

Dispersion map induced energy transfer between solitons in optical fibres

A. SAVOJARDO,^{1,*} M. EBERHARD,² AND R. A. RÖMER¹

¹*Department of Physics and Centre for Scientific Computing, The University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom.*

²*Electrical, Electronic and Power Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, Aston University, Aston Triangle, Birmingham B4 7ET, United Kingdom.*

**a.savojardo@warwick.ac.uk*

Abstract: We propose an experimental setup to study collision-induced soliton amplification. In an optical fibre with anomalous dispersion ($\beta_2 < 0$), we replace a small region of the fibre with a normal dispersion fibre ($\beta_2 > 0$). We show that solitons colliding in this region are able to exchange energy. Depending on the relative phase of the soliton pair, we find that the energy transfer can lead to an energy gain in excess of 20% for each collision. A sequence of such events can be used to enhance the energy gain even further, allowing the possibility of considerable soliton amplification. This energy transfer does not require a third order dispersion or Raman term.

© 2022 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (190.0190) Nonlinear optics; (190.5530) Pulse propagation and temporal solitons.

References and links

1. M. Remoissenet, *Waves called solitons: Concepts and experiments*, Springer (1999).
2. G. P. Agrawal, *Nonlinear Fiber Optics*, Academic Press, Oxford UK (2013).
3. V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat, "Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media", *Sov. Phys. JETP* **34**, 62–69 (1972).
4. M. Eberhard *et al.*, "Rogue wave generation by inelastic quasi-soliton collisions in optical fibres", arXiv:1705.04647 (2017).
5. A. Mussot *et al.*, "Observation of extreme temporal events in CW-pumped supercontinuum", *Opt. Express* **17**, 17010 (2009).
6. A. Armaroli, C. Conti, and F. Biancalana, "Rogue solitons in optical fibers: a dynamical process in a complex energy landscape?", *Optica* **2**, 497 (2015).
7. C. Brée *et al.*, "Controlling formation and suppression of fiber-optical rogue waves", *Opt. Lett.* **41**, 3515 (2016).
8. A. Demircan *et al.*, "Rogue wave formation by accelerated solitons at an optical event horizon", *Applied Physics B* **115**, 343 (2014).
9. G. Genty *et al.*, "Collisions and turbulence in optical rogue wave formation", *Phys. Lett. A* **374**, 989 (2010).
10. N. Akhmediev and M. Karlsson, "Cherenkov radiation emitted by solitons in optical fiber", *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 2602 (1995).
11. N. Akhmediev, J. M. Soto-Crespo, and A. Ankiewicz, "Could rogue waves be used as efficient weapons against enemy ships?", *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* **185**, 259–266 (2010).
12. M. Miyagi, and S. Nishida, "Pulse spreading in a single-mode fiber due to third-order dispersion", *Opt. Express* **18**, 678 (2010).
13. F. Luan, D. V. Skryabin, A. V. Yulin, and J. C. Knight, "Energy exchange between colliding solitons in photonic crystal fibers", *Opt. Express* **14**, 9844 (2006).
14. G. Veith, "Self-Phase Modulation In Optical Fiber", *Proc. SPIE 0864*, Advanced Optoelectronic Technology, (1988).
15. D. R. Solli, C. Ropers, P. Koonath, and B. Jalali, "Optical rogue waves", *Nature* **450**, 1054 (2007).

1. Introduction

Solitons are spatially localized solutions that exist in many nonlinear wave equations [1]. Their collisions are fully elastic with all properties of both solitons conserved — in particular their individual energies. In optical fibres, soliton propagation is usually described using the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) [1, 2]. The stability of the soliton solutions is the result of a perfect balance of dispersion and non-linearity [3]. However, if this perfect balance is disturbed, more




Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the optical fiber used for the thought experiment. The horizontal fiber is indicated in blue. The light blue region corresponds to the anomalous-dispersion fiber ($\beta_2 < 0$) while the normal-dispersion fiber ($\beta_2 > 0$) of length δ is indicated in dark blue. The light propagation direction is indicated by a thin horizontal line including the point of collision z_0 .

general collision scenarios become possible. Indeed, *energy transfer* in pairwise soliton collisions has been observed experimentally [5–9] and discussed theoretically [4–10]. This scenario usually requires the additional presence of third-order dispersion [4, 11, 12], Raman scattering [6–8, 13], and shock terms [6–8, 13, 14] in the NLSE.

