

BUTSON-TYPE COMPLEX HADAMARD MATRICES AND ASSOCIATION SCHEMES ON GALOIS RINGS OF CHARACTERISTIC 4

TAKUYA IKUTA AND AKIHIRO MUNEMASA

ABSTRACT. We consider nonsymmetric hermitian complex Hadamard matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of commutative nonsymmetric association schemes. First, we give a characterization of the eigenmatrix of a commutative nonsymmetric association scheme of class 3 whose Bose–Mesner algebra contains a nonsymmetric hermitian complex Hadamard matrix, and show that such a complex Hadamard matrix is necessarily a Butson-type complex Hadamard matrix whose entries are 4-th roots of unity. We also give nonsymmetric association schemes \mathfrak{X} of class 6 on Galois rings of characteristic 4, and classify hermitian complex Hadamard matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . It is shown that such a matrix is again necessarily a Butson-type complex Hadamard matrix whose entries are 4-th roots of unity.

1. INTRODUCTION

A complex Hadamard matrix is a square matrix W of order n which satisfies $W\overline{W}^\top = nI$ and all of whose entries are complex numbers of absolute value 1. A complex Hadamard matrix is said to be Butson-type, if all of its entries are roots of unity. In our earlier work [7], we proposed a method to classify symmetric complex Hadamard matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of a symmetric association scheme. In this paper, we propose an analogous method to classify nonsymmetric hermitian complex Hadamard matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative nonsymmetric association scheme. First we consider nonsymmetric hermitian complex Hadamard matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative nonsymmetric association scheme of class 3, and then we show that the

Date: December 17, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05E30, 05B34.

Key words and phrases. association scheme, complex Hadamard matrix, Galois ring.

The work of A.M. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant number 17K05155.

first eigenmatrix of such a scheme is characterized as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a(2a-1) & a(2a-1) & 2a-1 \\ 1 & ai & -ai & -1 \\ 1 & -ai & ai & -1 \\ 1 & -a & -a & 2a-1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where a is a positive integer and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Moreover, we show that such a complex Hadamard matrix is necessarily a Butson-type complex Hadamard matrix whose entries are 4-th roots of unity. An association scheme with the first eigenmatrix (1) is a nonsymmetric amorphous association scheme belonging to $L_{2a;1}$, according to [8]. An example of such an association scheme was constructed from a Galois ring of characteristic 4 in [8, Theorem 9]. Galois rings have been used to construct certain association schemes. Yamada [12] used Galois rings of characteristic 4 to construct distance-regular digraphs, which are nonsymmetric association schemes of class 3. This construction was generalized in [8] to produce amorphous association schemes. Ma [9] gave association schemes of class 3 on Galois rings of characteristic 4, which do not come from fusions in any amorphous association schemes. Moreover, certain properties of association schemes obtained from Galois rings of odd characteristic have been investigated in [6].

Our second result of this paper is a construction of nonsymmetric association schemes \mathfrak{X} of class 6 on Galois rings of characteristic 4, whose first eigenmatrix is given by

$$(p_{i,j})_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq 6 \\ 0 \leq j \leq 6}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2b(b-1) & 2b(b-1) & b & b & b-1 & b \\ 1 & bi & -bi & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -bi & bi & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & bi & -bi & b-1 & -b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -bi & bi & b-1 & -b \\ 1 & -2b & -2b & b & b & b-1 & b \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -b & -b & b-1 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where b is a power of 4. We also classify hermitian complex Hadamard matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . We show that such a matrix is necessarily a Butson-type matrix whose entries are 4-th roots of unity.

2. ASSOCIATION SCHEMES AND COMPLEX HADAMARD MATRICES

In this section, we consider hermitian matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of a commutative association scheme. Assuming that all entries are complex numbers with absolute value 1, we find conditions under which such a matrix is a complex Hadamard matrix.

We refer the reader to [2, 4] for undefined terminology in the theory of association schemes.

