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#### Abstract

A correlation function in the two-dimensional Liouville field theory satisfies a linear partial differential equation when it involves a degenerate field, due to the decoupling of the null descendant field. According to the Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa (AGT) correspondence, such a correlation function is related to the partition function of certain four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal quiver gauge theories in the $\Omega$-background at a special point in the parameter space. Using the nonperturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations, we show that the instanton partition function satisfies a differential equation resulting from the constraints of the gauge field configurations. We demonstrate that the third order differential equations satisfied by the correlation function in the Liouville field theory with the next-to-simplest degenerate field and by the gauge theory partition function with the corresponding constraint can be identified, thereby confirming the main assertion of the BPS/CFT correspondence.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Ever since the groundbreaking work of Seiberg and Witten [1, 2] on certain fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric gauge theories, there has been a continuous progress in constructing $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories and understanding their dynamics non-perturbatively. Although many impressive statements have already been made during the last few decades, we keep discovering new interesting results.

It has been a widely appreciated lesson that when we want to analyze a complicated system, it is useful to explore its deformations and study the dependence on the deformation parameters. This general lesson has been convincingly demonstrated in [3]. The four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric gauge theories were studied in the $\Omega$-background $\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}}^{4}$, with two parameters $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$. The deformed theory breaks the Poincare symmetry of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ in a rotationally covariant way and still preserves a combination of the deformed supersymmetry. Applying the localization technique, the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ and certain BPS observables have been computed exactly for a large class of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric gauge theories [3, 4]. At a generic point in the parameter space, the prepotential $\mathcal{F}_{\text {eff }}$ of the low-energy Wilsonian effective action of the theory on the Coulomb branch can be derived from the partition function $\mathcal{Z}$ in the limit $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \rightarrow 0$ [4, 5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{eff}}=\lim _{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \log \mathcal{Z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further investigation of the $\Omega$-background beyond the limit $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \rightarrow 0$ resulted in the proposal of a remarkable relation, the BPS/CFT correspondence, which identifies correlation functions of certain BPS observables with quantities in two-dimensional conformal field theories or deformations thereof.

After establishing the BPS/CFT correspondence, we expect to gain insights into fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric gauge theories using the knowledge of two-dimensional theories, and vice versa. One particular implementation of the BPS/CFT correspondence is the Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa (AGT) correspondence, which was first conjectured as a relationship between a class of superconformal $S U(2)$ quiver gauge theories and Liouville field theory [6], and was soon extended to a more general relationship between quiver gauge theories with other gauge groups and Toda field theories [7]. The four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal field theories considered in the AGT correspondence are obtained by the compactification of the six-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$ superconformal theory on a punctured

Riemann surface $\mathcal{C}$ [8]. When there is a weakly-coupled Lagrangian description of the theory, we can compute its partition function in the $\Omega$-background [3, 4]. It was discovered that the instanton part of the partition function $\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}$ can be identified with certain conformal blocks in the Liouville/Toda field theory, and the partition function on a (squashed) sphere $S_{b}^{4}[9,10]$, which is equal to the integral of the absolute value squared of the full partition function, can be identified with correlation functions in the Liouville/Toda field theory on the Riemann surface $\mathcal{C}$.

It is interesting to drop the genericity assumption for the parameters of the theory. In the two-dimensional conformal field theory, we can make one of the fields in the correlation function degenerate. Belavin, Polyakov and Zamolodchikov (BPZ) showed that the correlation function in the Liouville field theory that involves a degenerate field satisfies a linear partial differential equation as a result of the decoupling of the null descendant field [11, 12]. The order of the differential equation is the level of the null field in the corresponding degenerate representation. In the case of Toda field theories, similar differential equations has been derived for certain four-point correlation functions in [13, 14]. On the other hand, the gauge field configurations of the corresponding four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal quiver gauge theories are constrained, leading to a differential equation on the instanton partition function. To confirm the BPS/CFT correspondence, we should be able to identify the differential equations derived from both the conformal field theory side and the gauge theory side. This program has been investigated carefully in the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit [15] of the $\Omega$-background, which corresponds to the classical limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ of two-dimensional conformal field theories [16 25]. However, previous methods become less powerful when we would like to go beyond such limits.

In this paper, we shall follow the idea of [26] to provide a derivation of the differential equation using the non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger (NPDS) equations, which result from the fact that the path integral of the instanton partition function is invariant with respect to the transformations changing topological sectors of the field space. We review the result of [26] and study the case of $U(2)$ superconformal linear quiver gauge theories with the next-to-simplest constraint in this paper. The natural generalization to $U(N)$ superconformal linear quiver gauge theories will be discussed in a follow-up paper. Similar method has also been applied to the study of Bethe/gauge correspondence [15, 27, 28] in [29].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we recall some basic facts
about two-dimensional Liouville field theory and review the derivation of BPZ equations on the degenerate correlation functions. In Section III, we review the relevant details of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ quiver gauge theories in the $\Omega$-background. We summarize the result of the partition function, and review the NPDS equations. In Section IV, we study the superconformal gauge theory with gauge group $U(N)$. We show that the instanton partition function at the simplest nontrivial degenerate point in the parameter space is a (generalized) hypergeometric function. After working out this simple warm-up example, we consider the $U(2)$ superconformal linear quiver gauge theory in Section $\mathbb{V}$. We review the second order differential equation on the instanton partition function derived in [26] and derive the third order differential equation for the next-to-simplest case. We also identify the differential equations derived from both sides using the AGT dictionary. Finally, we conclude in Section $V 1$ and discuss possible directions for future work. In the Appendix A we review some standard material on the (generalized) hypergeometric function. In the Appendix $B$ we derive the partition function of the $U(1)$ factor using the NPDS equations.

## II. DEGENERATE CORRELATION FUNCTIONS IN THE LIOUVILLE FIELD THEORY

In this section, we recall some basic facts about two-dimensional Liouville field theory and present the derivation of the BPZ equations on the degenerate correlation functions.

## 1. Degenerate fields in the Liouville field theory

The two-dimensional Liouville conformal field theory is defined by the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {Liouville }}=\int d^{2} \sigma \sqrt{g}\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi} \partial_{a} \phi \partial^{a} \phi+\mu e^{2 b \phi}+\frac{Q}{4 \pi} R \phi\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the background charge $Q=b+b^{-1}$, and $R$ is the Ricci scalar of the Riemann surface. The symmetry algebra of the theory is two independent copies of the Virasoro algebra, with the central charge $c=1+6 Q^{2}$. In the following, we focus on the chiral part, which is spanned by generators $L_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the central charge $c$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{m}, L_{n}\right]=(m-n) L_{m+n}+\frac{c}{12}\left(m^{3}-m\right) \delta_{m+n, 0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Virasoro algebra, a conformal primary field $V_{\Delta}$ with the conformal dimension $\Delta$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n>0} V_{\Delta}=0, \quad L_{0} V_{\Delta}=\Delta V_{\Delta} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The descendant fields are obtained by taking the linear combinations of the basis vectors $L_{-\vec{n}} V_{\Delta}=L_{-n_{1}} L_{-n_{2}} \cdots L_{-n_{l}} V_{\Delta}$, where $\vec{n}=\left\{1 \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq \cdots \leq n_{l}\right\}$. The conformal dimension of the basis vector $L_{-\vec{n}} V_{\Delta}$ is $\Delta+|\vec{n}|$, where the number $|\vec{n}|=\sum_{i=1}^{l} n_{i}$ is called the level of $L_{-\vec{n}} V_{\alpha}$.