In this paper, we describe a dispersion map that leads to inelastic soliton collisions without any such additions. Consider a fibre with standard *anomalous* dispersion in which two solitons, with different group velocities, propagate as stable pulses and eventually collide. The trick is now to replace the section of the fibre, where the soliton collision takes place, with a section of *normal* dispersion fibre (cf. Fig. 1). In the normal dispersion regime, the solitons are unstable, hence able to exchange energy under collision. Keeping this normal dispersion section short avoids excessive pulse-width broadening which would otherwise destroy the solitons. We optimize the dispersion map to maximize energy transfer between the solitons while keeping the disturbance of the soliton shape as small as possible. Hence two stable solitons emerge into the anomalous dispersion regime and continue to propagate.

2. The model

To model the situation proposed above, we use the NLSE [2] with a dispersion map $\beta_2(z)$

$$\partial_z u + \frac{i\beta_2(z)}{2} \partial_t^2 u - i\gamma|u|^2 u = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $u(z, t)$ is the pulse envelope, z is the distance of propagation, t is the time in the frame moving with the average group velocity of the carrier wave and γ the non-linear coefficient. The dispersion $\beta_2(z)$ is equal to a constant $\beta_2 > 0$ in the region $[z_0 - \frac{\delta}{2}, z_0 + \frac{\delta}{2}]$ and equal to $-\beta_2$ elsewhere; δ denotes the length of the normal ($\beta_2 > 0$) fibre symmetrically around the point of collision z_0 . We start with an initial condition consisting of two solitons at $z \ll z_0$, such that we can write initially

$$u(z, t) = \sqrt{P_1} \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{t - t_1}{T_1}\right) e^{-i\Omega_1 t} + \sqrt{P_2} \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{t - t_2}{T_2}\right) e^{-i\Omega_2 t + i\phi}. \quad (2)$$

The two pulses have a relative phase difference ϕ , and are characterized by power P_i , time t_i and frequency shift Ω_i for each pulse $i = 1, 2$. From these initial conditions we can calculate their inverse velocity $v_i^{-1} = \beta_2 \Omega_i$, period $T_i = \sqrt{\frac{|\beta_2|}{\gamma P_i}}$ and energy $E_i = 2P_i T_i$.




Fig. 2. Two soliton collision for the experimental setup described in Fig. 1. The intensity $|u|^2$ is plotted as function of time t and distance z . The phase difference ϕ corresponds to (a) the maximum and (b) minimum energy transfer $\Delta E_1/E_2$ plotted in Fig. 3 (a). The horizontal blue line indicates the point of collision z_0 in the normal-dispersion fiber.

3. Numerical results

Fig. 2 (a) and 2 (b) show representative results for the collision of two solitons for the experimental setup described in Fig. 1. The intensity $|u|^2$ is plotted as function of time t and distance z . The initial conditions in both collisions are identical apart from the relative phase ϕ between the two solitons, in (a) $\phi = 0.13\pi$ and in (b) $\phi = 1.87\pi$. The initial power and frequency are $P_1 = 100\text{W}$, $\Omega_1 = -10\text{THz}$, $P_2 = 70\text{W}$ and $\Omega_2 = -6\text{THz}$. The length δ of the optical fiber with normal dispersion is 0.6m. The optical fiber specifications are $|\beta_2| = 0.01\text{ps}^2\text{m}^{-1}$ and $\gamma = 0.003\text{W}^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$. In Fig. 2, a first soliton (100W) collides with a second soliton (70W). After the collision both solitons emerge with peak power different from the initial one. In Fig. 2 (a) energy is transferred from the second to the first soliton, the emerging pulses have peak power of $\sim 138\text{W}$ and $\sim 24\text{W}$ respectively, the first soliton has gained 38W in amplitude. In Fig. 2 (b) we observe the opposite behavior, the emerging pulses have peak power of $\sim 50\text{W}$ and $\sim 73\text{W}$ respectively, although in this case the second soliton gains just 3W and half of the power of the first soliton is radiated. In Fig. 3 (b) we show the maximum peak power of Fig. 2 (a) in order to highlight details of the process. The initial peak value is 100W, then after the collision it oscillates and slowly dampens to the value 138W when away from the collision region. This peak power mostly corresponds to the value of the first soliton.