Let X be a finite set with n elements, and let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a commutative association scheme with the first eigenmatrix $P = (P_{i,j})$. We let \mathfrak{A} denote the Bose–Mesner algebra spanned by the adjacency matrices A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d of \mathfrak{X} . Then the adjacency matrices are expressed as

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^d P_{i,j} E_i \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, d), \quad (3)$$

where $E_0 = \frac{1}{n}J, E_1, \dots, E_d$ are the primitive idempotents of \mathfrak{A} . Let $w_0 = 1$ and w_1, \dots, w_d be complex numbers with absolute value 1. Set

$$W = \sum_{j=0}^d w_j A_j \in \mathfrak{A}. \quad (4)$$

Define

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{j=0}^d w_j P_{k,j} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, d). \quad (5)$$

By (3), (4) and (5) we have

$$W = \sum_{k=0}^d \gamma_k E_k. \quad (6)$$

Let X_j ($1 \leq j \leq d$) be indeterminates. For $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$, let e_k be the polynomial defined by

$$e_k = 1 + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{k,j} X_j + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq d} P_{k,j_1} P_{k,j_2} X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^d P_{k,j}^2 X_j^2 - n. \quad (7)$$

Then we have the following.

Lemma 1. *Assume that the matrix W given in (4) is a hermitian matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) W is a complex Hadamard matrix,
- (ii) $\gamma_k^2 = n$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$,
- (iii) $(w_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ is a common zero of e_k ($k = 1, \dots, d$).

Proof. By (6) we have $W \overline{W}^T = W^2 = \sum_{k=0}^d \gamma_k^2 E_k$. Therefore, (i) implies (ii). To prove the converse, it is enough to show that $\gamma_0^2 = n$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}(W^2)_{j,j} &= \sum_{k=1}^n W_{j,k} \overline{W_{j,k}} \\ &= n,\end{aligned}$$

the diagonal entries of W^2 are all n . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}n^2 &= \text{Tr } W^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^d \gamma_k^2 \text{Tr } E_k \\ &= \gamma_0^2 + \sum_{k=1}^d n \text{Tr } E_k \\ &= \gamma_0^2 + n \text{Tr}(I - E_0) \\ &= \gamma_0^2 + n(n-1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\gamma_0^2 = n$. By (5) we have

$$\gamma_k^2 = 1 + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{k,j} w_j + \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq d} P_{k,j_1} P_{k,j_2} w_{j_1} w_{j_2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^d P_{k,j}^2 w_j^2$$

for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore, the equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows. \square

3. HERMITIAN COMPLEX HADAMARD MATRICES AND NONSYMMETRIC ASSOCIATION SCHEMES OF CLASS 3

In this section, we classify nonsymmetric hermitian complex Hadamard matrices belonging to the Bose–Mesner algebra of a nonsymmetric commutative association scheme $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^3)$ of class 3, where $R_1^\top = R_2$, R_3 symmetric. We use the following result.

Lemma 2 ([11, Lemma in (5.3)]). *Let*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{k_1}{2} & \frac{k_1}{2} & k_2 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2}(r+bi) & \frac{1}{2}(r-bi) & -(r+1) \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2}(r-bi) & \frac{1}{2}(r+bi) & -(r+1) \\ 1 & \frac{s}{2} & \frac{s}{2} & -(s+1) \end{pmatrix}$$

be the first eigenmatrix of \mathfrak{X} , where r, s are integers and $i^2 = -1$. Then one of the following holds.

- (i) $(r, s, b^2) = (0, -(k_2+1), \frac{k_1(k_2+1)}{k_2})$,
- (ii) $(r, s, b^2) = (-(k_2+1), 0, (1+k_2)(1+k_1+k_2))$,
- (iii) $(r, s, b^2) = (-1, k_1, k_1+1)$.

Note that, if we put

$$(k_1, k_2) = (2a(2a - 1), 2a - 1), \quad (8)$$

in part (i) of Lemma 2, we have the matrix (1).

