

LOW-LYING ZEROS OF QUADRATIC DIRICHLET L -FUNCTIONS: A TRANSITION IN THE RATIOS CONJECTURE

DANIEL FIORILLI, JAMES PARKS AND ANDERS SÖDERGREN

ABSTRACT. We study the 1-level density of low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions by applying the L -functions Ratios Conjecture. We observe a transition in the main term as was predicted by the Katz-Sarnak heuristic as well as in the lower order terms when the support of the Fourier transform of the corresponding test function reaches the point 1. Our results are consistent with those obtained in previous work under GRH and are furthermore analogous to results of Rudnick in the function field case.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study low-lying zeros in the family

$$\mathcal{F}^*(X) := \{L(s, \chi_{8d}) : 1 \leq |d| \leq X; d \text{ is odd and squarefree}\},$$

of Dirichlet L -functions. Here we define the real primitive character of conductor $8|d|$ by the Kronecker symbol $\chi_{8d}(n) := \left(\frac{8d}{n}\right)$. Low-lying zeros for quadratic Dirichlet L -functions have been studied extensively in the literature, see, for example, Özlük and Snyder [OS], Rubinstein [Rub], Gao [G], Entin, Roditty-Gershon and Rudnick [ERR], Miller [Mi], and the authors' previous paper [FPS2].

The L -functions Ratios Conjecture of Conrey, Farmer and Zirnbauer [CFZ, Section 5] is a recipe for obtaining conjectural formulas for averages of quotients of (products of) L -functions evaluated at certain values in the critical strip. This can in turn be used to give extremely precise predictions for a variety of statistics for families of L -functions. Our goal will be to investigate low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions using this tool. The present study complements the existing work done under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH), and gives further insights for a wide class of test functions that was previously out of reach. While this has already been carried out for $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ and many other families (see, e.g., [CS]), the novelty in our work is to isolate a sharp transition in the main and lower-order terms, which agrees with the prediction of Katz-Sarnak, Rudnick's work [Rud] over function fields and our previous results [FPS2].

Before we describe the new results, we first review and refine our previous work. We begin by introducing the 1-level density of the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$. Given a large positive number X , we set

$$L := \log \left(\frac{X}{2\pi e} \right)$$

and

$$W^*(X) := \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w \left(\frac{d}{X} \right),$$

where $w(t)$ is an even, nonzero and nonnegative Schwartz function and the star on the sum denotes a restriction to squarefree integers. We introduce the 1-level density of the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ as the functional

$$\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) := \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w \left(\frac{d}{X} \right) \sum_{\gamma_{8d}} \phi \left(\gamma_{8d} \frac{L}{2\pi} \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Here and throughout, ϕ will be a real and even Schwartz test function. Furthermore, we define $\gamma_{8d} := -i(\rho_{8d} - \frac{1}{2})$, where ρ_{8d} runs over the nontrivial zeros of $L(s, \chi_{8d})$ (i.e. zeros with $0 < \Re(\rho_{8d}) < 1$).

The Katz-Sarnak heuristic [KS] provides a precise prediction of the statistics of low-lying zeros in families of L -functions (see also the recent paper [SST]). In our situation the heuristic asserts that $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ has symplectic symmetry type and in particular that

$$\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = \widehat{\phi}(0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{\phi}(u) du \quad (1.2)$$

independently of the support of $\widehat{\phi}$. Moreover, note that there is a phase transition in the right-hand side of (1.2) occurring when the supremum σ of the support of $\widehat{\phi}$ reaches 1. This transition has a strong influence on the shape of the lower order terms in $\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X)$ (cf. [FPS2] and [Rud]) and will thus be of fundamental interest in the current paper.

The lower order terms in the 1-level density for the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ were recently studied under the assumption of GRH in [FPS2]. There we obtained an asymptotic formula for $\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X)$ in descending powers of $\log X$, which is valid when the support of $\widehat{\phi}$ is contained in $(-2, 2)$. In particular, we uncovered a phase transition when σ approaches 1 in the main term as well as in the lower order terms. This asymptotic formula (see [FPS2, Theorem 3.5]) contains a term $J(X)$ which is expressed in terms of explicit transforms of the weight function w . In the current paper we give an asymptotic for this complicated expression (see Section 2), and as a consequence the following result holds.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume GRH and suppose that $\sigma = \sup(\text{supp } \widehat{\phi}) < 2$. Then the 1-level density of low-lying zeros in the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions whose conductor is an odd squarefree multiple of 8 is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = & \widehat{\phi}(0) + \int_1^\infty \widehat{\phi}(u) du + \frac{\widehat{\phi}(0)}{L} \left(\log(2e^{1-\gamma}) + \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} \int_0^\infty w(x)(\log x) dx \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{L} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x/2} + e^{-3x/2}}{1 - e^{-2x}} \left(\widehat{\phi}(0) - \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \right) dx \\ & - \frac{2}{L} \sum_{\substack{p > 2 \\ j \geq 1}} \frac{\log p}{p^j} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{2j \log p}{L}\right) + J(X) + O_\varepsilon(X^{\frac{\sigma}{6} - \frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $J(X)$ is defined in (2.1) and satisfies the asymptotic relation¹

$$J(X) = \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(-\log(2^{\frac{7}{3}} e^{1+\gamma}) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) + O(L^{-2}). \quad (1.4)$$

Remark 1.2. Note that Theorem 1.1 is in agreement with the Katz-Sarnak heuristic (1.2) (cf. [FPS2, Section 3]). In fact, in [FPS2, Theorem 1.1] we show how to write (1.3) as

$$\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = \widehat{\phi}(0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{\phi}(u) du + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{R_{w,k}(\phi)}{(\log X)^k} + O_{w,\phi,K} \left(\frac{1}{(\log X)^{K+1}} \right),$$

where $R_{w,k}(\phi)$ are linear functionals in ϕ that can be given explicitly in terms of w and the derivatives of $\widehat{\phi}$ at the points 0 and 1. An analogous expression having a transition at the point 1 was obtained by Rudnick [Rud, Corollary 3] in the function field case.

