

CHUDNOVSKY-RAMANUJAN TYPE FORMULAE FOR THE LEGENDRE FAMILY

IMIN CHEN AND GLEB GLEBOV

ABSTRACT. We apply the method established in our previous work to derive a Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formula for the Legendre family of elliptic curves. As a result, we prove two identities for $1/\pi$ in terms of hypergeometric functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Periods and families of elliptic curves	3
3. Limit values of the modular λ function	5
4. Hypergeometric representations of Ω_1	6
5. Complex multiplication and quasi-period relations	7
6. A Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formula	8
References	9

1. INTRODUCTION

In [8], Ramanujan derived a number of rapidly converging series for $1/\pi$. Later, Chudnovsky and Chudnovsky [5], [6] derived an additional such series based on the modular j -function, which is often used in practice for the computation of the digits of π . In [4], we generalized the method of [5], [6] to derive a complete list of Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formulae for the modular j -function. The method is systematic, and in principle applicable to any family of elliptic curves parametrized by a triangular Fuchsian group of genus zero.

The purpose of this paper is to illustrate the method of [4] in another case. Consider the Legendre family of elliptic curves given by

$$C_\lambda : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda),$$

which is parametrized by the modular λ function. The Picard-Fuchs differential equation for this family is well known and given by

$$(1) \quad \lambda(1-\lambda) \frac{d^2 P}{d\lambda^2} + (1-2\lambda) \frac{dP}{d\lambda} - \frac{P}{4} = 0,$$

Date: September 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11Y60; Secondary: 14H52, 14K20, 33C05.

Key words and phrases. elliptic curves; elliptic functions; elliptic integrals; Dedekind eta function; Eisenstein series; hypergeometric function; j -invariant; Picard-Fuchs differential equation.

This work was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant and SFU VPR Bridging Grant.

which is a hypergeometric differential equation with parameters $a = 1/2$, $b = 1/2$, $c = 1$, and it has three regular singular points: $0, 1, \infty$.

Let ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ denote the hypergeometric function. Kummer's method yields six distinct hypergeometric solutions of the form

$$\lambda^\alpha (1 - \lambda)^\beta {}_2F_1(a, b; c; \nu(\lambda)),$$

where $\nu(\lambda)$ is one of

$$\lambda, \quad 1 - \lambda, \quad \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}, \quad \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}, \quad \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}.$$

In particular, we have the solutions:

Around $\lambda = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right), \\ & (1 - \lambda)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Around $\lambda = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; 1 - \lambda\right), \\ & \lambda^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Around $\lambda = \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \\ & (1 - \lambda)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We can restrict our attention to only the first solution of each pair above because the second solution of each pair is obtained from the first by a Pfaff transformation.

Each of these solutions will be valid near one of the singular points $\lambda = 0, 1, \infty$ and will give rise to an expression of the period $P_1(\lambda)$ in terms of a hypergeometric function and λ .

Using the method of [4], we turn one of these period expressions into a Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formulae valid near $\lambda = 0$. As a result, we derive the following identities for $1/\pi$ in terms of hypergeometric functions:

$$(2) \quad \frac{8}{\pi} = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -1\right)^2 - {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -1\right) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; -1\right).$$

Remark. Although the above identities could be verified by other means, the purpose of this work is to illustrate how systematic the method in [4] is to discover and derive such formulae.

2. PERIODS AND FAMILIES OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

Let $C_\lambda : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$ be the Legendre family. We first explain how to specify periods and quasi-periods for C_λ . Suppose

- (1) $\alpha_1(\lambda)$ is a simple loop which encircles 0 and 1, and does not pass through λ ;
- (2) $\alpha_2(\lambda)$ is a simple loop which encircles 1 and λ , and does not pass through 0.

Then $\{\alpha_1(\lambda), \alpha_2(\lambda)\}$ forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $H_1(E_\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ as depicted in [9, Chapter VI, Section 1, Figure 6.5], and it is possible to choose $\alpha_i(\lambda)$, $i = 1, 2$, so they vary continuously for λ in a simply connected open subset of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. See [4] for details.

