi(x) = 0$. Thus $\xi = 0$, and therefore \mathcal{I} is essential.

By a simple calculation, we also have

$$(3.2) \quad [\mu_x(a) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a))][\mu_x(b) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(b))] = \mu_x(ab) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(ab)),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $x < y \in \Gamma$. This equation will be used later in Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (A, Γ^+, α) be a dynamical system in which the action α of Γ^+ is given by (extendible) injective endomorphisms of A . Then there is a surjective homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow A_\infty$ such that $\sigma(\mu_y(a)) = \alpha^y(a)$ for every $a \in A$ and $y \in \Gamma$. Moreover,*

$$(3.3) \quad \ker \sigma = \{\xi \in \mathcal{B} : \text{the net } \{\|\xi(x)\|\}_{x \in \Gamma} \text{ converges to } 0\},$$

which contains the ideal \mathcal{I} .

Proof. Define a map of the dense subalgebra $\text{span}\{\mu_y(a) : y \in \Gamma, a \in A\}$ of \mathcal{B} into A_∞ such that $\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i)$. This map is well-defined, because if $z = \max\{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^z(\alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i)) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \alpha^z \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i) \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(z) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right)(z) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right\|,$$

which implies that the map $\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i)$ is well-defined. It is also linear and bounded, and hence it extends to a bounded linear map $\sigma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow A_\infty$ of \mathcal{B} into A_∞ such that $\sigma(\mu_y(a)) = \alpha^y(a)$. Moreover,

$$\sigma(\mu_y(a))^* = \alpha^y(a)^* = \alpha^y(a^*) = \sigma(\mu_y(a^*)) = \sigma(\mu_y(a)^*),$$

and

$$\sigma(\mu_x(a)\mu_y(b)) = \sigma(\mu_z(\alpha_{z-x}(a)\alpha_{z-y}(b))) = \alpha^z(\alpha_{z-x}(a)\alpha_{z-y}(b)) = \alpha^x(a)\alpha^y(b),$$

where $z = \max\{x, y\}$, imply that σ is indeed a $*$ -homomorphism. The surjectivity of σ can be easily seen from $\sigma(\mu_y(a)) = \alpha^y(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $y \in \Gamma$.

Now, before we identify $\ker \sigma$, it is not difficult to see that $\ker \sigma$ contains the ideal \mathcal{I} . This is because for any spanning element $\mu_x(a) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a))$ of \mathcal{I} , where $a \in A$ and $x < y \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\sigma(\mu_x(a) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a))) = \alpha^x(a) - \alpha^y(\alpha_{y-x}(a)) = \alpha^y(\alpha_{y-x}(a)) - \alpha^y(\alpha_{y-x}(a)) = 0.$$

To prove (3.3), first, let $\xi \in \ker \sigma$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite sum $\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)$ such that $\left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) - \xi \right\| < \varepsilon/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i) \right\| &= \left\| \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\right) - \sigma(\xi) \right\| \\ (3.4) \quad &= \left\| \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) - \xi\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) - \xi \right\| < \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows that, if $z = \max\{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$, then for every $x \geq z$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-z}(\alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i)) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \alpha_{x-z}\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i)\right) \right\| \\ (3.5) \quad &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \alpha^z\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i)\right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^z(\alpha_{z-y_i}(a_i)) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) - \xi \right\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Consequently, if $x \geq z$, then by (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi(x)\| &= \left\| \xi(x) - \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\right)(x) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\right)(x) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\right)(x) + \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(x) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\right)(x) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i) \right\| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the net $\{\|\xi(x)\|\}_{x \in \Gamma}$ converges to 0. So $\ker \sigma$ is contained in the right hand side of (3.3). To see the other inclusion, let ξ belong to the right hand side of (3.3). We show that $\sigma(\xi) = 0$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s \in \Gamma$ such that $\|\xi(x)\| <$

$\varepsilon/3$ for every $x \geq s$, and a finite sum $\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)$ such that $\|\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\| < \varepsilon/3$. Let $z = \max\{s, y_0, \dots, y_n\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\sigma(\xi)\| &= \|\sigma(\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)) + \sigma(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i))\| \\
 (3.7) \quad &\leq \|\sigma(\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i))\| + \|\sigma(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i))\| \\
 &\leq \|\xi - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\| + \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i)\| \\
 &< \varepsilon/3 + \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

But in the bottom line, by the same computation as (3.5), we have $\|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{y_i}(a_i)\| = \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i)\|$ for every $x \geq z$. So it follows that

$$\|\sigma(\xi)\| < \varepsilon/3 + \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i)\| \quad \text{for all } x \geq z.$$

Moreover, if $x \geq z$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i)\| &= \|\sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_{y_i}(a_i))(x) - \xi(x) + \xi(x)\| \\
 &= \|\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i)\right)(x) - \xi(x) + \xi(x)\| \\
 &= \|\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) - \xi\right)(x) + \xi(x)\| \\
 &\leq \|\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) - \xi\right)(x)\| + \|\xi(x)\| \\
 &\leq \|\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{y_i}(a_i) - \xi\| + \|\xi(x)\| < \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = 2\varepsilon/3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $x \geq z$, then

$$\|\sigma(\xi)\| < \varepsilon/3 + \|\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_{x-y_i}(a_i)\| \leq \varepsilon/3 + 2\varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon,$$

which means $\|\sigma(\xi)\| < \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. So we must have $\|\sigma(\xi)\| = 0$, and therefore $\sigma(\xi) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

There is an action β of Γ by automorphisms on \mathcal{B} induced by the shift on $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)$, such that $\beta_s \circ \mu_x = \mu_{x+s}$ for all $s, x \in \Gamma$. Thus we obtain a dynamical system $(\mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \beta)$. Define a map $\rho : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma, A))$ by $(\rho(\xi)f)(x) = \xi(x)f(x)$, and $U : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma, A))$ by $(U_y f)(x) = f(x - y)$, where $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f \in \ell^2(\Gamma, A)$. Then ρ is a nondegenerate representation, and U is a unitary representation such that we have $\rho(\beta_s(\xi)) = U_s \rho(\xi) U_s^*$. Therefore the pair (ρ, U) is a covariant representation of $(\mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \beta)$ on $\ell^2(\Gamma, A)$. Moreover, let $(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma, j_\mathcal{B}, j_\Gamma)$ be the group crossed product associated to the system $(\mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \beta)$. Since \mathcal{I} is a β -invariant essential ideal of \mathcal{B} , $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$ sits in $\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma$ as an essential ideal [7, Proposition 2.4].