In Conjecture 3.1, following the approach of [CS], we provide a Ratios Conjecture prediction for the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ with a power saving error term of size at most $O_\varepsilon(X^{-1/2+\varepsilon})$. In connection with

¹Here $\mathcal{M}w$ denotes the Mellin transform of w .

our investigation of the low-lying zeros in $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$, we use this conjecture to obtain the following asymptotic expression for $\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X)$ (see Section 3).

Theorem 1.3. *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let ϕ be an even Schwartz test function on \mathbb{R} whose Fourier transform has compact support. Assume GRH and Conjecture 3.1 (the Ratios Conjecture for $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$). Then the 1-level density for the low-lying zeros in the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = & \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'(1+2it)}{\zeta(1+2it)} + 2A_\alpha(it, it) + \log\left(\frac{8|d|}{\pi}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} - it}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} + it}{2}\right) - 2X_d \left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \zeta(1-2it) A(-it, it) \right) \phi\left(\frac{tL}{2\pi}\right) dt + O_\varepsilon(X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where A , A_α , \mathfrak{a} and X_d are defined by (3.8), (3.11), (3.4) and (3.10) respectively.

Given the apparent difference between the formulas for $\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X)$ in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3, it is an interesting question to ask whether the detailed information about the phase transition at $\sigma = 1$ occurring in (1.3) is also present in Theorem 1.3. Our main theorem answers this question in the affirmative and to the best of our knowledge this is the first comprehensive investigation of such a transition via a Ratios Conjecture calculation (see Section 4).

Theorem 1.4. *Let ϕ be an even Schwartz test function on \mathbb{R} whose Fourier transform has compact support. Assume GRH and Conjecture 3.1 (the Ratios Conjecture for $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$). Then the 1-level density for the low-lying zeros in the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$ can be written in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = & \hat{\phi}(0) + \int_1^\infty \hat{\phi}(u) du + \frac{\hat{\phi}(0)}{L} \left(\log(2e^{1-\gamma}) + \frac{2}{\hat{w}(0)} \int_0^\infty w(x)(\log x) dx \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{L} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x/2} + e^{-3x/2}}{1 - e^{-2x}} \left(\hat{\phi}(0) - \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \right) dx \\ & - \frac{2}{L} \sum_{\substack{p > 2 \\ j \geq 1}} \frac{\log p}{p^j} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2j \log p}{L}\right) \\ & + \frac{\hat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(-\log(2^{\frac{7}{3}} e^{1+\gamma}) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) + O(L^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for test functions ϕ with $\sigma = \sup(\text{supp } \hat{\phi}) < 2$, this formula agrees with Theorem 1.1 up to an error term of order $O(L^{-2})$.

Remark 1.5. The error term in Theorem 1.4 results from a Taylor expansion of the integrand in (4.5) in powers of $\frac{\tau}{L}$ with remainder $O(|\tau|^2 L^{-2})$. In principle, with a higher order Taylor expansion one can obtain an error term in Theorem 1.4 of size $O(L^{-k})$ for any $k \geq 2$ by the same methods. However, for conciseness, we choose not to pursue this here.

Remark 1.6. The first indications of a phase transition in a Ratios Conjecture calculation were given by Miller [Mi, Section 2.2]. However, the arguments in [Mi] contain several serious issues. In particular, the Katz-Sarnak main term was not computed correctly (cf. [Mi, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.6]).

From the results in [FPS2] and [Rud] it is not clear whether or not we can expect the first lower order term to have the same shape also for test functions of larger support of their Fourier transforms. One of the consequences of Theorem 1.4 is that under the assumption of the Ratios Conjecture no additional phase transitions occur when the support of the Fourier transform reaches points in the interval $[2, \infty)$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

As in [FPS2] we define

$$J(X) := \frac{1}{L} \int_0^\infty \left(\widehat{\phi}(1 + \frac{\tau}{L}) e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \sum_{n \geq 1} h_1(ne^{\frac{\tau}{2}}) + \widehat{\phi}(1 - \frac{\tau}{L}) \sum_{n \geq 1} h_2(ne^{\frac{\tau}{2}}) \right) d\tau, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$h_1(x) := \frac{3\zeta(2)}{\widehat{w}(0)} \sum_{\substack{s \geq 1 \\ s \text{ odd}}} \frac{\mu(s)}{s} (\widehat{g}(2sx) - \widehat{g}(sx)),$$

$$h_2(x) := \frac{3\zeta(2)}{\widehat{w}(0)} \sum_{\substack{s \geq 1 \\ s \text{ odd}}} \frac{\mu(s)}{s^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} g\left(\frac{x}{2s}\right) - g\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) \right),$$

and

$$g(y) := \widehat{w}(4\pi e y^2).$$

The formula (1.3) for $\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X)$ was obtained in [FPS2, Theorem 3.5]. Thus it remains to prove the nontrivial estimate (1.4) for $J(X)$.

Using Mellin inversion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x) &= \frac{3\zeta(2)}{\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{2})} \sum_{\substack{s \geq 1 \\ s \text{ odd}}} \frac{\mu(s)}{s^{1+z}} (2^{-z} - 1) \mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z) \frac{dz}{x^z} \\ &= \frac{3\zeta(2)}{\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{3}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z} - 1)}{(1 - 2^{-1-z})\zeta(1+z)} \mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z) \frac{dz}{x^z}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$h_2(x) = \frac{3\zeta(2)}{\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z-1} - 1)}{(1 - 2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}g(-z) x^z dz,$$

and shifting the contour of integration to the left we obtain

$$h_2(x) = \frac{3\zeta(2)}{\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-\frac{5}{4})} \frac{(2^{-z-1} - 1)}{(1 - 2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}g(-z) x^z dz.$$