We then define the periods P_i and quasi-periods Q_i for C_λ as:

$$P_i = \int_{\alpha_i} \frac{dx}{y},$$

$$Q_i = - \int_{\alpha_i} x \frac{dx}{y}.$$

Up to a modification of the \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\alpha_1(\lambda), \alpha_2(\lambda)\}$ for $H_1(C_\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$, that is, a transformation in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we obtain another \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\gamma_1(\lambda), \gamma_2(\lambda)\}$ such that $\tau = P_2/P_1$ is in the fundamental domain for $\Gamma(2)$, and is near the points $0, 1, \infty$ in the sense that $\lambda(\tau)$, $1 - \lambda(\tau)$, $1/(1 - \lambda(\tau))$ have absolute value less than unity, respectively.

Under the change of variables

$$x \mapsto x + \frac{\lambda + 1}{3},$$

$$y \mapsto \frac{y}{2},$$

the Legendre family is put into the Weierstrass form:

$$E_\lambda : y^2 = 4x^3 - \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)x - \frac{4}{27}(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)$$

$$= 4(x - e_0)(x - e_1)(x - e_\lambda),$$

where

$$e_0 = -\frac{1 + \lambda}{3}, \quad e_1 = \frac{2 - \lambda}{3}, \quad e_\lambda = \frac{2\lambda - 1}{3}.$$

This defines an isomorphism $\pi : E_\lambda \rightarrow C_\lambda$.

The normalized j -invariant $J = j/12^3$ of C_λ is given by

$$J = \frac{4}{27} \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2}.$$

The map $\lambda \mapsto J(\lambda)$ gives the covering map $X(2) \rightarrow X(1)$, where $X(n)$ denotes the modular curve with full level n -structure.

The choice of P_i and Q_i for C_λ above fixes the periods and quasi-periods of E_λ , which we denote by Ω_i and H_i , respectively. In fact, we have that

$$P_i = 2\Omega_i,$$

$$Q_i = 2H_i - \frac{1 + \lambda}{3}P_i.$$

Fix an elliptic curve $E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$. For $u \neq 0$, the map $\varphi_u : (x, y) \mapsto (u^2x, u^3y)$ gives an isomorphism $\varphi_u : E \xrightarrow{\cong} E'$ from an elliptic E over \mathbb{C} to the

elliptic curve E' over \mathbb{C} , where

$$E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3 \quad \text{and} \quad E' : y^2 = 4x^3 - g'_2x - g'_3,$$

and

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} g'_2 = u^4 g_2, \\ g'_3 = u^6 g_3, \\ \Delta(E') = u^{12} \Delta(E). \end{cases}$$

By the uniformization theorem, $E(\mathbb{C}) = E_\Lambda(\mathbb{C})$ for some lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$. It then follows that $E'(\mathbb{C}) = E_{u^{-1}\Lambda}(\mathbb{C})$, and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}/\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\iota_\Lambda} & E_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) = E(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow z \mapsto u^{-1}z & & \downarrow \varphi_u \\ \mathbb{C}/u^{-1}\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\iota_{u^{-1}\Lambda}} & E_{u^{-1}\Lambda}(\mathbb{C}) = E'(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

so that the isomorphism φ_u corresponds to scaling Λ by u^{-1} .

We now introduce three families of elliptic curves: E , E_τ , E_λ , and compare their discriminants, associated lattices, and periods.

Consider the elliptic curve E over \mathbb{C} given by

$$E : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3, \quad \Delta(E) = \Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2, \quad \Lambda(E) = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2.$$

Taking $u = \omega_1$, and using (4) we see that E is isomorphic to

$$E_\tau : y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(\tau)x - g_3(\tau), \quad \Delta(E_\tau) = \Delta(\tau) = g_2(\tau)^3 - 27g_3(\tau)^2, \quad \Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau,$$

where $\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1$.

To find a u so that E is isomorphic to E_λ , we claim that it is necessary to set

$$\begin{cases} u^4 g_2 = \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1), \\ u^6 g_3 = \frac{4}{27}(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2). \end{cases}$$

Dividing the second equation by the first, we obtain

$$u^2 \frac{g_3}{g_2} = \frac{(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{9(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)},$$

or

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{9(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)} \frac{g_2}{g_3}}.$$