A primary field $V_{\Delta}$ is called degenerate if it has a null descendant field $\tilde{V}=\sum_{\vec{n}} C_{\vec{n}} L_{-\vec{n}} V_{\Delta} \neq$ $V_{\Delta}$, such that $L_{n} \tilde{V}=0$ for $n>0$. If the null field is at the level one, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}\left(L_{-1} V_{\Delta}\right)=0, \quad n>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is automatically true for $n \geq 2$, and for $n=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=L_{1} L_{-1} V_{\Delta}=2 L_{0} V_{\Delta}=2 \Delta V_{\Delta} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the field $V_{\Delta}=1$ with zero conformal dimension. If the level-two descendant field $\tilde{V}=\left(C_{1,1} L_{-1}^{2}+C_{2} L_{-2}\right) V_{\Delta}$ is null, then $L_{n} \tilde{V}=0$ for $n \geq 1$. The nontrivial constraints are

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=L_{1} \tilde{V}=\left((4 \Delta+2) C_{1,1}+3 C_{2}\right) L_{-1} V_{\Delta} \\
& 0=L_{2} \tilde{V}=\left(6 \Delta C_{1,1}+\left(4 \Delta+\frac{c}{2}\right) C_{2}\right) V_{\Delta} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
4 \Delta+2 & 3  \tag{8}\\
6 \Delta & 4 \Delta+\frac{c}{2}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

which gives two solutions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta_{(2,1)}=-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{4} b^{2}, & \tilde{V}_{(2,1)}=\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}} L_{-1}^{2}+L_{-2}\right) V_{\Delta_{(2,1)}} \\
\Delta_{(1,2)}=-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{4 b^{2}}, & \tilde{V}_{(1,2)}=\left(b^{2} L_{-1}^{2}+L_{-2}\right) V_{\Delta_{(1,2)}} \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

If the level-three descendant field $\tilde{V}=\left(C_{1,1,1} L_{-1}^{3}+C_{1,2} L_{-1} L_{-2}+C_{3} L_{-3}\right) V_{\Delta}$ is null, then $L_{n} \tilde{V}=0$ for $n \geq 1$. Since $L_{3}=\left[L_{2}, L_{1}\right]$, we only need

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=L_{1} \tilde{V}=\left((2 \Delta+4) C_{1,2}+4 C_{3}\right) L_{-2} V_{\Delta}+\left((6 \Delta+6) C_{1,1,1}+3 C_{1,2}\right) L_{-1}^{2} V_{\Delta} \\
& 0=L_{2} \tilde{V}=\left((6+18 \Delta) C_{1,1,1}+\left(4 \Delta+9+\frac{c}{2}\right) C_{1,2}+5 C_{3}\right) L_{-1} V_{\Delta} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 2 \Delta+4 & 4  \tag{12}\\
6 \Delta+6 & 3 & 0 \\
6+18 \Delta & 4 \Delta+9+\frac{c}{2} & 5
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

which gives two solutions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta_{(3,1)}=-1-2 b^{2}, & \tilde{V}_{(3,1)}=\left(\frac{1}{4 b^{2}} L_{-1}^{3}+L_{-1} L_{-2}+\left(b^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right) L_{-3}\right) V_{\Delta_{(3,1)}} \\
\Delta_{(1,3)}=-1-\frac{2}{b^{2}}, & \tilde{V}_{(1,3)}=\left(\frac{b^{2}}{4} L_{-1}^{3}+L_{-1} L_{-2}+\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right) L_{-3}\right) V_{\Delta_{(1,3)}} \tag{14}
\end{array}
$$

Generally, the conformal dimension of a degenerate field can be read from the Kac determinant formula, and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{(m, n)}=\frac{Q^{2}-\left(m b+n b^{-1}\right)^{2}}{4}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the null vector being at the level $m n$.

## 2. BPZ equations

Now we are ready to derive the BPZ equations on the $(r+3)$-point correlation function of the conformal primary fields, with one of the primary fields being degenerate. In order to relate a correlation function involving Virasoro generators acting on a primary field with a correlation function of purely primary fields, we use the conformal Ward identities, which state that inserting the holomorphic energy-momentum tensor in a correlation function of primary fields yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T(z) \prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{i=-1}^{r+1}\left(\frac{1}{z-z_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\frac{\Delta_{i}}{\left(z-z_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simplest nontrivial example is the second order BPZ equation. We assume that $\Delta_{0}=\Delta_{(2,1)}$. The decoupling of the null descendant field (9) implies that the $(r+3)$-point correlation function satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{b^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{0}^{2}}+\sum_{i \neq 0}\left(\frac{1}{z_{0}-z_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\frac{\Delta_{i}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)\right]\left\langle V_{\Delta_{(2,1)}}\left(z_{0}\right) \prod_{i \neq 0} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the third order BPZ equation with $\Delta_{0}=\Delta_{(3,1)}$ can be derived from the decoupling of the null vector (13),

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {\left[\frac{1}{4 b^{2}} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial z_{0}^{3}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{0}} \sum_{i \neq 0}\left(\frac{1}{z_{0}-z_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\frac{\Delta_{i}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-\left(b^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{i \neq 0}\left(\frac{1}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\frac{2 \Delta_{i}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{3}}\right)\right]\left\langle V_{\Delta_{(3,1)}}\left(z_{0}\right) \prod_{i \neq 0} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

There are additional constraints on the correlation functions due to the global conformal symmetry. Using the holomorphy of the energy-momentum tensor at infinity, $T(z)=\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-4}\right)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce the global conformal Ward identities

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\sum_{i=-1}^{r+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}\right]\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle } & =0,  \tag{19}\\
{\left[\sum_{i=-1}^{r+1}\left(z_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\Delta_{i}\right)\right]\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle } & =0  \tag{20}\\
{\left[\sum_{i=-1}^{r+1}\left(z_{i}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+2 z_{i} \Delta_{i}\right)\right]\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle } & =0 . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

For our purpose, it is convenient to get rid of all the $\partial_{-1}$ and $\partial_{r+1}$ terms using (19) and (21),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{-1}}\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\alpha_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle & =\left[\sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{z_{i}^{2}-z_{r+1}^{2}}{z_{r+1}^{2}-z_{-1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\sum_{i=-1}^{r+1} \frac{2 z_{i} \Delta_{i}}{z_{r+1}^{2}-z_{-1}^{2}}\right]\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{r+1}}\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\alpha_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle & =\left[\sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{z_{i}^{2}-z_{-1}^{2}}{z_{-1}^{2}-z_{r+1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}+\sum_{i=-1}^{r+1} \frac{2 z_{i} \Delta_{i}}{z_{-1}^{2}-z_{r+1}^{2}}\right]\left\langle\prod_{i=-1}^{r+1} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

We then fix $z_{-1}=\infty$ and $z_{r+1}=0$, and the remaining global conformal Ward identity (20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left(\nabla_{i}+\Delta_{i}\right)-\Delta_{-1}+\Delta_{r+1}\right]\left\langle V_{\Delta_{-1}}(\infty) \prod_{i=0}^{r} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) V_{\Delta_{r+1}}(0)\right\rangle=0 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us decouple a prefactor from the correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle V_{\Delta_{-1}}(\infty) V_{\Delta_{(m, n)}}\left(z_{0}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) V_{\Delta_{r+1}}(0)\right\rangle=\left[\left(\prod_{i=0}^{r} z_{i}^{L_{i}}\right) \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(1-\frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}\right)^{T_{i j}}\right] \chi_{r+3}^{(m, n)}(\boldsymbol{z}), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{r+3}^{(m, n)}(\boldsymbol{z})$ only depends on the ratios of $z_{i}, i=0, \cdots, r$. The identity (23) is satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left(L_{i}+\Delta_{i}\right)-\Delta_{-1}+\Delta_{r+1}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[z_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}},\left(\prod_{i=0}^{r} z_{i}^{L_{i}}\right) \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(1-\frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}\right)^{T_{i j}}\right]=} & \left(L_{i}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} T_{i j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} T_{j i} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{i=0}^{r} z_{i}^{L_{i}}\right) \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(1-\frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}\right)^{T_{i j}}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

the second order BPZ equation (17) can be express in terms of $\chi_{r+3}^{(m, n)}(\boldsymbol{z})$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {\left[\frac{1}{b^{2}}\left(\nabla_{0}+L_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{0 j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{0}-z_{j}}\right)^{2}-\left(1+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right)\left(\nabla_{0}+L_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{0 j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{0}-z_{j}}\right)\right.} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{0}}{z_{0}-z_{i}}\left(\nabla_{i}+L_{i}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} T_{i j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} T_{j i} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{0}^{2} \Delta_{i}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}}+\Delta_{r+1}\right] \chi_{r+3}^{(2,1)}(\boldsymbol{z}), \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

and the third order BPZ equation (18) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {\left[\frac{1}{4 b^{2}}\left(\nabla_{0}+L_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{0 j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{0}-z_{j}}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{3}{4 b^{2}}+1\right)\left(\nabla_{0}+L_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{0 j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{0}-z_{j}}\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}+b^{2}+\frac{3}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{0}^{2} \Delta_{i}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}}+\Delta_{r+1}\right)\left(\nabla_{0}+L_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{0 j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{0}-z_{j}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{0}}{z_{0}-z_{i}}\left(\nabla_{0}+L_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} T_{0 j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{0}-z_{j}}\right)\left(\nabla_{i}+L_{i}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} T_{i j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} T_{j i} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\right) \\
& -\left(b^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{0}\left(2 z_{0}-z_{i}\right)}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}}\left(\nabla_{i}+L_{i}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} T_{i j} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} T_{j i} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(2 b^{2}+1\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{0}^{3} \Delta_{i}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{3}}+\Delta_{r+1}\right)\right] \chi_{r+3}^{(3,1)}(\boldsymbol{z}), \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}=z_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should determine $L_{i}$ and $T_{i j}$ when we identify the BPZ equations with the differential equations derived in the corresponding gauge theories.