Hence we write (2.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} J(X) &= \frac{3\zeta(2)}{L\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \left(\widehat{\phi}(1 + \frac{\tau}{L}) \int_{(\frac{3}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z} - 1)\zeta(z)}{(1 - 2^{-1-z})\zeta(1+z)} \mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z) \frac{dz}{e^{(z-1)\tau/2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \widehat{\phi}(1 - \frac{\tau}{L}) \int_{(-\frac{5}{4})} \frac{(2^{-z-1} - 1)\zeta(-z)}{(1 - 2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}g(-z) e^{z\tau/2} dz \right) d\tau \\ &= \frac{3\zeta(2)}{L\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{(\frac{3}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z} - 1)\zeta(z)}{(1 - 2^{-1-z})\zeta(1+z)} \mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z) \int_0^\infty \widehat{\phi}(1 + \frac{\tau}{L}) e^{-(z-1)\tau/2} d\tau dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{(-\frac{5}{4})} \frac{(2^{-z-1} - 1)\zeta(-z)}{(1 - 2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}g(-z) \int_0^\infty \widehat{\phi}(1 - \frac{\tau}{L}) e^{z\tau/2} d\tau dz \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Now we use the Taylor expansions of $\widehat{\phi}(1 + \frac{z}{L})$ and $\widehat{\phi}(1 - \frac{z}{L})$ in (2.2). From the constant terms in these expansions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3\zeta(2)}{L\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{2\widehat{\phi}(1)}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{(\frac{3}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z}-1)\zeta(z)}{(1-2^{-1-z})\zeta(1+z)} \mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z) \frac{dz}{z-1} - \int_{(-\frac{5}{4})} \frac{(2^{-z-1}-1)\zeta(-z)}{(1-2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}g(-z) \frac{dz}{z} \right) \\
&= \frac{3\zeta(2)}{L\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{2\widehat{\phi}(1)}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z-1}-1)\zeta(z+1)}{(1-2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z+1) \frac{dz}{z} - \int_{(-\frac{5}{4})} \frac{(2^{-z-1}-1)\zeta(-z)}{(1-2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}g(-z) \frac{dz}{z} \right) \\
&= \frac{3\zeta(2)}{L\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{2\widehat{\phi}(1)}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z-1}-1)\zeta(z+1)}{(1-2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z+1) \frac{dz}{z} - \int_{(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z-1}-1)\zeta(-z)}{(1-2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \mathcal{M}g(-z) \frac{dz}{z} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(\log(2^{\frac{2}{3}}\pi^2) + 2\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} \int_0^\infty (\log x)g'(x)dx \right) \\
&= \frac{3\zeta(2)}{L\widehat{w}(0)} \frac{2\widehat{\phi}(1)}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(2^{-z-1}-1)}{(1-2^{-2-z})\zeta(2+z)} \left(\zeta(z+1)\mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z+1) - \zeta(-z)\mathcal{M}g(-z) \right) \frac{dz}{z} \\
&\quad + \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(\log(2^{\frac{2}{3}}\pi^2) + 2\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} \int_0^\infty (\log x)g'(x)dx \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we note that

$$\zeta(z+1)\mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z+1) = \zeta(-z)\mathcal{M}g(-z). \quad (2.3)$$

Indeed, applying Plancherel's identity, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}\widehat{g}(z+1) &= \int_0^\infty x^z \widehat{g}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^z \widehat{g}(x) dx \\
&= -\frac{\sin(\pi z/2)\Gamma(z+1)}{(2\pi)^{z+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{z+1}} dx = -\frac{2\sin(\pi z/2)\Gamma(z+1)}{(2\pi)^{z+1}} \mathcal{M}g(-z),
\end{aligned}$$

where the Fourier transform of $|x|^z$ is in the sense of distributions (cf. [F, Exercise 8.7]). Hence (2.3) follows by an application of the functional equation of the zeta function. We conclude that

$$J(X) = \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(\log(2^{\frac{2}{3}}\pi^2) + 2\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} \int_0^\infty (\log x)g'(x)dx \right) + O(L^{-2})$$

(cf. the proof of [FPS2, Lemma 3.6]).

Now we consider the integral in the above formula. For small $\eta > 0$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty (\log x)g'(x)dx &= \int_0^\infty 8\pi e x (\log x) \widehat{w}'(4\pi e x^2) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \log\left(\frac{x}{4\pi e}\right) \widehat{w}'(x) dx \\
&= \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(4\pi e) + \frac{1}{2} \int_\eta^\infty (\log x) \widehat{w}'(x) dx + O(\eta \log(\eta^{-1})) \\
&= \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(4\pi e) + \frac{1}{2} \left[(\log x) \widehat{w}(x) \right]_\eta^\infty - \frac{1}{2} \int_\eta^\infty \frac{\widehat{w}(x)}{x} dx + O(\eta \log(\eta^{-1})) \\
&= \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(4\pi e) + \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(\eta^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \int_\eta^\infty \frac{\widehat{w}(x)}{x} dx + O(\eta \log(\eta^{-1})) \\
&= \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(4\pi e) - \frac{1}{2} \int_\eta^\infty \frac{\widehat{w}(x) - \widehat{w}(0)I_{[0,1]}(x)}{x} dx + O(\eta \log(\eta^{-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by taking the limit as η tends to zero and using [V, Example (e) on page 132], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (\log x) g'(x) dx &= \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(4\pi e) - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\widehat{w}(x) - \widehat{w}(0) I_{[-1,1]}(x)}{|x|} dx \\ &= \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(4\pi e) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma + \log |2\pi x|) w(x) dx \\ &= \frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(2^3 \pi^2 e^{1+\gamma}) + \int_0^\infty (\log x) w(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} J(X) &= \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(\log(2^{\frac{2}{3}} \pi^2) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} \left(\frac{\widehat{w}(0)}{2} \log(2^3 \pi^2 e^{1+\gamma}) + \int_0^\infty (\log x) w(x) dx \right) \right) + O(L^{-2}) \\ &= \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(-\log(2^{\frac{7}{3}} e^{1+\gamma}) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) + O(L^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

This verifies the identity (1.4) and thus completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. THE RATIOS CONJECTURE'S PREDICTION

3.1. The Ratios Conjecture. In this section we formulate an appropriate version of the Ratios Conjecture. Such a calculation was already performed by Conrey and Snaith [CS] in the family of L -functions associated to even real Dirichlet characters. For our purposes we need to derive an analogous conjecture with an additional smooth weight function for the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$.