The above is a necessary condition for u . To show sufficiency, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u^4 g_2 &= g_2 \frac{g_2^2}{g_3^2} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{9(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)} \right\}^2 \\ &= \frac{9g_2}{4} \frac{12}{J^{1/3} \Delta^{1/3}} \frac{J - 1}{J} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{9(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)} \right\}^2 \\ &= \frac{27J}{J - 1} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{9(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)} \right\}^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
u^6 g_3 &= g_3 \frac{g_2^3}{g_3^3} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)} \right\}^3 \\
&= \frac{27g_3}{8} \frac{12^{3/2}}{\sqrt{J}\sqrt{\Delta}} \frac{J^{3/2}}{(J-1)^{3/2}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)} \right\}^3 \\
&= \frac{27}{8} \frac{J^{3/2}}{(J-1)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{J-1}{27}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)} \right\}^3 \\
&= \frac{12^{3/2}\sqrt{27}}{8} \frac{J}{J-1} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)} \right\}^3 \\
&= \frac{12^{3/2}\sqrt{27}}{8} \frac{4}{729} (\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2) \\
&= \frac{4}{27} (\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, taking

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)} \frac{g_2}{g_3}},$$

and using (4), we see that E is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\lambda : y^2 &= 4x^3 - \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)x - \frac{4}{27}(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2), \\
\Delta(E_\lambda) &= 16\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2, \quad \Lambda(E_\lambda) = \mathbb{Z}\Omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\Omega_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)} \frac{g_2(\tau)}{g_3(\tau)}},$$

we see that E_τ is isomorphic to E_λ . Hence, we have that $\Lambda(E_\lambda) = \mu(\tau)\Lambda_\tau$, where

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \mu(\tau) &= \sqrt{\frac{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)}{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)} \frac{g_3(\tau)}{g_2(\tau)}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}}{J^{1/6}} \frac{(J-1)^{1/4}}{27^{1/4}} \sqrt{\frac{9(\lambda^2-\lambda+1)}{(\lambda+1)(2\lambda-1)(\lambda-2)}} \\ &= \frac{2^{1/3}}{27} \frac{\Delta(\tau)^{1/12}}{(\lambda(1-\lambda))^{1/6}}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

3. LIMIT VALUES OF THE MODULAR λ FUNCTION

It is well known that for τ in the upper half-plane we have

$$\lambda(\tau) = 16q^{1/2} - 128q + 704q^{3/2} - O(q^2), \quad q = e^{2\pi i\tau},$$

or with $x = \sqrt{q}$,

$$\lambda(\tau) = 16x - 128x^2 + 704x^3 - O(x^4), \quad x = \sqrt{q}.$$

In what follows and throughout the paper, the notation $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ will be synonymous with $\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\tau) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (16x - 128x^2 + 704x^3 - O(x^4)) = 0.$$

Moreover, in view of $\lambda(-1/\tau) = 1 - \lambda(\tau)$, we see that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(-1/\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \lambda(\tau)) = 1.$$

Furthermore, using $\lambda(\tau + 1) = \lambda(\tau)/(\lambda(\tau) - 1)$ together with $\lambda(-1/\tau) = 1 - \lambda(\tau)$, we find that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 1} \lambda(\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(1 - 1/\tau) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(-1/\tau)}{\lambda(-1/\tau) - 1} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(\tau) - 1}{\lambda(\tau)} = \infty.$$

Below is the table summarizing these limit values.

τ	$\lambda(\tau)$
∞	0
0	1
1	∞

Table 1: Limit values of $\lambda(\tau)$

4. HYPERGEOMETRIC REPRESENTATIONS OF Ω_1

Recall that the first solution of (1) around $\lambda = 0$ is

$${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right).$$

Following the method in [1] with the homothety factor $\mu(\tau)$ in (5), we have that

$${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right) = \frac{(A + B\tau)\eta(\tau)^2}{(\lambda(1 - \lambda))^{1/6}}.$$

Replacing τ by $\tau + 2$ leaves $\lambda(\tau)$ invariant but changes $\eta(\tau)^2$ by $\zeta\eta(\tau)^2$, where $\zeta = e^{\pi i/3}$. Hence, we get the identity

$$(A + B\tau) \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{(\lambda(1 - \lambda))^{1/6}} = (A + B(\tau + 2)) \frac{\zeta\eta(\tau)^2}{(\lambda(1 - \lambda))^{1/6}}$$

valid for τ around ∞ . Since both sides are nonzero for τ around ∞ , we obtain

$$A + B\tau = (A + B(\tau + 2))\zeta$$

for τ around ∞ . Upon solving for B/A and taking the limit as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, shows that $B = 0$. Recalling that

$$\lambda(\tau) = 16q^{1/2} - 128q + 704q^{3/2} - O(q^2), \quad q = e^{2\pi i\tau},$$

we find that $A = 16^{1/6} = 4^{1/3}$, and thus we have the identity

$${}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right) = 4^{1/3} \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{(\lambda(1 - \lambda))^{1/6}}.$$

We thus obtain the following period expression.