## III. FOUR-DIMENSIONAL $\mathcal{N}=2$ QUIVER GAUGE THEORY IN THE $\Omega$-BACKGROUND

In this section, we review some useful results of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ quiver gauge theories in the $\Omega$-background. A detailed discussion can be found in [4, 23, 30].

## A. Partition function

Let us consider four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal linear quiver gauge theories with gauge group

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=U\left(N_{1}\right) \times U\left(N_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(N_{r}\right), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{1}=N_{2}=\cdots=N_{r}=N$. The vector superfield splits into a collection of vector multiplets for each gauge factor $U\left(N_{i}\right)$. The matter superfields consist of $r-1$ hypermultiplets transforming in the bifundamental representations $\left(\overline{\mathbf{N}}_{i}, \boldsymbol{N}_{i+1}\right)$, $N$ hypermultiplet transforming in the anti-fundamental representation of $U\left(N_{1}\right)$, and $N$ hypermultiplet transforming in the fundamental representation of $U\left(N_{r}\right)$. Here we denote by $\boldsymbol{N}_{i}$ the representation of $G$ in which the $i$ th factor acts in the defining $N$-dimensional representation, while all other factors act trivially. It is convenient to extend the quiver by including two frozen nodes $U\left(N_{0}\right)$ and $U\left(N_{r+1}\right)$ corresponding to the flavor symmetry $U(N) \times U(N)$. The Yang-Mills coupling constant $g_{i}$ and the theta-angle $\vartheta_{i}$ for the gauge factor $U\left(N_{i}\right)$ are combined into the complexified gauge couplings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i}=\frac{\vartheta_{i}}{2 \pi}+\mathrm{i} \frac{4 \pi}{g_{i}^{2}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, r . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}=\exp \left(2 \pi \mathrm{i} \tau_{i}\right)=\frac{z_{i}}{z_{i-1}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, r \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{0}=q_{r+1}=0$. Therefore, $z_{-1}=\infty, z_{r+1}=0$, and $z_{0}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}$ are defined up to an overall rescaling. The vacuum expectation values of the adjoint scalars in the vector multiplet for the gauge factor $U\left(N_{i}\right)$ are $a_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{i, 1}, \cdots, a_{i, N}\right)$. We also encode the masses of the (anti-)fundamental hypermultiplets in $a_{0}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{0,1}, \cdots, a_{0, N}\right)$ and $a_{r+1}=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{r+1,1}, \cdots, a_{r+1, N}\right)$. We denote the collection of Coulomb parameters as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{a}=\left\{a_{i, \alpha} \mid i=0, \cdots, r+1, \alpha=1, \cdots, N\right\} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partition function of the theory in the $\Omega$-background $\mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}}^{4}$ is given by a product of the classical, the one-loop, and the instanton contributions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{q} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)=\mathcal{Z}^{\text {classical }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{q} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \mathcal{Z}^{1 \text { loop }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{q} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote the collection of Coulomb parameters as $\boldsymbol{a}=\left\{a_{i, \alpha} \mid i=0, \cdots, r+1, \alpha=1, \cdots, N\right\}$, and the collection of coupling constants as $\boldsymbol{q}=\left\{q_{i} \mid i=1, \cdots, r\right\}$. The classical part of the partition function is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}^{\text {classical }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{q} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} q_{i}^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} a_{i, \alpha}^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the one-loop contribution to the partition function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}^{1-\mathrm{loop}}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)=\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{r} \prod_{\alpha, \beta} \Gamma_{2}\left(a_{i, \alpha}-a_{i+1, \beta}+\varepsilon \mid \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{\alpha<\beta} \Gamma_{2}\left(a_{i, \alpha}-a_{i, \beta}+\varepsilon_{1} \mid \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \Gamma_{2}\left(a_{i, \alpha}-a_{i, \beta}+\varepsilon_{2} \mid \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)}, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}$, and the Barnes double Gamma function $\Gamma_{2}\left(x \mid \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}\left(x \mid \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)=\exp \left\{\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=0}\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{t} t^{s} \frac{e^{-t x}}{\left(1-e^{-\varepsilon_{1} t}\right)\left(1-e^{-\varepsilon_{2} t}\right)}\right]\right\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The instanton part of the partition function is an equivariant integration on the instanton moduli space with respect to the maximal torus of the gauge group and the $S O(4)$ rotation. Applying the equivariant localization theorem, it is given by the fixed point formula as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{q} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)=\sum_{\boldsymbol{Y}} \mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{Y}} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum is over all fixed points of instanton configurations, which are labeled by the collections of Young diagrams,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{Y}=\left\{Y^{(i, \alpha)} \mid 0 \leq i \leq r+1, \alpha=1, \cdots, N\right\}, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y^{(0, \alpha)}=Y^{(r+1, \alpha)}=\emptyset$. Each Young diagram $Y$ is a finite collection of boxes $\square=$ $(u, v) \in Y$ arranged in left-justified rows, with the row lengths in non-increasing order. The total number of boxes in the Young diagram $Y$ is denoted by $|Y|$, and the number of boxes in each row gives a partition of $|Y|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\left(Y_{1} \geq Y_{2} \geq \cdots \geq Y_{\ell(Y)}>Y_{\ell(Y)+1}=\cdots=0\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the arm-length and leg-length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\square}^{Y}=Y_{u}-v, \quad L_{\square}^{Y}=Y_{v}^{T}-u \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define the content $c_{\square}$ of a box $\square=(u, v) \in Y$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\square}=\varepsilon_{1}(u-1)+\varepsilon_{2}(v-1) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weight $\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} q_{i}^{k_{i}}=\prod_{i=0}^{r} z_{i}^{k_{i}-k_{i+1}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i}$ is the instanton charge associated with the gauge factor $U\left(N_{i}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left|Y^{(i, \alpha)}\right| . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The measure $\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ is the product of factors corresponding to the field content of the theory,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)= & {\left[\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{Z}_{\text {vector }}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\vec{a}_{i}, \vec{Y}^{(i)} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right] } \\
& \times\left[\prod_{i=0}^{r} \mathcal{Z}_{\text {bifund }}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\vec{a}_{i}, \vec{Y}^{(i)}, \vec{a}_{i+1}, \vec{Y}^{(i+1)} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)\right] \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where we denote $\vec{a}_{i}=\left\{a_{i, \alpha} \mid \alpha=1, \cdots, N\right\}$ and $\vec{Y}^{(i)}=\left\{Y^{(i, \alpha)} \mid \alpha=1, \cdots, N\right\}$. The contribution from a bifundamental hypermultiplet is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Z}_{\text {bifund }}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\vec{a}, \vec{Y}, \vec{b}, \vec{W} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)= & \prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N} \prod_{\square \in Y^{(\alpha)}}\left[a_{\alpha}-b_{\beta}-\varepsilon_{1} L_{\square}^{W^{(\beta)}}+\varepsilon_{2}\left(A_{\square}^{Y^{(\alpha)}}+1\right)\right] \\
& \times \prod_{\square \in W^{(\beta)}}\left[a_{\alpha}-b_{\beta}+\varepsilon_{1}\left(L_{\square}^{Y^{(\alpha)}}+1\right)-\varepsilon_{2} A_{\square}^{W^{(\beta)}}\right] . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

If we set one collection of Young diagrams to be empty, we obtain the contributions from an (anti-)fundamental hypermultiplet,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Z}_{\text {bifund }}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\vec{a}_{0}, \emptyset, \vec{a}_{1}, \vec{Y}^{(1)} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) & =\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N} \prod_{\square \in Y^{(1, \beta)}}\left(a_{0, \alpha}-a_{1, \beta}-c_{\square}\right),  \tag{47}\\
\mathcal{Z}_{\text {bifund }}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\vec{a}_{r}, \vec{Y}^{(r)}, \vec{a}_{r+1}, \emptyset ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) & =\prod_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{N} \prod_{\square \in Y^{(r, \alpha)}}\left(a_{r, \alpha}-a_{r+1, \beta}+c_{\square}+\varepsilon\right) . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution of the vector multiplet can be written in terms of that of the bifundamental hypermultiplet as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{\text {vector }}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\vec{a}, \vec{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)=\mathcal{Z}_{\text {bifund }}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\vec{a}, \vec{Y}, \vec{a}, \vec{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. $y$-observables, qq-characters and NPDS equations