To begin, we consider the sum

$$R(\alpha, \gamma) := \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_{8d}\right)}{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, \chi_{8d}\right)}. \quad (3.1)$$

For $L(s, \chi_{8d})$ we have

$$\frac{1}{L(s, \chi_{8d})} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) \chi_{8d}(n)}{n^s} \quad (3.2)$$

and the approximate functional equation

$$L(s, \chi_{8d}) = \sum_{n < x} \frac{\chi_{8d}(n)}{n^s} + \left(\frac{\pi}{8|d|}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mathfrak{a}-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mathfrak{a}+s}{2}\right)} \sum_{n < y} \frac{\chi_{8d}(n)}{n^{1-s}} + \text{Error}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $xy = 4|d|/\pi$ and

$$\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{a}(d) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \geq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } d < 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

We now follow the Ratios Conjecture recipe [CFZ] (see also the presentation in [CS]) and disregard the error term and complete the sums (i.e. replace x and y with infinity).

The first step is to replace the numerator of (3.1) with the approximate functional equation (3.3) (with the above modification) and the denominator of (3.1) with (3.2). We first focus on the principal sum from (3.3) evaluated at $s = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$, which gives the contribution

$$R_1(\alpha, \gamma) := \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \sum_{h,m} \frac{\mu(h) \chi_{8d}(hm)}{h^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} m^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} \quad (3.5)$$

to (3.1). The next step in the Ratios Conjecture procedure is to replace $\chi_{8d}(hm)$ in (3.5) with its weighted average over the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$. From [FPS2, Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3] we have that

$$\frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w \left(\frac{d}{X} \right) \chi_{8d}(hm) = \begin{cases} \prod_{p|hm} \left(\frac{p}{p+1} \right) + O_{h,m,\varepsilon}(X^{-\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}) & \text{if } hm = \text{odd } \square, \\ O_{h,m,\varepsilon}(X^{-\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Thus the main contribution to the sum in (3.5) occurs when hm is an odd square and following the recipe we disregard the non-square terms and the error terms. Hence (3.5) is replaced with

$$\tilde{R}_1(\alpha, \gamma) := \sum_{hm=\text{odd } \square} \frac{\mu(h)}{h^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} m^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}} \prod_{p|hm} \frac{p}{p+1}$$

and writing this as an Euler product gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_1(\alpha, \gamma) &= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{p}{p+1} \sum_{\substack{k,\ell>0 \\ k+\ell>0 \\ k+\ell \text{ even}}} \frac{\mu(p^k)}{p^{k(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma)+\ell(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha)}} \right) \\ &= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{p}{p+1} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{\ell(1+2\alpha)}} - \frac{1}{p^{1+\alpha+\gamma}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{\ell(1+2\alpha)}} \right) \right) \\ &= \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{p}{(p+1)(1-p^{-1-2\alpha})} \left(\frac{1}{p^{1+2\alpha}} - \frac{1}{p^{1+\alpha+\gamma}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the above Euler product we factor out zeta functions corresponding to the divergent parts of $\tilde{R}_1(\alpha, \gamma)$ (as $\alpha, \gamma \rightarrow 0$). This results in

$$\tilde{R}_1(\alpha, \gamma) = \frac{\zeta(1+2\alpha)}{\zeta(1+\alpha+\gamma)} A(\alpha, \gamma), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$A(\alpha, \gamma) := \left(\frac{2^{1+\alpha+\gamma} - 2^{\gamma-\alpha}}{2^{1+\alpha+\gamma} - 1} \right) \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+\alpha+\gamma}} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)p^{1+2\alpha}} - \frac{1}{(p+1)p^{\alpha+\gamma}} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Note that the product $A(\alpha, \gamma)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re(\alpha), \Re(\gamma) > -\frac{1}{4}$.

Next we consider the contribution of the dual sum coming from the approximate functional equation (the second sum in (3.3)) to (3.1), namely the sum

$$R_2(\alpha, \gamma) := \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w \left(\frac{d}{X} \right) X_d \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha \right) \sum_{h,m} \frac{\mu(h) \chi_{8d}(hm)}{h^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma} m^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$X_d(s) := \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+\mathfrak{a}-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\mathfrak{a}+s}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{\pi}{8|d|} \right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

As above we follow the Ratios Conjecture procedure and replace $\chi_{8d}(hm)$ in (3.9) with its weighted average over the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$. Using (3.6), we replace (3.9) with

$$\tilde{R}_2(\alpha, \gamma) := \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w \left(\frac{d}{X} \right) X_d \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha \right) \tilde{R}_1(-\alpha, \gamma).$$

Finally, using the formula (3.7) we state the Ratios Conjecture for our weighted family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions as:

Conjecture 3.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let w be an even and nonnegative Schwartz test function on \mathbb{R} which is not identically zero. Assume GRH and suppose that the complex numbers α and γ satisfy $|\Re(\alpha)| < \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{\log X} \ll \Re(\gamma) < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\Im(\alpha), \Im(\gamma) \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$. Then we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_{8d})}{L(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, \chi_{8d})} &= \frac{\zeta(1 + 2\alpha)}{\zeta(1 + \alpha + \gamma)} A(\alpha, \gamma) \\ &+ \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) X_d\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha\right) \frac{\zeta(1 - 2\alpha)}{\zeta(1 - \alpha + \gamma)} A(-\alpha, \gamma) + O_\varepsilon(X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where $A(\alpha, \gamma)$ is defined in (3.8) and $X_d(s)$ is defined in (3.10).²

