

On spectral radii of unraveled balls

Zilin Jiang 姜子麟*

Abstract

Given a graph G , the unraveled ball of radius r centered at a vertex v is the ball of radius r centered at v in the universal cover of G . We prove a lower bound on the maximum spectral radius of unraveled balls of fixed radius, and we show, among other things, that if the average degree of G after deleting any ball of radius r is at least d then its second largest eigenvalue is at least $2\sqrt{d-1}\cos(\frac{\pi}{r+1})$.

1 Introduction

The well-known result of Alon and Boppana [Nil91] states that for every d -regular graph G containing two edges at distance $\geq 2r$, the second largest eigenvalue, denoted by $\lambda_2(G)$, of the adjacency matrix of G satisfies:

$$\lambda_2(G) \geq 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \sqrt{d-1} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Subsequently, Friedman [Fri93, Corollary 3.6] improved the above bound (see also [Nil04]): for every d -regular graph G with diameter $\geq 2r$,

$$\lambda_2(G) \geq 2 \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2r^2} + O(r^{-4})\right) \sqrt{d-1}.$$

All these proofs of the Alon–Boppana bound primarily relied on estimating the spectral radius of an induced subgraph on the vertices within certain distance from a given vertex or edge.

Definition 1. Given a graph G and a vertex v , a ball of radius r centered at v , denoted by $G(v, r)$, is the induced subgraph of G on the vertices within distance r from v .

The proof of Friedman uses the fact [Fri93, Lemma 3.3] that the spectral radius of $G(v, r)$ is at least the spectral radius of the d -regular tree of depth r . Note that the universal cover of a d -regular graph is the infinite d -regular tree. This motivates the following definition.

*Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. Email: zilin.jiang@mit.edu. The work was done when the author was a postdoctoral fellow at Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, and was supported in part by ISF grant nos 1162/15, 936/16.

Definition 2. Given a graph G , a walk (v_0, v_1, \dots) on G is non-backtracking if $v_i \neq v_{i+2}$ for all i . For every vertex v , define the tree $\tilde{G}(v, r)$ as follows: the vertex set consists of all the non-backtracking walks on G of length $\leq r$ starting at v , and two vertices are adjacent if one is a simple extension of another. In other words, $\tilde{G}(v, r)$ is the ball of radius r centered at v in the universal cover \tilde{G} of G .

We call $\tilde{G}(v, r)$ the *unraveled ball* of radius r centered at v , and we prove the following theorem on the spectral radii of unraveled balls. From now on, $\lambda_1(\cdot)$ denotes the spectral radius of a graph and $d(u)$ denotes the degree of u in G .

Theorem 1. *For any graph $G = (V, E)$ of minimum degree ≥ 2 and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a vertex $v \in V$ such that*

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{G}(v, r)) \geq \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{u \in V} d(u) \sqrt{d(u) - 1} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{r+2}\right).$$

After presenting the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 2, we show in Section 3 a cheap lower bound on the spectral radius of the universal cover of a graph. We proceed in Section 4 and 5 to describe additional applications including an improvement to a result of Hoory [Hoo05]. The final section briefly discusses a potential extension to weighted graphs and its connection to the normalized Laplacian.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof uses the old idea of constructing a test function by looking at non-backtracking walks (see e.g. [Chu16] and [ST18]). The innovation here is to weight the test function using the eigenvector of a path.

Proof of Theorem 1. Define W_i , for every $i \geq 1$, to be the set of all non-backtracking walks of length i on G . Specifically W_1 is the set of directed edges of G . Define the forest T as follows: the vertex set is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r+1} W_i$ and two vertices are adjacent if and only if one is a simple extension of the other. For every $e = (v_0, v_1) \in W_1$, denote by T_e the connected component of T containing e . If one identifies every vertex (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_i) in T_e , where $i \in [r+1]$, with the vertex (v_1, \dots, v_i) in $\tilde{G}(v_1, r)$, then T_e becomes a subgraph of $\tilde{G}(v_1, r)$. By the monotonicity of spectral radius, $\lambda_1(\tilde{G}(v_1, r)) \geq \lambda_1(T_e)$. Because $\lambda_1(T) = \max\{\lambda_1(T_e) : e \in W_1\}$, there exists a vertex $v \in V$ such that $\lambda_1(\tilde{G}(v, r)) \geq \lambda_1(T)$. Set $\lambda = 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{r+2})$. It suffices to prove

$$\lambda_1(T) \geq \lambda \cdot \sum_{u \in V} \frac{d(u)}{|W_1|} \sqrt{d(u) - 1}.$$