With the fixed point formula of instanton partition function (38), we can define the expectation value of certain BPS observables $\mathcal{O}$ in the $\Omega$-background as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{Y}} \mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{Y}} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \mathcal{O}[\boldsymbol{Y}] \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}[\boldsymbol{Y}]$ is the value of $\mathcal{O}$ evaluated at the instanton configuration labeled by $\boldsymbol{Y}$.
The standard local observables in the $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ are gauge-invariant polynomials of the scalar components of the vector multiplet, $\operatorname{Tr} \Phi_{i}^{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$. However, Poincare symmetry is broken in the $\Omega$-background, and the operators $\operatorname{Tr} \Phi_{i}^{n}(\boldsymbol{x})$ are invariant under the deformed supersymmetry of the $\Omega$-background only at $\boldsymbol{x}=0$, the fixed point of the rotation. The $y$-observable is constructed as the generating function of such operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}(x)=x^{N} \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n x^{n}} \operatorname{Tr} \Phi_{i}^{n}(0)\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, r . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Classically, $y_{i}(x)$ is equal to the characteristic polynomial of the scalar component in the vector multiplet of the $i$ th factor of the gauge group

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}(x)^{\text {classical }}=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(x-a_{i, \alpha}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x-\Phi_{i}(0)\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quantum mechanically, the $y$-observables receive corrections due to the mixing between the adjoint scalar and gluinos. The value of $y_{i}(x)$ evaluated at the instanton configuration labeled by $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[\left(x-a_{i, \alpha}\right) \prod_{\square \in Y^{(i, \alpha)}} \frac{\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}\right)\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}-\varepsilon\right)}\right], \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{c_{\square}}=a_{i, \alpha}+c_{\square}$ is the shifted content of the box $\square \in Y^{(i, \alpha)}$. For $i=0$ and $i=r+1$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}(x)=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(x-a_{0, \alpha}\right), \quad y_{r+1}(x)=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(x-a_{r+1, \alpha}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the $y$-observables, we can build an important class of gauge-invariant composite operators, the so-called qq-characters. Let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{i}(x)=\frac{y_{i+1}(x+\varepsilon)}{y_{i}(x)} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\ell$ th fundamental qq-characters $X_{\ell}(x)$ in linear quiver gauge theories can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\ell}(x)=\frac{y_{0}(x+\varepsilon(1-\ell))}{z_{0} z_{1} \cdots z_{\ell-1}} \sum_{\substack{I \subset[0, r] \\|I|=\ell}} \prod_{i \in I}\left[z_{i} \Xi_{i}\left(x+\varepsilon\left(h_{I}(i)+1-\ell\right)\right)\right], \quad \ell=0,1, \cdots, r+1 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[0, r]=\{0,1,2, \cdots, r\}$, and $h_{I}(i)$ is the number of elements in $I$ which is less than $i$. As demonstrated in [30], although the qq-characters $X_{\ell}(x)$ has singularities in finite $x$, its expectation value $\left\langle X_{\ell}(x)\right\rangle$ is a polynomial in $x$ of degree $N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle X_{\ell}(x)\right\rangle=T_{\ell}(x) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations are called NPDS equations, and contain nontrivial information of the instanton partition function of the theory. In particular, the $x^{-n}$ coefficient $X_{\ell}^{(-n)}$ of the large $x$ expansion of $\mathcal{X}_{\ell}(x)$ has zero expectation value when $n$ is a positive integer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle X_{\ell}^{(-n)}\right\rangle=0, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. Dictionary of AGT correspondence

It is useful to summarize the dictionary of AGT correspondence in order to make the paper self-contained. The main statement of the AGT correspondence is an identification between the $(r+3)$-point correlation function in the Liouville field theory with the partition function of superconformal quiver gauge theory with gauge group $S U(2)^{r}$.

Let us decompose the $U(2)$ gauge group into the $U(1)$ part and the $S U(2)$ part,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} a_{i, \alpha}, \quad a_{i, \alpha}^{\prime}=a_{i, \alpha}-\bar{a}_{i} . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the point of view of an $S U(2)$ linear quiver gauge theory, the masses of the antifundamental, fundamental and bifundamental hypermultiplets are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}_{\alpha}=a_{0, \alpha}-\bar{a}_{1}, \quad \mu_{\alpha}=a_{r+1, \alpha}-\bar{a}_{r}, \quad, \mu_{i, i+1}=\bar{a}_{i+1}-\bar{a}_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, r-1 . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we identify the Liouville parameter $b$ with the $\Omega$-deformation parameters $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{2}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and relate the conformal dimensions $\Delta_{i}$ with the Coulomb parameters $\boldsymbol{a}$ in the following way,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{-1} & =\frac{\varepsilon^{2}-\left(a_{0,1}-a_{0,2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}, \quad \Delta_{r+1}=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}-\left(a_{r+1,1}-a_{r+1,2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} \\
\Delta_{i} & =\frac{\left(\bar{a}_{i+1}-\bar{a}_{i}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{i}-\bar{a}_{i+1}+\varepsilon\right)}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}, \quad i=0, \cdots, r \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle V_{\Delta_{-1}}(\infty) \prod_{i=0}^{r} V_{\Delta_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right) V_{\Delta_{r+1}}(0)\right\rangle \\
= & f\left(\Delta_{-1}, \cdots, \Delta_{r+1}\right)\left|\left(z_{0}^{\Delta_{-1}-\Delta_{0}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} z_{i}^{-\Delta_{i}}\right)\left(z_{r}^{\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}-\Delta_{r}-\Delta_{r+1}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \int \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left[d a_{i}^{\prime}\right]\left|\frac{\mathcal{Z}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{z} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\mathcal{Z}^{U(1)}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{z} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)}\right|^{2} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where the prefactor $f\left(\Delta_{-1}, \cdots, \Delta_{r+1}\right)$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{z}$, and $\mathcal{Z}^{U(1)}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{z} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ is the $U(1)$ part of the partition function.

## D. Degenerate partition function

Up to this point we assumed that the Coulomb moduli $\boldsymbol{a}$ are generic. Then the instanton partition function (38) contains an infinite sum over collections of Young diagrams $\boldsymbol{Y}$. However, we can tune some of the parameters to special values so as to force some of $Y^{(i, \alpha)}$ to have a constrained shape [26]. For example, we can adjust

$$
a_{0, \alpha}= \begin{cases}a_{1,1}+(m-1) \varepsilon_{1}+(n-1) \varepsilon_{2}, & \alpha=1  \tag{64}\\ a_{1, \alpha}, & \alpha \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Since the measure of the instanton partition function contains a factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\square=(u, v) \in Y^{(1, \alpha)}}\left(a_{0, \alpha}-a_{1, \alpha}-\varepsilon_{1}(u-1)-\varepsilon_{2}(v-1)\right), \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

the contribution to the instanton partition function vanishes unless the Young diagrams $Y^{(1, \alpha)}=\emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq 1$, and $\square=(m, n) \notin Y^{(1,1)}$. Hence the number of Young diagrams we need to sum over reduces drastically. In particular, when $m>1$ and $n=1$, the Young diagram $Y^{(1,1)}$ can have at most $m-1$ rows. According to the AGT dictionary, (64) corresponds to a degenerate field with the conformal dimension $\Delta_{(m, n)}$.

## IV. SUPERCONFORMAL THEORY WITH GAUGE GROUP $U(N)$

In this section, we take a simple example to illustrate the basic idea of deriving the differential equation on the instanton partition function at a special point in the parameter space. We consider the $U(N)$ gauge theory with $N$ fundamental hypermultiplets and $N$ anti-fundamental hypermultiplets for general $N \geq 2$. At the degenerate point of parameter space,

$$
a_{0, \alpha}= \begin{cases}a_{1,1}+\varepsilon_{1}, & \alpha=1  \tag{66}\\ a_{1, \alpha}, & \alpha \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

the instanton partition function is only summed over the Young diagram $Y^{(1,1)}$ which has only one row,

Therefore, we can label the Young diagram $Y^{(1,1)}$ by the instanton charge $k_{1}$.
In this case, we face no obstruction in proving directly that the instanton partition function is a (generalized) hypergeometric function from the instanton partition function. The instanton partition function is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }} & =\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_{1}^{k_{1}}}{k_{1}!} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{2, \alpha}+\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)^{\overline{k_{1}}}}{\prod_{\alpha=2}^{N}\left(\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{0, \alpha}+\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)^{\overline{k_{1}}}}  \tag{68}\\
& ={ }_{N} F_{N-1}\left(\left(\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{2, \alpha}+\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)^{N} ;\left(\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{0, \alpha}+\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)_{\alpha=2}^{N} ; q_{1}\right), \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a (generalized) hypergeometric function, and satisfies the (generalized) hypergeometric differential equation (see the Appendix $A$ for details),

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & {\left[q_{1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(q_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}}+\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{2, \alpha}+\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-q_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} \prod_{\alpha=2}^{N}\left(q_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}}+\frac{a_{0,1}-a_{0, \alpha}+\varepsilon_{2}}{\varepsilon_{2}}-1\right)\right] \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }} . \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we would like to derive the above differential equation using the NPDS equations. There is only one fundamental qq-character in this theory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}(x)=y_{1}(x+\varepsilon)+q_{1} \frac{y_{0}(x) y_{2}(x+\varepsilon)}{y_{1}(x)} . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the degenerate point (66), the value $y_{1}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]$ simplifies