In our calculation of the 1-level density (see Section 3.2), we require the average of the logarithmic derivative of the L -functions in $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$. We set

$$A_\alpha(r, r) := \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} A(\alpha, \gamma) \right|_{\alpha=\gamma=r}. \quad (3.11)$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let w be an even and nonnegative Schwartz test function on \mathbb{R} which is not identically zero. Suppose that $r \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{\log X} \ll \Re(r) < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\Im(r) \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$. Then, assuming GRH and Conjecture 3.1, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{L'(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_{8d})}{L(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_{8d})} &= \frac{\zeta'(1 + 2r)}{\zeta(1 + 2r)} + A_\alpha(r, r) \\ &- \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) X_d\left(\frac{1}{2} + r\right) \zeta(1 - 2r) A(-r, r) + O_\varepsilon(X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Observing that $A(r, r) = 1$, we get

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\zeta(1 + 2\alpha)}{\zeta(1 + \alpha + \gamma)} A(\alpha, \gamma) \right|_{\alpha=\gamma=r} = \frac{\zeta'(1 + 2r)}{\zeta(1 + 2r)} + A_\alpha(r, r)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) X_d\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha\right) \frac{\zeta(1 - 2\alpha)}{\zeta(1 - \alpha + \gamma)} A(-\alpha, \gamma) \right|_{\alpha=\gamma=r} \\ = - \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) X_d\left(\frac{1}{2} + r\right) \zeta(1 - 2r) A(-r, r), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the main term in (3.12). Finally, a straightforward argument using Cauchy's integral formula for derivatives shows that the error term remains the same under differentiation. \square

3.2. The Ratios Conjecture's prediction for the 1-level density. In this section we derive a first formulation of the Ratios Conjecture's prediction for the 1-level density of low-lying zeros in the family $\mathcal{F}^*(X)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We recall that

$$\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \sum_{\gamma_{8d}} \phi\left(\gamma_{8d} \frac{L}{2\pi}\right).$$

²The error term $O_\varepsilon(X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon})$ is part of the statement of the Ratios Conjecture. Note also that the extra conditions on α and γ , which were not used in the derivation of Conjecture 3.1, are included here as standard conditions under which conjectures produced by the Ratios Conjecture recipe are expected to hold.

Using the argument principle, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{(c)} - \int_{(1-c)} \right) \frac{L'(s, \chi_{8d})}{L(s, \chi_{8d})} \phi\left(\frac{-iL}{2\pi} \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) ds \quad (3.13)$$

with $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log X} < c < \frac{3}{4}$. For the integral in (3.13) on the line with real part $1 - c$, we make the change of variables $s \mapsto 1 - s$. Recalling that ϕ is even, we find that this integral equals

$$\frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \frac{L'(1-s, \chi_{8d})}{L(1-s, \chi_{8d})} \phi\left(\frac{-iL}{2\pi} \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) ds. \quad (3.14)$$

Next, applying the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, \chi_{8d}) := \left(\frac{8|d|}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(s+\mathfrak{a})} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\mathfrak{a}}{2}\right) L(s, \chi_{8d}) = \Lambda(1-s, \chi_{8d})$$

(cf. [D, Sect. 9]), together with (3.10), we obtain

$$\frac{L'(s, \chi_{8d})}{L(s, \chi_{8d})} = \frac{X'_d(s)}{X_d(s)} - \frac{L'(1-s, \chi_{8d})}{L(1-s, \chi_{8d})}. \quad (3.15)$$

Using (3.14) and (3.15) together with the change of variables $s = \frac{1}{2} + r$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c-\frac{1}{2})} \left(2 \frac{L'(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_{8d})}{L(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_{8d})} - \frac{X'_d(\frac{1}{2} + r)}{X_d(\frac{1}{2} + r)} \right) \phi\left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi}\right) dr. \quad (3.16)$$

Changing the order of summation and integration in (3.16), we substitute

$$\frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{L'(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_{8d})}{L(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_{8d})}$$

with the right-hand side of (3.12). Note that this substitution is valid only when $\Im(r) < X^{1-\varepsilon}$. However, since $\widehat{\phi}$ has compact support on \mathbb{R} the function $\phi\left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi}\right)$ is rapidly decaying as $|\Im(r)| \rightarrow \infty$. From this fact and the estimate [IK, Thm. 5.17] of the logarithmic derivative of Dirichlet L -functions, we can bound the tail of the integral in (3.16) by $O_\varepsilon(X^{-1+\varepsilon})$. Furthermore, using a similar argument to bound the tail of the integral in (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = & \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c-\frac{1}{2})} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'(1+2r)}{\zeta(1+2r)} + 2A_\alpha(r, r) - \frac{X'_d(\frac{1}{2} + r)}{X_d(\frac{1}{2} + r)} \right. \\ & \left. - 2X_d\left(\frac{1}{2} + r\right) \zeta(1-2r)A(-r, r) \right) \phi\left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi}\right) dr + O_\varepsilon(X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

The final step is to move the contour of integration from $\Re(r) = c - \frac{1}{2} = c'$ to $\Re(r) = 0$. Note that the function

$$2 \frac{\zeta'(1+2r)}{\zeta(1+2r)} + 2A_\alpha(r, r) - \frac{X'_d(\frac{1}{2} + r)}{X_d(\frac{1}{2} + r)} - 2X_d\left(\frac{1}{2} + r\right) \zeta(1-2r)A(-r, r)$$

is analytic in this region. Thus, by Cauchy's Theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) = & \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'(1+2it)}{\zeta(1+2it)} + 2A_\alpha(it, it) + \log\left(\frac{8|d|}{\pi}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a}-it}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a}+it}{2}\right) - 2X_d\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \zeta(1-2it)A(-it, it) \right) \phi\left(\frac{tL}{2\pi}\right) dt + O_\varepsilon(X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{X'_d(\frac{1}{2} + it)}{X_d(\frac{1}{2} + it)} = \log \left(\frac{\pi}{8|d|} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} - it}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} + it}{2} \right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

4. MAKING THE RATIOS CONJECTURE'S PREDICTION EXPLICIT

In this section we wish to compare the Ratios Conjecture's prediction for $\mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X)$ in Theorem 1.3 with the result in Theorem 1.1. We recall the prediction obtained in (3.17), which for $\frac{1}{\log X} < c' < \frac{1}{4}$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^*(\phi; X) &= \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w \left(\frac{d}{X} \right) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c')} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'(1+2r)}{\zeta(1+2r)} + 2A_\alpha(r, r) + \log \left(\frac{8|d|}{\pi} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} - r}{2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} + r}{2} \right) - 2X_d \left(\frac{1}{2} + r \right) \zeta(1-2r) A(-r, r) \right) \phi \left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi} \right) dr + O_\varepsilon(X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