4. THE PARTIAL-ISOMETRIC CROSSED PRODUCT $A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ AS A FULL CORNER OF $\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma$

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that (A, Γ^+, α) is a dynamical system consisting of a C^* -algebra A and an action α of Γ^+ by extendible endomorphisms of A . Let $q = \overline{j_\mathcal{B} \circ \mu_0}(1)$, and*

$$\pi_A : A \rightarrow q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q \quad \text{and} \quad W : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q)$$

*be the maps defined by $\pi_A(a) = (j_\mathcal{B} \circ \mu_0)(a)$ and $W_x = qj_\Gamma(x)^*q$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in \Gamma^+$. Then the pair (π_A, W) is a partial-isometric covariant representation of (A, Γ^+, α) , and the associated homomorphism $\Psi := \pi_A \times W$ is an isomorphism of*

$(A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+, i_A, v)$ onto $q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$, such that $\Psi(i_A(a)) = \pi_A(a)$ and $\overline{\Psi}(v_x) = W_x$. Moreover, $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is a full corner in $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$.

Proof. Firstly π_A is nondegenerate. This is because for an approximate identity $\{a_i\}$ in A , since $\mu_0(a_i) \rightarrow \overline{\mu_0}(1)$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ strictly, and $j_{\mathcal{B}}$ is nondegenerate, we have $\pi_A(a_i) \rightarrow \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)$ strictly, where $\overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) = \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}} \circ \mu_0(1) = q$. Next we show that W is a partial-isometric representation. For each $x \in \Gamma^+$, by using the covariance equation of the pair $(j_{\mathcal{B}}, j_{\Gamma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_x W_x^* W_x &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q j_{\Gamma}(x) \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\beta_x}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))) q \\
 (4.1) \quad &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_x}(1)) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_x}(1)) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1) \overline{\mu_x}(1)) q = q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))) q.
 \end{aligned}$$

To continue, for the last term, again we apply the covariance equation of $(j_{\mathcal{B}}, j_{\Gamma})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_x W_x^* W_x &= q \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))) j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q \\
 &= q \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1) \overline{\mu_{-x}}(1)) j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q \quad [\overline{\mu_0}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1)) = \overline{\mu_0}(1) \overline{\mu_{-x}}(1)] \\
 (4.2) \quad &= q \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{-x}}(1)) j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q \\
 &= q \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{-x}}(1)) j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\beta_x}(\overline{\mu_{-x}}(1))) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) q = q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* q = W_x.
 \end{aligned}$$

So each W_x is a partial-isometry. Also for every $x, y \in \Gamma^+$, again by the covariance equation of $(j_{\mathcal{B}}, j_{\Gamma})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_x W_y &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) j_{\Gamma}(y)^* q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* j_{\Gamma}(y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\beta_y}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_y}(1)) q \\
 &= q \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_y}(1)) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\beta_{x+y}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))) \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_y}(1)) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(1)) \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_y}(1)) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(1) \overline{\mu_y}(1)) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))) \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)) q \quad [\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(1) \overline{\mu_y}(1) = \overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))] \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1)) \overline{\mu_0}(1)) q \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1) \overline{\alpha_{x+y}}(1))) q \quad [\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1)) \overline{\mu_0}(1) = \overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1)) \overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_{x+y}}(1))] \\
 &= q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_{x+y}}(1))) q \quad [\overline{\alpha_x}(1) \overline{\alpha_{x+y}}(1) = \overline{\alpha_x}(1) \overline{\alpha_y}(1) = \overline{\alpha_{x+y}}(1)]
 \end{aligned}$$

Then for the bottom line, by a similar computation to (4.2) (regarding the proof of $W_x W_x^* W_x = W_x$), where we showed $q j_{\Gamma}(x)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))) q = W_x$, we get

$$W_x W_y = q j_{\Gamma}(x+y)^* \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}}(\overline{\mu_{x+y}}(\overline{\alpha_{x+y}}(1))) q = W_{x+y}.$$

Thus W is a partial-isometric representation of Γ^+ in $\mathcal{M}(q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q) \simeq q\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$.