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}] & =\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(x-a_{1, \alpha}\right)\right]\left[\prod_{v=1}^{k_{1}} \frac{\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2}(v-1)-\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2} v\right)}{\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2}(v-1)\right)\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2} v-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}\right] \\
& =\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(x-a_{1, \alpha}\right)\right]\left[\frac{\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}\right)}{\left(x-a_{1,1}\right)\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)}\right] \\
& =\left[\left(x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right) \prod_{\alpha=2}^{N}\left(x-a_{1, \alpha}\right)\right]\left[\frac{x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}}{x-a_{1,1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}-\varepsilon_{1}}\right] \\
& =y_{0}(x) \frac{x-a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}}{x-a_{0,1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}} . \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, $\mathcal{X}_{1}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]= & y_{0}(x+\varepsilon)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{x+\varepsilon-a_{0,1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}}\right) \\
& +q_{1} y_{2}(x+\varepsilon)\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{x-a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}}\right) . \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

The $x^{-1}$ coefficient of the large $x$ expansion of $\mathcal{X}_{1}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{1}^{(-1)}[\boldsymbol{Y}]=\varepsilon_{1} \mathcal{y}_{0}\left(a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}\right)-q_{1} \varepsilon_{1} y_{2}\left(a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{2} k_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle k_{1}^{p}\right\rangle & =\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \vec{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\vec{Y}=\left\{Y^{(\alpha)}\right\}} q_{1}^{k_{1}} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \vec{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) k_{1}^{p} \\
& =\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \vec{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(q_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}}\right)^{p} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; q_{1} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right), \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

the equation $\left\langle X_{1}^{(-1)}\right\rangle=0$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left[\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{2} q_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}}-a_{0, \alpha}\right)-q_{1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{2} q_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}}+\varepsilon_{2}-a_{2, \alpha}\right)\right] \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

which coincides with the differential equation (70).

## V. SUPERCONFORMAL LINEAR QUIVER GAUGE THEORIES

In this section, we would like to derive the differential equation on the instanton partition function of the superconformal linear quiver gauge theory using the NPDS equations.

## A. Large $x$ expansion of fundamental $y$-observables

The first step is to compute the large $x$ expansion of the $y$-observables,

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{i}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}] & =x^{N} \exp \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \log \left(1-\frac{a_{i, \alpha}}{x}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \sum_{\square \in Y^{(i, \alpha)}} \frac{\left(1-\frac{\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon_{1}}{x}\right)\left(1-\frac{\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon_{2}}{x}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{\widehat{c_{\square}}}{x}\right)\left(1-\frac{\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon}{x}\right)}\right] \\
& =x^{N} \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{i, n}[\boldsymbol{Y}]}{n x^{n}}\right), \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{i, n}[\boldsymbol{Y}] & =\operatorname{Tr} \Phi_{i}^{n}(0)[\boldsymbol{Y}] \\
& =\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left\{a_{i, \alpha}^{n}+\sum_{\square \in Y^{(i, \alpha)}}\left[\left(\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{n}+\left(\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)^{n}-{\widehat{c_{\square}}}^{n}-\left(\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon\right)^{n}\right]\right\} . \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{i, 1}[\boldsymbol{Y}]=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} a_{i, \alpha}, \\
& C_{i, 2}[\boldsymbol{Y}]=\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} a_{i, \alpha}^{2}\right)-2 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} k_{i}, \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have the similar expression for $y_{i}(x+\varepsilon)[\boldsymbol{Y}]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{i}(x+\varepsilon)[\boldsymbol{Y}] & =\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(x-\left(a_{i, \alpha}-\varepsilon\right)\right) \prod_{\square \in Y^{(i, \alpha)}} \frac{\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}\right)\left(x-\widehat{c_{\square}}+\varepsilon\right)} \\
& =x^{N} \exp \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{i, n}^{\prime}[\boldsymbol{Y}]}{n x^{n}}\right), \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i, n}^{\prime}[\boldsymbol{Y}]=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left\{\left(a_{i, \alpha}-\varepsilon\right)^{n}+\sum_{\square \in Y^{(i, \alpha)}}\left[\left(\widehat{c_{\square}}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)^{n}+\left(\widehat{c_{\square}}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)^{n}-{\widehat{c_{\square}}}^{n}-\left(\widehat{c_{\square}}-\varepsilon\right)^{n}\right]\right\} . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain the large $x$ expansion of $\Xi_{i}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{i}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]=\frac{y_{i+1}(x+\varepsilon)[\boldsymbol{Y}]}{y_{i}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{i, n}[\boldsymbol{Y}]}{x^{n}} . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two terms of $\zeta_{i, n}$ are given explicitly as

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{i, 1} & =\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)} \\
\zeta_{i, 2} & =\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(2)}-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(k_{i}-k_{i+1}\right), \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)} & =\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(a_{i, \alpha}-a_{i+1, \alpha}+\varepsilon\right), \\
\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(2)} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[a_{i, \alpha}^{2}-\left(a_{i+1, \alpha}-\varepsilon\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Generating function of the fundamental qq-characters

After expanding the $y$-observables, we would like to calculate the large $x$ expansion of the qq-characters. In order to deal with all of the fundamental qq-characters at the same time, we introduce the generating function

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{r}(x ; t) & =y_{0}(x)^{-1} \Delta_{r}^{-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{r+1} z_{0} z_{1} \cdots z_{\ell-1} t^{\ell} X_{\ell}(x-\varepsilon(1-\ell)) \\
& =\Delta_{r}^{-1} \sum_{I \subset[0, r]}\left[\left(\prod_{i \in I} t z_{i}\right) \prod_{i \in I} \Xi_{i}\left(x+\varepsilon h_{I}(i)\right)\right] \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{r}=\sum_{I \subset[0, r]}\left(\prod_{i \in I} t z_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{r}\left(1+t z_{i}\right) . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we would like to sum over $I \subset[0, r]$ to obtain the large $x$ expansion of $\mathcal{G}_{r}(x ; t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{r}(x ; t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-n)}(t)}{x^{n}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=\frac{t z_{i}}{1+t z_{i}} . \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $r=0, \mathcal{G}_{0}(t)$ is given by a sum over $I=\emptyset$ and $I=\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{0}(x ; t)=\frac{1}{1+t z_{0}}+\frac{t z_{0}}{1+t z_{0}} \Xi_{0}(x)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_{0} \zeta_{0, n}}{x^{n}} . \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{0}^{(0)}(t)=1, \quad \mathcal{G}_{0}^{(-n)}(t)=u_{0} \zeta_{0, n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} . \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

For general $r \geq 1$, we can compute the value of the generating function (85) using the recurrence relation between $\mathcal{G}_{r}(x ; t)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{r-1}(x ; t)$. We divide the sum over $I \subset[0, r]$ into
two classes: $r \notin I$ and $r \in I$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{r}(x ; t)= & \frac{1}{1+t z_{r}} \Delta_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{I^{\prime} \subset[0, r-1]}\left[\left(\prod_{i \in I^{\prime}} t z_{i}\right) \prod_{i \in I^{\prime}} \Xi_{i}\left(x+\varepsilon h_{I^{\prime}}(i)\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{t z_{r}}{1+t z_{r}} \Delta_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{I^{\prime} \subset[0, r-1]}\left[\left(\prod_{i \in I^{\prime}} t z_{i}\right) \Xi_{r}\left(x+\varepsilon\left|I^{\prime}\right|\right) \prod_{i \in I^{\prime}} \Xi_{i}\left(x+\varepsilon h_{I^{\prime}}(i)\right)\right] \\
= & \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(x ; t)+u_{r} \Delta_{r-1}^{-1}\left(\Xi_{r}\left(x+\varepsilon t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)-1\right)\left(\Delta_{r-1} \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(x ; t)\right) \\
= & \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(x ; t)+u_{r} \Delta_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{r, n}}{\left(x+\varepsilon t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{n}}\left(\Delta_{r-1} \mathcal{G}_{r-1}(x ; t)\right) \\
= & \mathcal{G}_{0}(x ; t)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \Delta_{j-1}^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{j, n}}{\left(x+\varepsilon t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{n}}\left(\Delta_{j-1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}(x ; t)\right) . \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we obtain the recursive relations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(0)}(t)= & \mathcal{G}_{0}^{(0)}(t)=1  \tag{92}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-1)}(t)= & \sum_{j=0}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 1},  \tag{93}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-2)}(t)= & \sum_{j=0}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 2}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-1)}(t) \\
& -\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 1} \Delta_{j-1}^{-1} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_{j-1},  \tag{94}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-3)}(t)= & \sum_{j=0}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 3}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j}\left[\zeta_{j, 1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-2)}(t)+\zeta_{j, 2} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-1)}(t)\right] \\
& -\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \Delta_{j-1}^{-1}\left[\zeta_{j, 1} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Delta_{j-1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-1)}(t)\right)+2 \zeta_{j, 2} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_{j-1}\right] \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 1} \Delta_{j-1}^{-1}\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \Delta_{j-1},  \tag{95}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-4)}(t)= & \sum_{j=0}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 4}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j}\left[\zeta_{j, 1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-3)}(t)+\zeta_{j, 2} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-2)}(t)+\zeta_{j, 3} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-1)}(t)\right] \\
& -\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \Delta_{j-1}^{-1}\left[\zeta_{j, 1} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Delta_{j-1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-2)}(t)\right)+2 \zeta_{j, 2} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Delta_{j-1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-1)}(t)\right)+3 \zeta_{j, 3} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_{j-1}\right] \\
& +\varepsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \Delta_{j-1}^{-1}\left[\zeta_{j, 1}\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\left(\Delta_{j-1} \mathcal{G}_{j-1}^{(-1)}(t)\right)+3 \zeta_{j, 2}\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \Delta_{j-1}\right] \\
& -\varepsilon^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, 1} \Delta_{j-1}^{-1}\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{3} \Delta_{j-1} . \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