Consider the following time-homogeneous Markov chain on W_1 : the initial state E_1 is chosen uniformly at random from W_1 , and given the current state $E_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$, the next state E_{i+1} is chosen uniformly at random among $\{(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in W_1 : v_{i+1} \neq v_{i-1}\}$. Since the ending vertex of E_i is always identical to the starting vertex of E_{i+1} and the starting vertex of E_i is always distinct from

the ending vertex of E_{i+1} , we can join E_1, E_2, \dots, E_i together to form a non-backtracking walk on G of length i , which we denote by the random variables $Y_i = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_i)$.

Recall that $\lambda = 2\cos(\frac{\pi}{r+2})$ is the spectral radius of the path of length r . Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ be an eigenvector of the path associated with λ . By the Rayleigh principle, we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^{r+1} 2x_{i-1}x_i = \lambda \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} x_i^2 \quad (1)$$

Define the vector $f: \bigcup_{i=1}^{r+1} W_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(w) = x_i \sqrt{\Pr(Y_i = w)}$ for $w \in W_i$, and define the matrix A to be the adjacency matrix of the forest T . For $w = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_i)$, denote by $w^- = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})$. We observe that

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \sum_{w \in W_i} f(w)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \sum_{w \in W_i} x_i^2 \Pr(Y_i = w) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} x_i^2, \quad (2)$$

$$\langle f, Af \rangle = \sum_{i=2}^{r+1} \sum_{w \in W_i} 2f(w^-)f(w) = \sum_{i=2}^{r+1} 2x_{i-1}x_i \sum_{w \in W_i} \sqrt{\Pr(Y_{i-1} = w^-) \Pr(Y_i = w)}. \quad (3)$$

By the Markov property, for every $i \geq 2$ and $w = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_i) \in W_i$,

$$\frac{\Pr(Y_i = w)}{\Pr(Y_{i-1} = w^-)} = \Pr(E_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \mid E_{i-1} = (v_{i-2}, v_{i-1})) = \frac{1}{d(v_{i-1}) - 1}.$$

Thus the inner summation in the right hand side of (3) equals

$$\sum_{w=(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_i) \in W_i} \sqrt{d(v_{i-1}) - 1} \Pr(Y_i = w) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{d(X_{i-1}) - 1} \right] = \sum_{v \in V} \sqrt{d(v) - 1} \Pr(X_{i-1} = v). \quad (4)$$

Since the minimum degree of G is ≥ 2 , the Markov chain has no absorbing states. Moreover, one can easily check that the uniform distribution on W_1 is a stationary distribution of the Markov chain, that is, $\Pr(E_i = e) = 1/|W_1|$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $e \in W_1$. Thus $\Pr(X_{i-1} = v) = d(v)/|W_1|$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $v \in V$. Plugging this into (4), we can simplify (3) to

$$\langle f, Af \rangle = \sum_{i=2}^{r+1} 2x_{i-1}x_i \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{d(v)}{|W_1|} \sqrt{d(v) - 1}.$$

Finally we combine with (1) and (2), and the Rayleigh principle $\lambda_1(T) \geq \langle f, Af \rangle / \langle f, f \rangle$. \square

3 Spectral radius of the universal cover

Since $\tilde{G}(v, r)$ is an induced subgraph of \tilde{G} , the monotonicity of spectral radius implies immediately a lower bound on $\lambda_1(\tilde{G})$ by letting r go to infinity in Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *For any graph $G = (V, E)$ of minimum degree ≥ 2 , the spectral radius of its universal cover satisfies*

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{G}) \geq \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{u \in V} d(u) \sqrt{d(u) - 1}. \quad (5)$$

Remark 1. By the inequality of arithmetic and geometric means, the right hand side of (5) satisfies

$$\frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{u \in V} d(u) \sqrt{d(u) - 1} = 2 \cdot \frac{\sum_{u \in V} d(u) \sqrt{d(u) - 1}}{\sum_{u \in V} d(u)} \geq 2 \prod_{u \in V} \left(\sqrt{d(u) - 1} \right)^{\frac{d(u)}{\sum_{v \in V} d(v)}},$$

which recovers the lower bound on $\lambda_1(\tilde{G})$ in [Hoo05, Theorem 1].