Now we show that the pair (π_A, W) satisfies the partial-isometric covariance equations. For every $a \in A$ and $x \in \Gamma^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
W_x \pi_A(a) W_x^* &= q j_\Gamma(x)^* j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) j_\Gamma(x) q \\
&= q j_\mathcal{B}(\beta_{-x}(\mu_0(a))) q \quad [\text{by the covariance equation of } (j_\mathcal{B}, j_\Gamma)] \\
&= q j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_{-x}(a)) q \\
&= q \overline{j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))} j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_{-x}(a)) q \\
&= q j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_0}(1) \mu_{-x}(a)) q \\
&= q j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(\alpha_x(a))) q \quad [\overline{\mu_0}(1) \mu_{-x}(a) = \overline{\mu_0}(1) \mu_0(\alpha_x(a)) = \mu_0(\alpha_x(a))] \\
&= (j_\mathcal{B} \circ \mu_0)(\alpha_x(a)) = \pi_A(\alpha_x(a)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\pi_A(\alpha_x(a)) = W_x \pi_A(a) W_x^*$, and $W_x^* W_x \pi_A(a) = \pi_A(a) W_x^* W_x$ follows by some similar computation which we skip it here. So, the pair (π_A, W) is a partial-isometric covariant representation of (A, Γ^+, α) in $\mathcal{M}(q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q)$, and hence there is a nondegenerate homomorphism $\Psi := \pi_A \times W : (A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+, i_A, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q)$ such that $\Psi(i_A(a)) = \pi_A(a)$ and $\Psi(v_x) = W_x$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in \Gamma^+$. We claim that Ψ is an isomorphism of $A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ onto $q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$. To see that Ψ is injective, we apply [10, Theorem 4.8]. So, assume that $\pi_A(a)(q - W_x^* W_x) = 0$, where $a \in A$ and $x > 0$ in Γ^+ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_A(a)(q - W_x^* W_x) &= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) [q - q j_\Gamma(x) \overline{j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))} j_\Gamma(x)^* q] \\
&= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) [q - q \overline{j_\mathcal{B}(\beta_x(\overline{\mu_0}(1)))} q] \\
&= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) [q - q \overline{j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_x}(1))} j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))] \\
&= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) [q - q \overline{j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_x}(1) \overline{\mu_0}(1))}] \\
(4.3) \quad &= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) [q - q \overline{j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1)))}] \quad [\overline{\mu_x}(1) \overline{\mu_0}(1) = \overline{\mu_x}(1) \overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))] \\
&= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) q - j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) q \overline{j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1)))} \\
&= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) - j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a) \overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))) \\
&= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) - j_\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mu_x}(\alpha_x(a) \overline{\alpha_x}(1))) \quad [\mu_0(a) \overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1)) = \mu_x(\alpha_x(a)) \overline{\mu_x}(\overline{\alpha_x}(1))] \\
&= j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) - j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_x(\alpha_x(a))) = j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_x(\alpha_x(a))).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\pi_A(a)(q - W_x^* W_x) = 0$ implies that $j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_x(\alpha_x(a))) = 0$. Now if $\rho \times U$ is the nondegenerate representation of $\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma$ corresponding to the covariant pair (ρ, U) of $(\mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \beta)$ in $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma, A))$ (see §3), then $j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_x(\alpha_x(a))) = 0$ gives us

$$\rho \times U[j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_x(\alpha_x(a)))] = \rho(\mu_0(a) - \mu_x(\alpha_x(a))) = 0$$

in $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma, A))$. So it follows that, for $\varepsilon_0 \otimes a^* \in \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes A \simeq \ell^2(\Gamma, A)$, we must have

$$\rho(\mu_0(a) - \mu_x(\alpha_x(a)))(\varepsilon_0 \otimes a^*) = 0.$$

But since $x > 0$, we get $\varepsilon_0 \otimes aa^* = 0$. So $aa^* = 0$ which implies that $a = 0$. Therefore by [10, Theorem 4.8] Ψ is injective.

To see that $\Psi(A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+) = q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$, first note that for $a \in A$ and $x, y \in \Gamma^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(v_x^* i_A(a) v_y) &= W_x^* \pi_A(a) W_y \\
&= q j_\Gamma(x) (j_\mathcal{B} \circ \mu_0)(a) j_\Gamma(y)^* q \\
&= q j_\Gamma(x) j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_0(a)) j_\Gamma(y)^* q,
\end{aligned}$$

which belongs to $q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$. Thus $\Psi(A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+) \subset q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$. To see the other inclusion, as $\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma$ is spanned by elements of the form $j_\mathcal{B}(\mu_r(a)) j_\Gamma(s)$, where $a \in A$ and $r, s \in \Gamma$, it is enough to show that $\Psi(A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+)$ contains each spanning element

$qj_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a))j_{\Gamma}(s)q$ of $q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $r \geq 0$. So, if $0 \leq r < s$, then by the covariance equation of $(j_{\mathcal{B}}, j_{\Gamma})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} qj_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a))j_{\Gamma}(s)q &= qj_{\Gamma}(s)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta_{-s}(\mu_r(a)))q \\ &= qj_{\Gamma}(s)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_{r-s}(a))\overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(1))}q \\ &= qj_{\Gamma}(s)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_{r-s}(a))\overline{\mu_0(1)}q \quad (r-s < 0) \\ &= qj_{\Gamma}(s)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))q \quad (s-r > 0) \\ &= qj_{\Gamma}(s)(j_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mu_0)(\alpha_{s-r}(a))j_{\Gamma}(0)^*q \\ &= W_s^* \pi_A(\alpha_{s-r}(a))W_0 \\ &= \Psi(v_s^* i_A(\alpha_{s-r}(a))v_0) \in \Psi(A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+). \end{aligned}$$

If $r \geq s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} qj_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a))j_{\Gamma}(s)q &= qj_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta_r(\mu_0(a)))j_{\Gamma}(s)q \\ &= qj_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a))j_{\Gamma}(r)^*j_{\Gamma}(s)q \\ &= qj_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a))j_{\Gamma}(r-s)^*j_{\Gamma}(s)^*j_{\Gamma}(s)q \quad (r-s \geq 0) \\ &= qj_{\Gamma}(r)(j_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \mu_0)(a)j_{\Gamma}(r-s)^*q \\ &= W_r^* \pi_A(a)W_{r-s} \\ &= \Psi(v_r^* i_A(a)v_{r-s}) \in \Psi(A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that $q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q \subset \Psi(A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+)$, and therefore $\Psi(A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+) = q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$. Consequently Ψ is an isomorphism of $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ onto $q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$.

Finally, to see that $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is a full corner in $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$, we have to show that $(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)$ is dense in $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$. If $\{a_i\}$ is an approximate identity in A , then for any spanning element $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a))j_{\Gamma}(s)$ of $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$, we have $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a_i))j_{\Gamma}(s) \rightarrow j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a))j_{\Gamma}(s)$ in the norm topology of $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$. But

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a_i))j_{\Gamma}(s) &= j_{\mathcal{B}}(\beta_r(\mu_0(a_i)))j_{\Gamma}(s) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i))j_{\Gamma}(r)^*j_{\Gamma}(s) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i))\overline{\mu_0(1)}\mu_0(a)j_{\Gamma}(-r)j_{\Gamma}(s) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i))\overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(1))}j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a))j_{\Gamma}(s-r) \\ &= [j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i))]q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a))j_{\Gamma}(s-r)]. \end{aligned}$$