To begin, we focus on the first two terms in (4.1). Recalling (3.8), we write

$$A(\alpha, \gamma) = \left(1 + \frac{2^{1+\alpha} - 2^{1+\gamma}}{3 \cdot 2^{1+2\alpha+\gamma} - 2^\gamma - 2^{1+\alpha}} \right) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+\alpha+\gamma}} \right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p+1)p^{1+2\alpha}} - \frac{1}{(p+1)p^{\alpha+\gamma}} \right).$$

Using the fact that $A(r, r) = 1$, we compute

$$A_\alpha(r, r) = \frac{2 \log 2}{3(2^{1+2r} - 1)} + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{(p+1)(p^{1+2r} - 1)}.$$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\frac{\zeta'(1+2r)}{\zeta(1+2r)} = - \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{1+2r} - 1}.$$

We now have the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose that $\frac{1}{\log X} < c' < \frac{1}{4}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c')} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'(1+2r)}{\zeta(1+2r)} + 2A_\alpha(r, r) \right) \phi \left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi} \right) dr = -\frac{2}{L} \sum_{\substack{p \geq 2 \\ j \geq 1}} \frac{\log p}{p^j} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2j \log p}{L} \right). \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.2. In [FPS2, Lemma 3.7], it was shown that the right-hand side of (4.2) is asymptotic to $-\phi(0)/2$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$. The Katz-Sarnak prediction (1.2) is obtained by combining this term with the main terms occurring in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.6.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c')} \left(2 \frac{\zeta'(1+2r)}{\zeta(1+2r)} + 2A_\alpha(r, r) \right) \phi \left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi} \right) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{(c')} \left(- \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{1+2r} - 1} + \frac{2 \log 2}{3(2^{1+2r} - 1)} + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{(p+1)(p^{1+2r} - 1)} \right) \phi \left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi} \right) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{(c')} \left(\frac{2 \log 2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{(1+2r)j}} - \sum_p \log p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{(1+2r)j}} + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{(p+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{(1+2r)j}} \right) \phi \left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi} \right) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{(c')} \left(\frac{2 \log 2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{(1+2r)j}} - \sum_p \frac{p \log p}{p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{(1+2r)j}} \right) \phi \left(\frac{iLr}{2\pi} \right) dr. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Making the substitution $u = -\frac{iLr}{2\pi}$, we have that (4.3) becomes

$$\frac{2}{L} \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \left(\frac{2 \log 2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\log 2)(\frac{4\pi i u j}{L})}}{2^j} - \sum_p \frac{p \log p}{p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(\log p)(\frac{4\pi i u j}{L})}}{p^j} \right) \phi(u) du, \quad (4.4)$$

where \mathcal{C}' denotes the horizontal line $\Im(u) = -\frac{Lc'}{2\pi}$. We note that the summations inside the integral over \mathcal{C}' in (4.4) converge absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets. Thus we may interchange the order of integration and summation and (4.4) becomes

$$\frac{4 \log 2}{3L} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \phi(u) e^{-2\pi i u (\frac{2j \log 2}{L})} du - \frac{2}{L} \sum_p \frac{p \log p}{p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^j} \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \phi(u) e^{-2\pi i u (\frac{2j \log p}{L})} du.$$

Next, we move the contour of integration from \mathcal{C}' to the line $\Im(u) = 0$. Note that this is allowed since $\widehat{\phi}$ has compact support on \mathbb{R} and the entire function $\phi(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi}(x) e^{2\pi i x z} dx$ satisfies the inequality

$$|\phi(T + it)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi|T|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\phi}'(x)| \max(1, e^{xLc'}) dx,$$

uniformly for $-\frac{Lc'}{2\pi} \leq t \leq 0$, as $T \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Thus (4.3) equals

$$-\frac{2}{L} \sum_{p>2} \frac{p \log p}{p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^j} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{2j \log p}{L}\right),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

We now study the third, fourth and fifth terms in (4.1). For these terms we can shift the line of integration to the imaginary axis, since the integrands are analytic in this region. We now give estimates for these shifted integrals.

Lemma 4.3. *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(\frac{8|d|}{\pi}\right) \phi\left(\frac{tL}{2\pi}\right) dt \\ = \widehat{\phi}(0) + \frac{\widehat{\phi}(0)}{L} \left(\log(2^4 e) + \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} \int_0^{\infty} w(x) (\log x) dx \right) + O_{\varepsilon, w}(X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The result follows as in [FPS2, Lemma 2.4] (see also [FPS1, Lemma 2.8]). \square

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} - it}{2} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} + it}{2} \right) \right) \phi\left(\frac{tL}{2\pi}\right) dt \\ = \frac{\widehat{\phi}(0)}{L} \log(2^{-3} e^{-\gamma}) + \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x/2} + e^{-3x/2}}{1 - e^{-2x}} \left(\widehat{\phi}(0) - \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} - it}{2} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{a} + it}{2} \right) \right) \phi\left(\frac{tL}{2\pi}\right) dt \\ = \frac{1}{4L} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\pi i u}{L} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\pi i u}{L} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\pi i u}{L} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\pi i u}{L} \right) \right) \phi(u) du \\ = \left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) \right) \frac{\widehat{\phi}(0)}{2L} + \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x/2} + e^{-3x/2}}{1 - e^{-2x}} \left(\widehat{\phi}(0) - \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

from [MV, Lemma 12.14]. The result follows. \square

The next lemma is required to evaluate the last term in (4.1).