4 Maximum spectral radius of balls

The following result, which is essentially due to Mohar [Moh10, Theorem 2.2], connects the spectral radii of a ball and its corresponding unraveled ball.

Lemma 3. *For every vertex v of a graph G and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_1(G(v, r)) \geq \lambda_1(\tilde{G}(v, r))$.*

To prove Lemma 3 we need the following simple fact. For the sake of completeness we include the short proof in Appendix A.

Lemma 4. *For every connected graph $G = (V, E)$ and every vertex $v \in V$, $\lambda_1(G) = \limsup \sqrt[k]{s_k(v)}$, where $s_k(v)$ is the number of closed walks of length k starting at v in G . In fact, $\lambda_1(G) = \lim \sqrt[2k]{s_{2k}(v)}$.*

Proof of Lemma 3. Recall that a vertex of $\tilde{G}(v, r)$ is a non-backtracking walk of length $\leq r$ starting at v . Denote the non-backtracking walk of length 0 starting at v by $w := (v)$. For every k , we naturally map a closed walk $w = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k = w$ of length k in $\tilde{G}(v, r)$ to a closed walk $v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k = v$ of length k in $G(v, r)$, where v_j is the terminal vertex of w_j for $j \in [k]$. One can show that this map is injective, and so the number of closed walks of length k starting at v in $G(v, r)$ is at least the number of closed walks of length k starting at w in $\tilde{G}(v, r)$. Lemma 4 thus implies that $\lambda_1(G(v, r)) \geq \lambda_1(\tilde{G}(v, r))$. \square

We shall combine Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 to provide a lower bound on the maximum spectral radius of balls in Lemma 6, which slightly strengthens [JP17, Lemma 12]. We need the following fact.

Theorem 5 (Theorem 2 of Collatz and Sinogowitz [CS57]; Theorem 3 of Lovász and Pelikán [LP73]). *If G is a tree of n vertices then $\lambda_1(P_n) \leq \lambda_1(G)$, where P_n is the path with n vertices.*

Lemma 6. *For any graph $G = (V, E)$ of average degree $d \geq 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $v \in V$ such that $\lambda_1(G(v, r)) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1} \cos(\frac{\pi}{r+2})$.*

Proof. If G has more than one connected component, we shall just prove for one of the connected components with average degree $\geq d$. Hereafter, we assume that G is connected.

Case $1 \leq d < 2$: For a connected graph, having an average degree < 2 is the same as being a tree. Pick any $v \in V$. If $G(v, r) = G$, then $\lambda_1(G(v, r)) = \lambda_1(G) \geq d = (d-1) + 1 \geq 2\sqrt{d-1}$. Otherwise $G(v, r)$ is a tree of $\geq r+1$ vertices, and $\lambda_1(G(v, r)) \geq \lambda_1(P_{r+1}) = 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{r+2})$ by Theorem 5.

Case $d \geq 2$: Since removing leaf vertices from a graph of average degree $d \geq 2$ cannot decrease its average degree, without loss of generality, we may assume that the minimum degree of G is ≥ 2 . By Lemma 3 and Theorem 1, there exists a vertex $v \in V$ such that

$$\lambda_1(G(v, r)) \geq \lambda_1(\tilde{G}(v, r)) \geq \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{u \in V} d(u) \sqrt{d(u) - 1} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{r+2}\right).$$

A straightforward calculation can verify that the function $x \mapsto x\sqrt{x-1}$ is convex for $x \geq 2$. It follows from the Jensen's inequality that the right hand side of the above is at least

$$\frac{1}{|E|} \cdot |V| d \sqrt{d-1} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{r+2}\right) = 2\sqrt{d-1} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{r+2}\right). \quad \square$$

5 Second largest eigenvalue

It is natural to generalize the Alon–Boppana bound to graphs that may not be regular. It is conceivable that for any sequence of graphs G_i with average degree $\geq d$ and growing diameter, $\liminf \lambda_2(G_i) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1}$. However, Hoory constructed in [Hoo05] a counterexample to such a statement. In his construction, the average degree drops drastically after deleting a ball of radius 1. Hoory then extended the Alon–Boppana bound to graphs that have a robust average degree.