The bottom line belongs to $(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)$, so does $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a_i))j_{\Gamma}(s)$. Therefore we must have $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a))j_{\Gamma}(s) \in \overline{(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)}$, which implies that $\overline{(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)} = \mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$. So $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is a full corner in $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The ideal J of $A \times_{\alpha}^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ is isomorphic to $q(\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$, which is a full corner in $\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$.*

Proof. We show that the isomorphism Ψ in Theorem 4.1 maps the ideal J onto $q(\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$. But first note that as $\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$ sits in $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$ as an essential ideal, $\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$ and therefore $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)$ are embedded in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)$ as C^* -subalgebras. Thus $q \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)$. Also $\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma$ is spanned by elements of the form $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)$, where $a \in A$ and $r, s, z \in \Gamma$ such that $r < s$. Now to see that $\Psi(J) = q(\mathcal{I} \times_{\beta} \Gamma)q$, if $a \in A$ and $x, y, t \in \Gamma^+$, then

$$\Psi(v_x^* i_A(a)(1 - v_t^* v_t)v_y) = W_x^* \pi_A(a)(q - W_t^* W_t)W_y.$$

By the same calculation as (4.3), we have $\pi_A(a)(q - W_t^* W_t) = j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_t(\alpha_t(a)))$, and therefore we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad W_x^* \pi_A(a)(q - W_t^* W_t)W_y = q[j_{\Gamma}(x)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_t(\alpha_t(a)))j_{\Gamma}(y)^*]q,$$

which belongs to $q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$. So it follows that $\Psi(J) \subset q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$. For the other inclusion, we show that each spanning element $q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q$ of $q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$ belongs to $\Psi(J)$. To do this, we can assume that $0 \leq r < s$ without loss of generality. So, if $0 \leq r < s \leq z$, then by the covariance equation of $(j_{\mathcal{B}}, j_{\Gamma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)(j_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \beta_{-z})(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_{r-z}(a) - \mu_{s-z}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))\overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mu_0(1)})}]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_{r-z}(a)\overline{\mu_0(1)} - \mu_{s-z}(\alpha_{s-r}(a))\overline{\mu_0(1)})]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(\alpha_{z-r}(a)) - \mu_0(\alpha_{z-s}(\alpha_{s-r}(a))))]q \quad (\text{because } r - z, s - z \leq 0) \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(\alpha_{z-r}(a)) - \mu_0(\alpha_{z-r}(a)))]q = 0 \in \Psi(J). \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq r < z < s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)(j_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \beta_{-z})(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_{r-z}(a) - \mu_{s-z}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))\overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mu_0(1)})}]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_{r-z}(a)\overline{\mu_0(1)} - \mu_{s-z}(\alpha_{s-r}(a))\overline{\mu_0(1)})]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(\alpha_{z-r}(a)) - \mu_{s-z}(\alpha_{s-r}(a))\overline{\alpha_{s-z}(1)})]q. \quad (\text{because } r - z < 0, s - z > 0) \end{aligned}$$

In the bottom line, for $\alpha_{s-r}(a)\overline{\alpha_{s-z}(1)}$, since $z - r > 0$, we have

$$\alpha_{s-r}(a)\overline{\alpha_{s-z}(1)} = \alpha_{s-z}(\alpha_{z-r}(a))\overline{\alpha_{s-z}(1)} = \alpha_{s-z}(\alpha_{z-r}(a)1) = \alpha_{s-r}(a).$$

Let $b = \alpha_{z-r}(a)$, so, as $\alpha_{s-r}(a) = \alpha_{s-z}(\alpha_{z-r}(a)) = \alpha_{s-z}(b)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(\alpha_{z-r}(a)) - \mu_{s-z}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(b) - \mu_{s-z}(\alpha_{s-z}(b)))]q. \end{aligned}$$

Now for convenience, let $t = s - z > 0$. Then by applying the equation (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q &= q[j_{\Gamma}(z)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(b) - \mu_t(\alpha_t(b)))j_{\Gamma}(0)^*]q \\ &= W_z^* \pi_A(b)(q - W_t^* W_t)W_0 \\ &= \Psi(v_z^* i_A(b)(1 - v_t^* v_t)v_0) \in \Psi(J). \end{aligned}$$

At last, if $z \leq r$ (and $0 \leq r < s$), then

$$\begin{aligned} & q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q \\ &= q[(j_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \beta_r)(\mu_0(a) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(r)^*j_{\Gamma}(z)]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(r - z)^*j_{\Gamma}(z)^*j_{\Gamma}(z)]q \\ &= q[j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_t(\alpha_t(a)))j_{\Gamma}(r - z)^*]q \quad (r - z \geq 0), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = s - r > 0$. Therefore again by applying the equation (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)]q &= q[j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_t(\alpha_t(a)))j_{\Gamma}(r - z)^*]q \\ &= W_r^* \pi_A(a)(q - W_t^* W_t)W_{r-z} \\ &= \Psi(v_r^* i_A(a)(1 - v_t^* v_t)v_{r-z}) \in \Psi(J). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Psi(J) = q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$, which implies that the ideal J is isomorphic to $q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$ via the isomorphism Ψ .

To show that $J \simeq q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$ is a full corner in $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$, take an approximate identity in $\{a_i\}$ in A . Then for any spanning element spanned $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)$ of $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$, where $a \in A$ and $r, s, z \in \Gamma$ such that $r < s$,

$$j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(aa_i) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(aa_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z) \rightarrow j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z)$$

in the norm topology of $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$. But for $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(aa_i) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(aa_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z)$, first, by the covariance equation of $(j_{\mathcal{B}}, j_{\Gamma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(aa_i) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(aa_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z) \\ &= (j_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \beta_r)(\mu_0(aa_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(aa_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(aa_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(aa_i)))j_{\Gamma}(r)^*j_{\Gamma}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Then in the bottom line, for $\mu_0(aa_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(aa_i))$, we apply the equation (3.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(aa_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(aa_i)))j_{\Gamma}(r)^*j_{\Gamma}(z) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}([\mu_0(a) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a))][\mu_0(a_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a_i))])j_{\Gamma}(-r)j_{\Gamma}(z) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z - r) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a)\overline{\mu_0}(1) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a))\overline{\mu_0}(1))j_{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1)\mu_0(a_i) - \overline{\mu_0}(1)\mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z - r) \\ &= j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))\overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}(\overline{\mu_0}(1))}j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z - r) \\ &= [j_{\Gamma}(r)j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))]q[j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i) - \mu_{s-r}(\alpha_{s-r}(a_i)))j_{\Gamma}(z - r)], \end{aligned}$$