Theorem 4.1. For τ around ∞ , we have

$$\omega_1 = 2^{1/3} \pi (\lambda(1 - \lambda))^{1/6} \Delta(E)^{-1/12} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right).$$

Proof. Since $\Delta(\tau) = (2\pi)^{12} \eta(\tau)^{24}$ and $\Delta(\tau) = \omega_1^{12} \Delta$, the result following from the identity above. \square

We state the above period expression for E , but if $E = E_\lambda$, then the period expression becomes the classical relation:

$$(6) \quad P_1 = 2\pi_2 F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \lambda\right),$$

where $P_1 = 2\Omega_1$, because $\Delta(E_\lambda) = 16\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2$.

Now, we use the fact that the Galois covering $X(2) \rightarrow X(1)$ has Galois group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, which is isomorphic to S_3 . Explicitly, we have generators:

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda(\tau \pm 1) = \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau) - 1}, \\ \lambda(-1/\tau) = 1 - \lambda(\tau). \end{cases}$$

Applying these transformations to the above period expression around $\lambda = 0$ gives all period expressions around $\lambda = 0, 1, \infty$. We also require the following functional equations of the Dedekind eta function:

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \eta(\tau \pm 1) = e^{\pm\pi i/12} \eta(\tau), \\ \eta(-1/\tau) = \eta(\tau) \sqrt{-i\tau}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.2. For τ around 0, we have

$$\omega_1 = 2^{1/3} \frac{\pi i}{\tau} (\lambda(1-\lambda))^{1/6} \Delta(E)^{-1/12} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; 1-\lambda\right).$$

Proof. This is proven by applying the transformation $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ in Theorem 4.1 and using (7) and (8). \square

Theorem 4.3. For τ around 1, we have

$$\omega_1 = 2^{1/3} \frac{\pi i}{(\tau+1)\sqrt{1-\lambda}} (\lambda(1-\lambda))^{1/6} \Delta(E)^{-1/12} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right).$$

Proof. This is proven by applying the transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ in Theorem 4.2 and using (7) and (8). \square

5. COMPLEX MULTIPLICATION AND QUASI-PERIOD RELATIONS

Suppose τ satisfies $a\tau^2 + b\tau + c = 0$ for mutually coprime integers a, b, c such that $a > 0$ and $-d = b^2 - 4ac$, that is,

$$(9) \quad \tau = \frac{-b + \sqrt{-d}}{2a}.$$

Let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ with $\mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0$, and define

$$s_2(\tau) = \frac{E_4(\tau)}{E_6(\tau)} \left(E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi \mathrm{Im}(\tau)} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(\tau) &= 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}, \\ E_4(\tau) &= 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^3 \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}, \\ E_6(\tau) &= 1 - 504 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^5 \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have from [4] that

$$(10) \quad \Omega_1 H_1 \operatorname{Im}(\tau) - \Omega_1^2 \left[\operatorname{Im}(\tau) \frac{3g_3}{2g_2} s_2(\tau) \right] = \pi$$

by taking $E = E_\lambda$ so that $\omega_i = \Omega_i$ and $\eta_i = H_i$.

6. A CHUDNOVSKY-RAMANUJAN TYPE FORMULA

Using the differential relations derived by Bruns [3, pp. 237-238] with

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1), \\ g_3 &= \frac{4}{27}(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Omega_1}{d\lambda} &= -\frac{H_1}{2\lambda(\lambda - 1)} - \frac{2\lambda - 1}{6\lambda(\lambda - 1)}\Omega_1, \\ \frac{dH_1}{d\lambda} &= \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1}{18\lambda(\lambda - 1)}\Omega_1 + \frac{2\lambda - 1}{6\lambda(\lambda - 1)}H_1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. These relations can also be obtained by using Fricke's and Klein's method of deriving the Picard-Fuchs differential [7, pp. 33-34] if applied to E_λ .

Using the first differential relation above, together with a period expression, yields a Chudnovsky-Ramanujan type formula.