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and assume that $0 \leq \Re(r) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then we have the estimate*

$$\frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) |d|^{-r} = \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} X^{-r} \mathcal{M}w(1-r) + O_{\varepsilon, w}\left((|\Im(r)| + 1)^{\frac{13}{84} + \varepsilon} X^{-\frac{1}{2} - \Re(r) + \varepsilon}\right).$$

Proof. We write the sum we are interested in as the Mellin integral

$$S := \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) |d|^{-r} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{2^{s+r+1}}{2^{s+r} + 1} \frac{\zeta(s+r)}{\zeta(2(s+r))} X^s \mathcal{M}w(s) ds.$$

We pull the contour of integration to the line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2} - \Re(r) + \varepsilon$. Then we have a contribution from the simple pole at $s = 1 - r$. Note that the restriction on $\Re(r)$ ensures that we do not encounter the potential pole of $\mathcal{M}w(s)$ at $s = 0$. Hence, by the rapid decay of $\mathcal{M}w$ on vertical lines, Bourgain's subconvexity bound [B, Theorem 5] on $|\zeta(s+r)|$ and the boundedness of $|\zeta(2(s+r))^{-1}|$, we have that

$$S = \frac{4}{3\zeta(2)} X^{1-r} \mathcal{M}w(1-r) + O_{\varepsilon, w}\left((|\Im(r)| + 1)^{\frac{13}{84} + \varepsilon} X^{\frac{1}{2} - \Re(r) + \varepsilon}\right).$$

Similarly as in [FPS1, Lemma 2.10], it can be shown that

$$W^*(X) = \frac{2}{3\zeta(2)} X \widehat{w}(0) + O_{\varepsilon, w}(X^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}).$$

We complete the proof by combining the last two estimates. \square

We now give an asymptotic formula for the last term in (4.1). By a straightforward computation and by recalling the definitions of X_d and A , we apply the substitution $r = 2\pi i\tau/L$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I := \frac{-\zeta(2)}{L} \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\pi i\tau}{L}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\pi i\tau}{L}\right)} + \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\pi i\tau}{L}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\pi i\tau}{L}\right)} \right) \left(\frac{\pi}{8} \right)^{\frac{2\pi i\tau}{L}} \left(1 + \frac{2 - 2^{\frac{4\pi i\tau}{L} + 1}}{4 - 2^{\frac{4\pi i\tau}{L}}} \right) \frac{\zeta\left(1 - \frac{4\pi i\tau}{L}\right)}{\zeta\left(2 - \frac{4\pi i\tau}{L}\right)} \phi(\tau) \\ \times \frac{1}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) |d|^{-\frac{2\pi i\tau}{L}} d\tau, \quad (4.5) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C}' again denotes the horizontal line $\Im(\tau) = -\frac{Lc'}{2\pi}$.

Lemma 4.6. *We have the asymptotic formula*

$$I = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{\phi}(\tau) d\tau + \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(-\gamma - 1 - \log\left(2^{\frac{7}{3}}\right) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) + O(L^{-2}).$$

Proof. Let $\eta > 0$ be small. We first change the contour of integration in I to the path

$$C := C_0 \cup C_1 \cup C_2,$$

where

$$C_0 := \{\Im(\tau) = 0, |\Re(\tau)| \geq L^\varepsilon\}, \quad C_1 := \{\Im(\tau) = 0, \eta \leq |\Re(\tau)| \leq L^\varepsilon\}, \quad C_2 := \{|\tau| = \eta, \Im(\tau) \leq 0\}.$$

For the part of the integral I over C_0 , we trivially bound the sum over d and use the rapid decay of ϕ to obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll_\varepsilon \frac{1}{L} \int_{C_0} \max(\log(|\tau| + 2), L|\tau|^{-1}) (|\tau| + 2)^{-3/\varepsilon^2} d\tau \\ &\ll \int_{L^\varepsilon}^L \log(|\tau| + 2) |\tau|^{-1} (|\tau| + 2)^{-3/\varepsilon^2} d\tau + L^{-2/\varepsilon^2} \\ &\ll L^{-3/\varepsilon} (\log L)^2 + L^{-2/\varepsilon^2} \ll L^{-2/\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

On $C_1 \cup C_2$, we use Taylor expansions for each factor in the integrand of I , except the last in which we apply Lemma 4.5. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\zeta(2)}{L} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\pi i \tau}{L})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\pi i \tau}{L})} + \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\pi i \tau}{L})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\pi i \tau}{L})} \right) \left(\frac{\pi}{8} \right)^{\frac{2\pi i \tau}{L}} \left(1 + \frac{2 - 2^{\frac{4\pi i \tau}{L} + 1}}{4 - 2^{\frac{4\pi i \tau}{L}}} \right) \frac{\zeta(1 - \frac{4\pi i \tau}{L})}{\zeta(2 - \frac{4\pi i \tau}{L})} \frac{\phi(\tau)}{W^*(X)} \sum_{d \text{ odd}}^* w\left(\frac{d}{X}\right) |d|^{-\frac{2\pi i \tau}{L}} \\
& = -\frac{1}{L} \left(2 + (\gamma + \log 8) \frac{4\pi i \tau}{L} + O\left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{L^2}\right) \right) \left(1 + \frac{2\pi i \tau}{L} \log\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) + O\left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{L^2}\right) \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(1 + \left(2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{4}{3} \log 2 \right) \frac{2\pi i \tau}{L} + O\left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{L^2}\right) \right) \left(-\frac{L}{4\pi i \tau} + \gamma + O\left(\frac{|\tau|}{L}\right) \right) \phi(\tau) \\
& \quad \times \frac{2}{\widehat{w}(0)} \left(X^{-\frac{2\pi i \tau}{L}} \mathcal{M}w\left(1 - \frac{2\pi i \tau}{L}\right) + O_{\varepsilon, w} \left(\left(\frac{|\Im(i\tau)|}{L} + 1 \right)^{\frac{13}{84} + \varepsilon} X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right) \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi i \tau}{L} \left(-\gamma - \log\left(\frac{2^{\frac{4}{3}}}{\pi}\right) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} \right) + O\left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{L^2}\right) \right) \phi(\tau) e^{-2\pi i \tau} \\
& \quad \times \left(1 - \frac{2\pi i \tau \log(2\pi e)}{L} + O\left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{L^2}\right) \right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \frac{2\pi i \tau}{L} + O\left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{L^2}\right) \right) + O_{\varepsilon, w}(X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}) \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau} \left(1 + \frac{2\pi i \tau}{L} \left(-\gamma - 1 - \log\left(2^{\frac{7}{3}}\right) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) + O\left(\frac{|\tau|^2}{L^2}\right) \right) \phi(\tau) e^{-2\pi i \tau} + O_{\varepsilon, w}(X^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$I = I_1 + I_2 + O_w(L^{-2}),$$