which belongs to $(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)$. So we must have $j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_r(a) - \mu_s(\alpha_{s-r}(a)))j_{\Gamma}(z) \in (\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)$. Therefore $(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma) = \mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$, which means that $J \simeq q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q$ is a full corner in $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *The ideal J is an essential ideal of $A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$, and this generalizes [3, Propostion 2.5] to any totally ordered abelian (discrete) group Γ , not only subgroups of \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. One can see this by knowing that the ideal J and $A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ are full corners in $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma$ respectively, and the fact that $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$ is essential ideal of $\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma$. \square

5. THE PARTIAL-ISOMETRIC CROSSED PRODUCTS BY SEMIGROUPS OF AUTOMORPHISMS

In this section we suppose that (A, Γ^+, α) is a system consisting of a C^* -algebra A and an action $\alpha : \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ of Γ^+ by automorphisms of A . If for every $s < 0$ in Γ , we let $\alpha_s := \alpha_{-s}^{-1}$, then α extends to an action of Γ by automorphisms of A . Now let B_Γ be the subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ spanned by $\{1_s \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma) : s \in \Gamma\}$, where

$$1_s(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \geq s \\ 0 & \text{if } t < s. \end{cases}$$

Then there is an action $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B_\Gamma)$ of Γ by automorphisms of B_Γ given by translation, such that $\tau_x(1_s) = 1_{s+x}$ for all $x, s \in \Gamma$. Moreover, $x \mapsto \tau_x \otimes \alpha_x^{-1}$ defines an action of Γ on the algebra $B_\Gamma \otimes A$ by automorphisms, and therefore we obtain a classical dynamical system $(B_\Gamma \otimes A, \Gamma, \tau \otimes \alpha^{-1})$. Also note that

$$B_{\Gamma, \infty} := \overline{\text{span}}\{1_s - 1_t : s < t \in \Gamma\}$$

is an ideal of B_Γ which is τ -invariant. So it follows that $B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A$ is a $(\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1})$ -invariant ideal of $B_\Gamma \otimes A$. We want to recover [3, Corollary 5.3] from our discussion here in §4.

Proposition 5.1. *There is an isomorphism $\delta : B_\Gamma \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\beta_x(\delta(\xi)) = \delta((\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1})_x(\xi))$ for all $\xi \in (B_\Gamma \otimes A)$ and $x \in \Gamma$, and it maps the ideal $B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A$ onto \mathcal{I} . Then δ induces an isomorphism Δ of $((B_\Gamma \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma, k)$ onto $(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma, j)$ such that*

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta(k_{B_\Gamma \otimes A}(\xi)k_\Gamma(s)) = j_\mathcal{B}(\delta(\xi))j_\Gamma(s) \text{ for all } \xi \in (B_\Gamma \otimes A), s \in \Gamma,$$

and it maps the ideal $(B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma$ onto $\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$.

Proof. First, recall that as the algebra B_Γ is abelian, $B_\Gamma \otimes A = B_\Gamma \otimes_{\max} A = B_\Gamma \otimes_{\min} A$. Also note that since $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)$ sits in $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}(A))$ as an essential ideal, $\ell^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}(A))$ is embedded in $\mathcal{M}(\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A))$ as a C^* -subalgebra. Now define the maps

$$\varphi : B_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A)) \text{ and } \psi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A))$$

by

$$\varphi(f)(x) = f(x)1_{\mathcal{M}(A)} \text{ and } \psi(a)(x) = \alpha_x(a)$$

for every $f \in B_\Gamma$, $a \in A$, and $x \in \Gamma$. One can see that φ and ψ are $*$ -homomorphisms with commuting ranges, meaning that $\varphi(f)\psi(a) = \psi(a)\varphi(f)$ for all $f \in B_\Gamma$ and $a \in A$. Therefore there is a homomorphism $\delta := \varphi \otimes \psi : B_\Gamma \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\ell^\infty(\Gamma, A))$ such that $\delta(f \otimes a) = \varphi(f)\psi(a) = \psi(a)\varphi(f)$ for every $f \in B_\Gamma$ and $a \in A$. We claim that δ is an isomorphism of $B_\Gamma \otimes A$ onto the algebra \mathcal{B} . To see this, we first show that $\delta(B_\Gamma \otimes A) = \mathcal{B}$. For each spanning element $1_x \otimes a$ of $B_\Gamma \otimes A$, we have

$$\delta(1_x \otimes a)(y) = (\varphi(1_x)\psi(a))(y) = \varphi(1_x)(y)\psi(a)(y) = 1_x(y)\alpha_y(a) = \begin{cases} \alpha_y(a) & \text{if } y \geq x \\ 0 & \text{if } y < x, \end{cases}$$

which is equal to

$$\mu_x(\alpha_x(a))(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{y-x}(\alpha_x(a)) = \alpha_y(a) & \text{if } y \geq x \\ 0 & \text{if } y < x, \end{cases}$$

for every $y \in \Gamma$. So it follows that $\delta(1_x \otimes a) = \mu_x(\alpha_x(a)) \in \mathcal{B}$, and therefore $\delta(B_\Gamma \otimes A) \subset \mathcal{B}$. Conversely, for any spanning element $\mu_x(a)$ of \mathcal{B} , by applying $\delta(1_x \otimes a) = \mu_x(\alpha_x(a))$, we get

$$\delta(1_x \otimes \alpha_x^{-1}(a)) = \mu_x(\alpha_x(\alpha_x^{-1}(a))) = \mu_x(a).$$

Thus $\mu_x(a) = \delta(1_x \otimes \alpha_x^{-1}(a)) \in \delta(B_\Gamma \otimes A)$, which implies that $\mathcal{B} \subset \delta(B_\Gamma \otimes A)$.