Let \mathfrak{A} be the Bose–Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} which is the linear span of the adjacency matrices A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3 of \mathfrak{X} , where $A_1^\top = A_2$, A_3 symmetric. Let $w_0 = 1$ and w_1, w_2, w_3 be complex numbers of absolute value 1. Set

$$W = w_0 A_0 + w_1 A_1 + w_2 A_2 + w_3 A_3 \in \mathfrak{A}. \quad (9)$$

Then our first main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1. *Assume that the matrix (9) is a hermitian complex Hadamard matrix and not a real Hadamard matrix. Then \mathfrak{X} is a nonsymmetric association scheme whose unique nontrivial symmetric relation consists of $2a$ cliques of size $2a$, and the first eigenmatrix of \mathfrak{X} is given by (1). Moreover, $w_1 = \pm i$ and $w_3 = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that the matrix W is a complex Hadamard matrix. Without loss of generality, we may assume $w_0 = 1$ in (9). Since W is a hermitian matrix, we have $w_1 w_2 = 1$ and $w_3 = \pm 1$. Since W is not a real Hadamard matrix, we have $w_1 - w_2 \neq 0$. By Lemma 1, $(w_i)_{i=1}^3$ is a common zero of the polynomials e_k ($k = 1, 2, 3$) defined in (7). Since

$$e_1 - e_2 = bi(X_1 - X_2)(r(X_1 + X_2 - 2X_3) - 2X_3 + 2),$$

we have

$$r(w_1 + w_2 - 2w_3) - 2w_3 + 2 = 0. \quad (10)$$

First assume that $w_3 = 1$. Then by (10) we have $r(w_1 + w_2 - 2) = 0$. Hence $r = 0$. Therefore we have case (i) of Lemma 2. Then

$$(k_1, k_2) = \left(\frac{(s+1)b^2}{s}, -(s+1) \right). \quad (11)$$

After specializing $X_3 = 1$, we have

$$e_3 - e_1 = \frac{1}{4}((s - bi)X_1 + (s + bi)X_2 - 2s)((s + bi)X_1 + (s - bi)X_2 - 2s).$$

Hence $((s - bi)w_1 + (s + bi)w_2 - 2s)((s + bi)w_1 + (s - bi)w_2 - 2s) = 0$. Then by $w_2 = w_1^{-1}$ we have

$$(w_1 - 1)^2((s - bi)w_1 - (s + bi))((s + bi)w_1 - (s - bi)) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Put $w = (s + 2bi)/(s - 2bi)$. By $w_1 \neq 1$ we have $w_1 \in \{w, \overline{w}\}$ by (12). We may assume $w_1 = w$. After specializing $X_1 = w$, $X_2 = \overline{w}$ and $X_3 = 1$, we have

$$e_1 = -(1 + k_1 + k_2) - \frac{4b^4 s^2}{(b^2 + s^2)^2}.$$

Hence

$$k_1 + k_2 = -1 - \frac{4b^4s^2}{(b^2 + s^2)^2}. \quad (13)$$

Then by (11), (13) we have

$$(b - s)(b + s)((s + 1)b^4 - (s - 2)s^2b^2 + s^4) = 0.$$

Since $b > 0$ and $s = -(k_2 + 1) < -1$, we have $b - s \neq 0$. First assume that $s = -b$. Then, by putting $b = 2a$, we have $(k_1, k_2) = (2a(2a - 1), 2a - 1)$ and $w_1 = -i$. Therefore we have the first eigenmatrix (1). Next assume that

$$(s + 1)b^4 - (s - 2)s^2b^2 + s^4 = 0. \quad (14)$$

Then the discriminant of (14) as a quadratic equation in b^2 is $s^4((s - 4)^2 - 16)$. Since b^2 is rational, $(s - 4)^2 - 16$ is a square. This implies $s \in \{0, -1\}$. This contradicts $s = -(k_2 + 1) < -1$.

Next assume that $w_3 = -1$. Then by (10) we have $r(w_1 + w_2 + 2) + 4 = 0$. Put $g(x) = rx^2 + 2(r + 2)x + r$. By $w_2 = w_1^{-1}$ we have $g(w_1) = 0$. Since $w_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$, we have $r + 1 < 0$. Thus we have case (ii) of Lemma 2. After specializing $X_1 = w_1$, $X_2 = w_1^{-1}$, $X_3 = -1$ and $s = 0$, we have