where

$$I_1 := \int_{C_1 \cup C_2} \frac{1}{2\pi i \tau} \phi(\tau) e^{-2\pi i \tau} d\tau$$

and

$$I_2 := \frac{1}{L} \left(-\gamma - 1 - \log\left(2^{\frac{7}{3}}\right) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) \int_{C_1 \cup C_2} \phi(\tau) e^{-2\pi i \tau} d\tau.$$

For the second integral I_2 , by the rapid decay of ϕ on the real line and the holomorphy of the integrand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \frac{1}{L} \left(-\gamma - 1 - \log\left(2^{\frac{7}{3}}\right) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\tau) e^{-2\pi i \tau} d\tau + O_{\varepsilon}(L^{-2/\varepsilon}) \\
&= \frac{\widehat{\phi}(1)}{L} \left(-\gamma - 1 - \log\left(2^{\frac{7}{3}}\right) + 2 \frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{\mathcal{M}w'(1)}{\mathcal{M}w(1)} \right) + O_{\varepsilon}(L^{-2/\varepsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the first integral I_1 , we find that

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0 \cup C_1 \cup C_2} e^{-2\pi i \tau} \frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau + O_{\varepsilon}(L^{-2/\varepsilon}) = J_1 + J_2 + O_{\varepsilon}(L^{-2/\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$J_1 := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_0 \cup C_1 \cup C_2} \cos(2\pi \tau) \frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau$$

and

$$J_2 := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{C_0 \cup C_1 \cup C_2} \sin(2\pi \tau) \frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau.$$

We see that since the integrand in J_1 is odd, the part of the integral on $C_0 \cup C_1$ is zero. Hence

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_2} \cos(2\pi \tau) \frac{\phi(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau,$$

which by the residue theorem tends to $\phi(0)/2$ as η tends to zero. As for the integral J_2 , we apply Plancherel's identity. Since $\sin(2\pi\tau)/\tau$ is an entire function,

$$J_2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sin(2\pi\tau)}{2\pi\tau} \phi(\tau) d\tau = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{[-1,1]}(\tau) \widehat{\phi}(\tau) d\tau = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{\phi}(\tau) d\tau,$$

which coincides with the second term in the Katz-Sarnak prediction. Since all of our error terms are independent of η , we conclude the desired result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof follows by combining Lemmas 4.1, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.6. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Bruno Martin for helpful discussions. The first author was supported by an NSERC discovery grant. The third author was supported by a grant from the Swedish Research Council (grant 2016-03759).

REFERENCES

- [B] J. Bourgain, Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (2017), no. 1, 205–224.
- [CFZ] J. B. Conrey, D. W. Farmer, M. R. Zirnbauer, Autocorrelation of ratios of L -functions, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **2** (2008), no. 3, 593–636.
- [CS] J. B. Conrey, N. C. Snaith, Applications of the L -functions ratios conjectures, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **94** (2007), no. 3, 594–646.
- [D] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative number theory*, third edition, revised and with a preface by H. L. Montgomery, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **74**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [ERR] A. Entin, E. Roditty–Gershon, Z. Rudnick, Low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions, hyper-elliptic curves and random matrix theory, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23** (2013), no. 4, 1230–1261.
- [FPS1] D. Fiorilli, J. Parks, A. Södergren, Low-lying zeros of elliptic curve L -functions: Beyond the Ratios Conjecture, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **160** (2016), no. 2, 315–351.
- [FPS2] D. Fiorilli, J. Parks, A. Södergren, Low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions: Lower order terms for extended support, *Compositio Math.* **153** (2017), no. 6, 1196–1216.
- [F] F. G. Friedlander, *Introduction to the theory of distributions*, second edition, with additional material by M. Joshi, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [G] P. Gao, n -level density of the low-lying zeros of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, 2005.
- [IK] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [KS] N. M. Katz, P. Sarnak, Zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **36** (1999), no. 1, 1–26.
- [Mi] S. J. Miller, A symplectic test of the L -functions ratios conjecture, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2008, no. 3, Art. ID rnm146, 36 pp.
- [MV] H. L. Montgomery, R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **97**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [OS] A. E. Özlük, C. Snyder, On the distribution of the nontrivial zeros of quadratic L -functions close to the real axis, *Acta Arith.* **91** (1999), no. 3, 209–228.
- [Rub] M. Rubinstein, Low-lying zeros of L -functions and random matrix theory, *Duke Math. J.* **109** (2001), no. 1, 147–181.
- [Rud] Z. Rudnick, Traces of high powers of the Frobenius class in the hyperelliptic ensemble, *Acta Arith.* **143** (2010), no. 1, 81–99.
- [SST] P. Sarnak, S. W. Shin, N. Templier, Families of L -functions and their symmetry, *Proceedings of Simons Symposia, Families of Automorphic Forms and the Trace Formula*, Springer-Verlag (2016), 531–578.
- [V] V. S. Vladimirov, *Equations of mathematical physics*, translated from the Russian by Audrey Littlewood, Pure and Applied Mathematics **3**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1971.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE STATISTIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ D'OTTAWA,
 585 KING EDWARD, OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1N 6N5, CANADA
E-mail address: daniel.fiorilli@uottawa.ca

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA, ZAHLENTHEORIE UND DISKRETE MATHEMATIK,
LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY
Present address: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
LINDSTEDTSVÄGEN, SE-100 44 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `jparks@kth.se`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE UNIVERSITY
OF GOTHENBURG, SE-412 96 GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `andesod@chalmers.se`