We further introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{r}\left[s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right] \equiv \sum_{0 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\ell} \leq r} \prod_{n=1}^{\ell}\left(u_{i_{n}} \zeta_{i_{n}, s_{n}}\right) \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right]$ is a sequence of non-negative integers, and we adopt the convention that $\zeta_{i, 0}=1$. We have the following useful relations from the definition

$$
\begin{align*}
t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_{r} & =\Delta_{r} U_{r}[0]  \tag{98}\\
t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\Delta_{r} U_{r}\left[s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right]\right) & =\Delta_{r}\left(\ell U_{r}\left[s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right]+U_{r}^{\oplus}\left[s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right]\right),  \tag{99}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{r} u_{j} \zeta_{j, m} U_{j-1}\left[s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right] & =U_{r}\left[s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{\ell}, m\right] \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{r}^{\oplus}\left[s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right] \equiv U_{r}\left[0, s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right]+U_{r}\left[s_{1}, 0, \cdots, s_{\ell}\right]+\cdots+U_{r}\left[s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\ell}, 0\right] \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{r}^{-1} t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_{r} & =U_{r}[0]  \tag{102}\\
\Delta_{r}^{-1}\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \Delta_{r} & =U_{r}[0]+2 U_{r}[0,0]  \tag{103}\\
\Delta_{r}^{-1}\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{3} \Delta_{r} & =U_{r}[0]+6 U_{r}[0,0]+6 U_{r}[0,0,0] \tag{104}
\end{align*}
$$

After solving the recurrence relations, the first few terms of $\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-n)}(t)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(0)}(t)= & 1  \tag{105}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-1)}(t)= & U_{r}[1]  \tag{106}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-2)}(t)= & U_{r}[2]+U_{r}[1,1]-\varepsilon U_{r}[0,1],  \tag{107}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-3)}(t)= & U_{r}[3]+U_{r}[2,1]+U_{r}[1,2]-\varepsilon\left(U_{r}[1,1]+2 U_{r}[0,2]\right)+\varepsilon^{2} U_{r}[0,1] \\
& +U_{r}[1,1,1]-\varepsilon\left(2 U_{r}[0,1,1]+U_{r}[1,0,1]\right)+2 \varepsilon^{2} U_{r}[0,0,1],  \tag{108}\\
\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-4)}(t)= & U_{r}[4]+U_{r}[1,3]+U_{r}[2,2]+U_{r}[3,1] \\
& -\varepsilon\left(U_{r}[2,1]+2 U_{r}[1,2]+3 U_{r}[0,3]\right)+\varepsilon^{2}\left(U_{r}[1,1]+U_{r}[0,2]\right)-\varepsilon^{3} U_{r}[0,1] \\
& +U_{r}[2,1,1]+U_{r}[1,2,1]+U_{r}[1,1,2] \\
& -\varepsilon\left(3 U_{r}[1,1,1]+3 U_{r}[0,2,1]+3 U_{r}[0,1,2]+2 U_{r}[1,0,2]+U_{r}[2,0,1]\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{2}\left(6 U_{r}[0,1,1]+3 U_{r}[1,0,1]+6 U_{r}[0,0,2]\right)-6 \varepsilon^{3} U_{r}[0,0,1] \\
& +U_{r}[1,1,1,1]-\varepsilon\left(3 U_{r}[0,1,1,1]+2 U_{r}[1,0,1,1]+U_{r}[1,1,0,1]\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{2}\left(6 U_{r}[0,0,1,1]+3 U_{r}[0,1,0,1]+2 U_{r}[1,0,0,1]\right)-6 \varepsilon^{3} U_{r}[0,0,0,1] \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, we are interested in the special case $N=2$, with $y_{0}(x)=x^{2}-$ $\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}\right) x+a_{0,1} a_{0,2}$. We can deduce from the NPDS equations (57) that $\left\langle\mathcal{y}_{0}(x) \mathcal{G}_{r}(x ; t)\right\rangle$ is a polynomial in $x$ for arbitrary $t$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-3)}(t)\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}\right)\left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-2)}(t)\right\rangle+a_{0,1} a_{0,2}\left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-1)}(t)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle U_{r}[3]\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}\right)\left\langle U_{r}[2]\right\rangle+a_{0,1} a_{0,2}\left\langle U_{r}[1]\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle U_{r}[2,1]\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{r}[1,2]\right\rangle-2 \varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[0,2]\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[1,1]\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[0,1]\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle U_{r}[1,1,1]\right\rangle-\varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[1,0,1]\right\rangle-2 \varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[0,1,1]\right\rangle+2 \varepsilon^{2}\left\langle U_{r}[0,0,1]\right\rangle, \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-4)}(t)\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}\right)\left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-3)}(t)\right\rangle+a_{0,1} a_{0,2}\left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-2)}(t)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle U_{r}[4]\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}\right)\left\langle U_{r}[3]\right\rangle+a_{0,1} a_{0,2}\left\langle U_{r}[2]\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle U_{r}[1,3]\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{r}[3,1]\right\rangle-3 \varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[0,3]\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{r}[2,2]\right\rangle \\
& -\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[2,1]\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+2 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[1,2]\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon+2 a_{0,1}+2 a_{0,2}\right)\left\langle U_{r}[0,2]\right\rangle \\
& +\left(a_{0,1}+\varepsilon\right)\left(a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[1,1]\right\rangle-\varepsilon\left(a_{0,1}+\varepsilon\right)\left(a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[0,1]\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle U_{r}[2,1,1]\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{r}[1,2,1]\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{r}[1,1,2]\right\rangle \\
& -\varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[2,0,1]\right\rangle-2 \varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[1,0,2]\right\rangle-3 \varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[0,2,1]\right\rangle-3 \varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[0,1,2]\right\rangle+6 \varepsilon^{2}\left\langle U_{r}[0,0,2]\right\rangle \\
& -\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+3 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[1,1,1]\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+3 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[1,0,1]\right\rangle \\
& +2 \varepsilon\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+3 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[0,1,1]\right\rangle-2 \varepsilon^{2}\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+3 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle U_{r}[0,0,1]\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle U_{r}[1,1,1,1]\right\rangle-\varepsilon\left(3\left\langle U_{r}[0,1,1,1]\right\rangle+2\left\langle U_{r}[1,0,1,1]\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{r}[1,1,0,1]\right\rangle\right) \\
& +\varepsilon^{2}\left(6\left\langle U_{r}[0,0,1,1]\right\rangle+3\left\langle U_{r}[0,1,0,1]\right\rangle+2\left\langle U_{r}[1,0,0,1]\right\rangle\right)-6 \varepsilon^{3}\left\langle U_{r}[0,0,0,1]\right\rangle . \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

By taking the residue of (110) at $t=-z_{i}^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\langle\zeta_{i, 3}\right\rangle+\left[-a_{0,1}-a_{0,2}+\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{j}-z_{i}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{j}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)+\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{j}-z_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{j}^{(1)}\right]\left\langle\zeta_{i, 2}\right\rangle+a_{0,1} a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{j}-z_{i}}\left[\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\left\langle\zeta_{j, 2}\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{j}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{j=i+1}^{r} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{j}-z_{i}}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle\zeta_{j, 2}\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{j}^{(1)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<i} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}}}{\left(z_{i_{1}}-z_{i}\right)\left(z_{i_{2}}-z_{i}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{i-1} \sum_{i_{2}=i+1}^{r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}}}{\left(z_{i_{1}}-z_{i}\right)\left(z_{i_{2}}-z_{i}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)} \\
& +\sum_{i<i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}}}{\left(z_{i_{1}}-z_{i}\right)\left(z_{i_{2}}-z_{i}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)} . \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, when $j=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\langle\zeta_{0,3}\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right)\left\langle\zeta_{0,2}\right\rangle+a_{0,1} a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle\zeta_{i, 2}\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1} z_{i_{2}}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)} . \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