Theorem 6.1. If τ satisfies (9) and is around ∞ , and

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= \frac{4}{3}(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1), \\ g_3 &= \frac{4}{27}(\lambda + 1)(2\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2), \end{aligned}$$

then we have that

$$-F^2 \left[\frac{2\lambda - 1}{3} + \frac{3g_3}{2g_2} s_2(\tau) \right] + \lambda(1 - \lambda) \frac{dF^2}{d\lambda} = \frac{2a}{\pi\sqrt{d}},$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(\tau)$ and $F = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 1; \lambda)$.

Proof. The first differential relation is equivalent to

$$(11) \quad H_1 = -2\lambda(\lambda - 1) \frac{d\Omega_1}{d\lambda} - \frac{2\lambda - 1}{3}\Omega_1.$$

Substitute (11) into (10) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2\pi a}{\sqrt{d}} &= -\Omega_1 \left[2\lambda(\lambda-1) \frac{d\Omega_1}{d\lambda} + \frac{2\lambda-1}{3} \Omega_1 + \Omega_1 \frac{3g_3}{2g_2} s_2(\tau) \right] \\ &= -\Omega_1^2 \left[\frac{2\lambda-1}{3} + \frac{3g_3}{2g_2} s_2(\tau) \right] + 2\lambda(1-\lambda) \Omega_1 \frac{d\Omega_1}{d\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, substituting $\Omega_1 = \pi F$ from (6), where $F = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 1; \lambda)$, into the above, we obtain

$$\frac{2a}{\pi\sqrt{d}} = -F^2 \left[\frac{2\lambda-1}{3} + \frac{3g_3}{2g_2} s_2(\tau) \right] + \lambda(1-\lambda) \frac{dF^2}{d\lambda}.$$

□

Under the covering $X(2) \rightarrow X(1)$ given by

$$J = \frac{4}{27} \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2},$$

the only values for τ for which $\lambda(\tau) \in \mathbb{Q}$ correspond to $J = 1$ and $\lambda = 2, 1/2, -1$, each with ramification index 2. In the fundamental domain for $\Gamma(2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda\left(\frac{i \pm 1}{2}\right) &= 2, \\ \lambda(i) &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ \lambda(i+1) &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\frac{3g_3}{2g_2} = \Omega_1^2 \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{E_6(\tau)}{E_4(\tau)}$$

in Theorem 6.1 yields

$$\frac{2a}{\pi\sqrt{d}} = -F^2 \left[\frac{2\lambda-1}{3} + \frac{\pi^4}{3} \left\{ E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi \operatorname{Im}(\tau)} \right\} F^2 \right] + \lambda(1-\lambda) \frac{dF^2}{d\lambda},$$

which can be evaluated at $\tau = i$. Recall that $E_2(\tau)$ is a quasi-modular form, and $E_2(-1/\tau) = \tau^2 E_2(\tau) - 6i\tau/\pi$ for τ in the upper half-plane. Setting $\tau = i$, shows that $E_2(i) = 3/\pi$, and we obtain (2). Furthermore, it is evident that $E_2(\tau+1) = E_2(\tau)$ because $q(\tau+1) = q(\tau)$. Thus, setting $\tau = i+1$, we obtain (3).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Archinard, Exceptional sets of hypergeometric series, *J. Number Theory* **101** (2003) 244-269.
- [2] J. Borwein and P. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM*, Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [3] H. Bruns, Ueber die Perioden der elliptischen Integrale erster und zweiter Gattung, *Math. Ann.* **27** (1886) 234-252.
- [4] I. Chen and G. Glebov, On Chudnovsky-Ramanujan Type Formulae, *Ramanujan J.* (to appear)
- [5] D. V. Chudnovsky and G. V. Chudnovsky, Approximation and complex multiplication according to Ramanujan in *Ramanujan Revisited*, pp. 375-472, G. E. Andrews, R. A. Askey, B. C. Berndt, K. G. Ramanathan, and R. A. Rankin (eds.), Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [6] D. V. Chudnovsky and G. V. Chudnovsky, Use of computer algebra for Diophantine and differential equations in *Computer Algebra*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 113, pp. 1-81, D. V. Chudnovsky and R. D. Jenks (eds.), Dekker, New York, 1989.
- [7] R. Fricke and F. Klein, *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunctionen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1890.

- [8] S. Ramanujan, Modular equations and approximations to π , *Quart. J. Math.* (Oxford) **45** (1914) 350-372.
- [9] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, 2nd ed., Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.

IMIN CHEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

E-mail address: `ichen@sfu.ca`

GLEB GLEBOV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

E-mail address: `gglebov@sfu.ca`