The numerical experiment confirms our hypothesis, instability leads to soliton energy exchange. Surprisingly energy transfer occurs without third order dispersion and Raman term [11, 13]. The artificial instability, due to the change of sign in the second order dispersion term, is sufficient to mimic the effect of higher order terms for the two soliton collision. To determine the influence of the phase difference ϕ and the length δ on the energy transfer, we studied collisions varying these two parameters. For each value of ϕ and δ , we calculate the percentage of energy transfer $\Delta E_1/E_2$, from soliton 2 to soliton 1. Fig. 3 (a) shows the result of these calculations. The energy transfer changes with ϕ , it can be positive or negative meaning that energy can go from the second soliton to first one and vice versa. The local maximum and minimum are at $\phi = 0.13\pi$ and $\phi = 1.87\pi$ respectively. The maximum value of $\Delta E_1/E_2$ corresponding to the collision in Fig. 2 (a) is $\sim +22\%$, the minimum corresponding to the collision in Fig. 2 (b) is $\sim -35\%$. This asymmetry towards the minimum is an indication of energy loss and can be understood as energy that is radiate during the scattering process. The energy transfer increases with δ and it is null when δ is zero, confirming that the unstable region is fundamental for the process to occur.




Fig. 3. (a) Energy transfer $\Delta E_1/E_2$ as function of the phase difference ϕ for various lengths δ of the normal-dispersion fiber. (b) Maximum peak power (continuous blue line) as function of the distance z , calculated for the intensities in Fig. 2 (a). The dotted red line indicates the average power of 138W after the collision.




Fig. 4. Experimental setup for two consecutive amplifications. One normal-dispersion segment is placed at every point of collision. The colors are chosen as in Fig. 1.

4. Optical amplification

The above results show that the system in Fig. 1 is an amplification device. Particularly, assembling many of such devices in series a soliton can be amplified several times. For example, with three initial solitons and two devices in series like in Fig. 4, we get the amplification shown in Fig. 5 (a). In this case a first soliton (100W) collides with a second (70W) and then a third soliton (70W) absorbing energy at every collision. The first soliton emerges with an amplitude of ~ 138 W from the first collision and an amplitude of ~ 178 W from the second collision as shown in Fig. 5 (b). The initial phase differences are chosen so that the energy transfer is maximized at every scattering. In principle, there is no limit to the number of amplification devices that can be assembled and the early soliton can be amplified at every collision as long as it has a certain phase difference with the other colliding pulses.

5. Conclusions

Our numerical experiment indicates the energy transfer between two unstable bright solitons in the normal-dispersion regions of a fiber. We find that the width δ of the normal dispersion region is crucial for the process to occur, as well as the phase difference ϕ between the two solitons. The device in Fig. 1 can be built in a real world laboratory and our predictions for the energy transfer (Fig. 3 (a)) can be verified experimentally. Not only do our findings have fundamental implications in the field of soliton interaction and rogue waves generation [11, 15] but the device in Fig. 1 can be exploited for soliton amplification in optical fiber systems.




Fig. 5. (a) Intensity $|u|^2$ plotted as function of time t and distance z for a three soliton collision process. An early soliton (starting at $z = 0$ m and $t = 4$ ps) is amplified twice in consecutive collisions. The horizontal blue lines indicate the points of collision in the normal-dispersion fiber. (b) Maximum peak power (continuous blue line) as function of the distance z , calculated for the collisions in (a). The dotted red and green lines indicate the average power of 138W and 178W after the first and second collision respectively. The inset shows details of the second collision for times $42\text{ps} \leq t \leq 54\text{ps}$ and distances $400\text{m} \leq z \leq 550\text{m}$.