Now we show that δ is injective. Define the map $M : B_\Gamma \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by $(M(f)\lambda)(x) = f(x)\lambda(x)$ for every $f \in B_\Gamma$ and $\lambda \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$, which is a faithful (nondegenerate) representation. Then let $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a faithful (nondegenerate) representation of A on some Hilbert space H . By [11, Corollary B.11], there is a faithful representation $M \otimes \pi : B_\Gamma \otimes A \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H)$ such that $M \otimes \pi(f \otimes a) = M(f) \otimes \pi(a)$. On the other hand, the map $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\Gamma, H))$ defined by $(\tilde{\pi}(\xi)\eta)(x) = \pi(\alpha_x^{-1}(\xi(x)))\eta(x)$, where $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\eta \in \ell^2(\Gamma, H)$, is a faithful representation of \mathcal{B} on the Hilbert space

$\ell^2(\Gamma, H)$. Now let U be the isomorphism (unitary) of $\ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H$ onto $\ell^2(\Gamma, H)$ such that $U(\lambda \otimes h)(x) = \lambda(x)h$ for all $\lambda \in \ell^2(\Gamma)$ and $h \in H$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\pi}(\delta(f \otimes a))U(\lambda \otimes h))(x) &= \pi(\alpha_x^{-1}(\delta(f \otimes a)(x)))U(\lambda \otimes h)(x) \\ &= \pi(\alpha_x^{-1}(f(x)\alpha_x(a)))(\lambda(x)h) \\ &= \pi(f(x)a)(\lambda(x)h) \\ &= f(x)\lambda(x)\pi(a)(h), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U((M \otimes \pi(f \otimes a))(\lambda \otimes h))(x) &= U((M(f) \otimes \pi(a))(\lambda \otimes h))(x) \\ &= U(M(f)\lambda \otimes \pi(a)(h))(x) \\ &= (M(f)\lambda)(x)\pi(a)(h) = f(x)\lambda(x)\pi(a)(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{\pi}(\delta(f \otimes a))U(\lambda \otimes h) = U((M \otimes \pi(f \otimes a))(\lambda \otimes h))$. It therefore follows that

$$U^*\tilde{\pi}(\delta(\xi))U = (M \otimes \pi)(\xi)$$

for all $\xi \in B_\Gamma \otimes A$, from which we conclude that δ must be injective. This is because $\tilde{\pi}$ and $M \otimes \pi$ are injective, and U is a unitary. Consequently $B_\Gamma \otimes A \simeq \delta(B_\Gamma \otimes A) = \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, $B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{I} via δ . This is because for $a \in A$ and $x < y \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((1_x - 1_y) \otimes a) &= \delta((1_x \otimes a) - (1_y \otimes a)) \\ &= \delta(1_x \otimes a) - \delta(1_y \otimes a) \\ &= \mu_x(\alpha_x(a)) - \mu_y(\alpha_y(a)) \\ &= \mu_x(\alpha_x(a)) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(\alpha_x(a))) \in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Thus $\delta(B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A) \subset \mathcal{I}$. For the other inclusion, by the computation in (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((1_x - 1_y) \otimes \alpha_x^{-1}(a)) &= \delta((1_x - 1_y) \otimes \alpha_{-x}(a)) \\ &= \mu_x(\alpha_x(\alpha_{-x}(a))) - \mu_y(\alpha_y(\alpha_{-x}(a))) \\ &= \mu_x(a) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore each spanning element $\mu_x(a) - \mu_y(\alpha_{y-x}(a))$ of \mathcal{I} equals $\delta((1_x - 1_y) \otimes \alpha_x^{-1}(a))$, which belongs to $\delta(B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A)$. So $\mathcal{I} \subset \delta(B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A)$, and therefore $\delta(B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A) = \mathcal{I}$. This implies that $B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A \simeq \mathcal{I}$ via δ .

Finally we show that the isomorphism δ satisfies $\beta_x \circ \delta = \delta \circ (\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1})_x$. Therefore by [13, Lemma 2.65], there is an isomorphism $\Delta : ((B_\Gamma \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma, k) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma, j)$ such that

$$\Delta(k_{B_\Gamma \otimes A}(\xi)k_\Gamma(s)) = j_\mathcal{B}(\delta(\xi))j_\Gamma(s) \text{ for all } \xi \in (B_\Gamma \otimes A), s \in \Gamma.$$

For any spanning element $1_s \otimes a$ of $B_\Gamma \otimes A$, we have

$$\beta_x(\delta(1_s \otimes a)) = \beta_x(\mu_s(\alpha_s(a))) = \mu_{s+x}(\alpha_s(a)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta((\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1})_x(1_s \otimes a)) &= \delta(\tau_x \otimes \alpha_x^{-1}(1_s \otimes a)) \\ &= \delta(\tau_x(1_s) \otimes \alpha_x^{-1}(a)) \\ &= \delta(1_{s+x} \otimes \alpha_{-x}(a)) \\ &= \mu_{s+x}(\alpha_{s+x}(\alpha_{-x}(a))) \text{ [by applying } \delta(1_t \otimes a) = \mu_t(\alpha_t(a)) \text{]} \\ &= \mu_{s+x}(\alpha_s(a)). \end{aligned}$$

So $\beta_x \circ \delta = \delta \circ (\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1})_x$ is valid. Note that

$$(B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma \simeq \Delta((B_{\Gamma,\infty} \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma) = \mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma$$

follows by some routine computation on spanning elements using the equation (5.1). We skip it here. \square

Corollary 5.2. [3, Corollary 5.3] *Let $p = \bar{k}_{B_\Gamma \otimes A}(1_0 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}(A)}) \in \mathcal{M}((B_\Gamma \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma)$. We have $\bar{\Delta}(p) = q$, and therefore $A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ and the ideal J are isomorphic to the full corners $p[(B_\Gamma \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p$ and $p[(B_{\Gamma, \infty} \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p$ respectively.*