$$e_1 - e_3 = \frac{(w_1 + 1)f(w_1)}{4w_1^2},$$

where $f(x) = ((r + bi)x + r - bi)((r + bi)x^2 + 2(r + 4)x + r - bi)$. Since $w_1 \neq -1$, we have $f(w_1) = 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{(r + bi)((r + bi)rx + r(r + 4) - (3r + 4)bi)}{r^2}g(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{4((r + 1)b^2 + r^2)}{r^2}((r + 4)x - r) \end{aligned}$$

and $(r + 4)w_1 - r \neq 0$, we have

$$(r + 1)b^2 + r^2 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Substituting $(r, b^2) = (-k_2 + 1, (1 + k_1 + k_2)(k_2 + 1))$ in (15), we have $(k_2 + 1)(k_2^2 + k_1k_2 - 1) = 0$. This is a contradiction. \square

In the next section, we will construct a nonsymmetric association scheme \mathfrak{X} of class 6 on a Galois ring of characteristic 4, with the first eigenmatrix (2). An association scheme with the first eigenmatrix (1) can be obtained by fusing some relations of \mathfrak{X} .

4. ASSOCIATION SCHEMES ON GALOIS RINGS

For the reminder of this section, we let $e \geq 3$ be an odd positive integer. We refer the reader to [12] for basic theory of Galois rings. Let $F = \text{GF}(2)$ be the prime field of characteristic 2 and $K = \text{GF}(2^e)$ be an extension of degree e . We identify K with $F[x]/(\varphi(x))$, where $\varphi(x)$ is a primitive polynomial of degree e over F , and we denote by ζ a root of $\varphi(x)$ in K .

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. There exists a monic polynomial $\Phi(x)$ over \mathcal{A} such that $\Phi(x) \equiv \varphi(x) \pmod{2\mathcal{A}[x]}$ and $\Phi(x)$ divides $x^{2^e-1} - 1$ in $\mathcal{A}[x]$ (see [5, Theorem 1]). The ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}[x]/(\Phi(x))$ is called a Galois ring, and it is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathcal{P} = 2\mathcal{R}$ and residue field $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{P} \cong K$.

Let ξ be the image of x in \mathcal{R} , so that $\xi + \mathcal{P}$ is mapped to ζ under the isomorphism $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{P} \cong K$. Then $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}[\xi]$ and ξ has order $2^e - 1$ in \mathcal{R} . Let \mathcal{T} be the cyclic group of order $2^e - 1$ generated by ξ . Since \mathcal{T} is mapped bijectively onto $K \setminus \{0\}$ under the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow K$, each element $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ is uniquely expressed as

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1, \quad \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{T} \cup \{0\}.$$

The unit group \mathcal{R}^* is the direct product of \mathcal{T} and the principal unit group $\mathcal{E} = 1 + \mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_\delta = \{\xi^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq 2^e - 2, \text{Tr}(\zeta^j) = \delta\}$ ($\delta = 0, 1$). We set $\mathcal{P}_0 = 2\mathcal{T}_0 \cup \{0\}$ and $H = 1 + \mathcal{P}_0$. Thus \mathcal{P}_0 and H are subgroups of index 2 in the additive group \mathcal{P} and the multiplicative group \mathcal{E} , respectively. Setting $b = 2^{e-1}$, we have $b = |\mathcal{P}_0| = |H|$.

The mapping $\xi \mapsto \xi^2$ can be extended to a ring automorphism f of \mathcal{R} which fixes \mathcal{A} elementwise. The ring automorphism f is called the *Frobenius automorphism*. For $\alpha = \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1$ ($\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{T} \cup \{0\}$), we have

$$\alpha^f = \alpha_0^2 + 2\alpha_1^2,$$

and the trace of $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^f + \cdots + \alpha^{f^{e-1}}.$$

Note that \mathcal{S} is an \mathcal{A} -linear mapping from \mathcal{R} to \mathcal{A} . The additive characters of \mathcal{R} are given by $\alpha \mapsto \chi(\alpha\beta)$ ($\beta \in \mathcal{R}$), where

$$\chi(\alpha) = i^{e\mathcal{S}(\alpha)},$$

and $i^2 = -1$. Define

$$\lambda_\alpha(A) = \sum_{\beta \in A} \chi(\alpha\beta),$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ and $A \subset \mathcal{R}$. Set $\lambda = \lambda_1$.