Definition 3. A graph has an r -robust average degree $\geq d$ if the average degree of the graph is $\geq d$ after deleting any ball of radius r .

Theorem 7 (Theorem 3 of Hoory [Hoo05]). *Given a real number $d \geq 2$ and a natural number $r \geq 2$, for any graph G that has an r -robust average degree $\geq d$, its second largest eigenvalue in absolute value satisfies:*

$$\max\{\lambda_2(G), \lambda_{-1}(G)\} \geq 2 \left(1 - c \cdot \frac{\log r}{r}\right) \sqrt{d-1}, \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda_{-1}(G)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of G , and c is an absolute constant.

It is noticeable that Theorem 7 may not be optimal in comparison to the Alon–Boppana bound. The left hand side of (6) should be simply $\lambda_2(G)$, and inside the right hand side $c \cdot \frac{\log r}{r}$ could be improved to $c \cdot \frac{1}{r^2}$. We prove that this is indeed the case.

Theorem 8. *Given a real number $d \geq 1$ and a natural number $r \geq 1$, if a graph G has an r -robust average degree $\geq d$, then*

$$\lambda_2(G) \geq 2\sqrt{d-1} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{r+1}\right).$$

Proof. After deleting an arbitrary ball of radius r , as the average degree is $\geq d$, by Lemma 6 and the monotonicity of spectral radius, there is $v_1 \in V$ such that the spectral radius of $G_1 := G(v_1, r-1)$ is at least $2\sqrt{d-1} \cos(\frac{\pi}{r+1}) =: \lambda_*$. Let $G' = (V', E')$ be the graph after deleting the ball of radius r centered at v_1 from G . Repeating this argument, we can find $v_2 \in V'$ such that the spectral radius of

$G_2 := G'(v_2, r-1)$ is at least λ_* . For $i = 1, 2$, let A_i be the adjacency matrix of G_i and let f_i be the eigenvector of A_i associated with $\lambda_1(G_i)$.

Denote the adjacency matrix of G by A . Choose scalars c_1, c_2 , not all zero, such that the vector $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} c_1 f_1(u) & \text{if } u \in V(G_1); \\ c_2 f_2(u) & \text{if } u \in V(G_2); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is perpendicular to an eigenvector of A associated with $\lambda_1(G)$. If $u \in V(G_1)$, that is u is within distance $r-1$ from v_1 , and v is adjacent to u , then v is within distance r from v_1 , hence $v \notin V(G_2)$. In other words, $\{u, v\} \notin E$ for all $u \in V(G_1)$ and $v \in V(G_2)$. Thus we obtain a Rayleigh quotient from which $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_*$ follows:

$$\frac{\langle f, Af \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} = \frac{c_1^2 \langle f_1, A_1 f_1 \rangle + c_2^2 \langle f_2, A_2 f_2 \rangle}{c_1^2 \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle + c_2^2 \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle} = \frac{c_1^2 \lambda_1(G_1) \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle + c_2^2 \lambda_1(G_2) \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle}{c_1^2 \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle + c_2^2 \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle} \geq \lambda_*. \quad \square$$

6 Concluding remarks

The *normalized Laplacian* N of $G = (V, E)$ is defined by $N = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where D is the diagonal degree matrix with $D_{v,v} = d(v)$ for all $v \in V$ and A is the adjacency matrix. The second smallest eigenvalue of N , denoted by $\mu_2(G)$, is tightly connected to various expansion properties of G (see, for example, [Chu16, Section 2]).

In the context of the normalized Laplacian, the Alon–Boppana bound says that for a d -regular graph G with diameter $\geq 2r$, $\mu_2(G) \leq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{2r^2} + O(r^{-4})\right)$. Young [You11, Section 3] refuted the natural generalization by showing an infinite family of graphs G_1, G_2, \dots with common average degree d and growing diameter and some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mu_2(G_i) \geq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} + \varepsilon$ for all i . He also proved an upper bound of the form $\mu_2(G) \leq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d} \left(1 - c \cdot \frac{\ln k}{k}\right)$, where d is the average degree, \tilde{d} is the second order average degree and k is the normalized Laplacian eigenradius (see [You11, Theorem 6]). Recently Chung proved, under some technical assumptions on G , another upper bound of the form $\mu_2(G) \leq 1 - \sigma(G) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{k}\right)$, where $\sigma(G) := 2 \sum_{u \in V} d(u) \sqrt{d(u) - 1} / \sum_{u \in V} d(u)^2$ and k is the diameter of G (see [Chu16, Theorem 9]).