Proof. First note that since the homomorphism $j_{\mathcal{B}}$ is nondegenerate, so is the isomorphism Δ . Therefore Δ extends to an isometry of multiplier algebras. Now let $\{a_i\}$ be an approximate identity in A . By using the equation (5.1), we have

$$\Delta(k_{B_\Gamma \otimes A}(1_0 \otimes a_i)) = j_{\mathcal{B}}(\delta(1_0 \otimes a_i)) = j_{\mathcal{B}}(\mu_0(a_i)).$$

So, as $1_0 \otimes a_i \rightarrow 1_0 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}(A)}$ in $\mathcal{M}(B_\Gamma \otimes A)$ strictly, in the equation above, the left hand side approaches $\bar{\Delta}(\bar{k}_{B_\Gamma \otimes A}(1_0 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}(A)})) = \bar{\Delta}(p)$, while the right hand side approaches $\bar{j}_{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{\mu}_0(1)) = q$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)$ strictly. Thus we must have $\bar{\Delta}(p) = q$. Therefore, by Proposition 5.1, it follows that

$$p[(B_\Gamma \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p \simeq \Delta(p[(B_\Gamma \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p) = q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \Gamma)q,$$

and

$$p[(B_{\Gamma, \infty} \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p \simeq \Delta(p[(B_{\Gamma, \infty} \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p) = q(\mathcal{I} \times_\beta \Gamma)q,$$

which by Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, are isomorphic to $A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+$ and the ideal J respectively. Consequently,

$$A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \Gamma^+ \simeq p[(B_\Gamma \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p \quad \text{and} \quad J \simeq p[(B_{\Gamma, \infty} \otimes A) \times_{\tau \otimes \alpha^{-1}} \Gamma]p$$

via the isomorphism $\Delta^{-1} \circ \Psi$. \square

6. THE PARTIAL-ISOMETRIC CROSSED PRODUCTS BY A SINGLE ENDOMORPHISM

In this section we want to show that when $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, [3, Theorem 4.1] follows by our discussion here in §4. First of all, if $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, then the algebra \mathcal{B} associated to the system (A, \mathbb{N}, α) (generated by the single endomorphism $\alpha := \alpha_1$) is the subalgebra D of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ in [6, §1]. So it is precisely the subalgebra of all elements $\xi \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \|\xi(n)\| = 0$, and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\|\xi(n) - \alpha_{n-m}(\xi(m))\| < \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq m$ (see Lemma 3.1 and [6, Lemma 1.7]). Also \mathcal{I} is the ideal $C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A)$. This is because for every $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(\dots, 0, 0, 0, a, 0, 0, 0, \dots) = \mu_n(a) - \mu_{n+1}(\alpha(a)),$$

where a is the n th slot. Thus $C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A) \subset \mathcal{I}$. Conversely, for every $a \in A$ and $m < n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mu_m(a) - \mu_n(\alpha_{n-m}(a)) = (\dots, 0, 0, 0, a, \alpha(a), \alpha_2(a), \dots, \alpha_{n-m-1}(a), 0, 0, 0, \dots),$$

which obviously belongs to $C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A)$. This implies that $\mathcal{I} \subset C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A)$, and therefore $\mathcal{I} = C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A)$. Moreover, in this case, the kernel of the homomorphism σ in Lemma 3.3 is precisely $\mathcal{I} = C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ (see also [6, Lemma 1.7]).

Now, by Theorem 4.1, the partial-isometric crossed product $A \times_\alpha^{\text{piso}} \mathbb{N}$ of (A, \mathbb{N}, α) is the full corner $q(\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \mathbb{Z})q$, and the ideal J is the full corner $q(C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A) \times_\beta \mathbb{Z})q$ by Lemma 4.2. Furthermore, the nondegenerate representation of $\rho \times U$ of $\mathcal{B} \times_\beta \mathbb{Z}$

associated to the covariant pair (ρ, U) of $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{Z}, \beta)$ in $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))$ (see §3), is faithful, which identifies $C_0(\mathbb{Z}, A) \times_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ with the algebra $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))$ of compact operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ (see [6, Theorem 1.8]). So it follows that $(\rho \times U) \circ \Psi$ maps the ideal J isomorphically on the full corner $\tilde{q}\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))\tilde{q}$ of $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))$, where $\tilde{q} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))) = \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))$ is the projection $\overline{\rho \circ \mu_0}(1)$ such that

$$(\tilde{q}(f))(n) = \begin{cases} \overline{\alpha}_n(1)f(n) & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n < 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A)$. But $\tilde{q}\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))\tilde{q}$ is indeed the full corner $p\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A))p$ of $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A))$, where $p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A))) = \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A))$ is the projection in [3, Theorem 4.1] given by $(p(g))(m) = \overline{\alpha}_m(1)g(m)$ for every $g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. To see this, let $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the usual orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ ($\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the one for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ accordingly). As $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))$ is spanned by elements (compact operators) $\{\Theta_{e_n \otimes a, e_m \otimes b} : a, b \in A, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))\tilde{q} &= \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{q}(\Theta_{e_n \otimes a, e_m \otimes b})\tilde{q} : a, b \in A, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{\Theta_{\tilde{q}(e_n \otimes a), \tilde{q}(e_m \otimes b)} : a, b \in A, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

But if $n < 0$ or $m < 0$, then $\tilde{q}(e_n \otimes a) = 0$ or $\tilde{q}(e_m \otimes b) = 0$, and therefore $\Theta_{\tilde{q}(e_n \otimes a), \tilde{q}(e_m \otimes b)} = 0$. So it follows that

$$\tilde{q}\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))\tilde{q} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\Theta_{\tilde{q}(e_n \otimes a), \tilde{q}(e_m \otimes b)} : a, b \in A, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Now, since $\tilde{q}(e_n \otimes a) = p(e_n \otimes a)$ for every $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, A))\tilde{q} &= \overline{\text{span}}\{\Theta_{p(e_n \otimes a), p(e_m \otimes b)} : a, b \in A, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{p(\Theta_{e_n \otimes a, e_m \otimes b})p : a, b \in A, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = p\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}, A))p. \end{aligned}$$

So this is actually a recovery of [3, Theorem 4.1].