Observe

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &= H \cup (-H), \\ \alpha\mathcal{T} &= \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \text{Tr}(\zeta^j) &\equiv \mathcal{S}(\xi^j) \pmod{2}.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Since e is odd, we obtain

$$\chi(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_0, \end{cases}\tag{17}$$

$$\chi(\alpha) = i \quad \text{for } \alpha \in H.\tag{18}$$

Lemma 3. *For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^* \setminus \mathcal{E}$ we have the following.*

$$\lambda(\alpha\mathcal{P}_0) = 0,\tag{19}$$

$$\lambda(\alpha H) = 0.\tag{20}$$

Proof. Note that the correspondence $2\xi^j \mapsto \zeta^j$ extends to an isomorphism from \mathcal{P} to K as additive groups. Under this isomorphism, \mathcal{P}_0 is mapped to $\text{Tr}^{-1}(0)$. Since $\alpha \notin \mathcal{E}$, the image $a = \alpha + \mathcal{P}$ of α in $K = \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{P}$ is not 1. By the non-degeneracy of the trace function on K , we have $a\text{Tr}^{-1}(0) \neq \text{Tr}^{-1}(0)$. This implies $\alpha\mathcal{P}_0 \neq \mathcal{P}_0$. Then

$$A = \alpha\mathcal{P}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_0$$

is a subgroup of index 4 in the additive group \mathcal{P} . By (17) we have

$$\chi(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta \in A, \\ -1 & \text{if } \beta \in \alpha\mathcal{P}_0 \setminus A. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\lambda(\alpha\mathcal{P}_0) = 0$. Since $\alpha H = \alpha + \alpha\mathcal{P}_0$, we have $\lambda(\alpha H) = \lambda(\alpha)\lambda(\alpha\mathcal{P}_0) = 0$. \square

Recall $b = |\mathcal{P}_0| = |H|$.

Lemma 4. *We have the following.*

- (i) $\lambda(H) = bi$,
- (ii) $\lambda(\mathcal{T}H) = bi$.

Proof. (i) Obvious from (18).

(ii) Since $\mathcal{T} \setminus \{1\} \subset \mathcal{R}^* \setminus \mathcal{E}$, we have $\lambda((\mathcal{T} \setminus \{1\})H) = 0$ by (20). Then the result follows from (i). \square

Lemma 5. *We have*

$$\lambda_\alpha(H) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_0, \\ -b & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $\alpha H = \{\alpha\}$. Hence $\lambda_\alpha(H) = |H|\chi(\alpha)$, and the result follows from (17). \square

Lemma 6. *For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}$ we have*

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{T}H) = -b.$$

Proof. By (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{T}H) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}} \lambda_\beta(H) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}} \lambda_\beta(H) - \lambda_0(H) \\ &= -\lambda_0(H) && \text{(by Lemma 5)} \\ &= -b. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Then our second main theorem is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \{0\}, \\ S_1 &= (\mathcal{T} \setminus \{1\})H, \\ S_2 &= (\mathcal{T} \setminus \{1\})(-H), \\ S_3 &= H, \\ S_4 &= -H, \\ S_5 &= \mathcal{P}_0 \setminus \{0\}, \\ S_6 &= \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\lambda_\alpha(S_j)$ is constant for any $\alpha \in S_i$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$). Put $p_{i,j} = \lambda_\alpha(S_j)$ for $\alpha \in S_i$ ($i, j = 0, 1, \dots, 6$). Then $P = (p_{i,j})_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq 6 \\ 0 \leq j \leq 6}}$ is given by (2). In particular, if we set

$$R_j = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \mid \alpha - \beta \in S_j\} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, 6),$$

then $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{R}, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^6)$ is a nonsymmetric association scheme of class 6 with the first eigenmatrix (2).

Proof. It is easy to check that $|S_1| = |S_2| = 2b(b-1)$, $|S_3| = |S_4| = b$, $|S_5| = b-1$, and $|S_6| = b$. So we have $p_{0,j}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, 6$) as in (2). It is trivial that $\lambda_\alpha(S_0) = 1$ for any $\alpha \in S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_6$. Hence $p_{j,0} = 1$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 6$ as in (2).