Observe that the matrix $D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in the definition of N can be seen as a graph G with the weight $d(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d(v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ assigned to each edge $\{u, v\}$. Moreover, the second largest eigenvalue of this weighted graph is equal to $1 - \mu_2(G)$. Based on these two observations, the author believes that the machinery developed in this paper can be generalized to weighted graph to provide a better upper bound on $\mu_2(G)$, possibly under fewer assumptions on the graph.

Acknowledgements

Some ideas of this paper have their origins in the recent joint work with Polyanskii on equiangular lines in Euclidean spaces (see [JP17, Lemma 12]). Besides, the author thanks the referees for many improvements in presentation.

References

- [Chu16] Fan Chung. A generalized Alon–Boppana bound and weak Ramanujan graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 23(3):Paper 3.4, 20, 2016.
- [CS57] Lothar Collatz and Ulrich Sinogowitz. Spektren endlicher Grafen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 21:63–77, 1957.
- [Fri93] Joel Friedman. Some geometric aspects of graphs and their eigenfunctions. *Duke Math. J.*, 69(3):487–525, 1993.
- [Hoo05] Shlomo Hoory. A lower bound on the spectral radius of the universal cover of a graph. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 93(1):33–43, 2005.
- [JP17] Zilin Jiang and Alexandr Polyanskii. Forbidden subgraphs for graphs of bounded spectral radius, with applications to equiangular lines. August 2017. [arXiv:1708.02317\[math.CO\]](#).
- [LP73] L. Lovász and J. Pelikán. On the eigenvalues of trees. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 3:175–182, 1973. Collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Alfréd Rényi, II.
- [Moh10] Bojan Mohar. A strengthening and a multipartite generalization of the Alon–Boppana–Serre theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(11):3899–3909, 2010.
- [Nil91] A. Nilli. On the second eigenvalue of a graph. *Discrete Math.*, 91(2):207–210, 1991.
- [Nil04] A. Nilli. Tight estimates for eigenvalues of regular graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 11(1):Note 9, 4, 2004.
- [ST18] Nikhil Srivastava and Luca Trevisan. An Alon–Boppana type bound for weighted graphs and lowerbounds for spectral sparsification. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 1306–1315. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2018. [arXiv:1707.06364\[cs.DM\]](#).
- [You11] Stephen Young. The weighted spectrum of the universal cover and an Alon–Boppana result for the normalized Laplacian. October 2011.

A Spectral radius and closed walks

Proof of Lemma 4. Let $v = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ be the vertices of G , and let $\lambda_1(G) = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix A of G . An elementary graph theoretic interpretation identifies the trace of A^k as the number of closed walks of length k in G . But a standard matrix result equates $\text{tr } A^k$ to the k th moment of A defined as $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k$. Thus, we have found the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k = \text{tr } A^k = \sum_{i=1}^n s_k(v_i) =: s_k. \quad (7)$$

Observe that s_k is always a natural number. We see from (7) that $\lambda_1 \geq |\lambda_i(G)|$ for all $i \in [n]$, hence

$$\lambda_1(G) = \limsup \sqrt[k]{s_k}. \quad (8)$$

For every $i \in [n]$, let k_i be the distance from v to v_i . By prepending the walk from v to v_i of length k_i and appending the reverse, we extend a closed walk of length k starting at v_i to one of length $k + 2k_i$ starting at v , and we obtain that $s_k(v_i) \leq s_{k+2k_i}(v)$. Similarly by appending a closed walk of length 2 starting at v , we extend a closed walk of length k starting at v to one of length $k + 2$, and we obtain that $s_k(v) \leq s_{k+2}(v)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$s_k(v) \leq s_k = \sum_{i=1}^n s_k(v_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n s_{k+2k_i}(v) \leq n \cdot s_{k+2k^*}(v),$$

where $k^* = \max \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$. In view of (8), we get that $\lambda_1(G) = \limsup \sqrt[k]{s_k(v)}$. Lastly, note that (8) can be made more precise as $\lambda_1(G) = \lim \sqrt[2k]{s_{2k}}$ to obtain $\lambda_1(G) = \lim \sqrt[2k]{s_{2k}(v)}$. \square