We also need the equation obtained by taking residue of (111) at $t=-z_{0}^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\langle\zeta_{0,4}\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right)\left\langle\zeta_{0,3}\right\rangle+a_{0,1} a_{0,2}\left\langle\zeta_{0,2}\right\rangle \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-3 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle\zeta_{i, 3}\right\rangle+\left\langle\zeta_{0,2} \zeta_{i, 2}\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\left\langle\zeta_{0,2}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon+2 a_{0,1}+2 a_{0,2}\right)-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+2 \varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left\langle\zeta_{i, 2}\right\rangle+\left(a_{0,1}+\varepsilon\right)\left(a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1} z_{i 2}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\left\langle\zeta_{0,2}\right\rangle+\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-3 \varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\left\langle\zeta_{i_{1}, 2}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-3 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left\langle\zeta_{i_{2}, 2}\right\rangle-\left(a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}+3 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\right] \\
& -\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}} z_{i_{3}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{3}}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}^{(1)}-3 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right)\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{3}}^{(1)} . \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

## C. Derivation of the differential equations

Now we are ready to derive the differential equations satisfied by the instanton partition function using the NPDS equations. The key point is that $\zeta_{0, n}$ take special values at a degenerate point in the parameter space.

## 1. Second order differential equation

In order to derive a second order differential equation, we should tune the parameters in the following way,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0,1}=a_{1,1}+\varepsilon_{1}, \quad a_{0,2}=a_{1,2} \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

The configuration of the gauge fields are constrained so that the Young diagram $Y^{(1,1)}$ has only one row and $Y^{(1,2)}=\emptyset$,

$$
Y^{(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{l|l|}
\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|}
(1,1) & (1,2) \\
\left(1, k_{1}\right) & \emptyset
\end{array}\right) . . ~ . ~ . ~ \tag{116}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, the Young diagram $Y^{(1,1)}$ is completely determined by the instanton charge $k_{1}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{0}(x)\left[k_{1}\right] & =\frac{y_{1}(x+\varepsilon)\left[k_{1}\right]}{y_{0}(x)} \\
& =\frac{\left(x+\varepsilon-a_{0,1}\right)\left(x+\varepsilon-a_{0,2}\right)}{\left(x-a_{0,1}\right)\left(x-a_{0,2}\right)} \frac{x-a_{0,1}+2 \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\left(k_{1}-1\right)}{x-a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\left(k_{1}-1\right)} \\
& =1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{0, n}\left[k_{1}\right]}{x^{n}}, \tag{117}
\end{align*}
$$

Which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{0,1}\left[k_{1}\right]= & 3 \varepsilon_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{2}, \\
\zeta_{0,2}\left[k_{1}\right]= & \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}+\varepsilon a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\left(2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} k_{1}, \\
\zeta_{0,3}\left[k_{1}\right]= & \left(2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}+\varepsilon a_{0,2}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,2} \\
& +2 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{0,1} k_{1}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2} k_{1}^{2} . \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (113), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(a_{0,1}-a_{0,2}\right)\left\langle k_{1}\right\rangle+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2}\left\langle k_{1}^{2}\right\rangle \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}} \varepsilon_{1}\left[-a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}+\varepsilon_{2} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\left\langle k_{1}\right\rangle+\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(2)}-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left\langle k_{i}-k_{i+1}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)} \varepsilon_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)} . \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

Using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left\langle k_{1}\right\rangle=-\nabla_{0} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}, \quad \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left\langle k_{i}-k_{i+1}\right\rangle=\nabla_{i} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }} \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain a differential equation on the instanton partition function,

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\{\varepsilon_{2}^{2} \nabla_{0}^{2}-\varepsilon_{2}\left(a_{0,1}-a_{0,2}-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right) \nabla_{0}\right. \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}}\left[a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(2)}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \nabla_{i}\right] \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{\left.z_{i_{1} z_{i_{2}}}^{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\right\} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }} .}{} \tag{121}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the equation that was derived in [26] to confirm the BPS/CFT correspondence for this particular case.

## 2. Third order differential equation

The derivation can be extended to the next-to-simplest case, as we now explain. To obtain a third order differential equation, we tune the parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0,1}=a_{1,1}+2 \varepsilon_{1}, \quad a_{0,2}=a_{1,2} \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the configurations of the gauge field are required to satisfy that the Young diagram $Y^{(1,1)}$ has at most two rows and $Y^{(1,2)}=\emptyset$,
where we denote the number of boxes in the first and the second row of the Young diagram $Y^{(1,1)}$ as $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$, respectively. The instanton charge $k_{1}=y_{1}+y_{2}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Xi_{0}(x)[\boldsymbol{Y}]= & \frac{y_{1}(x+\varepsilon)[\boldsymbol{Y}]}{y_{0}(x)} \\
= & \frac{\left(x+\varepsilon-a_{0,1}\right)\left(x+\varepsilon-a_{0,2}\right)}{\left(x-a_{0,1}\right)\left(x-a_{0,2}\right)} \\
& \times \frac{x+\varepsilon-a_{0,1}+2 \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} y_{1}}{x+\varepsilon-a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} y_{1}} \frac{x+\varepsilon-a_{0,1}+\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} y_{2}}{x+\varepsilon-a_{0,1}-\varepsilon_{2} y_{2}} \\
= & 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta_{0, n}[\boldsymbol{Y}]}{x^{n}} . \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{0,1}=4 \varepsilon_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{2} \\
& \zeta_{0,2}=\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}+\varepsilon a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} k_{1} \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

while $\zeta_{0,4}$ are related to $\zeta_{0,3}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{0,4}= & \left(3 a_{0,1}-2 \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}+\frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_{2} k_{1}\right) \zeta_{0,3}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{3} k_{1}^{3}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}^{2}\left(6 a_{0,1}-\varepsilon_{1}\right) k_{1}^{2} \\
& -\varepsilon_{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\left(5 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1}+3 \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}+\frac{3}{2} \varepsilon a_{0,2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\left(7 \varepsilon_{1}+3 \varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,2}\right) k_{1} \\
& -2\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}^{3}-\varepsilon_{2}\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}^{2}+\varepsilon\left(2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1}-3 \varepsilon a_{0,1} a_{0,2}^{2} \\
& -2 \varepsilon\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,1} a_{0,2}+\varepsilon a_{0,2}^{3}+\varepsilon\left(5 \varepsilon_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,2}+\varepsilon\left(2 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(3 \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right) a_{0,2} . \tag{126}
\end{align*}
$$

Using 113, (126) and (112), we can get rid of all terms with $\left\langle\zeta_{0,3}\right\rangle,\left\langle\zeta_{0,4}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\zeta_{i, 3}\right\rangle$ in (114), and we obtain a differential equation on the instanton partition funtion

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \left\{\frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{3}}{2} \nabla_{0}^{3}+\frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{2}}{2}\left(-3 a_{0,1}+3 a_{0,2}+\varepsilon_{1}+3 \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\right) \nabla_{0}^{2}\right. \\
& +\varepsilon_{2}\left[\left(a_{0,1}-a_{0,2}\right)\left(a_{0,1}-a_{0,2}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)\right. \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}}\left(2 \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(2)}-2 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \nabla_{i}+\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\left(2 a_{0,1}-4 a_{0,2}+\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-\varepsilon_{1}-\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{2}\right) \\
& \left.+2 \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)}\left(2 \mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\right] \nabla_{0} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}-z_{i}}\left(2 a_{0,1}-2 a_{0,2}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(2)}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \nabla_{i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}^{2}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i}\right)^{2}}\left(2 \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(2)}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \nabla_{i}\right) \\
& +2 \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\left(-a_{0,1}+a_{0,2}-\varepsilon\right)\right. \\
& +\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}\left(-\mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(2)}+a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \nabla_{i}\right) \\
& \left.+\mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\left(-\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(2)}+a_{0,2} \mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \nabla_{i}\right)\right] \\
& +2 \sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}} z_{i_{3}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{\left.i_{3}\right)}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}\left(3 \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{3}}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)} \mathcal{A}_{i_{3}}^{(1)}\right) \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}}^{2} z_{i_{2}}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(z_{0}-z_{\left.i_{2}\right)}\right)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right)\left(2 \mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)} \\
& \left.+\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} \frac{z_{i_{1}} z_{i_{2}}^{2}}{\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{1}}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{i_{2}}\right)^{2}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{1}}^{(1)}-\varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}\left(2 \mathcal{A}_{i_{2}}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right\} \mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }} .(1 \tag{127}
\end{align*}
$$