We claim that

$$\lambda_\alpha(S_1) = \begin{cases} bi & \text{if } \alpha \in S_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in S_3, \\ -2b & \text{if } \alpha \in S_5, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in S_6, \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

$$\lambda_\alpha(S_3) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in S_1, \\ bi & \text{if } \alpha \in S_3, \\ b & \text{if } \alpha \in S_5, \\ -b & \text{if } \alpha \in S_6, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$\lambda_\alpha(S_5) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \alpha \in S_1, \\ b-1 & \text{if } \alpha \in S_3, \\ b-1 & \text{if } \alpha \in S_5, \\ b-1 & \text{if } \alpha \in S_6. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

First we prove (21). Assume that $\alpha \in S_1$. Then $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{TH}) = \lambda(\mathcal{TH}) = bi$ by Lemma 4 (ii), and $\lambda_\alpha(H) = \lambda(\alpha H)$. Since $S_1 \subset \mathcal{R}^* \setminus \mathcal{E}$, we have $\lambda(\alpha H) = 0$ by (20). Hence $\lambda_\alpha(S_1) = bi$. Next assume that $\alpha \in S_3$. Similarly, we have $\lambda_\alpha(\mathcal{TH}) = \lambda(\mathcal{TH})$ and $\lambda_\alpha(H) = \lambda(H)$. By Lemma 4 (i), (ii) we have $\lambda_\alpha(S_1) = 0$. Next assume that $\alpha \in S_5 \cup S_6$. Then by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we have $\lambda_\alpha(S_1) = -2b$ or 0 depending on $\alpha \in S_5$ or $\alpha \in S_6$. This completes the proof of (21).

Next we prove (22). Assume that $\alpha \in S_1$. Then $\lambda_\alpha(S_3) = \lambda(\alpha S_3)$. Since $S_1 \subset \mathcal{R}^* \setminus \mathcal{E}$, we have $\lambda(\alpha S_3) = 0$ by (20). Next assume that $\alpha \in S_3$. Then $\lambda_\alpha(S_3) = \lambda(S_3) = bi$ by Lemma 4 (i). Next assume that $\alpha \in S_5 \cup S_6$. Then by Lemma 5, $\lambda_\alpha(S_3) = b$ or $-b$ depending on $\alpha \in S_5$ or $\alpha \in S_6$. This completes the proof of (22).

Finally we prove (23). Assume that $\alpha \in S_1$. Since $S_1 \subset \mathcal{R}^* \setminus \mathcal{E}$, we have $\lambda_\alpha(S_5) = \lambda(\alpha(\mathcal{P}_0 \setminus \{0\})) = -1$ by (19). Next assume that $\alpha \in S_3$. Since $\alpha\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}_0$, we have $\lambda_\alpha(S_5) = \lambda(\mathcal{P}_0 \setminus \{0\}) = |S_5| = b-1$. Next assume that $\alpha \in S_5 \cup S_6$. Then $\alpha(\mathcal{P}_0 \setminus \{0\}) = \{0\}$. Hence $\lambda_\alpha(S_5) = |S_5| = b-1$. This completes the proof of (23).

From (21)–(23) we have $p_{1,1}, p_{3,1}, p_{5,1}, p_{6,1}, p_{1,3}, p_{3,3}, p_{5,3}, p_{6,3}, p_{1,5}, p_{3,5}, p_{5,5}$, and $p_{6,5}$ as in (2). Since $\{S_j\}_{j=0}^6$ is a partition of \mathcal{R} , we have $\sum_{j=0}^6 \lambda_\alpha(S_j) = 0$ for $\alpha \neq 0$. Thus, it is enough to check that $\lambda_\alpha(S_j)$ is a constant independent of $\alpha \in S_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$ and $j = 1, \dots, 5$. Since $S_2 = -S_1$, we have $p_{2,j} = \overline{p_{1,j}}$ and $p_{j,2} = \overline{p_{j,1}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$. Since $S_4 = -S_3$, we have $p_{4,j} = \overline{p_{3,j}}$ and $p_{j,4} = \overline{p_{j,3}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$. Therefore we find all $p_{i,j}$ as in (2) from (21)–(23). \square