Lemma 6.1. *Let α be a single injective (extendible) endomorphism of A . Then the algebra \mathcal{B} associated to the system (A, \mathbb{N}, α) is the direct limit of the direct system $(\mathcal{B}_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where for each n , $\mathcal{B}_n = B_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes A$, and $\varphi_n : \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ is defined by*

$$(\varphi_n(\xi))(i) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\xi(i)) & \text{if } i > n \\ \xi(i) & \text{if } i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

for every $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the map $\psi^n : \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$(\psi^n(\xi))(i) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{i-n}(\xi(i)) & \text{if } i \geq n \\ \xi(i) & \text{if } i < n, \end{cases}$$

for every $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We only verify that each $\psi^n(\xi)$ belongs to \mathcal{B} . Then it is not difficult to see that each ψ^n is indeed an embedding (injective $*$ -homomorphism) of \mathcal{B}_n in \mathcal{B} , as α is injective. Firstly, by viewing $\mathcal{B}_n = B_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes A$ as the subalgebra of $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}, A)$ consisting of all elements ξ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} \|\xi(i)\| = 0$, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi(i)\|$ exists, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $i \geq j > m$, $\|\xi(i) - \xi(j)\| < \varepsilon$. So

it follows that $\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} \|(\psi^n(\xi))(i)\| = \lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} \|\xi(i)\| = 0$, as $(\psi^n(\xi))(i) = \xi(i)$ for every $i < n$. Moreover if $k = \max\{n, m\} + 1$, then for every $i \geq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\psi^n(\xi))(i) - \alpha_{i-k}((\psi^n(\xi))(k))\| &= \|\alpha_{i-n}(\xi(i)) - \alpha_{i-k}(\alpha_{k-n}(\xi(k)))\| \\ &= \|\alpha_{i-n}(\xi(i)) - \alpha_{i-n}(\xi(k))\| \\ &= \|\alpha_{i-n}(\xi(i) - \xi(k))\| \\ &= \|\xi(i) - \xi(k)\| < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as $i \geq k > m$. Thus $\psi^n(\xi) \in \mathcal{B}$. Next we show that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\psi^{n+1} \circ \varphi_n = \psi^n$. Therefore if \mathcal{B}_∞ is the direct limit of the direct system $(\mathcal{B}_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, then by [5, Proposition 11.4.1(ii)], there is a (unique) $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{B}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\psi \circ \varphi^n = \psi^n$, where each φ^n is the canonical homomorphism (embedding) of \mathcal{B}_n into \mathcal{B}_∞ . For ever $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_n$, we have

$$\psi^{n+1}(\varphi_n(\xi))(i) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{i-(n+1)}(\varphi_n(\xi)(i)) & \text{if } i \geq n+1 \\ \varphi_n(\xi)(i) & \text{if } i < n+1. \end{cases}$$

So, for $i \geq n+1$,

$$\psi^{n+1}(\varphi_n(\xi))(i) = \alpha_{i-(n+1)}(\varphi_n(\xi)(i)) = \alpha_{i-n-1}(\alpha(\xi(i))) = \alpha_{i-n}(\xi(i)) = (\psi^n(\xi))(i).$$

If $i < n+1$, then

$$\psi^{n+1}(\varphi_n(\xi))(i) = \varphi_n(\xi)(i) = \xi(i) = (\psi^n(\xi))(i).$$

Thus we have $\psi^{n+1} \circ \varphi_n = \psi^n$. We claim that ψ is actually an isomorphism of \mathcal{B}_∞ onto \mathcal{B} . Since α is injective,

$$\|\psi(\varphi^n(\xi))\| = \|\psi^n(\xi)\| = \|\xi\| = \|\varphi^n(\xi)\|.$$

Therefore, since $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^n(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is a dense subalgebra of \mathcal{B}_∞ , ψ is an isometry. Finally, ψ is onto, as one can see that

$$\psi(\varphi^n(1_n \otimes a)) = \psi^n(1_n \otimes a) = \mu_n(a),$$

which is a spanning element of \mathcal{B} . Thus ψ is indeed an isomorphism of \mathcal{B}_∞ onto \mathcal{B} . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adji, *Invariant ideals of crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms*, Proc. Conference in Functional Analysis and Global Analysis in Manila, October 1996 (Springer, Singapore 1996), 1–8.
- [2] S. Adji, M. Laca, M. Nilsen and I. Raeburn, *Crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms and the Toeplitz algebras of ordered groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), no. 4, 1133–1141.
- [3] S. Adji, S. Zahmatkesh, *Partial-isometric crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms as full corners*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **96** (2014), 145–166.
- [4] S. Adji, S. Zahmatkesh, *The composition series of ideals of the partial-isometric crossed product by semigroup of endomorphisms*, J. Korean. Math. Soc. **52** (2015), no. 4, 869–889.
- [5] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, vol. II, Academic Press, New York.
- [6] M. Khoshkam and G. Skandalis, *Toeplitz algebra associated with endomorphisms and Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequences*, Pacific J. Math. **181** (1997), no. 2, 315–331.
- [7] M. Kusuda, *A characterization of ideals of C^* -algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull. **33** (1990), no. 4, 455–459.
- [8] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules: A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994.

- [9] W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, S. Zahmatkesh, *The primitive ideal space of the partial-isometric crossed product of a system by a single automorphism*, To appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math.
- [10] J. Lindiarni and I. Raeburn, *Partial-isometric crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms*, J. Operator Theory **52** (2004), 61–87.
- [11] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, *Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^* -Algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 60 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998).
- [12] P. J. Stacey, *Crossed Products of C^* -algebras by $*$ -endomorphisms*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. A **54** (1993), 204–212.
- [13] D. P. Williams, *Crossed Products of C^* -Algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 134 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF
TECHNOLOGY THONBURI, BANGKOK 10140, THAILAND

E-mail address: saeid.zk09@gmail.com, saeid.kom@kmutt.ac.th