Fig. 6. Energy transfer $\Delta E_1/E_2$ as function of the phase difference ϕ and the length δ . The data points represents result of the simulations while the lines denote the fit according to Eq. (3).

A. Fit for the energy transfer function

For the collisions in Fig. 2 and 3 we used two solitons with initial powers $P_1 = 100\text{W}$ and $P_2 = 70\text{W}$. When $P_2 \ll P_1$, the energy transfer can be approximated as

$$\Delta E_1 = \epsilon_L + \epsilon_T \sin(\phi - \phi_0), \quad (3)$$

where ϵ_L , ϵ_T and ϕ_0 are coefficients to be fitted. Fig. 6 shows a representative result for $P_1 = 100\text{W}$ and $P_2 = 10\text{W}$. The data points represent results of the simulations while the lines denote a fit with (3). The fit coefficients depend on the parameters

$$\{\delta, \beta_2, \gamma, P_1, \Omega_1, P_2, \Omega_2\}. \quad (4)$$




Fig. 7. Functional dependence of ϵ_L on each of the six parameters given by Eq. (4). The data points represents numerical results of Eq. (1) while the red lines denote the fit (5a), the pink region indicates the 68% confidence level on the fit.

A dimensional analysis shows that ϵ_L and ϵ_T can be written in the form

$$\epsilon_L = g_1 \gamma \delta^{\lambda_1} |\beta_2|^{\lambda_1-1} (\Delta\Omega)^{2\lambda_1-3} P_1^{\eta_1} P_2^{2-\eta_1}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\epsilon_T = g_2 \gamma \delta^{\lambda_2} |\beta_2|^{\lambda_2-1} (\Delta\Omega)^{2\lambda_2-3} P_1^{\eta_2} P_2^{2-\eta_2}. \quad (5b)$$

The coefficients g_1 and g_2 are dimensionless. Note that λ_1 , η_1 , λ_2 and η_2 are dimensionless by construction and $\Delta\Omega = |\Omega_1 - \Omega_2|$. In order to fit Eq. (5a) and (5b), we have calculated the energy transfer for a number of initial conditions by varying the set of parameters (4). We find the following values for the fit coefficients $g_1 = -2.74 \pm 0.08$, $\lambda_1 = 1.67 \pm 0.02$, $\eta_1 = 1.417 \pm 0.007$, $g_2 = 3.01 \pm 0.19$, $\lambda_2 = 1.13 \pm 0.04$ and $\eta_2 = 1.529 \pm 0.015$. Fig. 7 and 8 show the fits of ϵ_L and ϵ_T using Eq. (5a) and (5b). The six dimensional fits are projected into two dimension at constant values $\delta = 0.5\text{m}$, $|\beta_2| = 0.02\text{ps}^2\text{m}^{-1}$, $\gamma = 0.002\text{W}^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$, $P_1 = 100\text{W}$, $\Omega_1 = -10\text{THz}$, $P_2 = 10\text{W}$ and $\Omega_2 = -5\text{THz}$. The multidimensional fit and the numerical results are in an overall good agreement. While a fit using rational exponents such as $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1.5$ (or $\lambda_1 = \eta_1 = \eta_2 = 1.5$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$) is possible, the results are much worse.

Funding

We are grateful to the EPSRC for provision of computing resources through the MidPlus Regional HPC Centre (EP/K000128/1), and the national facilities HECToR (e236, ge236) and ARCHER (e292). We thank the Hartree Centre for use of its facilities via BG/Q access projects HCBG055, HCBG092, HCBG109.

Acknowledgments

We thank Akihiro Maruta for stimulating discussions in the early stages of the project. We are grateful to the Centre for Scientific Computing (CSC), Warwick, for provision of computing resources.




Fig. 8. Functional dependence of ϵ_T on each of the six parameters given by Eq. (4). The data points represent numerical results of Eq. (1) while the red lines denote the fit (5b), the pink region is as in Fig. 7, indicating the 68% confidence levels.