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a commutative association scheme. A partition $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_e$ of the index set $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ of the association scheme \mathfrak{X} is said to be *admissible* if $\Lambda_0 = \{0\}$, $\Lambda_i \neq \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i \leq e$) and $\Lambda'_i = \Lambda_j$ for some j ($1 \leq j \leq e$), where $\Lambda'_i = \{\alpha' \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_i\}$, $R_{\alpha'} = \{(x, y) \mid (y, x) \in R_\alpha\}$. Let $R_{\Lambda_i} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_i} R_\alpha$. If $\mathfrak{Y} = (X, \{R_{\Lambda_i}\}_{i=0}^e)$ becomes an association scheme, then it is called a *fusion* scheme of \mathfrak{X} .

Bannai–Muzychuk criterion ([1, 10]). Let \mathfrak{X} be a commutative association scheme with the first eigenmatrix P . Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=0}^e$ be an admissible partition of the index set $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$. Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j=0}^e$ gives rise to a fusion scheme \mathfrak{Y} if and only if there exists a partition $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=0}^e$ of $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ with $\Delta_0 = \{0\}$ such that each (Δ_i, Λ_j) block of the first eigenmatrix P has a constant row sum. Moreover, the constant row sum of the (Δ_i, Λ_j) block is the (i, j) entry of the first eigenmatrix of \mathfrak{Y} .

Let \mathfrak{X} be an association scheme given in Theorem 2. Fusion schemes of \mathfrak{X} with at least three classes are listed in Table 1. The first eigen-

	fused relations	class	nonsymmetric or symmetric
\mathfrak{X}_1	$\{1, 2\}$	5	nonsymmetric
\mathfrak{X}_2	$\{3, 4\}$	5	nonsymmetric
\mathfrak{X}_3	$\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}$	4	symmetric
\mathfrak{X}_4	$\{3, 4, 6\}$	4	nonsymmetric
\mathfrak{X}_5	$\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}$	3	symmetric
\mathfrak{X}_6	$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$	3	symmetric
\mathfrak{X}_7	$\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 6\}$	3	nonsymmetric
\mathfrak{X}_8	$\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{5, 6\}$	3	nonsymmetric

TABLE 1. Fusion schemes of \mathfrak{X}

matrix of \mathfrak{X}_7 and \mathfrak{X}_8 in Table 1 are the matrix (1) by putting $a = b$. Put $b = 4^p$. We can verify that \mathfrak{X}_7 and \mathfrak{X}_8 for $p = 3$ are isomorphic, and \mathfrak{X}_7 and \mathfrak{X}_8 for $p = 5$ are not isomorphic. The computation needed to verify these facts was done with the help of Magma [3].

5. COMPLEX HADAMARD MATRICES AND GALOIS RINGS

We continue to use the notation introduced in Section 4. Let $\{A_j\}_{j=0}^6$ be the set of the adjacency matrices of \mathfrak{X} . Then $A_1^T = A_2$, $A_3^T = A_4$, and A_5, A_6 symmetric. We call the algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A_0, A_1, \dots, A_6 \rangle$ the Bose–Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . Our next theorem gives a classification of hermitian complex Hadamard matrices belonging to \mathfrak{A} .

Theorem 3. *Let $w_0 = 1$ and w_j ($1 \leq j \leq 6$) be complex numbers of absolute value 1. Set*

$$W = \sum_{j=0}^6 w_j A_j \in \mathfrak{A},$$

and assume that W is hermitian. Then, W is a complex Hadamard matrix if and only if

$$W = A_0 + \epsilon_1 i(A_1 - A_2) + \epsilon_2 i(A_3 - A_4) + A_5 + A_6, \quad \text{or} \quad (24)$$

$$W = A_0 + \epsilon_1 i(A_1 - A_2) + \epsilon_2(A_3 + A_4) + A_5 - A_6, \quad (25)$$

for some $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Proof. Recall that $\{A_j\}_{j=0}^6$ is the set of the adjacency matrices of \mathfrak{X} given in Theorem 2. Notice that $A_1^T = A_2$, $A_3^T = A_4$, while A_5 and A_6 are symmetric. Since W is hermitian, we have $w_1 w_2 = 1$ and $w_3 w_4 = 1$.