## D. Identification with the BPZ equations

The final step is to identify the differential equations we derived in the gauge theory side with the BPZ equations by solving the undetermined parameters $L_{i}$ and $T_{i j}$. We find that
there exists the following solution

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0} & =\Delta_{-1}-\Delta_{0}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}-\left(a_{1,1}-a_{1,2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} \\
L_{i} & =-\Delta_{i}-\frac{\left(a_{i, 1}-a_{i, 2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}+\frac{\left(a_{i+1,1}-a_{i+1,2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, r-1 \\
L_{r} & =-\Delta_{r}-\Delta_{r+1}+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}-\left(a_{r, 1}-a_{r, 2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} \\
T_{i j} & =\frac{\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{(1)}-2 \varepsilon\right) \mathcal{A}_{j}^{(1)}}{2 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

With this identification of parameters, we observe the precise agreement between (27), (28) and (121), (127). It is easy to check that (25) is also satisfied. Notice that the prefactor can also be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\prod_{i=0}^{r} z_{i}^{L_{i}}\right) \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(1-\frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}\right)^{T_{i j}}= & \left(z_{0}^{\Delta_{-1}-\Delta_{0}-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} z_{i}^{-\Delta_{i}}\right)\left(z_{r}^{\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}-\Delta_{r}-\Delta_{r+1}}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{r} q_{i}^{-\frac{\left(a_{i, 1}-a_{i, 2}\right)^{2}}{4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}} \prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(1-\frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}\right)^{\frac{2\left(\bar{a}_{i}-\bar{a}_{i+1}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}+\varepsilon\right)}{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}} \tag{129}
\end{align*}
$$

which give the expected tree-level partition function and the $U(1)$ part of the partition function (see the Appendix B for details). Therefore, we confirm the BPS/CFT correspondence.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In this paper, we perform the derivation of the differential equation on the instanton partition function at a special point in the parameter space using the method of NPDS equations, and identify the differential equations with the BPZ equations in the Liouville field theory. Therefore, we confirm the main assertion of the BPS/CFT correspondence.

There are several obvious generalizations of our paper. First of all, it is natural to consider the general degenerate fields with conformal dimension $\Delta_{(m, n)}$, and derive the differential equation of order $m n$ on the instanton partition function. The computation will be unavoidably lengthy, but the basic idea is the same. To simplify the derivation, it is sometimes useful to consider the NPDS equations of both fundamental and non-fundamental qq-characters.

We can also generalize the discussion to the $U(N)$ superconformal linear quiver gauge theories. However, from the knowledge of corresponding Toda field theory, we do not expect to obtain a differential equation on the instanton partition function. Instead, the equations
derived from the NPDS equations will generally relate the instanton partition function with expectation values of certain BPS observables. Only if we take the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit can we get an differential equation on the instanton partition function. The nonconformal $A_{2}$-quiver $S U(3)$ gauge theory and the degenerate irregular conformal block in the $A_{2}$ Toda field theory were studied in [29] along this direction. The detailed discussion on general quiver will appear in a separate paper.

In spite of the successful application of the NPDS equations to derive the BPZ equations, there are still some open problems. From the point of view of conformal field theory, it is equally good to choose any one of the fields to be degenerate, and we have the BPZ equation for every choice. In the corresponding four-dimensional theory, we need to tune the parameters in the following way for arbitrary $i=0, \cdots, r$,

$$
a_{i, \alpha}= \begin{cases}a_{i+1,1}+(m-1) \varepsilon_{1}+(n-1) \varepsilon_{2}, & \alpha=1  \tag{130}\\ a_{i+1, \alpha}, & \alpha \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

However, we do not get the expected constraints of the from (130). For example, the constraint is $Y^{(i+1, \alpha)} \subset Y^{(i, \alpha)}$ rather than $Y^{(i+1, \alpha)}=Y^{(i, \alpha)}$ for $\alpha \neq 1$. This problem is associated with the annoying $U(1)$ factor in the AGT dictionary. We may have to figure out how to factor out the $U(1)$ factor at the level of the measure $\mathcal{Z}^{\text {instanton }}\left(\boldsymbol{a} ; \boldsymbol{Y} ; \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)$. A progress in this direction will also lead us immediately to a derivation of the BPZ equation for the conformal field theory on a torus.
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## Appendix A: Generalized hypergeometric function

A generalized hypergeometric function ${ }_{p} F_{q}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p} ; b_{1}, \cdots, b_{q} ; z\right)$ is defined as the power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{p} F_{q}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p} ; b_{1}, \cdots, b_{q} ; z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{1}^{\bar{n}} \cdots a_{p}^{\bar{n}}}{b_{1}^{\bar{n}} \cdots b_{q}^{\bar{n}}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}, \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameters $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{q}$ are complex numbers. The notation $x^{\bar{n}}$ is the rising factorial or Pochhammer function, which is defined for real values of $n$ using the Gamma function provided that $x$ and $x+n$ are real numbers that are not negative integers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\bar{n}}=\frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)} . \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized hypergeometric function ${ }_{p} F_{q}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p} ; b_{1}, \cdots, b_{q} ; z\right)$ is a solution to the generalized hypergeometric function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z \prod_{n=1}^{p}\left(z \frac{d}{d z}+a_{n}\right)-z \frac{d}{d z} \prod_{n=1}^{q}\left(z \frac{d}{d z}+b_{n}-1\right)\right]{ }_{p} F_{q}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p} ; b_{1}, \cdots, b_{q} ; z\right)=0 . \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the order of the parameters $\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{p}\right\}$, or the order of the parameters $\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{q}\right\}$ can be changed without changing the value of the function. The standard hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; z)$ is simply a special case of the generalized hypergeometric function when $p=2$ and $q=1$.

If any $a_{j}$ is a non-positive integer, then the series (A1) only has a finite number of terms and becomes a polynomial of degree $-a_{j}$. If any $b_{k}$ is a non-positive integer (excepting the previous case with $b_{k}<a_{j}$ ), then the series (A1) is undefined. Excluding these special cases for which the numerator or the denominator of the coefficients can be 0 , the radius of convergence can be determined using the ratio test. In this paper, we are interested in the case $p=q+1$. The ratio of coefficients tends to one, implying that the series (A1) converges for $|z|<1$ and diverges for $|z|>1$.

## Appendix B: $U(1)$ factor

In this appendix, we derive the $U(1)$ factor using the NPDS equations.
When $N=1$, we have $y_{0}(x)=x-\bar{a}_{0}$, and the non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger
equations lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \left.=\left\langle\left[y_{0}(x) \mathcal{G}_{r}(x ; t)\right]^{(-1)}\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-2)}(t)\right\rangle-\bar{a}_{0}\left\langle\mathcal{G}_{r}^{(-1)}(t)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle U_{r}[2]\right\rangle+\left\langle U_{r}[1,1]\right\rangle-\varepsilon\left\langle U_{r}[0,1]\right\rangle-\bar{a}_{0}\left\langle U_{r}[1]\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{r} u_{i}\left(\left\langle\zeta_{i, 2}\right\rangle-\bar{a}_{0}\left\langle\zeta_{i, 1}\right\rangle\right)+\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq r} u_{i_{1}} u_{i_{2}}\left(\left\langle\zeta_{i_{1}, 1} \zeta_{i_{2}, 1}\right\rangle-\varepsilon\left\langle\zeta_{i_{2}, 1}\right\rangle\right), \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{j, 1} & =\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}+\varepsilon  \tag{B2}\\
\zeta_{j, 2} & =\bar{a}_{j}\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}+\varepsilon\right)-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(k_{i}-k_{i+1}\right) \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

Picking up the residue at $t=-z_{j}^{-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left\langle k_{j}-k_{j+1}\right\rangle= & \left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{0}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}+\varepsilon\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=j+1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{i}-\bar{a}_{i+1}+\varepsilon\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\bar{a}_{i}-\bar{a}_{i+1}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}+\varepsilon\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=j+1}^{r} \frac{z_{i}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{i}-\bar{a}_{i+1}+\varepsilon\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\bar{a}_{i}-\bar{a}_{i+1}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}+\varepsilon\right) . \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

From the structure of the instanton partition function, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle k_{j}-k_{j+1}\right\rangle=z_{j} \frac{d}{d z_{j}} \log Z^{\text {instanton }} \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have the $U(1)$ part of the instanton partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\text {instanton }}=\prod_{0 \leq i<j \leq r}\left(1-\frac{z_{j}}{z_{i}}\right)^{-\frac{\left(\bar{a}_{i}-\bar{a}_{i+1}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{j}-\bar{a}_{j+1}+\varepsilon\right)}{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}}} \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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