Suppose that the matrix W is a complex Hadamard matrix. Then $(w_i)_{i=1}^6$ is a common zero of the polynomials e_k ($k = 1, \dots, 6$) defined in (7). Since

$$e_2 - e_1 = 4ib(X_1 - X_2)(X_5 - 1), \quad (26)$$

we have $w_1 = w_2$ or $w_5 = 1$.

Suppose first that $w_1 = w_2$. After specializing $X_1 = X_2$ and $X_1^2 = 1$, we have

$$e_1 = (X_5 - (2b + 1))(X_5 + 2b - 1).$$

Then $|w_5| = |2b \pm 1| \geq 2b - 1 > 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $w_5 = 1$. After specializing $X_5 = 1$, we have

$$e_1 = -b^2(X_1 - X_2 - 2i)(X_1 - X_2 + 2i).$$

Hence $w_1 = -w_2 \in \{\pm i\}$. Moreover, after specializing $X_5 = 1$, $X_1 = -X_2$ and $X_1^2 = -1$, we have

$$e_4 - e_3 = 4ib^2(X_6 - 1)(X_3 - X_4), \quad (27)$$

$$e_5 - e_6 = 4b^2(X_6 + 1)(X_3 + X_4). \quad (28)$$

If $w_6 = 1$, then $w_4 = -w_3 \in \{\pm i\}$ by (28). Therefore we have (24). If $w_3 = w_4$, then $w_3 \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $w_6 = -1$ by (28). Therefore we have (25).

Conversely, assume that W is one of the matrices (24), (25). We show that W is a complex Hadamard matrix. By Lemma 1, it suffices to show that $(w_i)_{i=1}^6$ is common zero of the polynomials (7), and it is easy to do this. \square

We note that the matrix (24) belongs to the Bose–Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X}_7 or \mathfrak{X}_8 in Table 1, depending on $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ or $\epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2$. Also, the matrix (25) belongs to the Bose–Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X}_2 or \mathfrak{X}_4 in Table 1, depending on $\epsilon_2 = 1$ or $\epsilon_2 = -1$. Therefore, no proper fusion scheme of \mathfrak{X} contains all the matrices (24) and (25) in its Bose–Mesner algebra \mathfrak{A} . We also note that Ma [9] considered association schemes which are invariant under the multiplication by \mathcal{T} . The only association schemes in Table 1 which are invariant under the multiplication by \mathcal{T} is \mathfrak{X}_7 , and it has the first eigenmatrix described by [9, Theorem 7].

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bannai, *Subschemes of some association schemes*, J. Algebra 144 (1991), 167–188.
- [2] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
- [3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput., 24 (1997), 235–265.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [5] A. R. Calderbank and N. J. A. Sloane, *Modular and p -adic cyclic codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 6 (1995), 21–35.
- [6] S. Evdokimov and I. Ponomarenko, *Normal cyclotomic schemes over a finite commutative ring*, St. Petersburg Math. J., 19 (6) (2008), 911–929.
- [7] T. Ikuta and A. Munemasa, *Complex Hadamard matrices contained in a Bose–Mesner Algebra*, Spec. Matrices, 3 (2015), 91–110.
- [8] T. Ito, A. Munemasa, and M. Yamada, *Amorphous association schemes over the Galois rings of characteristic 4*, European J. Combin., 12 (1991), 513–526.
- [9] J. Ma, *Three-class association schemes on Galois rings in characteristic 4*, Graphs and Combinatorics, 23 (2007), 73–86.
- [10] M. E. Muzychuk, *V-rings of permutation groups with invariant metric*, Ph.D. thesis, Kiev State University, 1987.
- [11] S. Y. Song, *Class 3 association schemes whose symmetrizations have two classes*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 70 (1995) 1–29.
- [12] M. Yamada, *Distance-regular digraphs of girth 4 over an extension ring of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$* , Graphs and Combinatorics, 6 (1990), 381–394.

KOBE GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, KOBE, 650-8586, JAPAN
E-mail address: ikuta@law.kobegakuin.ac.jp

TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI, 980-8579, JAPAN
E-mail address: munemasa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp